

# SPLITTING OF LOW RANK ACM BUNDLES ON HYPERSURFACES OF HIGH DIMENSION

AMIT TRIPATHI

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be a smooth projective hypersurface. We derive a splitting criterion for arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundles over  $X$ . As an application we show that any rank 3 ACM vector bundle over  $X$  splits when  $\dim X \geq 7$ . We also derive a splitting result for rank 4 arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundles.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  be a smooth hypersurface where  $n \geq 3$ . We set a conventional notation

$$H_*^i(X, \mathcal{F}) := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(m))$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes a coherent sheaf on  $X$ .

By Grothendieck-Lefschetz theorem [7], we know the structure of the set of all line bundles on  $X$ . Vector bundles over  $X$  are not so well understood. An obvious question about vector bundles on any projective variety is the splitting problem - When can we say that a given vector bundle is a direct sum of line bundles? The proper objects in the category of vector bundles over  $X$  to look for the splitting behaviour are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundles. We recall the definition,

**Definition 1.1.** *An arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (ACM) bundle on  $X$  is a vector bundle  $E$  satisfying*

$$H^i(X, E(m)) = 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } 0 < i < \dim X$$

One can easily check that split bundles on a hypersurface are ACM bundles. The importance of this definition lies in a well known criterion of Horrocks [10] - ACM bundles are precisely the bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  that are split. Viewing  $\mathbb{P}^n$  as a hypersurface of degree 1 in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ , one may ask if for hypersurfaces with degree  $d > 1$ , such a splitting holds.

When  $d > 1$ , there exists indecomposable ACM bundles on hypersurfaces (see [15] for a specific example or [17] for a class of examples), though several splitting results are available for various degrees and ranks. In particular, fixing  $d = 2$ , the ACM bundles on quadrics have been completely classified, see [14]. The case of cubic surfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  has been investigated in [3].

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J60.

*Key words and phrases.* Vector bundles, hypersurfaces, arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay.

This work was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from NBHM, Department of Atomic Energy.

In a different direction, we can fix the rank of the bundle and let degree vary. Here the conjectural picture is that any ACM bundle of a fixed rank, over a sufficiently high dimensional hypersurface (irrespective of its degree) is split. The precise conjecture is,

*Conjecture (Buchweitz, Greuel and Schreyer [2]):* Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a hypersurface. Let  $E$  be an ACM bundle on  $X$ . If  $\text{rank } E < 2^e$ , where  $e = \left\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rceil$ , then  $E$  splits. (Here  $\lceil q \rceil$  denotes the largest integer  $\leq q$ .)  $\square$

Splitting of ACM bundles of rank 2 on hypersurfaces have been understood fairly well. We summarize the results known. When  $d = 1$ , splitting follows by the Horrocks's criterion, so we assume  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $E$  be a rank 2 ACM bundle on  $X$ , then  $E$  splits if,

- (1) If  $\dim(X) \geq 5$  (see [13] and [15]).
- (2) If  $\dim(X) = 4$  and  $X$  is general hypersurface and  $d \geq 3$  (see [15] and [18]).
- (3) If  $\dim(X) = 3$  and  $X$  is general hypersurface and  $d \geq 6$  (see [16] and [18]).

The case of a general hypersurface of low degree in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  and  $\mathbb{P}^5$  have also been studied by Chiantini and Madonna in [4], [5], [6].

For rank  $\geq 3$ , very few results are known. A result in this direction is by Tadakazu [19] who found a splitting criterion for ACM bundles on a general hypersurface depending on the degree of the hypersurface along with rank and dimension. Fujita [11] proved that any ACM vector bundle satisfying  $H_*^2(X, \mathcal{E}nd(E)) = 0$  splits.

Here we prove the following splitting criterion for any hypersurface (irrespective of its degree) and a rank  $k$  ACM bundle,

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $E$  be any rank  $k$  bundle on a smooth hypersurface  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  with  $n \geq 2k + 1$ . Assume further that  $E$  satisfies the following two conditions,*

- (1)  $H_*^i(X, E) = 0$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$
- (2)  $H_*^i(X, \wedge^m E) = 0$ ,  $i = 2m-1, 2m, \dots, k+m$  for each  $m \in \{2, \dots, k-1\}$

*Then  $E$  splits.*

The conjecture mentioned above predicts that any ACM bundle of rank 3 (resp. rank 4) over a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^6$  (resp.  $\mathbb{P}^8$ ) splits. As a corollary to the above mentioned theorem, we prove:

**Theorem 1.3** (Corollary 3.3 + Corollary 3.4). *Let  $E$  be an ACM bundle on a smooth hypersurface  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ . Then  $E$  splits if,*

- (1)  $\text{rank } E = 3$  and  $\dim(X) \geq 7$ .
- (2)  $\text{rank } E = 4$ ,  $\dim(X) \geq 9$  and  $E$  (its dual or any of its twists) admits a section with zero locus a complete intersection on  $X$  of codimension 4.

By a result of Kleiman [12], one knows that the zero locus of any generic section of a rank  $k$  vector bundle is a locally complete intersection (infact nonsingular) of codimension  $k$ . For the part (2) of the Theorem, we want any section which corresponds to (global) complete intersection.

For rank 2 ACM bundles, our method gives another proof for splitting when  $n \geq 5$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We will work over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface of degree  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $E$  be a rank  $k$  ACM bundle on  $X$ . We take a minimal (1-step) resolution of  $E$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ ,

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \widetilde{F}_1 \rightarrow \widetilde{F}_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\widetilde{F}_0$  is direct sum of line bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ . By Auslander-Buchsbaum formula,  $\widetilde{F}_1$  is a bundle and by Horrocks's criterion it is also a split bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ .

Restricting (1) to  $X$ , we get,

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

where  $F_i = \widetilde{F}_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . To compute the *Tor* term, we tensor the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-d) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$  with  $E$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow E(-d) \rightarrow E \rightarrow E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$$

The map  $E \rightarrow E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$  is an isomorphism, thus we get  $\text{Tor}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong E(-d)$ . Exact sequence (2) breaks up into 2 short exact sequences,

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow E(-d) \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

Since  $H_*^0(X, F_0) \twoheadrightarrow H_*^0(X, E)$  is a surjection of graded rings,  $H_*^1(X, G) = 0$ . It follows that  $G$  is also ACM.

## 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $E$  be any non-split bundle (not necessarily ACM) on a hypersurface  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . Assume further that  $H_*^1(X, E^\vee) = 0$ . Let the exact sequence (3) be a minimal (1-step) resolution of  $E$  on  $X$ , then  $G$  does not admit a line bundle as a direct summand.*

*Proof.* We will assume the contrary. Let  $G = G' \oplus L$  where  $L$  is a line bundle. By Grothendieck-Lefschetz theorem,  $L$  is of the form  $\mathcal{O}_X(a)$ . There exists following pushout

diagram,

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & F'_0 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & F_0 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where  $G \rightarrow G'$  is the natural projection and  $F'_0$  is the pushout. Completion of the diagram (5) gives  $\eta : 0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow F'_0 \rightarrow 0$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}_X(-, L)$  to the top horizontal sequence gives,

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(E, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(F'_0, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(G', L) \rightarrow \cdots$$

In the above sequence  $\eta \mapsto \eta'$  where  $\eta' : 0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow G \rightarrow G' \rightarrow 0$  is split. By assumption  $\text{Ext}^1(E, L) \cong H^1(X, E^\vee \otimes L) = 0$ , thus  $\eta$  splits. Therefore  $F'_0$  is a direct sum of line bundles of rank  $r - 1$ , by Krull-Schmidt theorem [1]. This implies that  $0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow F'_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$  is a 1-step resolution of  $E$  which contradicts the minimality of the resolution (3).  $\square$

On any projective variety  $Z$ , for a short exact sequence of vector bundles  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow 0$  and any positive integer  $k$ , there exists a resolution of  $k$ -th symmetric power of  $\mathcal{F}_2$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^k(\mathcal{F}_0) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \wedge^{k-i}(\mathcal{F}_0) \otimes \text{Sym}^i \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Sym}^k \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^k \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow 0$$

We will call this resolution the  $\wedge - \text{Sym}$  sequence of index  $k$ <sup>1</sup> associated to the given short exact sequence. In fact, for any map  $\phi : F_0 \rightarrow F_1$  of free  $R$ -modules (where  $R$  is a commutative ring), one considers  $\mathcal{S}$  the symmetric algebra on  $F_1$ . Fix a free basis of  $F_1$  and assign degree 1 to the elements of the basis. Let  $F' = \mathcal{S} \otimes F_0(-1)$  (as  $\mathcal{S}$ -modules) then there exists a natural  $\mathcal{S}$ -module morphism of degree 0,  $\phi' : F' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . If we consider the Koszul resolution determined by the map  $\phi'$  over  $\mathcal{S}$  then the sequence above is the degree  $k$  strand of this Koszul resolution.

For further details, see appendix A2 of [8] or for an approach via Schur complexes see Ch. 2 of [20].

There exists a similar resolution of  $k$ -th exterior power of  $\mathcal{F}_2$  (by interchanging symmetric product and wedge product) which we will call  $\text{Sym} - \wedge$  sequence of index  $k$  associated to the given sequence.

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^k(\mathcal{F}_0) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{k-i}(\mathcal{F}_0) \otimes \wedge^i \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \wedge^k \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \wedge^k \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow 0$$

We will now prove a result from which Theorem 1.3 will follow.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $E$  be any rank  $k$  bundle on a smooth hypersurface  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  with  $n \geq 2k + 1$ . Assume further that  $E$  satisfies the following two conditions,*

<sup>1</sup>We were unable to find any standard terminology in the literature for the given resolution.

- (1)  $H_*^i(X, E) = 0$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$   
 (2)  $H_*^i(X, \wedge^m E) = 0$ ,  $i = 2m-1, 2m, \dots, k+m$  for each  $m \in \{2, \dots, k-1\}$

Then  $E$  splits.

Despite the odd assumptions, the proof is very simple and we just use hypothesis of the theorem in  $\wedge - Sym$  sequence for various indices to prove certain cohomological vanishings (6), which is then used in a  $Sym - \wedge$  sequence to prove the theorem.

*Proof of theorem 3.2.* We write  $\wedge - Sym$  sequence of some index  $l \in \{2, \dots, k\}$  for the short exact sequence (4),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \wedge^l E(-d) \rightarrow \wedge^{l-1} E(-d) \otimes F_1 \rightarrow \dots \\ \dots \rightarrow E(-d) \otimes Sym^{l-1} F_1 \rightarrow Sym^l F_1 \rightarrow Sym^l G \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

This breaks up into short exact sequences,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{j-1, l} \rightarrow \wedge^{l-j} E(-d) \otimes Sym^j F_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{j, l} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_{0, l} = \wedge^l E(-d)$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{j, l}$  is defined inductively for  $j = 1, \dots, l-1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{l, l} = Sym^l G$ . For all  $j \in \{0, \dots, l\}$ , we claim  $H_*^i(X, \mathcal{G}_{j, l}) = 0$  for  $i = 2l-j-1, 2l-j, \dots, k+l-j$ . The case  $j = 0$  is true by assumption in the theorem (putting  $m = l$ ). For  $j = t$ ,

$$H^i(\wedge^{l-t} E(-d) \otimes Sym^t F_1) \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{G}_t, l) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathcal{G}_{t-1, l})$$

By induction,  $H^{i+1}(\mathcal{G}_{t-1, l}) = 0$  for  $i+1 = \{2l-t, 2l-t+1, \dots, k+l-t+1\}$ . This along with the assumption in the theorem and the fact that  $F_1$  is split, proves the claim. Thus,

$$(6) \quad H_*^i(X, Sym^l G) = 0 \text{ for } i = l-1, l, \dots, k$$

Now we look at  $Sym - \wedge$  sequence of the index  $k (= \text{rank } E)$  for the sequence (4),

$$0 \rightarrow Sym^k G \rightarrow Sym^{k-1} G \otimes F_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G \otimes \wedge^{k-1} F_0 \rightarrow \wedge^k F_0 \rightarrow \wedge^k E \rightarrow 0$$

This breaks up into short exact sequences,

$$(7) \quad 0 \rightarrow M_{j-1} \rightarrow Sym^{k-j} G \otimes \wedge^j F_0 \rightarrow M_j \rightarrow 0$$

where  $M_0 = Sym^k G$  and  $M_j$  is defined inductively for  $j = 1, \dots, k$  as

$$M_j = \text{coker}(M_{j-1} \rightarrow Sym^{k-j} G \otimes \wedge^j F_0)$$

Note that  $M_k = \wedge^k E = \mathcal{O}_X(e)$  for some  $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Using the vanishing given by (6) in sequence (7) (and the fact that  $F_0$  are split bundles),

$$H_*^i(X, M_j) = 0 \text{ for } i = k-j-1, k-j$$

Therefore the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M_{k-1} \rightarrow \wedge^k F_0 \rightarrow \wedge^k E \rightarrow 0$  splits. In particular,  $M_{k-1}$  splits. This implies that the following sequence splits,

$$0 \rightarrow M_{k-2} \rightarrow G \otimes \wedge^{k-1} F_0 \rightarrow M_{k-1} \rightarrow 0$$

In particular,  $G$  has a line bundle as a direct summand. Thus by lemma 3.1,  $E$  splits.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $E$  be a rank 3 ACM bundle on a smooth hypersurface  $X$  with  $\dim(X) \geq 7$ , then  $E$  splits.*

*Proof.* We note that  $\wedge^i E$  is ACM when  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . In particular, both the assumptions of theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Thus  $E$  splits.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $E$  be a rank 4 ACM bundle on a smooth hypersurface  $X$  with  $\dim(X) \geq 9$  and  $E$  (or any of its twists) admits a section with zero locus a complete intersection on  $X$  of codimension 4, then  $E$  splits.*

*Proof.* By theorem 3.2,  $E$  splits, if we can show that  $H_*^i(X, \wedge^2 E) = 0$  for  $i = 3, 4, 5, 6$ . Since  $E$  splits  $\Leftrightarrow E^\vee(m)$  splits for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so we can assume that  $E^\vee$  is globally generated and replace  $E$  by  $E^\vee$  (which is again rank 4 ACM).

Suppose we are given any section  $s \in H^0(X, E)$  such that the zero locus  $Z(s)$  is a complete intersection of codimension 4 on  $X$ . This implies that there exists a resolution of  $\mathcal{O}_Z$  (see [8], pp. 448),

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^4 E \rightarrow \wedge^3 E \rightarrow \wedge^2 E \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow 0$$

We note that  $Z$  is a complete intersection in  $X$  (and hence in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ) of dimension 5. In particular,  $H_*^i(X, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$  when  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Using this along with the fact that  $\wedge^i E$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay for  $i = 1, 3, 4$  we get that  $H_*^i(X, \wedge^2 E) = 0$  for  $i = 3, 4, 5, 6$ .  $\square$

*Remark:* It is easy to verify the hypothesis of theorem 3.2 for any rank 2 ACM bundle when  $n \geq 5$  which provides another proof for this well known splitting result.

#### 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Suresh Nayak for outlining a proof for the  $\wedge - Sym$  and  $Sym - \wedge$  sequences. We thank Jishnu Biswas and G.V Ravindra for providing support and motivation. We thank the referee for various feedbacks in improving the exposition.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Michael F. Atiyah, *On the Krull-Schmidt theorem with application to sheaves*, Bulletin de la S.M.F., tome 84 (1956), 307-317.
- [2] R.-O. Buchweitz, G.-M. Greuel, and F.-O. Schreyer, *Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities II*, Inv. Math. 88 (1987), 165-182.
- [3] M. Casanellas and R. Hartshorne, *ACM bundles on cubic surfaces*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 13 (2011), 709-731.
- [4] L. Chiantini and C. Madonna, *ACM bundles on a general quintic threefold*, Matematiche (Catania) 55(2000), no. 2 (2002), 239-258.

- [5] L. Chiantini and C. Madonna, *A splitting criterion for rank 2 bundles on a general sextic threefold*, Internat. J. Math. 15 (2004), no. 4, 341-359.
- [6] L. Chiantini and C. Madonna, *ACM bundles on a general hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^5$  of low degree*, Collect. Math. 56 (2005), no. 1, 85-96.
- [7] P. Deligne, N. Katz, *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie - 1967-1969. Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. II*, LNM 340 (1973), Springer-Verlag .
- [8] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag (1995).
- [9] R. Hartshorne, *Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties*, LNM 156, Springer-Verlag (1970).
- [10] G. Horrocks, *Vector bundles on the punctured spectrum of a local ring*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 14 (1964), 689-713.
- [11] T. Fujita, *Vector bundles on ample divisors*, J. Math. Soc. Japan Volume 33, Number 3 (1981), 405-414.
- [12] Steven L. Kleiman, *Geometry on grassmannians and applications to splitting bundles and smoothing cycles*, Publ. Math. IHES, Volume 36, Issue 1, (1969) 281-297.
- [13] H. Kleppe, *Deformation of schemes defined by vanishing of pfaffians*, Jour. of algebra 53 (1978), 84-92.
- [14] H. Knörrer, *Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities I*, Inv. Math. 88 (1987), 153-164.
- [15] N. Mohan Kumar, A.P. Rao and G.V. Ravindra, *Arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundles on hypersurfaces*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, 82 (2007), No. 4, 829-843.
- [16] N. Mohan Kumar, A.P. Rao and G.V. Ravindra, *Arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundles on three dimensional hypersurfaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2007), No. 8, Art. ID rnm025, 11pp.
- [17] N. Mohan Kumar, A.P. Rao and G.V. Ravindra, *On codimension two subvarieties in hypersurfaces*, Motives and Algebraic Cycles: A Celebration in honour of Spencer Bloch, Fields Institute Communications vol. 56, 167-174, eds. Rob de Jeu and James Lewis.
- [18] G.V. Ravindra, *Curves on threefolds and a conjecture of Griffiths-Harris*, Math. Ann. 345 (2009), 731-748.
- [19] S. Tadakazu, *A sufficient condition for splitting of arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundles on general hypersurfaces*, Comm. Algebra 38 (2010), no. 5, 1633-1639.
- [20] J. M. Weyman, *Cohomology of vector bundles and syzygies*, Cambridge tracts in Mathematics, 149 (2003).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, BANGALORE - 560059, INDIA

*E-mail address:* amittr@gmail.com