

Reward and adversity processing circuits, their competition and interactions with dopamine and serotonin signaling.

Karin Vadovičová, Roberto Gasparotti
Neuroradiology, P.le Spedali Civili 1, 25123 Brescia, Italy. email: vadovick@tcd.ie

Abstract

We propose that dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC), anterior insula (AI) and adjacent caudolateral orbitofrontal cortex (cOFC), project to lateral habenula (LHb) and D2 loop of ventral striatum (VS), forming a functional adversity processing circuit, directed towards inhibitory avoidance and self-control. This circuit learns what is bad or harmful to us, evaluates and predicts risks - to stop us from selecting and going/moving for the bad or suboptimal choices that decrease our well-being and survival chances.

Proposed role of dACC is to generate a WARNING signal when things are going (or might end) bad or wrong to prevent negative consequences: pain, harm, loss or failure. The AI signals about bad, low, noxious and aversive qualities, which might make us sick or cause discomfort.

These cortical adversity processing regions activate directly and indirectly (via D2 loop of VS) the LHb, which then inhibits dopamine and serotonin release (and is reciprocally inhibited by VTA/SNc, DRN) to avoid choosing and doing things leading to harm or loss, but also to make us feel worse, even down when overstimulated. We propose that dopamine attenuates output of the adversity processing circuit, thus decreasing inhibitory avoidance and self-control, while serotonin attenuates dACC, AI, cOFC, D1 loop of VS, LHb, amygdala and pain pathway.

Thus, by reciprocal inhibition, by causing dopamine and serotonin suppression - and by being suppressed by them, the adversity processing circuit competes with reward processing circuit for control of choice behaviour and affective states. We propose stimulating effect of dopamine and calming inhibitory effect of serotonin on the active avoidance circuit involving amygdala, linked to threat processing, anger, fear, self-defense and violence. We describe causes and roles of dopamine and serotonin signaling in health and in mental dysfunctions. We add new idea on ventral ACC role in signaling that we are doing well and inducing serotonin, when we gain/reach safety, comfort, valuable resources (social or biological rewards), affection and achieve goals.

Selected abbreviations and acronyms

AI	anterior insula	mOFC	medial orbitofrontal cortex
APC	adversity processing circuit	NA	noradrenaline
BNST	bed nucleus of stria terminalis	NAc	nucleus accumbens
CeA	central nucleus of amygdala	PAG	periaqueductal grey
cOFC	caudolateral orbitofrontal cortex	PFC	prefrontal cortex
DA	dopamine	PVN	paraventricular nucleus of hypothalamus
dACC	dorsal anterior cingulate cortex	RLPFC	rostrolateral prefrontal cortex
DS	dorsal striatum	RMTN	rostromedial tegmental nucleus
DRN/MRN	dorsal/median raphe nucleus	RPC	reward-processing circuit
GPe	external globus pallidus	SNc	substantia nigra pars compacta
GPI	internal globus pallidus	vACC	ventral anterior cingulate cortex
LC	locus coeruleus	VS	ventral striatum
LHb	lateral habenula	VTA/SNc	ventro tegmental area/substantia nigra compacta
MDT	mediodorsal thalamus	5-HT	serotonin

Adversity processing neural circuit - directed towards self-control, inhibitory avoidance and de-selection of the harmful and suboptimal choices.

We will characterize properties of the adversity processing circuit (APC), causally affecting value-based decision making,

affective control of choice behaviour, few mental disorders and well-being. We claim that the APC is in competition with the reward processing circuit (RPC) in guiding goal-directed behavior because APC directly and indirectly attenuates and competes with RPC - via its reciprocal connections, VS projections and suppression of dopamine and serotonin release. Activation of APC causes inhibitory avoidance and de-selection of bad, harmful or suboptimal choices. By suppressing the reward circuit, plus dopamine and serotonin signaling - the APC biases our thoughts, decisions, actions, emotions, motivation and learning.

Proposed circuit includes dACC, AI, adjacent cOFC, D2 loop of VS, GPe, subthalamic nucleus, ventral GPi and LHb. AI and dACC are reciprocally connected, form part of the pain pathway at its top - evaluative processing level, and are commonly co-activated in the NoGo task (inhibitory control task where the pre-potent response must get inhibited withdrawn at the occurrence of infrequent cue).




Figure 1. The competition between reward and adversity processing circuit in choice behaviour. Our model of value-based learning shows how the affective processing causes selection of good/valuable and de-selection of bad/harmful choices, by controlling dopamine and serotonin signaling in the brain. The implicit bias/inclination for the 'Go for it' versus 'Stop yourself - avoid it' response is learned in the motivational ventral striatum (VS), through potentiation of cortical glutamatergic synapses by dopamine increase (at D1 loop) or its decrease (at D2 loop). Reward and adversity processing circuits are marked green and red. D1 and D2 neurons in VS are intermixed. The D1 neurons of VS disinhibit dopamine neurons by inhibiting the GABA interneurons in VTA. The indirect D2 loop (in orange) biases the choice selection toward inhibitory avoidance. The prefrontal projections of mediodorsal thalamus (MDT) enforce the representations of choices and goals in working memory, as part of cortico-striato-thalamo-cortical loop. Dopamine source VTA is marked green, serotonin source DRN yellow. The projections with excitatory effects are brown, with inhibitory effect are grey. Dopamine attenuates the output of the adversity processing circuit (in red) and potentiates that of the reward processing circuit (in green). Serotonin attenuates the AI, dACC, LHb, GPi, SNr, STN and motivational D1 loop of VS and enforces vACC, SNc (via SNr), GPe.

Dorsal anterior cingulate cortex.

Dorsal ACC is involved in both willful and unconscious control of goal-directed behavior. Many studies demonstrated its activation by performance monitoring, by negative feedback and error detection – implying [1] it detects conditions under which errors are likely to occur. It responds to pain and to attention demanding tasks [3], during response conflict and

inhibition of pre-potent responses [4] and it activates the sympathetic system, heart rate and autonomic response to physical and mental stress [5], [6], [7]. The ACC was divided [8] based on its connectivity and control of goal-directed behaviour, into cognitive dorsal (BA 24', 32') and affective ventral (subcallosal) region (BA 25, 24, 33), with pregenual ACC in between. dACC was linked to premotor response selection to noxious stimuli, to effortful processing and motivation, while vACC to rest and parasympathetic activation [8]. We summed up what the numerous observed dACC activations have in common. It seems to identify danger, harm, potential risks and bad or suboptimal outcomes of our actions, decisions and predictions.

We propose that the main computational role of dACC is to generate a WARNING signal (Beware! Attention!) towards the real or predicted bad outcomes - to call for changes in the current course of actions and behaviour, to prevent pain, harm, loss or failure. Also to prod for re-thinking and updating the wrong or faulty strategies, predictions, guesses thus cognitive models of the world around. This warning signal in the dACC is issued when things are going bad for us - leading to negative consequences, to increase our precaution, engagement with the problem, attentional focus, cognitive-motor mobilization - to improve our performance and adjust the behaviour. Therefore the purpose of the dACC is to learn and predict the bad consequences of current actions and decisions, to warn us about harms, risks and failures (errors in actions, reasoning, thoughts, plans) to prevent bodily harm and personal loss. And to urge us to update/adjust the wrong, suboptimal or inappropriate behaviour, strategies, plans - when getting negative feedback. Thus the dACC detects danger and potential risk to prevent bad consequences and harm incurred by current actions, decisions and by behaviour yet to be conducted. The proposed warning signal, generated by the dACC, resembles the negative surprise or unexpected uncertainty signal [9] found to drive noradrenaline release. But we claim that the dACC warning signal is issued also in response to rewarding or attractive stimuli, if there is a need to react quickly – not to miss/lose the passing chance of gain (i.e. prodding fast reaction to passing pretty woman).

The dACC has all the connectivity needed - not only to identify the risky options and inadequate courses of actions to learn to avoid them, but also to react to potential danger, by recruiting the alarm response of brain and body. Dorsal dACC passes its warning signal via top-down projections to several effector regions (Fig. 2): to preSMA - to make a fast switch of current motor action, to STN - to stop inaccurate motor response, to frontal eye field and intraparietal cortex - to focus our visual and spatial attention, to noradrenergic and cholinergic nuclei to speed up reactivity and increase alertness, to sympathetic system to increase heart and breath rate in demanding situations, plus to striatum, Lhb and MDT to bias choice behaviour. It projects to the stress-related hypothalamic nucleus and reciprocally to the threat processing amygdala. It provokes, by its robust reciprocal projections with lateral and rostral prefrontal cortex, an update in failed predictions decisions or strategies - to switch away from wrong/inadequate behaviour to more optimal choices actions or performance. The RLPFC then seeks to find out more fitting model, prediction or solution for what is going on, that can be applied to reach our goals and avoid harm. It generates novel guesses, hypotheses, ideas about studied systems by figuring out relevant relations, extracting contingencies, invariances and rules (IF - THEN) and explaining incongruities in the facts. Testing the validity of new predictions involves the RLPFC, dACC and DS.




Figure 2. A causal role of dACC connectivity in enabling propagation of its warning signal.

The warning signal generated by dACC is forwarded to specific regions to induce caution, attention, arousal and cognitive-motor mobilization toward potential danger, to prevent suboptimal consequences. This signal is similar to 'Beware!' command and is evoked by

demanding or risky situations and tasks. preSMA, presupplementary motor area, LPFC, lateral prefrontal cortex, FEF, frontal eye field, IPS, intraparietal sulcus, LC/NA, locus coeruleus/noradrenaline, sympathetic, sympathetic system, MDT, mediodorsal thalamus, PPT, pedunculopontine nucleus, PAG, periaqueductal grey.

The more something matters to us (the more we value it), the stronger the warning signal (and worries) issued by dACC when we might lose it or fail (loss of resources, loved ones, social bonds, time, health, prospects, job). So the strength of dACC recruitment will depend on our subjective values and preferences. This warning signal is the possible source of error-related negativity (ERN), an event related potential [10] evoked by negative feedbacks and errors.

An example for this dACC warning function is the study [11] which found that dACC stimulation elicits emotional vocalization in monkeys and humans, while its lesions affect voluntary control of emotional intonation in humans. This warning signal might project directly to the alarm announcing vocalization circuits, to swiftly warn the conspecifics of impending danger. Another evidence for the warning role of the dACC is its activation during subjective experience of social rejection [12] and the impaired avoidance learning of harmful stimuli in dACC lesioned animals [13].

The majority of studies results support our claim that the dACC detects when things go bad for us and generates warning signal in both conscious and unconscious monitoring of risky situations and prospects. The dACC is selective to learn and warn about affectively bad choices and consequences (pain, harm, loss, failure) and about cognitively wrong (failing) predictions, actions or behaviour. So dACC is causally involved in self-control, as it identifies and helps to avoid (stop going for) the bad or suboptimal choices and decisions, which could decrease our survival chances and well-being. Dorsal ACC is known to be activated by negative affect and cognitive control [14], social and physical pain [15], with its response correlated to the frustration felt by participants about their errors in stop-signal task [16]. So pain, bad outcomes and generally any things which went wrong - and we care - are assessed by dACC, leading to inner warning, alarm response, worries and negative affect of distress. Further findings supporting our claim come from dACC lesioned patients [17], which do not increase sympathetic activity towards mental stress. Demanding situations and danger normally activate sympathetic system via dACC, to increase heart and respiration rate. When dACC is in overdrive i.e. by existential chronic worries or by dysfunctionally low dopamine, serotonin or opioid levels, then the repeated warnings if not attenuated by vACC output, cause anxiety by lowering baseline dopamine and serotonin. Because of its warning role toward potentially harmful or suboptimal outcomes, the dACC responds strongly in conflicting, costly, ambiguous or uncertain situations - as they are prone to lead to errors, loss or harm. They contain higher risks of selecting incorrect or inadequate option or using a wrong cognitive model of the world to act upon - if solving insufficiently defined task or guessing the right response from incomplete information. For the pgACC, active in regret, sorrow and sadness tasks, we predict similar LHb and VS projections as for dACC.

Anterior insula.

Multiple studies showed anterior insula activation in pain [18], discomfort, disgust [19], aversion [20], empathy with pain [21], drug craving [22] and in appraisal tasks. The AI receives input from the visual "What" pathway and from all sensory modalities which bring information about identities and attributes of things, i.e. from the taste cortex in the middle insula. The AI primarily identifies noxious or unpleasant stimuli linked to pain, possible contamination, sickness or discomfort. It projects to pre-SMA and premotor cortex to stop response to harmful stimuli. The AI also projects to the cognitive rostral and lateral PFC, VS, amygdala and OFC [24]. It has reciprocal projections with temporal poles which code conceptual information, identities and meanings. Its direct projections may induce gastric motility, nausea toward sickening things or facts.

We propose that the AI primarily detects what is BAD or WRONG - harmful, unpleasant, inferior - in the QUALITY of things (persons, deeds, social conduct) to avoid what is bad, what makes us feel unwell or sick. The AI reacts with aversion to biologically noxious stimuli which cause pain, contamination, discomfort or bodily harm. It also induces aversion to the bad, low, degraded or corrupted qualities of things including aesthetic, social, moral wrongness. Some such attributes are: odd, strange, misfit, ugly, false, unfair, suspicious, inconsistent, inappropriate, incongruous, corrupted, faulty, sick, disgusting, repulsive or disharmonious. The unpleasant or noxious stimuli - the approach of which might affect our well-being and health, evoke negative affect, discomfort which serves to learn to avoid them. Over-stimulation of the AI possibly lowers the pain threshold, making us feel unwell. Strongly aversive stimuli, rejection or loss of loved ones might evoke even nausea. The magnitude of pain unpleasantness correlates with AI and dACC activation [24]. The phylogenetically oldest, innate function of AI might be to make us avoid noxious food and contact with infected, genetically or disease-distorted bodies, linked to our biological pursuit of well-being and aversion to things which might harm our or our progeny's health and survival

chances. This first AI function linked to inborn aversions was extended by social, sexual and cognitive appraisal and judgment. It learns to react also to culturally unacceptable behaviour and conduct. It detects also qualitative flaws and inconsistencies in information - what is odd, not fitting, false or a lie. This appraisal function is evolutionary linked to the avoidance of what is potentially bad, harmful depending on its biological, social or subjective meaning, to minimize their exposure. The AI is active in social reasoning tasks, assessing the bad or low quality, aptitude or adequacy of social conduct - targeting what should be avoided or rejected. It is recruited in social cognition tasks when making judgment about outliers, outcasts, injustice (corrupted justice), unfairness or depravity, in moral judgment on what is considered wrong to do to people, when looking down on or rejecting someone. The study [25] where AI activity correlated with rejection of unfair offers, possibly caused by their low quality and by the unfair unacceptable conduct - supports our idea.

In decision making and appraisal tasks the AI and dACC often co-activate, exchanging the information about adversities, both capable of response bias toward inhibitory avoidance. While the AI signals bad/low qualities of things to avoid, the dACC warns us when we are not doing well or failing, getting bad or suboptimal outcomes to our actions, decisions and predictions, relative to the remaining options. So in the context of the NoGo task, the AI identifies the stimuli meant to be avoided, forwards this information to the dACC, which generates a warning to improve performance.

Our preliminary DTI findings (submitted) showed that the AI forms a functional processing module with the adjacent caudolateral OFC, as they are strongly interconnected, often co-activated (in fMRI) and jointly connected with the same cortical regions and LHb. They share cortical connectivity, as they probably process similar kind of information – about bad, harmful, aversive, wrong, incongruous or low qualities of objects, subjects or conduct. The cOFC might do more abstract appraisal and cognitive judgment of what is considered a wrong target, choice, thing to do - in the context of current task, goal, strategy, rule. Thus the cOFC might hold in working memory the information on current distractors and currently inappropriate responses. What to avoid in the current context, to solve a task or reach a goal depends on the rules, and it changes with changing circumstances in our physical and social world. So the bad or inferior biological and social qualities are probably first represented in the AI and more cognitively assessed and context-dependent wrongness is possibly coded in the cOFC, both regions exchanging information. Some evidence for this is a joint activation of dACC, cOFC and AI in inhibitory self-control, in NoGo task. This joint role of AI and cOFC in aversive processing is supported by anatomical connectivity study [26] which found that part of cOFC represents a rostral extension of the agranular insular cortex onto the orbital surface.

AI and dACC input to LHb and D2 loop of ventral striatum form circuit for inhibitory avoidance and self-control.

Most data on properties of the functional circuit, which processes information about adversities and bad outcomes, comes from neuroimaging and psychopharmacological studies. Many fMRI studies show robust co-activation of dACC and AI/cOFC in tasks involving pain, discomfort, harm, loss, error, failure, suboptimal outcomes or threat. What these negative or aversive events have in common is that they are harmful to us, decreasing our survival chances. We learn to avoid them by de-selection of the choices linked to the negative consequences. This de-selection is done both willfully - by changing our goals and choices in PFC, and implicitly – by probabilistic learning and suppression of bad, wrong or suboptimal choices in the cortico-striato-thalamo-cortical pathway. The third way to cause de-selection of bad or punished choices is by the attenuation of dopamine and serotonin release (in VTA/SNc and DRN) by the lateral habenula, affecting consequently all brain regions with dopaminergic or serotonergic receptors, causing a drop in motivation/drive, hope, cognitive flexibility and well-being. Affective processing of adversities in the AI and dACC biases/guides value-based choice behaviour and learning. Both regions forward information about adversities to the LHb, via which they suppress dopamine and serotonin levels. This is done directly - by their cortico-habenular projections, and also indirectly - presumably via D2 loop of the VS, which by its GPe projections disinhibits GPi, leading to LHb activation, as GPi is the main source of LHb input. Additional slightly faster prefrontal cortex projections to the subthalamic nucleus stop the wrong response via exciting GPi.

Our diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) findings [27] approved these cortico-habenular projections, from dACC, AI and cOFC in humans. We found also the predicted temporal co-activation of these regions using the independent component analysis of functional connectivity, presumably because they form a functional adversity processing circuit (APC) selective for inhibitory avoidance learning and self-control. Similar dACC and insular projections to LHb were found in rats [28] in addition to their amygdalar, lateral septal, NAc projections. A tracing study in rats [29] showed dense cortical projections to MDT, making en-

passant and terminal projections to LHb from the AI, ACC, prelimbic and much less from infralimbic cortices. The homologue of rat infralimbic cortex is human vACC, and prelimbic cortex homologue is dACC. The prefrontal cortex is known to be strongly interconnected with MDT, forming cognitive and affective loop passing via dorsal and ventral striatum. Wide evidence for the LHb role in adversity processing, inhibitory avoidance and self-control comes from animal studies. The main input to LHb is from GPI [30]. Other afferents are from lateral hypothalamus, a region involved in nociceptive processing and hunger [31] and [32]. The VTA/SNc and DRN/MRN connections with LHb are reciprocally inhibitory, VTA and DRN suppress LHb [33] and main efferents of LHb inhibit dopaminergic [34] and serotonergic neurons [35], via rostromedial tegmental nucleus projections [36]. The LHb projects also to supramammillary nucleus [37]. The electro-recordings in macaques [38] gave evidence of LHb activation by aversive outcomes, punishment and reward omission. These neurons were most excited by the most negative of the available outcomes, firing in opposite way to VTA/SNc neurons – thus when outcomes were worse (less rewarding, more punishing) than predicted. Because the LHb inhibits dopamine and serotonin levels, it affects our choice behaviour, learning, motivation, moods, well-being and self-control. Thus the proposed APC, which activates when we are not doing well or things go wrong, identifies the adversities via AI/cIOfC and dACC and forwards this information to LHb, to inhibit dopamine and serotonin release. With aim to learn to avoid adversities, by stopping choosing and doing things which hurt, lead to negative consequences or lower survival chances.

Many human studies [39], [40], [41] demonstrated the excitatory effect of dopamine on the D1 loop of the basal ganglia and learning to repeat good/rewarded choices, versus its inhibitory effect on the D2 loop and learning to avoid maladaptive choices. They found that high DA levels caused D2 loop inhibition and disruption of inhibitory avoidance learning, while low DA potentiated its strength. The principal striatal neurons express D1 or D2 receptors, and project to the GPi via direct D1 (inhibitory) versus indirect D2 (excitatory) loop. They have opposing effects on the MDT: the D2 loop inhibits and the D1 loop disinhibits it [42].

Our conclusion, linking the facts about adversities processing regions and their functional connectivity, is that the D1 and D2 loops of ventral striatum differ in their cortical input, depending on the type of affective information they receive, evolutionary linked to either approach or avoidance. The expectation of something good, valuable, rewarding biases and motivates us to seek, engage with, approach, go for and choose this valuable choice. While the expectation of bad things or consequences – such as pain, loss, harm, punishment or failure biases us away from the linked choices. Because we are evolutionary biased to seek, move for and expend effort towards the valuable – survival promoting stuff and to avoid, stop going for and choosing that what tends to harm us. The striatum uses probabilistic implicit learning, to strengthen the weights of glutamatergic synapses depending on good versus bad outcomes and expectations, signaled by DA levels. Thus the motivational bias in the VS depends on both the input strength and the strength (weights) of the synapses linked to each choice/option, which normally take more trials to update. So a strong cortical input plus strong DA signal at D1 receptors might pass even through lower synaptic weights. And strong synaptic weights are insufficient if the cortical input is weak.

Our reasoning is that the cognitive part of the PFC, which is flexible to integrate/combine and hold in working memory any kind of information, projects equally to the D1 and D2 loops of the cognitive DS loop in the caudate head. By summing the cumulated evidence given by right versus wrong feedbacks, which strengthen D1 versus D2 loop, the correctness versus wrongness of options, predictions or instrumental rules is learned by the DS. The predictions, guesses or ideas about relevant causal relations, task rules (i.e. IF...THEN rules), strategies, or instructions (manual) on 'HOW TO DO' things, which get most consistently confirmed or approved by incoming evidence (experiences), gradually win over the less supported or more disproved options in DS. The positive feedback caused by correct/right outcomes acts through the DA peaks it causes in the SNc, which enforce synaptic strength on the D1 loop, while decreasing it on the D2 loop (same way as in the affective or sensorimotor circuit). Negative feedback causes a drop in the DA - enforcing the D2 loop of the DS, leading to probabilistic learning of what is the wrong way of doing things or incorrect rule/strategy. So in the head of caudate are counted all 'Yes' and 'No' feedbacks, to weight all the evidence FOR and AGAINST each tested proposition, prediction or instrumental rule, by Bayesian, probabilistic learning. By trials and errors, it teaches us what is right or wrong way, directing us implicitly, i.e. when learning grammar rules.

Cortical input to the VS differs from the lateral head of caudate (DS), because it provides information on the affective meanings or values of choices - expected to be good, bad or combined. The general role of basal ganglia is to give directional guidance for actions and choice selection (i.e. go right/left, go for/stop, right/wrong way to do), depending on the weighted sum of our good versus bad experiences with each option, thus on the DA signal.

The input from affective cortical regions to VS, about good, liked versus bad, disliked choices, already contains the

directional information: a BIAS for approach versus avoidance, linked to their value for us. Because we are evolutionary biased to seek, approach, move for and choose the good - valuable, rewarding, interesting – survival promoting choices, and to avoid, stop going for the bad or harmful choices - linked to pain and lower survival chances.

So we propose that the cortical input to the D1 versus D2 loop of VS depends on the affective value of the forwarded information: the expectation of good, valuable things feeds preferentially to the D1 loop, while expectation of bad things feeds to the D2 loop. Thus the mOFC and vACC input, about good, positively valued choices, is preferentially forwarded to the appetitive D1 loop, to guide us to approach, seek, GO FOR, work, move for and choose the valuable options. While the information from the AI, clOFC and dACC about bad, harmful, suboptimal, negatively valued choices project predominantly to the aversive D2 loop, direct us to avoid and stop moving or making an effort for them.

This affective value-based dissociation of cortico-ventrostriatal input can be tested by optogenetic neurostimulation studies. There is also ample indirect functional evidence from choice behaviour studies, dopaminergic plus serotonergic manipulations and findings on addiction, depression, bipolar disorder, anxiety or Parkinsonism, that fits the proposed connectivity and functional properties of the APC. One indirect evidence is that the cortical regions directed to learning about bad versus good choices and consequences – activated when things go wrong versus well – are causing the activation versus deactivation of the ventral GPi and LHb, followed by suppression versus induction of dopamine and serotonin signaling in the human brain.

Proposed APC properties were supported by our DTI and functional connectivity fMRI findings in 18 volunteers (27), by the GPi response to expected punishments in macaques [30], and by decreased versus increased compulsive drug-seeking, after optogenetic activation versus inhibition of the dACC homologue in rats, together with the lowered dACC excitability in the cocaine-addicted rats [43]. These findings support the idea that dACC and AI/clOFC are sources of the direct and indirect input to the LHb, with which they form a functional circuit, which learns to de-select avoid what is bad for us. So to inhibit bad or suboptimal choices and courses of actions (linked to bad outcomes) and decisions which went or were proved wrong. We suggest that affective cortical evaluation in the vACC, mOFC, AI/clOFC, dACC and the strength of their VS input for each choice depends on our subjective values, preferences, priorities, expectations and interpretations of what is good or bad for us. Those choices or options which bring a combination of good and bad outcomes, will feed to both the D1 and D2 loop of VS. Food e.g. mostly activates the D1 loop, but in satiety, dieting or in anorexia it activates also the D2 loop, when the normally rewarding food choice becomes also something to avoid. The mOFC role in coding the positive value of stimuli or their relative reward magnitudes was proved by many studies. It responds to rewarding or pleasant stimuli and wins, while the IOFC responds to aversive options, punishment or loss [44]. Another support for the proposed dACC and AI input to the LHb comes from their co-activation in the negative feedback task in human fMRI study [45] and from our unpublished ICA of rest state fMRI.

Directionality coding in basal ganglia uses probabilistic learning to select the best - most rewarded options and ways of doing, while avoiding loss and harm. Good, rewarding, interesting options bias the choice selection in VS towards themselves by potentiating the D1 loop by DA, induced by positive expectation and feedback. In this way they make us engaged, interested, motivated, even 'hooked up' to want, seek, move, make effort and do things to get them. Bad, painful, harmful or boring choices (loss of time, unpleasant to do) are linked to the inhibitory avoidance ('Don't do it, Stop'), drop in motivation, even learned helplessness caused by the D2 loop potentiation in VS by lack of DA after negative outcome or expectation. As DA is known to weaken the D2 loop output, a drop in DA release, caused by the APC activation and by negative feedback, leads to strengthening of the inhibitory avoidance linked to suboptimal choices, decreasing their preferences and inclination to choose them over time. Thus DA increases drive and inclination for rewarding options in the motivational D1 loop of VS: their wanting, seeking, choosing to GO FOR them. It also potentiates the D1 loop of cognitive DS that gathers evidence on what is probabilistically more RIGHT or correct WAY TO DO things or a right course of actions to reach task goal. Meanwhile, the D2 loop of DS, potentiated by negative feedbacks, keeps evidence on failed wrong ways of doing things. DA heightens arousal via histamine.

Similar dissociation is found in the effect of affective information on the VTA versus LHb function. While the expectation of good, valuable – interesting, novel or rewarding outcome formed by mOFC directly stimulates DA release, the expectation of adversities and bad outcome formed by AI/clOFC and dACC inhibits VTA, DA and 5-HT release via projections to LHb which activates the RMTN. The tonic inhibition of the dopaminergic VTA/SNc output by LHb [46] and the sustained increase in the striatal DA after LHb lesions [47] are well evidenced.

We propose that the activation of the D1 loop of VS by mOFC, vACC, hippocampus and amygdala input inhibits the GPi, disinhibiting MDT, which enforces the representation of valuable choices in the PFC. This GPi inhibition consequently inhibits LHb and disinhibits VTA/DRN, because the GPi brings the main input to LHb [48]. The activation of the D2 loop, by dACC and AI projections, disinhibits GPi, leading to MDT inhibition and LHb activation causing DA/5-HT suppression, thus potentiating the passive avoidance learning in the D2 loop. This network formed by linking affectively negative input about adversities from the dACC and AI/cIOFC to the D2 loop of VS, which disinhibits GPi and consequently activates LHb, can also be called the inhibitory avoidance circuit. As it biases us to stop choosing or avoid the bad or suboptimal options. Because the LHb reciprocally inhibits the VTA/SNc, the net effect of the APC activation is opposite to the RPC. So both the adversity and reward related circuit act in parallel and in competition, to influence and bias choice behaviour, decision making, motivation and learning, towards avoidance versus approach – STOP versus GO response, 'Do not do it!' versus 'Do it, Go for it'. In addition we suggest that when things are going well, alright, when we gain/reach what we wanted (safety or rewards) the vACC projections to DRN induce serotonin release. When things go wrong, with bad/suboptimal outcome, the dACC and AI/cIOFC inhibit the DRN and VTA, via LHb projections, inducing discomfort. While the vACC identifies good outcomes and safety, making us feel secure, content; the dACC appraises risks and dangers - inducing warning, worry and alarm state. Our conclusion is that the RPC and APC are competing in the VS for control of the GPi, LHb, VTA, DRN and choice selection, guiding our affective decision making.

The APC versus RPC – their competition and interactions with dopamine and serotonin signaling.

Multiple findings support the causal role of the APC in learning what is bad/ harmful to us and reacting to it by inhibitory avoidance and self-control. The proposed competition between RPC and APC - by their reciprocally inhibitory connections and opposing effects on dopaminergic and serotonergic control, fits with wide evidence from anatomical, psychopharmacological and neuroimaging studies. The provided evidence supports our idea of a generally activating effect of dopamine on RPC, and an inhibiting effect on APC, serving to bias/direct our choice behaviour. As the LHb, the target of the AI and dACC, inhibits VTA/SNc and DRN – and is reciprocally inhibited by them, the net effect of the APC output is antagonistic to the RPC output. In this way, by the opposite effect of dopamine and serotonin on the activation of each circuit – are the RPC and APC competing to bias our decision making, motivation and well-being. They guide us toward selection, approach or toward de-selection, inhibition, avoidance of the current choice, option or goal. Thus to 'Go, Move for it, Do it' versus 'Stop, Avoid, Do not do this' response. Dopamine release acts to increase the inclination for the rewarding choices and goals in the motivational D1 loop of VS - their wanting and seeking. It also increases the selection of the more frequently right/correct options and courses of actions in the D1 loop of cognitive DS, and potentiates implicit learning of the appropriate stimulus-response mapping in the sensorimotor loop of putamen, via the D1 loop. There is an additional network-level competition in the brain - caused by the interaction of dopamine levels with the output strength of the two opposing affective circuits. Dopamine in basal ganglia enforces the strength of the D1 loop but attenuates the D2 loop. Similarly, we propose a predominantly excitatory effect of DA on the cortical regions of RPC, but a predominantly inhibitory on those of APC. This network effect is probably achieved by a higher ratio of the excitatory D1 to the inhibitory D2 receptors on the GABAergic neurons of APC, combined with their lower ratio on the pyramidal neurons. These ratios are probably inverted in RPC. So DA attenuates the AI/cIOFC and dACC appraisal and predictions of what is bad for us - via the prevalence of the inhibitory D2 receptors on their pyramidal or the excitatory D1 receptors on their GABAergic cells, leading to lower LHb recruitment. The contrary effect is predicted for the cortical regions of the RPC - mOFC and vACC, where DA possibly strengthens the output and learning what is good for us, leading to stronger input to VTA/SNc. Except the VTA/SNc - with its inhibitory autoreceptors to implement negative feedback control, the remaining RPC is predominantly enforced by higher DA levels and attenuated by DA absence. Our observation, based on ample literature, is not only that the activation of APC leads to suppression of dopamine and serotonin release, but also that the APC output is generally attenuated by dopamine, serotonin and opioids.

A crucial evidence for the proposed interaction of the affective, value-related processing with DA and 5-HT signaling follows. High DA levels are known to decrease inhibitory self-control and cautiousness. Our causal explanation for this is that DA attenuates dACC output. Because dACC is involved in monitoring and WARNING us about possible dangers (Beware!),

risks and negative consequences (to signal the inadequate course of actions), the suppressing effect of DA on dACC (via D2 receptors on glutamatergic or D1 receptors on GABAergic neurons) leads to lower precaution, premeditation and self-control, less worries and less thinking before doing. This underestimation of possible risks and bad consequences – caused by dACC attenuation, diminishes the urgency of inhibitory self-control during appraisal, decision-making, performance. The impulsive or suboptimal choices/behaviours, those leading to bad or suboptimal outcomes - might then win more easily over our self-control.

This commonly happens with high DA in brain - caused by alcohol intake, dopaminergic drugs, natural rewards or by DA imbalance in several mental disorders. There are many documented instances of lost precaution or risk underestimation after alcohol intake – e.g. drunk driving, random violence, wrong or stupid decisions, high spending in manic phase of bipolar disorder. The reduction of dACC output and warning signal, caused by high DA, also weakens the D2 loop output, and thus relatively enforces the D1 loop output in VS – leading to impulsive choices. Acute alcohol intake stimulates DA release in VS and impairs executive functions of PFC [49]. Even moderate alcohol consumption reduces activity in dACC in response to errors of performance, what impairs the ability to adjust behaviour after such errors [50]. We claim that the known effect of D2 antagonists in reducing the positive symptoms of schizophrenia, is partially caused by their disinhibition of dACC – which leads to increased warning function, precaution, self-control and worrying, while the disinhibition of D2 loop of VS shell increases self-control, reducing the impulsivity and drive.

Strong evidence for the proposed affective circuit properties is the finding [51] of suppressing effect of dopamine reward signal from VTA on the error-related negativity in humans, with DA decreasing ERN amplitude. Our interpretation of this effect is that the ERN is caused by the warning signal generated by dACC – toward potential danger or risks. And that high DA levels attenuate dACC output, leading to lower ERN amplitude, less precaution and self-control, plus weaker cognitive adjustment – linked to lower dACC feedback to lateral PFC. Other evidence comes from study [52] where positive symptoms schizophrenics failed to activate LHb in response to errors and negative feedbacks in demanding cognitive task, showing that this dysfunction hinders their ability to learn from negative feedbacks, what is a known cognitive deficit in schizophrenia. We claim that one cause of LHb under-activation in schizophrenia is the suppression of its cortical input (from dACC, AI and clOFC) by high DA levels. High DA weakens the warning signal and the weight of bad consequences taken into account during decision making. Humans might then fail to adjust behavior to prevent loss or failure. Depression is linked with larger ERN during error processing and with better avoidance learning [53]. We interpret this finding as over-stimulation of the APC (dACC particularly) after its disinhibition by insufficient dopamine and serotonin levels in the depressed brain, causing stronger inhibitory avoidance.

We propose that serotonin in brain generally attenuates adversity processing, negative affect, discomfort, deprivation, depression, anxiety, fear - by suppressing dACC, AI/clOFC and also their input to LHb. Analogous to its calming effects on pain pathway, serotonin placates also threat response and aggression by its inhibitory effects on CeA and possibly BNST. In support for proposed circuit properties is the high density of the inhibitory serotonin receptor 5-HT(1A) found in dACC [54], the fact that 5-HT(1A) agonists ameliorate anxiety disorders [55] and a negative association between the activation of dACC and local 5-HT(1A) mediated inhibition [56]. Knocking-out this receptor in mice led to anxiety-like behaviour [57], [58], [59] and its lack during development [60] induced anxiety resembling the effects of social deprivation on human babies. There is wide evidence for appeasing effects of 5-HT on violent behavior, which we explain by its attenuation of CeA. The aggressive behaviour correlates with low 5-HT levels in humans [61] and is decreased by the agonists of several 5-HT receptors in rodents [62]. Similar inhibitory effect on dACC has also mu-opioid, an opioid with anxiolytic and calming effect on worries, discomfort and pain. High mu-opioid receptor density was found in dACC, perigenual ACC, all insula and LHb [63], [64]. Thus besides the pain circuit, it inhibits also APC. In addition, mu-opioid disinhibits VTA dopamine neurons through inhibition of GABAergic RMTN terminals [65], thus enforces the strength and output of RPC.

Serotonin has different effects on different regions of the RPC. We predict excitatory effect of 5-HT on vACC region, via pyramidal 5-HT(2A), 5-HT(2C) or other receptors. These receptors are reduced in major depression patients [66] and their activation lowers stress response, anxiety and depression. But main reason for our prediction is the ample evidence that both vACC recruitment and serotonin signaling are linked to well-being → feeling alright, at ease, fulfilled, safe, in peace - leading to drop in drive, slowing down and rest. We propose that vACC identifies when we reach/gain safety, valuable resources, biological and social rewards, comfort, acceptance, affection, care or good feedback and promotes satisfaction, confidence. This appraisal in vACC that things are going well for us induces subsequent serotonin release via projections to DRN/MRN. These projections were proved [67]. Serotonin possibly strengthens the D1 loop of cognitive DS, important in

biasing us for the right optimal options, bigger delayed rewards or long-term goals. These reasonable choices are competing for selection with the immediate rewards coded by D1 loop of VS shell - linked to impulsivity, wanting, drive and attenuated by 5-HT. 5-HT might attenuate mOFC, thus lower the relative reward value of things we reached - got satiated with, to reduce wanting and seeking things when we had enough and, to relax and rest, recover body. So 5-HT modulates our current priorities – as it signals when we reached the homeostasis or comfort zone – being well, fine, full, non-deprived.

Majority of findings in literature are in accordance with proposed network competition in affective processing of good versus bad choices and outcomes. For example noxious heat deactivates vACC and mOFC [68] and activates AI, dACC and LHb. The social support, which includes social gain, care, affection, acceptance and group inclusion, causes a decrease in AI/dACC activation [69], what we explain by the activation of competing vACC region by care and affection, which increases comfort and well-being and induces serotonin rise, which attenuates dACC, AI, LHb and amygdala output. Chronic over-stimulation of the APC - caused by too many adversities and negative feedback (things going wrongly, harm, loss, punishment, pain, rejection) while lacking positive feedback to balance it (or having under-active RPC) can lead to excessive suppression of DA/5-HT release (via LHb) and to long term shift in their basal levels. This causes a drop in motivation, hope and effort (by lack of DA in D1 loop of VS), lowers the well-being and pain threshold (weakening of vACC and strengthening pain circuit by lack of 5-HT in brain), and increases discomfort (disinhibiting AI), learned helplessness (by too strong D2 loop of VS), worries and anxiety (chronic activation of dACC and CeA).

Those neural dysfunctions which lower dopaminergic and serotonergic signaling (receptor-deficiencies, too efficient degradation enzymes) enforce the output of APC, relatively weakening or out-weighting the output of RPC, so the affected persons get more prone to behavioural inhibitions, worries, feeling down/low, pain, stress, depression and anxiety. This idea fits with findings [70] of abnormally reduced DRN region in depressed, nonalcoholic, suicide victims. Thus lowering vACC output directly decrease well-being, satisfaction, feeling alright - at ease, and increase discomfort. A majority of research outcomes supports our idea on affective networks competition. One group [71] found higher dialysate 5-HT concentrations in the NAc, DRN and VTA, and increased DA levels in NAc and DRN after cocaine challenge of chronically cocaine-treated animals compared with controls. This shows that chronically high DA levels enforce the output of RPC - by relatively suppressing the APC, leading to lower LHb recruitment and thus disinhibition of dopaminergic and serotonergic system. Another group [72] found opposing effect of simultaneous threat and reward presentation on the dACC and AI activity. Pharmacological evidence shows abnormally decreased 5HT1A receptor function in mood disorders [73]. This can cause disinhibition of worries, anxiety and distress produced by dACC, AI, CeA. The antidepressant effect of optogenetic activation of the medial PFC, which includes both mOFC and vACC region was demonstrated [74]. These cortical regions are main sources of VTA and DRN activation. In addition, a drop in serotonin levels, elicited by a reduction in dietary tryptophan, increases regional cerebral blood flow in habenula, which is correlated with depressed mood ratings plus anti-correlated with plasma tryptophan levels [75].

Enhanced LHb metabolism and reduced brain serotonin levels have been observed in several animal models of depressed behavior. The first is suppressed by antidepressant drugs [76], the second by LHb lesions [77]. Administration of serotonin reuptake inhibitors induces increase in serotonin levels and attenuates negative introspective processing in the dACC in subjects at risk for depression [78]. This was showed to be driven by decreased responses to the negative self-referred words in dACC following citalopram compared with placebo. Another evidence for the LHb being an effector region for the APC is that LHb activation increases in stress [32] and nociceptive stimulation [79], [80] – similarly as in AI and dACC - its input regions. Lesions or morphine microinjections in the habenula decrease animal's sensitivity to pain [81] and their anxiety [82]. We suggest that the weakening of LHb disinhibits DA and 5-HT system, thus shifting balance from discomfort to well-being, by enforcing the RPC output at the expense of APC and pain pathway. Dopamine system disinhibition increases impulsivity and drive and weakens inhibitory avoidance. Habenula-lesioned rats show a marked increase in premature responding mediated by increased activity of DA neurons [83]. This supports our affective circuit model – and the competition between the 'Go for it' motivational bias - in impulsivity promoting D1 loop of NAc shell, and the inhibitory avoidance bias in its D2 loop. Several mental dysfunctions are caused by disturbances in DA or 5-HT signaling, affecting learning, decisions, goal-directed behaviour, personality, moods, motivation and well-being. The proposed neural mechanisms of reward and adversity processing, which guide choice behavior depending on good and bad outcomes, led to our following model on dopamine and serotonin signaling and value-based decision making:

Dopamine signaling.

Dopamine is signaling the expected value and meaning, guiding us to go for and choose them. It signals, that there is something valuable – good rewarding or novel for which to move, go, get and make effort. It also signals meanings in our world and life – what is relevant, right, valid or useful. DA increases our drive, hope, wanting, impulsivity (a bias to choose immediate rewards in spite of bad consequences), engagement with valuable choices, approach, preference and addiction by enhancing the D1 loop of VS. DA signaling makes us motivated (hooked up) and directed (inclined, biased) towards choosing, seeking, moving for, working for and learning about the valuable - survival and well-being promoting stuff and choices, those which are reinforced by DA. So biologically, socially, personally or cognitively valuable things, persons, deeds, events or information – are those which increase our survival chances and well-being, when gained or reached. The things needed for survival are usually liked, appraised as good and feel rewarding, pleasant, exciting, joyful (food, relationships, friends, beauty, novelty) or interesting (novelty, variability, information, knowledge).

The relative magnitudes of reward values are identified, learned and predicted by mOFC, which projects its reward expectation signal to VTA. Sensory novelty and variance show richness of the environmental resources so are intrinsically coded as valuable, by increasing the mOFC activation. The informational novelty is also valuable, because it motivates us to seek/guess new causalities in the changing world, leading to useful findings of what is going on. The acquired knowledge might help to obtain new resources, gain safety or avoid harm - improving our survival chances. This informational novelty is probably detected by RLPFC, which induces DA release in medial SNc, which provokes novelty seeking and curiosity, thus motivated behaviour and drive in VS. The relevant, meaningful, right information - in the context of current goal/task (the useful pieces of spatial, temporal, objects and meanings related information) are coded by PFC and induce DA in SNc, to signal the informational value and to enforce the representation of the most relevant information in working memory.

Dopamine, released dorsal striatum after detection of valuable – correct options by PFC, increases the probability to select the right option again - by enforcing corresponding synaptic weights in the D1 loop and by strengthening its cortical representation. So DA strengthens working memory for meaningful valuable information - relevant for current goals in cognitive PFC, and the recall of rewarding interesting or novel episodes, contextual and relational memory in CA1 of hippocampus. Also subcortical projections induce DA in SNc, the input from pedunculo-pontine nucleus increases alertness, while that from superior colliculus evokes response to salient or abrupt visual events [84]. So DA signaling serves to learn about and direct us to valuable and meaningful – good, right and interesting choices in ever changing biological and social world, while its lack potentiates learning from negative feedback and bad outcomes. DA signaling leads to flexible behaviour by guiding us to choose/prefer the best options - good, right or interesting choices, goals, decisions, predictions, behaviour while avoiding bad, wrong or suboptimal choices. The value of some things is inborn the value of others is learned and updated by experience, by our interactions with world and people.

Knowledge seeking, curiosity, a desire to find out what is going on, and creativity, playfulness is DA related and probably affected in autism. The ability to ask questions, to explore is the brain's tool for model free learning, as the unknown makes us seek 'Why' - causes, sense, meanings. It directs us to think guess predict test learn whatever is interesting, useful, relevant in whichever situation/place – making us a versatile specie, able to solve new challenges and adapt. As DA release in SNc is caused by informative, goal-relevant, meaningful (what matters to us), interesting or novel information (appraised by cognitive PFC) it is important for flexible learning/use of the currently valid, correct rules, predictions or ways of doing things. Random release of DA in psychosis state leads to learning irrelevant information as if relevant and meaningful, thus seeing meanings in unrelated facts, random events and validity in invalid ideas. So learning and behaviour in psychotic states is disconnected from reality and immersed in artificial reality caused by dysregulated DA signaling and attenuated warning (in dACC) towards suboptimal or wrong decision.

Addictive drugs increase DA levels in VS few times more than natural rewards do, so the drug gains the priority in front of other attractive rewards, choices or goals. The value of the remaining choices then relatively drops and addicted person loses interest to work for, seek and strive for other rewards/goals than the strongest one - drug. Thus drug gets encoded on the top of the personal values and priorities scale in the mOFC. Drug linked path in D1 loop of VS wins over other choices and goals because of its strong mOFC input plus strong synaptic weights. As the competition in VS biases us to go for, seek the winning choice, it causes dysfunctional choice behaviour in drug addicts, added by drug induced rise in DA which reduces self-control and inhibitory avoidance in APC. In accordance with this, cocaine induced 'high' evokes VS activation [85]. And blockade of D2 receptors in VS by neuroleptics was found to cause anhedonia, apathy and psychomotor slowing [86]. Thus D2 loop disinhibition causes inhibitory avoidance and over-stimulation of LHb, causing drop in DA and motivation. We predict that oxytocin stimulates the motivational D1 loop of VS core by presynaptic increase of DA release - to make us interested, engaged and attached/bonded to specific people (kids, friends), to prefer want and seek them. It probably also

stimulates vACC. Diminished oxytocin signaling was found in autism. We propose that insufficient DA signaling in autistic persons makes them worse in value-based learning, less driven by rewards, novelty and meanings, with low curiosity or novelty seeking, thus failing to find out causalities, make predictions, adapt – making their world confusing, unpredictable.

Serotonin signaling.

In opposite to DA signaling which makes us want, hope, strive for, seek, get engaged with and hooked up to valuable stuff, serotonin signaling suppresses drive and wanting, slow us down, calms anxiety fear stress, inducing contentment. We propose that serotonin in brain is released when we gain, win or achieve biological and social rewards, resources (food, safety, comfort, care, affection, acceptance, smile, success, prospects), to make us feel well, safe, satisfied, comfortable, confident, fine, at ease, peaceful, full - so ready for rest, digestion and body recovery. We predict that the vACC/BA 25 detects and signals when things are going well, alright for us - when we gain safety, valuable resources, rewards, good feedback, reach goals, do something well or improve our prospects. It induces well-being and satisfaction by activating serotonergic cells in DRN, leading to serotonergic suppression of the pain pathway, fear circuit in central amygdala and worries in dACC. Postsynaptic 5-HT(2A) receptors are target of several antipsychotics, anxiolytics, antidepressants and hallucinogens, for example MDMA or "ecstasy". The MDMA is also a potent releaser and re-uptake inhibitor of presynaptic serotonin [87]. These effects (except the hallucinogenic effect in retrosplenial cortex) are probably caused by strong vACC activation, which leads to further 5-HT release, if vACC wins over dACC output strength. Shifting the balance between strong dACC output and its warning role, towards strong vACC output – and its calming role, attenuates worries stress fear and anxiety. Thus optimal brain serotonin levels signal that we are non-deprived while its lack signals deprivation state. In support of this, a positive correlation was observed between tryptophan (source of serotonin) levels and response to reward outcome value in human ventromedial PFC [88]. In this region with dense 5-HT(2A) receptors was found 5-HT efflux in instrumental reward task in rats, and its activation by either 5-HT agonist or AMPA elevated 5-HT levels [89]. We propose that serotonin function is to keep body homeostasis in healthy, life-compatible limits by controlling our intake, promoting rest states, well-being and drop in wanting. It signals when we reach the 'comfort zone' - satiety, satisfaction, security, comfort, care, affection, good feedbacks/outcomes, success. Also when in pleasant pretty hospitable rich or resourceful environment, agreeably warm, in blooming nature, nice home or lively company (contrary to the destroyed barren boring inhospitable or cold places devoid of resources). Too low serotonin levels signal that body and mind are in a state of deprivation, discomfort or despair, caused by pain, hunger, loss of loved ones, health, work, safety, abilities, control or future prospects. Depletion of serotonin lowers the pain threshold in the PAG, and there is a mutually enforcing effect between serotonin and opioids as both attenuate pain perception and lift up moods. Besides well-being, serotonin regulates also our choice behaviour. When our needs are fulfilled and goals achieved - when satiated, warm, safe, nurtured, cared for, liked, serotonin levels rise and attenuate via inhibitory receptors the strength of the D1 loop of NAc shell, lowering impulsivity, drive, desire, wanting, seeking and moving for more rewards. This might be mediated by 5-HT(1B) receptors, as their stimulation was shown to attenuate the incentive motivation for cocaine [90]. It was shown [91] that deletion of these receptors leads to an increased locomotion and sensitization of mice to cocaine self-administration, caused by a reduced inhibition of dopaminergic transmission at the VTA and NAc. VS activation is enhanced by serotonin deficit and correlates with steeper discounted reward choices, while DS activation correlates with reward expectations at longer delays and is stronger at high serotonin levels [92]. Animal studies found serotonergic projections to both the VS and DS [93], [75]. We suggest that natural drive to chase rewards and resources is strongest in the deprived state when lacking serotonin in the brain. After gaining what we wanted, getting fed or full, the induced serotonin reduces wanting, impulsivity, drive, effort - leading to slowing down, rest and replenishment of body. This has biological sense as people deprived of resources, desperate – by lack of food, social bonds, good outcomes of own actions - need to act urgently to survive 'right now' in spite of pain, punishment, risk or inconveniences involved (disinhibited D1 loop wins even over strongly active D2 loop in VS). So non-deprived persons with enough serotonin in brain can wait for delayed rewards, but its depletion heightens the impulsivity by disinhibition of D1 loop in NAc, to go for valuable things immediately. Serotonin in brain regulates also food intake, by decreasing appetite in arcuate nucleus of hypothalamus. Lower serotonin levels in brain are probably linked to increased food consumption [94] while inhibition of its re-uptake leads to weight loss in obese individuals [95]. The reports of DRN activation in some pain studies might be caused by the termination of aversive events and the occurrence of safety or relief after the discomfort stopped. We suggest that just after the pain cessation, the vACC appraises that we are doing well now - being safe, signaling this safety and relief information to the DRN to induce serotonin. Another

serotonin role is to calm down, placate anger and aggression by inhibitory effect on threat and fear expression in the CeA and BNST. The rat homologue of BA 25 (posterior part of vACC) was found necessary for fear extinction learning [96], so for learning about safe options. The fear extinction learning pathway inhibits the CeA fear related neurons by projections from the vACC to the GABAergic intercalated neurons [97]. The inhibition of the DRN increases aggression irrespective of alcohol intake [98]. So the calming serotonergic effect on violence might get selected for by breeding animals with 'domesticated trait' – with relatively stronger vACC signal and higher serotonin levels, combined with lower dACC and CeA output. One support for this claim comes from deficient vACC activation in aggressive individuals, coupled with exaggerated amygdala response to stressful situations [99]. So the individual differences in the vACC output strength, basal serotonin levels or receptor efficiency are possibly linked to personality trait - a stronger activation causing confidence, feeling at ease, relaxed, secure, while a weaker one causing stressed, fearful, anxious or violent personality traits. Early social isolation stress reduces the vACC output in mature primates. Increased aggressiveness, which exaggerated after early social adversity, was observed in the male macaques with genetically lower serotonin signal [100]. The summed evidence from literature supports serotonin's capability to lower sensitivity to aversive events and stress. We explain this calming effect by serotonergic inhibition of dACC, AI, cOFC, CeA and LHb and potentiation of vACC. Both effects are anxiolytic and antidepressant lowering worries and discomfort, leading to feeling safe, at ease, alright, well.

The optimal brain serotonin levels increase cognitive flexibility by making us feel non-deprived, less impulsive, balanced thus more free/flexible to choose the most appropriate, optimal options. Even delayed or long-term goals, as we are not in urgent need to get resources instantly – to survive till the next day. In support of our claim are findings that acute and chronic reductions in central and prefrontal serotonin availability increase behavioural rigidity and reduce the inhibitory functions [101], [102]. Serotonin influences also social and sexual behavior: high levels decrease drive, making us lazy, fed up or disinterested, but optimal levels help to feel at ease, fine, stress-resilient thus more outgoing to socialize and more successful in social interactions, in winning friends by self-confident relaxed trust and respect evoking appeal. It is also easier to affiliate and cooperate when nurtured, comfortable (with own look, abilities e.g.) liked, at rest rather than when deprived, scared, under pressure or worried about existential resources or safety. This is supported by increased affiliative behaviour after treatment with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor [103] and by findings that the hypothalamic 5-HT(2A) receptor activation causes increases in oxytocin, the hormone involved in bonding [104]. Being in control of your life: doing well, getting good feedback stimulates vACC, increasing resilience to stress, as both vACC and induced serotonin attenuate dACC and amygdala.

Active avoidance loop via amygdala - potentiated by DA.

The amygdala is well known for its reactivity to biological and social threat, fight or flight response, self-defense, anger, aggression, violence, stress. Its role in conditioned fear learning and aversive emotional reactivity was widely proofed [105]. We claim that in addition to their inhibitory avoidance circuit input (the APC), both the AI/cOFC and dACC trigger also the active avoidance, by their inputs to the amygdala about appraised threats, risks and potential dangers. The amygdala projects to the D1 loop of VS, plus via the BNST to the PAG, VTA and stress related hypothalamic PVN. This amygdalar circuit for active avoidance reacts to threat and distress by anger fear or panic. Contrary to the inhibitory avoidance circuit, we claim that the active avoidance circuit is stimulated by dopamine and dopaminergic drugs, via their excitatory effects on amygdala and BNST. The BNST has, besides potentiation of dopamine release via corticotropine releasing factor, both excitatory and inhibitory projections to the VTA. Dopamine thus enforces the reactive avoidance, aggression and defense of self, close ones, territory, vital resources via fight or flight.

If people are repeatedly deprived of basic needs or rights and things are going too bad for them, they might lose hope, via suppression of the VTA by LHb over-stimulation. With the insufficient DA levels to excite their amygdala, it gets under-activated, what causes a drop in the active avoidance and diminishes protest or defiance reactions, to fight against oppression or causes of their bad conditions. Thus the lack of DA would not only enforce the D2 loop and increase passive avoidance and learned helplessness, but also suppress the self-defense behavior via amygdala, common in depression. On the contrary, the high DA levels i.e. under alcohol influence, could lead to violent or irresponsible behaviour, as they potentiate aggressive or furious response via amygdala, impulsivity via D1 loop of VS, plus attenuate cautiousness via dACC. The causal effects of alcohol on human aggression were observed [106]. Acute alcohol intake is known to stimulates both dopamine and serotonin release. Serotonin depletion is linked to the rise in aggressive behaviour [107] and alcohol intake in hostile individuals has an additive effect on aggression [108]. Wide literature supports the proposed circuit

properties and suggests that active avoidance, anger and fear expression via amygdalar circuit is not only attenuated by vACC projections, but also by serotonin. After alcohol intake, the amygdala in persons with lower 5-HT signaling gets into over-drive by DA stimulation, causing more hostile reactions to threat. High dopamine levels weaken the inhibitory self-control in the APC, lead to underestimation of risks in dACC and increase impulsivity via D1 loop of NAc shell.

Conclusions.

We linked the brain regions, which detect, react to, learn and predict what is bad harmful and when things go wrong, processing information on threats to our well-being or survival. We proposed a causal role of this adversity processing circuit in the inhibitory self-control and learning to deselect – avoid, inhibit, stop going for the bad or suboptimal choices. Its role is to guide our decisions and behavior, away from bad consequences and from predictions, decisions, strategies or behaviour, which went or were proved wrong. We claim that the APC competes with the reward processing circuit to bias the goal-directed behavior. They reciprocally attenuate each other's output: by direct projections, by opposing control of dopamine and serotonin signaling (via their opposite effects on the VTA/SNc, DRN and LHb) and by mostly opposite effects of dopamine and serotonin on stimulation/inhibition of their regions. The net effect of the APC activation is antagonistic to the RPC activation, both acting in parallel to influence our thoughts, feelings and behavior (don't do it versus go for it). We came with a new hypothesis on dopamine and serotonin signaling, and their dysfunctions in mental disorders. By summarizing their effects on value-based control of behaviour, motivation, decision making, moods, personality, well-being, we proposed what are the main roles of dopamine and serotonin signaling in affective processing and choice behaviour.

We provided evidence for functional properties of the APC, which signals when we are not doing well. The adversities are identified by the AI, cOFC and dACC. The information on bad or suboptimal events, choices or outcomes is then forwarded to the LHb, to inhibit dopamine and serotonin release via RMTN. We showed how the evaluations and interpretations in the AI, cOFC, dACC, mOFC and vACC predict affective meaning in the world, what is bad or good, and guide our thoughts and behaviour. We predicted an affective bias in the cortical projections to the ventral striatum, with the APC preferentially linked to the D2 loop for inhibitory avoidance, while the RPC to the D1 loop for wanting and going for choices. The good, rewarded choices guide us to go for them, by disinhibiting their MDT representation via GPi inhibition. The bad, punished choices get eventually avoided, by inhibition of MDT via GPi activation. Because the LHb reciprocally inhibits dopamine release in the VTA/SNc and serotonin release in the DRN, it stops us choosing and doing things linked to bad consequences but also makes us feel down, low, depressed when overstimulated.

We proposed that the dACC role is to detect when we are not doing well and to generate a warning to the real or predicted danger or risk - to prevent pain, harm, loss, failure or other bad consequences and suboptimal outcomes. It prods us to re-think our goals, improve our performance and adjust behavior after negative feedbacks/outcomes. This top-down warning signal from dACC calls for an update in our predictions decisions plans via RLPFC, enforces inhibitory avoidance via D2 loop of VS, corrects via preSMA or stops via STN the wrong motor responses, commands for cautious performance and focused attention via FEF and IPS, alertness and alarm via NA, Ach and sympathetic control.

The AI forms a functional module with the adjacent cOFC. Their proposed role is to detect something bad, inferior or wrong (noxious, harmful, unpleasant, faulty, false, strange, odd) in the QUALITY of objects, persons, social conduct, concepts, information, to target those things, which should rather be avoided, as they might make us feel sick, unwell. They react with aversion, discomfort to bad, low, corrupted qualities and unacceptable behaviour, ideas.

We proposed and tested by wide literature data that dopamine generally attenuates (via their D1/D2 receptors ratio on the GABAergic versus pyramidal cells) the output of the APC, thus diminishes the warning function, inhibitory avoidance learning and self-control. Dopamine enforces the output of the competing RPC, thus also the selection, drive, expended effort, preference and inclination to pursue the linked choices and goals via the D1 loop of VS. We suggested that the reward value in the mOFC, informational novelty in the RLPFC and informational value in the cognitive PFC induce dopamine release in the VTA, medial SNc and SNc. We claim that dopamine signals the expected value and meaning (good, right, new) to direct and move us towards the valuable, useful - survival and well-being promoting stuff and goals. It makes us motivated (even addicted), interested, biased to choose, want, seek, move, work for the valuable choices, those which are reinforced by DA.

We came with a new idea on the vACC role in regulation of motivated behavior after gaining biological or social rewards. We proposed that it signals when we are doing well, when we gained or achieved good, valuable, well-being promoting outcomes: safety, resources, nurture, comfort, care, affection, acceptance, and when we reached our goals by hard work or luck. We claim that via induction of serotonin in the DRN it increases satisfaction, well-being, feeling alright and decreases motivation, drive, wanting, appetite, impulsivity, violence, anxiety, worry, discomfort, pain, slows us down and promotes a rest state. In addition to its soothing effect on pain pathway, we propose that serotonin attenuates the dACC, AI/cIOFC and LHb region of the APC, lowering discomfort, deprivation and anxiety. It calms down impulsivity, motivation and drive, via its inhibition of the D1 loop of VS, counteracting the dopamine effect on driving our behavior by putting the brakes on wanting.

We proposed a calming, inhibitory effect of serotonin and potentiating effect of dopamine on the active avoidance and threat reactivity in the amygdalar circuit, in accordance with literature. The amygdala gets the input about threats from the same AI/cIOFC and dACC regions, which feed the inhibitory avoidance circuit. Contrary to the inhibitory avoidance, which is weakened by dopamine, the active avoidance is enforced by it. The active avoidance circuit involves the amygdala projections to D1 loop of VS, to BNST, PAG and hypothalamic stress region, from BNST to VTA and from VTA to VS. Its activation leads to active response to threat or stress, to self-defense, aggression and violence.

This circuit based model adds new ideas on causes and consequences of affective, value-based processing and how they direct our behavior. The model is simple but its predictions are supported by wide literature on addictions, depression, anxiety, impulsivity, violence, anhedonia, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia. The proposed region-specific effects of dopamine and serotonin agents are useful for designing targeted drugs, to minimize their side effects. Our prediction of the value-based bias in cortical input to ventral striatum is testable using optogenetics. We suggest that the relative strength of dACC versus vACC activation is an indicator of danger, alarm, things going wrong versus safety, well-being and things going well. Their ratio of activation can be used in testing the efficiency of antidepressant drugs.

References.

- [1] Botvinick MM, Cohen JD, Carter CS: **Conflict monitoring and anterior cingulate cortex: an update.** Trends Cogn Sci 2004, **8**:539-546.
- [2] Carter CS, Braver TS, Barch DM, Botvinick MM, Noll D, Cohen JD: **Anterior cingulate cortex, error detection, and the online monitoring of performance.** Science 1998, **280**:747-749.
- [3] Davis, KD, Taylor SJ, Crawley AP, Wood ML and Mikulis DJ: **Functional MRI of pain- and attention-related activations in the human cingulate cortex.** J Neurophysiol 1997, **77**:3370-3380.
- [4] Barch DM, Braver TS, Akbudak E, Conturo T, Ollinger J & Snyder A: **Anterior cingulate cortex and response conflict: effects of response modality and processing domain.** Cereb Cortex 2001, **11**:837-848.
- [5] Williams LM, Das P, Liddell BJ: **Fronto-limbic and autonomic disjunctions to negative emotion distinguish schizophrenia subtypes.** Psychiatry Res 2007, **155**:29-44.
- [6] Kimmerly DS, Wong S, Menon, Shoemaker JK: **Forebrain neural patterns associated with sex differences in autonomic and cardiovascular function during baroreceptor unloading.** Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol, 2007, **292**(2):715-722.
- [7] Critchley HD: **Neural mechanisms of autonomic, affective, and cognitive integration.** J Comp Neurol 2005, **493**(1):154-66.

- [8] Devinsky O, Morrell MJ, Vogt BA: **Contributions of anterior cingulate cortex to behavior.** Brain 1995, **118**:279–306.
- [9] Yu AJ, Dayan P: **Uncertainty, neuromodulation and attention.** Neuron 2005, **46**:681-692.
- [10] Gehring, WJ et al : **A neural system of error detection and compensation.** Psychol Sci, 1993, **4**:385-390.
- [11] Jürgens U, von Cramon D: **On the role of the anterior cingulate cortex in phonation: a case report.** Brain Lang, 1982, **15**:234–248.
- [12] Eisenberger NI, Lieberman MD, Williams KD: **Does rejection hurt? An FMRI study of social exclusion.** Science 2003, **302**(5643):290–292.
- [13] Gabriel M, Kubota Y, Sparenborg S, Straube K, Vogt BA: **Effects of cingulate cortical lesions on avoidance learning and training- induced unit activity in rabbits.** Exp Brain Res 1991, **86**:585-600.
- [14] Shackman AJ, Salomons TV, Slagter HA, Fox AS, Winter JJ, Davidson RJ: **The integration of negative affect, pain and cognitive control in the cingulate cortex.** Nat Rev Neurosci, 2011, **12**:154–167.
- [15] Eisenberger NI : **The neural bases of social pain: evidence for shared representations with physical pain.** Psychosom Med 2012, **74**:126–135.
- [16] Spunt RP, Lieberman MD, Cohen JR, Eisenberger NI: **The phenomenology of error processing: the dorsal anterior cingulate response to stop-signal errors tracks reports of negative affect.** J Cogn Neurosci 2012), **24**: 1753-1756.
- [17] Critchley et al : **Human cingulate cortex and autonomic control: converging neuroimaging and clinical evidence.** Brain 2003, **126**:213—2152.
- [18] Kwan CL, Crawley AP, Mikulis DJ, Davis KD: **An fMRI study of the anterior cingulate cortex and surrounding medial wall activations evoked by noxious cutaneous heat and cold stimuli.** Pain 2000, **85**:359-374.
- [19] Jabbi M, Bastiaansen J, Keysers C, Lauwereyns, J: **A common anterior insula representation of disgust observation, experience and imagination shows divergent functional connectivity pathways.** PLoS ONE 2008, **8**:2939-2947.
- [20] Simmons A, Matthews SC, Stein MB, Paulus M: **Anticipation of emotionally aversive visual stimuli activates right insula.** Neuroreport, 2004, **15**:2261–2265.
- [21] Singer T: **The neuronal basis and ontogeny of empathy and mind reading: review of literature and implications for future research.** Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2006, **30**:855–63.
- [22] Vorel SR, Bisaga A, McKhann G, Kleber HD: **Insula damage and quitting smoking.** Science 2007, **317**:318–319.
- [23] Craig AD: **How do you feel – now? The anterior insula and human awareness.** Nat Rev Neurosci 2009, **10**:59–70.
- [24] Schreckenberger M, Siessmeier T, Viertmann A: **The unpleasantness of toxic pain is encoded by the insular cortex.** Neurology 2005, **64**:1175-1183.
- [25] Sanfey AG, Rilling JK, Aronson JA, Nystrom LE, Cohen JD: **The neural basis of economic decision-making in the ultimatum game.** Science 2003, **300**:1755–1758.

- [26] Price JL: **Definition of the orbital cortex in relation to specific connections with limbic and visceral structures and other cortical regions.** Ann N Y Acad Sci 2007, **1121**:54–71.
- [27] Vadovičová K, Gasparotti R (2014) **Prefrontal projections to lateral habenula in humans, DTI study.** arXiv:1402.2196 [q-bio.NC], <http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.2196>
- [28] Beckstead RM: **An autoradiographic examination of corticocortical and subcortical projections of the mediodorsal-projection (prefrontal) cortex in the rat.** J Comp Neurol 1979, **184**:43–62.
- [29] Kim U, Lee T: **Topography of descending projections from anterior insular and medial prefrontal regions to the lateral habenula of the epithalamus in the rat.** Eur J neurosci 2012, **35**:1253–1269.
- [30] Hong S and Hikosaka O: **The globus pallidus sends reward-related signals to the lateral habenula.** Neuron 2008, **60**:720-729.
- [31] Strassman AM, Raymond SA, Burstein R: **Sensitization of meningeal sensory neurons and the origin of headaches.** Nature 1996, **384**:560-564.
- [32] Goto M, Canteras NS, Burns G, Swanson LW: **Projections from the subfornical region of the lateral hypothalamic area.** J Comp Neurol 2005, **493**:412-438.
- [33] Wirtshafter D, Asin KE, Pitzer MR: **Dopamine agonists and stress produce different patterns of Fos-like immunoreactivity in the lateral habenula.** Brain Res 1994, **633**:21-26.
- [34] Christoph GR, Leonzio RJ, Wilcox KS: **Stimulation of the lateral habenula inhibits dopamine-containing neurons in the substantia nigra and ventral tegmental area of the rat.** J Neurosci 1986, **6**:613–619.
- [35] Wang RY, Aghajanian GK: **Physiological evidence for habenula as major link between forebrain and midbrain raphe.** Science 1977, **197**:89–91.
- [36] Hong S, Zhou TC, Smith M, Saleem KS, Hikosaka O: **Negative reward signals from the lateral habenula to dopamine neurons are mediated by rostromedial tegmental nucleus in primates.** J Neurosci 2011, **31**:11457-11471.
- [37] Kiss J, Csaki A, Bokor H, Kocsis K, Kocsis B: **Possible glutamatergic/aspartatergic projections to the supramammillary nucleus and their origins in the rat studied by selective [3H]D-aspartate labelling and immunocytochemistry.** Neuroscience 2002, **111**:671-691.
- [38] Matsumoto M, Hikosaka O: **Representation of negative motivational value in the primate lateral habenula.** Nat Neurosci 2009, **12**:77-84.
- [39] Frank MJ, Seeberger LC, O'Reilly R: **By carrot or by stick: cognitive reinforcement learning in Parkinsonism.** Science 2004, **306**:1940–1943.
- [40] Frank MJ, Moustafa AA, Haughey HM, Curran T, Hutchison KE: **Genetic triple dissociation reveals multiple roles for dopamine in reinforcement learning.** Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007, **104**:16311–16316.
- [41] Frank M, Hutchison K: **Genetic contributions to avoidance-based decisions: Striatal D2 receptor polymorphisms.** Neuroscience 2009, **164**:131–140.

- [42] Smith Y, Bevan MM, Shink E, Bolam JP: **Microcircuitry of the direct and indirect pathways of the basal ganglia.** Neuroscience 1998, **86**:353–387.
- [43] Chen BT, Yau HJ, Hatch Ch, Kusumoto-Yoshida I, Cho SL, Hopf FW & Bonci A: **Rescuing cocaine-induced prefrontal cortex hypoactivity prevents compulsive cocaine seeking.** Nature 2013, **496**:359–362.
- [44] O'Doherty J, Kringelbach ML, Rolls ET, Hornak J, Andrews C: **Abstract reward and punishment in the human orbitofrontal cortex.** Nat Neurosci 2001, **4**:95-102.
- [45] Ullsperger M, von Cramon DY : **Error monitoring using external feedback: Specific roles of the habenular complex, the reward system, and the cingulate motor area revealed by functional magnetic resonance imaging.** J Neurosci 2003, **23**:4308–4314.
- [46] Araki M, McGeer PL, Kimura H: **The efferent projections of the rat lateral habenular nucleus revealed by the PHA-L anterograde tracing method.** Brain Res 1988, **441**:319–330.
- [47] Lecourtier L, Kelly PH: **A conductor hidden in the orchestra? Role of the habenular complex in monoamine transmission and cognition.** Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2007, **31**:658–672.
- [48] Herkenham M, Nauta WJH: **Afferent connections of the habenular nuclei in the rat. A horseradish peroxidase study, with a note on the fiber-of-passage problem.** J Comp Neurol 1977, **173**:123–146.
- [49] de Almeida RMM, Ferrari PF, Parmigiani S, Miczek KA: **Escalated aggressive behavior: dopamine, serotonin and GABA.** Eur J Pharmacol 2005, **526**:51–64.
- [50] Ridderinkhof KR, de Vlught Y, Bramlage A, Spaan M, Elton M, Snel J, Band GPH: **Alcohol consumption impairs detection of performance errors in mediofrontal cortex.** Science 2002, **298**:2209-2211.
- [51] Holroyd CB, Coles MGH: **The neural basis of human error processing: reinforcement learning, dopamine, and the error-related negativity.** Psychol Rev 2002, **109**:679-709.
- [52] Shepard PD, Holcomb HH, Gold JM: **Schizophrenia in translation: the presence of absence: habenular regulation of dopamine neurons and the encoding of negative outcomes.** Schizophr Bull 2006, **32**:417–421.
- [53] Cavanagh JF, Bismark AJ, Frank MJ, Allen JJ: **Larger error signals in major depression are associated with better avoidance learning.** Front Psychol 2011, **2**:331.
- [54] Wooten DW, Hillmer AT, Moirano JM, Ahlers EO, Slesarev M, Barnhart TE: **Measurement of 5-HT(1A) receptor density and in-vivo binding parameters of [(18)F]medway in the nonhuman primate.** J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2012, **32**:1546-58.
- [55] Tunnicliff G: **Molecular basis of buspirone's anxiolytic action.** Pharmacol Toxicol 1991, **69**:149-156.
- [56] Hahn A: **Differential modulation of the default mode network via serotonin-1A receptors.** Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012, **109**(7):2619-2624.
- [57] Heisler L K , Chu HM, Brennan TJ: **Elevated anxiety and antidepressant-like responses in serotonin 5-HT1A receptor mutant mice.** Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998, **95**:15049-15054.

- [58] Parks CL, Robinson PS, Sibille E : **Increased anxiety of mice lacking the serotonin1A receptor.** Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998, **95**:10734-10739.
- [59] Ramboz S, Oosting R, Ait Amara D, Kung HF: **Serotonin receptor 1A knockout: An animal model of anxiety-related disorder.** Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 1998, **95**:14476-1448.
- [60] Gross C, Zhuang X, Stark K: **Serotonin1A receptor acts during development to establish normal anxiety-like behaviour in the adult.** Nature 2002, **416**:396–400.
- [61] Constantino JN, Morris JA, Murphy DL: **CSF 5-HIAA and family history of antisocial personality disorder in newborns.** Am J Psychiatry 1997, **154**:1771–1773.
- [62] Olivier B, Mos J, van Oorschot R, Hen R: **Serotonin receptors and animal models of aggressive behavior.** Pharmacopsychiatry 1995, **28**:80–90.
- [63] Baumgartner U, Buchholz HG, Bellosevich A: **High opiate receptor binding potential in the human lateral pain system.** NeuroImage 2006, **30**:692-699.
- [64] Jones AK, Qi LY, Fujirawa T : **In vivo distribution of opioid receptors in man in relation to the cortical projections of the medial and lateral pain systems measured with positron emission tomography.** Neurosci Lett 1991, **126**:25–28.
- [65] Margolis EB, Toy B, Himmels P, Morales M, Fields HL: **Identification of Rat Ventral Tegmental Area GABAergic Neurons.** PLoS ONE 2012, **7**:e42365.
- [66] Biver F, Wikler D, Lotstra F: **Serotonin 5-HT2 receptor imaging in major depression: focal changes in orbito-insular cortex.** Br J Psychiatry 1997, **171**:444–448.
- [67] Vázquez-Borsetti P, Celada P, Cortes R, Artigas F: **Simultaneous projections from prefrontal cortex to dopaminergic and serotonergic nuclei.** Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2011, **14**:289–302.
- [68] Shelton L, Pendse G, Maleki N: **Mapping pain activation and connectivity of the human habenula.** Journal of Neurophysiology 2012, **107**:2633-48.
- [69] Masten CL, Telzer EH, Fuligni AJ, Lieberman LD, Eisenberger NI: **Time spent with friends in adolescence relates to less neural sensitivity to later peer rejection.** Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci 2012, **7**:106-114.
- [70] Kassir SA, Underwood MD, Bakalian MJ, Mann JJ, Arango V: **5HT1A binding in dorsal and median raphe nuclei of suicide victims.** Abstr Soc Neurosci 1998, **24**:1274.
- [71] Parsons LH, Justice JB : **Serotonin and dopamine sensitization in the nucleus accumbens, ventral tegmental area, and dorsal raphe nucleus following repeated cocaine administration.** J Neurochem 1993, **61**(5):1611-1620.
- [72] Choi JM, Padmala S, Spechler P, Pessoa L: **Pervasive competition between threat and reward in the brain.** Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci 2013, Apr 1, in press.
- [73] Maes M, Meltzer HY: **The serotonin hypothesis of major depression.** F.E Bloom, D.J Kupfer (Eds.), Psychopharmacology The Fourth Generation of Progress, Raven Press, New York 1995, 933–944.

- [74] Covington, HE 3rd, Lobo MK, Maze I, Vialou V, Hyman JM, Zaman S: **Antidepressant effect of optogenetic stimulation of the medial prefrontal cortex.** J Neurosci 2010, **30**:16082–16090.
- [75] Morris BJ, Reimer S, Höllt V, Herz A: **Regulation of striatal prodynorphin mRNA levels by the raphe-striatal pathway.** Brain Res 1988, **464**:15–22.
- [76] Caldecott-Hazard S, Mazziotta J, Phelps M: **Cerebral correlates of depressed behavior in rats, visualized using 14C-2-deoxyglucose autoradiography.** J Neurosci 1988, **8**:1951–1961.
- [77] Yang LM, Hu B, Xia YH, Zhang BL, Zhao H: **Lateral habenula lesions improve the behavioral response in depressed rats via increasing the serotonin level in dorsal raphe nucleus.** Behav Brain Res 2008, **188**:84–90.
- [78] Di Simplicio M, Norbury R, Harmer CJ: **Short-term antidepressant administration reduces negative self-referential processing in the medial prefrontal cortex in subjects at risk for depression.** Mol Psychiatry 2011, **17**:503-510.
- [79] Dafny N, Qiao JT: **Habenular neuron responses to noxious input are modified by dorsal raphe stimulation.** Neurol Res 1990, **12**:117-121.
- [80] Gao DM, Hoffman D, Benabid AL: **Simultaneous recording of spontaneous activities and nociceptive responses from neurons in the pars compacta of substantia nigra and in the lateral habenula.** Eur J Neurosci 1996, **8**: 1474-1478.
- [81] Mahieux G, Benabid AL: **Naloxone-reversible analgesia induced by electrical stimulation of the habenula in the rat.** Brain Res 1987, **406**:118-129.
- [82] Murphy CA, DiCamillo AM, Haun F, Murray M: **Lesion of the habenular efferent pathway produces anxiety and locomotor hyperactivity in rats: a comparison of the effects of neonatal and adult lesions.** Behav Brain Res 1996, **81**:43-52.
- [83] Sasaki K, Suda H, Watanabe H, Yagi H: **Involvement of the entopeduncular nucleus and the habenula in methamphetamine-induced inhibition of dopamine neurons in the substantia nigra of rats.** Brain Res Bull 1990, **25**:121-127.
- [84] Comoli E, Coizet V, Boyes J: **A direct projection from superior colliculus to substantia nigra for detecting salient visual events.** Nat Neurosci 2003, **6**:974–980.
- [85] Risinger RC, Salmeron BJ, Ross TJ, Amen SL, Sanfilippo M, Hoffmann RG, Benabid AI : **Neural correlates of high and craving during cocaine self-administration using BOLD fMRI.** NeuroImage 2005, **26**:1097-1108.
- [86] Wise RA: **Neuroleptics and operant-behavior - the Anhedonia hypothesis.** Behav Brain Sci 1982, **5**:39-53.
- [87] Erritzoe D, Frokjaer VG, Holst KK, Hansen DL, Jernigan TL, Lehel S, Knudsen GM: **In vivo imaging of cerebral serotonin transporter and serotonin (2A) receptor binding in 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA or "ecstasy") and hallucinogen users.** Arch Gen Psychiatry 2011, **68**:562-76.
- [88] Seymour B, Daw ND, Roiser JP, Dayan P, Dolan R: **Serotonin selectively modulates reward value in human decision-making.** J Neurosci 2012, **32**:5833–5842.

- [89] Martín-Ruiz R, Puig MV, Celada P: **Control of serotonergic function in medial prefrontal cortex by serotonin-2A receptors through a glutamate-dependent mechanism.** J Neurosci 2001, **21**:9856–9866.
- [90] Pentkowski NS, Acosta JI, Browning JR, Hamilton EC, Neisewander JL: **Stimulation of 5-HT(1B) receptors enhances cocaine reinforcement yet reduces cocaine-seeking behavior.** Addict Biol 2009, **14**:419–430.
- [91] Rocha, BA, Scearce-Levie K, Lucas JJ, Hiroi N, Castanon N, Crabbe JC, Nestler EJ, Hen R: **Increased vulnerability to cocaine in mice lacking the serotonin-1B receptor.** Nature 1998, **393**:175–178.
- [92] Tanaka SC, Schweighofer N, Asahi S, Shishida K, Okamoto Y, Yamawaki S, Doya K: **Serotonin differently regulates short- and long-term prediction of rewards in the ventral and dorsal striatum.** PLoS ONE, 2007, **2**:e1333.
- [93] Conrad LC, Leonard CM, Pfaff DW: **Connections of the median and dorsal raphe nuclei in the rat: an autoradiographic and degeneration study.** J Comp Neurol 1974, **156**:179–205.
- [94] Leibowitz SF, Alexander JT: **Hypothalamic serotonin in control of eating behavior, meal size, and body weight.** Biol Psychiatry 1998, **44**:851–864.
- [95] Bever KA, Perry PJ: **Dexfenfluramine hydrochloride: an anorexigenic agent.** Am J Health Syst Pharm 1997, **54**:2059–2072.
- [96] Milad MR, Quirk GJ: **Neurons in medial prefrontal cortex signal memory for fear extinction.** Nature 2002, **420**:70–74.
- [97] Amano T, Unal CT, Paré D: **Synaptic correlates of fear extinction in the amygdala.** Nat Neurosci 2010, **3**:489–494.
- [98] Takahashi A, Kwa C, Debold JF, Miczek KA: **GABAA receptors in the dorsal raphe, nucleus of mice: escalation of aggression after alcohol consumption.** Psychopharmacology 2010, **211**:467–477.
- [99] Coccaro EF, McCloskey MS, Fitzgerald DA, Phan KL: **Amygdala and orbitofrontal reactivity to social threat in individuals with impulsive aggression.** Biol Psychiatry 2007, **62**:168–178.
- [100] Schwandt ML, Lindell SG, Sjöberg RL, Chisholm KL, Higley JD, Suomi SJ: **Gene–environment interactions and response to social intrusion in male and female rhesus macaques.** Biol Psychiatry 2010, **67**:323–330.
- [101] Clarke HF, Dalley JW, Crofts HS, Robbins TW, Roberts AC: **Cognitive inflexibility after prefrontal serotonin depletion.** Science 2004, **304**:878–880.
- [102] Chamberlain SR, Muller U, Blackwell AD, Clark L, Robbins TW & Sahakian BJ: **Neurochemical modulation of response inhibition and probabilistic learning in humans.** Science 2006, **311**:861–863.
- [103] Tse WS, Bond AJ: **Serotonergic intervention affects both social dominance and affiliative behavior.** Psychopharmacology 2002, **161**(3):324–330.
- [104] Zhang Y, Damjanoska KJ, Carrasco GA, Dudas B, D'Souza DN, Tetzlaff J, Garcia F, Hanley NR, Scripathirathan K, Petersen BR, Gray TS, Battaglia G, Muma NA, Van de Kar LD: **Evidence that 5-HT2A receptors in the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus mediate neuroendocrine responses to (-)DOI.** J Neurosci 2002, **22**:9635–9642.

[105]LeDoux, JE, Iwata J, Cicchetti , Reis DJ: **Different projections of the central amygdaloid nucleus mediate autonomic and behavioral correlates of conditioned fear.** J Neurosci 1988, **8**:2517–2529.

[106]Bushman, BJ and Cooper, HM: **Effects of alcohol on human aggression: an integrative research review.** Psychol Bull 1990, **107**:341–354.

[107]Salomon, RM, Mazure CM, Delgado PL, Mendia P, Charney DS: **Serotonin function in aggression - the effect of acute plasma tryptophan depletion in aggressive patients.** Biol Psychiatry 1994, **35**:570–572.

[108]Dougherty DM, Moeller FG, Bjork JM, Marsh DM: **Plasma L-tryptophan depletion and aggression.** Adv Exp Med Biol 1999, **467**:57–65.