M. Berkani

Abstract

In this paper, we give a new approach for the study of Weyl-type theorems. Precisely we introduce the concepts of spectral valued and spectral partitioning functions. Using two natural order relations on the set of spectral valued functions, we reduce the question of relationship between Weyl-type theorems to the study of the set difference between the parts of the spectrum that are involved. This study solves completely the question of relationship between two spectral valued functions, comparable for one or the other order relation. Then several known results about Weyl-type theorems becomes corollaries of the results obtained.

1 Introduction

Let X be a Banach space, and let L(X) be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on X. For $T \in L(X)$, we will denote by N(T) the null space of T, by $\alpha(T)$ the nullity of T, by R(T) the range of T, by $\beta(T)$ its defect and by T^* the adjoint of T. We will denote also by $\sigma(T)$ the spectrum of T and by $\sigma_a(T)$ the approximate point spectrum of T. If the range R(T) of T is closed and $\alpha(T) < \infty$ (resp. $\beta(T) < \infty$), then T is called an upper semi-Fredholm (resp. a lower semi-Fredholm) operator. If $T \in L(X)$ is either upper or lower semi-Fredholm, then T is called a semi-Fredholm operator, and the index of T is defined by $\operatorname{ind}(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$. If both of $\alpha(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ are finite, then T is called a Fredholm operator. An operator $T \in L(X)$ is called a Weyl operator if it is a Fredholm operator of index zero. The Weyl spectrum $\sigma_W(T)$ of T is defined by $\sigma_W(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid T - \lambda I \text{ is not a Weyl operator}\}.$

For a bounded linear operator T and a nonnegative integer n, define $T_{[n]}$ to be the restriction of T to $R(T^n)$, viewed as a map from $R(T^n)$ into $R(T^n)$ (in particular $T_{[0]} = T$). If for some integer n, the range space $R(T^n)$ is closed and $T_{[n]}$ is an upper (resp. a lower) semi-Fredholm operator, then T is called an upper (resp. a lower) semi-B-Fredholm operator. A semi-B- Fredholm operator T is an upper or a lower semi-B-Fredholm operator, and in this case the index of T is defined as the index of the semi-Fredholm operator $T_{[n]}$, see [9]. Moreover, if $T_{[n]}$ is a Fredholm operator, then T is called a B-Fredholm operator, see [4]. An operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to be a B-Weyl operator [5], if it is a B-Fredholm operator of index zero. The B-Weyl spectrum $\sigma_{BW}(T)$ of T is defined by $\sigma_{BW}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid T - \lambda I \text{ is not a B-Weyl operator}\}.$

⁰2010 AMS subject classification: Primary 47A53, 47A10, 47A11

Keywords: Spectral valued function, Partitioning, Spectrum, Weyl-type theorem.

The ascent a(T) of an operator T is defined by $a(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid N(T^n) = N(T^{n+1})\}$, and the descent $\delta(T)$ of T, is defined by $\delta(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid R(T^n) = R(T^{n+1})\}$, with $\inf \emptyset = \infty$.

According to [17], a complex number λ is a pole of the resolvent of T if and only if $0 < \max(a(T - \lambda I), \delta(T - \lambda I)) < \infty$. Moreover, if this is true, then $a(T - \lambda I) = \delta(T - \lambda I)$. An operator T is called Drazin invertible if 0 is a pole of T. The Drazin spectrum $\sigma_D(T)$ of T is defined by $\sigma_D(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not Drazin invertible}\}.$

Define also the set LD(X) by $LD(X) = \{T \in L(X) : a(T) < \infty \text{ and } R(T^{a(T)+1}) \text{ is closed}\}$ and $\sigma_{LD}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin LD(X)\}$ be the left Drazin spectrum. Following [8], an operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to be left Drazin invertible if $T \in LD(X)$. We say that $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$ is a left pole of T if $T - \lambda I \in LD(X)$, and that $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$ is a left pole of T of finite rank if λ is a left pole of T and $\alpha(T - \lambda I) < \infty$.

Let $SF_+(X)$ be the class of all upper semi-Fredholm operators and $SF_+^-(X) = \{T \in SF_+(X) :$ ind $(T) \leq 0\}$. The upper semi-Weyl spectrum $\sigma_{SF_+^-}(T)$ of T is defined by $\sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :$ $T - \lambda I \notin SF_+^-(X)\}$. Similarly is defined the upper semi-B-Weyl spectrum $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$ of T.

An operator $T \in L(X)$ is called upper semi-Browder if it is upper semi-Fredholm operator of finite ascent, and is called Browder if it is a Fredholm operator of finite ascent and descent. The upper semi-Browder spectrum $\sigma_{uB}(T)$ of T is defined by $\sigma_{uB}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not upper semi-Browder}\}$, and the Browder spectrum $\sigma_B(T)$ of T is defined by $\sigma_B(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not Browder}\}$.

Below, we give a list of symbols and notations we will use:

E(T): eigenvalues of T that are isolated in the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ of T,

 $E^0(T)$: eigenvalues of T of finite multiplicity that are isolated in the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ of T,

 $E_a(T)$: eigenvalues of T that are isolated in the approximate point spectrum $\sigma_a(T)$ of T,

 $E_a^0(T)$: eigenvalues of T of finite multiplicity that are isolated in the spectrum $\sigma_a(T)$ of T,

 $\Pi(T): \text{poles of } T,$

 $\Pi^0(T)$: poles of T of finite rank,

 $\Pi_a(T)$: left poles of T,

 $\Pi_a^0(T)$: left poles of T of finite rank,

- $\sigma_B(T)$: Browder spectrum of T,
- $\sigma_D(T)$: Drazin spectrum of T,
- $\sigma_{LD}(T)$: left Drazin spectrum of T.
- $\sigma_{uB}(T)$: upper semi-Browder spectrum of T,
- $\sigma_{BW}(T)$: B-Weyl spectrum of T,

 $\sigma_W(T)$: Weyl spectrum of T,

 $\sigma_{SF_{+}}(T)$: upper semi-Weyl spectrum of T,

 $\sigma_{SBF_{-}}(T)$: upper semi-B-Weyl spectrum of T,

Hereafter, the symbol \sqcup stands for disjoint union, while iso(A) and acc(A) means respectively isolated points and accumulation points of a given subset A of \mathbb{C} .

After the first step of this introduction, we define in the second section of this paper the concepts of spectral valued functions and spectral partitioning functions. They are functions defined on the Banach algebra L(X) and valued into $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$, where $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of the subsets of \mathbb{C} . A spectral valued function $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ is a spectral partitioning, respectively a spectral apartitioning, valued function for an operator $T \in L(X)$ if $\sigma(T) = \Phi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Phi_2(T)$, respectively if $\sigma_a(T) = \Phi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Phi_2(T)$. Recall that from [19], if T is a normal operator acting on a Hilbert space, then $\sigma(T) = \sigma_W(T) \bigsqcup E(T)$. Thus a spectral valued function $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ could be considered as an "Abstract Weyl-type theorem," and an operator $T \in L(X)$ satisfies the abstract Weyl-type theorem Φ , if Φ is a spectral partitioning or a-partitioning function for T.

Our main goal here is the study of abstract Weyl-type theorems and their relationship. By the study of relationship between two given abstract Weyl-type theorems Φ and Ψ we mean the answer of the following question: If an operator $T \in L(X)$ satisfies one of the two abstract Weyl-type theorems Φ and Ψ , does T satisfies the other one? The two abstract Weyl-type theorems Φ and Ψ are said to be equivalent if $T \in L(X)$ satisfies one of the two abstract Weyl-type theorems Φ and Ψ if and only T satisfies the other one. To study the relationship between abstract Weyl-type theorems, we introduce two order relations \leq and << on the set of spectral valued functions. Then the question of relationship between two comparable spectral valued functions for the order \leq is solved in terms of set difference between parts of the spectrum that are involved. In the third section, following the same steps as in the second section, we consider spectral a-partitioning functions and we obtain similar results to those of the second section.

In the forth section, we give some crossed results by considering two spectral valued functions comparable for the order \leq , one partitioning the spectrum and the other one partitioning the approximate point spectrum. We obtain new kind of results, where the set difference $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)$ plays a crucial role. At the end of this section, we study the case of two comparable spectral valued functions for the order relation <<, and we answer in Theorem 4.8 and Theorem 4.9 the question of relationship between the two spectral valued functions.

Globally, This study solves completely the question of relationship between two comparable spectral valued functions, and several known results about Weyl-type theorems appearing in recent literature becomes corollaries of the results obtained. To illustrate this, we will give through the different sections, several examples as an application of the results obtained, linking them to original references where they have been first established.

As mentioned before, the original idea leading to a partition of the spectrum goes back to the famous paper by H. Weyl [19]. More recently, several authors worldwide had worked in this direction, see for example [1], [8], [13], [14], [15], [16], [18] and [20].

2 Partitioning functions for the spectrum

In this section we study the relationship between two comparable spectral valued functions, when one of them is spectral partitioning and the other one would be also spectral partitioning.

Definition 2.1. A spectral valued function is a function $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2) : L(X) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\forall T \in L(X), \Phi(T) \subset \sigma(T) \times \sigma(T)$, where $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of the subsets of \mathbb{C} .

Definition 2.2. Let Φ be a spectral valued function. We will say that $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ is a spectral partitioning function for an operator $T \in L(X)$, if $\sigma(T) = \Phi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Phi_2(T)$.

A spectral valued function $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ could be considered as an "Abstract Weyl-type theorem." An operator $T \in L(X)$ satisfies the abstract Weyl-type theorem Φ if Φ is a spectral partitioning function for T.

- **Example 2.3.** Let $\Phi_W(T) = (\sigma_W(T), E^0(T)), \forall T \in L(X)$. From [19], it follows that Φ_W is a partitioning function for each normal operator acting on a Hilbert space.
 - Let $\Phi_{BW}(T) = (\sigma_{BW}(T), E(T)), \forall T \in L(X)$. From [6], it follows that Φ_{BW} is a partitioning function for each normal operator acting on a Hilbert space.

Definition 2.4. Let Ψ and Φ be two spectral valued functions. We will say that $\Psi \leq \Phi$, if $\forall T \in L(X)$, we have $\Phi_1(T) \subset \Psi_1(T)$ and $\Psi_2(T) \subset \Phi_2(T)$. We will say that $\Psi \ll \Phi$, if $\forall T \in L(X)$, we have $\Psi_1(T) \subset \Phi_1(T)$ and $\Psi_2(T) \subset \Phi_2(T)$.

It's easily seen that both \leq and << are order relations on the set of spectral valued functions.

Theorem 2.5. Let $T \in L(X)$ and let Φ be a spectral partitioning function for T. If Ψ is a spectral valued function such that $\Psi \leq \Phi$, then Ψ is a spectral partitioning function for T if and only if $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = \Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)$.

Proof. Assume that Ψ is a spectral partitioning function for T, then $\sigma(T) = \Psi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Psi_2(T) = \Phi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Phi_2(T)$. Hence $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = \Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)$.

Conversely assume that $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = \Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)$. Since Φ is a spectral partitioning function for T, then $\sigma(T) = \Phi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Phi_2(T)$. As $\Psi \leq \Phi$, then $\Phi_1(T) \subset \Psi_1(T)$ and so $\sigma(T) = \Psi_1(T) \cup \Phi_2(T) = \Psi_1(T) \cup (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T)$. As $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = \Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)$, then $\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T) \subset \Psi_1(T)$. Hence $\sigma(T) \subset \Psi_1(T) \cup \Psi_2(T)$. As we have always $\Psi_1(T) \cup \Psi_2(T) \subset \sigma(T)$, then $\sigma(T) = \Psi_1(T) \cup \Psi_2(T)$. Moreover we have $\Psi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T) = [\Phi_1(T) \cup (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T))] \cap \Psi_2(T) = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = \emptyset$. Hence $\sigma(T) = \Psi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Psi_2(T)$ and Ψ is a spectral partitioning function for T.

In the following corollary, as an application of Theorem 2.5, we give a direct proof of [8, Theorem 3.9]

Corollary 2.6. If Φ_{BW} is a spectral partitioning function for T, then Φ_W is also a spectral partitioning function for T.

Proof. Observe first that $\Phi_W \leq \Phi_{BW}$. Then if Φ_{BW} is a spectral partitioning function for T, it's easily seen that $\sigma_W(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T) = E(T) \setminus E^0(T)$. From Theorem 2.5, it follows that Φ_W is also a spectral partitioning function for T.

Similarly to Theorem 2.5, we have the following theorem, which we give without proof.

Theorem 2.7. Let $T \in L(X)$ and let Ψ be a spectral partitioning function for T. If Φ is a spectral valued function such that $\Psi \leq \Phi$, then Φ is a spectral partitioning function for T if and only if $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = \Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)$.

Remark 2.8. [8, Example 3.12] There exist operators $T \in L(X)$ such that Φ_W is a spectral partitioning function for T but Φ_{BW} is not a spectral partitioning function for T. Indeed, let us consider the operator Q be defined for each $x = (\xi_i) \in l^1$ by

$$Q(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots, \xi_k, \dots) = (0, \alpha_1 \xi_1, \alpha_2 \xi_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1} \xi_{k-1}, \dots),$$

where (α_i) is a sequence of complex numbers such that $0 < |\alpha_i| \le 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_i| < \infty$. We observe that

$$\overline{R(Q^n)} \neq R(Q^n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Indeed, for a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $x_k^{(n)} = (1, \ldots, 1, 0, 0, \ldots)$ (with n + k times 1). Then the limit $y^{(n)} = \lim_{k \to \infty} Q^n x_k^{(n)}$ exists and lies in $\overline{R(Q^n)}$. However, there is no element $x^{(n)} \in \ell^1$ satisfying the equation $Q^n x^{(n)} = y^{(n)}$ as the algebraic solution to this equation is $(1, 1, 1, \ldots) \notin \ell^1$.

Define T on $X = \ell^1 \oplus \ell^1$ by $T = Q \oplus 0$. Then $N(T) = \{0\} \oplus \ell^1$, $\sigma(T) = \{0\}$, $E(T) = \{0\}$, $E_0(T) = \emptyset$. Since $R(T^n) = R(Q^n) \oplus \{0\}$, $R(T^n)$ is not closed for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$; so T is not a B-Weyl operator, and $\sigma_{BW}(T) = \{0\}$. Further, T is not a Fredholm operator and $\sigma_W(T) = \{0\}$.

Hence Φ_W is a spectral partitioning function for T but Φ_{BW} is not a spectral partitioning function for T.

Definition 2.9. The Drazin spectral valued function Φ_D and the Browder spectral valued function Φ_B are defined respectively by:

$$\Phi_D(T) = (\sigma_{BW}(T), \Pi(T)), \ \Phi_B(T) = (\sigma_W(T), \Pi^0(T)), \forall T \in L(X).$$

Theorem 2.10. Let $T \in L(X)$. Then the Drazin spectral valued function Φ_D is a spectral partitioning function for T if and only if the Browder spectral valued function Φ_B is a spectral partitioning function for T.

Proof. Observe first that $\Phi_B \leq \Phi_D$. If Φ_D is a spectral partitioning function for T, then $\sigma_W(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T) = \Pi(T) \setminus \Pi^0(T)$. From Theorem 2.5, we conclude that Φ_B is a spectral partitioning function for T. Let us show that $\sigma_W(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T) = \Pi(T) \setminus \Pi^0(T)$. The inclusion $\Pi(T) \setminus \Pi^0(T) \subset \sigma_W(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T)$ is obvious. For the reverse inclusion, let $\lambda \in \sigma_W(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T)$. Then from [9, Corollary 3.2], λ is isolated in $\sigma_W(T)$. As Φ_B is a spectral partitioning function for T, then $\sigma(T) = \sigma_W(T) \sqcup \Pi^0(T)$. Hence λ is isolated in $\sigma(T)$. As $\lambda \notin \sigma_{BW}(T)$, then from [5, Theorem 2.3], $\lambda \in \Pi(T) \setminus \Pi_0(T)$. From Theorem 2.7, it follows that Φ_D is a spectral partitioning function for T.

The direct implication of Theorem 2.10 had been proved in [8, Theorem 3.15], while the reverse implication was posed as a question in [8, p. 374], and answered in [12, Theorem 3.1] and [2, Theorem 2.1].

Remark 2.11. If the Drazin spectral valued function Φ_D is a spectral partitioning function for $T \in L(X)$, then $\sigma_{BW}(T) = \sigma_D(T)$ and $\sigma_W(T) = \sigma_B(T)$.

Examples 2.12. The following table summarize some of spectral valued functions considered recently as partitioning functions.

Spectral value	ed functions-1
$\Phi_W(T) = (\sigma_W(T), E^0(T))$	$\Phi_B(T) = (\sigma_W(T), \Pi^0(T))$
$\Phi_{gW}(T) = (\sigma_{BW}(T), E(T))$	$\Phi_{gB}(T) = (\sigma_{BW}(T), \Pi(T))$
$\Phi_{Bw} = (\sigma_{BW}(T), E^0(T))$	$\Phi_{Bb}(T) = (\sigma_{BW}(T), \Pi^0(T))$
$\Phi_{aw}(T) = (\sigma_W(T), E_a^0(T))$	$\Phi_{ab}(T) = (\sigma_W(T), \Pi^0_a(T))$
$\Phi_{gaw}(T) = (\sigma_{BW}(T), E_a(T))$	$\Phi_{gab}(T) = (\sigma_{BW}(T), \Pi_a(T))$
$\Phi_{Baw}(T) = (\sigma_{BW}(T), E_a^0(T))$	$\Phi_{Bab}(T) = (\sigma_{BW}(T), \Pi^0_a(T))$

Among the spectral valued functions listed in Table 1, we consider the following cases to illustrate the use of Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.7

- It is shown in [11, Theorem 3.5] that if Φ_{gaw} is a spectral partitioning function for $T \in L(X)$, then Φ_{gab} is also a partitioning function for T. As $\Phi_{gab} \leq \Phi_{gaw}$, to prove this result using Theorem 2.5, it is enough to prove that $\emptyset = \sigma_{BW}(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T) = E_a(T) \setminus \Pi_a(T)$, which is the case from [8, Theorem 2.8].
- It is shown in [7, Theorem 2.9] that if Φ_W is a spectral partitioning function for $T \in L(X)$, then Φ_{gW} is a partitioning function for T if and only if $E(T) = \Pi(T)$. As $\Phi_W \leq \Phi_{gW}$, to prove this result using Theorem 2.7, it is enough to prove that $\emptyset = \sigma_W(T) \setminus \sigma_W(T) = E(T) \setminus \Pi(T)$, which is the case from [5, Corollary2.6].
- It is shown in [3, Corollary 5] that if Φ_W is a spectral partitioning function for $T \in L(X)$, then Φ_B is also a spectral partitioning function for T. To see this using Theorem 2.5, as $\Phi_B \leq \Phi_W$, it is enough to prove that $\emptyset = \sigma_W(T) \setminus \sigma_W(T) = E^0(T) \setminus \Pi^0(T)$, which is the case from [5, Theorem 4.2].

3 Partitioning functions for the approximate spectrum

In this section we study the relationship between two comparable spectral valued functions, when one of them is spectral a-partitioning and the other one would be also spectral a-partitioning.

Definition 3.1. Let Φ be a spectral valued function and let $T \in L(X)$. We will say that Φ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T if $\sigma_a(T) = \Phi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Phi_2(T)$.

Example 3.2. • Let $\Phi_{aW}(T) = (\sigma_{SF^-_+}(T), E^0_a(T)), \forall T \in L(X)$. From [18], it follows that Φ_{aW} is a spectral a-partitioning function for each normal operator acting on a Hilbert space.

• Let $\Phi_{gaW}(T) = (\sigma_{SBF^-_+}(T), E_a(T)), \forall T \in L(X)$. In the case of a normal operator T acting on a Hilbert space, we have $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$ and $\Phi_{gaW}(T) = \Phi_{gW}(T)$. From [6, Theorem 4.5], it follows that Φ_{gaW} is a spectral a-partitioning for T.

Theorem 3.3. Let $T \in L(X)$ and let Φ be a spectral a-partitioning function for T. If Ψ is a spectral valued function such that $\Psi \leq \Phi$, then Ψ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T if and only if $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = \Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)$.

Proof. Assume that Ψ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T, then $\sigma_a(T) = \Psi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Psi_2(T) = \Phi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Phi_2(T)$. Hence $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = \Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)$.

Conversely if Φ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T and $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = \Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)$. Then $\Psi_1(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$ and $\Psi_2(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$. As $\sigma_a(T) = \Phi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Phi_2(T)$ and $\Psi \leq \Phi$, then $\Phi_1(T) \subset \Psi_1(T)$ and so $\sigma_a(T) = \Psi_1(T) \cup \Phi_2(T) = \Psi_1(T) \cup (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T) = \Psi_1(T) \cup (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T) = [\Phi_1(T) \cup (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T))] \cap \Psi_2(T) = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cap (\Phi_2(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T)] = [\Phi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)] \cup [(\Phi_2(T) \cap \Psi_2(T)) \cap (\Phi_2(T)) \cap (\Phi_2(T$

In the following corollary, as an application of Theorem 3.3, we give a direct proof of [8, Theorem 3.11]

Corollary 3.4. If Φ_{gaW} is a spectral *a*-partitioning function for *T*, then Φ_{aW} is also a spectral *a*-partitioning function for *T*.

Proof. If Φ_{gaW} is a spectral a-partitioning function for T, then it's easily seen that $\sigma_{SF_{+}^{-}}(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_{+}^{-}}(T) = E_{a}(T) \setminus E_{a}^{0}(T)$. From Theorem 3.3, it follows that Φ_{aW} is also a spectral a-partitioning function for T.

Similarly to Theorem 3.3, we have the following theorem, which we give without proof.

Theorem 3.5. Let $T \in L(X)$ and let Ψ be a spectral a-partitioning function for T. If Φ is a spectral valued function such that $\Psi \leq \Phi$, then Φ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T if and only if $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = \Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)$.

Remark 3.6. The spectral valued function Φ_{aW} is a spectral a-partitioning function for the operator T considered in Remark 2.8, but Φ_{aaW} is not a spectral a-partitioning function for T.

Definition 3.7. The Left-Drazin spectral valued function Ψ_{qaB} is defined by:

$$\Psi_{gaB}(T) = (\sigma_{SBF_{+}}(T), \Pi_{a}(T)), \, \forall T \in L(X),$$

while the Upper-Browder spectral valued function Ψ_{aB} is defined on L(X) by:

$$\Psi_{aB}(T) = (\sigma_{SF_{+}^{-}}(T), \Pi_{a}^{0}(T)), \forall T \in L(X).$$

Theorem 3.8. Let $T \in L(X)$. Then the Left-Drazin spectral valued function Ψ_{gaB} is a spectral *a*-partitioning function for T if and only if the upper-Browder spectral valued function Ψ_{aB} is a spectral *a*-partitioning function for T.

Proof. Observe first that $\Psi_{aB} \leq \Psi_{gaB}$. If Ψ_{gaB} is a spectral a-partitioning function for T, then $\sigma_{SF^-_+}(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF^-_+}(T) = \Pi_a(T) \setminus \Pi^0_a(T)$. From Theorem 3.3, we conclude that Ψ_{aB} is a spectral a-partitioning function for T. Conversely assume that Ψ_{aB} is a spectral a-partitioning function for T. Let us show that $\sigma_{SF^-_+}(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF^-_+}(T) = \Pi_a(T) \setminus \Pi^0_a(T)$. The inclusion $\Pi_a(T) \setminus \Pi^0_a(T) \subset \sigma_{SF^+_+}(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF^+_+}(T)$ is obvious. For the reverse inclusion, let $\lambda \in \sigma_{SF^+_+}(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF^+_+}(T)$. From [9, Corollary 3.2], λ is isolated in $\sigma_{SF^+_+}(T)$. As Ψ_{aB} is a spectral partitioning function for T, then $\sigma_a(T) = \sigma_{SF^+_+}(T) \sqcup \Pi^0_a(T)$. Hence λ is isolated in $\sigma_a(T)$. From [8, Theorem 2.8], it follows that $\lambda \in \Pi_a(T) \setminus \Pi^0_a(T)$.

The direct implication of Theorem 3.8 had been proved in [8, Theorem 3.8], while the reverse implication was posed as a question in [8, p. 374], and answered in [20, Theorem 1.3] and [2, Theorem 2.2].

Remark 3.9. If the Left-Drazin spectral valued function Ψ_{gaB} is a spectral a-partitioning function for $T \in L(X)$, then $\sigma_{SBF^+}(T) = \sigma_{LD}(T)$ and $\sigma_{SF^+}(T) = \sigma_{uB}(T)$.

Examples 3.10. The following table summarize some of spectral valued functions considered recently as a-partitioning functions.

\mathbf{S} pectral value	ed functions-2
$\Psi_{aW}(T) = (\sigma_{SF_{+}^{-}}(T), E_{a}^{0}(T))$	$\Psi_{aB}(T) = (\sigma_{SF_{+}^{-}}(T), \Pi_{a}^{0}(T))$
$\Psi_{gaW}(T) = (\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T), E_a(T))$	$\Psi_{gaB}(T) = (\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T), \Pi_a(T))$
$\Psi_w(T) = (\sigma_{SF_+^-}(T), E^0(T))$	$\Psi_b(T) = (\sigma_{SF_+^-}(T), \Pi^0(T))$
$\Psi_{gw}(T) = (\sigma_{SBF^+}(T), E(T))$	$\Psi_{gb}(T) = (\sigma_{SBF^+}(T),\Pi(T))$
$\Psi_{SBw}(T) = (\sigma_{SBF_{+}^{-}}(T), E^{0}(T))$	$\Psi_{SBb}(T) = (\sigma_{SBF_{+}^{-}}(T), \Pi^{0}(T))$
$\Psi_{SBaw}(T)=(\sigma_{SBF^+}(T),E^0_a(T))$	$\Psi_{SBab}(T) = (\sigma_{SBF^+}(T), \Pi^0_a(T))$

Table 2

Among the spectral valued functions listed in Table 2, we consider the following cases to illustrate the use of Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.5

• It is shown in [10, Theorem 2.15] that if Ψ_{gw} is a spectral a-partitioning function for $T \in L(X)$, then Ψ_{gb} is also a spectral a-partitioning function for T. Since $\Psi_{gb} \leq \Psi_{gw}$, to prove this result using Theorem 3.3, it is enough to prove that $\emptyset = \sigma_{SBF^-_+}(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF^-_+}(T) = E(T) \setminus \Pi(T)$, which is the case from [6, Theorem 4.2].

• It is shown in [8, Corollary 3.3] that if Ψ_{gaW} is a spectral a-partitioning function for $T \in L(X)$, then Ψ_{gaB} is a spectral a-partitioning function for T. Since $\Psi_{gaB} \leq \Psi_{gaW}$, to prove this result using Theorem 3.3, it is enough to prove that $\emptyset = \sigma_{SBF_{+}^{-}}(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_{+}^{-}}(T) = E_a(T) \setminus \Pi_a(T)$, which is the case from [8, Theorem 2.8].

4 Crossed Results

In this section we consider the situation of two comparable spectral valued functions, one is spectral partitioning, while the other one would be spectral a-partitioning, and vice-versa.

Theorem 4.1. Let $T \in L(X)$ and let Φ be a spectral partitioning function for T. If Ψ is a spectral valued function such that $\Psi \leq \Phi$, then Ψ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T if and only if $\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T) = (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)).$

Proof. If Ψ is a spectral partitioning function for T, then $\sigma(T) = [\Psi_1(T) \cup \Psi_2(T)] \bigsqcup [(\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))] = [\Phi_1(T) \cup (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T)] \bigsqcup [(\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))]$. Hence $\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T) = (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))$.

Conversely assume that Φ is a spectral partitioning function for T and $\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T) = (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))$. Then $\sigma(T) = \Phi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Phi_2(T) = \Phi_1(T) \cup (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T) = \Phi_1(T) \cup (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \cup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T) = \Psi_1(T) \cup \Psi_2(T) \cup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))$. Hence $\sigma_a(T) \subset \Psi_1(T) \cup \Psi_2(T)$.

Since $\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T) = (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))$, then $\Psi_1(T) \cup \Psi_2(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$. Moreover as $\Psi_2(T) \subset \Phi_2(T)$, we have $\Psi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T) = (\Phi_1(T) \cup (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \cap \Psi_2(T) = \emptyset$. Then $\sigma_a(T) = \Psi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Psi_2(T)$ and Ψ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T.

Corollary 4.2. Let $T \in L(X)$ and let Ψ be a spectral *a*-partitioning function for T. If Φ is a spectral valued function such that $\Psi \leq \Phi$, then Φ is a spectral partitioning function for T if and only if $\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T) = (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)).$

Proof. Assume that Φ is a spectral partitioning function for T. As $\Psi \leq \Phi$, and Ψ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T, then from Theorem 4.1, we have $\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T) = (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)).$

Conversely assume that Ψ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T and $\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T) = (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))$. Then $\sigma(T) = [\Psi_1(T) \cup \Psi_2(T)] \bigsqcup [(\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))] = [\Phi_1(T) \cup (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T)] \bigsqcup [\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)] = \Phi_1(T) \cup (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T) = \Phi_1(T) \cup \Phi_2(T)$. As $\Phi_1(T) \subset \Psi_1(T)$, we have $\Phi_1(T) \cap \Phi_2(T) = \Phi_1(T) \cap [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T)] = \Phi_1(T) \cap [(\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \cup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T)] = \emptyset$. Therefore $\sigma(T) = \Phi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Phi_2(T)$ and Φ is a spectral partitioning function for T.

Similarly to Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 we have the following two results.

Theorem 4.3. Let $T \in L(X)$ and let Φ be a spectral a-partitioning function for T. If Ψ is a spectral valued function such that $\Psi \leq \Phi$, then Ψ is a spectral partitioning function for T if and only if $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)).$

Proof. If Ψ is a spectral partitioning function for T, then $\sigma(T) = \Psi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Psi_2(T) = \Phi_1(T) \cup (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T)$. Hence $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))$. Conversely assume that Φ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T and $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))$. Then $\sigma(T) = \Phi_1(T) \cup \Phi_2(T) \cup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)) = \Phi_1(T) \cup (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T) \cup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)) = \Phi_1(T) \cup (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T)$. Hence $\sigma(T) = \Psi_1(T) \cup \Psi_2(T)$. Since $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))$ and $\Psi_2(T) \subset \Phi_2(T)$, then $\Psi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T) = [\Phi_1(T) \cup (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \sqcup \Psi_2(T)]$ and $\Psi_2(T) \subset \Phi_2(T)$, then $\Psi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T) = [\Phi_1(T) \cup (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) = \emptyset$. Hence $\sigma(T) = \Psi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Psi_2(T)$ and Ψ is a spectral partitioning function for T.

Corollary 4.4. Let $T \in L(X)$ and let Ψ be a spectral partitioning function for T. If Φ is a spectral valued function such that $\Psi \leq \Phi$, then Φ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T if and only if $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)).$

Proof. Assume that Φ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T. As $\Psi \leq \Phi$, and Ψ is a spectral partitioning function for T, then from Theorem 4.3, we have $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))$.

Conversely assume that Ψ is a spectral partitioning function for T and $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))$. Then $\sigma(T) = \Psi_1(T) \cup \Psi_2(T) = \Phi_1(T) \cup (\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T) = \Phi_1(T) \cup (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T) = \Phi_1(T) \cup \Phi_2(T) \cup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)).$

Hence $\sigma_a(T) \subset \Phi_1(T) \cup \Phi_2(T)$. Since $\Psi_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T) = (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))$ and $\Psi_2(T) \cap \Psi_1(T) = \emptyset$, then $\Phi_1(T) \cup \Phi_2(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$. Moreover, we have $\Phi_1(T) \cap \Phi_2(T) = \Phi_1(T) \cap [(\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T)]$ and since $\Phi_1(T) \subset \Psi_1(T)$, then $\Phi_1(T) \cap \Phi_2(T) = \emptyset$. Therefore $\sigma_a(T) = \Phi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Phi_2(T)$ and Φ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T.

Among the spectral valued functions listed in Table 1 and Table 2, we consider the following cases to illustrate the use of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.3.

It is shown in [18, Corollary 2.5] that if Ψ_{aW} is a spectral a-partitioning function for $T \in L(X)$, then Φ_W is a partitioning function for T. When Ψ_{aW} is a spectral a-partitioning function for T, then $\sigma_W(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF^-_+}(T) = (E^0_a(T \setminus E^0(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)))$. Since $\Phi_W \leq \Psi_{aW}$, this result is then a direct consequence of Theorem 4.3. Moreover, combining Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4, we have the following theorem, characterizing the equivalence of the two properties.

Theorem 4.5. Let $T \in L(X)$. The spectral valued function Ψ_{aW} is a spectral a-partitioning function for T if and only if Φ_W is a partitioning function for T and $\sigma_W(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+}(T) = (E_a^0(T \setminus E^0(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))).$

It is shown in [1, Theorem 2.6] that if Ψ_w is a spectral a-partitioning function for $T \in L(X)$, then Φ_B is a spectral partitioning function for T. When Ψ_w is a spectral a-partitioning function for T, then $\sigma_W(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF^-_+}(T) = (E^0(T) \setminus \Pi^0(T)) \bigsqcup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))$. As Since $\Phi_B \leq \Psi_w$, this result is then a direct consequence of Theorem 4.1. Moreover, as in Theorem 4.5, we have the following theorem characterizing the equivalence of the two properties.

Theorem 4.6. Let $T \in L(X)$. The spectral valued function Ψ_w is a spectral *a*-partitioning function for T if and only if Φ_B is a partitioning function for T and $\sigma_W(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF^-_+}(T) = (E^0(T) \setminus \Pi^0(T)) \cup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)).$

Example 4.7. Let us consider the operator T of Remark 2.8, and the two spectral valued functions defined by $\Phi_{gaw}(T) = (\Phi_1(T), \Phi_2(T)) = (\sigma_{BW}, E_a(T))$ and $\Phi_{Baw}(T) = (\Phi'_1(T), \Phi'_2(T)) = (\sigma_{BW}(T), E^0_a(T))$. Then $\Phi_{Baw} \leq \Phi_{gaw}$ and Φ_{Baw} is a spectral a-partitioning function for T. Since we have $\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Phi'_2(T) = \{0\}$, and $(\Phi'_1(T) \setminus \Phi_1(T)) \cup (\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)) = \emptyset$, then from Corollary 4.2, Φ_{gaw} is not a spectral partitioning function for T, which is readily verified.

For the study of the spectral valued functions, we have considered comparable spectral valued functions for the order relation \leq . This not always the case, as seen by the spectral valued functions Ψ_{gb} and Φ_{gab} , defined by $\Psi_{gb}(T) = (\sigma_{SBF^-_+}(T), \Pi(T))$ and $\Phi_{gab}(T) = (\sigma_{BW}(T), \Pi_a(T))$, for all $T \in L(X)$. We observe that In fact Ψ_{gb} and Φ_{gab} are comparable for the order relation <<, in the sense that $\sigma_{SBF^-_+}(T) \subset \sigma_{BW}(T)$, and $\Pi(T) \subset \Pi_a(T)$. To deal with such cases, we have the following two results

Theorem 4.8. Let $T \in L(X)$ and let Φ be a spectral partitioning function for T. If Ψ is a spectral valued function such that $\Psi \ll \Phi$. Then Ψ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T if and only if $(\Phi_1(T) \setminus \Psi_1(T)) \bigsqcup (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)) = \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)$.

Proof. Since Φ is a spectral partitioning function for T, then $\sigma(T) = \Phi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Phi_2(T)$. If Ψ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T, then $\sigma(T) = \Phi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Phi_2(T) = [\Psi_1(T) \cup \Psi_2(T)] \bigsqcup [(\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T))] = \Psi_1(T) \cup (\Phi_1(T) \setminus \Psi_1(T)) \cup \Psi_2(T) \cup (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T))$. As $(\Phi_1(T) \setminus \Psi_1(T)) \cap (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T) = \emptyset$, then $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T) = (\Phi_1(T) \setminus \Psi_1(T)) \bigsqcup (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)$.

Conversely assume that $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T) = (\Phi_1(T) \setminus \Psi_1(T)) \bigsqcup (\Psi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T))$. As $\sigma(T) = \Phi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Phi_2(T)$, then $\sigma_a(T) = \Psi_1(T) \cup \Psi_2(T)$. As we have obviously $\Psi_1(T) \cap \Psi_2(T) = \emptyset$, then $\sigma_a(T) = \Psi_1(T) \bigsqcup \Psi_2(T)$, and Ψ is a spectral a-partitioning function for T.

Similarly to Theorem 4.8, we have the following result, which we give without proof.

Theorem 4.9. Let $T \in L(X)$ and let Ψ be a spectral a-partitioning function for T. If Φ is a spectral valued function such that $\Psi \ll \Phi$. Then Φ is a spectral partitioning function for T if and only if $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T) = (\Phi_1(T) \setminus \Psi_1(T)) \bigsqcup (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)).$

We observe that in Theorem 4.9, Φ is a spectral partitioning function for T if and only if the function $\Phi \setminus \Psi$ defined by $(\Phi \setminus \Psi)(T) = ((\Phi_1(T) \setminus \Psi_1(T)), (\Phi_2(T) \setminus \Psi_2(T)), \forall T \in L(X)$ is partitioning for the complement of the approximate spectrum $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_a(T)$.

References

- [1] P. Aiena, P. Peña, Variations on Weyl's theorem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **324** (2006) 566–579.
- M. Amouch, H. Zguitti, On the equivalence of Browder's and generalized Browder's theorem, Glasgow Math. J. 48 (2006), 179–185.

- [3] B. A. Barnes, *Riesz points and Weyl's theorem*, Integr. Equ. and Oper. Theory **34** (1999), 187–196.
- [4] M. Berkani, On a class of quasi-Fredholm operators, Integr. Equ. and Oper. Theory 34 (1999), no. 2, p. 244–249.
- [5] M. Berkani, B-Weyl spectrum and poles of the resolvent, J. Math. Anal. Applications, 272 (2), 596–603 (2002).
- [6] M. Berkani, Index of B-Fredholm operators and generalization of a Weyl theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002) 1717–1723
- [7] M. Berkani, On the equivalence of Weyl and generalized Weyl theorem, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English series, Vol. 23(no.1) (2007), 103–110. (2002).
- [8] M. Berkani, J.J. Koliha, Weyl type theorems for bounded linear operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 69 (2003), 359–376.
- [9] M. Berkani, M. Sarih, On semi B-Fredholm operators, Glasgow Math. J. 43 (2001), 457–465.
- [10] M. Berkani, H. Zariouh, Extended Weyl type theorems, Math. Bohemica Vol. 134, No. 4, pp. 369–378, (2009).
- [11] M. Berkani, H. Zariouh, New extended Weyl type theorems, Mat. Vesnik Vol. 62 (2) (2010), 145–154.
- [12] X. Cao, B. Meng, Browder's theorem and generalized Weyl's theorem Preprint: www.math.pku.edu.cn:8000/var/preprint/362.pdf
- [13] R. E. Curto; Y.M. Han, Generalized Browder's and Weyl's theorems for Banach space operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 336 (2007), no. 2, 1424–1442.
- [14] D. S. Djordjevic, Operators obeying a-Weyl's theorem, Publ. Math. Debrecen 55 (1999), no 3-4, 283-298.
- S. V. Djordjević and Y. M. Han, Browder's theorems and spectral continuity, Glasgow Math. J. 42 (2000), 479–486.
- [16] B. P. Duggal, Polaroid operators and generalized Browder-Weyl theorems, Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad. 108, (2008), no. 2, 149–164.
- [17] H. Heuser, Functional Analysis, John Wiley & Sons Inc, New York, (1982).
- [18] V. Rakočević, Operators obeying a-Weyl's theorem, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 34 (1989), 915–919.
- [19] Weyl, H. Über beschränkte quadratische Formen, deren Differenz vollstetig ist. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 27 (1909), 373-392.

[20] Xiao Hong Cao: A-Browders Theorem and Generalized a-Weyls Theorem, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, Vol.23, No.5(2007), 951-960.

Mohammed Berkani, Department of mathematics, Science Faculty of Oujda, University Mohammed I, Operator Theory Team, SFO, Morocco berkanimo@aim.com