QUASISYMMETRIC RIGIDITY OF SIERPIŃSKI CARPETS $F_{n,n}$

JINSONG ZENG AND WEIXU SU

ABSTRACT. We study a new class of square Sierpinski carpets $F_{n,p}$ ($5 \leq n, 1 \leq$ $p < \frac{n}{2} - 1$ on \mathbb{S}^2 , which are not quasisymmetrically equivalent to the standard Sierpiński carpets. We prove that the group of quasisymmetric self-maps of each $F_{n,p}$ is the Euclidean isometry group. We also establish that $F_{n,p}$ and $F_{n',p'}$ are quasisymmetrically equivalent if and only if $(n, p) = (n', p')$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The quasisymmetric geometry of Sierpinski carpets is related to the study of Julia sets in complex dynamics and boundaries of Gromov hyperbolic groups. For background and research progress, we recommend the survey of M. Bonk [\[5\]](#page-23-0).

Let \mathbb{S}^2 be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let $S = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_i$ be the complement in \mathbb{S}^2 of countably many pair-wise disjoint open Jordan regions $D_i \subset \mathbb{S}^2$. S is called a *(Sierpiński) carpet* if S has empty interior, diam $(D_i) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and $\partial D_i \cap \partial D_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$. The boundary of D_i , denoted by C_i , is called a *peripheral* circle of S. A round carpet is a carpet on \mathbb{S}^2 such that all of its peripheral circles are geometric circles. Typical Examples of round carpets are limit sets of convex co-compact Kleinian groups.

Topologically all carpets are the same [\[12\]](#page-23-1). Much richer structure arises if we consider quasisymmetric geometry of metric carpets. The famous conjecture of Kapovich-Kleiner [\[9\]](#page-23-2) predicts that if G is a hyperbolic group with boundary $\partial_{\infty}G$ homeomorphic to a Sierpinski carpet, then G acts geometrically (the action is isometrical, properly discontinuous and co-compact) on a convex subset of \mathbb{H}^3 with non-empty totally geodesic boundary. The Kapovich-Kleiner conjecture is equivalent to the conjecture that the carpet $\partial_{\infty}G$ (endowed with the "visual" metric) is quasisymetriclly equivalent to a round carpet on S 2 . The conjecture is true for carpets that can be quasisymmetrically embedding in S 2 [\[4\]](#page-23-3).

The concept of quasisymmetric map between metric spaces was defined by Tukia and Väisälä [\[11\]](#page-23-4). Let $f: X \to Y$ be a homeomorphism between two metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) . f is quasisymmetric if there exists a homeomorphism η : $[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that

$$
\frac{d_Y(f(x), f(y))}{d_Y(f(x), f(z))} \le \eta\left(\frac{d_X(x, y)}{d_X(x, z)}\right), \ \forall \ x, y, z \in X, x \ne z.
$$

Date: October 18, 2018.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37F45; Secondary 37F25, 37F35.

J. Zeng is partially supported by NSFC 11201078; W. Su is partially supported by NSFC 11201078, CPSF 2012M510778 and Shanghai Postdoctoral Sustentation Fund (Grant No. 12R21410400).

It follows from the definition that the quasisymmetric self-maps of X form a group $QS(X)$.

A homeomorphism $f: X \to Y$ is called *quasi-Möbius* if there exists a homeomorphism $\eta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ such that for all 4-tuple (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) of distinct points in X , we have

$$
[f(x1), f(x2), f(x3), f(x4)] \le \eta([x1, x2, x3, x4]),
$$

where

$$
[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] = \frac{d_X(x_1, x_3) d_X(x_2, x_4)}{d_X(x_1, x_4) d_X(x_2, x_3)}
$$

is the metric cross-ratio.

It is not hard to check that a quasisymmetric map between metric spaces is quasi-M¨obius. Conversely, any quasi-M¨obius map between bounded metric spaces is quasisymmetric [\[11\]](#page-23-4).

An important tool in the study of quasisymmetric maps is the conformal modulus of a given family of paths. The notion of conformal modulus (or extremal length) was first introduced by Beurling and Ahlfors [\[2\]](#page-23-5). It has many applications in complex analysis and metric geometry [\[10,](#page-23-6) [7\]](#page-23-7). In the work of Bonk and Merenkov [\[3\]](#page-23-8), it was proved that every quasisymmetric self-homeomorphism of the standard $1/3$ -Sierpiński carpet S_3 is a Euclidean isometry. For the standard $1/p$ -Sierpiński carpets S_p , $p \geq 3$ odd, they showed that the groups $\text{QS}(S_p)$ of quasisymmetric self-maps are finite dihedral. They also established that S_p and S_q are quasisymmetrically equivalent if only if $p = q$. The main tool in their proof is the *carpet modulus*, which is a certain discrete modulus of a path family and is preserved under quasisymmetric maps of carpets.

FIGURE 1. The standard Sieipiński carpet S_3 .

The aim of this paper is to extend Bonk-Merenkov's results to a new class of Sierpiński carpets. Unless otherwise indicated, we will equip a carpet $S = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \mathbb{S}^2$ $\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} D_i$ with the spherical metric. Note that when a carpet is contained in a compact set K of $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \cong \mathbb{S}^2$, the Euclidean and the spherical metrics are bi-Lipschitz equivalent on K.

1.1. Main results

Let $5 \le n, 1 \le p \le \frac{n}{2} - 1$ be integers. Let $Q_{n,p}^{(0)} = [0,1] \times [0,1]$ be the closed unit square in \mathbb{R}^2 . We first subdivide $Q_{n,p}^{(0)}$ into n^2 subsquares with equal side-length $1/n$ and remove the interior of four subsquares, each has side-length $1/n$ and is of $\frac{1}{n}$ and remove the meetion of four subsquares, each had distance $\sqrt{2p}/n$ to one of the four corner points of $Q_{n,p}^{(0)}$.

The resulting set $Q_{n,p}^{(1)}$ consists of (n^2-4) squares of side-length $1/n$. Inductively, $Q_{n,p}^{(k+1)}$, $k \geq 1$, is obtained from $Q_{n,p}^{(k)}$ by subdividing each of the remaining squares in the subdivision of $Q_{n,p}^{(k)}$ into n^2 subsquares of equal side-length $1/n^{k+1}$ and removing the interior of four subsquares as we have done above.

The Spierpiński carpet $F_{n,p}$ is the intersection of all the sets $Q_{n,p}^{(k)}$, i.e.,

$$
F_{n,p} = \bigcap_{k=0}^{+\infty} Q_{n,p}^{(k)}.
$$

See Figure [4.](#page-12-0)

FIGURE 2. The carpet $F_{5,1}$.

The following theorem will be proved in Section [4.](#page-10-0) It shows that, from the point of view of quasiconformal geometry, the carpets $F_{n,p}$ are different with the standard Sierpiński carpets $S_m, m \geq 3$ odd (note that the standard Sierpiński carpets S_m is constructed from a similar process, by removing the interior of the middle square in each steps).

Theorem 1. Let $5 \le n, 1 \le p < \frac{n}{2} - 1$ be integers. The carpet $F_{n,p}$ is not quasisymmetrically equivalent to the Standard Sierpinski carpet S_m , $m \geq 3$ odd.

It was proved by Bonk and Merenkov [\[3\]](#page-23-8) that for $m \geq 3$ odd the quasisymmetric group $\text{QS}(S_m)$ is a finite dihedral group. Moreover, when $m = 3$, $\text{QS}(S_3)$ is the Euclidean isometry group of S_3 . In Section [6,](#page-20-0) we will show that

Theorem 2. Let f be a quasisymmetric self-map of $F_{n,p}$. Then f is a Euclidean isometry.

Note that the Euclidean isometric group of $F_{n,p}$ (and S_m), consists of eight elements, is the group generated by the reflections in the diagonal $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x = y\}$ and the vertical line $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x = \frac{1}{2}\}$ $\frac{1}{2}$.

We will also prove that

Theorem 3. Two Sierpiński carpets $F_{n,p}$ and $F_{n',p'}$ are quasisymmetrically equivalent if and only if $(n, p) = (n', p')$.

1.2. Idea of the proofs

The main tools to prove the above theorems are the *carpet modulus* and the *weak* tangent, both of which were investigated in [\[3\]](#page-23-8). Our arguments follow the same outline as [\[3\]](#page-23-8).

We will first concentrate on carpet modulus of the families of curves connecting the boundary of the annulus domains bounded by pairs of distinct peripheral circles of $F_{n,p}$. The extremal mass distribution of such a carpet modulus exists and is unique (Proposition [3.6\)](#page-10-1). This, together with the auxiliary results in Section [3,](#page-7-0) allows us to show that (see Section 4) any quasisymmetric self-map f of $F_{n,p}$ should preserves the set $\{O, M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4\}$, where O is the boundary of the unit square and M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 are the boundary of the first four squares removed from the unit square.

It is more difficult to see that f should maps O to O . To show this, we first study the weak tangents of the carpets (this is our main work on Section [5\)](#page-14-0). In Section [6,](#page-20-0) we prove that $f(0) = 0$ by counting the orbit of a corner of O or M_i under the group $QS(F_{n,n})$.

1.3. Remark

Our arguments in this paper apply to a more general class of Sierpiński Carpets $F_{n,p,r}, r \geq 1, p \geq 1, n \geq 5, 1 \leq p+r < \frac{n}{2}$. Let $Q_{n,p,r}^{(0)} = [0,1] \times [0,1]$. Subdivide $Q_{n,p,r}^{(0)}$ into n^2 subsquares and remove the interior of four bigger subsquares with $Q_{n,p,r}$ muon subsquares and remove the meerior or four bigger subsquares with
side-length r/n and is of distance $\sqrt{2p}/n$ to one of the four corner points of $Q_{n,p,r}^{(0)}$. So the resulting set $Q_{n,p,r}^{(1)}$ has (n^2-4r^2) subsquares with side-length $1/n$. Repeating the operation to the subsquares, we obtain $Q_{n,p,r}^{(2)}$. Inductively, we have $Q_{n,p,r}^{(k)}$.

Then the carpet $F_{n,p,r} = \bigcap_{k \geq 0} Q_{n,p,r}^{(k)}$. See Figure [3.](#page-4-0) Note that $F_{n,p} = F_{n,p,1}$.

Similarly, $F_{n,p,r}$ is not quasisymmetrically equivalent to $S_m, m \geq 3$ odd and $QS(F_{n,p,r})$ is the isometric group. Moreover, $F_{n,p,r}$ and $F_{n',r',p'}$ are quasisymmetrically equivalent if and only if $(n, p, r) = (n', p', r')$. Since the proof of the above conclusions are of no essential difference from that of $F_{n,p}$, we shall omit it.

2. Carpet modulus

In this section, we shall recall the definitions of conformal modulus and carpet modulus. The carpet modulus was introduced by Bonk-Merenkov [\[3\]](#page-23-8) as a quasisymmetric invariant. There are several important properties of the carpet modulus that will be used in the rest of our paper. In many cases, we will neglect the proof and refer to [\[3\]](#page-23-8) instead.

2.1. Conformal modulus

A path γ in a metric space X is a continuous map $\gamma: I \to X$ of a finite interval I. Without cause of confusion, we shall identified the map with its image $\gamma(I)$ and denote a path by γ . We say that γ is *open* if $I = (a, b)$. The limits $\lim_{t \to a} \gamma(t)$ and $\lim_{t\to b} \gamma(t)$, if they exist, are called the *end points of* γ . If $A, B \subseteq X$, then we say that γ connects A and B if γ has endpoints such that one of them lies in A and the other lies in B. If $I = [a, b]$ is a closed interval, then the length of $\gamma : I \to X$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{length}(\gamma) := \sup \sum_{i=1}^n |\gamma(t_i) - \gamma(t_{i-1})|
$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite sequences $a = t_0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le \cdots \le t_n =$ b. If I is not closed, then we set

$$
\operatorname{length}(\gamma) := \sup_J \operatorname{length}(\gamma|J),
$$

where J is taken over all closed subintervals of I and $\gamma|_J$ denotes the restriction of γ on J. We call γ rectifiable if its length is finite. Similarly, a path $\gamma: I \to X$ is locally rectifiable if its restriction to each closed subinterval is rectifiable. Any rectifiable path $\gamma: I \to X$ has a unique extension $\overline{\gamma}$ to the closure \overline{I} of I.

Let Γ be a family of paths in \mathbb{S}^2 . Let σ be the spherical measure and ds be the spherical line element on \mathbb{S}^2 induced by the spherical metric (the Riemannian metric on \mathbb{S}^2 of constant curvature 1). The *conformal modulus* of Γ is defined as

$$
\mathrm{mod}(\Gamma) := \inf \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \rho^2 d\sigma ,
$$

where the infimum is taken over all nonnegative Borel functions $\rho : \mathbb{S}^2 \to [0, \infty]$ satisfying

$$
\int_{\gamma} \rho ds \ge 1
$$

FIGURE 3. The carpet $F_{7,1,2}$.

It is easy to show that (see [\[1\]](#page-23-9))

$$
\text{mod}(\Gamma_1) \le \text{mod}(\Gamma_2),\tag{2.1}
$$

if $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2$ and

$$
\text{mod}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{mod}(\Gamma_i). \tag{2.2}
$$

Moreover, if Γ_1 and Γ_2 are two families of paths such that each path γ in Γ_1 contains a subpath $\gamma' \in \Gamma_2$, then

$$
\text{mod}(\Gamma_1) \le \text{mod}(\Gamma_2) \tag{2.3}
$$

If $f: \Omega \to \Omega'$ is a continuous map between domains Ω and Ω' in \mathbb{S}^2 and Γ is a family of paths contained in Ω , then we denote by $f(\Gamma) = \{f \circ \gamma \mid \gamma \in \Omega\}.$

If $f : \Omega \to \Omega'$ is a conformal map between regions $\Omega, \Omega' \subseteq \mathbb{S}^2$ and Γ is a family of paths in Ω , then mod(Γ)=mod($f(\Gamma)$). This is the fundamental property of modulus: conformal maps do not change the conformal modulus of a family of paths.

In this paper, we shall adopt the metric definition of quasiconformal maps([\[8\]](#page-23-10), Definition 1.2) and allow them to be orientation-reversing. Suppose that $f: X \to Y$ is a homeomorphism between two metric spaces X and Y . f is *quasiconformal* if there is a constant $H \geq 1$, s.t. $\forall x \in X$,

$$
\lim \sup_{r \to 0^+} \frac{\max\{d(f(x), f(y)) : d(x, y) \le r\}}{\min\{d(f(x), f(y)) : d(x, y) \ge r\}} \le H.
$$

Quasiconformal maps distort the conformal modulus of path families in a controlled way. Let Ω and Ω' be regions in \mathbb{S}^2 and let Γ be a family of paths in Ω . Suppose that $f : \Omega \to \Omega'$ is quasiconformal map. Then

$$
\frac{1}{K} \text{mod}(\Gamma) \le \text{mod}(f(\Gamma)) \le K \text{mod}(\Gamma),\tag{2.4}
$$

where $K \geq 1$ depends on the dilatation of f.

From (2.[4\)](#page-5-0), a quasiconformal map preserves the modulus of a path family up to a fixed multiplicative constant. So if $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$ and $mod(\Gamma_0) = 0$, then $mod(f(\Gamma_0)) = 0$.

2.2. Carpet modulus

If a certain property for paths in Γ holds for all paths outside an exceptional family $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$ with $mod(\Gamma_0) = 0$, then we say that it holds for *almost every path in* Γ.

Let $S = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i$ be a carpet with $C_i = \partial D_i$, and let Γ be a family of paths in \mathbb{S}^2 . A mass distribution ρ is a function that assigns to each C_i a non-negative number $\rho(C_i)$.

The *carpet modulus* of Γ with respect to S is defined as

$$
mod_S(\Gamma) = \inf_{\rho} \sum_{i} \rho(C_i)^2,
$$

where the infimum is taken over all *admissible* mass distribution ρ , that is, mass distribution ρ satisfies

$$
\sum_{\gamma \cap C_i \neq \emptyset} \rho(C_i) \ge 1
$$

for all most every path in Γ.

It is straightforward to check that the carpet modulus is momotone and countably subadditive, the same properties as conformal modulus in (2.1) , (2.2) and (2.3) . An crucial property of carpet modulus is its invariance under quasiconformal maps.

Lemma 2.1 ([\[3\]](#page-23-8)). Let $D, \widetilde{D} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ be regions and $f : D \to D$ be a quasiconformal map. Let $S \subseteq D$ be a carpet and Γ be a family of paths such that $\gamma \subset D$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then

$$
\mathrm{mod}_{f(S)}(f(\Gamma)) = \mathrm{mod}_S(\Gamma).
$$

2.3. Carpet modulus with respect to a group

We also need the notion of *carpet modulus with respect to a group*.

Let $S = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_i$ be a carpet and $C_i = \partial D_i$. Let G be a group of homeomorphisms of S. If $g \in G$ and $C \subseteq S$ is a peripheral circle of S, then $g(C)$ is also a peripheral circle of S. Let $\mathcal{O} = \{g(C) : g \in G\}$ be the orbit of C under the action of G.

Let Γ be a familly of paths in \mathbb{S}^2 . A admissible *G*-invariant mass distribution $\rho: \{C_i\} \to [0, +\infty]$ is a mass distribution such that

(1) $\rho(q(C)) = \rho(C)$ for all $q \in G$ and all peripheral circles C of S;

γ

(2) almost every path γ in Γ satisfies

$$
\sum_{\bigcap C_i \neq \emptyset} \rho(C_i) \ge 1.
$$

The carpet modulus $\text{mod}_{S/G}(\Gamma)$ with respect to the action of G on S is defined as

$$
\mathrm{mod}_{S/G}(\Gamma):=\inf_{\rho}\sum_{\mathcal{O}}\rho(\mathcal{O})^2,
$$

where the infimum is taken over all admissible G-invariant mass distributions. In the above definition, $\rho(\mathcal{O})$ is defined by $\rho(C)$ for any $C \in \mathcal{O}$. Since ρ is G-invariant, $\rho(\mathcal{O})$ is well-defined. Note that each orbit contributions with exactly one term to the sum $\sum_{\mathcal{O}} \rho(\mathcal{O})^2$.

Lemma 2.2 ([\[3\]](#page-23-8)). Let D be a region in \mathbb{S}^2 and S be a carpet contained in D. Let G be a group of homeomorphisms on S. Suppose that Γ is a family of paths with $\gamma \subseteq D$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $f : D \to D$ a quasiconformal map onto another region $\widetilde{D} \subseteq \mathbb{S}^2$. We denote $\widetilde{S} = f(S)$, $\widetilde{\Gamma} = f(\Gamma)$ and $\widetilde{G} = (f|_S) \circ G \circ (f|_S)^{-1}$, then

$$
\mathrm{mod}_{\widetilde{S}/\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) = \mathrm{mod}_{S/G}(\Gamma).
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let S be a carpet in \mathbb{S}^2 and $\Psi : \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ be a quasiconformal map with $\Psi(S) = S, \ \psi := \Psi|_S.$ Assume that Γ is a Ψ -invariant path family in \mathbb{S}^2 such that for every peripheral circle C of S that meets some path in Γ we have $\psi^n(C) \neq C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\text{mod}_{S/\langle \psi^k \rangle}(\Gamma) = k \text{mod}_{S/\langle \psi \rangle}(\Gamma)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thisis ([\[3\]](#page-23-8), Lemma 3.3). In this Lemma, $\langle \psi \rangle$ denotes the cyclic group of homeomorphisms on S generated by ψ , and Γ is called Ψ -invariant if $\Psi(\Gamma) = \Gamma$. This lemma gives a precise relationship between the carpet modulus with respect to a cyclic group and its subgroups.

2.4. Existence of extremal mass distribution

Let $S = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{D_i\}$, $C_i = \partial D_i$ be a carpet and Γ be a family of paths on \mathbb{S}^2 . An admissible mass distribution ρ for a carpet modulus mod_S(Γ) is called *extremal* if $mod_S(\Gamma)$ is obtained by ρ :

$$
\mathrm{mass}(\rho) = \sum_{i} \rho(C_i)^2 = \mathrm{mod}_S(\Gamma).
$$

Similarly, an G-invariant mass distribution that obtains $\text{mod}_{S/G}(\Gamma)$ is also called extremal.

A criterion for the existence of an extremal mass distribution for carpet modulus (with respect to the group) is given by [\[3\]](#page-23-8). Recall that the peripheral circles $\{C_i\}$ are uniform quasicircles if there exists a homeomorphism $\eta : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that every C_i is the image of an η -quasisymmetric map of the unit circle.

Proposition 2.4. Let S be a carpet in \mathbb{S}^2 whose peripheral circles are uniform quasicircles, and let Γ be an arbitrary path family in \mathbb{S}^2 with $\text{mod}_S(\Gamma) < +\infty$. Then the extremal mass distribution for $\text{mod}_S(\Gamma)$ exists and is unique.

This is([\[3\]](#page-23-8), Proposition 2.4). The uniqueness follows from elementary convexity argument.

Proposition 2.5. Let S be a carpet in \mathbb{S}^2 whose peripheral circles are uniform quasicircles. Let G be a group of homeomorphisms of S and Γ be a path family in S^2 with $\text{mod}_{S/G}(\Gamma) < +\infty$. Suppose that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a family of peripheral circles C_k of S and a constant $N_k \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following properties:

- (1) If $\mathcal O$ is any orbit of peripheral circles of S under the action of G , then $\#(\mathcal{O}\bigcap\mathcal{C}_k)\leq N_k$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$.
- (2) If Γ_k is the family of all paths in Γ that only meet peripheral circles in \mathcal{C}_k , then $\Gamma = \bigcup_k \Gamma_k$.

Then extremal mass distribution for $\text{mod}_{S/G}(\Gamma)$ exists and is unique.

This is([\[3\]](#page-23-8), Proposition 3.2).

3. Auxiliary results

In this section, we collect a series of results obtained by M. Bonk and his coauthors [\[6,](#page-23-11) [4\]](#page-23-3). The theorems and propositions cited here are the cornerstone of our later proof (as well as they were for the proof in [\[3\]](#page-23-8)).

3.1. Quasiconformal extention of quasisymmetric map

Proposition 3.1. Let S be a carpet in \mathbb{S}^2 whose peripheral circles are uniform quasicircles and let f a quasisymmetric map of S onto another carpet $\widetilde{S} \subseteq \mathbb{S}^2$. Then there exists a self-quasiconformal map F on \mathbb{S}^2 whose restriction to S is f .

This is([\[4\]](#page-23-3), Proposition 5.1).

3.2. Quasisymmetric uniformization and rigidity

The peripheral circles ${C_i}$ of S are called uniformly relatively separated if the pairwise distances are uniformly bounded away from zero. i.e., there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$
\Delta(C_i, C_j) = \frac{\text{dist}(C_i, C_j)}{\min{\text{diam}(C_i), \text{diam}(C_j)} } \ge \delta
$$

for any two distinct i and j . This property is preserved under quasisymmetric maps. See $([4], Corollary 4.6).$ $([4], Corollary 4.6).$ $([4], Corollary 4.6).$

Theorem 3.2. Let S be a carpet in \mathbb{S}^2 whose peripheral circles are uniformly relatively separated uniformly quasicircles, then there exists a quasisymmetric map of S onto a round carpet.

Thisis ([\[4\]](#page-23-3), Corollary 1.2). Recall that a carpet $S = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \bigcup D_i$ is called *round* if each D_i is an open spherical disk.

Theorem 3.3. Let S be a round carpet in \mathbb{S}^2 of measure zero. Then every quasisymmetric map of S onto any other round carpet is the restriction of a Möbius transformation.

Thisis $([6],$ $([6],$ $([6],$ Theorem 1.2). Here by definition a Möbius transformation is a fractional linear transformation on $\mathbb{S}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$ or the complex-conjugate of such a map. So we allow a Möbius transformation to be orientation-reversing.

3.3. Three-Circle Theorem

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{S}^2$ be a carpet. A homeomorphism embedding $f : S \to \mathbb{S}^2$ is called *orientation-preserving* if some homeomorphic extension $F : \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ of f is orientationpreserving on \mathbb{S}^2 (such an extension exists and the definition is independent of the choice of extension, see the proof of Lemma 5.3 in [\[4\]](#page-23-3)).

Corollary 3.4. Let S be a carpet in \mathbb{S}^2 of measure zero whose peripheral circles are uniformly relatively separated uniform quasicircles and C_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ be three distinct peripheral circles of S. Let f and g be two orientation-preserving quasisymmetric self-maps of S. Then we have the following rigidity results:

- (1) Assume that $f(C_i) = g(C_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then $f = g$.
- (2) Assume that $f(C_i) = g(C_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $f(p) = g(p)$ for a given point $p \in S$. Then $f = q$.
- (3) Assume that G is the group of all orientation-preserving quasisymmetric selfmaps of S that fix C_1 , C_2 . Then G is a finite cyclic group.
- (4) Assume that G is the group of all orientation-preserving quasisymmetric selfmaps of S that fix C_1 and fix a given point $q \in C_1$, then G is an infinite cyclic group.

Proof. The proof we given here is contained in [\[3\]](#page-23-8). Since its conclusion is important for the rest of our paper, we include it here for completeness.

By Theorem [3.2,](#page-8-0) there exists a quasisymmetric map h of S onto a round carpet \widetilde{S} . Using Proposition [3.1,](#page-7-1) we can extend h to a quasiconformal map on \mathbb{S}^2 . Since quasiconformal maps preserve the class of sets of measure zero, \widetilde{S} has measure zero as well. We denote by G_0 and $\widetilde{G_0}$ the group of all orientation-preserving quasisymmetric self-maps of S and \tilde{S} , respectively. By the quasisymmetric rigidity of round carpets (Theorem [3.3\)](#page-8-1), $\widetilde{G_0}$ consists of the restriction of orientation-preserving Möbius transformations that fix S .

Now we look at the homomorphism h_* induced by h:

$$
h_*: G_0 \rightarrow \widetilde{G_0},
$$

$$
\psi \mapsto h \circ \psi \circ h^{-1}.
$$

We can check that h_* is well-defined and is an isomorphic. Since $h_*(f)$ and $h_*(g)$ are orientation-preserving Möbius transformation and $h_*(f) \circ (h_*(g))^{-1}$ fixes distinct spherical round circles $h(C_i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, we know that $h_*(f) \circ (h_*(g))^{-1} = id$ and (1) follows.

We can prove (2) from the fact that any orientation-preserving Möbius transformation fixing distinct spherical round circles and a given non-common center point $p \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is the identity.

To prove (3), it suffices to show that $\widetilde{G} = h_*(G)$ is a finite cyclic. By postcomposing fractional linear transformation to h, we can assume that $h(C_1)$ and $h(C_2)$ are distinct spherical round circles with the same center. Note that \tilde{G} consists of orientation-preserving Möbius transformation, fixing $h(C_1)$, $h(C_2)$ and S. Moreover, \tilde{G} must be a discrete group as it maps peripheral round circles of S to peripheral round circles. Hence \tilde{G} is a finite cyclic group, then (3) follows.

For (4) , similarly, by post-composing fractional linear transformation to h , we can assume that $h(C_1) = \mathbb{R} \bigcup_{\widetilde{\alpha}} \{ \infty \}, h(q) = 0$ and \widetilde{S} is contained in the upper halfplane. Then the maps in \tilde{G} are of the form: $z \mapsto \lambda z$ with $\lambda > 0$, fixing \tilde{S} . By the same reason as (3), \tilde{G} is a discrete group. So there exists a $\lambda_0 \geq 1$ such that $\widetilde{G} = \{z \mapsto \lambda_0^n z | n \in \mathbb{N} \}$. It follows that \widetilde{G} , and hence also G, is the trivial group consisting only of the identity or an infinite cyclic group. Therefore, (4) follows. \Box

3.4. Square carpets

A \mathbb{C}^* -*Cylinder A* is a set of the form

$$
A = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}; r \le |z| \le R \}
$$

with $0 < r < R < +\infty$. The metric on A induced by the length element $|dz|/|z|$ which is the flat metric. Equipped with this metric, A is isometric to a finite cylinder of circumference 2π and length $\log(R/r)$. The boundary components $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| =$ r} and $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| = R\}$ are called the inner and outer boundary components of A, respectively.

 $\overline{A} \mathbb{C}^*$ -square Q is a Jordan region of the form

$$
Q = \{ \rho e^{i\theta} : a < \rho < b, \alpha < \theta < \beta \}
$$

with $0 < log(b/a) = \beta - \alpha < 2\pi$. We call the quantity

$$
l_{\mathbb{C}^*}(Q) = \log(b/a) = \beta - \alpha
$$

its side length. Clearly, two opposite sides of Q parallel to the boundaries of A , while the other two perpendicular to the boundaries of A.

A square carpet T in a \mathbb{C}^* -cylinder A is a carpet that can be written as

$$
T = A \setminus \bigcup_i Q_i,
$$

where the sets Q_i , $i \in I$, are \mathbb{C}^* -squares whose closures are pairwise disjoint and contained in the interior of A.

Theorem 3.5. Let S be a carpet of measure zero in \mathbb{S}^2 whose peripheral circles are uniformly relatively separated uniform quasicircles, and C_1 and C_2 two distinct peripheral circles of S. Then there exists a quasisymmetric map f from S onto a square carpet T in a \mathbb{C}^* -cylinder A such that $f(C_1)$ is the inner boundary component of A and $f(C_2)$ is the outer one.

Thisis $([4],$ $([4],$ $([4],$ Theorem 1.6).

Let S be a carpet in \mathbb{S}^2 and C_1, C_2 be two distinct peripheral circles of S. Soppose that the Jordan regions D_1 and D_2 are the complementary components of S bounded by C_1 and C_2 respectively. We let $\Gamma(C_1, C_2)$ be the family of all open paths in $S^2 \setminus \overline{D}_1 \cup \overline{D}_2$ that connects \overline{D}_1 and \overline{D}_2 .

Proposition 3.6. Let S be a carpet of measure zero in \mathbb{S}^2 whose peropheral circles are uniformly relatively separated uniform quasicircles, and C_1 and C_2 two distinct peripheral circles of S. Then

(1) mod_S($\Gamma(C_1, C_2)$) has finite and positive total mass.

(2) Let f be a quasisymmetric map of S onto a square carpet T in a \mathbb{C}^* -cylinder $A = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; r \leq |z| \leq R\}$ such that C_1 corresponds to the inner and C_2 to the outer boundary components of A. Then the extremal mass distribution is given as follows:

$$
\rho(C_1) = \rho(C_2) = 0, \ \rho(C) = \frac{l_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f(C))}{\log(R/r)}
$$

with the peripheral circles $C \neq C_1, C_2$ of S.

This is([\[4\]](#page-23-3), Corollary 12.2).

Let S be a carpet in a closed Jordan region $D \subset \mathbb{C}$. S is called *square carpet* if ∂D is a peripheral circle of S and all other peripheral circles are squares with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.

Theorem 3.7. Let S and \widetilde{S} be square carpets of measure zero in rectangles $K =$ $[0, a] \times [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\widetilde{K} = [0, \widetilde{a}] \times [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, respectively, where $a, \widetilde{a} > 0$. If f is an orientation-preserving quasisymmetric homeomorphism form S onto \widetilde{S} that takes the corners of K to the corners of \tilde{K} with $f(0) = 0$. Then $a = \tilde{a}$, $S = \tilde{S}$, and f is the identity on S.

Thisis $(3]$, Theorem 1.4). Here the expression square carpet S in a rectangle K means that a carpet $S \subset K$ so that ∂K is a peripheral circle of S and all other peripheral circles are squares with four sides parallel to the sides of K, respectively.

4. Distinguished peripheral circles

Let $n \geq 5, 1 \leq p < \frac{n}{2} - 1$ be integers. Let $F_{n,p}$ be the Sierpinski carpet as we defined in the introduction. We endow $F_{n,p}$ with the Euclidean metric in \mathbb{R}^2 . Since $F_{n,p}$ is a subset of $[0,1] \times [0,1]$, the Euclidean metric (measure) is comparable with the spherical metric (measure).

If Q is a peripheral circle of $F_{n,p}$, we denote by ℓ_Q the Euclidean side length of Q. Denote by Q_0 the unit square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

Lemma 4.1. The carpet $F_{n,p}$ is of measure zero. The peripheral circles of $F_{n,p}$ are uniform quasicircles and uniformly relatively separated.

Proof. It follows from the construction that $F_{n,p}$ is a carpet of Hausdorff dimension

$$
\log(n^2 - 4) / \log n < 2.
$$

So the measure of $F_{n,p}$ is equal to zero.

Since each peripheral circle of $F_{n,p}$ can be mapped to the boundary of Q_0 by a Euclidean similarity, the peripheral circles of $F_{n,p}$ are uniform quasicircles.

At last, the peripheral circles of $F_{n,p}$ are uniformly relatively separated in the Euclidean metric. Indeed, consider any two distinct peripheral circles C_1, C_2 of $F_{n,p}$. The Euclidean distance between C_1 and C_2 satisfies

dist(C₁, C₂)
$$
\geq \min{\ell(C_1), \ell(C_2)}
$$

= $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \min{\{ \text{diam}(C_1), \text{diam}(C_2) \}}.$

4.1. Distinguished pairs of non-adjacent peripheral circles

We denote by O the boundary of the unit square Q_0 . In the first step of the inductive construction of $F_{n,p}$, there are four squares Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4 of side-length 1 $\frac{1}{n}$, i.e., the lower left, lower right, upper right and upper left squares respectively, removed from Q_0 . We denote by M_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$ the boundary of Q_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$, respectively.

In the following discussions, we call O the *outer circle* of $F_{n,p}$ and M_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$ the *inner circles* of $F_{n,p}$. We say that two disjoint peripheral circles C, C' are *adjacent* if there exists a copy F of $F_{n,p}$ (here $F \subset F_{n,p}$ can be considered as a carpet scaled from $F_{n,p}$ by some factor $1/n^k$) such that C, C' are inner circles of F. For example, two distinct inner circles M_i and M_j are adjacent. Two disjoint peripheral circles C, C' which are not adjacent are called non-adjacent.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\{C, C'\}$ be any pair of non-adjacent distinct peripheral circles of $F_{n,p}$. Then

mod $_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(C, C')) \leq \mod_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(O, M)).$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $\{C, C'\} = \{O, M\}$ for some inner circle $M = M_i$.

Proof. Assume that $\{C, C'\} \neq \{O, M\}$ for any inner circle M. By Lemma [4](#page-11-0).1 and Proposition (3.[6\)](#page-10-1), $\text{mod}_{F_{p,q}}(\Gamma(C, C'))$ is a finite and positive number. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\ell(C) = 1/n^m \leq \ell(C')$. Note that there exists a copy $F \subset F_{n,p}$, rescaled from $F_{n,p}$ by a factor $1/n^{m-1}$, so that C corresponds to some inner circle, say, M_1 of $F_{n,p}$.

Denote the outer circle of F by C_0 . Since C and C' are disjoint and $\ell(C) \leq \ell(C')$, C' is disjoint with the interior region of C_0 . Hence every path in $\Gamma(C, C')$ must intersect with C_0 and then contains a sub-path in $\Gamma(C, C_0)$. See Figure [4](#page-12-0) for an illustration.

FIGURE 4. The non-adjacent distinct peripheral circles

Therefore

$$
\operatorname{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(C, C')) \le \operatorname{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(C, C_0)).\tag{4.1}
$$

On the other hand, since every path in $\Gamma(C, C_0)$ meets exactly the same peripheral circles of F and $F_{n,p}$, we have

 $\text{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(C, C_0)) = \text{mod}_F(\Gamma(C, C_0)).$

Moreover, by the similarity of $F_{n,p}$ and F,

$$
\operatorname{mod}_F(\Gamma(C, C_0)) = \operatorname{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(M, O)).
$$

It follows that

$$
\mod_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(C, C')) \le \mod_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(M_1, O)).
$$

We next show that the equality case in (4.1) cannot happen. We argue by contraction. Assume that

$$
\operatorname{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(C, C')) = \operatorname{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(C, C_0)).
$$

Note that all carpet modulus considered above are finite by Proposition [3](#page-10-1).6 and so there exist unique extremal mass distributions, say ρ and ρ' , for $\text{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(C, C'))$ and $\text{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(O, M_1)),$ respectively, by Proposition [2](#page-7-2).4.

Let C be the set of all peripheral circles of $F_{n,p}$. According to the description in Proposition [3](#page-10-1).6, ρ and ρ' are supported on $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{C, C'\}$ and $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{O, M_1\}$, respectively.

By transplanting ρ' to the carpet F using a suitable Euclidean similarity between F and $F_{n,p}$, we get an admissible mass distribution $\tilde{\rho}$ for F supported only on the set of peripheral circles of F except C and C_0 . Note that the total mass of $\tilde{\rho}$ is the same as $\text{mass}(\rho').$

We extend $C \to \tilde{\rho}(C)$ by zero if C belonging to C does not intersect the interior region of C_0 . Then $\tilde{\rho}$ is an admissible mass distribution for $\text{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(C, C_0))$, thus

for $\text{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(C, C'))$ as well. However, $\widetilde{\rho} \neq \rho$ and $\text{mass}(\widetilde{\rho}) = \text{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(C, C'))$, we arrive at a contradiction by Proposition [2](#page-7-2).4.

In summary, we get the following crucial inequality:

$$
\operatorname{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(C, C')) < \operatorname{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(O, M_1))
$$
\n
$$
(4.2)
$$

where $\{C, C'\} \neq \{O, M_i\}$ i = 1, 2, 3, 4 and non-adjacent. So the lemma follows. \Box

Corollary 4.3. Let f be a quasisymmetric self-map of $F_{n,p}$. Then

$$
f(\{O, M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4\}) = \{O, M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4\}.
$$

Proof. We argue by contraction. Assume that f maps $\{O, M_1\}$ to some pair of peripheral circles $\{C, C'\} \nsubseteq \{O, M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4\}$ and $f(O) = C$. By Proposi-tion [3](#page-7-1).1, f extends to a quasiconformal homeomorphism on S^2 . In particular, $\Gamma(C, C') = f(\Gamma(O, M_1))$. Then Lemma [2.1](#page-6-0) implies

$$
\operatorname{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(O,M_1)) = \operatorname{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(C,C')).
$$

We distinguish the argument into two cases depending on the type of the squares C and C' , i.e., whether they are adjacent or not.

Case (1): C, C' are non-adjacent. This is only possible if $\{C, C'\} \subseteq \{O, M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4\}$ by Lemma [4](#page-11-1).2. Then we get a contradiction.

Case (2): C, C' are adjacent. Suppose C, C' are inner circles of some copy $F \subset$ $F_{n,p}$. Consider $f(M_i)$, $i = 2, 3, 4$. They must be inner circles of F as well. Otherwise, for example, suppose that $f(M_2)$ is not an inner circle of F. Since C and $f(M_2)$ are non-adjacent, we can apply Lemma [4.2](#page-11-1) to show that

$$
\mathrm{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(C, f(M_2))) < \mathrm{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(O, M_1)),
$$

which is contradicted with the fact that

$$
\operatorname{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(C, f(M_2))) = \operatorname{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(O, M_2)) = \operatorname{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(O, M_1)).
$$

As a result, $\{f(O), f(M_1), f(M_2), f(M_3), f(M_4)\}\)$ are pairwise adjacent and all of them are inner circles of F . However, F contains exactly four inner circles. So Case (2) can not happen.

By the same argument to pairs O and M_i , $i = 2, 3, 4$, the corollary follows. \square

4.2. Quasisymmetric group $\mathrm{QS}(F_{n,p})$ is finite

Let H denote the Euclidean isometry group which consists of eight elements: four of them rotate around the center by $\pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2$, and 2π , respectively; the others are orientation-reserving and reflecting by lines $x = 0$, $x = y$, $y = 0$ and $x + y = 0$, respectively. It is obvious that H is contained in $QS(F_{n,p})$.

Corollary 4.4. Let $5 \le n, 1 \le p < \frac{n}{2} - 1$ be integers. Then the group $\text{QS}(F_{n,p})$ of quasisymmetric self-maps of $F_{n,p}$ is finite.

Proof. According to Corollary [4](#page-13-0).3, $\{O, M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4\}$ are preserved under every quasisymmetric self-map of $F_{n,p}$. The group G of all orientation-preserving quasisymmetric self-maps of $F_{n,p}$ is finite by the proof of Case (1) in Corollary [\(3](#page-8-2).4). Since G is a subgroup of $\operatorname{QS}(F_{p,q})$ with index two, $\operatorname{QS}(F_{p,q})$ is finite.

14

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1

Recall that the standard carpet S_m , $m \geq 3$ odd, is obtained by subdivide [0, 1] \times $[0, 1]$ into $m²$ subsquares of equal size, removing the interior of the middle square, and repeating these operations to every subsquares, inductively.

Proof of Theorem [3.](#page-3-1) Let M , \mathcal{O} be the inner circle and outer circle of S_m respectively. Lemma 5.1 of [\[3\]](#page-23-8) states that $mod_{S_m}(\Gamma(\mathcal{O},\mathcal{M}))$ is strictly larger than the carpet modulus of any other path family $\Gamma(C, C')$ with respect to S_m , where C and C' are peripheral circles of S_m . While for carpet $F_{n,p}$, according to the symmetry, at least two pairs of peripheral circles the maximum of $\{\text{mod}_{F_{n,p}} \Gamma(C_1, C_2) : C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}\}.$ Since any quasisymmetric maps from $F_{n,p}$ to S_m must preserve such a maximum property, there is no such quasisymmetric map. \Box

5. Weak tangent spaces

The results in this section generalize the discussion in([\[3\]](#page-23-8), Section 7).

At first, we explain the definition of weak tangent of a carpet. Then we show that a quasisymmetric map between two carpets $F_{n,p}$ induces a quasisymmetric map between weak tangents.

5.1. Weak tangents

In general, the weak tangents of a metric space M at a point $p \in M$ can be defined as the Gromov-Hausdorff limits of the pointed metric spaces

$$
\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} (\lambda M, p)
$$

where λM is the same set of points with M equipped with the original metric multiplied by λ . If the limit is unique up to multiplied by positive constants, then the weak tangents is usually called the *tangent cone* of M at p .

In the following, as in [\[3\]](#page-23-8), we will use a suitable definition of weak tangents for subsets of \mathbb{S}^2 equipped with the spherical metric.

Suppose that $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, $a \neq 0$ and $M \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. We denote by

$$
aM + b := \{az + b : z \in M\}.
$$

Let A be a subset of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with a distinguished point $z_0 \in A$, $z_0 \neq \infty$. We say that a closed set $W_A(z_0) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a *weak tangent* of A if there exists a sequence (X_n) with $\lambda_n \to \infty$ such that the sets $A_n := \lambda_n(A - z_0)$ converge to $W_A(z_0)$ as $n \to \infty$ in the sense of Hausdorff convergence on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ equipped with the spherical metric. In this case, we use the notation

$$
W_A(z_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (A, z_0, \lambda_n).
$$

Since for every sequence (λ_n) with $\lambda_n \to \infty$, there is a subsequence (λ_{n_k}) such that the sequence of the sets $A_{n_k} = \lambda_{n_k} (A - z_0)$ converges as $k \to \infty$, A has weak tangents at each point $z_0 \in A \setminus \{\infty\}$. In general, weak tangents at a point are not unique. In particular, $\lambda W_A(z_0)$ is also a weak tangent.

Now we apply the notion to our carpets $F_{n,p}$. In fact, the following arguments work for a general class of carpets, such as the standard Sierpiński carpet S_m and carpets which satisfy some self-similarity property.

A weak tangent of a point $z_0 \in F_{n,p}$ is a closed set $W_{F_{n,p}}(z_0) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

$$
W_{F_{n,p}}(z_0) = \lim_{j \to \infty} (F_{n,p}, z_0, n^{k_j}),
$$

where $k_j \geq 1$ and $k_j \to \infty$ as $j \to \infty$.

At the point 0 the carpet $F_{n,p}$ has the unique weak tangent

$$
W_{F_{n,p}}(0) = \lim_{j \to \infty} (F_{n,p}, 0, n^j) = \{\infty\} \cup \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} n^j F_{n,p}.
$$
 (5.1)

This follows from the inclusions $n^j F_{n,p} \subseteq n^{j+1} F_{n,p}$.

Similarly, at each corner of O there exists a unique weak tangent of $F_{n,p}$ obtained by a suitable rotation of the set $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$ around 0.

FIGURE 5. The weak tangent $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$.

Let $c = p/n + i p/n$ be the lower-left corner of M_1 . Then at c the carpet $F_{n,p}$ has unique weak tangent

$$
W_{F_{n,p}}(c) = \lim_{j \to \infty} (F_{n,p}, c, n^j) = \{ \infty \} \cup \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} n^j (\mathbf{i} F_{n,p} \cup (-\mathbf{i}) F_{n,p} \cup (-1) F_{n,p}).
$$

Note that $W_{F_{n,p}}(c)$ can be obtained by pasting together three copies of $W_{F_{n,p}}$. If z_0 is a corner of a peripheral circle $C \neq O$ of $F_{n,p}$, then $F_{n,p}$ has a unique weak tangent at z_0 obtained by a suitable rotation of the set $W_{F_{n,p}}(c)$ around 0.

Lemma 5.1. Let z_0 be a corner of a peripheral circle of $F_{n,p}$. Then the weak tangent $W_{Fn,p}(z_0)$ is a carpet of measure zero. If $W_{F_{n,p}}(z_0)$ is equipped with the spherical metric, then the family of peripheral circles of $W_{F_{n,p}}(z_0)$ are uniform quasicircles and uniformly relatively separated.

Proof. We can assume that z_0 equals 0. The proof works for other cases.

First note that (5.[1\)](#page-15-0) implies that $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$ is a carpet of measure zero, since $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$ is the union of countably many sets of measure zero.

Let $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}(z) > 0, \text{Im}(z) > 0\}.$ Then $\partial\Omega$ ia a peripheral circle of $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$. It is easy to construct a bi-Lipschitz map between $\partial\Omega$ and the unit circle (both equipped with the spherical metric). Hence $\partial\Omega$ is a quasicircle. Note that all other peripheral circles of $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$ are squares. As a result, the peripheral circles of $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$ are uniformly quasicircles.

To show that the peripheral circles are uniformly relatively separated, we only need to check the following inequality:

$$
dist(C_1, C_2) \ge \min\{\ell(C_1), \ell(C_2)\}\tag{5.2}
$$

for any peripheral circles $C_1, C_2 \neq \partial \Omega$. Here dist (\cdot, \cdot) and $\ell(\cdot)$ denote the Euclidean distance and Euclidean side length.

The inequality implies that the peripheral circles are uniformly relatively separated with respect to the Euclidean metric. To see that they are uniformly relatively separated property with respect to the spherical metric, we can apply an argument of([\[3\]](#page-23-8), Lemma 7.1).

 \Box

5.2. Quasisymmetric maps between weak tangents

We are interested in quasisymmetric maps $q: W \to W'$ between weak tangents W of $F_{n,p}$ and weak tangents W' of $F_{n,p}$. Note that $0, \infty \in W, W'$. We call g normalized if $g(0) = 0$ and $g(\infty) = \infty$.

Lemma 5.2. Let z_0 be a corner of a peripheral circle of F_{n_1,p_1} and let w_0 be a corner of a peripheral circle of F_{n_2,p_2} . Suppose that $f: F_{n_1,p_1} \to F_{n_2,p_2}$ be a quasisymmetric map with $f(z_0) = w_0$. Then f induces a normalized quasisymmetric map g between the weak tangent $W_{F_{n_1,p_1}}(z_0)$ and $W_{F_{n_2,p_2}}(w_0)$.

Proof. By Proposition [3](#page-7-1).1 we can extend f to a quasiconformal self-homeomorphism F of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. There exists a relative neighborhood N_1 of z_0 in F_{n_1,p_1} and a relative neighborhood N_2 of w_0 in F_{n_2,p_2} with $F(N_1) = N_2$ such that

$$
W_{F_{n_1,p_1}}(0) \setminus \{\infty\} = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} n_1^j (N_1 - z_0)
$$

and

$$
W_{F_{n_2,p_2}}(0) \setminus \{\infty\} = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} n_2^j (N_2 - w_0)
$$

Pick a point $u_0 \in N - z_0$, $u_0 \neq 0$. Then for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have $F(z_0 + n_1^{-j}u_0)(\neq$ w_0, ∞) in F_{n_2,p_2} .

We consider the following quasiconformal self-map F_j of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with $F_j (n_j^j)$ $j_1(N_1-z_0)) =$ $n_2^{k(j)}$ $\binom{k(j)}{2}(N_2-w_0)$:

$$
F_j: u \mapsto n_2^{k(j)}(F(z_0 + n_1^{-j}u) - w_0)
$$

for $u \in \mathbb{C}$, where $k(j)$ is the unique integer such that $1 \leq |F_i(u_0)| < n_2$.

Note that $k(j) \to \infty$ as $j \to \infty$ and $F(\infty) \neq w_0$. This implies that $F_j(\infty) \to \infty$ as $j \to \infty$. We also have $F_i(0) = 0$. So the images of $0, \infty$ and u_0 under F_i have mutual spherical distance uniformly bounded from below independent of j . Moreover, F_i is obtained from F by post-composing and pre-composing Möbius transformations. Hence the sequence (F_i) is uniformly quasiconformal, and it follows that we can find a subsequence of (F_i) that converges uniformly on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ to a quasiconformal map F_{∞} . Without loss of generality, we assume that (F_i) converges uniformly to F_{∞} .

Note that $F_{\infty}(0) = 0$ and $F_{\infty}(\infty) = \infty$. To prove the statement of the lemma, it suffices to show that $F_{\infty}(W_{F_{n_1,p_1}(z_0)}) = W_{F_{n_2,p_2}}(w_0)$, because then $g := F_{\infty}|W_{F_{n_1,p_1}}(z_0)|$ is an induced normalized quasisymmetric map between $W_{F_{n_1,p_1}}(z_0)$ and $W_{F_{n_2,p_2}}(w_0)$, as desired.

Let u be an arbitrary point in $W_{F_{n_1,p_1}}(z_0)$. There exists a sequence (u_j) with $u_j \in n_1^j$ $j(1(N_1 - z_0)$ converging to u. We have $F_j(u_j) \in n_2^j$ $\frac{J_2(N_2-w_0)}{2}$ and a subsequence of $(F_j(u_j))$ converging to some point v in $W_{F_{n_2,p_2}}(w_0)$. By the definition of F_{∞} , we have $F_{\infty}(u) = v$. Hence $F_{\infty}(W_{F_{n_1,p_1}}(z_0)) \subseteq W_{F_{n_2,p_2}}(w_0)$.

For every point v in $W_{F_{n_2,p_2}}(w_0)$, there exists a sequence (u_j) with $u_j \in n_1^j$ $\frac{3}{1}(N_1$ z_0) such that $(F_i(u_i))$ converges to v. Then we can choose a subsequence of (u_i) converging to some point u in $W_{F_{n_1,p_1}}(z_0)$ and so $F_\infty(u) = v$.

It follows that $F_{\infty}(W_{F_{n_1,p_1}}(z_0)) = W_{F_{n_2,p_2}}(w_0)$ and we are done.

We have proved in Corollary [4.3](#page-13-0) that a quasisymmetric self-map f of $F_{n,p}$ maps $\{O, M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4\}$ to $\{O, M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4\}$. In the remaining part of this section, we will show that there is no quasisymmetric self-map f of $F_{n,p}$ with $f(0) = c$, where c is a corner of an inner circle. By Lemma [5.2,](#page-16-0) if such an f exists, then it would induce a normalized quasisymmetric map from $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$ to $W_{F_{n,p}}(c)$. However, the following proposition shows that:

Proposition 5.3. There is no normalized quasisymmetric map from $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$ to $W_{F_{n,p}}(c)$.

To prove the proposition, we need two lemmas.

Let G and \tilde{G} be the group of normalized orientation-preserving quasisymmetric self-maps of $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$ and $W_{F_{n,p}}(c)$, respectively. By Corollary [3.4,](#page-8-2) G and \widetilde{G} are infinite cyclic groups. Note that the map $\mu(z) := nz$ is contained in $G \cap \tilde{G}$. We assume that $G = \langle \phi \rangle$ and $\mu = \phi^s$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since the peripheral circles of $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$ are uniformly quasicircles and uniformly relatively separated, there exists a quasiconformal extension $\Phi : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ of ϕ . Let H be the group generated by the reflection in the real and in the imaginary axes. We may assume that Φ is equivalent under the action of H (see Page 42, [\[3\]](#page-23-8) for the discussion).

Let $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}(z) > 0, \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$. Then $C_0 := \partial\Omega$ is a peripheral circle of $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$. Since $\Phi(C_0) = C_0$ and Φ is orientation-preserving, $\Phi(\Omega) = \Omega$.

Let Γ be the family of all open paths in Ω that connects the positive real and positive imaginary axes. Since the paths in Ω are open, they don't intersect with C_0 . For any peripheral circle C of $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$ that meets some path in Γ, note that $\phi^k(C) \neq C$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ (otherwise, ϕ would be of finite order, contradicted with the fact that ϕ is the generator of the infinite cyclic group G). So we can apply Lemma [2.3](#page-6-1) to conclude that

$$
mod_{W_{F_{n,p}}(0)/\langle\mu\rangle}(\Gamma) = mod_{W_{F_{n,p}}(0)/\langle\phi^s\rangle}(\Gamma) = smod_{W_{F_{n,p}}(0)/G}(\Gamma).
$$

Note that without the action of the group G, the carpet modulus $mod_{W_{F_{n,p}}(0)}(\Gamma)$ is equal to infinity.

Lemma 5.4. We have $0 < \text{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(0)/G}(\Gamma) < \infty$.

Proof. Let us first show that $\text{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(0)/\langle\mu\rangle}(\Gamma)<\infty$ by constructing an admissible mass distribution of finite mass.

Let $pr : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{S}^1$ be the projection $z \mapsto \frac{z}{|z|}$. If $C \neq C_0$ is a peripheral circle of $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$, we let $\theta(C)$ be the arc length of $pr(\tilde{C})$. We set

$$
\rho(C) := \begin{cases} 0, \text{if } C = C_0; \\ \frac{2}{\pi} \theta(C), \text{if } C \neq C_0. \end{cases}
$$

Note that ρ is $\lt \mu$ >-invariant.

Let Γ_0 be the family of paths $\gamma \in \Gamma$ that are not locally rectifiable or for which $\gamma \cap W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$ has positive length. Since $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$ is a set of measure zero, we have mod(Γ_0) = 0, i.e., Γ_0 is an exceptional subfamily of Γ .

For any $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$, note that

$$
\sum_{\gamma \cap \mathbb{C} \neq \emptyset} \rho(C) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{\gamma \cap \mathbb{C} \neq \emptyset} \theta(C) \ge 1.
$$

As a result, ρ is admissible.

Let $Q_0 = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. Note that every $\lt \mu$ >-orbit of a peripheral circle $C \neq C_0$ has a unique element contained in the set $F = \mu(Q_0) \setminus Q_0$. There is a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$
\theta(C) \leq K\ell(C)
$$

for all peripheral circles $C \subset F$. It follows that

$$
\frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{C \subset F} \theta(C)^2 \lesssim \sum_{C \subset F} \ell(C)^2 = Area(F) = n^2 - 1.
$$

Hence ρ is a finite admissible mass distribution for $\text{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(0)/\lt\mu>(\Gamma)}$.

To show that $\text{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(0)/\langle\mu\rangle}(\Gamma) > 0$, we only need to show that the carpet satisfies the assumptions in Proposition [2.5.](#page-7-3) Then the extremal mass distribution for $\text{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}(0)/\langle\mu\rangle}}(\Gamma)$ exists and this is only possible if Γ itself is an exceptional family, that is, $mod(\Gamma) = 0$.

In fact, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we let \mathcal{C}_k be the set of all peripheral circles C of $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$ with $C \subset F_k = \mu^k(Q_0) \setminus \mu^{-k}(Q_0)$. Then

- (1) Every $\lt \mu$ >-orbit of a peripheral circle $C \neq C_0$ has exactly 2k elements in \mathcal{C}_k .
- (2) Let Γ_k be the family of paths in Γ that only meet peripheral circles in \mathcal{C}_k . Then $\Gamma = \bigcup_k \Gamma_k$.

As a result, the assumptions in Proposition [2.5](#page-7-3) are satisfied.

Let $\tilde{\Omega} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$. The closure of $\tilde{\Omega}$ contains $W_{F_{n,n}}(c)$ and $C_0 = \partial \Omega = \partial \tilde{\Omega}$ is a peripheral circle of $W_{F_{n,p}}(c)$. Denote $\psi = \Phi|_{W_{F_{n,p}}(c)}$. Then we have $\psi \in G$. Let $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ be the family of all open paths in $\widetilde{\Omega}$ that join the positive real and the positive imaginary axes.

Lemma 5.5. We have $\text{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(c)/\langle\psi\rangle}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \leq \frac{1}{3}\text{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(0)/G}(\Gamma).$

Proof. Let ρ be an arbitrary admissible invariant mass distribution for mod_{$W_{F_{n,p}}(0)/G(\Gamma)$,} with exceptional family $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$. We set

$$
\widetilde{\rho}(\widetilde{C}) := \begin{cases} 0, \text{if } \widetilde{C} = C_0; \\ \frac{1}{3} \rho(\alpha(\widetilde{C})) \end{cases}
$$

if there is an $\alpha \in H$ such that $\alpha(\widetilde{C})$ is a peripheral circle of $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$ (such an α exits and is unique).

Since Φ is H-equivalent and ρ is G-invariant, $\tilde{\rho}$ is $\langle \psi \rangle$ -invariant.

Let $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ be the family of paths in $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ that have a subpath that can be mapped to a path in Γ_0 by an element of $\alpha \in H$. Then $mod(\widetilde{\Gamma}_0) = 0$.

Let $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}$. Note that γ has three disjoint open subpaths: one for each quarterplane of $\tilde{\Omega}$ and by suitable elements in H, the three subpaths are mapped to paths in Γ. Denote the images by $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$. If $\gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma} \setminus \widetilde{\Gamma}_0$, then $\gamma_i \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and

$$
\sum_{\gamma\cap\widetilde{C}\neq\emptyset}\widetilde{\rho}(\widetilde{C})\geq \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^3\sum_{\gamma_i\cap C\neq\emptyset}\rho(C)\geq 1.
$$

Hence $\tilde{\rho}$ is admissible for $\text{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(c)/\langle \psi \rangle}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ and

$$
\mathrm{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(c)/<\psi>(\widetilde{\Gamma})}\leq \mathrm{mass}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(c)/<\psi>(\widetilde{\rho})}\leq \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{mass}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(0)/G}(\rho).
$$

Since ρ is an arbitrary mass distribution for $\frac{1}{3} \text{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(0)/G}(\Gamma)$, the statement follows.

Proof of Proposition [5.3.](#page-17-0) Suppose not, there exists a normalized quasisymmetric map $f: W_{F_{n,p}}(0) \to W_{F_{n,p}}(c)$. Precomposing f by the reflection in the diagonal line $\{x = y\}$ if necessary, we may assume that f is orientation-preserving. Then $\widetilde{G} = f \circ G \circ f^{-1}$ and $\widetilde{\phi} = f \circ \phi \circ f^{-1}$ is a generator for \widetilde{G} .

Let $F : \tilde{\mathbb{C}} \to \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ be a quasiconfomral extension of f. Then $\tilde{\Gamma} = F(\Gamma)$. By quasisymmetric invariance of carpets modulus,

$$
\mathrm{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(c)/\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\Gamma})=\mathrm{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(0)/G}(\Gamma).
$$

Assume that $\psi = \widetilde{\phi}^m$. Then similar to our discussion before Lemma [5.4,](#page-18-0) we have

$$
\mathrm{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(c)/<\psi>}(\widetilde{\Gamma})=|m|\mathrm{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(c)/\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\Gamma}).
$$

20

 \Box

Hence by Lemma [5.5](#page-19-0) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(0)/G}(\Gamma) &= \text{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(c)/\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \\
&= \frac{1}{|m|} \text{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(c)/<\psi>(\widetilde{\Gamma})} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{3|m|} \text{mod}_{W_{F_{n,p}}(0)/G}(\Gamma).\n\end{aligned}
$$

This is possible only if $mod_{W_{F_{n,p}}(0)/G}(\Gamma)$ is equal to 0 or ∞ . But this is contradicted with Lemma [5.4.](#page-18-0)

6. Quasisymmetric rigidity

Let D be the diagonal $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x = y\}$ and V be the vertical line $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x = y\}$ $\mathbb{R}^2 : x = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$. We denote the reflections in D and V by R_D and R_V , respectively. The Euclidean isometry group of $F_{n,p}$ is generated by R_D and R_V .

Let $\text{QS}(F_{n,p})$ be the group of quasisymmetric self-maps of $F_{n,p}$. By Corollary [4.4,](#page-13-1) $\mathrm{QS}(F_{n,p})$ is a finite group.

Proposition 6.1. Let f be a quasisymmetric self-map of $F_{n,p}$. Then $f({O}) = {O}$ and $f({M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4}) = {M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4}.$

Proof. From Corollary [4](#page-13-0).3, we argue by contraction and assume that there exists a quasisymmetric self-map f of $F_{n,p}$ and some $i \in \{1,2,3,4\}$ such that $f(\{O\})=$ ${M_i}$. By pre-composing and post-composing suitable elements in the Euclidean isometry group, we can suppose that f is orientation-preserving and $f(\{O\}) =$ $\{M_1\}.$

Let G be the subgroup of $QS(F_{n,p}),$

$$
G = \{ g \in \text{QS}(F_{n,p}) \mid g(O) = O, g(M_1) = M_1 \}.
$$

G has a subgroup G' with index two consisting of orientation-preserving elements. Then

$$
G = G' \bigsqcup G' \circ R_D.
$$

We denote by

$$
\mathcal{O}_G(z) = \{g(z) : g \in G\}
$$

the orbit of z under the action of G for arbitrary $z \in F_{n,p}$. Let $c = (p/n, p/n)$ and $c' = ((p + 1)/n, (p + 1)/n)$ be the lower-left and upper-right corners of M_1 , respectively.

Now we consider the map

$$
\Phi_0 : G' \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_G(0)
$$

$$
g \longmapsto g(0).
$$

Note that Φ_0 is an isomorphism. In fact, for any $g(0) \in \mathcal{O}_G(0)$, if g is orientationpreserving, then $\Phi_0(g) = g(0)$; otherwise, $\Phi_0(g \circ R_D) = g(0)$. So Φ_0 is a surjection. On the other hand, if $\Phi_0(g_1) = \Phi_0(g_2)$ for any $g_1, g_2 \in G'$, then Case (2) of Corollary 3.[4](#page-8-2) gives $q_1 = q_2$. So Φ_0 is a injection.

 \Box

Similarly, we can also define the isomorphism

$$
\Phi_c \; : \; G' \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_G(c) \n\quad \longrightarrow g(c).
$$

These isomorphisms Φ_0 and Φ_c imply that

$$
\#\mathcal{O}_G(0) = \#G' = \#\mathcal{O}_G(c) \tag{6.1}
$$

On the other hand, f induces the following isomorphism

$$
f_* \; : \; G \longrightarrow G
$$

$$
g \longmapsto f \circ g \circ f^{-1}.
$$

We denote by $m = f(0)$. Then

$$
\mathcal{O}_G(m) = \{g(m) : g \in G\} = \{f \circ g \circ f^{-1}(m) : g \in G\}
$$

= $\{f \circ g(0) : g \in G\} = f(\mathcal{O}_G(0)).$

Hence

$$
\#\mathcal{O}_G(m)=\#G'=\#\mathcal{O}_G(0)
$$

and so the orbits $\mathcal{O}_G(m)$ and $\mathcal{O}_G(c)$ have the same number of elements.

If $G' \neq {\text{id}}$, we claim that G' is a cyclic group of order 3. Indeed, for any $g \neq {\text{id}}$ in G' , $g(M_3) \neq M_3$, otherwise Case (1) of Corollary [3](#page-8-2).4 implies $g = id$. By Corollary 4.[3,](#page-13-0) either $g(M_3) = M_4, g(M_4) = (M_2)$ or $g(M_3) = M_2, g(M_2) = (M_4)$. In both cases, g is of order 3, a.e., $g^3 = id$. Use Corollary [4](#page-13-0).3 again we know that G' is generated by g. So the claim follows.

Hence, we have $\#\mathcal{O}_G(m) = \#G' = 1$ or 3. There must be some $h \in G$ with $h(m) = c$ or c'. Otherwise, $\mathcal{O}_G(m)$ does not contain c, c'. For any point $p \in \mathcal{O}_G(m)$, the point $R_D(p) \in \mathcal{O}_G(m)$ and $R_D(p) \neq p$. Then $\#\mathcal{O}_G(m)$ is even, which is impossible.

By Lemma [5](#page-16-0).2, $h \circ f$ induces a normalizaed quasisymmetric map between the weak tangent $W_{F_{n,p}}(0)$ and $W_{F_{n,p}}(c)$ or $W_{F_{n,p}}(c')$. This contradicts Proposition [5](#page-17-0).3. So we have proved the proposition.

Proof of Theorem 2. We adopt the notations as in previous. The proof of Propo-sition [6](#page-20-1).1 implies that G' is a cyclic group of order 3 or a trivial group. To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that the former case cannot happen. We argue by contraction and assume that G' is a cyclic group of order 3.

By Theorem [3](#page-8-0).2, there exists a quasisymmetric map f from $F_{n,p}$ onto some round carpet S. After post-composing suitable fraction linear transformation, we can assume that the $f(O)$ is the unit disc $\mathbb D$ and $f(M_1)$ lies in $\mathbb D$ with center $(0, 0)$. Then f induces the isomorphism

$$
f_* \quad : \quad QS(F_{n,p}) \longrightarrow QS(S)
$$
\n
$$
g \longmapsto f \circ g \circ f^{-1}.
$$

Combined with Theorem [3](#page-8-1).3, $f_*(G')$ is a cyclic group of order 3 consisting of Möbius transformations. Moreover, elements in $f_*(G')$ preserves $\partial \mathbb{D}$ and the circle $O_1 =$ $f(M_1)$. Hence we have

$$
f_*(G') = \{\text{id}, z \mapsto e^{2\pi i/3}z, z \mapsto e^{4\pi i/3}z\}.
$$

22

Claim: $O_2 = f(M_2), O_3 = f(M_3), O_4 = f(M_4)$ are round circles with the same diameter and equidistributed clockwise in the annuli bounded by $\partial \mathbb{D}$ and O_1 .

Proof of the claim: In fact, by the proof of Proposition [6.1,](#page-20-1) we may assume that $G' = \langle g \rangle$, where $g(M_3) = M_4, g(M_4) = M_2$ and $g(M_2) = M_3$. Note that

$$
O_3 = f(M_3) = f \circ g(M_2))
$$

= $f \circ g \circ f^{-1}(O_2)$

where $f \circ g \circ f^{-1}$ is equal to the rotation $z \mapsto e^{2\pi i/3}z$. Similarity, one can show that $O_4 = f \circ g \circ f^{-1}(O_3)$. As a result, O_3 is obtained from O_2 by a rotation of angle $2\pi/3$ and O_4 is obtained from O_2 by a rotation of angle $4\pi/3$. The claim follows.

Let R be the rotation in the isometry group of $F_{n,p}$ with $R(M_1) = M_2, R(M_2) =$ $M_3, R(M_3) = M_4$, and $R(M_4) = M_1$. By Theorem [3](#page-8-1).3, the composition

$$
h = f \circ R \circ f^{-1} : S \to S
$$

is also a Möbius transformation which maps $\partial \mathbb{D} \to \partial \mathbb{D}, O_2 \to O_3, O_3 \mapsto O_4$. Such a Möbius transformation must be $\varphi = z \to e^{2\pi i/3}z$. If not, let φ' be other Möbius transformation satisfy the conditions. Then $\varphi' \circ \varphi^{-1}$ fixes three non-concentric circles $\partial \mathbb{D}, O_2$ and O_3 and so $\varphi' \circ \varphi^{-1} = id$. Hence $\varphi' = \varphi$. But $h(O_1) = O_2$, which is impossible. So the theorem follows.

Proof of Theorem [3.](#page-3-1) Suppose there exists a quasisymmetric map $f: F_{n,p} \to F_{n',p'}$.

Firstly, we claim that $f(O) = O'$, $f({M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4}) = {M'_1, M'_2, M'_3, M'_4}.$ Indeed, from Theorem 2, we know that every quasisymmetric self-map of $F_{n,p}$ and $F_{n',p'}$ is isometry and so preserves the peripheral circle O and O'. For any g in $QS(F_{n,p}), f \circ g \circ f^{-1}$ is a quasisymmetric self-map of $F_{n',p'}$ and $f \circ g \circ f^{-1}(f(O)) =$ $f(O)$. So $f(O)$ is fixed by any element in $QS(F_{n',p'}$. Hence we have $f(O) = O'$. If for some inner circles M_i , say M_1 , of $F_{n,p}$, $f(M_1)$ is not an inner circle of $F_{n',p'}$, then by Proposition [3](#page-7-1).1, f extension to a quasiconformal self-map of \mathbb{S}^2 . We have

$$
\mathrm{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(M_1,O)) = \mathrm{mod}_{F_{n',p'}}(\Gamma(f(M_1),O'))
$$

and

$$
mod_{F_{n',p'}}(\Gamma(M'_1, O')) = mod_{F_{n,p}}\Gamma(f^{-1}(M'_1), O).
$$

While Lemma [4](#page-11-1).2 implies

$$
\mathrm{mod}_{F_{n',p'}}(\Gamma(f(M_1),O))<\mathrm{mod}_{F_{n',p'}}(\Gamma(M'_1,O))
$$

and

 $\text{mod}_{F_{n,p}} \Gamma(f^{-1}(M'_1), O) \leq \text{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(M_1, O)).$

Hence $\text{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(M_1, O)) < \text{mod}_{F_{n,p}}(\Gamma(M_1, O))$ and we get a contraction.

Secondly, by pre-composing and post-composing with Euclidean isometries, we can assume that f is orientation-preserving and $f(M_1) = M'_1$. We claim that $f((0,0)) = (0,0)$ and $f((1,1)) = (1,1)$ or interchanges them and $f(M_3) = M'_3$. In fact, the orientation-preserving quasisymmetric map

$$
f^{-1} \circ R_D \circ f \circ R_D : F_{n,p} \to F_{n,p}
$$

fixes peripheral circles O and M_1 . Then, by Theorem [2,](#page-2-0) $f^{-1} \circ R_D \circ f \circ R_D$ is a Euclidean isometry and so it is the identity on $F_{n,p}$. This implies $f \circ R_D = R_D \circ f$. Hence the claim follows.

We now distinguish two cases to analyze.

Case (1) $f((0, 0)) = (0, 0)$ and $f((1, 1)) = (1, 1)$.

We denote the reflection in the line $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x + y = 1\}$ by R'_D . Then the map $f^{-1} \circ R'_{D} \circ f \circ R'_{D}$ is an orientation-preserving quasisymmetric map in $QS(F_{n,p}),$ fixes peripheral circles O, M_1 , and the point $(0, 0)$. Hence this map is the identity on $F_{n,p}$ and so $f \circ R'_{D} = R'_{D} \circ f$. It follows that f fixes $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ or interchanges them. Since f is orientation-preserving, the latter cannot happen. By Theorem [3](#page-10-2).7 the map f must be the identity. Hence $(n, p) = (n', p')$.

Case (2) $f((0,0)) = (1,1)$ and $f((1,1)) = (0,0)$.

The map $g = R_D \circ f \circ R'_D : F_{n,p} \to F_{n',p'}$ is an orientation-preserving quasisymmetry which fixes points $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$ and peripheral circle O and maps M_1 to M'_3 . Similar to Case (1), $g^{-1} \circ R'_D \circ g \circ R'_D$ is an orientation-preserving isometry map fixing $(0, 0)$, $(1, 1)$ and O and so is the identity. Then g fixes $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ or interchanges them. The orientation-preserving of g implies the latter case is impossible. By Theorem [3](#page-10-2).7 the map g is the identity, which contradicts with $g(M_1) = M'_3$. So case (2) can not happen.

 \Box

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ahlfors, Lectures on quasiconformal mappings, Van Nostrand Math.Studies, No.10, D.Van Nostrand, Toronto, Ont.-New York-London 1966.
- [2] L. Ahlfors, Conformal invariants: topics in geometric function theory, 1973.
- [3] M. Bonk, S. Merenkov, Quasisymmetric rigidity of square Sierpinski carpets, Preprint, February 2011, arXiv: 1102. 3224. To appear in Ann. of Math..
- [4] M. Bonk, Uniformization of Sierpiński carpets in the plane, *Invent. Math.* 186 (2011), 559-665.
- [5] M. Bonk, Quasiconformal geometry of fractals, Proceedings Internat. Congress Math. (Madrid, 2006), Europ. Math. SoC., Zrich, 2006, pp.1349–1373.
- [6] M. Bonk, B.Kleiner and S. Merenkov, Rigidity of Schottky sets, Amer. J. Math. 131 (2009), 409–443.
- [7] J. Heinonen, Lectures on analysis on metric spaces, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [8] J. Heinonen and P. Koskela, Quasiconformal maps in metric spaces with controlled geometry, Acta Math., 181 (1998), 1–61.
- [9] M. Kapovich and B. Kleiner, Hyperbolic groups with low-dimensional boundary, Ann. Sci. $École Norm. Sun. (4) 33 (2000), no. 5, 647-C669.$
- [10] LVO. Lehto and K. I. Virtanen, Quasiconformal mappings in the plane, Second edition. Springer, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [11] P. Tukia and J. Väisälä, Quasisymmetric embeddings of metric spaces, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. AI Math., 1980.
- [12] G. T. Whyburn, Topological characterization of the Sierpiński curve, Fund. Math. 45 (1958), 320–324.

Jinsong Zeng, School of Mathematics, Fudan University, 200433, Shanghai, P. R. **CHINA**

E-mail address: 10110180006@fudan.edu.cn

Weixu Su, School of Mathematics, Fudan University, 200433, Shanghai, P. R. **CHINA**

 $E-mail$ address: suweixu@gmail.com