

REGULATOR OF MODULAR UNITS AND MAHLER MEASURES

WADIM ZUDILIN

ABSTRACT. We present a proof of the formula, due to Mellit and Brunault, which evaluates an integral of the regulator of two modular units to the value of the L -series of a modular form of weight 2 at $s = 2$. Applications of the formula to computing Mahler measures are discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

The work of C. Deninger [7], D. Boyd [3], F. Rodriguez-Villegas [13] and others provided us with a natural link between the (logarithmic) Mahler measures

$$m(P(x_1, \dots, x_m)) := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^m} \int \cdots \int_{|x_1|=\dots=|x_m|=1} \log |P(x_1, \dots, x_m)| \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \cdots \frac{dx_m}{x_m}$$

of certain (Laurent) polynomials $P(x_1, \dots, x_m)$, higher regulators and Beilinson's conjectures, though it took a while for those original ideas to become proofs of some conjectural evaluations of Mahler measures. In this note we mainly discuss a recent general formula for the regulator of two modular units due to A. Mellit and F. Brunault, its consequences for 2-variable Mahler measures and some related problems.

For a smooth projective curve C given as the zero locus of a polynomial $P(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ and two rational non-constant functions g and h on C , define the 1-form

$$\eta(g, h) := \log |g| d \arg h - \log |h| d \arg g; \quad (1)$$

here $d \arg g$ is globally defined as $\text{Im}(dg/g)$. The form (1) is a real 1-form defined and infinitely many times differentiable on $C \setminus S$, where S is the set of zeros and poles of g and h . Furthermore, it is not hard to verify that the form (1) is antisymmetric, bi-additive and closed; the latter fact follows from

$$d\eta(g, h) = \text{Im} \left(\frac{dg}{g} \wedge \frac{dh}{h} \right) = 0,$$

Date: 14 April 2013. *Revised:* 23 September 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F67; Secondary 11F11, 11F20, 11G16, 11G55, 11R06, 14H52, 19F27.

Key words and phrases. Regulator, Mahler measure, L -value of elliptic curve.

Work is supported by the Australian Research Council.

as the curve C has dimension 1. In turn, the closedness of (1) implies that, for a closed path γ in $C \setminus S$, the regulator map

$$r(\{g, h\}) : \gamma \mapsto \int_{\gamma} \eta(g, h) \quad (2)$$

only depends on the homology class $[\gamma]$ of γ in $H_1(C \setminus S, \mathbb{Z})$.

Assuming that the polynomial $P(x, y)$ is tempered [2, 13], factorising it as a polynomial in y with coefficients from $\mathbb{C}[x]$,

$$P(x, y) = a_0(x) \prod_{j=1}^n (y - y_j(x)),$$

and applying Jensen's formula, we can write [2, 6, 10, 13] the Mahler measure of P in the form

$$m(P(x, y)) = m(a_0(x)) + \frac{1}{2\pi} r(\{x, y\})([\gamma]), \quad (3)$$

where

$$\gamma := \bigcup_{j=1}^n \{(x, y_j(x)) : |x| = 1, |y_j(x)| \geq 1\} = \{(x, y) \in C : |x| = 1, |y| \geq 1\} \quad (4)$$

is the union of at most n closed paths in $C \setminus S$.

In case the curve $C : P(x, y) = 0$ admits a parameterisation by means of modular units $x(\tau)$ and $y(\tau)$, where the modular parameter τ belongs to the upper halfplane $\mathbb{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } \tau > 0\}$, one can change to the variable τ in the integral (2) for $r(\{x, y\})$; the class $[\gamma]$ in this case [5] becomes a union of paths joining certain cusps of the modular functions $x(\tau)$ and $y(\tau)$. The following general result completes the computation of the Mahler measure in the case when $x(\tau)$ and $y(\tau)$ are given as quotients/products of modular units

$$g_a(\tau) := q^{NB(a/N)/2} \prod_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv a \pmod{N}}} (1 - q^n) \prod_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -a \pmod{N}}} (1 - q^n), \quad q = \exp(2\pi i \tau), \quad (5)$$

$$\text{where } B(x) = B_2(x) := \{x\}^2 - \{x\} + \frac{1}{6}.$$

Theorem 1 (Mellit–Brunault [12]). *For a, b and c integral, with ac and bc not divisible by N ,*

$$\int_{c/N}^{i\infty} \eta(g_a, g_b) = \frac{1}{4\pi} L(f(\tau) - f(i\infty), 2), \quad (6)$$

where the weight 2 modular form $f(\tau) = f_{a,b;c}(\tau)$ is given by

$$f_{a,b;c} := e_{a,bc} e_{b,-ac} - e_{a,-bc} e_{b,ac}$$

and

$$e_{a,b}(\tau) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1 + \zeta_N^a}{1 - \zeta_N^a} + \frac{1 + \zeta_N^b}{1 - \zeta_N^b} \right) + \sum_{m,n \geq 1} (\zeta_N^{am+bn} - \zeta_N^{-(am+bn)}) q^{mn}, \quad \zeta_N := \exp(2\pi i/N), \quad (7)$$

are weight 1 level N^2 Eisenstein series.

The L -value on the right-hand side of (6) is well defined because of subtracting the constant term

$$\begin{aligned} f(i\infty) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1 + \zeta_N^b}{1 - \zeta_N^b} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{bc}}{1 - \zeta_N^{bc}} - \frac{1 + \zeta_N^a}{1 - \zeta_N^a} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{ac}}{1 - \zeta_N^{ac}} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\cot \frac{\pi b}{N} \cot \frac{\pi bc}{N} - \cot \frac{\pi a}{N} \cot \frac{\pi ac}{N} \right) \end{aligned}$$

in the q -expansion $f(\tau) = f(i\infty) + \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n$. Furthermore, if a linear combination

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{(a,b,c) \in \mathcal{M}} \lambda_{a,b,c} f_{a,b,c}(\tau), \quad \lambda_{a,b,c} \in \mathbb{C},$$

happens to be a *cusp* form (and this corresponds to application of Theorem 1 to Mahler measures), then formula (6) produces the evaluation

$$\sum_{(a,b,c) \in \mathcal{M}} \lambda_{a,b,c} \int_{c/N}^{i\infty} \eta(g_a, g_b) = \frac{1}{4\pi} L(f(\tau), 2).$$

Note as well that the theorem allows one to integrate between any cusps c/N and d/N with the help of $\int_{c/N}^{d/N} = \int_{c/N}^{i\infty} - \int_{d/N}^{i\infty}$.

Here is a sketch of the proof of Theorem 1; details are given in Section 2. We parameterise the contour of integration by $\tau = c/N + it$, $0 < t < \infty$, and note that the Möbius transformation $\tau' := (c\tau - (c^2 + 1)/N)/(N\tau - c)$ preserves the contour: $\tau' = c/N + i/(N^2t)$. Then the logarithms of $g_a(\tau)$ and $g_b(\tau)$, hence their real and imaginary parts—everything we need for computing the form (1), can be written as explicit Eisenstein series of weight 0 in powers of $\exp(-2\pi t)$ and $\exp(-2\pi/(N^2t))$. Finally, executing an analytical change of variable from [14] (as detailed in [18, Section 3]) the integrand becomes a linear combination of pairwise products of weight 1 Eisenstein series in powers of $\exp(-2\pi t)$ integrated against the form $t dt$ along the line $0 < t < \infty$.

Applications of Theorem 1 to Boyd's and Rodriguez-Villegas' conjectural evaluations of 2-variable Mahler measures are discussed in Section 3, while Section 4 highlights some open problems related to 3-variable Mahler measures.

2. PROOF OF THE MELLIT–BRUNAULT FORMULA

The two auxiliary lemmas indicate particular modular transformations of the modular functions (5) and the Eisenstein series (7). Lemma 1 also describes the asymptotic behaviour of the modular functions (5) in a neighbourhood of a cusp with $\operatorname{Re} \tau = 0$; it is used in the form (10) to determine the integration contours (4) for our applications in Section 3.

Lemma 1. For a, c integers,

$$\begin{aligned} \log g_a(c/N + it) &= \pi icB(a/N) - \pi t NB(a/N) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{m, n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv a}} \frac{\zeta_N^{acm}}{m} \exp(-2\pi mnt) - \sum_{\substack{m, n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -a}} \frac{\zeta_N^{-acm}}{m} \exp(-2\pi mnt) \\ &= -\frac{\pi i}{2} + \pi ia(c^2 + 1)(N - ac) + \pi icB(ac/N) - \frac{\pi B(ac/N)}{Nt} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{m, n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv ac}} \frac{\zeta_N^{-am}}{m} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi mn}{N^2 t}\right) - \sum_{\substack{m, n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -ac}} \frac{\zeta_N^{am}}{m} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi mn}{N^2 t}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $t > 0$.

Proof. First note that definition (5) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \log g_a(\tau) &= \pi i \tau NB(a/N) + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv a}} \log(1 - q^n) + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -a}} \log(1 - q^n) \\ &= \pi i \tau NB(a/N) - \sum_{\substack{m, n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv a}} \frac{q^{mn}}{m} - \sum_{\substack{m, n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -a}} \frac{q^{mn}}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the substitution $\tau = c/N + it$, equivalently $q = \zeta_N^c \exp(-2\pi t)$, results in the first expansion of the lemma.

Secondly, the modular units (5) are particular cases of the ‘generalized Dedekind eta functions’ [17, eq. (3)]. Applying [17, Theorem 1] with the choice $h = 0$ and $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} c & -c^2 - 1 \\ 1 & -c \end{pmatrix}$ we deduce that

$$g_a(\tau) = \tilde{g}_{a,c} \left(\frac{c\tau - (c^2 + 1)/N}{N\tau - c} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_{a,c}(\tau) &:= \exp(-\pi i/2 + \pi ia(c^2 + 1)(N - ac)) q^{NB(ac/N)/2} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv ac \pmod{N}}} (1 - \zeta_N^{-a(c^2+1)} q^n) \prod_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -ac \pmod{N}}} (1 - \zeta_N^{a(c^2+1)} q^n). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\tau' := \frac{c\tau - (c^2 + 1)/N}{N\tau - c} \Big|_{\tau=c/N+it} = \frac{c}{N} + \frac{i}{N^2 t},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \tilde{g}_{a,c}(\tau') &= -\frac{\pi i}{2} + \pi ia(c^2 + 1)(N - ac) + \pi icB(ac/N) - \frac{\pi B(ac/N)}{Nt} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{m, n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv ac}} \frac{\zeta_N^{-a(c^2+1)m+cmn}}{m} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi mn}{N^2 t}\right) - \sum_{\substack{m, n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -ac}} \frac{\zeta_N^{a(c^2+1)m+cmn}}{m} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi mn}{N^2 t}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and it remains to use the congruences $n \equiv ac$ and $n \equiv -ac$ to simplify the exponents of the roots of unity. \square

Lemma 2. For a, b integers not divisible by N ,

$$\frac{1}{N^{2\tau}} e_{a,b} \left(-\frac{1}{N^{2\tau}} \right) = \tilde{e}_{a,b}(\tau) := \sum_{\substack{m,n \geq 1 \\ m \equiv a, n \equiv b}} q^{mn} - \sum_{\substack{m,n \geq 1 \\ m \equiv -a, n \equiv -b}} q^{mn}.$$

Proof. In [16, Section 7] the following general Eisenstein series of weight 1 and level N are introduced:

$$G_{a,c}(\tau) = G_{N,1;(c,a)}(\tau) := -\frac{2\pi i}{N} \left(\kappa_{a,c} + \sum_{\substack{m,n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv c \pmod{N}}} \zeta_N^{am} q^{mn/N} - \sum_{\substack{m,n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -c \pmod{N}}} \zeta_N^{-am} q^{mn/N} \right),$$

where

$$\kappa_{a,c} := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^a}{1 - \zeta_N^a} & \text{if } c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}, \\ \frac{1}{2} - \left\{ \frac{c}{N} \right\} & \text{if } c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}. \end{cases}$$

Then for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ we have

$$G_{a,c}(\gamma\tau) = (C\tau + D)G_{aD+cB, aC+cA}(\tau). \quad (8)$$

The partial Fourier transform from [8, Chapter III] applied to $G_{a,c}$ results in

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{G}_{a,b}(\tau) &:= \sum_{c=0}^{N-1} \zeta_N^{bc} G_{a,c}(\tau) = -\frac{\pi i}{N} \left(\frac{1 + \zeta_N^a}{1 - \zeta_N^a} + \frac{1 + \zeta_N^b}{1 - \zeta_N^b} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{2\pi i}{N} \sum_{m,n \geq 1} (\zeta_N^{am+bn} - \zeta_N^{-(am+bn)}) q^{mn/N}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, taking $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in (8) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{-1} \widehat{G}_{a,b}(-1/\tau) &= \sum_{c=0}^{N-1} \zeta_N^{bc} G_{-c,a}(\tau) \\ &= -\frac{2\pi i}{N} \sum_{c=0}^{N-1} \zeta_N^{bc} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left\{ \frac{a}{N} \right\} + \sum_{\substack{m,n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv a}} \zeta_N^{-cm} q^{mn/N} - \sum_{\substack{m,n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -a}} \zeta_N^{cm} q^{mn/N} \right) \\ &= -2\pi i \left(\sum_{\substack{m,n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv a, m \equiv b}} q^{mn/N} - \sum_{\substack{m,n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -a, m \equiv -b}} q^{mn/N} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using now $\widehat{G}_{a,b}(N\tau) = -2\pi i e_{a,b}(\tau)/N$ we obtain the desired transformation. \square

The next two statements are to take care of integrating the constant terms of auxiliary Eisenstein series.

Lemma 3. For a, b integers not divisible by N ,

$$\int_0^\infty \left(e_{a,b}(it) + e_{a,-b}(it) - \frac{1 + \zeta_N^a}{1 - \zeta_N^a} \right) t dt = i \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{2\pi a}{N}\right) B\left(\frac{b}{N}\right),$$

where

$$\operatorname{Cl}_2(x) := \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\sin mx}{m^2}$$

denotes Clausen's (dilogarithmic) function.

Proof. The integral under consideration is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \sum_{m,n \geq 1} (\zeta_N^{am+bn} - \zeta_N^{-(am+bn)} + \zeta_N^{am-bn} - \zeta_N^{-(am-bn)}) \exp(-2\pi mnt) t dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \sum_{m,n \geq 1} (\zeta_N^{am} - \zeta_N^{-am})(\zeta_N^{bn} + \zeta_N^{-bn}) \exp(-2\pi mnt) t dt. \end{aligned}$$

On using the Mellin transform

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-2\pi kt) t^{s-1} dt = \frac{\Gamma(s)}{(2\pi)^s k^s} \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re} s > 0, \quad (9)$$

the integral of the double sum evaluates to

$$\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_N^{am} - \zeta_N^{-am}}{m^2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_N^{bn} + \zeta_N^{-bn}}{n^2} = \frac{i}{\pi^2} \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{2\pi a}{N}\right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\cos(2\pi nb/N)}{n^2}.$$

It remains to use

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\cos nx}{n^2} = \pi^2 B\left(\frac{x}{2\pi}\right),$$

and the required evaluation follows. \square

Lemma 4. For a, b integers not divisible by N ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{iNt} d \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_N^{am} - \zeta_N^{-am}}{m} \left(\sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv b}} - \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -b}} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi mn}{N^2 t}\right) \\ &= -i \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{2\pi a}{N}\right) \frac{1 + \zeta_N^b}{1 - \zeta_N^b}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Performing the change of variable $u = 1/(N^2 t)$ in the integral, it becomes equal to

$$\frac{2\pi N}{i} \int_0^\infty \sum_{m \geq 1} (\zeta_N^{am} - \zeta_N^{-am}) \left(\sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv b}} - \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -b}} \right) n \exp(-2\pi mn u) u du,$$

and applying (9) with $s \rightarrow 2^+$ it evaluates to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{N}{\pi} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\sin(2\pi am/N)}{m^2} \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \left(\sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv b}} - \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -b}} \right) \frac{1}{n^s} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Cl}_2\left(\frac{2\pi a}{N}\right) \cdot (\psi(1 - \{b/N\}) - \psi(\{b/N\})) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Cl}_2\left(\frac{2\pi a}{N}\right) \pi \cot \frac{\pi b}{N}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(x)$ is the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function. It remains to use $\cot(\pi b/N) = -i(1 + \zeta_N^b)/(1 - \zeta_N^b)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. To integrate the 1-form $\eta(g_a, g_b)$ along the interval $\tau \in (c/N, i\infty)$ we make the substitution $\tau = c/N + it$, $0 < t < \infty$. It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\log |g_a(\tau)| = -\frac{\pi B(ac/N)}{Nt} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_N^{am} + \zeta_N^{-am}}{m} \left(\sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv ac}} + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -ac}} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi mn}{N^2 t}\right) \quad (10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d \arg g_a(\tau) &= -\frac{1}{2i} d \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_N^{acm} - \zeta_N^{-acm}}{m} \left(\sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv a}} - \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -a}} \right) \exp(-2\pi mnt) \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} d \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_N^{am} - \zeta_N^{-am}}{m} \left(\sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv ac}} - \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -ac}} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi mn}{N^2 t}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This computation implies

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(g_a, g_b) &= -\frac{\pi B(ac/N)}{2iNt} d \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_N^{bm} - \zeta_N^{-bm}}{m} \left(\sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv bc}} - \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -bc}} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi mn}{N^2 t}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4i} \sum_{m_1 \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_N^{am_1} + \zeta_N^{-am_1}}{m_1} \left(\sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 1 \\ n_1 \equiv ac}} + \sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 1 \\ n_1 \equiv -ac}} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi m_1 n_1}{N^2 t}\right) \\ &\quad \times d \sum_{m_2 \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_N^{bcm_2} - \zeta_N^{-bcm_2}}{m_2} \left(\sum_{\substack{n_2 \geq 1 \\ n_2 \equiv b}} - \sum_{\substack{n_2 \geq 1 \\ n_2 \equiv -b}} \right) \exp(-2\pi m_2 n_2 t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\pi B(bc/N)}{2iNt} d \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_N^{am} - \zeta_N^{-am}}{m} \left(\sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv ac}} - \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv -ac}} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi mn}{N^2 t}\right) \\
& - \frac{1}{4i} \sum_{m_1 \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_N^{bm_1} + \zeta_N^{-bm_1}}{m_1} \left(\sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 1 \\ n_1 \equiv bc}} + \sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 1 \\ n_1 \equiv -bc}} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi m_1 n_1}{N^2 t}\right) \\
& \times d \sum_{m_2 \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_N^{acm_2} - \zeta_N^{-acm_2}}{m_2} \left(\sum_{\substack{n_2 \geq 1 \\ n_2 \equiv a}} - \sum_{\substack{n_2 \geq 1 \\ n_2 \equiv -a}} \right) \exp(-2\pi m_2 n_2 t).
\end{aligned}$$

The terms involving double sums only can be integrated with the help of Lemma 4, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{c/N}^{i\infty} \eta(g_a, g_b) &= \frac{\pi i}{2} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{bc}}{1 - \zeta_N^{bc}} \text{Cl}_2\left(\frac{2\pi b}{N}\right) B\left(\frac{ac}{N}\right) - \frac{\pi i}{2} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{ac}}{1 - \zeta_N^{ac}} \text{Cl}_2\left(\frac{2\pi a}{N}\right) B\left(\frac{bc}{N}\right) \\
& - \frac{\pi}{2i} \left(\sum_{m_1, m_2 \geq 1} (\zeta_N^{am_1} + \zeta_N^{-am_1})(\zeta_N^{bcm_2} - \zeta_N^{-bcm_2}) \left(\sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 1 \\ n_1 \equiv ac}} + \sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 1 \\ n_1 \equiv -ac}} \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{n_2 \geq 1 \\ n_2 \equiv b}} - \sum_{\substack{n_2 \geq 1 \\ n_2 \equiv -b}} \right) \right. \\
& \left. - \sum_{m_1, m_2 \geq 1} (\zeta_N^{bm_1} + \zeta_N^{-bm_1})(\zeta_N^{acm_2} - \zeta_N^{-acm_2}) \left(\sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 1 \\ n_1 \equiv bc}} + \sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 1 \\ n_1 \equiv -bc}} \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{n_2 \geq 1 \\ n_2 \equiv a}} - \sum_{\substack{n_2 \geq 1 \\ n_2 \equiv -a}} \right) \right) \\
& \times \frac{n_2}{m_1} \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-2\pi \left(\frac{m_1 n_1}{N^2 t} + m_2 n_2 t\right)\right) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we execute the change of variable $u = n_2 t / m_1$, interchange integration and quadruple summation and use Lemma 2:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{c/N}^{i\infty} \eta(g_a, g_b) &= \frac{\pi i}{2} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{bc}}{1 - \zeta_N^{bc}} \text{Cl}_2\left(\frac{2\pi b}{N}\right) B\left(\frac{ac}{N}\right) - \frac{\pi i}{2} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{ac}}{1 - \zeta_N^{ac}} \text{Cl}_2\left(\frac{2\pi a}{N}\right) B\left(\frac{bc}{N}\right) \\
& - \frac{\pi}{2i} \int_0^\infty \sum_{m_1, m_2 \geq 1} (\zeta_N^{am_1} + \zeta_N^{-am_1})(\zeta_N^{bcm_2} - \zeta_N^{-bcm_2}) \exp(-2\pi m_1 m_2 u) \\
& \times \left(\sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 1 \\ n_1 \equiv ac}} + \sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 1 \\ n_1 \equiv -ac}} \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{n_2 \geq 1 \\ n_2 \equiv b}} - \sum_{\substack{n_2 \geq 1 \\ n_2 \equiv -b}} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi n_1 n_2}{N^2 u}\right) \\
& - \sum_{m_1, m_2 \geq 1} (\zeta_N^{bm_1} + \zeta_N^{-bm_1})(\zeta_N^{acm_2} - \zeta_N^{-acm_2}) \exp(-2\pi m_1 m_2 u) \\
& \times \left(\sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 1 \\ n_1 \equiv bc}} + \sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 1 \\ n_1 \equiv -bc}} \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{n_2 \geq 1 \\ n_2 \equiv a}} - \sum_{\substack{n_2 \geq 1 \\ n_2 \equiv -a}} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi n_1 n_2}{N^2 u}\right) du
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\pi i}{2} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{bc}}{1 - \zeta_N^{bc}} \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{2\pi b}{N}\right) B\left(\frac{ac}{N}\right) - \frac{\pi i}{2} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{ac}}{1 - \zeta_N^{ac}} \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{2\pi a}{N}\right) B\left(\frac{bc}{N}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\pi}{2i} \int_0^\infty \left(e_{a,bc}(iu) - e_{a,-bc}(iu) - \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{bc}}{1 - \zeta_N^{bc}} \right) (\tilde{e}_{b,ac}(i/(N^2u)) + \tilde{e}_{b,-ac}(i/(N^2u))) \\
&\quad - \left(e_{b,ac}(iu) - e_{b,-ac}(iu) - \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{ac}}{1 - \zeta_N^{ac}} \right) (\tilde{e}_{a,bc}(i/(N^2u)) + \tilde{e}_{a,-bc}(i/(N^2u))) du \\
&= \frac{\pi i}{2} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{bc}}{1 - \zeta_N^{bc}} \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{2\pi b}{N}\right) B\left(\frac{ac}{N}\right) - \frac{\pi i}{2} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{ac}}{1 - \zeta_N^{ac}} \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{2\pi a}{N}\right) B\left(\frac{bc}{N}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^\infty \left(e_{a,bc}(iu) - e_{a,-bc}(iu) - \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{bc}}{1 - \zeta_N^{bc}} \right) (e_{b,ac}(iu) + e_{b,-ac}(iu)) u \\
&\quad - \left(e_{b,ac}(iu) - e_{b,-ac}(iu) - \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{ac}}{1 - \zeta_N^{ac}} \right) (e_{a,bc}(iu) + e_{a,-bc}(iu)) u du \\
&= \frac{\pi i}{2} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{bc}}{1 - \zeta_N^{bc}} \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{2\pi b}{N}\right) B\left(\frac{ac}{N}\right) - \frac{\pi i}{2} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{ac}}{1 - \zeta_N^{ac}} \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{2\pi a}{N}\right) B\left(\frac{bc}{N}\right) \\
&\quad + \pi \int_0^\infty (e_{a,bc}(iu)e_{b,-ac}(iu) - e_{a,-bc}(iu)e_{b,ac}(iu)) u \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1 + \zeta_N^{bc}}{1 - \zeta_N^{bc}} (e_{b,ac}(iu) + e_{b,-ac}(iu)) - \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{ac}}{1 - \zeta_N^{ac}} (e_{a,bc}(iu) + e_{a,-bc}(iu)) \right) u du
\end{aligned}$$

(we apply Lemma 3)

$$= \pi \int_0^\infty \left(f_{a,b,c}(iu) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^a}{1 - \zeta_N^a} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{ac}}{1 - \zeta_N^{ac}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^b}{1 - \zeta_N^b} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^{bc}}{1 - \zeta_N^{bc}} \right) u du,$$

and the result follows by appealing to (9). \square

3. APPLICATIONS

The modularity theorem guarantees that an *elliptic* curve $C : P(x, y) = 0$ can be parameterised by modular functions $x(\tau)$ and $y(\tau)$, whose level N is necessarily the conductor of C , such that the pull-back of the canonical differential on C is proportional to $2\pi i f(\tau) d\tau = f(\tau) dq/q$, where f is (up to an isogeny) a normalised newform of weight 2 and level N , which automatically happens to be a cusp form and a Hecke eigenform. Computing the conductor of C and producing the cusp form f of this level give an efficient strategy to determine successively the coefficients in the q -expansions of $x(\tau) = \varepsilon_1 q^{-M_1} + \dots$ and $y(\tau) = \varepsilon_2 q^{-M_2} + \dots$ subject to $P(x(\tau), y(\tau)) = 0$, where ε_1 and ε_2 are suitable nonzero constants. The particular form of q -expansions only fixes a normalisation of $x(\tau)$ and $y(\tau)$ up to the action of the corresponding congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$. Finally, it remains to verify whether $x(\tau)$ and $y(\tau)$ just found are modular units—modular functions whose all zeroes and poles are at cusps (so that they admit eta-like product expansions); if this is the case, we can use Theorem 1 to compute the Mahler measure $m(P(x, y))$. Note that the property of being a modular unit imposes a strong condition on the q -expansion

of the logarithmic derivative—it can be easily detected in practice by examining a couple of (hundred) terms in the q -expansion of the latter.

In this section we touch the ‘classical’ family of Mahler measures

$$m(xy^2 + (x^2 + kx + 1)y + x) = m\left(k + x + \frac{1}{x} + y + \frac{1}{y}\right), \quad k^2 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 16\},$$

which goes back to the works [3, 7, 13]. Namely, we will see that Theorem 1 applies in the cases when the corresponding zero locus

$$E : k + x + \frac{1}{x} + y + \frac{1}{y} = 0 \tag{11}$$

can be parameterised by modular units. For this family of tempered Laurent polynomials, equation (3) assumes the form

$$m\left(k + x + \frac{1}{x} + y + \frac{1}{y}\right) = m(y^2 + (k + x + x^{-1})y + 1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} r(\{x, y\})([\gamma]), \tag{12}$$

where γ is a single closed path on $E \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ corresponding to the zero $y_1(x)$ of $y^2 + (k + x + x^{-1})y + 1$ which satisfies $|y_1(x)| \geq 1$.

The above general strategy restricted to the family (11) was identified by Mellit in [11] and illustrated by him on the example of $k = 2i$; this is Example 2 below. The modular functions x and y satisfying (11) are searched in the form $x(\tau) = (\varepsilon q)^{-1} + \dots$ and $y(\tau) = -(\varepsilon q)^{-1} + \dots$, where $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}[k]$ is chosen so that k/ε is a positive integer. The condition on the pull-back of the canonical differential on E takes the form

$$\frac{q(dx/dq)}{\varepsilon x(y - 1/y)} = f,$$

where $f(\tau)$ is the corresponding Hecke eigenform of weight 2.

The computational part of the examples below was accomplished in `sage` and `gp-pari`, which allowed us to compute as many terms in the q -expansions of a modular parameterisation of a given elliptic curve as requested. Assisted with this software, we were normally able to relate occurring *modular* forms and functions (for example, their product expansions) by computing and examining sufficiently many terms in their q -expansions.

Below we will have occasional appearance of Dedekind’s eta-function $\eta(\tau) := q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$. We hope that this extra eta notation does not cause any confusion with (1), as it depends here on a *single* variable, which is always a rational multiple of τ from the upper halfplane.

Example 1. The most classical example corresponds to the choice $k = 1$, when the elliptic curve in (11) has conductor $N = 15$ and can be parameterised by modular units

$$\begin{aligned} x(\tau) &= \frac{1}{q} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{15n+7})(1 - q^{15n+8})}{(1 - q^{15n+2})(1 - q^{15n+13})} = \frac{g_7(\tau)}{g_2(\tau)}, \\ y(\tau) &= -\frac{1}{q} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{15n+4})(1 - q^{15n+11})}{(1 - q^{15n+1})(1 - q^{15n+14})} = -\frac{g_4(\tau)}{g_1(\tau)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\frac{q(dx/dq)}{x(y-1/y)} = f_{15}(\tau) := \eta(\tau)\eta(3\tau)\eta(5\tau)\eta(15\tau)$$

and the path of integration γ in (12) corresponds to the range of τ between the two cusps $-1/5$ and $1/5$ of $\Gamma_0(15)$. Therefore, Theorem 1 results in

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(1+x+\frac{1}{x}+y+\frac{1}{y}\right) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{-1/5}^{i\infty} - \int_{1/5}^{i\infty} \right) \eta(g_7/g_2, g_4/g_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} L(2f_{7,4;-3} - 2f_{7,1;-3} - 2f_{2,4;-3} + 2f_{2,1;-3}, 2) \\ &= \frac{15}{4\pi^2} L(f_{15}, 2), \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely Boyd's conjecture from [3] first proven in [15].

Note that this evaluation implies some other Mahler measures, namely [9, 10]

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(5+x+\frac{1}{x}+y+\frac{1}{y}\right) &= 6m\left(1+x+\frac{1}{x}+y+\frac{1}{y}\right) \\ m\left(16+x+\frac{1}{x}+y+\frac{1}{y}\right) &= 11m\left(1+x+\frac{1}{x}+y+\frac{1}{y}\right), \\ m\left(3i+x+\frac{1}{x}+y+\frac{1}{y}\right) &= 5m\left(1+x+\frac{1}{x}+y+\frac{1}{y}\right), \end{aligned}$$

though the corresponding elliptic curves $k+x+1/x+y+1/y=0$ for $k=5, 16$ and $3i$ are not parameterised by modular units.

Example 2 ([11]). The modular parameterisation of (11) for $k=2i$ (the conductor of elliptic curve is then $N=40$) and the corresponding Mahler measure evaluation

$$m\left(2i+x+\frac{1}{x}+y+\frac{1}{y}\right) = \frac{10}{\pi^2} L(f_{40}, 2),$$

where

$$f_{40}(\tau) := \frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(8\tau)\eta(10\tau)^2\eta(20\tau)^2}{\eta(5\tau)\eta(40\tau)} + \frac{\eta(2\tau)^2\eta(4\tau)^2\eta(5\tau)\eta(40\tau)}{\eta(\tau)\eta(8\tau)},$$

were given in Mellit's talk [11]. He identifies $x(\tau)$ and $y(\tau)$ with infinite products which are fully expressible by means of Ramanujan's lambda function

$$\lambda(\tau) = q^{1/5} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n)^{\left(\frac{n}{5}\right)} = q^{1/5} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{5n-1})(1-q^{5n-4})}{(1-q^{5n-2})(1-q^{5n-3})},$$

namely,

$$\begin{aligned} x(\tau) &= -i \frac{\lambda(4\tau)}{\lambda(\tau)\lambda(8\tau)} = -i \frac{g_2g_3g_7g_{13}g_{16}g_{17}g_{18}}{g_1g_6g_8g_9g_{11}g_{14}g_{19}}, \\ y(\tau) &= i \frac{\lambda(\tau)\lambda(2\tau)}{\lambda(8\tau)} = i \frac{g_1g_9g_{11}g_{16}g_{19}}{g_3g_7g_8g_{13}g_{17}} \end{aligned}$$

in the notation (5) with $N=40$. The corresponding range of τ for the path γ in (12) is from $1/10$ to $-2/5$.

Example 3. The elliptic curve (11) for $k = 2$ has conductor $N = 24$ and admits parameterisation by modular units

$$x(\tau) = \frac{g_1 g_{10} g_{11}}{g_2 g_5 g_7}, \quad y(\tau) = -\frac{g_5 g_7}{g_1 g_{11}}.$$

Theorem 1 applies and produces the evaluation

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(2 + x + \frac{1}{x} + y + \frac{1}{y}\right) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{-1/8}^{i\infty} - \int_{1/8}^{i\infty} \right) \eta\left(\frac{g_1 g_{10} g_{11}}{g_2 g_5 g_7}, \frac{g_5 g_7}{g_1 g_{11}}\right) \\ &= \frac{6}{\pi^2} L(f_{24}, 2), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{24}(\tau) := \eta(2\tau)\eta(4\tau)\eta(6\tau)\eta(12\tau)$, conjectured in [3] and established in [14].

Example 4. For $N = 17$, the pair of modular units

$$x(\tau) = -i \frac{g_2 g_8}{g_1 g_4}, \quad y(\tau) = i \frac{g_6 g_7}{g_3 g_5}$$

parameterise the elliptic curve $i + x + 1/x + y + 1/y = 0$. Applying Theorem 1 for τ ranging from $3/17$ to $-3/17$, we obtain

$$m\left(i + x + \frac{1}{x} + y + \frac{1}{y}\right) = \frac{17}{2\pi^2} L(f_{17}, 2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{17}(\tau) := \frac{q(dx/dq)}{ix(y-1/y)} &= q - q^2 - q^4 - 2q^5 + 4q^7 + 3q^8 - 3q^9 + 2q^{10} \\ &\quad - 2q^{13} - 4q^{14} - q^{16} + q^{17} + O(q^{18}). \end{aligned}$$

This Mahler measure evaluation was conjectured in [13, Table 4].

Example 5. Another conjecture in [13, Table 4],

$$m\left(\sqrt{2} + x + \frac{1}{x} + y + \frac{1}{y}\right) = \frac{7}{2\pi^2} L(f_{56}, 2),$$

corresponds to $k = \sqrt{2}$ in (11) and an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Z} of conductor $N = 56$. The conjecture follows from parameterisation of the curve by the couple

$$\begin{aligned} x(\tau) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(4\tau)^2\eta(7\tau)\eta(28\tau)^2}{\eta(2\tau)^2\eta(8\tau)\eta(14\tau)^2\eta(56\tau)}, \\ y(\tau) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\eta(2\tau)\eta(4\tau)\eta(14\tau)\eta(28\tau)}{\eta(\tau)\eta(7\tau)\eta(8\tau)\eta(56\tau)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{56}(\tau) := \frac{q(dx/dq)}{\sqrt{2}x(y-1/y)} &= q + 2q^5 - q^7 - 3q^9 - 4q^{11} + 2q^{13} - 6q^{17} + 8q^{19} \\ &\quad - q^{25} + 6q^{29} + 8q^{31} + O(q^{34}), \end{aligned}$$

and integration in Theorem 1 for $\tau \in (-15/56, -7/56) \cup (5/56, 13/56)$.

It is not clear whether there are finitely or infinitely many cases of the parameter k in (11) subject to parameterisation by modular units. A possible approach in cases when such parameterisation is not available is writing down algebraic relations between any two standard modular units (5) of a given level N and sieving the relations which may be used in producing the Mahler measures of 2-variable polynomials which are potentially linked to the wanted Mahler measures by K -theoretic machinery [6, 9, 10].

Finding what curves $C : P(x, y) = 0$ can be parameterised by modular units is an interesting question itself. F. Brunault notices some heuristics to the fact that there are only finitely many function fields F of a given genus g over \mathbb{Q} which embed into the function field of a modular curve such that F can be generated by modular units; for $g \geq 2$ this follows from [1, Conjecture 1.1].

4. 3-VARIABLE MAHLER MEASURES

It would be desirable to have an analogue of Theorem 1 for 3-variable Mahler measures of (Laurent) polynomials $P(x, y, z)$ such that the intersection of the zero loci $P(x, y, z) = 0$ and $P(1/x, 1/y, 1/z) = 0$ defines an elliptic curve E , and $m(P)$ is presumably related to the L -series of E evaluated at $s = 3$. No example of this type is established, and one of the simplest evaluations is Boyd's conjecture [4]

$$m((1+x)(1+y)-z) \stackrel{?}{=} 2L'(E_{15}, -1) = \frac{225}{4\pi^4}L(E_{15}, 3).$$

On the surface $(1+x)(1+y)-z=0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \wedge y \wedge z &= x \wedge y \wedge (1+x)(1+y) = x \wedge y \wedge (1+x) + x \wedge y \wedge (1+y) \\ &= -x \wedge (1+x) \wedge y + y \wedge (1+y) \wedge x \\ &= -(-x) \wedge (1+x) \wedge y + (-y) \wedge (1+y) \wedge x. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the machinery described in [6, Section 5.2] to the 3-variable polynomial $P(x, y, z) = (1+x)(1+y)-z$ we obtain

$$m(P) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\gamma} (\omega(-x, y) - \omega(-y, x)),$$

where

$$\omega(g, h) := D(g) d \arg h + \frac{1}{3} (\log |g| d \log |1-g| - \log |1-g| d \log |g|) \log |h| \quad (13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &:= \{(x, y, z) : |x| = |y| = |z| = 1\} \cap \{(x, y, z) : (1+x)(1+y) - z = 0\} \\ &\quad \cap \{(x, y, z) : (1+x)(1+y)z - xy = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\{(1+x)(1+y) - z = 0\} \cap \{(1+x)(1+y)z - xy = 0\}$ is the double cover of an elliptic curve of conductor 15. Indeed, eliminating z we can write (one half of) its equation as

$$(1+x_1^2)(1+y_1^2) + x_1y_1 = 0$$

in variables $x_1 = \sqrt{x}$, $y_1 = \sqrt{y}$, or

$$x_2 + 1/x_2 + y_2 + 1/y_2 + 1 = 0$$

in variables $x_2 = x_1 y_1$, $y_2 = x_1/y_1$. Using the parameterisation of the latter equation by the modular units from Example 1 we find out that

$$m(P) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{-1/5}^{1/5} (\omega(X, Y) - \omega(Y, X))$$

where

$$X(\tau) := \frac{g_4(\tau)g_7(\tau)}{g_1(\tau)g_2(\tau)} = q^{-2} + O(q^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad Y(\tau) := \frac{g_1(\tau)g_7(\tau)}{g_2(\tau)g_4(\tau)} = 1 + O(q).$$

Also note that

$$1 - X(\tau) = -\frac{g_6(\tau)g_7(\tau)}{g_1(\tau)g_3(\tau)} = -q^{-2} + O(q^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - Y(\tau) = \frac{g_1(\tau)g_3(\tau)}{g_2(\tau)g_6(\tau)} = q + O(q^2)$$

are modular units.

The problem with integrating the form (13) is that it is, roughly speaking, integrating the product of *three* modular components: two of them are logarithms of modular functions (hence of weight 0) and one is the logarithmic derivative of a modular function (hence of weight 2). On the other hand, the expected data for applying the method from [14] used in our proof of Theorem 1 in Section 2 would be integrating a product of *two* Eisenstein series of weights -1 and 3 (see [18] for details).

Acknowledgements. This note would be hardly possible without constant patience of A. Mellit in describing details of his work with F. Brunault. I am pleased to thank Mellit for all those lectures he delivered to me in person and by e-mail, as well as for providing me with the sketch [12] of proof of what is stated here as Theorem 1. I am deeply grateful to F. Brunault, M. Rogers and J. Wan for their helpful assistance on certain parts of this work. Finally, I thank the anonymous referee for her valuable comments and healthy criticism that helped to improve the exposition.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. H. BAKER, E. GONZÁLEZ-JIMÉNEZ, J. GONZÁLEZ and B. POONEN, Finiteness results for modular curves of genus at least 2, *Amer. J. Math.* **127** (2005), no. 6, 1325–1387.
- [2] M. J. BERTIN, Mesure de Mahler dune famille de polynômes, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **569** (2004), 175–188.
- [3] D. BOYD, Mahler’s measure and special values of L -functions, *Experiment. Math.* **7** (1998), no. 1, 37–82.
- [4] D. BOYD, Mahler’s measure and L -functions of elliptic curves evaluated at $s = 3$, *Slides from a lecture at the SFU/UBC number theory seminar* (December 7, 2006), <http://www.math.ubc.ca/~boyd/sfu06.ed.pdf>.
- [5] F. BRUNAULT, Beilinson–Kato elements in K_2 of modular curves, *Acta Arith.* **134** (2008), 283–298.
- [6] J. D. CONDON, *Mahler measure evaluations in terms of polylogarithms*, Dissertation, The University of Texas at Austin (2004).

- [7] C. DENINGER, Deligne periods of mixed motives, K -theory and the entropy of certain \mathbb{Z}^n -actions, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **10** (1997), no. 2, 259–281.
- [8] N. M. KATZ, p -adic interpolation of real analytic Eisenstein series, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **104** (1976), no. 3, 459–571.
- [9] M. N. LALÍN, On a conjecture by Boyd, *Int. J. Number Theory* **6** (2010), no. 3, 705–711.
- [10] M. N. LALÍN and M. D. ROGERS, Functional equations for Mahler measures of genus-one curves, *Algebra and Number Theory* **1** (2007), 87–117.
- [11] A. MELLIT, Mahler measures and q -series, in: *Explicit methods in number theory* (MFO, Oberwolfach, Germany, 17–23 July 2011), *Oberwolfach Reports* **8** (2011), no. 3, 1990–1991.
- [12] A. MELLIT, Regulator of two modular units formula, Unpublished note (12 June 2012).
- [13] F. RODRIGUEZ VILLEGAS, Modular Mahler measures. I, in: *Topics in number theory* (University Park, PA, 1997), *Math. Appl.* **467** (Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999), 17–48.
- [14] M. ROGERS and W. ZUDILIN, From L -series of elliptic curves to Mahler measures, *Compositio Math.* **148** (2012), 385–414.
- [15] M. ROGERS and W. ZUDILIN, On the Mahler measure of $1 + X + 1/X + Y + 1/Y$, *Intern. Math. Res. Not.* (to appear); doi: 10.1093/imrn/rns285.
- [16] B. SCHOENEBERG, *Elliptic modular functions: an introduction*, translated from the German by J.R. Smart and E.A. Schwandt, *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften* **203** (Springer-Verlag, New York–Heidelberg, 1974).
- [17] Y. YANG, Transformation formulas for generalized Dedekind eta functions, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **36** (2004), 671–682.
- [18] W. ZUDILIN, Period(d)ness of L -values, in *Number Theory and Related Fields, In memory of Alf van der Poorten*, J.M. Borwein et al. (eds.), *Springer Proceedings in Math. Stat.* **43** (Springer, New York, 2013), 381–395.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE,
CALLAGHAN, NSW 2308, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: wzudilin@gmail.com