

ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS AND COHOMOLOGY OF LEIBNIZ PAIRS

YAN-HONG BAO AND YU YE

ABSTRACT. We introduce the enveloping algebra for a Leibniz pair, and show that the category of modules over a Leibniz pair is isomorphic to the category of left modules over its enveloping algebra. Consequently, we show that the cohomology theory for a Leibniz pair introduced by Flato, Gerstenhaber and Voronov can be interpreted by Ext-groups of modules over the enveloping algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

Leibniz pairs were introduced by Flato, Gerstenhaber and Voronov in the study of deformation theory for Poisson algebras in [3]. A Leibniz pair (A, L) consists of an associative algebra A and a Lie algebra L with an action of L on A . Roughly speaking, a Leibniz pair can be viewed as an infinitesimal version of an algebra with a group of operators acting on it.

An important example of a Leibniz pair comes from a smooth manifold, especially from a Poisson or symplectic manifold, where the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields acts on the algebra of smooth functions on it. Leibniz pair also arises whenever a Lie group acts on an associative algebra. For instance, an action of a Lie group G on a smooth manifold M naturally induces an action of the Lie algebra of G on the algebra of smooth functions on M .

A cohomology theory for Leibniz pairs (LP-cohomology for short) was introduced in [3], and they showed that the LP-cohomology controls the formal deformation of Leibniz pairs. They also defined modules over a Leibniz pair. A natural question asked in [3] is whether the LP-cohomology can be explained by Ext-groups of modules.

In this paper, we construct for each Leibniz pair (A, L) an associative algebra $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$, called its *enveloping algebra*. We prove the following result as given in Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 1. *Let (A, L) be a Leibniz pair and $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ be its enveloping algebra. Then the category of modules over (A, L) is isomorphic to the category of $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ -modules.*

Consequently, the category of modules over a Leibniz pair has enough projective and injective objects, which enables the usual construction of cohomology theory for a Leibniz pair by using projective or injective resolutions.

Date: September 13, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16W25, 16E40.

Key words and phrases. Leibniz pair; Enveloping algebra; Leibniz Pair cohomology.

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11173126).

E-mail: yhbao@ustc.edu.cn, yeyu@ustc.edu.cn.

Denote by $H_{LP}^n(A, L; M, P)$ the n -th LP-cohomology group of the Leibniz pair (A, L) with coefficients in an (A, L) -module (M, P, σ) . By Theorem 1, the (A, L) -module (M, P, σ) corresponds to a module $(P, M, \tilde{\sigma})$ over $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$. We consider the Ext-groups of the trivial module $(k, 0, 0)$ over $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ in a standard way, and prove the following result, which shows that the LP-cohomology is exactly interpreted by certain Ext-groups. This gives an affirmative answer to the question raised above. For more details we refer to Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 2. *Keep the above notation. Then we have isomorphisms*

$$H_{LP}^n(A, L; M, P) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{U}(A, L)}^n((k, 0, 0), (P, M, \tilde{\sigma})),$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we briefly recall some basic facts on Leibniz pairs and their modules. Section 3 deals with the construction of the enveloping algebra for a Leibniz pair and a proof of Theorem 1 is given there. In Section 4, we will calculate the Ext-groups of the trivial module over a Leibniz pair and show the isomorphisms in Theorem 2. In section 5 we will construct a long exact sequence and apply it to calculate LP cohomology groups.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout k will be a fixed field of characteristic 0, all algebras considered are over k and an associative algebra A has a multiplicative identity 1_A . We write $\otimes = \otimes_k$ for simplicity.

Definition 2.1 ([3]). A *Leibniz pair* (A, L) consists of an associative algebra A and a Lie algebra L , connected by a Lie algebra homomorphism $\mu: L \rightarrow \text{Der}(A)$, the Lie algebra of derivations of A into itself.

Usually, elements in A will be denoted by a, b, c, \dots and those of L by x, y, z, \dots . The Lie algebra homomorphism $\mu: L \rightarrow \text{Der}(A)$ just says that A is a Lie module over L with the action $\{-, -\}: L \times A \rightarrow A$ given by $\{x, a\} = \mu(x)(a)$, which satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$(2.1) \quad \{x, ab\} = a\{x, b\} + \{x, a\}b$$

for all $x \in L$ and $a, b \in A$.

Remark 2.2. Recall that a *noncommutative Poisson algebra* A is both an associative algebra and a Lie algebra with the Lie bracket $\{-, -\}$ satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$\{ab, c\} = a\{b, c\} + \{a, c\}b$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$, see also [10]. Clearly, a noncommutative Poisson algebra A corresponds to a Leibniz pair (A, A) together with the structure morphism μ given by setting $\mu(a) = \{a, -\}$ for all $a \in A$.

Definition 2.3 ([3]). Let (A, L) be a Leibniz pair. A *module* over (A, L) means a triple (M, P, σ) , where P is a Lie module over L with the action $[-, -]_*: L \times P \rightarrow P$, M is both an A - A -bimodule and a Lie module over L with Lie action $\{-, -\}_*: L \times M \rightarrow M$, which satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \{x, am\}_* = \{x, a\}m + a\{x, m\}_*,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \{x, ma\}_* = m\{x, a\} + \{x, m\}_*a,$$

for $x \in L, m \in M, a \in A$, and $\sigma: A \otimes P \rightarrow M$ is a k -linear function satisfying

$$(2.4) \quad \sigma(ab \otimes \alpha) = a\sigma(b \otimes \alpha) + \sigma(a \otimes \alpha)b$$

$$(2.5) \quad \{x, \sigma(a \otimes \alpha)\}_* = \sigma(\{x, a\} \otimes \alpha) + \sigma(a \otimes [x, \alpha]_*)$$

for $a, b \in A, \alpha \in P$ and $x \in L$.

Remark 2.4. The above definition coincides with the original one in [3]. More precisely, let P be a Lie module over L and M be an A - A -bimodule. Denote by $L \ltimes P$ (resp. $A \ltimes M$) the Lie (resp. associative) semidirect product of L and P (resp. A and M).

Recall that a module over (A, L) introduced in [3] means a pair (M, P) , provided that P is a Lie module over L , M is an A - A -bimodule, and there is a Lie algebra homomorphism $\hat{\mu} : L \ltimes P \rightarrow \text{Der}(A \ltimes M)$, which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\hat{\mu}((x, 0)(a, 0)) = \mu(x)(a)$ for any $x \in L, a \in A$,
- (2) $\hat{\mu}((x, 0)(0, m)), \hat{\mu}((0, \alpha)((a, 0))) \in M$ for any $x \in L, a \in A, m \in M, \alpha \in P$,
- (3) $\hat{\mu}((0, \alpha)(0, m)) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in P, m \in M$.

A triple (M, P, σ) corresponds to a pair (M, P) together with a Lie algebra homomorphism $\hat{\mu} : L \ltimes P \rightarrow \text{Der}(A \ltimes M)$ given by

$$\hat{\mu}((x, \alpha)(a, m)) = \mu(x)(a) + \{x, m\}_* + \sigma(a \otimes \alpha)$$

for all $x \in L, \alpha \in P, a \in A, m \in M$.

A homomorphism $(g, f) : (M, P, \sigma) \rightarrow (M', P', \sigma')$ of (A, L) -modules means that $g : M \rightarrow M'$ is a homomorphism of both A - A -bimodules and Lie modules, $f : P \rightarrow P'$ is a homomorphism of Lie modules, and the following diagram

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes P & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & M \\ \text{id}_A \otimes f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ A \otimes P' & \xrightarrow{\sigma'} & M' \end{array}$$

commutes. We denote the category of (A, L) -modules by $\mathcal{M}(A, L)$.

Remark 2.5. Let $(A, \cdot, \{-, -\})$ be a noncommutative Poisson algebra. Recall from [10] a *quasi-Poisson module* M over A is both an A - A -bimodule and a Lie module over A with the action given by $\{-, -\}_* : A \times M \rightarrow M$, which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \{a, bm\}_* &= b\{a, m\}_* + \{a, b\}m, \\ \{a, mb\}_* &= \{a, m\}_*b + m\{a, b\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$. In addition, if

$$\{ab, m\}_* = a\{b, m\}_* + \{a, m\}_*b$$

holds for all $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$, then we say that M is a *Poisson module* over A .

Let (A, A) be the corresponding Leibniz pair. Assume that M is both an A - A -bimodule and a Lie module over A with the action given by $\{-, -\}_* : A \times M \rightarrow M$. Then

(i) M is a quasi-Poisson module over A if and only if (M, M, σ) is a module over the Leibniz pair (A, A) , where σ is given by taken the commutator in the sense of associative action on M , i.e. $\sigma(a \otimes m) = am - ma$ for all $a \in A, m \in M$.

(ii) M is a Poisson module over A if and only if (M, M, σ) is a module over the Leibniz pair (A, A) , where σ is given by the Lie action of A on M , i.e. $\sigma(a \otimes m) = \{a, m\}_*$ for all $a \in A, m \in M$.

Therefore, the quasi-Poisson module category and Poisson module category over A can be viewed as subcategories (but not full subcategories) of the module category over the corresponding Leibniz pair (A, A) .

Denote the enveloping algebra of A by $A^e = A \otimes A^{\text{op}}$ and the universal enveloping algebra of L by $\mathcal{U}(L)$. In this paper, elements in $\mathcal{U}(L)$ is written as X, Y, Z, \dots and the identity element in $\mathcal{U}(L)$ is written as $\mathbf{1}$. Note that $\mathcal{U}(L)$ is a cocommutative Hopf algebra, with the comultiplication given by $\Delta(X) = \sum X_{(1)} \otimes X_{(2)}$ for any $X \in \mathcal{U}(L)$, where \sum is the Sweedler's notation, see [9, Section 4.0] for more details.

Suppose that P is a Lie module over L . Equivalently, P is a $\mathcal{U}(L)$ -module. We denote the action $\mathcal{U}(L) \times P \rightarrow P$ as $(X, \alpha) \mapsto X(\alpha)$ for any $X \in \mathcal{U}(L)$ and $\alpha \in P$. Note that $\mathcal{U}(L)$ is a cocommutative Hopf algebra and A^e is also a $\mathcal{U}(L)$ -module with the action given by

$$X(a \otimes b') = \sum X_{(1)}(a) \otimes X_{(2)}(b')$$

for $X \in \mathcal{U}(L), a \otimes b' \in A^e$. Moreover, A^e is a $\mathcal{U}(L)$ -module algebra, which means that the multiplication $A^e \otimes A^e \rightarrow A^e$ is a $\mathcal{U}(L)$ -homomorphism. The smash product $A^e \# \mathcal{U}(L)$ is an associative algebra, see [9, Section 7.2]. Recall that $A^e \# \mathcal{U}(L) = A^e \otimes \mathcal{U}(L)$ as a k -vector space. The multiplication is given by

$$(a \otimes b' \# X)(c \otimes d' \# Y) = \sum aX_{(1)}(c) \otimes (X_{(2)}(d)b') \# X_{(3)}Y.$$

The following lemma is straightforward and we omit the proof here.

Lemma 2.6. *Let M be simultaneously an A - A -bimodule and a Lie module over L with the action $\{-, -\}_*: L \times M \rightarrow M$. Then M is a left $A^e \# \mathcal{U}(L)$ -module if and only if (2.2) and (2.3) holds.*

3. ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS OF LEIBNIZ PAIRS

Let (A, L) be a Leibniz pair. We write $A^i = A^{\otimes i}$ and denote by $\Omega^1(A)$ the space of 1-forms of A , which is by definition the first syzygy of A as an A^e -module, see [8, Section 7.1]. To be precise, as an A^e -module, $\Omega^1(A) = A^3/I$, where I is a submodule of A^3 generated by

$$\{a \otimes b \otimes 1_A - 1_A \otimes ab \otimes 1_A + 1_A \otimes a \otimes b \mid a, b \in A\}.$$

We simply write the element $a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 + I$ in $\Omega^1(A)$ as $a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3$ when no confusion can rise.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (A, L) be a Leibniz pair. The space $\Omega^1(A)$ of 1-forms is a left $A^e \# \mathcal{U}(L)$ -module with the action given by*

$$(a \otimes b' \# X)(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3) = \sum aX_{(1)}(a_1) \otimes X_{(2)}(a_2) \otimes X_{(3)}(a_3)b'$$

for all $a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \in \Omega^1(A)$ and $a \otimes b' \# X \in A^e \# \mathcal{U}(L)$.

Proof. We consider the action of L on $\Omega^1(A)$, $\{-, -\}_*: L \times \Omega^1(A) \rightarrow \Omega^1(A)$ defined as

$$\{x, a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3\}_* = \{x, a_1\} \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 + a_1 \otimes \{x, a_2\} \otimes a_3 + a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \{x, a_3\}$$

for all $x \in L$ and $a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \in \Omega^1(A)$. By some direct calculation, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \{x, 1_A \otimes ab \otimes 1_A\}_* = \{x, a \otimes b \otimes 1_A\}_* + \{x, 1_A \otimes a \otimes b\}_*;$$

$$(3.2) \quad \{[x, y], a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3\}_* = \{x, \{y, a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3\}_*\}_* - \{y, \{x, a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3\}_*\}_*;$$

$$(3.3) \quad \{x, a(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3)\}_* = a\{x, a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3\}_* + \{x, a\}(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3);$$

$$(3.4) \quad \{x, (a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3)a\}_* = \{x, a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3\}_*a + (a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3)\{x, a\}.$$

The equality (3.1) is just to say that the action is well-defined, and we know that the action gives a Lie module structure on $\Omega^1(A)$ by (3.2). It follows from Lemma 2.6 that $\Omega^1(A)$ is an $A^e \# \mathcal{U}(L)$ -module by (3.3) and (3.4). \square

We denote $\overline{\Omega} = \Omega^1(A) \otimes \mathcal{U}(L)$, which is an $(A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L))\text{-}\mathcal{U}(L)$ -bimodule.

Lemma 3.2. *Keep the above notation, and let $\sigma: A \otimes P \rightarrow M$ be a k -linear map. Then the map*

$$\tilde{\sigma}: \overline{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(L)} P \rightarrow M, \quad \tilde{\sigma}((a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \otimes X) \otimes \alpha) = a_1 \sigma(a_2 \otimes X(\alpha)) a_3$$

is an $A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)$ -homomorphism if and only if σ satisfies (2.4) and (2.5).

Proof. Assume that σ satisfies (2.4) and (2.5). By definition, we know that

$$\tilde{\sigma}((a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \otimes X) \otimes \alpha) = \tilde{\sigma}((a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \otimes \mathbf{1}) \otimes X(\alpha)),$$

and by (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sigma}((1_A \otimes ab \otimes 1_A \otimes X) \otimes \alpha) \\ &= \sigma(ab \otimes X(\alpha)) \\ &= a\sigma(b \otimes X(\alpha)) + \sigma(a \otimes X(\alpha))b \\ &= \tilde{\sigma}((a \otimes b \otimes 1_A \otimes X) \otimes \alpha) + \tilde{\sigma}((1_A \otimes a \otimes b \otimes X) \otimes \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is well-defined.

By direct calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sigma}((a \otimes b' \sharp X)(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \otimes Y \otimes \alpha)) \\ &= \sum \tilde{\sigma}(aX_{(1)}(a_1) \otimes X_{(2)}(a_2) \otimes X_{(3)}(a_3)b \otimes X_{(4)}Y \otimes \alpha) \\ (3.5) \quad &= \sum aX_{(1)}(a_1)\sigma(X_{(2)}(a_2) \otimes X_{(4)}Y(\alpha))X_{(3)}(a_3)b. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & (a \otimes b' \sharp X)\tilde{\sigma}(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \otimes Y \otimes \alpha) \\ &= (a \otimes b' \sharp X)(a_1\sigma(a_2 \otimes Y(\alpha))a_3) \\ &= ((a \otimes b' \sharp X)(a_1 \otimes a_3' \sharp \mathbf{1}))\sigma(a_2 \otimes Y(\alpha)) \\ &= \sum (aX_{(1)}(a_1) \otimes X_{(2)}(a_3)b' \sharp X_{(3)})\sigma(a_2 \otimes Y(\alpha)) \\ &= \sum aX_{(1)}(a_1)X_{(3)}(\sigma(a_2 \otimes Y(\alpha)))X_{(2)}(a_3)b \\ &= \sum aX_{(1)}(a_1)\sigma(X_{(3)(1)}(a_2) \otimes X_{(3)(2)}(Y(\alpha)))X_{(2)}(a_3)b \\ &= (3.5), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is deduced from the cocommutativity of $\mathcal{U}(L)$. Consequently, $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a homomorphism of $A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)$ -modules.

Conversely, if $\tilde{\sigma}$ is an $A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)$ -homomorphism, it is easily checked that σ satisfies (2.4) and (2.5). \square

Definition 3.3. Let (A, L) be a Leibniz pair. The triangular matrix algebra

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}(L) & 0 \\ \overline{\Omega} & A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L) \end{pmatrix}$$

is called the *enveloping algebra* of (A, L) , denoted by $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$.

Remark 3.4. A module $(P, M, \tilde{\sigma})$ over $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ means that P is a $\mathcal{U}(L)$ -module, M is an $A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)$ -module, and $\tilde{\sigma}: \overline{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(L)} P \rightarrow M$ is a homomorphism of $A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)$ -modules. A homomorphism $(f, g): (P, M, \tilde{\sigma}) \rightarrow (P', M', \tilde{\sigma}')$ of $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ -modules

means that $f : P \rightarrow P'$ is a $\mathcal{U}(L)$ -homomorphism, $g : M \rightarrow M'$ is an $A^e\sharp\mathcal{U}(L)$ -homomorphism, and the following diagram commutes.

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(L)} P & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\sigma}} & M \\ \text{id}_{\overline{\Omega}} \otimes f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ \overline{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(L)} P' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\sigma}'} & M' \end{array}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{U}(A, L)\text{-Mod}$ the category of $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ -modules.

Theorem 3.5. *Let (A, L) be a Leibniz pair. Then the category of modules over (A, L) is isomorphic to the category of $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ -modules.*

Proof. First we define a functor $F : \mathcal{M}(A, L) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A, L)\text{-Mod}$ as follows. Suppose that (M, P, σ) is a module over the Leibniz pair (A, L) . We define $F((M, P, \sigma)) = (P, M, \tilde{\sigma})$ with the action of $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ given by setting

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \otimes Z & a \otimes b' \sharp Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X(\alpha) \\ \tilde{\sigma}(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \otimes Z \otimes \alpha) + a(Y(m))b \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is given by Lemma 3.2, i.e.

$$\tilde{\sigma}(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \otimes Z \otimes \alpha) = a_1 \sigma(a_2 \otimes Z(\alpha)) a_3$$

for all $a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \otimes Z \otimes \alpha \in \overline{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(L)} P$. By Lemma 3.2, we have $\tilde{\sigma} : \overline{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(L)} P \rightarrow M$ is a homomorphism of $A^e\sharp\mathcal{U}(L)$ -modules and hence the triple $(P, M, \tilde{\sigma})$ is a module over $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$.

For a homomorphism $(g, f) : (M, P, \sigma) \rightarrow (M', P', \sigma')$ of (A, L) -modules, we define $F((g, f)) = (f, g)$. From the commutativity of the diagram (2.6), it follows that the diagram (3.6) commutes. Therefore, $(f, g) : (P, M, \tilde{\sigma}) \rightarrow (P', M', \tilde{\sigma}')$ is a $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ -homomorphism.

On the other hand, we define a functor $G : \mathcal{U}(A, L)\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A, L)$ as follows. For each left $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ -module $(P, M, \tilde{\sigma})$, $G((P, M, \tilde{\sigma})) = (M, P, \sigma)$, where P is a $\mathcal{U}(L)$ -module and hence a Lie module over L , and M is an $A^e\sharp\mathcal{U}(L)$ -module. By Lemma 2.6, M is simultaneously an A - A -bimodule and a Lie module over L satisfying (2.2) and (2.3). It follows from Lemma 3.2 that the corresponding triple (M, P, σ) is a module over the Leibniz pair (A, L) .

For any $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ -homomorphism $(f, g) : (P, M, \tilde{\sigma}) \rightarrow (P', M', \tilde{\sigma}')$, it is easy to check that $G((f, g)) = (g, f)$ is a homomorphism of (A, L) -modules from (M, P, σ) to (M', P', σ') because the diagram (2.6) is commutative if and only if the diagram (3.6) commutes.

The functors F and G are mutually inverse. \square

4. COHOMOLOGY FOR LEIBNIZ PAIRS

Let (A, L) be a Leibniz pair. We write $\wedge^j L = \wedge^j$ for short.

Theorem 3.5 implies that the module category over a Leibniz pair (A, L) has enough projective and injective objects, which enables us to construct the cohomology theory for Leibniz pairs by using projective or injective resolution in a standard way.

We begin with a well-known result concerning projective modules over a general matrix triangular algebra.

Lemma 4.1 ([1, Proposition 2.5]). *Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B M_A & B \end{pmatrix}$ be a triangular matrix algebra. Then (P, Q, σ) is a projective T -module if and only if P is a projective A -module, $\sigma: M \otimes_A P \rightarrow Q$ is a split monomorphism of B -modules with $\text{Coker}(\sigma)$ being a projective B -module.*

We come back to the Leibniz pair (A, L) . Consider the deleted Koszul resolution

$$\mathbb{K}_\bullet \quad \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^j \xrightarrow{d_j} \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^{j-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^1 \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{U}(L) \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & d_j(X \otimes x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_j) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} X(x_k) \otimes x_1 \wedge \cdots \widehat{x_k} \cdots \wedge x_j \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq p < q \leq j} (-1)^{p+q} X \otimes [x_p, x_q] \wedge x_1 \wedge \cdots \widehat{x_p} \cdots \widehat{x_q} \cdots \wedge x_j \end{aligned}$$

for all $X \otimes x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_j \in \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^j$, $j \geq 1$, [5, Chapter VII, Theorem 4.2]. The standard resolution of $\Omega^1(A)$ as an A^e -module is given as follows

$$\mathbb{S}_\bullet \quad \cdots \rightarrow A^{i+3} \xrightarrow{\delta_i} A^{i+2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A^4 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} A^3 \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \Omega^1(A) \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\delta_i(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i+3}) = \sum_{k=1}^{i+3} (-1)^{i-1} a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k a_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i+3}$$

for all $a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i+3} \in A^{i+3}$, $i \geq 1$ and δ_0 is the canonical projection, [4].

Taking tensor product $\mathbb{K}_\bullet \otimes \mathbb{S}_\bullet$, we obtain a bicomplex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \cdots & & \cdots & & \cdots & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & A^4 \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) & \xleftarrow{\delta_{2,0}^H} & A^4 \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^1 & \xleftarrow{\delta_{2,1}^H} & A^4 \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^2 & \longleftarrow \cdots \\ & & \delta_{1,0}^V \downarrow & & \delta_{1,1}^V \downarrow & & \delta_{1,2}^V \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & A^3 \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) & \xleftarrow{\delta_{1,0}^H} & A^3 \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^1 & \xleftarrow{\delta_{1,1}^H} & A^3 \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^2 & \longleftarrow \cdots \\ & & \delta_{0,0}^V \downarrow & & \delta_{0,1}^V \downarrow & & \delta_{0,2}^V \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & \Omega^1(A) \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) & \xleftarrow{\delta_{0,0}^H} & \Omega^1(A) \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^1 & \xleftarrow{\delta_{0,1}^H} & \Omega^1(A) \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^2 & \longleftarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \end{array}$$

where $\delta_{i,j}^H = \text{id} \otimes d_j$, and $\delta_{i,j}^V = \delta_i \otimes \text{id}$. This is a bicomplex of $A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)$ -modules.

We denote $Q_i = A^{i+3} \otimes \mathcal{U}(L)$ for $i \geq 0$, $Q_{-1} = \overline{\Omega}$, and $P_j = \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^j$ for $j \geq 0$. By Künneth's Theorem [5, Chapter V, Theorem 2.1], the total complex of the bicomplex, denoted by \mathbb{Q}_\bullet ,

$$\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^n Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i} \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q_0 \oplus Q_{-1} \otimes \wedge^1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} Q_{-1} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where $\varphi_n = \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_{i,j}^H + (-1)^i \delta_{i,j}^V$ for $n \geq 0$.

Lemma 4.2. *Using the above notation, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\bullet = \cdots \rightarrow (P_n, \bigoplus_{i=0}^n Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i}, \iota_n) &\xrightarrow{(d_n, \varphi_n)} (P_{n-1}, \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i-1}, \iota_{n-1}) \\ &\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (P_0, Q_{-1}, \iota_0) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is a projective resolution of $(k, 0, 0)$ as a $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ -module, where

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_n : \overline{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(L)} P_n &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^n Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i} \\ (a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \otimes X) \otimes (Y \otimes \omega) &\mapsto (a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \otimes XY) \otimes \omega \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Note that $Q_i \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(L)} P_j$ is isomorphic to $A^{i+3} \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^j$, which is a free $A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)$ -module for $i, j \geq 0$. The $\mathcal{U}(L)$ -module P_n is free and ι_n is a split monomorphism with $\text{Coker}(\iota_n)$ projective, since ι_n is the composition of the natural isomorphism $\overline{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(L)} P_n \cong \overline{\Omega} \otimes \wedge^n$ and the inclusion map $\overline{\Omega} \otimes \wedge^n \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^n Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i}$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that $(P_n, \bigoplus_{i=0}^n Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i}, \iota_n)$ is a projective $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ -module.

By direct calculation, we have that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\Omega} \otimes P_n & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes d_n} & \overline{\Omega} \otimes P_{n-1} \\ \iota_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{n-1} \\ \bigoplus_{i=0}^n Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} & \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i} \end{array}$$

is commutative and (d_n, φ_n) is a homomorphism of $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ -modules. By the exactness of $\mathbb{K}_\bullet \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$ and the complex \mathbb{Q}_\bullet , we know that \mathbb{P}_\bullet is a projective resolution of the trivial $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ -module $(k, 0, 0)$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(P, M, \tilde{\sigma})$ be a module over $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(A, L)}((P_n, \bigoplus_{i=0}^n Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i}, \iota_n), (P, M, \tilde{\sigma})) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_k(\wedge^n L, P) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Hom}_k(A^i \otimes \wedge^{n-i}, M) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition, a pair (f, g) is a $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ -homomorphism from $(P_n, \bigoplus_{i=0}^n Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i}, \iota_n)$ to $(P, M, \tilde{\sigma})$ if and only if $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(L)}(P_n, P)$, $g \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \text{Hom}_{A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)}(Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i}, M)$, and the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(L)} P_n & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\overline{\Omega}} \otimes f} & \overline{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(L)} P \\ \iota_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\sigma} \\ \bigoplus_{i=0}^n Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i} & \xrightarrow{g} & M \end{array}$$

commutes. Write $g = (g_n, \dots, g_1, g_0)$ with $g_i \in \text{Hom}_{A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)}(Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i}, M)$, $i \geq 0$. The commutativity of the diagram reads as $g_0 = g \circ \iota_n = \tilde{\sigma} \circ (\text{id}_{\overline{\Omega}} \otimes f)$. Thus (f, g) is uniquely determined by (f, g_n, \dots, g_1) .

Moreover, we have isomorphisms of k -vector spaces

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(L)}(P_n, P) \cong \text{Hom}_k(\wedge^n, P)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)}(Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i}, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^i \otimes \wedge^{n-i}, M)$$

for any $n \geq 0$ and $i \geq 1$. Therefore, there is an isomorphism of the k -vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(A,L)}((P_n, \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq n} Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i}, \iota_n), (P, M, \tilde{\sigma})) \\ & \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(\wedge^n, P) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^i \otimes \wedge^{n-i}, M) \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall the cohomology group $\mathbf{H}_{LP}^\bullet(A, L; M, P)$ of the Leibniz pair (A, L) with coefficients in the module (M, P, σ) , which is defined as the cohomology group of the total complex of the following bicomplex $C^{\bullet, \bullet}(A, L; M, P)$, see [3] for detail.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \dots & & \dots & & \dots & \\ & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^2, M) & \xrightarrow{\delta_H} & \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^2 \otimes \wedge^1, M) & \xrightarrow{\delta_H} & \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^2 \otimes \wedge^2, M) \cdots \\ & & \delta_V \uparrow & & \delta_V \uparrow & & \uparrow \delta_V \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_k(A, M) & \xrightarrow{\delta_H} & \mathrm{Hom}_k(A \otimes \wedge^1, M) & \xrightarrow{\delta_H} & \mathrm{Hom}_k(A \otimes \wedge^2, M) \cdots \\ & & \delta_v \uparrow & & \delta_v \uparrow & & \uparrow \delta_v \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{\delta_h} & \mathrm{Hom}_k(\wedge^1, P) & \xrightarrow{\delta_h} & \mathrm{Hom}_k(\wedge^2, P) \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

where $\delta_v : \mathrm{Hom}_k(\wedge^j, P) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_k(A \otimes \wedge^j, M)$,

$$(\delta_v f)(a \otimes \omega) = \sigma(a \otimes f(\omega)),$$

$\delta_V : \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^i \otimes \wedge^j, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{i+1} \otimes \wedge^j, M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_V(f)(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i \otimes \omega) \\ & = a_0 f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i \otimes \omega) \\ & \quad + \sum_{l=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{l+1} f(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{l-1} \otimes a_l a_{l+1} \otimes a_{l+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i \otimes \omega) \\ & \quad + (-1)^{i+1} f(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1} \otimes \omega) a_i, \end{aligned}$$

$\delta_H : \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^i \otimes \wedge^j, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^i \otimes \wedge^{j+1}, M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_H(f)(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i \otimes x_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_j) \\ & = \sum_{l=0}^j (-1)^l (\{x_l, f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i \otimes x_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{x}_l \cdots \wedge x_j)\}^* \\ & \quad - \sum_{t=1}^i f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{t-1} \otimes \{x_l, a_t\} \otimes a_{t+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i \otimes x_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{x}_l \cdots \wedge x_j)) \\ & \quad + \sum_{0 \leq p < q \leq j} (-1)^{p+q} f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i \otimes [x_p, x_q] \wedge x_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{x}_p \cdots \widehat{x}_q \cdots \wedge x_j), \end{aligned}$$

and $\delta_h: \text{Hom}_k(\wedge^n, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(\wedge^{n+1}, P)$ is just the Chevalley-Eilenberg coboundary, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta_h f)(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} [x_i, f(x_1 \wedge \cdots \widehat{x}_i \cdots \wedge x_{n+1})]_* \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq p < q \leq n+1} (-1)^{p+q} f([x_p, x_q] \wedge x_1 \wedge \cdots \widehat{x}_p \cdots \widehat{x}_q \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

When $M = A$, $P = L$, the Leibniz pair cohomology $H_{LP}^n(A, L; A, L)$ is denoted by $H_{LP}^n(A, L)$ for short. We introduce the following main result.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (A, L) be a Leibniz pair and $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ be the enveloping algebra of (A, L) . If (M, P, σ) is a module over (A, L) and $(P, M, \tilde{\sigma})$ is its corresponding $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ -module. Then*

$$H_{LP}^n(A, L; M, P) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{U}(A, L)}^n((k, 0, 0), (P, M, \tilde{\sigma})).$$

Proof. Use the notation in Lemma 4.2. It follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{U}(A, L)}^n((k, 0, 0), (P, M, \tilde{\sigma})) \cong H^n \text{Hom}(\mathbb{P}_\bullet, (P, M, \tilde{\sigma}))$$

for any $\mathcal{U}(A, L)$ -module $(P, M, \tilde{\sigma})$. By simple calculation, we know that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(A, L)}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}, (P, M, \tilde{\sigma})) & \xrightarrow{(d_n, \varphi_n)^*} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(A, L)}(\mathcal{P}_n, (P, M, \tilde{\sigma})) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \bigoplus_{i+j=n-1} C^{i,j}(A, L; M, P) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i+j=n} C^{i,j}(A, L; M, P) \end{array}$$

is commutative, where $\mathcal{P}_n = (P_n, \bigoplus_{i=0}^n Q_{i-1} \otimes \wedge^{n-i}, \iota_n)$, and the vertical isomorphisms are given by the proof of Lemma 4.3. It follows that the total complex of the bicomplex $C^{\bullet, \bullet}(A, L; M, P)$ is isomorphic to the complex $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{P}_\bullet, (P, M, \tilde{\sigma}))$, and hence

$$H_{LP}^n(A, L; M, P) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{U}(A, L)}^n((k, 0, 0), (P, M, \tilde{\sigma})).$$

□

5. A LONG EXACT SEQUENCE

In this section, we give a long exact sequence and apply it to characterize the Leibniz pair cohomology.

Consider the following bicomplex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \cdots & & \cdots & & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_k(A^3, M) & \xrightarrow{\delta_H} & \text{Hom}_k(A^3 \otimes \wedge^1, M) & \xrightarrow{\delta_H} & \text{Hom}_k(A^3 \otimes \wedge^2, M) \cdots \\ & & \delta_V \uparrow & & \delta_V \uparrow & & \uparrow \delta_V \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_k(A^2, M) & \xrightarrow{\delta_H} & \text{Hom}_k(A^2 \otimes \wedge^1, M) & \xrightarrow{\delta_H} & \text{Hom}_k(A^2 \otimes \wedge^2, M) \cdots \\ & & \delta_V \uparrow & & \delta_V \uparrow & & \uparrow \delta_V \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_k(A, M) & \xrightarrow{\delta_H} & \text{Hom}_k(A \otimes \wedge^1, M) & \xrightarrow{\delta_H} & \text{Hom}_k(A \otimes \wedge^2, M) \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

which is sub-bicomplex of $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(A, L; M, P)$ and denoted by $Q^{\bullet,\bullet}(A, L; M)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Keeping the above notation, we have*

$$H^n \text{Tot}(Q^{\bullet,\bullet}(A, L; M)) \cong \text{Ext}_{A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)}^n(\Omega^1(A), M).$$

Proof. Consider the standard resolution of A^e -module $\Omega^1(A)$

$$\dots \rightarrow A^{i+3} \xrightarrow{\delta_i} A^{i+2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A^4 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} A^3 \rightarrow 0,$$

and the Koszul resolution of trivial $\mathcal{U}(L)$ -module k

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^j \xrightarrow{d_j} \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^{j-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L) \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{U}(L) \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking the tensor product of these resolutions, we obtain the following bicomplex, denoted by $Q_{\bullet,\bullet}(A, L)$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \dots & & \dots & & \dots & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & A^5 \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) & \xleftarrow{\delta_{3,0}^H} & A^5 \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^1 & \xleftarrow{\delta_{5,1}^H} & A^5 \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^2 \longleftarrow \dots \\ & & \delta_{2,0}^V \downarrow & & \delta_{2,1}^V \downarrow & & \delta_{2,2}^V \downarrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & A^4 \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) & \xleftarrow{\delta_{2,0}^H} & A^4 \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^1 & \xleftarrow{\delta_{2,1}^H} & A^4 \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^2 \longleftarrow \dots \\ & & \delta_{1,0}^V \downarrow & & \delta_{1,1}^V \downarrow & & \delta_{1,2}^V \downarrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & A^3 \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) & \xleftarrow{\delta_{1,0}^H} & A^3 \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^1 & \xleftarrow{\delta_{1,1}^H} & A^3 \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^2 \longleftarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

The following argument is similar to the calculation of quasi-Poisson cohomology groups in [2, Theorem 3.7]. Since $A^{i+2} \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^j$ is free as an $A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)$ -module for $i, j \geq 0$, we know $H_n(\text{Tot}(Q_{\bullet,\bullet}(A, L))) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$ and $H_0(\text{Tot}(Q_{\bullet,\bullet}(A, L))) = \Omega^1(A)$. The complex $\text{Tot}(Q_{\bullet,\bullet}(A, L))$ is a projective resolution of $\Omega^1(A)$ as an $A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)$ -module. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)}(-, M)$ on $Q_{\bullet,\bullet}(A, L)$ and the k -linear isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)}(A^{i+2} \otimes \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes \wedge^j, M) \cong \text{Hom}_k(A^i \otimes \wedge^j, M),$$

we immediately get the bicomplex $Q^{\bullet,\bullet}(A, L; M)$. Consequently,

$$H^n \text{Tot}(Q^{\bullet,\bullet}(A, L; M)) \cong \text{Tot} H^n Q^{\bullet,\bullet}(A, L; M) \cong \text{Ext}_{A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)}^n(\Omega^1(A), M).$$

□

Remark 5.2. Applying a general result for smash products, see [2, Theorem 5.2] for details, we have a Grothendieck spectral sequence

$$(5.1) \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{U}(L)}^q(k, \text{Ext}_{A^e}^p(\Omega^1(A), M)) \implies \text{Ext}_{A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)}^{p+q}(\Omega^1(A), M).$$

For some special cases, it can be used to calculate the Ext-group at the right side.

Theorem 5.3. *Let (A, L) be a Leibniz pair and (M, P, σ) be a module over (A, L) . Then we have the long exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)}(\Omega^1(A), M) \rightarrow H_{LP}^0(A, L; M, P) \rightarrow \text{HL}^0(L, P) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)}^1(\Omega^1(A), M) \rightarrow H_{LP}^1(A, L; M, P) \rightarrow \text{HL}^1(L, P) \rightarrow \dots \\ &\rightarrow H_{LP}^n(A, L; M, P) \rightarrow \text{HL}^n(L, P) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{A^e \sharp \mathcal{U}(L)}^{n+1}(\Omega^1(A), M) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{HL}^n(L, P)$ is the n -th cohomology group of the Lie algebra L with coefficients in P .

Proof. By the bicomplex used to define Leibniz pair cohomology, we have a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tot}(Q^{\bullet,\bullet}(A, L; M)) \rightarrow \text{Tot}(C^{\bullet,\bullet}(A, L; M, P)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(\wedge^\bullet, P) \rightarrow 0.$$

By the long exact sequence theorem and Lemma 5.1, we have the long exact sequence. \square

There are some simple observations about LP-cohomology group from Theorem 5.3.

Corollary 5.4. *Let (A, L) be a Leibniz pair and (M, P) be a module over (A, L) . If A, L are finite-dimensional, and $\text{gl.dim}A < \infty$, then $H_{LP}^n(A, L; M, P) = 0$ for sufficiently large n .*

Proof. If the associative algebra A is finite-dimensional and $\text{gl.dim}A < \infty$, then there exists $p > 0$ such that $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(\Omega^1(A), M) = 0$ for all $n \geq p$ since $\text{proj.dim}_{A^e} A = \text{gl.dim}A$, see [4, Section 1.5]. On the other hand, $\wedge^q = 0$ and hence $\text{HL}^q(L, N) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{U}(L)}^q(k, N) = 0$ for any $q > \dim_k(L)$ and any Lie module N over L . In this case, the spectral sequence (5.1) is congruent, and $\text{Ext}_{A^e\#\mathcal{U}(L)}^n(\Omega^1(A), M) = 0$ for large n . It follows from the long exact sequence in Theorem 5.3 that $H_{LP}^n(A, L; M, P) = 0$ for sufficiently large n . \square

Example 5.5. Let $A = \mathbb{M}_2(k)$ be the 2×2 full matrix algebra, $L = \mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$ be the symplectic algebra, and $\mu(x)(a) = [x, a] = xa - ax$ for $x \in L, a \in A$. Clearly, (A, L) is a Leibniz pair. We have the following simple facts.

$$\text{Ext}_{A^e}^p(\Omega^1(A), A) = \text{HH}^{p+1}(A) = 0 \text{ for } p \geq 1.$$

$$\text{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^1(A), A) = \text{Der}(A) \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(k) = L.$$

By the spectral sequence (5.1), we have

$$\text{Ext}_{A^e\#\mathcal{U}(L)}^n(\Omega^1(A), A) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{U}(L)}^n(k, L) = \text{HL}^n(L) = 0$$

for any $n \geq 0$, where the last equality follows from [6, Chapter VII, Proposition 6.1 and 6.3]. It follows from Theorem 5.3 that $H_{LP}^n(A, L) = 0$ for any $n \geq 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S. O. Smalø, Representation Theory of Artin Algebras, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 36 (1995).
- [2] Y.-H. Bao and Y. Ye, On quasi-Poisson cohomology, Available at arXiv: 1109.1758.
- [3] M. Flato, M. Gerstenhaber and A. A. Voronov, Cohomology and deformation of Leibniz pairs, Lett. Math. Phys. 34(1) (1995), 77-90.
- [4] D. Happel, Hochschild cohomology of finite-dimensional algebras, Springer, LNM.1404 (1989), 108-126.
- [5] P. J. Hilton and U. Stammbach, A Course in Homological Algebras (GTM4), Springer-Verlag (1996).
- [6] J. E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory (GTM9), Springer-Verlag (1972).
- [7] F. Kubo, Finite-dimensional simple Leibniz pairs and simple Poisson modules, Lett. Math. Phys. 43(1) (1998), 21-29.
- [8] G. Landi, An Introduction to Noncommutative Spaces and Their Geometries, Springer-Verlag (1997).
- [9] M. E. Sweedler, Hopf Algebra, Benjamin, New York (1969).
- [10] Y.-H. Yang, Y. Yao and Y. Ye, (Quasi-)Poisson enveloping algebras, Acta Math. Sin. 29(1)(2013), 105-118.

Yan-Hong Bao

School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei, 230601, Anhui, PR China.

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Sciences and Technology in China, Hefei, 230026,

Anhui, PR China.

Email: yhbao@ustc.edu.cn

Yu Ye

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Sciences and Technology in China, Hefei, 230026,
PR China.

Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics, USTC, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei,
230026, PR China.

Email: yeyu@ustc.edu.cn