

QUASICONFORMAL EXTENSIONS TO SPACE OF WEIERSTRASS-ENNEPER LIFTS

M. CHUAQUI, P. DUREN, AND B. OSGOOD

To the memory of Professor F.W. Gehring

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{D} denote the unit disk in the complex plane and let $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a harmonic mapping. As is customary, we write $f = h + \bar{g}$ where g and h are analytic. If $|h'| + |g'| \neq 0$ and the dilatation $\omega = g'/h'$ is the square of a meromorphic function then there is a lift \tilde{f} mapping \mathbb{D} onto a minimal surface Σ in \mathbb{R}^3 . The function \tilde{f} is called the Weierstrass-Enneper parametrization of Σ . Its three components are themselves harmonic functions and \tilde{f} is a conformal mapping of \mathbb{D} onto Σ with conformal metric

$$e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2, \quad e^\sigma = |h'| + |g'|,$$

on \mathbb{D} . The Gaussian curvature of Σ at a point $\tilde{f}(z)$ is

$$K(\tilde{f}(z)) = -e^{-2\sigma(z)} \Delta \sigma(z).$$

The Schwarzian derivative of \tilde{f} is

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{S}\tilde{f} = 2(\partial_{zz}\sigma - (\partial_z\sigma)^2).$$

We also call this the Schwarzian of f . This becomes the familiar Schwarzian when f is analytic, in which case $f = \tilde{f}$, $\sigma = \log |f'|$, and

$$\mathcal{S}f = \left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right)' - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right)^2.$$

See [2].

From the seminal papers of Nehari [6] and Ahlfors and Weill [1] one knows that the growth of the Schwarzian derivative of an analytic function is related to the injectivity and quasiconformal extension of the function. The principal result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1. *Let $0 \leq s \leq 1$. Suppose \tilde{f} satisfies*

$$(2) \quad |\mathcal{S}\tilde{f}(z)| + e^{2\sigma(z)}|K(\tilde{f}(z))| \leq \frac{2s}{(1-|z|^2)^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

If $s < 1$ then \tilde{f} has a $k(s)$ -quasiconformal extension to $\overline{\mathbb{R}^3}$. If $s = 1$ and $\partial\Sigma$ is a Jordan curve then the extension is a homeomorphism.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C99; Secondary 31A05, 53A10.

Key words and phrases. Harmonic mapping, Schwarzian derivative, curvature, minimal surface.

The authors were supported in part by FONDECYT Grant # 1110321.

That \tilde{f} is injective in \mathbb{D} was proved in [3] in even greater generality, so the point here is the extension. It was also proved in [3] that if \tilde{f} satisfies (2) with $s = 1$ then f and \tilde{f} have spherically continuous extensions to $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Furthermore, we know exactly when $\partial\Sigma$ fails to be a Jordan curve in \mathbb{R}^3 , namely, either \tilde{f} is holomorphic and $\tilde{f}(\mathbb{D})$ is the Möbius image of a parallel strip, or \tilde{f} maps $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ into a catenoid and $\partial\Sigma$ is pinched by a Euclidean circle on the surface. In any case, there is a Euclidean circle C on $\overline{\Sigma}$ and a point $p \in C$ with $\tilde{f}(\zeta_1) = p = \tilde{f}(\zeta_2)$ for a pair of points $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. Equality holds in (2) with $s = 1$ along $\tilde{f}^{-1}(C \setminus \{p\})$, and because of this a function satisfying the stronger inequality with $s < 1$ or the strict inequality with $s = 1$ is always injective on $\partial\mathbb{D}$.

The extension, denoted by $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$, generalizes the one given by Ahlfors and Weill. It is constructed by setting up a correspondence between two fibrations of space by Euclidean circles, one fibration based on \mathbb{D} and the other on Σ . Fundamental properties of these fibrations rely on the convexity relative to the hyperbolic metric of the function

$$(3) \quad u_{\tilde{f}}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 - |z|^2)e^\sigma}},$$

and on the concept of *best Möbius approximations* to the lift \tilde{f} . If T is a Möbius map of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^3}$ then $u_{T \circ \tilde{f}}(z)$ is convex as well (see [4]).

Finally, as a corollary of this work, we will derive a sufficient condition for quasiconformal extension of planar harmonic mappings. In addition to the Schwarzian bound, we need to assume that Σ is locally a graph and that $|\omega|$ is adequately bounded (see Theorems 2, 3 below). In analogy with [1], we obtain simultaneously a univalence criterion for harmonic mappings together with a quasiconformal extension. The explicit formula

$$f(z) + \frac{(1 - |z|^2)h'(z)}{\bar{z} - (1 - |z|^2)\sigma_z(z)} + \frac{(1 - |z|^2)\overline{g'(z)}}{z - (1 - |z|^2)\sigma_{\bar{z}}(z)},$$

that we derive for the extension of $f = h + \bar{g}$ at the point $\zeta = 1/\bar{z}$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, parallels the classical Ahlfors-Weill expression for analytic f , given by

$$f(z) + \frac{(1 - |z|^2)f'(z)}{\bar{z} - \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z|^2)\frac{f''}{f'}}.$$

2. CIRCLES AND BEST MÖBIUS APPROXIMATIONS

Let \tilde{f} be the canonical lift of f to a conformal parametrization of a minimal surface. In the sequel, we will assume that \tilde{f} is injective in the closed disk. Among other things, this guarantees that the function $u_{T \circ \tilde{f}}(z)$ has at most one critical point in \mathbb{D} . For $z \in \mathbb{D}$ we let $M_z(\tilde{f}) = M_z$ be the (unique) Möbius map of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^3}$ with the properties:

- (i) M_z maps the plane \mathbb{C} to the tangent plane to Σ at $\tilde{f}(z)$;
- (ii) $M_z(z) = \tilde{f}(z)$;
- (iii) $DM_z(z)$ restricted to \mathbb{C} agrees with $D\tilde{f}(z)$;
- (iv) the tangential components of $D^2M_z(z)$ agree with $D^2\tilde{f}(z)$.

An important issue regarding these best Möbius approximations is their connection with the critical point of the function (3). Let $C_0(z)$ be the circle in space orthogonal to \mathbb{C} passing through the points z and $z^* = 1/\bar{z}$. Note that these circles are disjoint for different values of z , and that their union fills $\overline{\mathbb{R}^3}/\partial\mathbb{D}$. We define $C(\tilde{f}(z)) = M_z(C_0(z))$. The circle $C(\tilde{f}(z))$ is orthogonal to the tangent plane $P_{\tilde{f}(z)}$ to Σ at $\tilde{f}(z)$, and passes through the points $\tilde{f}(z) = M_z(z)$ and $M_z(z^*)$. For a point $q \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we consider the Möbius inversion in space given by

$$I_q(Q) = \frac{Q - q}{|Q - q|^2}.$$

The map $z \rightarrow I_q(\tilde{f}(z))$ is a conformal embedding of \mathbb{D} into space, with conformal factor

$$e^\tau = \frac{e^\sigma}{|\tilde{f}(z) - q|^2}.$$

The following lemma is contained in [4], but we provide an alternative proof here.

Lemma 1. *Let $q \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then $q \in C(\tilde{f}(z_0))$ if and only if the function*

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 - |z|^2)e^\tau}}$$

has a critical point at z_0 .

Proof. It is not difficult to verify that the circle $C_0(z_0)$ consists of all points $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with the property that the inversion I_p produces a critical point at z_0 of the function

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 - |z|^2)|dI_p(z)|}}.$$

Let $q = T_{z_0}(p)$ for some $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and consider the inversion I_q . Since the best Möbius approximation T_{z_0} agrees with \tilde{f} to second order (in the tangential derivatives), we see that the function in (4) has a critical point at z_0 if and only if

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 - |z|^2)|d\psi(z)|}}$$

has a critical point at z_0 , where $\psi(z) = I_q(T_{z_0}(z))$. Since $q = T_{z_0}(p)$, then $I_q \circ T_{z_0}$ is a Möbius transformation that sends p to the point at infinity, and hence it equals I_p up to an affine mappings. From this the lemma follows. \square

We recall from [4] some important facts about the family of circles $\{C(\tilde{f}(z)) : z \in \mathbb{D}\}$. From the uniqueness of critical point and the description given by Lemma 1, it follows that these circles form a disjoint family. It was established in [4] that their union is all of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^3}/\partial\tilde{f}(\mathbb{D})$. In that paper, we considered a 2-dimensional extension of \tilde{f} to $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ by defining $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(z^*) = M_z(z^*)$, completing $\tilde{f}(\mathbb{D})$ to a topological sphere in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^3}$. Our aim here is to extend \tilde{f} further to all of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^3}$; the procedure will be based on the Möbius approximations M_z and the families of circles in domain and image. We let

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p) = \begin{cases} M_z(p) & , \quad p \in C_0(z) \\ \tilde{f}(z) & , \quad p \in \partial\mathbb{D} . \end{cases}$$

When $p = z \in \mathbb{D}$ then $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(z) = \tilde{f}(z)$, while for $p = z^*$ we recover our previous extension in [4].

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The fact that $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ is a homeomorphism is essentially contained in [4]. Indeed, since for different values of z the circles $C(\tilde{f}(z))$ are disjoint, we conclude that $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ is injective. The extension is also onto because the family of circles fills $\overline{\mathbb{R}^3}/\partial\tilde{f}(\mathbb{D})$. The continuity at points $p \notin \partial\mathbb{D}$ follows from the analytic dependence of M_z on z . At points $p \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ we had already shown in [4] that the extension is continuous; in fact, under the assumption that test function u_f has a critical in \mathbb{D} then the entire circle $C(\tilde{f}(z))$ shrinks to zero as $z \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{D}$. The construction shows also that the inverse is continuous. This proves that $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ is a homeomorphism whenever the lift is injective in the closed disk. Showing quasiconformality will require some preparation through a series of lemmas.

We recall some important facts about hyperbolic geometry in \mathbb{H}^3 , and introduce some additional notation. It will suffice to analyze $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ in the upper half space \mathbb{H}^3 . The upper hemisphere Σ_0 lying over \mathbb{D} is the envelope of the family of horospheres $\{H(z) : z \in \mathbb{D}\}$, where $H(z)$ is tangent to \mathbb{D} at z and has Euclidean radius $r_0(z) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z|^2)$. The point of tangency between Σ_0 and $H(z)$, denoted by $p(z)$, lies in the intersection of $H(z)$ and the semicircle $C_0(z)$ introduced earlier. Choose a normal vector to Σ_0 pointing upward. For fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the flow \mathcal{G}_t defines a surface $\Sigma_{0,t}$ parallel to Σ_0 by following unit speed geodesics in \mathbb{H}^3 normal to Σ_0 for time t . The resulting parallel surfaces are portions of spheres in \mathbb{H}^3 which intersect the complex plane on $\partial\mathbb{D}$; they lie within the ball or outside the ball in upper half space according to whether $t < 0$ or $t > 0$. An important fact is that the resulting correspondence $p_t(z)$ between \mathbb{D} and $\Sigma_{0,t}$ is conformal for every value of t . In addition,

(a) $S_{0,t}$ is the envelope of the family of horospheres $\{H_t(z) : z \in \mathbb{D}\}$ tangent at z with radius

$$r_{0,t}(z) = e^{2t}r_0(z);$$

(b) the point $p_t(z)$ of tangency between $H_t(z)$ and $\Sigma_{0,t}$ is obtained by moving $p(z)$ a hyperbolic distance t along $C_0(z)$.

The extension $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ can be visualized as acting in directions tangent and normal to the circles $C_0(z)$. In the image we define the surfaces $\Sigma_t = \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(\Sigma_{0,t})$, which can be parametrized by $z \in \mathbb{D}$ as

$$(6) \quad \phi_t(z) = M_z(p_t(z)).$$

The Möbius approximations can also serve to carry over to the image the horospheres $H_t(z)$ by defining $K_t(\tilde{f}(z)) = M_z(H_t(z))$. This is to be thought as a horosphere in the “upper halfspace” determined by the tangent plane $P_{\tilde{f}(z)}$ to Σ at $\tilde{f}(z)$, with the orientation given by the normal vector $\hat{N}(z)$ (short for $\hat{N}(\tilde{f}(z))$) to the minimal surface. If the minimal surface were planar, then the results in [5] would be applicable and would allow to estimate the quasiconformal distortion of $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ by analyzing certain parallel flows in (a single) hyperbolic space. The presence of Gaussian curvature on the minimal surface has the consequence that Σ_t is no longer the envelope of the family of spheres $\{K_t(\tilde{f}(z)) : z \in \mathbb{D}\}$, as was the case in [5]. The derivative of $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ in the direction of a circle $C_0(z)$ will be tangent to the image circle

$C(\tilde{f}(z))$, but the derivative of $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ in directions tangent to $S_{0,t}$ will not necessarily be tangent to the corresponding sphere $K(\tilde{f}(z))$. In other words, the circles $C(\tilde{f}(z))$ are not necessarily orthogonal to the surfaces Σ_t , and much of the difficulty in the proof of Theorem 1 comes from trying to isolate and estimate the term responsible for this defect. This alone marks an important difference with the holomorphic case, and in some sense, is one of the reasons why the quasiconformal distortion does not turn out to be a clean $(1+s)/(1-s)$.

We need to take a closer look at the Möbius approximations and their dependence in z . Since (2) is invariant under composition with automorphisms of \mathbb{D} , it will suffice to compute the distortion of $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ along the circle $C(0)$ for arbitrary f as in the theorem. With an analysis near $z = 0$ in mind, we write the Möbius approximations $M_z : \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow P_{\tilde{f}(z)} \cup \{\infty\}$ in the form

$$(7) \quad M_z(\zeta) = \gamma(z) + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\beta(z)\zeta}{1 - \alpha(z)\zeta} \right\} \hat{e}_x(z) + \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{\beta(z)\zeta}{1 - \alpha(z)\zeta} \right\} \hat{e}_y(z),$$

where \hat{e}_x, \hat{e}_y are the unit tangent vectors in the directions of $\partial_x \tilde{f}, \partial_y \tilde{f}$, respectively.

Lemma 2. *With the notation as before then*

$$(i) \quad \frac{\beta(z)}{(1 - z\alpha(z))^2} = e^{\sigma(z)};$$

$$(ii) \quad \alpha(z) = \frac{\sigma_z(z)}{1 + z\sigma_z(z)}.$$

Proof. We write $\zeta = x + iy$ and differentiate (7) with respect to x, y for z fixed. Then

$$\partial_x M_z(\zeta) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\beta(z)}{(1 - \alpha(z)\zeta)^2} \right\} \hat{e}_x(z) + \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{\beta(z)}{(1 - \alpha(z)\zeta)^2} \right\} \hat{e}_y(z) = e^{\sigma(z)} \hat{e}_x(z),$$

and

$$\partial_y M_z(\zeta) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{i\beta(z)}{(1 - \alpha(z)\zeta)^2} \right\} \hat{e}_x(z) + \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{i\beta(z)}{(1 - \alpha(z)\zeta)^2} \right\} \hat{e}_y(z) = e^{\sigma(z)} \hat{e}_y(z).$$

These equations imply that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\beta(z)}{(1 - \alpha(z)\zeta)^2} \right\} = e^{\sigma(z)} \quad , \quad \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{\beta(z)}{(1 - \alpha(z)\zeta)^2} \right\} = 0,$$

which proves part (i).

To prove part (ii) we differentiate a second time, to obtain

$$\partial_{xx} M_z(\zeta) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{2\alpha(z)\beta(z)}{(1 - \alpha(z)\zeta)^3} \right\} \hat{e}_x(z) + \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{2\alpha(z)\beta(z)}{(1 - \alpha(z)\zeta)^3} \right\} \hat{e}_y(z) = \tilde{f}_{xx}^{\tan}(z).$$

On the other hand,

$$\langle \tilde{f}_{xx}(z), \hat{e}_x(z) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\sigma(z)} \partial_x \langle \tilde{f}_x(z), \tilde{f}_x(z) \rangle = e^{\sigma(z)} \sigma_x(z),$$

and similarly,

$$\langle \tilde{f}_{xx}(z), \hat{e}_y(z) \rangle = -e^{\sigma(z)} \sigma_y(z),$$

hence

$$\tilde{f}_{xx}^{\tan}(z) = e^{\sigma(z)} (\sigma_x(z) \hat{e}_x(z) + \sigma_y(z) \hat{e}_y(z)).$$

We conclude that

$$\frac{2\alpha(z)}{1 - z\alpha(z)} = 2\sigma_z(z),$$

which gives part (ii). □

Remark: The equation $M_z(z) = \tilde{f}(z)$ implies in (7) that $\gamma_x(0) = \gamma_y(0) = 0$.

In order to establish the quasiconformality of the extension $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ when \tilde{f} satisfies (3), we will compute the derivatives of $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ along the circles $C_0(0)$ and along directions orthogonal to them, that is, tangent to the surfaces $\Sigma_{0,t}$. Since the extension acts as the fixed Möbius mapping M_z along a given circle $C_0(z)$, we can express the derivative of $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ along $C_0(0)$ using hyperbolic geometry relative to \mathbb{C} and the tangent plane in the image. On the other hand, since the correspondence $z \rightarrow p_t(z)$ is conformal with known conformal factor, the calculation of the derivatives of $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ normal to the circles is equivalent to finding the derivatives of the mapping $\phi_t(z)$ defined in (6). The invariance under rotations of the class considered reduces the task to computing just $\partial_x \phi_t(z)$. This is given by

$$(8) \quad (DT_z)(p_t(z))(\partial_x p_t(z)) + (\partial_x M_z)(p_t(z)),$$

and evaluated at $z = 0$. The first term contains the contribution from the differential of the mapping M_z , while the second term considers the variation of the Möbius approximations from point to point. Since the transformation M_z maps the horosphere $H_t(z)$ to $K_t(\tilde{f}(z))$, we see that the first term in (8) is tangent to $K_t(\tilde{f}(z))$ at $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p_t(z))$. Since M_z is a hyperbolic isometry between corresponding upper halfspaces, the size of this first term is determined by $|\partial_x p_t(z)|$, together with the heights of $p_t(z)$ with respect to \mathbb{C} and of $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p_t(z))$ with respect to the tangent plane $P_{\tilde{f}(z)}$. The strategy of our proof of quasiconformality will be the following:

- (A) to decompose (8) in components tangential and normal to $K_t(\tilde{f}(z))$ at $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p_t(z))$;
- (B) to show that the first term in (8) is the dominant one when considering the contributions to the component tangent to $K_t(\tilde{f}(z))$;
- (C) to show that, except for the factor $|\partial_x p_t(z)|$, this first term equals in size the derivative of $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ along the circles;
- (D) to show that the size of term in (8) normal to $K_t(\tilde{f}(z))$ is comparable to the derivative of $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ along the circles.

While the first term in (8) is well understood, the second one requires a closer analysis in order to determine parts tangent and normal to $K_t(\tilde{f}(z))$. We are to find the x -derivative of the mapping $z \rightarrow M_z(p)$ at $z_0 = 0$, where $p = p_t(z_0)$ is fixed. Since p lies in the intersection of $C_0(z_0) = C_0$ and the horosphere $H_t(z_0) = H_0$, then $M_z(p)$ must lie in the intersection of the circle $\Gamma(z) = M_z(C_0)$ and the sphere $S(z) = M_z(H_0)$. The circle $\Gamma(z)$ is orthogonal to the tangent plane $P_{\tilde{f}(z)}$ at the points $M_z(z_0)$ and $M_z(z_0^*)$. Because the transformations M_z are best Möbius approximations, we see that $(\partial_x M_z)|_{\{z=z_0\}}(z_0) = 0$. Therefore, *for the purpose of calculating first derivatives*, we may assume that $M_z(z_0)$ is the fixed point $M_{z_0}(z_0) = \tilde{f}(z_0) = O$, say the origin. The sphere $S(z)$ is tangent to the plane $P_{\tilde{f}(z)}$ at $M_z(z_0)$, and has radius equal to $|DM_z(z_0)|r_{0,t}(z_0)$. This quantity has, once more, vanishing derivative at $z = z_0$ because of the higher order of contact of the Möbius approximations. We conclude that we may assume that the spheres $S(z)$ are tangent to planes at a common

point O and have a fixed radius equal to $|DM_{z_0}(z_0)|r_{0,t}(z_0) = e^{\sigma(z_0)}r_{0,t}(z_0) = a$. Nevertheless, the planes to which these congruent spheres are tangent at O have the varying normal vector $\hat{N}(z)$ determined by the minimal surface Σ . With this and simple trigonometry, we can write

$$(9) \quad M_x(p) = \cos^2(\varphi)M_x(z_0^*) + 2a \sin^2(\varphi)\hat{N}(x),$$

where $\varphi = \varphi(x)$ is the angle of elevation of the point $M_x(p)$ measured from O with respect to the plane through O with normal $\hat{N}(x)$, short for $\hat{N}(z_0 + x)$. The angle φ is determined by the equation

$$(10) \quad a \sin(\varphi) = r(x) \cos(\varphi),$$

where $2r(x) = |M_x(z_0^*) - O|$. Then

$$(11) \quad (\partial_x M_x)(p) = \tau \left(M_x(z_0^*) - 2a\hat{N}(x) \right) + \cos^2(\varphi)(\partial_x M_x)(z_0^*) + 2a \sin^2(\varphi)\partial_x \hat{N}(x),$$

where $\tau = \tau(x) = -2\sin(\varphi)\cos(\varphi)\varphi'(x)$. In the following lemma we use the notation A_0 to denote a quantity A evaluated at z_0 .

Lemma 3. *With the notation as above we have that*

$$(12) \quad (\partial_x M_x)|_{\{z=z_0\}}(z_0^*) = \vec{v} + v_n \hat{N}_0,$$

where \vec{v} is parallel to the plane $P_{\hat{f}(z_0)}$ with the properties:

$$(i) \quad V^{tan} = \tau_0 \left(M_{z_0}(z_0^*) - 2a_0 \hat{N}_0 \right) + \cos^2(\varphi_0)\vec{v} \text{ is tangent to } M_{z_0}(H_0) \text{ at } \mathcal{E}_{\hat{f}}(p);$$

$$(ii) \quad |V^{tan}| \leq 2r_0^2 \cos^2(\varphi_0)e^{-\sigma_0} \left(|\mathcal{S}f(z_0)| + \frac{1}{2}e^{2\sigma_0}|K_0| \right).$$

Proof. By linear invariance of the family of mappings, it suffices to consider the case $z_0 = 0$. For $\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ fixed we use (7) to write

$$M_z(\zeta_0) = \gamma(z) + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\beta(z)\zeta_0}{1 - \alpha(z)\zeta_0} \right\} \hat{e}_x(z) + \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{\beta(z)\zeta_0}{1 - \alpha(z)\zeta_0} \right\} \hat{e}_y(z),$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x M_z(\zeta_0) &= \gamma_x(z) + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \alpha(z)\zeta_0)\beta_x(z)\zeta_0 + \beta(z)\zeta_0^2 \alpha_x(z)}{(1 - \alpha(z)\zeta_0)^2} \right\} \hat{e}_x(z) + \\ \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \alpha(z)\zeta_0)\beta_x(z)\zeta_0 + \beta(z)\zeta_0^2 \alpha_x(z)}{(1 - \alpha(z)\zeta_0)^2} \right\} \hat{e}_y(z) &+ \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\beta(z)\zeta_0}{1 - \alpha(z)\zeta_0} \right\} \hat{e}_{xx} + \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{\beta(z)\zeta_0}{1 - \alpha(z)\zeta_0} \right\} \hat{e}_{yx}. \end{aligned}$$

The components α_{ij} of the second fundamental form of Σ appear in the equations

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{e}_x)_x &= \alpha_{11}\hat{N} + \beta_1\hat{e}_y, & (\hat{e}_y)_y &= \alpha_{22}\hat{N} + \beta_2\hat{e}_x, \\ (\hat{e}_x)_y &= \alpha_{12}\hat{N} + \gamma_1\hat{e}_y, & (\hat{e}_y)_x &= \alpha_{21}\hat{N} + \gamma_2\hat{e}_x, \end{aligned}$$

together with the relations

$$\alpha_{12} = \alpha_{21}, \quad \beta_1 = -\gamma_2 = -\sigma_y, \quad \beta_2 = -\gamma_1 = -\sigma_x.$$

From Lemma 2, part (i), we know that $\beta(z) = e^{\sigma(z)}(1 - z\sigma(z))$, hence at $z = 0$

$$\beta_x(0) = e^{\sigma(0)}(\sigma_x(0) - 2\alpha(0)),$$

which then by part (ii) of the lemma gives

$$\beta_x(0) = ie^{\sigma(0)}\sigma_y(0).$$

In a similar way we find that

$$\beta_y(0) = -ie^{\sigma(0)}\sigma_x(0).$$

With this we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x M_z|_{z=0}(\zeta_0) &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\beta(0)\zeta_0^2}{(1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0)^2} \alpha_x(0) \right\} \hat{e}_x(0) + \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{\beta(0)\zeta_0^2}{(1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0)^2} \alpha_x(0) \right\} \hat{e}_y(0) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{i\beta(0)\zeta_0}{1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0} \sigma_y(0) \right\} \hat{e}_x(0) + \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{i\beta(0)\zeta_0}{1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0} \sigma_y(0) \right\} \hat{e}_y(0) \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\beta(0)\zeta_0}{1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0} \right\} \left[\alpha_{11}\hat{N}(0) - \sigma_y(0)\hat{e}_y(0) \right] + \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{\beta(0)\zeta_0}{1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0} \right\} \left[\alpha_{12}\hat{N}(0) + \sigma_y(0)\hat{e}_x(0) \right], \end{aligned}$$

which after cancelations gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x M_z|_{z=0}(\zeta_0) &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\beta(0)\zeta_0^2}{(1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0)^2} \alpha_x(0) \right\} \hat{e}_x(0) + \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{\beta(0)\zeta_0^2}{(1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0)^2} \alpha_x(0) \right\} \hat{e}_y(0) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\beta(0)\zeta_0}{1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0} \right\} \alpha_{11}\hat{N}(0) + \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{\beta(0)\zeta_0}{1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0} \right\} \alpha_{12}\hat{N}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\vec{v} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\beta(0)\zeta_0^2}{(1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0)^2} \alpha_x(0) \right\} \hat{e}_x(0) + \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{\beta(0)\zeta_0^2}{(1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0)^2} \alpha_x(0) \right\} \hat{e}_y(0),$$

while

$$v_n = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\beta(0)\zeta_0}{1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0} \right\} \alpha_{11} + \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{\beta(0)\zeta_0}{1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0} \right\} \alpha_{12}.$$

The fact that V^{tan} is tangent to $M_{z_0}(H_0)$ at $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)$ follows from the notes on Epstein's flow. This proves part (i). It also follows from those notes that

$$\begin{aligned} |V^{tan}| &= \cos^2(\varphi_0)|\vec{v}| = \cos^2(\varphi_0) \left| \frac{\beta(0)\zeta_0^2 \alpha_x(0)}{(1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0)^2} \right| \\ &= \cos^2(\varphi_0) \frac{1}{|\beta(0)|} \left| \frac{\beta(0)\zeta_0^2}{(1-\alpha(0)\zeta_0)^2} \right| |\alpha_x(0)| \\ &= \cos^2(\varphi_0) e^{-\sigma(0)} \left| M_0(\zeta_0) - \tilde{f}(0) \right| |\alpha_x(0)|. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2, part (ii) we see that

$$\alpha_x = \alpha_z + \alpha_{\bar{z}} = \frac{\sigma_{zz} - \sigma_z^2}{(1+z\sigma_z^2)} + \frac{\sigma_{z\bar{z}}}{(1+z\sigma_z^2)},$$

which at the origin gives

$$\alpha_x(0) = \sigma_{zz} - \sigma_z^2 + \sigma_{z\bar{z}},$$

hence

$$|\alpha_x(0)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{S}f| + \frac{1}{4}e^{2\sigma}|K|.$$

For $z_0 = 0$ we then have

$$|V^{tan}| \leq 2r^2 \cos^2(\varphi_0) e^{-\sigma} \left(|\mathcal{S}f| + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\sigma} |K| \right),$$

as desired. This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

The remaining summands in (11), namely $W = \cos^2(\varphi_0)v_n\hat{N}(z_0) + 2a\sin^2(\varphi_0)\partial_x\hat{N}(z_0)$, contribute with terms W^{tan} and W^\perp tangent and normal to $M_{z_0}(H_0)$ at $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)$, respectively. In summary, we can express (11) in components tangent and normal to the sphere $M_{z_0}(H_0)$ as follows:

$$(13) \quad (\partial_x M_x)(p) = V^{tan} + W^{tan} + W^\perp.$$

Lemma 4. *With the notation as above we have that*

$$(14) \quad |W^{tan}| = 2r_0 \sin(\varphi_0) \cos(\varphi_0) e^{\sigma_0} \sqrt{|K_0|},$$

$$(15) \quad |W^\perp| \leq 2r_0 \cos^2(\varphi_0) e^{\sigma_0} \sqrt{|K_0|}.$$

Proof. In order to decompose W in components tangent and normal to $M_{z_0}(H_0)$ at $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)$ we write first $\partial_x\hat{N}(z_0)$ in terms of orthonormal vectors $\hat{r}, \hat{\theta}$ on the tangent plane $P_{\tilde{f}(z_0)}$, with \hat{r} in the direction of $M_{z_0}(z_0^*) - \tilde{f}(z_0)$. From equation (7) we see that

$$M_{z_0}(z_0^*) - \tilde{f}(z_0) = u_0\hat{e}_x(z_0) + v_0\hat{e}_y(z_0),$$

where

$$u_0 + iv_0 = \frac{\beta(z_0)z_0^*}{1 - \alpha(z_0)z_0^*}.$$

Because

$$\partial_x\hat{N}(z_0) = -\alpha_{11}\hat{e}_x(z_0) - \alpha_{12}\hat{e}_y(z_0),$$

we see that

$$\langle \partial_x\hat{N}(z_0), M_{z_0}(z_0^*) - \tilde{f}(z_0) \rangle = -v_n.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \cos^2(\varphi_0)v_n\hat{N}(z_0) - 2a\sin^2(\varphi_0)v_n\frac{1}{2r}\hat{r} + \langle W, \hat{\theta} \rangle \hat{\theta} \\ &= \cos^2(\varphi_0)v_n\hat{N}(z_0) - \sin(\varphi_0)\cos(\varphi_0)v_n\hat{r} + \langle W, \hat{\theta} \rangle \hat{\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2r\hat{r} = u_0\hat{e}_x(z_0) + v_0\hat{e}_y(z_0)$ we see that

$$2r\hat{\theta} = -v_0\hat{e}_x(z_0) + u_0\hat{e}_y(z_0),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W, \hat{\theta} \rangle &= 2a\sin^2(\varphi_0)\langle \partial_x\hat{N}(z_0), \hat{\theta} \rangle = \frac{2a}{2r}\sin^2(\varphi_0)(\alpha_{11}v_0 - \alpha_{12}u_0) \\ &= \sin(\varphi_0)\cos(\varphi_0)(\alpha_{11}v_0 - \alpha_{12}u_0). \end{aligned}$$

The vector $\langle W, \hat{\theta} \rangle \hat{\theta}$ is tangent to $M_{z_0}(H_0)$ at $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)$ and points in the direction of the latitude through $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)$. The other terms $W_1 = \cos^2(\varphi_0)v_n\hat{N}(z_0) - \sin(\varphi_0)\cos(\varphi_0)v_n\hat{r}$ must be decomposed in components $W_1^\perp = W^\perp$ normal to the sphere and W_1^{tan} tangent to the longitude

through $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)$. It is easy to see that W_1 forms an angle $\pi/2 - \varphi_0$ with the tangent plane to the sphere $M_{z_0}(H_0)$ at $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)$, hence

$$|W_1^{tan}| = |W_1| \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \varphi_0\right) = \sin(\varphi_0) \cos(\varphi_0) |v_n|,$$

while

$$|W_1^\perp| = |W_1| \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \varphi_0\right) = \cos^2(\varphi_0) |v_n|.$$

Therefore we can write

$$W = W^{tan} + W^\perp,$$

with

$$W^{tan} = W_1^{tan} + \langle W, \hat{\theta} \rangle \hat{\theta},$$

and

$$W^\perp = W_1^\perp.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} |W^{tan}|^2 &= |W_1^{tan}|^2 + \langle W, \hat{\theta} \rangle^2 = |W_1^{tan}|^2 + \sin^2(\varphi_0) \cos^2(\varphi_0) (\alpha_{11}v_0 - \alpha_{12}u_0)^2 \\ &= \sin^2(\varphi_0) \cos^2(\varphi_0) [(\alpha_{11}u_0 + \alpha_{12}v_0)^2 + (\alpha_{11}v_0 - \alpha_{12}u_0)^2] \\ &= \sin^2(\varphi_0) \cos^2(\varphi_0) (\alpha_{11}^2 + \alpha_{12}^2) (u_0^2 + v_0^2), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$|W^{tan}| = 2r \sin(\varphi_0) \cos(\varphi_0) e^\sigma \sqrt{|K|},$$

because on minimal surfaces $(\alpha_{11}^2 + \alpha_{12}^2) = e^{2\sigma} |K|$. Finally,

$$|W^\perp| = |W_1^\perp| = \cos^2(\varphi_0) |v_n|,$$

from which (15) is obtained after applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

As a final step toward establishing Theorem 1, we derive an estimate on conformal factors based on the Schwarzian bound.

Lemma 5. *Let \tilde{f} satisfy (2) for $s \leq 1$. Then*

$$(16) \quad \left| \sigma_z - \frac{\bar{z}}{1 - |z|^2} \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

Proof. We begin by deriving a lower bound for $|\nabla\varphi|$, where $\varphi = |\sigma_z|$. With $\varphi^2 = \sigma_z \sigma_{\bar{z}}$ we obtain

$$2\varphi\varphi_z = \sigma_{zz}\sigma_{\bar{z}} + \sigma_{z\bar{z}}\sigma_z = (\sigma_{z\bar{z}} + |\sigma_z|^2)\sigma_z + (\sigma_{zz} - \sigma_z^2)\sigma_{\bar{z}}.$$

Hence

$$2\varphi|\varphi_z| \geq (\sigma_{z\bar{z}} + |\sigma_z|^2)\varphi - |\sigma_{zz} - \sigma_z^2|\varphi \geq \varphi^3 - \frac{\varphi}{(1 - |z|^2)^2},$$

because $\sigma_{z\bar{z}} \geq 0$ and (1), so that

$$2|\varphi_z| \geq \varphi^2 - \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}.$$

The estimate at $z = 0$ will follow by showing that an initial condition $a = \varphi(0) > \sqrt{2}$ forces φ to become infinite in \mathbb{D} . To this end, we consider φ along arclength parametrized integral curves to $\nabla\varphi$. There exists such an integral curve starting at the origin because

$$|\nabla\varphi(0)| = 2|\varphi_z(0)| \geq 2\varphi^2(0) - 2 > 0.$$

The function $v(t) = \varphi(z(t))$ satisfies

$$v'(t) = 2|\varphi_z(z(t))| \geq v^2(t) - \frac{1}{(1 - |z(t)|^2)^2} \geq v^2(t) - \frac{1}{(1 - t^2)^2},$$

because $|z(t)| \leq t$. We compare v with the solution of $y' = y^2 - 1/(1 - t^2)^2$, $y(0) = a$. The function y is given by

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \frac{n''}{n'},$$

where $n = n_0/(1 - an_0)$ and

$$n_0(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{(1+t)^{\sqrt{2}} - (1-t)^{\sqrt{2}}}{(1+t)^{\sqrt{2}} + (1-t)^{\sqrt{2}}}.$$

Because $a > \sqrt{2}$ there exists $0 < t_0 < 1$ for which $an_0(t_0) = 1$. The function y is increasing for $t < t_0$ and becomes infinite there. Hence, either v becomes infinite before or at $t = t_0$, or the integral curve ceases to exist before that time. But while v is finite, it is bounded below by $y \geq a$, hence $|\nabla\varphi|$ does not vanish as we showed before, and the integral curve can be continued. We conclude that y must become infinite before or at time t_0 , proving the lemma.

In order to show (16) at an arbitrary point $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ we consider

$$f_1(z) = f(T(z)), \quad T(z) = \frac{z + z_0}{1 + hz_0z}.$$

Then f_1 satisfies (1) and its conformal factor is given by

$$e^{\tau(z)} = e^{\sigma(T(z))} |T'(z)|.$$

From this

$$\tau_z(0) = (1 - |z_0|^2)\sigma_z(z_0) - \bar{z}_0,$$

hence (2) at $z = z_0$ is obtained from $|\tau_z(0)| \leq \sqrt{2}$.

Suppose equality holds in (16) at some $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$. By composing with an automorphism of the disk, we may assume that $z_0 = 0$. The argument of proof shows that in this case $\sigma z \bar{z}$ must vanish along the integral curve $z(t)$. Hence the curvature of the minimal surface vanishes on a continuum and must therefore reduce to a plane. Hence $f = h + \alpha \bar{h}$ for some constant α with $|\alpha| < 1$ and a holomorphic h for which (1) holds. Because $\sigma_z = \frac{1}{2} \frac{h''}{h'}$ we see from the case of equality in the analytic case that h must be an affine transformation of a rotation of n .

The same argument can be given to show that for $|Sf(z)| \leq 2s/(1 - |z|^2)^2$, $s < 1$, one obtains (16) with $\sqrt{2s}$ instead of $\sqrt{2}$ in the right hand side. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. We can finally show that $\mathcal{E}_{\bar{f}}$ is $k(s)$ -quasiconformal, with constant

$$k(s) \leq \frac{1 + C_1 + C_2}{1 - C_1},$$

where $C_2 = \sqrt{2}s$ and $C_1 = 1 - (1 - \rho)^2$ with $\rho \in [0, 1)$ the (unique) solution of

$$s = \frac{2\rho^3(2 - \rho)}{3\rho^2 - 2\rho + 1}.$$

We study the quasiconformal distortion at all points $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ away from \mathbb{C} . A point p , say in \mathbb{H}^3 , lies in the upper half of a unique circle $C_0(z)$ and is of the form $p = p_t(z)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We will compute and estimate the size of $D\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)(X)$ for X a unit vector tangent and orthogonal to $C_0(z)$ at p .

Case I: We suppose that X is tangent to $C_0(z)$, $|X| = 1$. Since the mapping $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ restricted to $C_0(z)$ coincides with the fixed Möbius mapping M_z , we conclude that

$$D\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)(X) = DM_z(p)(X),$$

which is tangent to $C(\tilde{f}(z))$ at $M_z(p)$. Its size can be expressed in terms of the heights of $p \in \mathbb{H}^3$ and of $M_z(p)$ relative to the tangent plane $P_{\tilde{f}(z)}$:

$$(17) \quad |D\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)(X)| = \frac{h(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p))}{h(p)}.$$

Case II: Suppose now that X is normal to $C_0(z)$, $|X| = 1$. It suffices to consider X in the direction of $\partial_x \phi_t(z)$. With $\lambda = |\partial_x p_t(z)|$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)(X) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \partial_x \phi_t(z) = (DT_z)(p_t(z))(X) + \frac{1}{\lambda} (\partial_x M_z)(p), \\ &= (DT_z)(p)(X) + \frac{1}{\lambda} (V^{tan} + W^{tan} + W^\perp). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} |D\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)(X)| &\leq |(DT_z)(p)(X)| + \frac{1}{\lambda} (|V^{tan}| + |W^{tan}| + |W^\perp|), \\ &= \frac{h(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p))}{h(p)} + \frac{1}{\lambda} (|V^{tan}| + |W^{tan}| + |W^\perp|). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $(DT_z)(p)(X)$ is tangent to the sphere $K(\tilde{f}(z))$, we see that

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} |D\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)(X)| &\geq |(DT_z)(p)(X)| - \frac{1}{\lambda} (|V^{tan}| + |W^{tan}|) \\ &= \frac{h(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p))}{h(p)} - \frac{1}{\lambda} (|V^{tan}| + |W^{tan}|). \end{aligned}$$

The desired quasiconformality of the extension $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ will follow if one can show that there exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(t) < 1$ such that

$$(20) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda} (|V^{tan}| + |W^{tan}|) \leq C_1 \frac{h(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p))}{h(p)},$$

and a constant $C_2 = C_2(t) < \infty$ such that

$$(21) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda} |W^\perp| \leq C_2 \frac{h(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p))}{h(p)}.$$

To this end we need to determine $\lambda h(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p))/h(p)$. The explicit formula

$$p = p_t(z) = \left(\frac{1 + e^{4t}}{1 + e^{4t}|z|^2} z, e^{2t} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 + e^{4t}|z|^2} \right)$$

allows one to compute

$$(22) \quad \lambda = |\partial_x p_t(z)| = \frac{1 + e^{4t}}{1 + e^{4t}|z|^2}.$$

From the parametrization we also see that

$$(23) \quad h(p) = e^{2t} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 + e^{4t}|z|^2}.$$

For the height in the image we see that

$$h(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)) = r \sin(2\varphi),$$

where $2r = |M_z(z^*) - \tilde{f}(z)|$ and φ is the angle of elevation of $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)$ measured from $\tilde{f}(z)$ with respect to the tangent plane $P_{\tilde{f}(z)}$. Therefore

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda \frac{h(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p))}{h(p)} &= e^{-2t} \frac{1 + e^{4t}}{1 - |z|^2} 2r \sin(\varphi) \cos(\varphi) \\ &= 2 \frac{1 + e^{-4t}}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} 2r^2 \cos^2(\varphi) e^{-\sigma(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $a = e^{\sigma(z)} r_{0,t}(z) = \frac{1}{2} e^{\sigma(z)} e^{2t} (1 - |z|^2)$ together with the equation $r \cos(\varphi) = r \sin(\varphi)$. These two equations, in addition to part (ii) of Lemma 2 and equation (14), show that (21) is equivalent to the estimate

$$(25) \quad B = |\mathcal{S}f| + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\sigma} |K| + 2e^{-2t} e^\sigma \frac{\sqrt{|K|}}{1 - |z|^2} \leq C_1 \frac{2(1 + e^{-4t})}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}.$$

Since f satisfies (3), we see that

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} B &\leq \frac{2s}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} - \frac{1}{2} e^{2\sigma} |K| + 2e^{-2t} e^\sigma \frac{\sqrt{|K|}}{1 - |z|^2} = \frac{2s}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} - \frac{1}{2} u^2 + 2uv \\ &= \frac{2s}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} + 2v^2 - \frac{1}{2} (u - 2v)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $u = e^\sigma \sqrt{|K|}$ and $v = e^{-2t}/(1 - |z|^2)$. It follows from (3) that

$$(27) \quad u \leq \frac{\sqrt{2s}}{1 - |z|^2} = e^{2t} \sqrt{2s} v.$$

Choose $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and let t_0 be defined by

$$(28) \quad e^{2t_0} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \rho}{\sqrt{s}}.$$

For $t \leq t_0$ we see from (25) that

$$u \leq 2\rho v,$$

hence from (24)

$$(29) \quad B \leq \frac{2s}{(1-|z|^2)^2} + 2(1-(1-\rho)^2)v^2 = \frac{2s}{(1-|z|^2)^2} + \frac{2s_1e^{-4t}}{(1-|z|^2)^2},$$

where

$$(30) \quad s_1 = 1 - (1 - \rho)^2.$$

The number s_1 is increasing for $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and

$$0 < s_1 < 1.$$

For $t \geq t_0$ we have

$$B \leq \frac{2s}{(1-|z|^2)^2} + 2v^2 = \frac{2s}{(1-|z|^2)^2} + \frac{2e^{-4t}}{(1-|z|^2)^2} \leq \frac{2s_2(1+e^{-4t})}{(1-|z|^2)^2},$$

where s_2 has to be chosen so that

$$s + e^{-4t} \leq s_2(1 + e^{-4t}),$$

that is, so that

$$e^{-4t} \leq \frac{s_2 - s}{1 - s_2}.$$

Using (26) together with $t \geq t_0$ we are lead to the optimal value

$$(31) \quad s_2 = \frac{1 + 2\rho^2}{s + 2\rho^2} s.$$

Observe that s_2 is decreasing for $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\frac{3s}{2+s} < s_2 < 1.$$

We finally choose ρ as the unique solution in $(0, 1)$ for which

$$s_1 = s_2.$$

This common value defines a constant $C_1 < 1$ for which (23) will hold for all t . An approximation for this common value when $s \sim 1$ is obtained by replacing both curves $s_1 = s_1(\rho)$, $s_2 = s_2(\rho)$ by straight lines, which gives

$$C_1 = \frac{2+s}{4-s}.$$

The precise description of this constant can be done as follows. The equation

$$1 - (1 - \rho)^2 = \frac{1 + 2\rho^2}{s + 2\rho^2} s = \frac{1 + 2\rho^2}{1 + (2\rho^2/s)}$$

leads to

$$s = h(\rho) = \frac{2\rho^3(2-\rho)}{3\rho^2 - 2\rho + 1}.$$

The function h is monotonic for $\rho \in [0, 1]$, with $h(0) = 0$ and $h(1) = 1$, and has inverse $\rho = g(s)$ with the same properties. Then

$$C_1 = 1 - (1 - g(s))^2.$$

Since $h'(1) = 0, h''(1) = -3$ we see that for $\rho \sim 1$

$$h(\rho) \sim 1 - \frac{3}{2}(1 - \rho)^2,$$

hence

$$C_1 \sim 1 - \frac{2}{3}(1 - s).$$

Note that this estimate agrees to first order with the approximation $(2 + s)/(4 - s)$ at $s = 1$.

We now address inequality (19). In light of (15) and (22), it suffices to find C_2 so that

$$2r \cos^2(\varphi) e^\sigma \sqrt{|K|} \leq 2C_2 \frac{1 + e^{-4t}}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} 2r^2 \cos^2(\varphi) e^{-\sigma(z)},$$

which after cancelations is equivalent to

$$e^{2\sigma} \sqrt{|K|} \leq \frac{2C_2(1 + e^{-4t})}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} r.$$

We know from [4] (equation (29) there) that

$$2r = \frac{e^\sigma}{|\nabla \log u_f|},$$

hence the inequality to show reduces to

$$e^\sigma \sqrt{|K|} |\nabla \log u_f| \leq \frac{C_2(1 + e^{-4t})}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}.$$

Lemma 5 implies that

$$|\nabla \log u_f| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1 - |z|^2},$$

so that it suffices to find C_2 so that

$$\sqrt{2} e^\sigma \sqrt{|K|} \leq \frac{C_2}{1 - |z|^2},$$

which by (3) will hold for $C_2 = 2\sqrt{s}$.

The previous analysis implies that

$$1 - C_1 \leq \frac{\max_{|X|=1} D\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)(X)}{\min_{|X|=1} D\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(p)(X)} \leq 1 + C_1 + C_2,$$

proving that $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ is quasiconformal in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^3}$ with constant

$$k(s) = \frac{1 + C_1 + C_2}{1 - C_1}.$$

This proves the theorem. \square

Corollary 1. *If f is analytic in \mathbb{D} and $\|\mathcal{S}f\| \leq 2s < 2$ then E_f is $(1 + s)/(1 - s)$ -quasiconformal.*

Proof. In this case, the Gaussian curvature $K \equiv 0$, hence we see from (23) that we may take $C_1 = s$, and from (15), (19), that we may take $C_2 = 0$. This proves the corollary. \square

4. PLANAR HARMONIC MAPPINGS

Let f be a *locally injective*, sense-preserving harmonic mapping satisfying (2). This requires the dilatation ω to be an analytic square with $|\omega(z)| < 1$ in \mathbb{D} , in addition to the Schwarzian bound. Because the disk is simply-connected, the lifted minimal surface is locally a graph, although it may exhibit several sheets if f is not injective. In our original paper [4] we showed how to complete $\bar{\Sigma}$ to a topological sphere $\bar{\Sigma} \cup \Sigma^* \cup \{\infty\}$ by reflecting Σ across the Jordan curve $\partial\Sigma$ and gluing it to the surface $\Sigma^* = \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{D}})$. Under the reflection, the unique critical point of the function u_f corresponded to the point at infinity, while the rest of the surface Σ was mapped onto Σ^* . The reflection was shown to be quasiconformal, and resulted thus in a quasiconformal extension to $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ for the Weierstrass-Enneper lift.

The key issue for obtaining the planar extension of f , is to guarantee that Σ^* remains locally a graph, allowing for a locally injective projection onto the plane. This projection will result in the desired extension. The analysis indicates that the complementary surface Σ^* may not be locally a graph under the sole assumption that $|\omega(z)| < 1$, and in this approach we must impose more restrictive bounds on the dilatation. Nevertheless, we feel rewarded by the similarity in the expression obtained for the planar extension with Ahlfors' original formula. Consider the following functions of s defined on complementary intervals in $[0, 1]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(s) &= \frac{2-s}{\sqrt{2s}(2+\sqrt{2})}, \quad 0 < s \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\ \psi(s) &= \frac{2\sqrt{1-s}}{2+\sqrt{2}}, \quad \frac{2}{3} < s < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that φ and ψ agree at $s = 2/3$.

Theorem 2. *Let f be a locally univalent harmonic mapping in \mathbb{D} with dilatation ω a square. If f satisfies (2) and*

$$(32) \quad \sqrt{|\omega(z)|} < \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi(s)}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \varphi^2(s)}}, & 0 < s \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\psi(s)}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \psi^2(s)}}, & \frac{2}{3} < s < 1 \end{cases}$$

then Σ^* is locally a graph.

Proof. The proof is based on the calculation found in [4] where we determined the derivatives of the extension $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}}$ at an arbitrary point on Σ in directions tangent to the surface. Let X a unit vector tangent to Σ at an arbitrary point $p = \tilde{f}(z_0)$. In [4] we determined $(D\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}})_p(X)$ in terms of the following quantities. Let λ_Σ be defined on Σ by $\lambda_\Sigma \circ \tilde{f} = u_f^{-2}$ and let $\nabla \log \lambda_\Sigma$ be its gradient on Σ . Let also $H = D_X \nabla \log \lambda_\Sigma$ stand for its covariant derivative on Σ with norm $\Lambda = |\nabla \log \lambda_\Sigma|$. Let Q be the rank-1 symmetric matrix given by $H \otimes H$. Then

$$(D\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}})_p(X) = X + \frac{2}{\Lambda^2} \left\{ H - \frac{2}{\Lambda^2} Q(H) + II \right\},$$

where

$$II = II(X, H)$$

is the second fundamental form on Σ . In this expression, all terms except the last summand are parallel to the tangent plane in question, while the last term is perpendicular to it. Let V_t, V_n denote these tangential and normal components of $(D\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{f}})_p(X)$, and let α denote the angle of inclination of the tangent plane to the surface Σ . The complementary surface Σ^* will be locally a graph provided that

$$\alpha + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{|V_n|}{|V_t|} \right) < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

or equivalently,

$$\tan(\alpha) \frac{|V_n|}{|V_t|} < 1,$$

which amounts to

$$(33) \quad \frac{2\sqrt{|\omega|}}{1-|\omega|} \frac{|V_n|}{|V_t|} < 1.$$

In [4] it was shown that

$$|V_t| \geq \frac{2}{\Lambda^2} \left[\lambda_\Sigma^2 - e^{-2\sigma} \left(|\mathcal{S}f| + \frac{1}{2}|K| \right) \right] \geq \frac{2}{\Lambda^2} \left[2(1-s)\lambda_\Sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2}|K| \right],$$

while

$$|V_n| = \frac{2}{\Lambda^2} \|II\| \leq \frac{2}{\Lambda} \sqrt{|K|},$$

hence

$$\frac{|V_n|}{|V_t|} \leq \frac{\sqrt{|K|}\Lambda}{2(1-s)\lambda_\Sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2}|K|}.$$

In order to find the maximum value of the expression in the right hand side we consider the function

$$h(x) = \frac{x}{b + \frac{1}{2}x^2},$$

where $b = 2(1-s)\lambda_\Sigma^2$ and $0 \leq x \leq \sqrt{2s}\lambda_\Sigma$. On $[0, \infty)$, the function $h(x)$ is increasing up to $x_0 = \sqrt{2b}$ and decreasing thereon, so we must distinguish the cases $x_0 < \sqrt{2s}\lambda_\Sigma$ or $x_0 \geq \sqrt{2s}\lambda_\Sigma$, that is, the cases, $s > \frac{2}{3}$ or $s \leq \frac{2}{3}$.

If $x_0 \leq \sqrt{2s}\lambda_\Sigma$ then

$$(34) \quad \frac{|V_n|}{|V_t|} \leq \Lambda h(x_0) = \frac{2 + \sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{1-s}},$$

and if $x_0 > \sqrt{2s}\lambda_\Sigma$ then

$$(35) \quad \frac{|V_n|}{|V_t|} \leq \Lambda h(\sqrt{2s}\lambda_\Sigma) = \frac{\sqrt{2s}(s + \sqrt{2})}{2-s}.$$

The theorem now follows from inequalities (33), (34) and (35). \square

With this we now state:

Theorem 3. *Let f be a locally univalent harmonic mapping in \mathbb{D} with dilatation ω a square, and suppose that $u_{\bar{f}}$ has a critical point in \mathbb{D} . Let $f, \|\omega\|_\infty$ satisfy (2) and (32) respectively. Then f is injective in \mathbb{D} and admits a quasiconformal extension given by*

$$(36) \quad F(z) = \begin{cases} f(z), & z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \\ f(\zeta) + \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)h'(\zeta)}{\bar{\zeta} - (1 - |\zeta|^2)\sigma_z(\zeta)} + \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)\overline{g'(\zeta)}}{\zeta - (1 - |\zeta|^2)\sigma_{\bar{z}}(\zeta)}, & z = 1/\bar{\zeta} \notin \mathbb{D}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the critical point of $u_{\bar{f}}$ is the origin. Let $\Pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the standard projection. We already know that $\overline{\Sigma} \cup \Sigma^*$ is locally a graph over the complex plane, therefore the mapping $F = \Pi \circ \mathcal{E}_{\bar{f}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is locally injective. Because of the normalization for the critical point, it follows that $F(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. By the monodromy theorem, we conclude that F is a homeomorphism of the complex plane onto itself. In particular, the underlying harmonic mapping f must be injective. Because on the assumption on $\|\omega\|_\infty$, the inclination of both Σ and Σ^* will remain bounded away from $\pi/2$, making the projection quasiconformal. Since by the results in [4] the reflection of Σ onto Σ^* is also quasiconformal, the theorem is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ahlfors and G. Weill, A uniqueness theorem for Beltrami equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 13 (1962), 975-978.
- [2] M. Chuaqui, P. Duren and B. Osgood, The Schwarzian derivative for harmonic mappings, *J. Analyse Math.* 91 (2003), 329-351.
- [3] ———, Univalence criteria for lifts of harmonic mappings to minimal surfaces, *J. Geom. Analysis* 17 (2007), 49-74.
- [4] ———, Ahlfors-Weill Extensions for a Class of Minimal Surfaces, preprint 2010, arxiv.org/abs/math.CV/1005.4937.
- [5] C. Epstein, The hyperbolic Gauss map and quasiconformal reflections, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 372 (1986), 96-135.
- [6] Z. Nehari, The Schwarzian derivative and schlicht functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 55 (1949), 545-551.

P. UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE CHILE
E-mail address: `mchuaqui@mat.puc.cl`

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
E-mail address: `duren@umich.edu`

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
E-mail address: `osgood@stanford.edu`