

COMMUTING MATRICES AND THE HILBERT SCHEME OF POINTS ON AFFINE SPACES

ABDELMOUBINE A. HENNI AND MARCOS JARDIM

ABSTRACT. We give linear algebraic and monadic descriptions of the Hilbert scheme of points on the affine space of dimension n which naturally extends Nakajima's representation of the Hilbert scheme of points on the plane. As an application of our ideas and recent results from the literature on commuting matrices, we show that the Hilbert scheme of c points on (\mathbb{C}^3) is irreducible for $c \leq 10$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Commuting matrices and stable ADHM data	3
3. Extended monads and perfect extended monads	5
3.1. l -extended monads	5
3.2. Perfect extended monads	8
4. Ideal sheaves of zero-dimensional subschemes of \mathbb{P}^n	10
4.1. The \mathbb{P}^3 case	11
4.2. Representability of the Hilbert functor of points	15
5. The Hilbert scheme of points on affine varieties	17
5.1. Scheme structure on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)$	17
5.2. The schematic isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c) \cong \text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{Y})$	17
6. Irreducible components of the Hilbert scheme of points	19
References	20

1. INTRODUCTION

The Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of c points in the affine space of dimension n parametrizes 0-dimensional subschemes of \mathbb{C}^n of length c . The case of $n = 2$ is much studied: $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is an irreducible, nonsingular quasi-projective variety of dimension $2c$; in addition, it admits a hyperkähler structure. The structure of $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for $n \geq 3$ is much less understood: $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ irreducible for $c \leq 8$ [2], while it is reducible for $c \geq 78$ [14]; for $n \geq 4$, $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is irreducible if and only if $c \leq 7$ [2]; however, $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is always connected [10].

The linear algebraic and monadic descriptions of $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ given by Nakajima in [19, Chapters 1 & 2] are particularly relevant to us. In this paper, we give analogous descriptions of $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and of $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{Y})$, the Hilbert scheme of c points in an affine variety \mathbb{Y} , naturally extending Nakajima's description of $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^2)$.

This goal is attained in the first part of the paper, see Sections 2 through 4.2, and Section 5 for the case of affine varieties.

More precisely, let V and W be complex vector spaces of dimension c and 1, respectively. Let B_0, \dots, B_{n-1} be operators on V commuting with each other and consider a map $I : W \rightarrow V$. The $(n+1)$ -tuple (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, I) is said to be *stable* if there is no proper subspace $S \subset V$ which is invariant under each operator B_k and contains the image of I . The group $GL(V)$ acts on the set of all such $(n+1)$ -tuple by change of basis on V .

We prove that there is a one-to-one corresponding between the following objects:

- (1) ideals J in the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ whose quotient has dimension c ;
- (2) stable $(n+1)$ -tuple (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, I) with $\dim V = c$, modulo the action of $GL(V)$;
- (3) complexes of the form, called *perfect extended monads*:

$$0 \rightarrow V_{1-n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1-n) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1-n}} V_{2-n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2-n) \quad \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}} V_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

where $V_1 := V$, $V_0 = V^{\oplus n} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and $V_i = V^{\oplus \binom{n}{1-i}}$ for $i < 0$, which are exact everywhere except at degree 0 (grading of the complex is given by the twisting).

Furthermore, using the above correspondence, we also show that the $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is isomorphic (as a scheme) to a GIT quotient of $\mathcal{C}(n, c) \times \text{Hom}(W, V)$ by $GL(V)$, where $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$ denotes the variety of n commuting $c \times c$ matrices.

The correspondence between items (1) and (2) as well as the isomorphism between Hilbert scheme and the GIT quotient of $\mathcal{C}(n, c) \times \text{Hom}(W, V)$ by $GL(V)$ are already present in the representation theory literature, see for instance [23], [24, Appendix by M. V. Nori] and [26], and more recently [6, 9, 27].

The correspondence with the so-called perfect extended monads is new. In fact, we introduce in Section 3 a new class of objects, *extended monads* (cf. Definition 3.1), which generalize the usual monads originally introduced by Horrocks in the 1960's [12] and much studied by several authors since then. Furthermore, Fløystad showed that the dual of the Horrocks–Mumford rank 2 bundle on \mathbb{P}^4 also arises as the cohomology of an extended monad cf. [4], while extended monads also arised in the mathematical physics literature [3]. With this motivations in mind, the basic theory of extended monads is developed here, with a focus on what we call perfect extended monads. We provide a cohomological characterization of the sheaves that arise as cohomology of a perfect extended monad on projective spaces (see Proposition 3.7 below), showing, in particular, that ideal sheaves of zero dimensional subschemes do satisfy the required conditions.

We complement our discussion on the parametrization via linear algebra of the Hilbert scheme of points on affine varieties by providing a similar, but more general, description of the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{Y})$ of c points on an affine variety $\mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. More precisely, suppose that \mathbb{Y} is given by algebraic equations $f_1 = \dots = f_l = 0$; we show in Section 5 that a point in $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{Y})$ corresponds to a stable $(n+1)$ -tuple (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, I) such that $f_k(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}) = 0$ for each $k = 1, \dots, l$. Moreover, such correspondence yields a schematic isomorphism between $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{Y})$ and the variety of commuting matrices satisfying $f_k(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}) = 0$ plus a vector, modulo $GL(V)$.

Finally, as an application of our ideas, it is not difficult to see that $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is irreducible whenever $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$ is irreducible, see details in Section 6 below. It then follows from recent results due to Šivic [25, Theorems 26 & 32], that $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ is irreducible if $c \leq 10$, while this was known to be the case only for $c \leq 8$, cf. [2, Theorem 1.1].

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank D. Erman for useful discussions and suggestions about the irreducibility results of Hilbert schemes of points.

AAH was supported by the FAPESP post-doctoral grant number 2009/12576-9. MJ is partially supported by the CNPq grant number 303332/2014-0 and the FAPESP grant number 2014/14743-8.

2. COMMUTING MATRICES AND STABLE ADHM DATA

In this section we shall introduce the necessary material to our construction: let V be a complex vector space of dimension c and let $B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1} \in \text{End}(V)$ be n linear operators on V .

Definition 2.1. *The variety $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$ of n commuting linear operators on V is the subvariety of $\text{End}(V)^{\oplus n}$ given by*

$$\mathcal{C}(n, c) = \{(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}) \in \text{End}(V)^{\oplus n} \mid [B_i, B_j] = 0, \forall i \neq j\}.$$

The commutation relations can be thought of as a system of $\binom{n}{2}c^2$ homogeneous equations of degree 2 in nc^2 variables.

Let W be a 1-dimensional complex vector space; one can form the space

$$\mathbb{B} := \text{End}(V)^{\oplus n} \oplus \text{Hom}(W, V)$$

whose points are represented by the $(n+1)$ -tuple $X = (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}, I)$ that will be called an *ADHM datum*. We then define the *variety of ADHM data* $\mathcal{V}(n, c)$ as the subvariety of \mathbb{B} given by

$$\mathcal{V}(n, c) := \mathcal{C}(n, c) \times \text{Hom}(W, V).$$

Definition 2.2. *An ADHM datum $X = (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}, I) \in \mathbb{B}$ is said to be stable if there is no proper subspace $S \subsetneq V$ such that*

$$B_0(S), B_1(S), \dots, B_{n-1}(S), I(W) \subset S.$$

The set of stable points in \mathbb{B} will be denoted by \mathbb{B}^{st} ; $\mathcal{V}(n, c)^{st} := \mathbb{B}^{st} \cap \mathcal{V}(n, c)$ will denote the set of stable points in $\mathcal{V}(n, c)$.

Next, we introduce the action of the linear group $G := GL(V)$ on \mathbb{B} . For all $g \in G$ and $X = (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, I) \in \mathbb{B}$, this action is given by

$$g \cdot (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, I) = (gB_0g^{-1}, \dots, gB_{n-1}g^{-1}, gI).$$

For a fixed ADHM datum X , we will denote by G_X its stabilizer subgroup:

$$G_X := \{g \in G \mid gX = X\} \subseteq G.$$

It is easy to see that X is stable if and only if gX is stable, and that G acts on $\mathcal{V}(n, c)$.

We conclude this section with two results relating stability in the sense of Definition 2.2 with GIT stability, following the construction in [16, §2].

Proposition 2.3. *If $X \in \mathcal{V}(n, c)^{st}$, then its stabilizer subgroup G_X is trivial.*

Proof. Let $X = (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, I)$ be a stable ADHM datum and suppose that there exists an element $g \neq 1$ in G such that $gI = I$ and $gB_i g^{-1} = B_i$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. Then $\ker(g-1)$ is B_i -invariant, for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, and $\text{Im } I \subseteq \ker(g-1)$. Since X is stable, then $\ker(g-1) \subset V$ must be equal to V . Hence g must be the identity. \square

Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}(n, c))$ be the ring of regular functions on $\mathcal{V}(n, c)$. Fix $l > 0$, and consider the group homomorphism $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ given by $\chi(g) = (\det g)^l$. This can be used for the construction a suitable linearization of the G -action on $\mathcal{V}(n, c)$, that is, to lift the action of G on $\mathcal{V}(n, c)$ to an action on $\mathcal{V}(n, c) \times \mathbb{C}$ as follows: $g \cdot (X, z) := (g \cdot X, \chi(g)^{-1}z)$ for any ADHM datum $X \in \mathcal{V}(n, c)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then one can form the scheme

$$\mathcal{V}(n, c) //_{\chi} G := \text{Proj} \left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \Gamma(\mathcal{V}(n, c))^{G, \chi^i} \right)$$

where

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{V}(n, c))^{G, \chi^i} := \{f \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}(n, c)) \mid f(g \cdot X) = \chi(g)^{-1} \cdot f(X), \forall g \in G\}.$$

The scheme $\mathcal{V}(n, c) //_{\chi} G$ is projective over the ring $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}(n, c))^G$ and quasi-projective over \mathbb{C} .

Proposition 2.4. *The orbit $G \cdot (X, z)$ is closed, for $z \neq 0$, if and only if the ADHM datum $X \in \mathcal{V}(n, c)$ is a stable.*

Proof. The proof is similar to [11, Proposition 2.10] \square

From Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 and since the group G is reductive, it follows that the quotient space $\mathcal{M}(n, c) := \mathcal{V}(n, c) //_{\chi} G$ is a good categorical quotient [18, Thm. 1.10]. Furthermore, GIT tells us that the GIT quotient $\mathcal{M}(n, c)$ is the space of orbits $G \cdot X \subset \mathcal{V}(n, c)$ such that the lifted orbit $G \cdot (X, z)$ is closed within $\mathcal{V}(n, c) \times \mathbb{C}$ for all $z \neq 0$. We conclude therefore, from Proposition 2.4, that

$$\mathcal{M}(n, c) = \mathcal{V}(n, c)^{\text{st}} / G.$$

Finally we end this section by mentioning the following results: As a set, the Hilbert scheme of c points on \mathbb{C}^n is given by:

$$\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \{I \triangleleft \mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}] \mid \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}]/I) = c\}.$$

The existence of its schematic structure is a special case of the general result of Grothendieck [8]. Another explicit construction of the Hilbert scheme of points on the affine plane is given by Nakajima [19]. The reader may also consult [20] for more general results and examples.

We conclude this section by stating the following:

Theorem 2.5. *There exists a set-theoretical bijection between the quotient space $\mathcal{M}(n, c)$ and the Hilbert scheme of c points in \mathbb{C}^n .*

This is achieved by constructing, for every stable ADHM datum

$$X = (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, I) \in \mathcal{V}(n, c)^{\text{st}},$$

the surjective linear transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_X : \mathbb{C}[Z_0, \dots, Z_{n-1}] &\longrightarrow V \\ p(Z_0, \dots, Z_{n-1}) &\longmapsto p(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1})I(1) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\mathbb{C}[Z_0, \dots, Z_{n-1}] / \ker \Phi_X$ is isomorphic to V . Clearly this maps descend to a map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : \mathcal{M}(n, c) &\longrightarrow \text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n) \\ [X] &\longmapsto \ker \Phi_X \end{aligned},$$

and showing that it is a bijection is an exercise left to the reader.

3. EXTENDED MONADS AND PERFECT EXTENDED MONADS

In this section we shall generalize the concept of *monads*, introduced by Horrocks (the reader may consult [21] for definitions and properties), in order to describe ideal sheaves for zero-dimensional subschemes of \mathbb{C}^n and \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 2$.

Let X be a smooth projective algebraic variety of dimension n over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} , and let $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ be a polarization on it.

3.1. l -extended monads. We introduce the following generalization of monads.

Definition 3.1. *An l -extended monad over X is a complex*

$$(1) \quad C^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow C^{-l-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l-1}} C^{-l} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l}} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}} C^{-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}} C^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} C^1 \rightarrow 0$$

of locally free sheaves over X which is exact at all but the 0-th position, i.e. $\mathcal{H}^i(C^\bullet) = \ker \alpha_i / \text{Im } \alpha_{i-1} = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. The coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{H}^0(C^\bullet) = \ker \alpha_0 / \text{Im } \alpha_{-1}$ will be called the cohomology of C^\bullet .

Note that a monad on X , in the usual sense, is just a 0-extended monad.

Moreover, one can associate to any l -extended monad C^\bullet a *display* of exact sequences as the following

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccccc} & & & & & & & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & & & & & C^1 & = & C^1 \\ & & & & & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C^{-l-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l-1}} & C^{-l} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}} & C^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}} & C^0 & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & & & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C^{-l-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l-1}} & C^{-l} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}} & C^{-1} & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & & 0 & & & & \end{array}$$

where $K := \ker \alpha_0$ and $Q := \text{coker } \alpha_{-1}$.

A morphism $\phi : C_1^\bullet \rightarrow C_2^\bullet$ between two l -extended monads C_1^\bullet and C_2^\bullet is an $(l+3)$ -tuple of morphisms such that the following diagram commutes:

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccccc} C_1^\bullet : & 0 & \longrightarrow & C_1^{-l-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l-1}^1} & C_1^{-l} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}^1} & C_1^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}^1} & C_1^0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0^1} & C_1^1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \phi & & & \phi_{-l-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_{-l} & & & \downarrow \phi_{-1} & & \downarrow \phi_0 & & \downarrow \phi_1 & & \\ C_2^\bullet : & 0 & \longrightarrow & C_2^{-l-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l-1}^2} & C_2^{-l} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}^2} & C_2^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}^2} & C_2^0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0^2} & C_2^1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

With these definitions, the category of l -extended monads form a full subcategory of the category $\text{Kom}^b(X)$ of bounded complexes of coherent sheaves on X .

l -extended monads have already appeared in the literature. The most important example of a locally-free sheaf that can be obtained as the cohomology of a 2-extended monad on \mathbb{P}^4 is the dual of the Horrocks–Mumford bundle; indeed, Fløystad shows in [4, Introduction: example b.] that the Horrocks–Mumford bundle is given by the cohomology at degree zero, where the grading is given by the twist, of a complex of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}^{\oplus 5}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}^{\oplus 15} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}^{\oplus 10}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}^{\oplus 2}(2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Dualizing such complex we get a 2-extended monad on \mathbb{P}^4 whose cohomology is the dual of the Horrocks–Mumford bundle.

Moreover, objects very closely related to 2-extended monads on \mathbb{P}^3 have also appeared in the mathematical physics literature, see [3, Section 4].

An l -extended monad can be broken into the following two complexes: first,

$$(4) \quad N^\bullet : \quad 0 \longrightarrow C^{-l-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l-1}} C^{-l} \quad \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-3}} C^{-2} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}} C^{-1} \xrightarrow{J_{-1}} \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0$$

which is exact, and a locally free resolution of the sheaf $\mathcal{G} = \text{coker } \alpha_{-2}$, and

$$(5) \quad M^\bullet : \quad \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{I_{-1}} C^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} C^1$$

where $I_{-1} \circ J_{-1} = \alpha_{-1}$. M^\bullet is a monad-like complex in which the coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} might not be locally free; indeed, \mathcal{G} is not locally free for the extended monads describing ideal sheaves of 0-dimensional subschemes, the situation most relevant to the present paper.

For a given l -extended monad, we refer to the complexes M^\bullet and N^\bullet as the *associated resolution* and the *associated monad*, respectively. Therefore, the morphism $\phi : C_1^\bullet \rightarrow C_2^\bullet$ can be thought of as a pair of morphisms $(\phi_N : N_1^\bullet \rightarrow N_2^\bullet, \phi_M : M_1^\bullet \rightarrow M_2^\bullet) \in \text{Hom}(N_1^\bullet, N_2^\bullet) \times \text{Hom}(M_1^\bullet, M_2^\bullet)$.

Remark that as long as we have $\phi_0(\text{Im } \alpha_1^{-1}) \subseteq \text{Im } \alpha_2^{-1}$ and $\phi_0(\ker \alpha_0^1) \subseteq \ker \alpha_0^2$ then ϕ is determined by only ϕ_0 ; indeed, the conditions

$$\phi_0(\text{Im } \alpha_1^{-1}) \subseteq \text{Im } \alpha_2^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_0(\text{Im } I_1^{-1}) \subseteq \text{Im } I_2^{-1}$$

are equivalent (here we considered the morphism of the associated monads). Hence ϕ_0 determines the morphism ϕ_M , and consequently it also determines the whole morphism $\phi : C_1^\bullet \rightarrow C_2^\bullet$. This is because N_1^\bullet and N_2^\bullet are locally free resolutions and hence projective resolutions, so that giving a morphism $\phi_G : \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2$ determines all the morphisms $\phi_{-i} : C_1^{-i} \rightarrow C_2^{-i}$ for $i \leq -1$.

Since taking cohomology is a functorial operation, a morphism $\phi : C_1^\bullet \rightarrow C_2^\bullet$ of two l -extended monads C_1^\bullet and C_2^\bullet , induces a morphism between their respective cohomologies

$$H(\phi) : \mathcal{H}^0(C_1^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(C_2^\bullet).$$

Of course, isomorphic complexes induce isomorphic cohomologies. It follows that there is natural notion of equivalence for l -extended monads with the same terms C^i provided by the action of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(C^\bullet) = \text{Aut}(C^{-l-1}) \times \text{Aut}(C^{-l}) \times \dots \times \text{Aut}(C^0) \times \text{Aut}(C^1)$.

Our goal now is to study families of ideal sheaves of zero-cycles in \mathbb{P}^n . It turns out that such ideal sheaves are given by cohomologies of a special kind of l -extended monads. However, before proving this claim, which will be done only in Section 4 below, we tackle a more general question, namely under which conditions a homomorphism $\mathcal{H}^0(C_1^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(C_2^\bullet)$ lifts to a homomorphism $C_1^\bullet \rightarrow C_2^\bullet$ between the

corresponding complexes. In particular to determine the automorphisms of such objects.

Our next result provides a sufficient condition, by showing when the cohomology functor is full and faithful.

Proposition 3.2. *Let*

$$C_1^\bullet : \quad 0 \longrightarrow C_1^{-l-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l-1}^1} C_1^{-l} \quad \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}^1} C_1^{-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}^1} C_1^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0^1} C_1^1 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$C_2^\bullet : \quad 0 \longrightarrow C_2^{-l-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l-1}^2} C_2^{-n} \quad \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}^2} C_2^{-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}^2} C_2^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0^2} C_2^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

be two l -extended monads, and let \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 denote their respective cohomologies. Then

$$H : \text{Hom}(C_1^\bullet, C_2^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$$

is surjective if

$$\text{Ext}^1(C_1^1, C_1^0) = 0,$$

$$\text{Ext}^k(C_1^0, C_2^{-k}) = 0 \text{ for } k \geq 1, \quad \text{Ext}^k(C_1^1, C_2^{-k+1}) = 0 \text{ for } k \geq 2.$$

Moreover if

$$\text{Hom}(C_1^1, C_2^0) = 0,$$

$$\text{Ext}^k(C_1^0, C_2^{-k+1}) = 0 \text{ for } k \geq 1, \quad \text{Ext}^k(C_1^0, C_2^{-k-1}) = 0 \text{ for all } k \geq 0,$$

then H is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}_1 = \text{Im } \alpha_{-1}^1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2 = \text{coker } \alpha_{-1}^2$. The associated resolution N_2^\bullet can be broken into sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2^{-i} \rightarrow C_2^{-i} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2^{-i+1} \rightarrow 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq l+1$$

where we put $\mathcal{G}_2^{-l-1} = C_2^{-l}$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^0 = \mathcal{G}_2$. Then, by applying either $\text{Hom}(C_1^0, \bullet)$ or $\text{Hom}(C_1^1, \bullet)$ on the above sequences and incorporating the conditions given in the proposition, it follows that H is surjective if

$$\text{Ext}^1(C_1^1, C_1^0) = \text{Ext}^1(C_1^0, \mathcal{G}_2) = \text{Ext}^2(C_1^1, \mathcal{G}_2) = 0,$$

and it is an isomorphism if

$$\text{Hom}(C_1^1, C_2^0) = \text{Hom}(C_1^0, \mathcal{G}_2) = \text{Ext}^1(C_1^0, \mathcal{G}_2) = 0.$$

To finish the proof, it suffice to apply [21, Chapter II, Lemma 4.1.3] to the associated monad M_2^\bullet of the l -extended monad C_2^\bullet . \square

In particular, one obtains the following statement.

Corollary 3.3. *In the notation of Proposition 3.2, assume that*

$$\text{Ext}^1(C_1^1, C_1^0) = 0,$$

$$\text{Ext}^k(C_1^0, C_2^{-k}) = 0 \text{ for } k \geq 1, \quad \text{Ext}^k(C_1^1, C_2^{-k+1}) = 0 \text{ for } k \geq 2.$$

Then \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are isomorphic if and only if C_1^\bullet and C_2^\bullet are isomorphic (as l -extended monads).

3.2. Perfect extended monads. We now introduce the class of l -extended monads which is relevant to the description of the Hilbert scheme of points. Recall that $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is the chosen polarization on the n -dimensional projective algebraic variety X .

Definition 3.4. A perfect extended monad on a n -dimensional projective variety X is a $(n-2)$ -extended monad P^\bullet on X of the following form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(1-n)^{\oplus a_{1-n}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1-n}} \mathcal{O}_X(2-n)^{\oplus a_{2-n}} \longrightarrow \\ \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}} \mathcal{O}_X(-1)^{\oplus a_{-1}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}} \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus a_0} \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \mathcal{O}_X(1)^{\oplus a_1} \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

for some integers a_i , $1-n \leq i \leq 1$.

We recall to the reader that a projective scheme X is *arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay*, or simply *ACM*, if its homogeneous coordinate ring is Cohen–Macaulay ring. Moreover let us denote by \mathfrak{Per} the full subcategory of $\text{Kom}^b(X)$ consisting of perfect extended monads.

Corollary 3.5. If X is an n -dimensional ACM variety, then the cohomology functor

$$H : \mathfrak{Per}(X) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(X)$$

is full and faithful.

Proof. This follows easily from Proposition 3.2: since X is ACM, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(C_1^1, C_2^0) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-1)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{O}_X(a), \mathcal{O}_X(b)) = H^i(\mathcal{O}_X(b-a)) = 0, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1. \end{aligned}$$

□

It follows from the Corollary above that the automorphism group of a perfect extended monad on an ACM variety is just $GL_{a_{1-n}}(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_{a_{-n}}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times GL_{a_1}(\mathbb{C})$.

We finish this section by describing the cohomology of sheaves which are in the image of the functor H on \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 2$.

Proposition 3.6. If \mathcal{E} is the cohomology of a perfect extended monad on \mathbb{P}^n ($n \geq 2$) then:

- (i) $H^0(\mathcal{E}(k)) = 0$ for $k < 0$;
- (ii) $H^n(\mathcal{E}(k)) = 0$ for $k > -n-1$;
- (iii) $H^i(\mathcal{E}(k)) = 0 \forall k$, $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, when $n \geq 3$.

Proof. We twist the middle column of the display (2) by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k)$, then break it into short exact sequences

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k+1-n)^{\oplus a_{1-n}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k+2-n)^{\oplus a_{2-n}} & \longrightarrow & Q_{2-n}(k) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \vdots & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Q_{-p-1}(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k-p)^{\oplus a_{-p}} & \longrightarrow & Q_{-p}(k) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \vdots & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Q_{-1}(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k)^{\oplus a_0} & \longrightarrow & Q_0(k) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $Q_0 := Q = \text{coker} \alpha_{-1}$.

Step. 1: From the long sequences in cohomology of the first row above, we have

$$H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k+2-n)^{\oplus a_{2-n}}) \rightarrow H^i(Q_{2-n}(k)) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k+1-n)^{\oplus a_{1-n}}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Then, from the vanishing properties of line bundles on \mathbb{P}^n , it follows that

$H^0(Q_{2-n}(k)) = 0$ for $k < n - 2$; $H^n(Q_{2-n}(k)) = 0$ for $k > -1$; $H^i(Q_{2-n}(k)) = 0$ $\forall k, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

Step. 2: Using induction on the remaining rows in (6) it follows that, for $p > 2$,
 $H^0(Q_{p-n}(k)) = 0$ for $k < n - p$; $H^n(Q_{p-n}(k)) = 0$ for $k > -p - 1$; $H^i(Q_{p-n}(k)) = 0$ $\forall k, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

Step. 3: From the long exact sequence in cohomology of the lower row in (2) twisted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k)$ one has

$$H^{i-1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k+1))^{\oplus a_1} \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{E}(k)) \rightarrow H^i(Q(k)) \rightarrow \dots$$

Using the vanishing obtained in Step. 2 for $Q_0 = Q$, the claims of items (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) follow.

The last item is obtained by dualizing the lower row of (2). \square

Now let us denote by $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{-p}$ the bundle of holomorphic $(-p)$ -forms on \mathbb{P}^n , where $p \leq 0$ in our convention.

Proposition 3.7. *If a coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^n ($n \geq 2$) satisfies:*

- (i) $H^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = H^n(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{E}(-n)) = 0$;
- (ii) $H^q(\mathcal{E}(k)) = 0 \quad \forall k, \quad 2 \leq q \leq n - 1$ when $n \geq 3$;
- (iii) $H^1(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{-p}(-p-1)) \neq 0$ for $-n \leq p \leq 0$;

then \mathcal{E} is the cohomology of a perfect extended monad.

Proof. Applying Beilinson's theorem [21, Chapter II, Theorem 3.1.4] to the sheaf $\mathcal{E}(-1)$, one gets a spectral sequence with E_1 -term given by

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{-p}(-p-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(p)$$

which converges to the graded sheaf associated to a filtration of $\mathcal{E}(-1)$ itself.

Twist the Euler sequence for the sheaves of differential forms

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^p(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^N \rightarrow \Omega^{p-1}(p) \rightarrow 0 \quad , \quad N = \binom{n+1}{p}$$

by $\mathcal{E}(k-p)$ and use hypotheses (i) and (ii) above to conclude, after long but straightforward calculations with the associated long exact sequences of cohomology, that $E_1^{p,q} = 0$ for $q \neq 1$.

It follows immediately that the Beilinson spectral sequence degenerates already at the E_2 -term, i.e. $E_2 = E_\infty$. Beilinson's theorem then implies that the complex $E_1^{p,1}$ given by

$$(7) \quad V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \rightarrow V_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n},$$

with $V_p := H^1(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{-p}(-p-1))$, $-n \leq p \leq 0$, is exact everywhere except at position $p = -1$, and its cohomology at this position is precisely $\mathcal{E}(-1)$.

The third hypothesis implies that none of the vector spaces V_p vanishes. So twisting the complex (7) by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$, we obtain a perfect extended monad whose cohomology is exactly \mathcal{E} , as desired. \square

4. IDEAL SHEAVES OF ZERO-DIMENSIONAL SUBSCHEMES OF \mathbb{P}^n

We now consider sheaves \mathcal{E} of rank r on \mathbb{P}^n fitting in the following short exact sequence

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{Q} is a pure torsion sheaf of length c supported on a 0-dimensional subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$.

Note that the Chern character of \mathcal{E} is given by $ch(\mathcal{E}) = r - cH^n$, and that \mathcal{E} is necessarily torsion free. Such sheaves can also be regarded as points in the Quot scheme $Quot^{P=c}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus r})$.

In the case $r = 1$, it is clear that \mathcal{E} is the sheaf of ideals in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ associated to the zero-dimensional subscheme Z , i.e. $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{O}_Z$; in this case, we will then denote \mathcal{E} by \mathcal{I}_Z .

Proposition 4.1. *Every sheaf \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^n given by sequence (8) is the cohomology of a perfect extended monad P^\bullet with terms of the form $P^{-i} := V_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(i)$, $i = 1 - n, \dots, 0, 1$, where*

$$(9) \quad V_i := H^1(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{1-i}(-i)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{Q} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{1-i}(-i)).$$

Furthermore, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$(10) \quad V_1 \cong H^0(\mathcal{Q})$$

$$(11) \quad V_i \cong \begin{cases} V_1^{\oplus n} \oplus \mathbb{C}^r & \text{for } i = 0 \\ V_1^{\oplus \binom{n}{1-i}} & \text{for } i < 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Conditions (i) and (ii) in Proposition 3.7 follow easily from twisting sequence (8) by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k)$ and using that fact that \mathcal{Q} is supported in dimension zero. Next, twist sequence (8) by $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{-p}(-p-1)$ and use Bott's formula to obtain the isomorphisms in (9).

The isomorphisms (10) and (11) can be proved as follows. First, we have $V_1 := H^1(\mathcal{E}(-1)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{Q}(-i)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{Q})$, for $i = 1$ since \mathcal{Q} is supported in dimension zero.

The space V_0 fits in the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{Q} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1) \rightarrow H^1(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1)^{\oplus r} \rightarrow 0$$

obtained from sequence (8) twisted by $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1$. On the other hand, we know from the Euler sequence that $H^1(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n})$. Moreover, since $H^0(\mathcal{Q} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1) \cong H^0(\mathcal{Q})^{\oplus n} \cong V_1^{\oplus n}$, it follows that $H^1(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1) \cong V_1^{\oplus n} \oplus \mathbb{C}^r$.

Finally, note that $V_i = H^1(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{1-i}(-i)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{Q}^{\oplus \binom{n}{-i}}) = V_1^{\oplus \binom{n}{1-i}}$, for $i < 0$. \square

In particular, for the case $r = 1$, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *For every zero dimensional subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, there exists a perfect extended monad P^\bullet of the form*

$$0 \rightarrow V_{1-n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1-n) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1-n}} V_{2-n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2-n) \quad \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}} V_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

where $V_1 := H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ and

$$V_i \cong \begin{cases} V_1^{\oplus n} \oplus \mathbb{C} & \text{for } i = 0 \\ V_1^{\oplus \binom{n}{1-i}} & \text{for } i < 0 \end{cases},$$

whose cohomology is the ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}_Z .

4.1. The \mathbb{P}^3 case. Now, we fix a hyperplane $\wp \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. We shall describe how to get linear algebraic data out of the perfect extended monad corresponding to a 0-dimensional subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus \wp$, as in Corollary 4.2.

Let us start by fixing notation; we choose homogeneous coordinates $[z_0; z_1; z_2; z_3]$ on \mathbb{P}^3 in such a way that the hyperplane \wp is given by the equation $z_3 = 0$. We also regard such coordinates as a basis for the space of global sections $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$.

By Corollary 4.2, there is a perfect extended monad P^\bullet with cohomology equal to the ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}_Z . It is given by

$$(12) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}} V_1^{\oplus 3} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}} (V_1^{\oplus 3} \oplus W) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\alpha_0} \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\alpha_{-2} \in \text{Hom}(V_1, V_1^{\oplus 3}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$, $\alpha_{-1} \in \text{Hom}(V_1^{\oplus 3}, V_1^{\oplus 3} \oplus W) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$ and $\alpha_0 \in \text{Hom}(V_1^{\oplus 3} \oplus W, V_1) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$.

we can write the α 's as:

$$\alpha_{-2} = \alpha_{-2}^0 z_0 + \alpha_{-2}^1 z_1 + \alpha_{-2}^2 z_2 + \alpha_{-2}^3 z_3;$$

$$\alpha_{-1} = \alpha_{-1}^0 z_0 + \alpha_{-1}^1 z_1 + \alpha_{-1}^2 z_2 + \alpha_{-1}^3 z_3;$$

$$\alpha_0 = \alpha_0^0 z_0 + \alpha_0^1 z_1 + \alpha_0^2 z_2 + \alpha_0^3 z_3,$$

The conditions $\alpha_{-1} \circ \alpha_{-2} = 0$ and $\alpha_0 \circ \alpha_{-1} = 0$, which guarantee that (12) is a complex, are equivalent to

$$(13) \quad \alpha_{1-i}^k \circ \alpha_{-i}^k = 0 \quad \forall k, i \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{1-i}^k \circ \alpha_{-i}^l + \alpha_{1-i}^l \circ \alpha_{-i}^k = 0 \quad \forall i, k \neq l.$$

We also have to impose the condition $\ker \alpha_{-1} = \text{Im } \alpha_{-2}$, since $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(P^\bullet) = 0$.

Restricting P^\bullet to the plane $\wp \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ we get the following 1-extended monad on \wp :

$$(14) \quad V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_\wp(-2) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}|_\wp} V_1^{\oplus 3} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\wp(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}|_\wp} (V_1^{\oplus 3} \oplus W) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\wp^{\alpha_0|_\wp} \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_\wp(1)$$

and the maps of this complex are just given by

$$\alpha_{-2}|_\wp = \alpha_{-2}^0 z_0 + \alpha_{-2}^1 z_1 + \alpha_{-2}^2 z_2;$$

$$\alpha_{-1}|_\wp = \alpha_{-1}^0 z_0 + \alpha_{-1}^1 z_1 + \alpha_{-1}^2 z_2;$$

$$\alpha_0|_\wp = \alpha_0^0 z_0 + \alpha_0^1 z_1 + \alpha_0^2 z_2.$$

The resolution and the monad associated to the perfect extended monad P^\bullet are given by, respectively,

$$(15) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_\wp(-2) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}|_\wp} V_1^{\oplus 3} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\wp(-1) \xrightarrow{J_{-1}|_\wp} \mathcal{G}|_\wp \rightarrow 0$$

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{G}|_\wp \xrightarrow{I_{-1}|_\wp} (V_1^{\oplus 3} \oplus W) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\wp \xrightarrow{\alpha_0|_\wp} V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_\wp(1) \quad .$$

Lemma 4.3. *The sheaf $\mathcal{G}|_\wp$ is locally free and satisfies*

- (i) $H^0(\wp, \mathcal{G}|_\wp) = H^1(\wp, \mathcal{G}|_\wp) = H^2(\wp, \mathcal{G}|_\wp) = 0$;
- (ii) $H^1(\wp, \mathcal{G}|_\wp^*) = H^2(\wp, \mathcal{G}|_\wp^*) = 0$, and $h^0(\wp, \mathcal{G}|_\wp^*) = 3c$.

Proof. Taking the restriction of the display of the perfect monad to the plane \wp one has $\mathcal{I}|_{\wp} = \mathcal{O}|_{\wp}$, since $\text{supp}(Z) \cap \wp = \emptyset$. Moreover, from the lowest row of the restricted display, namely

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}|_{\wp} \rightarrow Q|_{\wp} \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}|_{\wp} \rightarrow 0,$$

it follows that $Q|_{\wp}$ is a locally free sheaf. Furthermore, from the middle column of the restricted display, namely

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_{\wp} \rightarrow (V_1^{\oplus 3} \oplus W) \otimes \mathcal{O}|_{\wp} \rightarrow Q|_{\wp} \rightarrow 0,$$

it also follows the sheaf $\mathcal{G}|_{\wp}$ is locally free.

The first item follows from the long exact sequence in cohomology of the associated resolution (15) and the fact that $H^i(\wp, \mathcal{O}|_{\wp}(k)) = 0$, for $i = 0, 1, 2$ and $k = -1, -2$.

For the second item, dualize the exact sequence (15) and apply the global sections functor Γ to obtain the exact sequence

$$(17) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(\wp, \mathcal{G}|_{\wp}^*) \rightarrow (V_1^*)^{\oplus 3} \otimes H^0(\wp, \mathcal{O}|_{\wp}(1)) \rightarrow V_1^* \otimes H^0(\wp, \mathcal{O}|_{\wp}(2)) \rightarrow H^1(\wp, \mathcal{G}|_{\wp}^*) \rightarrow 0.$$

and $H^2(\wp, \mathcal{G}|_{\wp}^*) = 0$, since $H^{1,2}(\wp, \mathcal{O}|_{\wp}(1)) = H^{1,2}(\wp, \mathcal{O}|_{\wp}(1)) = 0$. On the other hand, from the dual display of the associated monad (16) one has the exact sequence

$$(18) \quad 0 \rightarrow Q|_{\wp}^* \rightarrow (V_1^* \oplus W^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}|_{\wp} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_{\wp}^* \rightarrow 0$$

where $Q := \text{coker} \alpha_{-1}$. Moreover $Q|_{\wp}^* = V_1^* \otimes \mathcal{O}|_{\wp}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}|_{\wp}$ since $Q|_{\wp} \in \text{Ext}^1(V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}|_{\wp}(1), \mathcal{O}|_{\wp}) = V_1^* \otimes H^1(\wp, \mathcal{O}|_{\wp}(-1)) = 0$. Then, from the long exact sequence in cohomology associated to (18), it follows that $H^0(\wp, \mathcal{G}|_{\wp}^*)$ fits in the exact sequence

$$(19) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (V_1^*)^{\oplus 3} \oplus W \rightarrow H^0(\wp, \mathcal{G}|_{\wp}^*) \rightarrow 0,$$

hence $h^0(\wp, \mathcal{G}|_{\wp}^*) = 3c$ and from (17) it follows that $h^1(\wp, \mathcal{G}|_{\wp}^*) = 0$. \square

Remark that the sequence (17) becomes just

$$(20) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(\wp, \mathcal{G}|_{\wp}^*) \xrightarrow{i} (V_1^*)^{\oplus 3} \oplus (V_1^*)^{\oplus 3} \oplus (V_1^*)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{j} (V_1^*)^{\oplus 3} \oplus (V_1^*)^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow 0,$$

since $H^0(\wp, \mathcal{O}|_{\wp}(1)) \simeq \mathbb{C}^3$, and $H^0(\wp, \mathcal{O}|_{\wp}(2)) \simeq \mathbb{C}^6$. So one can identify $H^0(\wp, \mathcal{G}|_{\wp}^*)$ with $(V_1^*)^{\oplus 3}$. Furthermore, by (19), one can identify $W = H^0(\wp, \mathcal{I}_Z|_{\wp}) \cong \mathbb{C}$, since $Z \cap \wp = \emptyset$.

Combining sequences (20) and (19), and dualizing the resulting sequence one gets

$$(21) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_1^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_1^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{i} V_1^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_1^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_1^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{j} V_1^{\oplus 3} \oplus W \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0,$$

The maps i and j are just $H^0(\alpha_{-2})$ and $H^0(\alpha_{-1})$, respectively. Thus we have

$$\ker H^0(\alpha_{-2}) = \ker \alpha_{-2}^0 \cap \ker \alpha_{-2}^1 \cap \ker \alpha_{-2}^2 = \{0\},$$

and

$$\ker H^0({}^t\alpha_{-1}) = \ker {}^t\alpha_{-1}^0 \cap \ker {}^t\alpha_{-1}^1 \cap \ker {}^t\alpha_{-1}^2 = \mathbb{C}.$$

The subscript t , in the last equation, stands for transposition. Remark also that the sequence (21) reflects the fact the complex (14) is exact at degree -1 , i.e., $\alpha_{-1} \circ \alpha_{-2} = 0$.

We can then choose the maps α_{-1}^j in the following way. First,

$$\alpha_{-2}^0, \quad \alpha_{-2}^1, \quad \alpha_{-2}^2 : V_1 \rightarrow V_1 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_1,$$

with:

$$(22) \quad \alpha_{-2}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mathbb{I}_{V_1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \alpha_{-2}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\mathbb{I}_{V_1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \alpha_{-2}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_{V_1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and where \mathbb{I}_{V_1} denotes the identity in $\text{End}(V_1)$.

One also has

$$\alpha_{-1}^0, \quad \alpha_{-1}^1, \quad \alpha_{-1}^2 : V_1 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_1 \rightarrow V_1 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}$$

given by

$$(23) \quad \alpha_{-1}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{I}_{V_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{I}_{V_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \alpha_{-1}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbb{I}_{V_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{I}_{V_1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\alpha_{-1}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbb{I}_{V_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathbb{I}_{V_1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, for

$$\alpha_0^0, \quad \alpha_0^1, \quad \alpha_0^2 : V_1 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_1 \oplus \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V_1$$

one has

$$(24) \quad \alpha_0^0 = (-\mathbb{I}_{V_1} \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0) \quad \alpha_0^1 = (0 \quad -\mathbb{I}_{V_1} \quad 0 \quad 0)$$

$$\alpha_0^2 = (0 \quad 0 \quad -\mathbb{I}_{V_1} \quad 0).$$

Now, to complete our construction, we have to add the maps α_{-2}^3 , α_{-1}^3 and α_0^3 such that conditions (13) are satisfied. By putting

$$(25) \quad \alpha_{-2}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} -B_2 \\ B_1 \\ -B_0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \alpha_{-1}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 & 0 \\ -B_0 & 0 & B_2 \\ 0 & -B_0 & -B_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \alpha_0^3 = (B_0 \quad B_1 \quad B_2 \quad I),$$

where $B_i \in \text{End}(V_1)$ and $I \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, V_1)$, then all the equations are satisfied, since $\alpha_{-1}^3 \circ \alpha_{-2}^3 = 0$ and $\alpha_0^3 \circ \alpha_{-1}^3 = 0$ are equivalent to

$$(26) \quad [B_0, B_1] = 0; \quad [B_0, B_2] = 0; \quad [B_1, B_2] = 0,$$

Summing up what we have done so far, for a given 0-dimensional subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus \emptyset$ we have constructed a perfect extended monad P^\bullet of the form

$$(27) \quad V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}^3} V_1^{\oplus 3} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}^3} (V_1^{\oplus 3} \oplus W) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\alpha_0^3} \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$$

where the maps maps α_{-2} , α_{-1} and α_0 are given by ¹:

$$(28) \quad \alpha_{-2} = \begin{pmatrix} -B_2 z_3 + z_2 \\ B_1 z_3 - z_1 \\ -B_0 z_3 + z_0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \alpha_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 z_3 - z_1 & B_2 z_3 - z_2 & 0 \\ -B_0 z_3 + z_0 & 0 & B_2 z_3 - z_2 \\ 0 & -B_0 z_3 + z_0 & -B_1 z_3 + z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$\alpha_0 = \begin{pmatrix} B_0 z_3 - z_0 & B_1 z_3 - z_1 & B_2 z_3 - z_2 & I z_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

such that

$$(29) \quad [B_0, B_1] = 0; \quad [B_0, B_2] = 0; \quad [B_1, B_2] = 0,$$

Moreover the ADHM datum $(B_0, B_1, B_2, I) \in \text{End}(V_1)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, V_1)$ is indeed stable. Such claim will follow from the following observation.

Lemma 4.4. *The map α_0 given above is surjective if and only if the ADHM datum (B_0, B_1, B_2, I) is stable.*

Proof. The proof is a straightforward generalization of [19, Lemma 2.7 (2)]. \square

Theorem 4.5 (Inverse construction). *To a stable ADHM datum $X = (B_0, B_1, B_2, I) \in \mathcal{V}(3, c)^{st}$ one can associate the perfect extended monad (27) with maps $\alpha_{-2}, \alpha_{-1}, \alpha_0$ given as in (28), such that its cohomology is an ideal sheaf whose restriction to $\mathbb{C}^3 = \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus \wp$ is isomorphic to the one given by Theorem 2.5.*

Proof. The proof is an easy generalization of [19, Proposition 2.8] \square

The automorphisms of P^\bullet are clearly given by the action of the group $GL(V_1)$. Since, by Corollary 3.5, the cohomology functor is fully faithful, we recover the correspondence, given in Section 2, between equivalence classes of ideal sheaves \mathcal{I}_Z and the space $\mathcal{M}(3, c)$ defined as the quotient $\mathcal{V}(3, c)^{st}/GL(V_1)$, in the 3-dimensional case.

We complete this section by writing down the maps α_0 and α_{-1} in the more general n -dimensional case. Starting with a hyperplane $\wp \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and a 0-dimensional subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \wp$, the maps α_{-i} in the corresponding perfect extended monad can also be constructed as done above for the 3-dimensional case:

$$(30) \quad \alpha_0 = \begin{pmatrix} B_0 z_n - z_0 & B_1 z_n - z_1 & \cdots & B_{n-1} z_n - z_{n-1} & I z_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\alpha_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & A_1 & \cdots & A_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

¹ We omit writing the identity in front of the coordinates so $z_i \mathbb{1}_{V_1}$ will just be written z_i

where each block A_i , $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ is an $[n \cdot c \times (n-i-1) \cdot c]$ -matrix of the form

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ B_{i+1}z_n - z_{i+1} & B_{i+2}z_n - z_{i+2} & B_{i+3}z_n - z_{i+3} & \cdots & B_{n-1}z_n - z_{n-1} \\ -B_i z_n + z_i & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -B_i z_n + z_i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -B_i z_n + z_i & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -B_i z_n + z_i \end{pmatrix}$$

The first non vanishing line in A_i is $(i+1)$ -th one. For instance, when $n=3$ there are two blocks

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 z_3 - z_1 & B_2 z_3 - z_2 \\ -B_0 z_3 + z_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -B_0 z_3 + z_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ B_2 z_3 - z_2 \\ -B_1 z_3 + z_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

of respective sizes $[3 \cdot c \times 2 \cdot c]$ and $[3 \cdot c \times 1 \cdot c]$. One can similarly show that $\alpha_0 \circ \alpha_{-1} = 0 \Leftrightarrow [B_i, B_j] = 0$, for all $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. and that the map α_0 is surjective if and only if the ADHM datum (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, I) is stable.

Once again, this reflects the set theoretic bijection between the Hilbert scheme of length c zero-dimensional subschemes of $\mathbb{C}^n \simeq \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \varnothing$ and the quotient space $\mathcal{M}(n, c) := \mathcal{V}(n, c)^{st}/GL(V_1)$.

4.2. Representability of the Hilbert functor of points. Let us start this Section by introducing notation; for every two sheaves, \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^n and \mathcal{G} on a scheme S , we put $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G} := p^* \mathcal{F} \otimes q^* \mathcal{G}$, where $p: \mathbb{P}^n \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is the projection on the first factor and q is the projection $\mathbb{P}^n \times S \rightarrow S$ on the second one. We also denote by $k(s)$ the residue field of a closed point $s \in S$.

Using the ingredients developed in the previous sections, we now proceed to prove that $\mathcal{M}(n, c)$ represents the Hilbert functor $\mathcal{Hilb}_{\mathbb{C}^n}^{[c]}: \mathfrak{Sch} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Set}$ from the category of schemes \mathfrak{Sch} to the category of sets \mathfrak{Set} , which associates to every scheme S the set

$$\mathcal{Hilb}_{\mathbb{C}^n}^{[c]}(S) := \left\{ Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times S \left| \begin{array}{l} Z \text{ is a closed subscheme,} \\ Z \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \times S \\ \begin{array}{ccc} \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ S & \simeq & S \end{array} \text{ with } \pi \text{ flat, and} \\ \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(s)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(m)) = c, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

of flat families of 0-dimensional subschemes of \mathbb{C}^n .

For any noetherian scheme S of finite type over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} , consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n \times S & \xrightarrow{pr_{13}} & \mathbb{P}^n \times S \\ \downarrow pr_{23} & & \downarrow q \\ \mathbb{P}^n \times S & \xrightarrow{q} & S \end{array}$$

and the relative Euler sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n \times S}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n \times S}^{\oplus(n+1)} \longrightarrow T\mathbb{P}^n(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow 0$$

where $T\mathbb{P}^n(-1)$ is tangent bundle. One has the following:

Theorem 4.6 (Relative Beilinson's Theorem.). *For every coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on $\mathbb{P}^n \times S$ there is a spectral sequence $E_r^{i,j}$ with E_1 -term*

$$E_1^{i,j} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(i) \boxtimes \mathcal{R}^j q_* (\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n \times S/S}^{-i}(-i))$$

which converges to

$$E_\infty^{i,j} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{E} & i+j=0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let \mathcal{J} be an S -flat family of ideal sheaves of 0-dimensional subschemes of \mathbb{P}^n of length c , for a noetherian scheme S of finite type.

Theorem 4.7. *There exists an 1-extended monad given by*

$$(31) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1-n) \boxtimes \mathcal{R}^1 q_*(\mathcal{J} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n \times S/S}^n(n-1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2-n) \boxtimes \mathcal{R}^1 q_*(\mathcal{J} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n \times S/S}^{n-1}(n-2)) \rightarrow \cdots \\ \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}^1 q_*(\mathcal{J} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n \times S/S}^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{R}^1 q_{*1}(\mathcal{J} \otimes p^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)) \rightarrow 0$$

such that its cohomology is exactly the family \mathcal{J} .

Proof. By the relative Beilinson theorem, we only need the S -flatness of \mathcal{J} and the fact that at point $s \in S$ one has

$$\mathcal{R}^1 q_*(\mathcal{J} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n \times S/S}^{-i}(1-i)) \otimes k(s) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{Z(s)} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{-i}(1-i)),$$

where $Z(s)$ is the 0-dimensional subscheme of \mathbb{P}^n corresponding to the point $s \in S$. The rest of the proof follows from the vanishing properties of Lemma 4.1. \square

Therefore, on every point $s \in S$, one has a perfect extended monad $P^\bullet(s)$ given by

$$H^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z(s)} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n(n-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1-n) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z(s)} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{n-1}(n-2)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2-n) \rightarrow \cdots \\ \cdots \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z(s)} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z(s)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$$

Moreover, in the case of the space $\mathcal{V}(n, c)^{st}$, defined in Section 2, just after Definition 2.2, one has the *universal extended monad*

$$(32) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1-n) \boxtimes (V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}(n,c)^{st}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2-n) \boxtimes (V_1^{\oplus \binom{n-1}{c}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}(n,c)^{st}}) \rightarrow \cdots \\ \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \boxtimes ((V_1^{\oplus n} \oplus W) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}(n,c)^{st}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \boxtimes (V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}(n,c)^{st}}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally we have the following

Theorem 4.8. *The scheme $\mathcal{M}(n, c)$ is a fine moduli space for the Hilbert functor $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{C}^n}^{[c]}$ of c points on \mathbb{C}^n .*

Proof. The proof is similar, *mutatis mutandis*, to that of [11, Theorem 4.2]. \square

It follows by universality of the Hilbert scheme that

Corollary 4.9. $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n) \simeq \mathcal{M}(n, c)$ as schemes.

5. THE HILBERT SCHEME OF POINTS ON AFFINE VARIETIES

In this section we realize a scheme-theoretic bijection between ideals of zero dimensional subschemes, with constant Hilbert polynomial c , on affine varieties \mathbb{Y} and points of a subscheme of $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ which is defined out of the ideal associated to \mathbb{Y} .

Let us consider the following data: Let $\mathbb{Y} = \mathcal{Z}(Z_{\mathbb{Y}}) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an affine variety, given by the zero locus of the ideal $Z_{\mathbb{Y}} \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. We denote by $A(\mathbb{Y})$ the affine coordinate ring of the variety \mathbb{Y} , i.e., $A(\mathbb{Y}) = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{Z_{\mathbb{Y}}}$.

To each stable datum $X = (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, I)$, as defined in Section 2, one can associate a unique ideal $J \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, up to a $GL(V)$ action, such that the quotient $\frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{J} = V$ is a vector space of dimension c . In other words, J is an ideal corresponding to a zero dimensional subschemes, of length c , of the affine space \mathbb{C}^n . If we define

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)^{st} := \{X = (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, I) \in \mathcal{V}(n, c)^{st} / f(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}) = 0, \quad \forall f \in Z_{\mathbb{Y}}\}.$$

Hence we have the following:

Theorem 5.1. *There exists a set-theoretical bijection between the quotient space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c) := \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)^{st}/GL(V)$ and the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{Y})$ of c points in \mathbb{Y} .*

5.1. Scheme structure on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)$. The scheme structure of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)$ is given as the following: For a given datum $X = (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, I) \in \mathcal{V}(n, c)^{st}$, the map ϕ'_X is given by

$$\phi'_X : \begin{array}{ccc} A(\mathbb{Y}) & \rightarrow & V \\ [p \text{ mod } Z_{\mathbb{Y}}] & \mapsto & \phi'([p \text{ mod } (Z_{\mathbb{Y}})]) := [p(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}) \text{ mod } (Z_{\mathbb{Y}})]I(1). \end{array}$$

By stability, one has $\ker \phi'_X = \{f \in A(\mathbb{Y}) = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{Z_{\mathbb{Y}}} \mid f(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}) = 0\}$. In particular $f(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}) = 0$ for all $f \in Z_{\mathbb{Y}}$. Conversely, one can define an ideal $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{Y}}$ in the ring of regular functions $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}(n, c)^{st})$, on $\mathcal{V}(n, c)^{st}$, which is defined by $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{Y}} = \{f(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}) = 0 \in \text{End}(V) \text{ for } f \in Z_{\mathbb{Y}}\}$. Then $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)^{st}$ is the subscheme of $\mathcal{V}(n, c)^{st}$ given by $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{Y}} \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{V}(n, c)^{st})$. Of course, by choosing a basis for V , one has $\text{End}(V) \cong \text{Mat}_{c \times c}(\mathbb{C})$ and every polynomial equation $f \in Z_{\mathbb{Y}}$ gives rise to c polynomial equations in $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{Y}}$ given the rows of $f(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1})$. Thus, if $Z_{\mathbb{Y}}$ is generated by k element in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{Y}}$ will be generated by, at most, $c \times k$ polynomials in the entries b_{ab}^i of B_i , for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, and $a, b = 1, \dots, c$.

Now, consider $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)} := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \Gamma(\mathcal{V}(n, c))^{G, x^i}$, where $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}(n, c))^{G, x^i}$ is the ring of equivariant regular functions on $\mathcal{V}(n, c)$, of weight i with respect to the character χ corresponding to the $GL(V)$ -action, as defined in Section 2. One can form the space

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c) := \text{Proj} \left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\mathcal{V}(n, c))}{\tilde{Z}_{\mathbb{Y}}} \right)^{G, x^i} \right) \hookrightarrow \text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n) := \text{Proj} \left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \Gamma(\mathcal{V}(n, c))^{G, x^i} \right).$$

Hence, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)$ is a closed subscheme of the Hilbert scheme of points $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, and represents, of course a subfunctor, $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[c]}(\cdot)$ of the Hilbert functor $\mathcal{Hilb}_{\mathbb{C}^n}^{[c]}(\cdot)$

5.2. The schematic isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c) \cong \text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{Y})$. Recall that the Hilbert scheme of points $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{Y})$ represents the functor $\mathcal{Hilb}_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[c]}(\cdot) : \mathfrak{Sch} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Set}$ which

associates to any noetherian scheme of finite type S the set

$$\mathcal{H}ilb_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[c]}(S) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Z \subset \mathbb{Y} \times S \\ \cap \\ \mathbb{C}^n \times S \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} Z \text{ is a closed subscheme,} \\ Z \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Y} \times S \\ \begin{array}{ccc} \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ S & \simeq & S \end{array} \text{ with } \pi \text{ flat, and} \\ \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(s)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Y}}(m)) = c \quad , \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

of flat families of 0-dimensional subschemes of $\mathbb{Y} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{C}^n$ of length c . In particular there exists a universal flat family $\mathfrak{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Y} \times \mathcal{H}ilb^{[c]}(\mathbb{Y})$ of 0-dimensional subschemes of length c on \mathbb{Y} . Moreover, \mathfrak{X} is a flat subfamily of the universal family $\mathfrak{F} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{H}ilb^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, of 0-dimensional subschemes of length c in \mathbb{C}^n . This follows from the fact that $\mathcal{H}ilb_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[c]}(\cdot) \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}^*} \mathcal{H}ilb_{\mathbb{C}^n}^{[c]}(\cdot)$ is a subfunctor and \mathfrak{X} is just the restriction $\tilde{h}^*\mathfrak{F}$ of \mathfrak{F} , for the morphism $\tilde{h} := i \times h : \mathbb{Y} \times \mathcal{H}ilb^{[c]}(\mathbb{Y}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{H}ilb^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Furthermore, since the scheme $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)$ also parametrizes 0-dimensional subschemes of length c in $\mathbb{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, then any flat family $\mathfrak{Z} \subset \mathbb{Y} \times \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)$ is the pull-back of the family \mathfrak{X} under a morphism $\mathbb{Y} \times \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c) \xrightarrow{id_{\mathbb{Y}} \times f} \mathbb{Y} \times \mathcal{H}ilb^{[c]}(\mathbb{Y})$. One can resume the above situation in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{X} & & & & \mathfrak{Z} \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ & & \mathfrak{F} & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ \mathbb{Y} \times \mathcal{H}ilb^{[c]}(\mathbb{Y}) & \xleftarrow{\tilde{f}} & \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{H}ilb^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & \mathbb{Y} \times \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c) \\ \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{\beta} & \downarrow & \swarrow \tilde{\alpha} & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}ilb^{[c]}(\mathbb{Y}) & \xleftarrow{f} & \mathcal{H}ilb^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c) \\ & \searrow \beta & & \swarrow \alpha & \\ & & \mathcal{H}ilb^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n) & & \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} := i \times \beta$, $\tilde{\beta} := i \times \beta$ and $\tilde{f} := id_{\mathbb{Y}} \times f$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)$ represents a closed subfunctor of the Hilbert functor $\mathcal{H}ilb_{\mathbb{C}^n}^{[c]}(\bullet)$ such that, for any closed point $Spec(k)$, the following diagram commutes:

$$(33) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & Spec(k) & \\ \rho_{\alpha} \swarrow & \downarrow \rho & \searrow \rho_{\alpha} \\ \mathcal{H}ilb^{[c]}(\mathbb{Y}) & \xleftarrow{f} & \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c) \\ \searrow \beta & & \swarrow \alpha \\ & \mathcal{H}ilb^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n) & \end{array}$$

One has $\text{Hom}(Spec(k), \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)) \cong \mathcal{H}ilb_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[c]}(Spec(k))$, since f is an isomorphism on points, as it follows from Theorem 5.1.

On the other hand, let us consider the restriction of the universal monad to $\mathbb{Y} \times \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)^{st}$. This gives the following extended monad

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Y}}(1-n) \boxtimes (V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)^{st}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Y}}(2-n) \boxtimes (V_1^{\oplus \binom{n-1}{1}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)^{st}}) \rightarrow \dots \\ \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Y}} \boxtimes ((V_1^{\oplus n} \oplus W) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)^{st}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Y}}(1) \boxtimes (V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)^{st}}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}$ the family of ideals which arises as its cohomology. The restriction at any point $\text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)^{st}$ one has an $(n-2)$ -extended monad whose cohomology is an ideal of c points on \mathbb{Y} represented by the stable datum (B_1, \dots, B_n, I) which satisfies $f(B_1, \dots, B_n) = 0$, for all $f \in Z_{\mathbb{Y}}$.

Now for any noetherian scheme of finite type S , parametrizing zero-dimensional schemes of \mathbb{Y} , suppose there exists a flat family of ideals η on $\mathbb{Y} \times S$. We consider an open cover $\{S_i\}_{i \in J}$ of S . Then, for a fixed $i \in J$, $\eta_i := \eta(\mathbb{Y} \times S_i)$ is the cohomology of the following $(n-2)$ -extended monad on S_i

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Y}}(1-n) \boxtimes (V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S_i}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Y}}(2-n) \boxtimes (V_1^{\oplus \binom{n}{n-1}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S_i}) \rightarrow \dots \\ \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Y}} \boxtimes ((V_1^{\oplus n} \oplus W) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S_i}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Y}}(1) \boxtimes (V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S_i}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

At each point $s \in S_i$, this gives an $(n-2)$ -extended monad whose cohomology is an ideal of zero dimensional subscheme of \mathbb{Y} . In particular, there exists a datum in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)^{st}$ representing it. Since S_i is an open subset, one obtains a morphism $g_{\eta_i} : S_i \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)^{st}$, such that on the overlaps of the form $S_i \cap S_j$ one has

$$g_{\eta_i}(S) \sim_{GL(V_1)} g_{\eta_j}(S), \quad \forall s \in S_i \cap S_j.$$

Thus giving rise to a well defined morphism $g_{\eta} : S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)$ such that $\eta = (id_{\mathbb{Y}} \times g_{\eta})^*(\mathfrak{Z})$. In particular, there exists a morphism $h_{\mathfrak{X}} : \text{Hilb}^{[cl]}(\mathbb{Y}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)$, such that $\mathfrak{X} = (id_{\mathbb{Y}} \times h_{\mathfrak{X}})^*\mathfrak{Z}$ and $\mathcal{H}ilb_{\mathbb{Y}}(\text{Spec}(k)) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Spec}(k), \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c))$, that is, $h = f^{-1}$ as a set-theoretic maps.

Moreover, for the inclusions $\tilde{\alpha} : \mathbb{Y} \times \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \times \text{Hilb}^{[cl]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\tilde{\beta} : \mathbb{Y} \times \text{Hilb}^{[cl]}(\mathbb{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \times \text{Hilb}^{[cl]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ one has $\tilde{\alpha}_*\mathfrak{Z}(U) := \mathfrak{Z}(U \cap \mathbb{C}^n \times \text{Hilb}^{[cl]}(\mathbb{C}^n))$ and $\tilde{\beta}_*\mathfrak{X}(U) := \mathfrak{X}(U \cap \mathbb{C}^n \times \text{Hilb}^{[cl]}(\mathbb{C}^n))$, on every open $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \text{Hilb}^{[cl]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Furthermore for a point $x = \text{Spec}(k)$ as in (33), we have an isomorphism of stalks

$$\lim_{V \ni x} [\tilde{\alpha}_*\mathfrak{Z}(V)] \cong \lim_{V \ni x} [\tilde{\beta}_*\mathfrak{X}(V)],$$

for all $V \subseteq U$, in some directed system. Finally, the families \mathfrak{Z} and \mathfrak{X} are both restrictions, of the universal family $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \times \text{Hilb}^{[cl]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, to subschemes, with the same topological support, and such that $\mathfrak{X} = (id_{\mathbb{Y}} \times h_{\mathfrak{X}})^*\mathfrak{Z} = [id_{\mathbb{Y}} \times (h_{\mathfrak{X}} \circ f)]^*\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{Z} = (id_{\mathbb{Y}} \times f)^*\mathfrak{Z} = [id_{\mathbb{Y}} \times (f \circ h_{\mathfrak{X}})]^*\mathfrak{Z}$. Hence f is lifted to an (unique) isomorphism of schemes $\text{Hilb}^{[cl]}(\mathbb{Y}) \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c)$, with inverse $h_{\mathfrak{X}}$.

6. IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS OF THE HILBERT SCHEME OF POINTS

The variety $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$ of n commuting $c \times c$ matrices have been much studied by various authors since a 1961 paper by Gerstenhaber [7]. The results concerning the irreducibility of $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$ can be summarized as follows:

- $\mathcal{C}(2, c)$ is irreducible for every c (originally proved by Motzkin and Tausky [17], see also [7]);
- $\mathcal{C}(3, c)$ is irreducible for $c \leq 10$ and reducible for $c \geq 29$, see [22, Section 7.9], [12, 25] and the references therein;
- for $n \geq 4$, $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$ is irreducible if and only if $c \leq 3$ [7].

In particular, determining the highest possible value of c for which $\mathcal{C}(3, c)$ is irreducible is an important open problem.

On the other hand, much less is known about the irreducibility of the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of c points on \mathbb{C}^n , see for instance [2, Section 7] and the references therein.

- $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is irreducible for every c , see [5];
- $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ is irreducible for $c \leq 8$ [2, Theorem 1.1], while it is reducible for $c \geq 78$, cf. [14];
- for $n \geq 4$, $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is irreducible if and only if for $c \leq 7$ [2, Theorem 1.1].

Similarly, determining the highest possible value of c for which $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ is irreducible is also an important open question.

In this section, we connect the two problems through the following result.

Proposition 6.1. *The number of irreducible components of $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is smaller than, or equal to, the number of irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$. In particular, if $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$ is irreducible, then $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is also irreducible.*

Proof. Clearly, the number of irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$ is the same as the number of irreducible components of $\mathcal{V}(n, c) := \mathcal{C}(n, c) \times \text{Hom}(W, V)$. Let $\mathcal{V}_1(n, c), \dots, \mathcal{V}_p(n, c)$ denote the irreducible components of $\mathcal{V}(n, c)$, and set $\mathcal{V}_l(n, c)^{\text{st}} := \mathcal{V}_l(n, c) \cap \mathcal{V}(n, c)^{\text{st}}$, with $l = 1, \dots, p$.

It is possible that some components of $\mathcal{V}(n, c)$ contain no stable points; one can then order the irreducible components of $\mathcal{V}(n, c)$ in such a way that $\mathcal{V}_l(n, c)^{\text{st}} \neq \emptyset$ for $l = 1, \dots, q$ and $\mathcal{V}_l(n, c)^{\text{st}} = \emptyset$ for $l = q + 1, \dots, p$.

Since the group $G := GL(V)$ is irreducible, it is easy to see that if $x \in \mathcal{V}_l(n, c)^{\text{st}}$ then its orbit $G \cdot x \subset \mathcal{V}_l(n, c)^{\text{st}}$. Note also that

$$\mathcal{V}_l(n, c) //_{\chi} G = \mathcal{V}_l(n, c)^{\text{st}} / G$$

is irreducible, for each $l = 1, \dots, q$.

Since the GIT quotient $\mathcal{M}(n, c)$ coincides, by Proposition 2.4, with the set of stable G -orbits, we have that

$$\mathcal{M}(n, c) = (\mathcal{V}_1(n, c)^{\text{st}} / G) \cup \dots \cup (\mathcal{V}_q(n, c)^{\text{st}} / G)$$

and the desired conclusion follows from Corollary 4.9. \square

As an immediate consequence of [25, Theorems 26 & 32], we obtain the following new result on the irreducibility of the Hilbert scheme of points in dimension 3.

Corollary 6.2. *$\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ is irreducible for $c \leq 10$.*

As a final comment, we remark that determining which components of $\mathcal{V}(n, c)$ admit stable solutions seems to be a very interesting problem both from the linear algebra and the algebraic geometry points of view. More precisely, given an n -tuple of commuting matrices (B_1, \dots, B_n) , when is it possible to find a deformation (B'_1, \dots, B'_n) and a vector I such that the datum (B'_1, \dots, B'_n, I) is stable?

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Álvarez, F. Sancho, P. Sancho, Homogeneous Hilbert schemes. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 781–790.
- [2] D. Cartwright, D. Erman, M. Velasco, B. Viray, Hilbert schemes of 8 points. Algebra Number Theory **3** (2009), 763–795.
- [3] M. Cirafici, A. Sinkivics, R. Szabo, Cohomological gauge theory, quiver matrix models and Donaldson-Thomas theory, Nucl. Phys. B **809**, (2009) 452–518.
- [4] G. Fløystad, Monads on projective spaces, Comm. Algebra **28** (2000), 5503–5516.

- [5] J. Fogarty, Algebraic families on an algebraic surface. *Amer. J. Math.* **90** (1968), 511–521.
- [6] F. Galluzzi, F. Vaccarino, Hilbert–Chow morphism for non commutative Hilbert schemes and moduli spaces of linear representations. *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **13** (2010), 491–509.
- [7] M. Gerstenhaber, On dominance and varieties of commuting matrices. *Ann. Math.* **73** (1961), 324–348.
- [8] A. Grothendieck, Techniques de construction et théorème d’existence en géométrie algébrique IV: Les schémas de Hilbert. *Séminaire Bourbaki*, 221, 1960/1961.
- [9] T. S. Gustavsen, D. Laksov, R. M. Skjelnes, An elementary, explicit, proof of the existence of Hilbert schemes of points. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **210** (2007), 705–720.
- [10] R. Hartshorne, Connectedness of the Hilbert scheme. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **29** (1966), 5–48.
- [11] A. A. Henni, M. Jardim, R. V. Martins, ADHM construction of perverse instanton sheaves. *Glasgow Math. J.* **57** (2015), 285–321.
- [12] J. Holbrook, M. Omladic, Approximating commuting operators, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **327** (2001) 131–149.
- [13] A. Iarrobino, Reducibility of the family of 0-dimensional schemes on a variety. *Inventiones Math.* **15** (1972), 72–77.
- [14] A. Iarrobino, Compressed algebras and components of the punctual Hilbert scheme. In: *Algebraic Geometry, Sitges, 1983*, volume 1124 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 146–185. Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [15] M. Jardim, R. V. Martins, The ADHM Variety and Perverse Coherent Sheaves. *J. Geom. Phys.* **61** (2011), 2219–2232.
- [16] A. D. King, Moduli of representations of finite dimensional algebras. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **45** (1994), 515–530.
- [17] T. Motzkin, O. Taussky-Todd, Pairs of matrices with property L, II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1955), 387–401.
- [18] D. Mumford, *Geometric invariant theory*. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Neue Folge, Band 34* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1965.
- [19] H. Nakajima, *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [20] N. Nitsure, Construction of Hilbert and Quot schemes. *Fundamental algebraic geometry*, 105–137, *Math. Surveys Monogr.* 123, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [21] C. Okonek, M. Schneider, H. Spindler, *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*. *Progress in Mathematics* 3, Birkhauser, Boston, 1980.
- [22] K. O’Meara, J. Clark, C. Vinsonhaler, *Advanced topics in linear algebra: weaving matrix problems through the Weyr form*. Oxford University Press, New York, 2011.
- [23] G. F. Seelinger, Brauer–Severi schemes of finitely generated algebras. *Israel J. Math.* **111** (1999), 321–337.
- [24] C. S. Seshadri, *Vector bundles on curves*. *Contemp. Math.* **153**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [25] K. Šivic, On varieties of commuting triples III, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **437** (2012), 393–460.
- [26] M. Van den Bergh, The Brauer Severi scheme of the trace ring of generic matrices. *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.* **233**, *Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht*, (1988).
- [27] F. Vaccarino, Linear representations, symmetric products and the commuting scheme. *J. Algebra* **317** (2007), 634–641.

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SANTA CATARINA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, CAMPUS UNIVERSITÁRIO TRINDADE, CEP 88.040-900 FLORIANÓPOLIS-SC, BRASIL

E-mail address: henni.amar@ufsc.br

IMECC - UNICAMP, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, RUA SÉRGIO BUARQUE DE HOLANDA, 651, CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA, 13083-859 CAMPINAS-SP, BRAZIL

E-mail address: jardim@ime.unicamp.br