

Macro Lambda Calculus

Anton Salikhmetov

October 1, 2018

Abstract

The goal of our Macro Lambda Calculus project (MLC) is to encode λ -terms into interaction nets. Its software implementation accepts input in the notation similar to λ -calculus allowing macro definitions. Output is similar to interaction calculus and is suitable for our Interaction Nets Compiler program (INC). In this paper, we describe the interaction system for call-by-need evaluation and the mechanism of encoding λ -terms into this system which MLC is based on.

1 Workflow

MLC exists in the context of a set of tools needed to evaluate λ -terms. A λ -term to be reduced to its normal form (if such exists) is written down using textual representation to be further translated into an interaction net by the MLC compiler `mlc`. In the spirit of UNIX command pipelines, the `mlc` output can be piped into the interaction net compiler `inc`. The `inc` compiler translates the interaction net into a C language program that will perform interaction. In our case, this program (once compiled into binary) computes and prints out the normal form of the original λ -term if such exists or enters an infinite loop otherwise. The output syntax is the same as the one used to encode the original term.

2 Non-deterministic extension

We work in interaction calculus [1] extended by a special non-deterministic agent `Amb` [2]. We represent this agent in a more conservative fashion than it was suggested in the original paper. Specifically, we prepend the list of auxiliary ports of `Amb` with its extra principal port and introduce the following conversion:

$$\langle \vec{t} \mid t = \text{Amb}(u, v, w), \Delta \rangle = \langle \vec{t} \mid u = \text{Amb}(t, v, w), \Delta \rangle.$$

We assume that any interaction system's signature Σ is implicitly extended by `Amb` with $\text{Ar}(\text{Amb}) = 3$, while its set of rules is implicitly extended with

$$\alpha[\vec{x}] \bowtie \text{Amb}[y, \alpha(\vec{x}), y].$$

3 Encoding λ -terms

We denote the set of λ -terms [3] as Λ , and $C[\]$ means a context, i. e. a λ -term with one hole, while $C[M]$ is the result of placing M in the hole of the context $C[\]$.

Our interaction system has signature

$$\Sigma = \{\epsilon, s, @, \lambda, c, \delta\} \cup \{a_M \mid M \in \Lambda\} \cup \{r_{C[\]} \mid C[\] \text{ is a context}\},$$

with all the agents being binary, except $\text{Ar}(\epsilon) = \text{Ar}(a_M) = 0$ and $\text{Ar}(r_{C[\]}) = 1$. For the list of interaction rules, please refer to Appendix A which also includes a tabular index.

While encoding λ -terms into our interaction system, we will distinguish their free variables from their bound variables. So, let us mark all free variables in a λ -term M using the following operation: $M^\bullet \equiv M[\vec{x} := \vec{x}^\bullet]$, where $(\vec{x}) = \text{FV}(M)$. Then, any λ -term M can be mapped to configuration $\langle x \mid r_{[\]}(x) = y, \Gamma(M^\bullet, y) \rangle$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(x^\bullet, y) &= \{a_x = y\}; \\ \Gamma(x, y) &= \{x = y\}; \\ \Gamma(\lambda x.M, y) &= \{y = \lambda(\epsilon, z)\} \cup \Gamma(M, z), \quad \text{when } x \notin \text{FV}(M); \\ \Gamma(\lambda x.M, y) &= \{y = \lambda(x, z)\} \cup \Gamma(M, z), \quad \text{when } x \in \text{FV}(M); \\ \Gamma(M N, y) &= \{y = @(x, z)\} \cup \Gamma(M[\vec{t} := \vec{t}'], x) \cup \Gamma(N[\vec{t} := \vec{t}'], z) \cup \Psi(\vec{t}), \quad \text{where} \\ &\quad \Psi(\vec{t}) = \{t'_i = \text{Amb}(t''_i, s(t_i, u_i), u_i) \mid t_i \in (\vec{t})\}, \quad \text{and} \\ &\quad (\vec{t}) = \text{FV}(M) \cap \text{FV}(N). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $\langle x \mid r_{[\]}(x) = y, \Gamma(M^\bullet, y) \rangle \downarrow \langle a_N \mid \emptyset \rangle$ iff $M \twoheadrightarrow N$ and N is normal form.

Please note that our interaction system has read-back mechanism embedded. Indeed, configuration that encodes a λ -term M will be reduced to normal form (if any) with only one agent a_N in its interface, N representing normal form of the encoded λ -term M .

References

- [1] M. Fernández, I. Mackie. A calculus for interaction nets. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Principles and Practice of Declarative Programming*, vol. 1702 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 170–187. Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [2] M. Fernández, L. Khalil. Interaction Nets with McCarthy’s amb. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, 68(2): 51–68. Elsevier, 2002.
- [3] H. P. Barendregt. *The Lambda Calculus, Its Syntax and Semantics*. North-Holland, 1984.

	ϵ	a	s	@	λ	c	δ	r	
ϵ	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	—	ϵ
a	(2)	—	—	—	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	a
s	(3)	—	—	—	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	s
@	(4)	—	—	—	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	@
λ	(5)	(8)	(12)	(16)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)	λ
c	(6)	(9)	(13)	(17)	(21)	—	(24)	—	c
δ	(7)	(10)	(14)	(18)	(22)	(24)	(25)	—	δ
r	—	(11)	(15)	(19)	(23)	—	—	—	r
	ϵ	a	s	@	λ	c	δ	r	

A Interaction rules

- $$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\bowtie \epsilon; & (1) \\ \epsilon &\bowtie a_M; & (2) \\ \epsilon &\bowtie s[x, x]; & (3) \\ \epsilon &\bowtie @[\epsilon, \epsilon]; & (4) \\ \epsilon &\bowtie \lambda[\epsilon, \epsilon]; & (5) \\ \epsilon &\bowtie c[\epsilon, \epsilon]; & (6) \\ \epsilon &\bowtie \delta[\epsilon, \epsilon]; & (7) \\ a_M &\bowtie \lambda[r_{M \setminus \{ \}}(x), x]; & (8) \\ a_M &\bowtie c[a_M, a_M]; & (9) \\ a_M &\bowtie \delta[a_M, a_M]; & (10) \\ a_M &\bowtie r_{C \setminus \{ \}}[a_{C[M]}]; & (11) \\ s[c(z, \lambda(x, y)), z] &\bowtie \lambda[x, y]; & (12) \\ s[c(z, c(x, y)), z] &\bowtie c[x, y]; & (13) \\ s[c(x, \delta(y, z)), x] &\bowtie \delta[y, z]; & (14) \\ s[c(x, r_{C \setminus \{ \}}(y)), x] &\bowtie r_{C \setminus \{ \}}[y]; & (15) \\ @[\lambda(z, \lambda(x, y)), z] &\bowtie \lambda[x, y]; & (16) \\ @[\lambda(z, c(x, y)), z] &\bowtie c[x, y]; & (17) \\ @[\delta(x, y), \delta(v, w)] &\bowtie \delta[@(x, v), @(y, w)]; & (18) \\ @[\lambda(x, r_{C \setminus \{ \}}(y)), x] &\bowtie r_{C \setminus \{ \}}[y]; & (19) \\ \lambda[x, y] &\bowtie \lambda[x, y]; & (20) \\ \lambda[\delta(x, y), \delta(v, w)] &\bowtie c[\lambda(x, v), \lambda(y, w)]; & (21) \\ \lambda[\delta(x, y), \delta(v, w)] &\bowtie \delta[\lambda(x, v), \lambda(y, w)]; & (22) \\ \lambda[a_y, r_{C[\lambda y. _]}(x)] &\bowtie r_{C \setminus \{ \}}[x], \quad \text{where } y \in \Lambda \text{ is a new variable;} & (23) \\ \delta[x, y] &\bowtie c[\delta(\text{Amb}(v, s(x, z), z), \text{Amb}(w, s(y, u), u)), \delta(v, w)]; & (24) \\ \delta[x, y] &\bowtie \delta[x, y]. & (25) \end{aligned}$$