

Can we always sweep the details of RNA-processing under the carpet?

Filippos D. Klironomos¹, Juliette de Meaux², and Johannes Berg^{1,3}

¹ Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

² Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity, University of Münster; Münster, Germany

³ Systems Biology of Ageing - Sybacol, Cologne, Germany

E-mail: fklirono@uni-koeln.de

Abstract.

RNA production in the cell follows a succession of enzyme-mediated processing steps from transcription until maturation. The participating enzymes, for example the spliceosome for mRNAs and Droscha and Dicer for microRNAs, are also produced in the cell and their copy-numbers fluctuate over time. Enzyme copy-number changes affect the processing rate of the substrate molecules. High enzyme numbers increase the processing probability, low enzyme numbers decrease it. We study different RNA processing cascades where enzyme copy-numbers are either fixed or fluctuate. We find that for fixed enzyme-copy numbers the substrates at steady-state are Poisson-distributed, and the whole RNA cascade dynamics can be understood as a single birth-death process of the mature RNA product. Further, we show analytically and verify numerically that when enzyme copy-numbers fluctuate the strength of substrate fluctuations increases linearly with the RNA transcription rate. This linear effect becomes stronger as the speed of enzyme dynamics decreases relative to the speed of RNA dynamics. Interestingly, we find that under certain conditions, the RNA cascade can reduce the strength of fluctuations in the expression level of the mature RNA product. Finally, by investigating the effects of processing polymorphisms we show that it is possible for the effects of transcriptional polymorphisms to be enhanced, reduced or even reversed. Our results provide a comprehensive framework to understand the dynamics of RNA processing.

1. Introduction

Our understanding of the dynamics in the cell improves when intrinsic fluctuations under physiological conditions are studied and analyzed. One source of intrinsic fluctuations is in the copy-number of functional units, such as enzymes, that mediate particular reactions. An enzyme converts chemically its substrate at a rate which can be either fixed, or change over time. However, even for a fixed rate of chemical conversions per enzyme, prolonged changes in the enzyme copy-number become noticeable at the level of the enzyme's substrate. Consequently, the substrates convert at a rate that fluctuates over time. In this work, we consider the effect of such fluctuations relevant for the production and processing of mRNA, microRNA (miRNA) or small interfering RNA (siRNA).

The principal enzyme involved in mRNA-processing is the spliceosome, which removes intronic elements from precursor mRNA molecules [1, 2]. In the biogenesis of eukaryotic small RNAs (sRNA), encompassing miRNAs and siRNAs, one additionally finds: (i) the microprocessor unit responsible for processing primary miRNAs, (ii) the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase responsible for synthesizing complementary strands to single-stranded RNA, and (iii) the Dicer responsible for processing precursor sRNA molecules [3]. In the biogenesis of prokaryotic sRNA one finds the Cascade/Cas enzymes performing similar processing steps [4]. The processed sRNA molecules are then loaded to Argonaute proteins forming the so called RNA-induced silencing complexes (RISCs), the units responsible for the post-transcriptional regulation (PTR) of mRNA transcripts [5, 6].

The enzymes involved in the mRNA and sRNA biogeneses are vital for the normal functioning of the cell and are usually regulated by complex feedback networks. For example, miR162 targets the Dicer DCL1 mRNA in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, but the precursor of miR162 needs Dicer to mature [7]. Hence large expression levels of Dicer lead to large levels of the miRNA that in turn downregulates Dicer. However, the presence of tight regulation of the enzymatic expression levels does not eliminate completely fluctuations in their copy-numbers. At best, regulation provides a mechanism to limit the range of fluctuations around the mean value of enzymatic copy-numbers at steady-state.

Studies of the protein biogenesis usually assume mRNA is produced via a birth-death process [8, 9]. Studies of post-transcriptional regulation involving sRNAs assume the same [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. This assumption that the multi-step process of RNA biogenesis can be modeled by a single birth-death process of the mature RNA product requires examination. We address this question by studying the mRNA, and sRNA biogeneses using models that explicitly include the sequential processing of RNA precursors by the different enzymes. We find that under certain conditions one can indeed replace the complex RNA-processing cascade by a single production process of constant rate. As a result, mature RNA production events follow a homogeneous Poisson statistics. However, outside the validity of these conditions, this simple picture breaks


down and fluctuations in a RNA processing cascade cannot be captured by a single homogeneous Poisson process.

2. Results

We start with the simplest scenario possible, a chain of RNA-processing steps. Such RNA-processing cascades arise in different contexts.

2.1. mRNA biogenesis


The biogenesis of messenger RNA starts with the transcription from DNA of the primary RNA transcript (pre-mRNA_n), and continues as the spliceosome excises introns until the final mRNA product is reached. If we assume each of these steps takes place at a constant rate, the cascade of events can be depicted schematically as follows



where k_n is the gene transcription rate, k_{n-1}, \dots, k_0 the precursor mRNA processing rates, and d_n, \dots, d_0 the basal degradation rates of all mRNA products. The length of the cascade is determined by the number of introns n of the primary transcript. We will show that at steady-state and under certain conditions, each of the components of the mRNA cascade is Poisson-distributed, and the mRNA creation dynamics follows a homogeneous Poisson process. Consequently, (1) can be replaced by $\emptyset \xrightarrow{\tilde{k}_0} \text{mRNA} \xrightarrow{d_0} \emptyset$ if \tilde{k}_0 is chosen to match the effective production rate of mRNA at steady-state in (1).

2.2. siRNA biogenesis

A second example of a chain of RNA processing is the biogenesis of endogenous small interfering RNAs (siRNA). This process starts with the transcription of genes or transposable elements to single-stranded RNA (ssRNA). The ssRNA is then converted by RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RDR) to double-stranded RNA (dsRNA), which is in turn diced by Dicer enzymes to mature siRNAs. The biogenesis of exogenous siRNAs, involved for example in infections or transfections, is delocalized with only the last processing step taking place in situ where the siRNAs operate. In any case, if we assume that each step takes place at constant rate, then the siRNA cascade can be depicted as follows




This reaction scheme is analogous to the mRNA-processing chain (1) and can be simplified under certain conditions to a single birth-death process as discussed below.

Not included in this scheme is the biogenesis of trans-acting small interfering RNA (tasiRNA). For this particular class of siRNAs, the assumption of constant rate of production k_2 of ssRNA in (2) is invalid: the tasiRNA biogenesis initiates when fragments of post-transcriptionally regulated mRNAs, cleaved with the help of miRNAs, are converted to dsRNA by RDR [15, 16]. This process can fluctuate considerably resulting in temporal changes in the production rate k_2 of ssRNA [14].


2.3. miRNA biogenesis

MiRNAs originate from either intergenic DNA regions having their own promoters, or intragenic DNA regions of introns of protein-encoding genes [3]. The latter can vary in length: short intragenic fragments called mirtrons are processed directly by the spliceosome [17], whereas longer intragenic fragments are additionally processed by the microprocessor unit in animals [18], and by Dicer in plants [18, 15]. There is evidence that the processing order might matter, and also that the microprocessor unit and the spliceosome collaborate in their tasks [19]. The latter suggests that these two processing steps might not be entirely independent. When the microprocessor unit (or Dicer in plants) acts first, the intragenic miRNA biogenesis can be depicted as follows



where $\text{pri-miRNA}_{\text{pre-mRNA}}$ denotes the long RNA hairpin consisting of the primary miRNA intragenic element and the precursor mRNA fragment. If the spliceosome acts first on the long RNA hairpin it produces pri-miRNA from the intronic fragment, which is consequently processed into pre-miRNA by the microprocessor unit (or Dicer in plants). Mirtrons have identical biogenesis as (3) but shorter pri-miRNAs and the processing of the long primary RNA hairpin is performed by the spliceosome only [17].

Intergenic miRNA follows a similar biogenesis as intragenic miRNA:



The only difference between intergenic and intragenic miRNA biogenesis is the additional production of mRNA for the latter after the spliceosome excises all introns from the $\text{pri-miRNA}_{\text{pre-mRNA}}$ hairpin. Both (3) and (4) have similar structure as the mRNA cascade (1). When reaction rates are constant we show below that the miRNA cascade can be replaced by $\emptyset \xrightarrow{\tilde{k}_0} \text{miRNA} \xrightarrow{d_0} \emptyset$. If the host transcript in the intragenic miRNA biogenesis is also a member of the network investigated, then it is straightforward to include its biogenesis separately.

A complication outside the applicability of (3) arises if the mature intragenic miRNA regulates post-transcriptionally the host transcript. The majority of intragenic miRNAs investigated across species (80%) are predicted not to target their hosts [20]. On the other hand, the biogenesis of the remaining 20% couples the production rates of miRNA and target, and may force PTR to operate close to the so called “derepression threshold” [11, 21], where targets are expressed at levels that are just sufficient to overcome repression via PTR. In this regime of target expression and beyond, the RISC-formation and RISC-recycling processes play a prominent role: they control the strength of PTR-induced fluctuations in the target transcript levels. This effect is not treated here, as it belongs to the field of post-transcriptional regulation addressed elsewhere [14].

2.4. Unifying the RNA cascades under constant reaction rates

The mRNA (1) and sRNA (2-4) biogeneses under constant reaction rates are all special cases of the following generic cascade



Here, the processing steps are broken down into two sub-steps: one step involving the destruction of the ancestor precursor, and one step involving the creation of the new product. The reason we choose this representation is because it separates processes according to intermediate components. However, it should be remembered that both processing reactions in (5) are not independent rather take place simultaneously. For example, the process $x \xrightarrow{k_y} \emptyset$ never takes place without the partner reaction $x \xrightarrow{k_y} y$.

Below we show analytically that at steady-state the x , y , and z products of (5) are Poisson-distributed. The rest of the details in (1-4) not included in (5), for example the number of introns excised in (1), influence the average steady-state expression level of the mature product, *i.e.*, the mean value of the Poisson distribution. All else being equal, the expression levels of two mature mRNAs for example, might be different if one has introns in its precursor and the other does not, but they are both going to be Poisson-distributed at steady-state if processing rates per precursor mRNA are constant.

2.5. Solution of the RNA biogenesis under constant reaction rates

The master equation describing the generic RNA biogenesis (5) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d\rho_{x,y,z}}{dt} = & [k_x (\mathcal{E}_x^- - 1) + d_x (\mathcal{E}_x^+ - 1) x + k_y (\mathcal{E}_x^+ \mathcal{E}_y^- - 1) x \\
 & + d_y (\mathcal{E}_y^+ - 1) y + k_z (\mathcal{E}_y^+ \mathcal{E}_z^- - 1) y + d_z (\mathcal{E}_z^+ - 1) z] \rho_{x,y,z}, \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_{x,y,z}(t)$ is the probability for the molecular numbers in the system at a given time to be x, y, z . The shift operators \mathcal{E}^\pm are defined by $\mathcal{E}_n^\pm g(n) = g(n \pm 1)$, where

$n \in \{x, y, z\}$. Using the generating function $f(r, s, q, t) = \sum_{x,y,z} r^x s^y q^z \rho_{x,y,z}(t)$ in (6) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(r, s, q, t)}{\partial t} &= k_x(r-1)f(r, s, q, t) + [d_x(1-r) + k_y(s-r)] \frac{\partial f(r, s, q, t)}{\partial r} \\ &+ [d_y(1-s) + k_z(q-s)] \frac{\partial f(r, s, q, t)}{\partial s} + d_z(1-q) \frac{\partial f(r, s, q, t)}{\partial q}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

At steady-state the product of three generating functions of Poisson distributions $f(r, s, q) = e^{\langle x \rangle (r-1)} e^{\langle y \rangle (s-1)} e^{\langle z \rangle (q-1)}$ solves (7) when

$$\langle x \rangle = \frac{k_x}{d_x + k_y}, \quad \langle y \rangle = \frac{k_y \langle x \rangle}{d_y + k_z}, \quad \langle z \rangle = \frac{k_z \langle y \rangle}{d_z}. \quad (8)$$

Consequently, the steady-state distribution of z in the cascade (5) is identical to the steady-state distribution of z in the single birth-death process $\emptyset \xrightarrow{\tilde{k}_z} z \xrightarrow{d_z} \emptyset$, where $\tilde{k}_z = k_z \langle y \rangle$. As expected for Poisson-distributed quantities, we find $\langle r^2 \rangle - \langle r \rangle^2 = \langle r \rangle$ for $r = \{x, y, z\}$, and computing the Fano factor F_r , defined as the variance over the mean of the random variable r , we obtain $F_x = F_y = F_z = 1$.

So far we have proved that at steady-state the mature product of (5) is Poisson-distributed. However, we have not shown that the production statistics of z at steady-state in (5) is identical to the production statistics of z for a constant effective rate \tilde{k}_z . This question will be addressed after we investigate the case of RNA biogenesis with fluctuating processing rates.

2.6. Synergistic or antagonistic effects of polymorphisms in sRNA biogenesis

Processing variation in the sRNA biogenesis can be two-fold: (i) the same precursor sRNA can be processed differently by the RNA-processing enzymes producing several isophorms of the mature sRNA [3], or (ii) different precursor sRNAs from different loci or different alleles across species can have different processing rates but produce identical mature sRNAs [22, 23, 24, 25]. In the first case, the processing variation affects the efficiency of recruitment of the mature sRNA isoforms by the Argonaute proteins [26], and consequently the recycling rate of recruited mature sRNAs after they have catalyzed a transcript-targeting event [5, 27]. Both of these effects have been addressed elsewhere [14]. Here, we investigate the case of differences in the processing rates between two precursor sRNAs that give rise to identical mature sRNA products.

We assume two miRNA alleles ($miR_{1,2}$) produce identical mature miRNA products, but have differences in the transcription and processing rates of their respective pri-miRNAs. In particular, we assume that miR_1 is transcribed at a faster rate than miR_2 ($k_{x_1} > k_{x_2}$) but the pri-miRNA of miR_1 is processed at a slower rate ($k_{y_1} < k_{y_2}$). Is it possible that the steady-state copy-number z_1 of the mature miRNA product of miR_1 to be less than z_2 , the identical corresponding mature miRNA product of miR_2 ? The ratio of the steady-state expression levels of (8) yields

$$\frac{z_1}{z_2} = \frac{k_{x_1}}{k_{x_2}} \frac{1 + d_x/k_{y_2}}{1 + d_x/k_{y_1}}. \quad (9)$$

As long as $\text{pri-mi}R_1$ is processed at a lower rate than $\text{pri-mi}R_2$ ($k_{y_1} < k_{y_2}$), and despite the fact that $\text{mi}R_1$ is transcribed at a higher rate than $\text{mi}R_2$ ($k_{x_1} > k_{x_2}$), the steady-state expression level of $\text{mat-mi}R_2$ can still be higher ($z_1 < z_2$). Similar results are obtained if variation is present in the processing rates of the precursor miRNAs instead of the primary miRNAs. In other words, the effects of polymorphisms in the transcription of sRNAs can be reversed or enhanced with the appearance of polymorphisms in their processing steps.

2.7. RNA biogenesis with fluctuating processing rates

So far we considered mRNA and sRNA biogeneses with constant reaction rates and showed that at steady-state the mature RNA is Poisson-distributed. Now we consider changes in the processing rates due to fluctuations in enzyme copy-numbers. We work with the generic RNA cascade (5).

We define at a given instant the number of molecules of the enzymes that process RNA transcripts at the first and second step in (5) as α and β , respectively. The RNA biogenesis which takes into consideration variations in enzyme copy-number becomes



where essentially the replacements $k_y \rightarrow k_y \alpha$ and $k_z \rightarrow k_z \beta$ are made in (5). That is, k_y is now the constant conversion rate per x molecule and per α enzyme, however the processing rate $k_y \alpha(t)$ per x molecule fluctuates over time. The same applies for the processing rate $k_z \beta(t)$ per y molecule.

We denote as $1/\kappa$ the characteristic time scale over which enzyme expression level variation occurs. If this characteristic time scale is much slower than the dynamics associated with the biogenesis of RNA, then we can use the results of (8) for given values of α , β and ensemble-average over the equilibrium distributions of α , β . The Fano factors F_r for $r = \{x, y, z\}$ are given by

$$F_r = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta} [\langle r^2 \rangle] - \mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta} [\langle r \rangle]^2}{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta} [\langle r \rangle]} = 1 + \frac{k_x}{d_x} \mathbb{F}[S_r], \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta} [\cdot]$ indicates averaging over the equilibrium distributions of α , β , and $\mathbb{F}[S_r]$ are emerging Fano factors of the stochastic variables S_r listed below


$$S_x = \frac{1}{1 + k_y \alpha / d_x}, \quad S_y = \frac{k_y \alpha / d_y}{1 + k_y \alpha / d_x} \frac{1}{1 + k_z \beta / d_y}, \quad S_z = \frac{k_y \alpha / d_y}{1 + k_y \alpha / d_x} \frac{k_z \beta / d_z}{1 + k_z \beta / d_y}. \quad (12)$$

No assumption has been made about the particular form of the distributions of α , β . The only assumptions are: (i) the distribution of enzyme expression levels is in steady-state, and (ii) the enzyme biogenesis dynamics is slower than the RNA biogenesis dynamics,

allowing us to employ the adiabatic approximation. Formally, the latter condition is expressed as $\kappa \ll \max\{k_y\alpha, k_z\beta, d_x, d_y, d_z\}$.

The linear dependence on the transcription rate k_x of the Fano factors in (11) is unusual. The copy numbers of the x and y substrates given by (8) are proportional to the transcription rate k_x . However, for fixed α, β enzyme copy-numbers we found that fluctuations in the substrates are Poissonian with unity Fano factors independent of k_x . How do fluctuations in α, β introduce a linear dependence on k_x in (11)? The answer lies in the way enzyme copy-number fluctuations imprint on substrates. Any change in α or β during time intervals that are similar or longer than the typical time interval of substrate biogenesis is felt by the corresponding substrate. The strength of this change is always related to the abundance of the corresponding substrate which in turn is proportional to k_x . Therefore, the more abundant that substrate become, the larger that the effect of enzyme copy-number fluctuations becomes on them as well. Furthermore, the strength of this effect depends also on the timescale of enzyme production. For example, faster enzyme copy-number fluctuations have less of an effect over the longer time scales of substrate biogenesis. In this case, processing of the substrate seems to be taking place under almost constant rates and the Fano factors of (11) tend to unity. On the other hand, slower fluctuations in the enzyme biogeneses induce slower changes in the processing rates, which are perceived by the substrates and render their biogeneses more noisy.

The theoretical results of (11-12) for the strength of fluctuations in the RNA cascade are independent of the details of the enzyme biogenesis. However, in numerical simulations we limit for simplicity the enzyme biogenesis to the following birth-death processes



where A, B are the enzymatic expression levels at steady-state, and as already mentioned, $1/\kappa$ governs the time scale during which enzyme expression levels remain constant. In Figure 1 we numerically verify the predictions of (11) based on the enzyme biogeneses of (13). We show the linear dependence on k_x of the Fano factors computed from 10^5 simulations of (10-13) with x, y and z collected after the system reached a steady-state. The typical parameters used for the simulations correspond to the siRNA biogenesis of *Salmonella* [28]. It is well-known that prokaryotes and eukaryotes have different sRNA biogeneses, however differences are quantitative rather than qualitative. If one replaces the microprocessor/Dicer activity with the Cascade/Cas activity [4], then (4,10) are still applicable. We numerically simulated (10-13) also in the range of parameters associated with mammalian mRNA expression [29], and miRNA activity in mammals [30, 31, 32], and obtained similar results in each case (data not shown).

In the main plot of Figure 1 Fano factors order according to $F_z < F_x < F_y$ for a great range of transcription rate values and for a significant range of the speed of fluctuations in enzyme copy-numbers ($\kappa \geq 0.1/\text{h}$). It seems that the two-step RNA processing cascade (10) amplifies fluctuations in y but filters fluctuations in z under

certain conditions and to a certain extent. Fluctuations in the intermediate product y are expected to be stronger than fluctuations in the transcript x , because both the birth and death rates of y vary with time, whereas only the death rate of x varies with time. However, the birth rate of the mature product z follows closely the fluctuations that y undergoes. One would expect the possibility $F_z > F_y$ to arise as well, if for example it is more probable for the mature RNA product to degrade than be produced. These observations are reflected in (12) also, where S_x depends only on α , whereas both S_y , S_z depend on α and β . In fact, S_y and S_z differ only by the term $S_z/S_y = k_z\beta/d_z$. This term, along with the speed of enzyme fluctuations κ , determine the differences observed among F_y and F_z in Figure 1. For given κ , if $k_z\beta/d_z \gg 1$ then fluctuations in the ancestor substrates are amplified in the mature RNA product, whereas noise filtering takes place if $k_z\beta/d_z \ll 1$. This is shown at the inset of Figure 1 corresponding to $\kappa = 0.1/\text{h}$ and for twice as stable mature RNA product ($d_z = 0.5/\text{h}$) as simulated in the main plot. Here, we observe $F_x \simeq F_y < F_z$: the RNA cascade amplifies the strength of fluctuations in the mature RNA product (blue lines). However, when the processing rate is reduced $k_z\beta \ll d_z$, the situation reverses again (red lines) and $F_x \simeq F_y > F_z$. The RNA cascade buffers the noise in the expression level of the mature RNA product as is also observed in the main plot of the figure.

The majority of mature RNA products are expected to be more stable than their precursors. For example, mature miRNAs when loaded to Argonaute proteins are stabilized, and in certain cases in vitro half-lives become longer than a day [32, 33]. Mature transcripts are also protected by 5'-capping and polyadenylation. Thus for most cases it is expected $d_z < \{d_x, d_y\}$, and for the RNA cascade to mostly amplify fluctuations in the expression of the mature product. On the other hand, if there exist cases where the processing rate per precursor molecule is lower than d_z , or the mature RNA product is unusually unstable, then the RNA cascade will operate in the reverse regime and reduce the strength of fluctuations in the expression level of this mature RNA product.

2.8. Dynamics of production events in the RNA cascade

We have shown that in the absence of enzymatic copy-number fluctuations any product of the cascade (5) is Poisson-distributed at steady-state. Does this mean that the dynamics of z production in (5) is identical to the dynamics of a homogeneous Poisson process of rate \tilde{k}_z ? Below we explain why this is correct. For simplicity, let us investigate a variation of (5) consisting only of a single processing step



The addition of more processing steps is straightforward to handle. Since enzyme copy-numbers in (14) are fixed, x and y are Poisson-distributed at steady-state. Additionally, the dynamics of x is a birth-death process $\emptyset \xrightarrow{k_x} x \xrightarrow{k_y+d_x} \emptyset$ with constant birth and death rates. In other words, the creation of x molecules is a homogeneous Poisson process.

Once an x particle is created, it either decays, or after a time interval it is converted into a y molecule. For constant processing rates, this time interval is exponentially distributed and the time instants of y -creation events become uniformly distributed. Considering all such y -creation events originating from the rest of the x molecules, introduces random shifts into the time intervals of y -creation events. However, the distribution of the time instants of y -creation events remains uniform, and the underlying y -creation process remains a homogeneous Poisson process with a rate of $k_y \langle x \rangle$. Therefore, the statistics of the process $\emptyset \xrightarrow{k_y x(t)} y$ becomes identical to the statistics generated by the process $\emptyset \xrightarrow{k_y \langle x \rangle} y$.

The situation is different when the copy-number of the processing enzyme $\alpha(t)$ fluctuates over time, leading to changes in the x -processing rate $k_y \alpha(t)$. All x molecules present at a given time are either processed at a higher or at a lower rate depending on the value of $\alpha(t)$. According to our discussion so far, within the time interval of the order of $1/\kappa$ where $\alpha(t)$ is constant, all y -creation events follow a homogeneous Poisson process of rate $k_y \alpha(t) \langle x \rangle$. As $\alpha(t)$ changes however, this rate changes also, resulting to inhomogeneities of size of the order of $1/\kappa$ in the overall distribution of y -creation times. Consequently, the homogeneous Poisson process $\emptyset \xrightarrow{k_y \langle \alpha x \rangle} y$ with uniformly distributed in time y -creation events produces different statistics than the process $\emptyset \xrightarrow{k_y \alpha(t) x(t)} y$.

2.9. Autocorrelation function of the mature RNA product

The autocorrelation function $C_z(\tau) = \langle z(t+\tau)z(t) \rangle - \langle z(t+\tau) \rangle \langle z(t) \rangle$ is a measure that identifies temporal correlations in the copy-number of the mature RNA product z in the cascades (5,10). When $\tau \rightarrow 0$, one expects $z(t+\tau)$ to be highly correlated with $z(t)$. When $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ one expects of them to decorrelate, that is, one expects all “memory” of the value of $z(t)$ to be lost when we resample z at a much later time-point.

In Figure 2 we plot $C_z(\tau)/C_z(0)$ for three different systems: (i) the simple multistep cascade (5) with constant reaction rates, (ii) a single birth-death process of constant rates $\emptyset \xrightarrow{\tilde{k}_z} z \xrightarrow{d_z} \emptyset$ with $\tilde{k}_z = k_z \langle y \rangle$ and $\langle y \rangle$ taken from (i) at steady-state, and (iii) the full multistep cascade (10) with a speed of enzyme copy-number fluctuations determined by $\kappa = 0.1/\text{h}$. For any range of τ , there is no significant difference in the autocorrelation function between (5) and a single birth-death process of constant rates. In line with our previous discussion however, significant differences appear when enzymatic fluctuations are included. In this case, enzyme fluctuations taking place over $1/\kappa$ -sized time intervals affect the corresponding substrates. The memory of this effect across all z is embedded in the autocorrelation function: temporal correlations survive over intervals of the order of $1/\kappa$.

3. Discussion

RNA biogenesis is a multi-step cascading process for protein-encoding transcripts or sRNAs alike. The output of one process becomes the input of the next one until the final

mature product is reached. We showed that when reactions in the RNA cascades occur at constant rates, then the mature products undergo single-step birth-death biogeneses of constant effective rates and they are Poisson-distributed at steady-state. This simple picture breaks down when there are fluctuations in the copy-numbers of enzymes that mediate RNA-processing. Enzymatic fluctuations induce fluctuations in the processing rates per corresponding substrate molecule. We showed that Fano factors of the RNA cascade's products increase linearly with the transcription rate, irrespective of the form of the steady-state distribution of the copy-numbers of enzymes participating in the processing steps.

Post-transcriptional regulation is a significant part of sRNA biogenesis. Mature sRNAs are recruited by Argonaute proteins in order to form the so called RNA-induced silencing complexes (RISCs) [3]. As already mentioned, RISCs are the units that mediate PTR, but also ensure the stability of sRNAs, rendering them important elements of the sRNA biogenesis. Additionally, early sRNA processing steps can be affected in numerous ways via feedback regulation. One example is miR162 regulating DCL1; further examples include miR168 regulating the Argonaute protein AGO1, and miR403 regulating AGO2 [7]. Furthermore, there is abundant evidence of feedback regulation to sRNA genes by their transcription factor targets [34]. All of this dynamics remained outside the scope of our analysis, as it involves PTR rather than the sRNA biogenesis. However, if feedback regulates the transcription of sRNA only, then the conclusions of our analysis are still applicable. If enzyme fluctuations are negligible, one can replace the sRNA cascade with a single birth-death process and incorporate the feedback regulation into the sRNA birth process. If on the other hand, like the case of miR162 and DCL1, feedback regulation takes place in sRNA processing, then clearly the processing details matter and need to be included. This can be the topic of a future study of feedback dynamics within the RNA-processing cascade. Finally, many RNA subclasses are not mentioned in this work. For example, piwi-interacting RNAs, small nucleolar RNAs, or small nuclear RNAs, all have distinct biogeneses and functionalities [35]. It is clear, that if these RNA subclasses follow processing chains like (5), or the more general (10), then our results are applicable for them also.

Single nucleotide polymorphisms affect the processing rate of precursors of sRNAs and impact on the expression level of the mature products [25]. Naturally, transcriptional variation in sRNA induces also variation in the production of mature sRNAs. However, based on our analysis of the steady-state expression levels in the sRNA biogenesis, we predict that the effect of transcriptional variation can be enhanced, reduced, or even reversed by the presence of variation in sRNA processing.

Our analysis showed that the RNA cascade largely amplifies the noise in the expression level of mature RNA products, which are assumed to be more stable than their precursors. However, we showed it is also possible for the RNA cascade to reduce the noise in the expression level of unusually unstable mature RNA products, or for those mature products with inefficiently processed precursors.

In summary, we investigated the RNA processing cascade and found universal

characteristics in the steady-state dynamics for different RNA species. If processing steps take place at constant rates, then the mature RNA biogenesis can be modeled at steady-state as a single constant rate birth-death process. Variation in the processing rates induces additional noise fluctuations to the RNA cascade and the single birth-death process approximation breaks down. Finally, we showed that polymorphisms in the processing rates can act synergistically or antagonistically to polymorphisms in the transcription rates of RNA. Our work offers a framework to understand better the dynamics of RNA biogenesis.

4. Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) grant SFB 680, by the BMBF-SysMO2 grant and by SyBaCol.




Figure 1. Strength of fluctuations in RNA biogenesis depend linearly on the RNA transcription rate. The Fano factors of x , y , and z in (10) are plotted as functions of the transcription rate k_x . The parameter values used are typical for the siRNA biogenesis in *Salmonella* [28]: $k_y A = k_z B = d_x = d_y = d_z = 1/h$, $A = B = 500$. In the main plot the rate κ of the biogenesis of the RNA-processing enzymes is varied. Blue lines correspond to $\kappa = 0.1/h$, brown lines correspond to $\kappa = 1/h$, and red lines to $\kappa = 10/h$. The horizontal black line indicates the range of unity Fano factors predicted by (6) for Poissonian statistics. Variation in enzyme copy-numbers induces fluctuations in the substrates, whose amplitude depends linearly on the RNA transcription rate. For $\kappa \geq 0.1/h$ and for $k_x \gg 1/\text{min}$ we find $F_z < F_x < F_y$: the RNA cascade buffers the fluctuations in z . However in the inset, we plot substrate Fano factors for twice as stable mature RNA ($d_z = 0.5/h$) and for $\kappa = 0.1/h$ and find $F_x \simeq F_y < F_z$ (blue lines): the RNA cascade amplifies the strength of fluctuations in z . If on the other hand, the average processing rate of this stable mature RNA is also reduced ($k_z B = 0.1/h$), the relation $F_x \simeq F_y > F_z$ is restored (red lines) and the RNA cascade buffers again the fluctuations in z .




Figure 2. Autocorrelation function of the mature product in the RNA cascade. The normalized autocorrelation function of the mature product z is evaluated at steady-state for different time-points and ensemble-averaged over 10^4 realizations of the system. Identical parameters as in Figure 1 are used. The transcription rate of x is set to $k_x = 20/\text{min}$. We plot $C_z(\tau)/C_z(0)$ for (i) the simple cascade (5) (red solid line), (ii) a single birth-death process with identical z -degradation rate as in (i) and z -creation rate equal to the average creation rate in (i) (dark-blue dashed line), and (iii) the full cascade (10) with fluctuating enzyme numbers ($\kappa = 0.1/\text{h}$) and otherwise identical parameters as in (i) (light-blue dash-dotted line). At constant rates, there is no distinction in the dynamics between (i) and (ii). If enzyme fluctuations are included, then the dynamics becomes more complex and correlations survive over longer times of the order of $1/\kappa$.

5. References

- [1] Markus C. Wahl, Cindy L. Will, and Reinhard Lührmann. The Spliceosome: Design Principles of a Dynamic RNP Machine. *Cell*, 136(4):701–718, 2009.
- [2] Cindy L Will and Reinhard Lührmann. Spliceosome structure and function. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, 3(7), 2011.
- [3] Jacek Krol, Inga Loedige, and Witold Filipowicz. The widespread regulation of microRNA biogenesis, function and decay. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 11(9):597–610, 2010.

- [4] John van der Oost and Stan J.J. Brouns. RNAi: Prokaryotes Get in on the Act. *Cell*, 139(5):863–865, 2009.
- [5] Matthew W. Jones-Rhoades, David P. Bartel, and Bonnie Bartel. MicroRNAs and Their Regulatory Roles in Plants. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 57(1):19–53, 2006.
- [6] V. Narry Kim, Jinju Han, and Mikiko C. Siomi. Biogenesis of small RNAs in animals. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 10(2):126–139, 2009.
- [7] Edwards Allen, Zhixin Xie, Adam M. Gustafson, and James C. Carrington. microRNA-Directed Phasing during Trans-Acting siRNA Biogenesis in Plants. *Cell*, 121(2):207–221, 2005.
- [8] Mukund Thattai and Alexander van Oudenaarden. Intrinsic noise in gene regulatory networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 98(15):8614–8619, 2001.
- [9] Vahid Shahrezaei and Peter S Swain. Analytical Distributions for Stochastic Gene Expression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(45):17256–17261, 2008.
- [10] Yishai Shimoni, Gilgi Friedlander, Guy Hetzroni, Gali Niv, Shoshy Altuvia, Ofer Biham, and Hanah Margalit. Regulation of gene expression by small non-coding RNAs: a quantitative view. *Mol Syst Biol*, 3, 2007.
- [11] Erel Levine and Terence Hwa. Small RNAs establish gene expression thresholds. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 11(6):574–579, 2008.
- [12] Yue Hao, Liufang Xu, and Hualin Shi. Theoretical Analysis of Catalytic-sRNA-Mediated Gene Silencing. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 406(1):195–204, 2011.
- [13] Shangying Wang and Sridhar Raghavachari. Quantifying negative feedback regulation by microRNAs. *Physical Biology*, 8(5):055002, 2011.
- [14] F. D. Klironomos and J. Berg. Quantitative Analysis of Competition in Posttranscriptional Regulation Reveals a Novel Signature in Target Expression Variation. *Biophysical Journal*, 104(4):951–958, 2013.
- [15] Ramya Rajagopalan, Hervé Vaucheret, Jerry Trejo, and David P. Bartel. A diverse and evolutionarily fluid set of microRNAs in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genes & Development*, 20(24):3407–3425, 2006.
- [16] Ho-Ming Chen, Li-Teh Chen, Kanu Patel, Yi-Hang Li, David C. Baulcombe, and Shu-Hsing Wu. 22-nucleotide RNAs trigger secondary siRNA biogenesis in plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(34):15269–15274, 2010.
- [17] Jakub O. Westholm and Eric C. Lai. Mirtrons: microRNA biogenesis via splicing. *Biochimie*, 93(11):1897–1904, 2011.
- [18] Jian-Kang Zhu. Reconstituting plant miRNA biogenesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(29):9851–9852, 2008.
- [19] Maja M. Janas, Mehdi Khaled, Steffen Schubert, Jacob G. Bernstein, David Golan, Rosa A. Veguilla, David E. Fisher, Noam Shomron, Carmit Levy, and Carl D. Novina. Feed-Forward Microprocessing and Splicing Activities at a MicroRNA-Containing Intron. *PLoS Genet*, 7(10):e1002330, 2011.
- [20] Hinske, Ludwig CG and Galante, Pedro AF and Kuo, Winston P. and Ohno-Machado, Lucila. A potential role for intragenic miRNAs on their hosts’ interactome. *BMC Genomics*, 11(1):533, 2010.
- [21] Shankar Mukherji, Margaret S Ebert, Grace X Y Zheng, John S Tsang, Phillip A Sharp, and Alexander van Oudenaarden. MicroRNAs can generate thresholds in target gene expression. *Nat Genet*, 43(9):854–859, 2011.
- [22] Zhixin Xie, Edwards Allen, Noah Fahlgren, Adam Calamar, Scott A. Givan, and James C. Carrington. Expression of *Arabidopsis* MIRNA Genes. *Plant Physiology*, 138(4):2145–2154, August 2005.
- [23] Wei Li, Xiao Cui, Zhaolu Meng, Xiahe Huang, Qi Xie, Heng Wu, Hailing Jin, Dabing Zhang, and Wanqi Liang. Transcriptional Regulation of *Arabidopsis* MIR168a and ARGONAUTE1 Homeostasis in Abscisic Acid and Abiotic Stress Responses. *Plant Physiology*, 158(3):1279–

- 1292, 2012.
- [24] Juliette de Meaux, Jin-Yong Hu, Ute Tartler, and Ulrike Goebel. Structurally different alleles of the ath-MIR824 microRNA precursor are maintained at high frequency in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(26):8994–8999, 2008.
- [25] Marco Todesco, Sureshkumar Balasubramanian, Jun Cao, Felix Ott, Sridevi Sureshkumar, Korbinian Schneeberger, RhondaChristiane Meyer, Thomas Altmann, and Detlef Weigel. Natural Variation in Biogenesis Efficiency of Individual *Arabidopsis thaliana* MicroRNAs. *Current Biology*, 22(2):166–170, 2012.
- [26] Prasanna Kumar Juvvuna, Piyush Khandelia, Li Ming Lee, and Eugene V. Makeyev. Argonaute identity defines the length of mature mammalian microRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 40(14):6808–6820, 2012.
- [27] Benjamin Haley and Phillip D Zamore. Kinetic analysis of the RNAi enzyme complex. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*, 11(7):599–606, 2004.
- [28] Overgaard, Martin and Johansen, Jesper and Møller-Jensen, Jakob and Valentin-Hansen, Poul. Switching off small RNA regulation with trapmRNA. *Molecular Microbiology*, 73(5):790–800, 2009.
- [29] Bjorn Schwanhäusser, Dorothea Busse, Na Li, Gunnar Dittmar, Johannes Schuchhardt, Jana Wolf, Wei Chen, and Matthias Selbach. Global quantification of mammalian gene expression control. *Nature*, 473(7347):337–342, 2011.
- [30] Matthias Selbach, Bjorn Schwanhäusser, Nadine Thierfelder, Zhuo Fang, Raya Khanin, and Nikolaus Rajewsky. Widespread changes in protein synthesis induced by microRNAs. *Nature*, 455(7209):58–63, 2008.
- [31] Huili Guo, Nicholas T. Ingolia, Jonathan S. Weissman, and David P. Bartel. Mammalian microRNAs predominantly act to decrease target mRNA levels. *Nature*, 466(7308):835–840, 2010.
- [32] Alessia Baccarini, Hemangini Chauhan, Thomas J. Gardner, Anitha D. Jayaprakash, Ravi Sachidanandam, and Brian D. Brown. Kinetic Analysis Reveals the Fate of a MicroRNA following Target Regulation in Mammalian Cells. *Current Biology*, 21(5):369–376, 2011.
- [33] Michael P. Gantier, Claire E. McCoy, Irina Rusinova, Damien Saulep, Die Wang, Dakang Xu, Aaron T. Irving, Mark A. Behlke, Paul J. Hertzog, Fabienne Mackay, and Bryan R. G. Williams. Analysis of microRNA turnover in mammalian cells following Dicer1 ablation. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 39:5692–5703, 2011.
- [34] Dominik Lutter, Carsten Marr, Jan Krumsiek, Elmar W. Lang, and Fabian J. Theis. Intronic microRNAs support their host genes by mediating synergistic and antagonistic regulatory effects. *BMC Genomics*, 11(1):224, 2010.
- [35] Sònia Guil and Manel Esteller. Cis-acting noncoding RNAs: friends and foes. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, 19(11):1068–1075, 2012.