

THE POSITIVE MASS THEOREM AND PENROSE INEQUALITY FOR GRAPHICAL MANIFOLDS

H. MIRANDOLA AND F. VITÓRIO

ABSTRACT. We give, via elementary methods, explicit formulas for the ADM mass which allow us to conclude the positive mass theorem and Penrose inequality for a class of graphical manifolds which includes, for instance, that ones with flat normal bundle.

1. INTRODUCTION

A smooth connected n -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is said to be *asymptotically flat* if there exists a compact subset K of M and a diffeomorphism $\Phi : M \setminus K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{|x| \leq 1\}$ such that in this coordinate chart the metric $g(x) = g_{ij}(x)dx_i \otimes dx_j$, with $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{|x| \leq 1\}$, satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} - \delta_{ij} &= O(|x|^{-p}), & g_{ijk} &= O(|x|^{-p-1}) \\ g_{ijkl} &= O(|x|^{-p-2}), & S &= O(|x|^{-q}), \end{aligned}$$

at infinity, where $|x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}$ and g_{ijk}, g_{ijkl} denote the partial derivatives of g_{ij} ,

$$(1) \quad g_{ijk} = \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} \quad \text{and} \quad g_{ijkl} = \frac{\partial^2 g_{ij}}{\partial x^k \partial x^l},$$

for all $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$. Here S is the scalar curvature, δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, and $p > \frac{n-2}{2}$ and $q > n$ are constants.

Definition 1.1. *The ADM mass of a manifold (M, g) is the limit*

$$(2) \quad m_{ADM} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{S_r} (g_{iji} - g_{ijj})\nu_j d\mu,$$

where $S_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| = r\}$ is the coordinate sphere of radius r , $d\mu$ is the area element of S_r in the coordinate chart, ω_{n-1} is the volume of the unit sphere S_1 and $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) = r^{-1}x$ is the outward unit normal to S_r . Henceforth, all the repeated indices are being summed as usual.

It is worthwhile reminder that definition 1.1 was given [1] by the physics Arnowitt, Deser and Misner, for the tridimensional case, and Bartnik [2] proved that for an asymptotically flat manifold the limit (2) exists and the definition of the ADM mass of g is independent of the choice an asymptotically flat chart Φ , hence the ADM mass is an geometric invariant of (M, g) . The positivity of the ADM mass in all dimensions is a long-standing question and a pillar of the mathematical relativity. In a seminal work, Schoen and Yau [16] gave an affirmative answer for the tridimensional case. In a follow-up paper, they also proved for dimensions $3 \leq n \leq 7$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58G30; Secondary 53C40.
The second author was partially supported by CNPq-Brazil.

(see [17]). For manifolds that are conformally flat or spin affirmative answers are given by Schoen-Yau [18] and Witten [21], respectively. The Riemannian positive mass theorem can be stated as

Theorem A ([16, 17, 18, 21]). *Let M^n be an asymptotically flat manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature. Assume that M is spin, or $3 \leq n \leq 7$, or M is conformally flat. Then the ADM mass is positive unless M is isometric to \mathbb{R}^n .*

The Riemannian Penrose conjecture asserts that any asymptotically flat manifold M with nonnegative scalar curvature containing an outermost minimal hypersurface (possibly disconnected) of area A has ADM mass satisfying

$$(3) \quad m_{ADM} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{A}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}.$$

Furthermore, the equality in (3) implies that M is isometric to the Riemannian Schwarzschild manifold. We want to point out that this inequality was first proved in the three-dimensional case by Huisken and Ilmanen [11] under the additional hypothesis that Σ is connected. Bray [3] proved this conjecture, still in dimension three, without connectedness assumption on Σ . For $3 \leq n \leq 7$, this conjecture was proved by Bray and Lee [4], with the extra requirement that M be spin for the rigidity statement. The Riemannian Penrose inequality can be stated as

Theorem B ([11, 3, 4]). *Let M^n be an asymptotically flat manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature. Assume that $3 \leq n \leq 7$ and there exists an outermost minimal hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M$ with area A . Then*

$$m_{ADM} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{A}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}.$$

Moreover, under the hypothesis that M is spin then the equality occurs if and only if M is the Riemannian Schwarzschild manifold.

Recently, Lam [13] obtained an elementary and straightforward proof of the positive mass theorem and the Penrose inequality for codimension one graphical manifolds, which was extended in some sense to hypersurfaces by Huang and Wu in [8, 9, 10] and, for more general codimension one graphs, by de Lima and Girão in [5, 6]. This paper deals with graphical manifolds with arbitrary codimensions. We give here, via elementary methods, an explicit formula for the ADM mass which allow us to conclude the positive mass theorem and Penrose inequality for a class of graphical manifolds which includes, for instance, that ones with flat normal bundle. We bring to the fore that graphical manifolds with flat normal bundle are subject of study in several recent works, see for example [12], [20], [19] and references therein.

To enunciate our theorems we will start with some notations and definitions.

Definition 1.2. *A C^2 map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a subset, is said to be asymptotically flat if the scalar curvature S of the graph of f endowed with the natural metric is an integrable function over \mathbb{R}^n and moreover the partial derivatives $f_i^\alpha = \frac{\partial f^\alpha}{\partial x_i}$ and $f_{ij}^\alpha = \frac{\partial^2 f^\alpha}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ satisfy*

$$|f_i^\alpha(x)| = O(|x|^{-\frac{p}{2}}); \quad |f_{ij}^\alpha(x)| = O(|x|^{-\frac{p}{2}-1});$$

at infinity, for all $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$ and $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$, where $p > (n-2)/2$.

Let $M = \{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ be the graph of an asymptotically flat map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ endowed with the natural metric. The vectors $\partial_i = (e_i, f_i^\alpha e_\alpha)$ form the coordinate vector fields and the vectors $\eta^\alpha = (-Df^\alpha, e_\alpha)$, where Df^α denotes the gradient vector field of f^α , form a basis of the normal bundle of M . Here e_i and e_α denotes the canonical vectors of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m , respectively. The natural metric $g = g_{ij}dx^i \otimes dx^j$ of M is given by

$$(4) \quad g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + f_i^\alpha f_j^\alpha,$$

hence $g_{ij} = O(|x|^{-p})$ and $g_{ijk} = O(|x|^{-p-1})$.

By abuse of notation, let us consider that the functions f^α are also defined on M by identifying $f^\alpha = f^\alpha \circ \pi$, where $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the natural projection $\pi(x, f(x)) = x$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The gradient vector field of $f^\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$(5) \quad \nabla f^\alpha = g^{jk} f_k^\alpha \partial_j,$$

where the matrix (g^{ij}) denotes the inverse matrix $(g_{ij})^{-1}$.

Let $S : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the scalar curvature of M and $S^\perp : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the function given by

$$(6) \quad S^\perp = \langle R^\perp(\nabla f^\alpha, \nabla f^\beta) \eta^\beta, \eta^\alpha \rangle,$$

where R^\perp denotes the normal curvature tensor of the submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$.

In the three theorems below, we will state explicit formulas for the ADM mass. As consequence, we will derive the Riemannian positive mass and Penrose inequalities for graphical manifolds with flat normal bundle.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M^n be a graph of an asymptotically flat map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ endowed with its natural metric $g = g_{ij}dx^i \otimes dx^j$. Then the ADM mass of M satisfy*

$$m_{ADM} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \int_M (S + S^\perp) \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} dM,$$

where G is the determinant of the metric coefficient matrix (g_{ij}) .

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open subset with Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be an asymptotically flat map. Assume that f is constant along each connected component of $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$. Let M be the graph of f with its natural metric. Then,*

$$m_{ADM} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \left(\int_M (S + S^\perp) \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} dM + \int_\Sigma \frac{|Df|^2}{1 + |Df|^2} H^\Sigma d\Sigma \right),$$

where $|Df|^2 = |Df^1|^2 + \dots + |Df^m|^2$ and H^Σ is the mean curvature of the hypersurface Σ in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n in the direction to the unit vector field ν pointing outward to Ω .

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open subset. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a continuous map that is constant along each connected component of the boundary $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$ and asymptotically flat in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}$. Assume that the graph M of f extends C^2 up to its boundary ∂M . Assume further that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega} S^\perp = 0$ and, along each connected component Σ_i of ∂M , the manifold \bar{M} is tangent to the cylinder $\Sigma \times \ell_i$, where ℓ_i is a straight line of \mathbb{R}^m . Then,*

$$m_{ADM} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \left(\int_M (S + S^\perp) \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} dM + \int_\Sigma H^\Sigma d\Sigma \right),$$

where H^Σ is the mean curvature of the hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in the direction of the unit vector field ν pointing outward to Ω .

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 it follows the Riemannian positive mass inequality for graphs whose normal fiber bundle is flat. More specifically,

Corollary 1.4. *Let $M^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ be the graph of an asymptotically flat map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ endowed with the natural metric. Assume that M has nonnegative scalar curvature and flat normal fiber bundle. Then the ADM mass of M is nonnegative.*

Now we will state a Penrose-type inequality for graphs manifolds with arbitrary codimension. Following [10] closely, we can use the following Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality due to Guan and Li [7] and an elementary lemma.

Proposition 1.5 ([7]). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a star-shaped domain with boundary $\partial\Omega = \Sigma$. Then,*

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma} H_{\Sigma} d\Sigma \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}},$$

where H^Σ is the mean curvature of the hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in the direction of the unit vector field ν pointing inward to Ω . Furthermore, the equality in (7) occurs if and only if Σ is a sphere.

Lemma 1.6 ([10]). *Let a_1, \dots, a_k be nonnegative real numbers and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Then,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^\beta \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right)^\beta.$$

If $0 \leq \beta < 1$, the equality holds if and only if at most one of a_i is non-zero.

The theorem 1.3, the proposition 1.5 and the lemma 1.6 allow us to conclude our main result

Theorem 1.7. *Under hypothesis of Theorem 1.3, we assume that M has non-negative scalar curvature and flat normal fiber bundle. Assume further that each connected component of Ω is star-shaped. Then,*

$$(8) \quad m_{ADM} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}},$$

where $|\Sigma|$ denotes the total volume of Σ . Furthermore, the equality in (8) implies that the scalar curvature S is identically zero and Σ is a sphere.

Some questions arise in this paper:

- a) Can we obtain an isometric immersion theorem, in the sense of the Nash theorem, so that an asymptotically flat manifold is a graph in arbitrary codimension? If yes, is it possible in such way that the normal fiber bundle is flat?
- b) Can we obtain the rigidity in the theorem 1.7? We believe that extensions of the works of Schoen [15] and Hounie-Leite [14] to submanifolds can bring an answer to this question.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We assume the notations in the previous section. Let $U = (U_{\alpha\beta})$ be the non-singular matrix given by

$$U_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \eta^\alpha, \eta^\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \langle Df^\alpha, Df^\beta \rangle$$

and $(U^{\alpha\beta}) = U^{-1}$ its inverse matrix. Using that $\bar{\nabla}_{\partial_i} \eta^\alpha = \eta_i^\alpha = (-Df_i^\alpha, 0)$, we obtain that $\langle \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_i} \eta^\alpha, \partial_j \rangle = -f_{ij}^\alpha$ and $\langle \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_i} \eta^\alpha, \eta^\beta \rangle = \langle Df_i^\alpha, Df^\beta \rangle$. Thus the shape operator A^α with respect to the normal vector η^α and the second fundamental form B satisfy

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} A^\alpha \partial_i &= -(\bar{\nabla}_{\partial_i} \eta^\alpha)^T = f_{ik}^\alpha g^{kj} \partial_j; \\ B(\partial_i, \partial_j) &= f_{ij}^\alpha U^{\alpha\beta} \eta^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

By Gauss Equation, the curvature tensor R of M satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ilkj} &= \langle R(\partial_i, \partial_l) \partial_k, \partial_j \rangle = \langle B(\partial_i, \partial_j), B(\partial_l, \partial_k) \rangle - \langle B(\partial_i, \partial_k), B(\partial_l, \partial_j) \rangle \\ &= f_{ij}^\gamma U^{\gamma\alpha} f_{kl}^\mu U^{\mu\beta} U_{\alpha\beta} - f_{ik}^\gamma U^{\gamma\alpha} f_{jl}^\mu U^{\mu\beta} U_{\alpha\beta} = (f_{ij}^\gamma f_{kl}^\alpha - f_{ik}^\gamma f_{jl}^\alpha) U^{\gamma\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the scalar curvature $S : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of M satisfies

$$(10) \quad S = g^{ij} g^{kl} R_{ilkj} = g^{ij} g^{kl} U^{\alpha\beta} (f_{ij}^\beta f_{kl}^\alpha - f_{ik}^\beta f_{jl}^\alpha).$$

We will prove the following

Proposition 2.1. *The scalar curvature $S : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the graph M and the function $S^\perp = \langle R^\perp(\nabla f^\alpha, \nabla f^\beta) \eta^\beta, \eta^\alpha \rangle$ as given in (6) satisfy*

$$S + S^\perp = \nabla \cdot X,$$

where $X : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the vector field given by

$$(11) \quad X = (U^{\alpha\beta} (f_i^\beta f_{kk}^\alpha - f_k^\beta f_{ik}^\alpha) + U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df^\mu \rangle (f_i^\alpha f_k^\beta - f_k^\alpha f_i^\beta)) e_i.$$

Before we prove Proposition 2.1 we will need some preliminaries. For our purposes it is convenient to write $M_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - g^{ij}$. It is simple see that

Lemma 2.2. *Under the notations above, the following items hold:*

- (I) $f_i^\alpha U^{\alpha\beta} = f_j^\beta g^{ji}$;
- (II) $M_{ij} = f_i^\alpha f_k^\alpha g^{kj} = f_i^\alpha f_j^\beta U^{\alpha\beta}$;
- (III) $g(\nabla f^\alpha, \nabla f^\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - U^{\alpha\beta}$.

Proof. By (4) we have that

$$f_j^\beta g_{ij} = f_j^\beta (\delta_{ij} + f_i^\alpha f_j^\alpha) = f_i^\beta + f_i^\alpha \langle Df^\beta, Df^\alpha \rangle = f_i^\beta + f_i^\alpha (U_{\alpha\beta} - \delta_{\alpha\beta}) = f_i^\alpha U_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Thus, Item(I) follows by multiplying both sides by $g^{ik} U^{\beta\mu}$. Again using (4) we have that $\delta_{ij} = g_{ik} g^{kj} = (\delta_{ik} + f_i^\alpha f_j^\alpha) g^{kj} = g^{ij} + f_i^\alpha f_j^\alpha g^{kj}$. This together Item (I) imply that $g^{ij} = \delta_{ij} - f_i^\alpha f_k^\alpha g^{kj} = \delta_{ij} - f_i^\alpha f_j^\beta U^{\beta\alpha}$, hence $M_{ij} = f_i^\alpha f_k^\alpha g^{kj} = f_i^\alpha f_j^\beta U^{\beta\alpha}$, hence Item (II) holds. Now, by (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla f^\alpha, \nabla f^\beta) &= g^{ij} f_i^\alpha f_j^\beta = (\delta_{ij} - U^{\gamma\mu} f_i^\gamma f_j^\mu) f_i^\alpha f_j^\beta \\ &= \langle Df^\alpha, Df^\beta \rangle - U^{\gamma\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df^\alpha \rangle \langle Df^\mu, Df^\beta \rangle \\ &= \langle Df^\alpha, Df^\gamma \rangle (\delta_{\gamma\beta} - U^{\gamma\mu} (U_{\mu\beta} - \delta_{\mu\beta})) = \langle Df^\alpha, Df^\gamma \rangle U^{\gamma\beta} \\ &= (U_{\alpha\gamma} - \delta_{\alpha\gamma}) U^{\gamma\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - U^{\alpha\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

We obtain Item (III). Lemma 2.2 is proved. \square

The following result is useful to prove Proposition 2.1.

Lemma 2.3. *The following items are true:*

- (i) $\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}U^{\alpha\beta}(f_{ij}^{\beta}f_{kl}^{\alpha} - f_{ik}^{\beta}f_{jl}^{\alpha}) = U^{\alpha\beta}(f_i^{\beta}f_{kk}^{\alpha} - f_k^{\beta}f_{ik}^{\alpha})_i$;
- (ii) $\delta_{ij}M_{kl}U^{\alpha\beta}(f_{ij}^{\beta}f_{kl}^{\alpha} - f_{ik}^{\beta}f_{jl}^{\alpha}) = \delta_{kl}M_{ij}U^{\alpha\beta}(f_{ij}^{\beta}f_{kl}^{\alpha} - f_{ik}^{\beta}f_{jl}^{\alpha})$;
- (iii) $2\delta_{ij}M_{kl}U^{\alpha\beta}(f_{ij}^{\beta}f_{kl}^{\alpha} - f_{ik}^{\beta}f_{jl}^{\alpha}) = -U_i^{\alpha\beta}(f_i^{\beta}f_{kk}^{\alpha} - f_k^{\beta}f_{ik}^{\alpha}) - U^{\alpha\gamma}U^{\beta\mu}\langle Df^{\gamma}, Df_i^{\mu}\rangle F_{ik,k}^{\beta\alpha}$;
- (iv) $M_{ji}M_{kl}U^{\alpha\beta}(f_{ij}^{\beta}f_{kl}^{\alpha} - f_{ik}^{\beta}f_{jl}^{\alpha}) = U^{\alpha\nu}U^{\beta\mu}U^{\theta\gamma}\langle Df^{\mu}, Df_i^{\gamma}\rangle\langle Df^{\nu}, Df_k^{\theta}\rangle F_{ik}^{\beta\alpha}$;

where $F_{ik}^{\beta\alpha} = f_i^{\beta}f_k^{\alpha} - f_k^{\beta}f_i^{\alpha}$ and $F_{ik,l}^{\beta\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l}F_{ik}^{\beta\alpha}$.

Proof. Item (i) follows from the fact that $f_{ii}^{\beta}f_{kk}^{\alpha} - f_{ik}^{\beta}f_{ik}^{\alpha} = (f_i^{\beta}f_{kk}^{\alpha} - f_k^{\beta}f_{ik}^{\alpha})_i$.

Since $U^{\alpha\beta} = U^{\beta\alpha}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{kl}M_{ij}U^{\alpha\beta}(f_{ij}^{\beta}f_{kl}^{\alpha} - f_{ik}^{\beta}f_{jl}^{\alpha}) &= \delta_{ij}M_{kl}U^{\alpha\beta}(f_{kl}^{\beta}f_{ij}^{\alpha} - f_{ki}^{\beta}f_{lj}^{\alpha}) \\ &= \delta_{ij}M_{kl}(U^{\beta\alpha}f_{kl}^{\alpha}f_{ij}^{\beta} - U^{\alpha\beta}f_{jl}^{\alpha}f_{ik}^{\beta}) \\ &= \delta_{ij}M_{kl}U^{\alpha\beta}(f_{ij}^{\beta}f_{kl}^{\alpha} - f_{ik}^{\beta}f_{jl}^{\alpha}), \end{aligned}$$

which proves Item (ii).

By using Item (II) of Lemma 2.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{ij}M_{kl}U^{\alpha\beta}(f_{ij}^{\beta}f_{kl}^{\alpha} - f_{ik}^{\beta}f_{jl}^{\alpha}) &= f_k^{\gamma}f_l^{\mu}U^{\gamma\mu}U^{\alpha\beta}(f_{ii}^{\beta}f_{kl}^{\alpha} - f_{ik}^{\beta}f_{il}^{\alpha}) \\ &= U^{\alpha\beta}U^{\gamma\mu}(f_{ii}^{\beta}f_k^{\gamma}f_{kl}^{\alpha}f_l^{\mu} - f_{ki}^{\beta}f_i^{\gamma}f_{kl}^{\alpha}f_l^{\mu}) \\ &= U^{\gamma\beta}U^{\alpha\mu}f_{kl}^{\gamma}f_l^{\mu}(f_{ii}^{\beta}f_k^{\alpha} - f_{ik}^{\beta}f_i^{\alpha}) \\ &= U^{\beta\gamma}U^{\alpha\mu}f_{ij}^{\gamma}f_j^{\mu}(f_{kk}^{\beta}f_i^{\alpha} - f_{ki}^{\beta}f_k^{\alpha}) \\ (12) \quad &= U^{\alpha\gamma}U^{\beta\mu}\langle Df_i^{\gamma}, Df^{\mu}\rangle(f_i^{\beta}f_{kk}^{\alpha} - f_k^{\beta}f_{ik}^{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $U^{\alpha\gamma}U_{\gamma\mu} = \delta_{\alpha\mu}$ it follows that $U_i^{\alpha\gamma} = -U^{\alpha\gamma}U^{\beta\mu}U_{\gamma\mu,i}$, hence

$$(13) \quad U^{\alpha\gamma}U^{\beta\mu}\langle Df^{\gamma}, Df_i^{\mu}\rangle = -U_i^{\alpha\beta} - U^{\alpha\gamma}U^{\beta\mu}\langle Df_i^{\gamma}, Df^{\mu}\rangle.$$

It is easy to see that $F_{ik,k}^{\beta\alpha} = (f_i^{\beta}f_k^{\alpha} - f_k^{\beta}f_i^{\alpha})_k = (f_i^{\beta}f_{kk}^{\alpha} - f_k^{\beta}f_{ik}^{\alpha}) - (f_i^{\alpha}f_{kk}^{\beta} - f_k^{\alpha}f_{ik}^{\beta})$.

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U^{\alpha\gamma}U^{\beta\mu}\langle Df^{\gamma}, Df_i^{\mu}\rangle(f_i^{\beta}f_{kk}^{\alpha} - f_k^{\beta}f_{ik}^{\alpha}) &= U^{\alpha\gamma}U^{\beta\mu}\langle Df^{\gamma}, Df_i^{\mu}\rangle F_{ik,k}^{\beta\alpha} \\ &\quad + U^{\alpha\gamma}U^{\beta\mu}\langle Df^{\gamma}, Df_i^{\mu}\rangle(f_i^{\alpha}f_{kk}^{\beta} - f_k^{\alpha}f_{ik}^{\beta}) \\ (14) \quad &= U^{\alpha\gamma}U^{\beta\mu}\langle Df^{\gamma}, Df_i^{\mu}\rangle F_{ik,k}^{\beta\alpha} \\ &\quad + U^{\beta\mu}U^{\alpha\gamma}\langle Df^{\mu}, Df_i^{\gamma}\rangle(f_i^{\beta}f_{kk}^{\alpha} - f_k^{\beta}f_{ik}^{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

By using (13) and (14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U^{\alpha\gamma}U^{\beta\mu}\langle Df_i^{\gamma}, Df^{\mu}\rangle(f_i^{\beta}f_{kk}^{\alpha} - f_k^{\beta}f_{ik}^{\alpha}) &= -U_i^{\alpha\beta}(f_i^{\beta}f_{kk}^{\alpha} - f_k^{\beta}f_{ik}^{\alpha}) \\ &\quad - U^{\alpha\gamma}U^{\beta\mu}\langle Df^{\gamma}, Df_i^{\mu}\rangle(f_i^{\beta}f_{kk}^{\alpha} - f_k^{\beta}f_{ik}^{\alpha}) \\ (15) \quad &= -U_i^{\alpha\beta}(f_i^{\beta}f_{kk}^{\alpha} - f_k^{\beta}f_{ik}^{\alpha}) \\ &\quad - U^{\alpha\gamma}U^{\beta\mu}\langle Df^{\gamma}, Df_i^{\mu}\rangle F_{ik,k}^{\beta\alpha} \\ &\quad - U^{\beta\mu}U^{\alpha\gamma}\langle Df^{\mu}, Df_i^{\gamma}\rangle(f_i^{\beta}f_{kk}^{\alpha} - f_k^{\beta}f_{ik}^{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (12) and (15) we obtain

$$2\delta_{ij}M_{kl}U^{\alpha\beta}(f_{ij}^{\beta}f_{kl}^{\alpha} - f_{ik}^{\beta}f_{jl}^{\alpha}) = -U_i^{\alpha\beta}(f_i^{\beta}f_{kk}^{\alpha} - f_k^{\beta}f_{ik}^{\alpha}) - U^{\alpha\gamma}U^{\beta\mu}\langle Df^{\gamma}, Df_i^{\mu}\rangle F_{ik,k}^{\beta\alpha},$$

which proves Item (iii).

Finally, again using Item (II) of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_{ij}M_{kl}U^{\alpha\beta}(f_{ij}^\beta f_{kl}^\alpha - f_{ik}^\beta f_{lj}^\alpha) &= f_i^\gamma f_j^\mu U^{\gamma\mu} f_k^\theta f_l^\nu U^{\theta\nu} U^{\alpha\beta} (f_{ij}^\beta f_{kl}^\alpha - f_{ik}^\beta f_{lj}^\alpha) \\
 &= U^{\gamma\mu} U^{\theta\nu} U^{\alpha\beta} \langle Df^\mu, Df_i^\beta \rangle \langle Df^\nu, Df_k^\alpha \rangle f_i^\gamma f_k^\theta \\
 &\quad - U^{\gamma\mu} U^{\theta\nu} U^{\alpha\beta} \langle Df^\mu, Df_l^\alpha \rangle \langle Df^\theta, Df_i^\beta \rangle f_i^\gamma f_l^\theta \\
 &= U^{\gamma\mu} U^{\theta\nu} U^{\alpha\beta} \langle Df^\mu, Df_i^\beta \rangle \langle Df^\nu, Df_k^\alpha \rangle f_i^\gamma f_k^\theta \\
 &\quad - U^{\gamma\mu} U^{\nu\theta} U^{\beta\alpha} \langle Df^\mu, Df_k^\beta \rangle \langle Df^\nu, Df_i^\alpha \rangle f_i^\gamma f_k^\theta \\
 &= U^{\gamma\mu} U^{\theta\nu} U^{\alpha\beta} \langle Df^\mu, Df_i^\beta \rangle \langle Df^\nu, Df_k^\alpha \rangle F_{ik}^{\gamma\theta} \\
 &= U^{\beta\mu} U^{\alpha\nu} U^{\theta\gamma} \langle Df^\mu, Df_i^\gamma \rangle \langle Df^\nu, Df_k^\theta \rangle F_{ik}^{\beta\alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We conclude Item (iv). Lemma 2.3 is proved. \square

Now we will prove Proposition 2.1. By using (10), we have that $S = (\delta_{ij} - M_{ij})(\delta_{kl} - M_{kl})U^{\alpha\beta}(f_{ij}^\beta f_{kl}^\alpha - f_{ik}^\beta f_{jl}^\alpha)$. Thus, by Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &= U^{\alpha\beta}(f_i^\beta f_{kk}^\alpha - f_k^\beta f_{ik}^\alpha)_i \\
 &\quad + U_i^{\alpha\beta}(f_i^\beta f_{kk}^\alpha - f_k^\beta f_{ik}^\alpha) + U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df_i^\mu \rangle F_{ik,k}^{\beta\alpha} \\
 &\quad + U^{\alpha\nu} U^{\beta\mu} U^{\theta\gamma} \langle Df^\mu, Df_i^\gamma \rangle \langle Df^\nu, Df_k^\theta \rangle F_{ik}^{\beta\alpha} \\
 (16) \quad &= (U^{\alpha\beta}(f_i^\beta f_{kk}^\alpha - f_k^\beta f_{ik}^\alpha) + U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df_k^\mu \rangle F_{ki}^{\beta\alpha})_i + V_{ik}^{\alpha\beta} F_{ik}^{\beta\alpha},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $V_{ik}^{\alpha\beta}$ is given by

$$(17) \quad V_{ik}^{\alpha\beta} = U^{\alpha\nu} U^{\beta\mu} U^{\theta\gamma} \langle Df^\mu, Df_i^\gamma \rangle \langle Df^\nu, Df_k^\theta \rangle - (U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df_i^\mu \rangle)_k.$$

It holds that

$$\mathbf{Lemma 2.4.} \quad V_{ik}^{\alpha\beta} F_{ik}^{\beta\alpha} = \langle R^\perp(\nabla f^\gamma, \nabla f^\mu) \eta^\mu, \eta^\gamma \rangle.$$

In fact, by using (9) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(A^\mu \partial_i, A^\gamma \partial_k) &= f_{il}^\mu g^{lr} f_{kr}^\gamma = f_{il}^\mu f_{kr}^\gamma (\delta_{lr} - U^{\theta\nu} f_l^\nu f_r^\theta) \\
 (18) \quad &= \langle Df_i^\mu, Df_k^\gamma \rangle - U^{\theta\nu} \langle Df_i^\mu, Df_k^\nu \rangle \langle Df_k^\gamma, Df^\theta \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (17) and using that $U_r^{\alpha\beta} = -U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} U_{\gamma\mu,r}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{ik}^{\alpha\beta} &= U^{\alpha\nu} U^{\beta\mu} U^{\theta\gamma} (U_{\mu\gamma,i} - \langle Df_i^\mu, Df^\gamma \rangle) \langle Df^\nu, Df_k^\theta \rangle - U_k^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df_i^\mu \rangle \\
 &\quad - U^{\alpha\gamma} U_k^{\beta\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df_i^\mu \rangle - U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df_k^\gamma, Df_i^\mu \rangle - U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df_{ik}^\mu \rangle \\
 &= -U^{\alpha\nu} U_i^{\beta\theta} \langle Df^\nu, Df_k^\theta \rangle - U^{\alpha\nu} U^{\beta\mu} U^{\theta\gamma} \langle Df_i^\mu, Df^\gamma \rangle (U_{\nu\theta,k} - \langle Df_k^\nu, Df^\theta \rangle) \\
 &\quad - U_k^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df_i^\mu \rangle - U^{\alpha\gamma} U_k^{\beta\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df_i^\mu \rangle \\
 &\quad - U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df_k^\gamma, Df_i^\mu \rangle - U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df_{ik}^\mu \rangle \\
 &= -C_{ik}^{\alpha\beta} + U_k^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df_i^\mu, Df^\gamma \rangle + U^{\alpha\nu} U^{\beta\mu} U^{\theta\gamma} \langle Df_i^\mu, Df^\gamma \rangle \langle Df_k^\nu, Df^\theta \rangle \\
 &\quad - U_k^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df_i^\mu \rangle - U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df_k^\gamma, Df_i^\mu \rangle \\
 &= -C_{ik}^{\alpha\beta} + U^{\alpha\nu} U^{\beta\mu} U^{\theta\gamma} \langle Df_i^\mu, Df^\gamma \rangle \langle Df_k^\nu, Df^\theta \rangle - U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df_k^\gamma, Df_i^\mu \rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{ik}^{\alpha\beta}$ is given by

$$C_{ik}^{\alpha\beta} = U^{\alpha\nu} U_i^{\beta\theta} \langle Df^\nu, Df_k^\theta \rangle + U^{\alpha\gamma} U_k^{\beta\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df_i^\mu \rangle + U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df_{ik}^\mu \rangle.$$

Note that $C_{ik}^{\alpha\beta} = C_{ki}^{\alpha\beta}$. Since $F_{ik}^{\beta\alpha} = -F_{ki}^{\beta\alpha}$ we obtain that $C_{ik}^{\alpha\beta} F_{ik}^{\beta\alpha} = 0$. Thus, by using that $\nabla f^\alpha = U^{\alpha\gamma} f_i^\gamma \partial_i$, it follows from (18) and Ricci's equation that

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} V_{ik}^{\alpha\beta} F_{ik}^{\beta\alpha} &= -(f_i^\beta f_k^\alpha - f_k^\beta f_i^\alpha) U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} g(A^\mu \partial_i, A^\gamma \partial_k) \\ &= -(g(A^\mu(\nabla f^\mu), A^\gamma(\nabla f^\gamma)) - g(A^\mu(\nabla f^\gamma), A^\gamma(\nabla f^\mu))) \\ &= -\langle R^\perp(\nabla f^\gamma, \nabla f^\mu) \eta^\mu, \eta^\gamma \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (16) concludes the proof of Proposition 2.1.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.

Since $f = (f^1, \dots, f^m) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is an asymptotically flat map it holds that $f_i^\alpha = O(|x|^{-p/2})$ and $f_{ik}^\alpha = O(|x|^{-p/2-1})$, for all $i, k = 1, \dots, n$ and $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$. In particular, $U^{\alpha\gamma}$ tends to $\delta_{\alpha\gamma}$ when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, using (II) and (III), we have that $U^{\alpha\beta} - \delta_{\alpha\beta} = -g(\nabla f^\alpha, f^\beta) = U^{\alpha\gamma} \langle Df^\gamma, Df^\beta \rangle = O(|x|^{-p})$. This implies

$$(U^{\alpha\beta} - \delta_{\alpha\beta})(f_i^\beta f_{kk}^\alpha - f_k^\beta f_{ik}^\alpha) = O(|x|^{-2p-1})$$

and

$$U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df_k^\mu \rangle f_i^\alpha f_k^\beta = O(|x|^{-2p-1}).$$

Since $p > (n-2)/2$ we have that $2p+1 > n-1 = \dim S_r$. Thus we obtain

$$(20) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} U^{\alpha\beta} (f_i^\beta f_{kk}^\alpha - f_k^\beta f_{ik}^\alpha) \frac{x^i}{|x|} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} (f_i^\alpha f_{kk}^\alpha - f_k^\alpha f_{ik}^\alpha) \frac{x^i}{|x|}$$

and

$$(21) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} \langle Df^\gamma, Df_k^\mu \rangle (f_i^\alpha f_k^\beta - f_k^\alpha f_i^\beta) = 0.$$

Furthermore the function $S^\perp = \langle R^\perp(\nabla f^\alpha, \nabla f^\beta) \eta^\beta, \eta^\alpha \rangle \in O(|x|^{-2p-2})$ since, by (19) and (18), it is expressed by

$$(22) \quad S^\perp = U^{\alpha\gamma} U^{\beta\mu} (\langle Df_k^\gamma, Df_i^\mu \rangle + U^{\theta\nu} \langle Df_i^\mu, Df^\nu \rangle \langle Df_k^\gamma, Df^\theta \rangle) (f_i^\alpha f_k^\beta - f_i^\beta f_k^\alpha).$$

Since $2p+2 > n$ it follows that $S^\perp : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is integrable. We recall also that, by hypothesis, the scalar curvature function $S : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is integrable.

Since $g_{kik} - g_{kkk} = f_i^\alpha f_{kk}^\alpha - f_k^\alpha f_{ik}^\alpha$, it follows from Proposition 2.1 together with (20), (21) and the divergence theorem, that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} S + S^\perp &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} \langle X, \frac{x}{|x|} \rangle = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} (f_i^\alpha f_{kk}^\alpha - f_k^\alpha f_{ik}^\alpha) \frac{x^i}{|x|} \\ &= 2(n-1)\omega_{n-1} m_{ADM}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.1 follows from the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} S + S^\perp = \int_M (S + S^\perp) \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} dM$.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let $\nu : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the unit vector field orthogonal to $\partial\Omega$ pointing outward to Ω . Let $H^\Sigma = -\operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nu$ be the mean curvature of $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$ seen as a hypersurface of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n .

Since each connected component of Σ is a level set of f^α , for all α , it follows that the gradient vector field Df^α is normal to Σ , hence

$$(23) \quad Df^\alpha = \langle Df^\alpha, \nu \rangle \nu \quad \text{in } \Sigma.$$

In particular, Df^α and Df^β are linearly dependent which implies that

$$(24) \quad f_i^\alpha f_k^\beta - f_k^\alpha f_i^\beta = \langle (Df^\beta \wedge Df^\alpha)e_i, e_k \rangle = 0 \quad \text{in } \Sigma,$$

for all $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n$. Here, " \wedge " : $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ is the skew-symmetric tensor given by $(u \wedge v)w = \langle v, w \rangle u - \langle u, w \rangle v$, for all $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Using (11), (23) and (24) we obtain

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle X, \nu \rangle &= U^{\alpha\beta} (f_i^\beta f_{kk}^\alpha - f_k^\beta f_{ik}^\alpha) \nu^i \\ &= U^{\alpha\beta} (\Delta f^\alpha \langle Df^\beta, \nu \rangle - \text{Hess}_{f^\alpha}(Df^\beta, \nu)) \end{aligned}$$

By a simple computation we have that

$$(26) \quad \Delta f^\alpha = \Delta_\Sigma f^\alpha + \text{Hess}_{f^\alpha}(\nu, \nu) - H^\Sigma \langle \nu, Df^\alpha \rangle$$

Using that f^α is constant along Σ it follows that $\Delta_\Sigma f^\alpha \equiv 0$ and $Df^\beta = \langle Df^\beta, \nu \rangle \nu$ in Σ . Thus, by (25) and (26), we obtain

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle X, \nu \rangle &= U^{\alpha\beta} (\text{Hess}_{f^\alpha}(\nu, \nu) \langle Df^\beta, \nu \rangle - \text{Hess}_{f^\alpha}(Df^\beta, \nu) - H^\Sigma \langle \nu, Df^\alpha \rangle \langle Df^\beta, \nu \rangle) \\ &= -U^{\alpha\beta} H^\Sigma \langle \nu, Df^\alpha \rangle \langle Df^\beta, \nu \rangle = -U^{\alpha\beta} H^\Sigma \langle Df^\alpha, Df^\beta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Again using (23), we write $Df^\alpha = \lambda^\alpha \nu$, in Σ , where $\lambda^\alpha = \langle Df^\alpha, \nu \rangle$. In particular, $U_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta$, in Σ , for all α, β . This implies that $U^{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta / (1 + |\lambda|^2)$, in Σ , where $|\lambda|^2 = |Df|^2 = (\lambda^1)^2 + \dots + (\lambda^m)^2$. Thus, in Σ , it holds

$$(28) \quad U^{\alpha\gamma} \langle Df^\gamma, Df^\alpha \rangle = U^{\alpha\gamma} \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\gamma = (\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta}{1 + |\lambda|^2}) \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta = \frac{|Df|^2}{1 + |Df|^2}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, using that f is an asymptotically flat map we have that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} \langle X, \frac{x}{|x|} \rangle = 2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}m_{ADM}$. By Proposition 2.1 and the divergence theorem, we obtain from (27) and (28) that

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega} S + S^\perp &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} \langle X, \frac{x}{|x|} \rangle + \int_\Sigma \langle X, \nu \rangle \\ &= 2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}m_{ADM} - \int_\Sigma \frac{|Df|^2}{1 + |Df|^2} H^\Sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2 is proved.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Let $A : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be an isometry that transforms the straight line ℓ into the vertical line $A(\ell) = \{(t, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Consider the map $\bar{f} = A \circ f : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and let M_A be the graph of \bar{f} with its natural metric. Since $\bar{\varphi}(x) = (x, \bar{f}(x)) = (x, f^\alpha(x)\bar{e}_\alpha)$, where $\bar{e}_\alpha = Ae_\alpha$, we obtain that M_A is isometric to M . This implies that the ADM mass and scalar curvature of M_A coincides with m_{ADM} and S , respectively. Furthermore, by (22), we also obtain that the normal function S_A^\perp (as defined in (6)) coincides with S^\perp . Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that the straight line $\ell = \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$.

First we claim the following

Claim 5.1. *For all $\gamma \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $x_0 \in \Sigma$ it holds that $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \nabla f^\gamma(x) = \pm(0, \delta_{1\gamma}e_1)$. In particular, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \Sigma} U^{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \delta_{1\alpha}\delta_{1\beta}$, for all α, β .*

In fact, we fix $x_0 \in \Sigma$. First we assume, by contradiction, that $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \nabla f^\alpha = 0$, for all α . By Item (III) of Lemma 2.2 we have that $U^{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - g(\nabla f^\alpha, \nabla f^\beta)$. Thus we obtain

$$(30) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} U^{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta},$$

for all α, β . Using that $\partial_i = (e_i, f_i^\beta e_\beta)$, $\nabla f^\gamma = U^{\gamma\alpha} f_i^\alpha \partial_i$ and $g(\nabla f^\gamma, \nabla f^\beta) = U^{\gamma\alpha} \langle Df^\alpha, Df^\beta \rangle$ we have

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla f^\gamma &= U^{\gamma\alpha} f_i^\alpha \partial_i = U^{\gamma\alpha} (Df^\alpha, \langle Df^\alpha, Df^\beta \rangle e_\beta) \\ &= (U^{\gamma\alpha} Df^\alpha, g(\nabla f^\gamma, \nabla f^\beta) e_\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Consider $\pi^1, \pi^2 : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the orthogonal projections $\pi^1(x, y) = x$ and $\pi^2(x, y) = y$. By (30) and (31) we have

$$0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \pi_*^1(\nabla f^\alpha) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} U^{\alpha\beta} Df^\beta = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} Df^\alpha,$$

for all α . Thus the graph of M is tangent to the plane $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}$, along ∂M . This is a contradiction. Thus, we can set $1 \leq \gamma \leq m$ so that $\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} |\nabla f^\gamma| > 0$.

Using that M is tangent to the cylinder $\Sigma \times (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})$ along $\Sigma = \partial M$ we obtain that the vector $\eta = (0, e_1)$ is tangent to M and normal to ∂M , hence it is a conormal vector field along ∂M . Since each connected component of Σ is a level set of f^α , for all α , and M extends C^2 up its boundary, it is easy to see that, for all α , either $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} |\nabla f^\alpha| = 0$ or $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \nabla f^\alpha / |\nabla f^\alpha| = \pm \eta$. By using (31), that $\eta = (0, e_1)$ and that $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \nabla f^\gamma / |\nabla f^\gamma| = \pm \eta$ we obtain

$$(32) \quad \pm e_1 = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \pi_*^2(\nabla f^\gamma / |\nabla f^\gamma|) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} g(\nabla f^\gamma / |\nabla f^\gamma|, \nabla f^\beta) e_\beta.$$

This implies that

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} g(\nabla f^\gamma / |\nabla f^\gamma|, \nabla f^\beta) &= 0, \text{ for all } \beta \neq 1; \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} g(\nabla f^\gamma / |\nabla f^\gamma|, \nabla f^1) &= \pm 1. \end{aligned}$$

If we assume that $\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} |\nabla f^\beta| > 0$, for some $\beta \neq 1$ then, by (33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} g(\nabla f^\gamma / |\nabla f^\gamma|, \nabla f^\beta) = \limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} g(\nabla f^\gamma / |\nabla f^\gamma|, \nabla f^\beta / |\nabla f^\beta|) |\nabla f^\beta| \\ &= \pm g(\eta, \eta) \limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} |\nabla f^\beta| = \pm \limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} |\nabla f^\beta|, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, it holds that

$$(34) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \Sigma} \nabla f^\beta = 0, \text{ for all } \beta \neq 1,$$

We conclude that $\gamma = 1$, which implies that $\pm \eta = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \nabla f^1 / |\nabla f^1|$. Moreover, again using (33), we obtain that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \Sigma} |\nabla f^1| = \lim_{x \rightarrow \Sigma} g(\nabla f^1 / |\nabla f^1|, \nabla f^1) = 1$. This implies that $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \nabla f^1 = \pm(0, e_1)$. Claim 5.1 is proved.

The following claim follows as a consequence of Claim 5.1.

Claim 5.2. $\lim_{x \rightarrow \Sigma} Df^\alpha = 0$, for all $\alpha \neq 1$, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \Sigma} |Df^1| = +\infty$.

In fact, it follows from (31) together with Claim 5.1 that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \Sigma} U^{\gamma\alpha} Df^\alpha = 0$, for all γ . Thus, since $1 = \lim_{x \rightarrow \Sigma} g(\nabla f^1, \nabla f^1) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \Sigma} U^{1\alpha} \langle Df^\alpha, Df^1 \rangle$ we have that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \Sigma} |Df^1| = +\infty$. Claim 5.2 is proved.

Let $F^k = (f^{1;k}, f^{2;k}, \dots, f^{m;k}) : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, with $k = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of smooth maps satisfying:

- (i) F^k coincides with f outside a compact subset containing Σ ;

- (ii) $F^k = 0$ everywhere in Σ and moreover the map $(f^{2;k}, \dots, f^{m;k})$ vanishes in a neighborhood $U_k \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ with \mathcal{L}^n -measure $|U_k| \rightarrow 0$, when $k \rightarrow \infty$;
- (iii) if M_k is the graph of f_k with its natural metric then the closure \bar{M}_k converges to \bar{M} with respect to the C^2 -topology.

Note that Theorem 1.2 applies for $F^k : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. By using (i), the ADM-mass of M_k coincides with the ADM-mass of M . By using (iii) and (10), for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$, the scalar curvature $S_k : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the graph M_k satisfies $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_k(x) = S(x)$. By using (22), we have that S_k^\perp converges to S^\perp in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}$. By using (ii), the function S_k^\perp vanishes in a neighborhood of $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$, hence S_k^\perp also converge to S^\perp in the points of Σ . We obtain that $S_k + S_k^\perp$ converges uniformly to $S + S^\perp$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. Thus, by Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{ADM} &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} (S_k + S_k^\perp) + \int_{\Sigma} \frac{|DF^k|^2}{1 + |DF^k|^2} H^\Sigma \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} (S + S^\perp) + \int_{\Sigma} H^\Sigma \right) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.3 is proved.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author are very grateful to Professor Fernando Codá Marques for your suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arnowitt, R., Deser, S. and Misner, C., *Coordinate invariance and energy expressions in General Relativity*, Phys. Rev. 122 (1961), 9971006.
- [2] Bartnik, R., *The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 39 (1986), 661693.
- [3] Bray, H. L., *Proof of the Riemannian Penrose inequality using the positive mass theorem*. J. Differential Geom. 59 (2001), no. 2, 177 – 267.
- [4] Bray, H. L. and Lee, D. L., *On the Riemannian Penrose inequality in dimensions less than eight*. Duke Math. J. 148 (2009), no. 1, 81 – 106.
- [5] de Lima, L. and Girão, F., *The ADM mass of asymptotically flat hypersurfaces*. To appear in Trans. A.M.S. arXiv:1108.5474.
- [6] de Lima, L. and Girão, F., *A rigidity result for the graph case of the Penrose inequality* arXiv:1205.1132.
- [7] Guan, P. and Li, J. *The quermassintegral inequalities for k-convex starshaped domains* Adv. Math. 221 (2009), no. 5, 1725–1732.
- [8] Huang, L.-H. and Wu, D., *Hypersurfaces with nonnegative scalar curvature*. arXiv:1102.5749.
- [9] Huang, L.-H. and Wu, D., *Geometric inequalities and rigidity theorems on equatorial spheres* arXiv:1104.0406
- [10] Huang, L.-H. and Wu, D., *The equality case of the Penrose inequality for asymptotically flat graphs*. arXiv:1205.2061.
- [11] Huisken, G. and Ilmanen, T., *The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality*. J. Differential Geom. 59 (2001), no. 3, 353 – 437.
- [12] Jost, J. and Xin, Y. L., *Bernstein type theorems for higher codimension*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 9 (1999), no. 4, 277 – 296.
- [13] Lam, M.-K. G., *The Graphs Cases of the Riemannian Positive Mass Theorem and Penrose Inequalities in All Dimensions*. arXiv:1010.4256v1.
- [14] Hounie, J. and Leite, M. L., *Two-ended hypersurfaces with zero scalar curvature*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 48 (1999), no. 3, 867 – 882.

- [15] Schoen, R., *Uniqueness, symmetry, and embeddedness of minimal surfaces*. J. Diff. Geom. 18 (1983), no. 4, 791 – 809.
- [16] Schoen, R. and Yau, S.-T., *On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity*. Comm. Math. Phys. 65 (1979), no. 1, 45 – 76.
- [17] Schoen, R. and Yau, S.-T., *Proof of the Positive Mass Theorem II*, Comm. Math. Phys. 79 (1981) 231 – 260.
- [18] Schoen, R. and Yau, S.-T., *Conformally flat manifolds, Kleinian groups and scalar curvature*. Invent. Math. 92 (1988), 47 – 71.
- [19] Smoczyk, K.; Wang, Guofang; Xin, Y. L., *Bernstein type theorems with flat normal bundle*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 26 (2006), no. 1, 57 – 67.
- [20] Wang, M.-T., *On graphic Bernstein type results in higher codimension*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), no. 1, 265 – 271.
- [21] Witten, E., *A New Proof of the Positive Energy Theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys. 80 (1981) 381 – 402.

Heudson Mirandola
Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro
Instituto de Matemática
21945-970 Rio de Janeiro-RJ
Brazil
mirandola@im.ufrj.br

Feliciano Vitório
Universidade Federal de Alagoas
Instituto de Matemática
57072-900 Maceió-AL
Brazil
feliciano@pos.mat.ufal.br