# HOFER METRIC FROM THE CONTACT POINT OF VIEW

TOMASZ RYBICKI

ABSTRACT. Given a manifold M endowed with a contact 1-form  $\alpha$ , a biinvariant pseudo-metric  $\rho_{\alpha}$  is introduced on  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ , the compactly supported identity component of the group of all strict contactomorphisms of  $(M, \alpha)$ . For M open  $\rho_{\alpha}$  is a metric. If  $(M, \alpha)$  is the total space of a prequantization bundle of an integral closed symplectic manifold  $(N, \omega)$ with simply-connected Hamiltonian group  $\operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$ , the existence of  $\varphi \in$  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  such that  $\rho_{\alpha}(\operatorname{id}, \varphi) > 0$  is shown by elementary methods. In view of the simplicity theorem on  $\operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$  it follows an alternative, elementary and natural, proof of the non-degeneracy of the Hofer metric  $\rho_H$  on  $\operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$ . The proof amounts to showing an estimate from below on  $\rho_H$ , which cannot be derived from the energy-capacity inequality. It follows the unboundedness of  $\rho_H$  on  $\operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$ . Analogous results for the contactisation  $(M, \alpha)$  of an exact open symplectic manifold  $(N, \omega)$  (with no assumption on  $\operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$ ) are also obtained.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(M, \xi = \ker(\alpha))$  be a co-oriented contact manifold, i.e. M is a  $C^{\infty}$ smooth paracompact manifold of dimension 2n + 1, and  $\alpha$  is a  $C^{\infty}$  1-form on M such that  $\nu_{\alpha} = \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n$  is a volume form. A contactomorphism fof  $(M, \alpha)$  is a  $C^{\infty}$  diffeomorphism of M such that  $f^*\alpha = \lambda_f \alpha$ , where  $\lambda_f$  is a smooth nowhere vanishing function on M depending on f and  $\alpha$ . In other words, f preserves the contact distribution  $\xi$ . Next, a contactomorphism f is called *strict* if  $\lambda_f$  is equal to 1 on M.

Let  $\operatorname{Cont}(M,\xi)$  denote the compactly supported identity component of the group of all contactomorphisms of  $(M,\xi)$ . In view of [18]  $\operatorname{Cont}(M,\xi)$  is a simple group. We shall deal mainly with contact manifolds  $(M,\alpha)$  in the narrow sense. The symbol  $\operatorname{Cont}(M,\alpha)$  stands for the totality of strict contactomorphisms of  $(M,\alpha)$  which can be joined to the identity by a compactly supported isotopy consisting of strict contactomorphisms. Note that the group  $\operatorname{Cont}(M,\alpha)$  is neither simple nor a normal subgroup of  $\operatorname{Cont}(M,\xi)$ .

Date: November 15, 2013.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 53D10, 57R17, 22E65.

Key words and phrases. Contactomorphism, strict contactomorphism, group of contactomorphisms, Hamiltonian group, Hofer metric, non-degeneracy, quantomorphism group, unbounded group.

The Hofer metric  $\varrho_H$  is a bi-invariant metric on the group of compactly supported Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms  $\operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$  of a symplectic manifold  $(N, \omega)$ . Hofer geometry constitutes a basic tool in symplectic topology (see [9], [12], [13], [17]). In attempt to extend methods of Hofer geometry to the contact case Banyaga and Donato in [2] introduced a bi-invariant metric  $\varrho_{BD}$  on  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  for a very special type of  $(M, \alpha)$ . Recently, Müller and Spaeth in [15] generalized this definition for all contact manifolds  $(M, \alpha)$ . In this paper we deal with a bi-invariant pseudo-metric  $\varrho_{\alpha}$  on  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ . For M open  $\varrho_{\alpha}$ is a metric. It is then equivalent to the Banyaga-Donato metric (cf. (5.3)) but very likely different from it. For M open the non-degeneracy of  $\varrho_{\alpha}$  is shown by making use of the energy-capacity inequality for contact manifolds [15].

For any  $F \in C_c^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$  denote

(1.1) 
$$|| F ||_{\infty} = \max_{p \in M} F(p) - \min_{p \in M} F(p)$$

**Theorem 1.1.** Given any contact manifold  $(M, \alpha)$  and a compactly supported vector field X on M, denote

 $||X||_{\alpha} = ||\alpha(X)||_{\infty}.$ 

Then the function  $\varrho_{\alpha}$ : Cont $(M, \alpha) \times$  Cont $(M, \alpha) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , given by

 $\varrho_{\alpha}(\varphi,\psi) = \inf\{l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) | \{f_t\} \text{ lies in } \operatorname{Cont}(M,\alpha) \text{ with } f_0 = \varphi, f_1 = \psi\}$ 

for any  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ , is a bi-invariant pseudo-metric on the group  $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ . Here  $l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$  is the length of an isotopy  $\{f_t\}$  in  $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ . Moreover,  $\varrho_{\alpha}$  is a metric if and only if M is open.

From now on we shall consider contact manifolds satisfying the assumptions (1) or (2) below.

Assumption 1.2. (1)  $(M, \alpha)$  is the total space of a prequantization bundle of an integral closed symplectic manifold  $(N, \omega)$ ;

(2)  $(M, \alpha)$  is the contactisation of an exact open symplectic manifold  $(N, \omega)$ .

Denote by  $\pi: M \to N$  a prequantization bundle. Then one defines a homomorphism

 $q: \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \to \operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$ 

which is an isomorphism in the case (2), and which appears in the exact sequence of groups

(1.2) 
$$\{1\} \to \mathbb{S}^1 \to \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \xrightarrow{q} \operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega) \to \{1\},\$$

in the case (1), that is in (1)  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  is a central extension of  $\operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$  (see section 6). The relation between the pseudo-metric  $\rho_{\alpha}$  and the Hofer metric  $\rho_{H}$  is the following.

**Proposition 1.3.** For every  $f \in Cont(M, \alpha)$  we have  $\varrho_{\alpha}(id, f) = \varrho_H(id, q(f))$ .

Our next aim is to show some part of Theorem 1.1 without appealing to hard symplectic methods. This part is formulated as the following

- Claim 1.4. (1) If  $(M, \alpha)$  satisfies 1.2(1) and Ham $(N, \omega)$  is simply connected, then there is  $\varphi \in Cont(M, \alpha)$  such that  $\varrho_{\alpha}(id, \varphi) > 0$ .
  - (2) In the case 1.2(2) there is  $\psi \in [Cont(M, \alpha), Cont(M, \alpha)]$  such that  $\varrho_{\alpha}(id, \psi) > 0.$

A straightforward consequence of Claim 1.4 and of the classical simplicity theorem on the Hamiltonian symplectomorphism group due to Banyaga [1] (Theorem 6.5) is an elementary and natural proof of the non-degeneracy of the Hofer metric  $\rho_H$  for Ham $(N, \omega)$ , whenever the assumptions of Claim 1.4 are satisfied. This is a striking enough phenomenon, bearing in mind infinite variational methods or hard symplectic methods in the classical proofs, see [9], [16], [11], [13] and [17]. The proof of Claim 1.4 constitutes an example of the influence of the structure of strict contactomorphism group (i.e. the quantomorphism group) on symplectic topology. Up to now only the opposite influence has been known, e.g. in the paper [5] by Eliashberg and Polterovich, or in [15], the contactomorphism group has been investigated by symplectic methods. Further investigations of the properties of  $\rho_{\alpha}$  might be of interest both in contact and symplectic topology. Namely, the fact that the nondegeneracy of the Hofer metric on Ham $(N, \omega)$  is encoded in the related contact structure seems to reveal new perspectives in contact topology.

The proof of Claim 1.4 amounts to showing some estimates from below on  $\rho_H(\mathrm{id}, q(\varphi))$  and  $\rho_H(\mathrm{id}, q(\psi))$ , where  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are determined by smooth bump functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . It follows that the metrics  $\rho_{\alpha}$  and  $\rho_H$  on the groups in question (the case 1.4(1)) or on their commutator subgroups (the case 1.4(2)) are unbounded.

The first homotopy group of  $\operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$  is known only in a few cases (cf. [17], p.62). For instance,  $\pi_1(\operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)) = 0$  if  $(N, \omega)$  is a closed oriented surface with genus  $\geq 1$ . If  $\pi_1(\operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)) = 0$  we will obtain the required estimate in the case (1). In order to formulate a theorem in this case we need a definition of  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ .

Namely, for A, a, b > 0 choose a smooth bump function

$$\mu^{A} = \mu^{A}_{a,b} : [-(a+b), a+b] \to [0, A]$$

such that  $\operatorname{supp}(\mu^A) \subset (-(a+b), a+b), \ \mu^A = A$  on [-a, a], with "typical" properties (see section 8.2). For all  $p = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  we define

$$F_{a,b}^{A}(p) := \mu^{\bar{A}}(x_{1}) \cdots \mu^{\bar{A}}(x_{n}) \mu^{\bar{A}}(y_{1}) \cdots \mu^{\bar{A}}(y_{n}) \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{A} = A^{\frac{1}{2n}}.$$

Let  $\varphi = \varphi_{a,b}^A$  be the time-one map of  $F_{a,b}^A$ . It follows that  $q(\varphi) \in \operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$  is also the time-one map of  $F_{a,b}^A$ . We set

$$B = (-a, a)^{2n}, \quad V = (-(a+b), a+b)^{2n}.$$

**Theorem 1.5.** Under the assumption of Claim 1.4(1), let  $\varphi \in Cont(M, \alpha)$  be as above. Then

(1.3) 
$$\frac{A\operatorname{vol}(B)}{Cr\operatorname{vol}(V)} \le \varrho_{\alpha}(\operatorname{id},\varphi) = \varrho_{H}(\operatorname{id},q(\varphi)),$$

where C is a universal constant depending on a fragmentation procedure in  $Cont(M, \alpha)$  (Lemma 3.5), and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  depends on  $(M, \alpha)$ .

Recall that a group G is called *unbounded* if it carries a bi-invariant metric which is unbounded. Otherwise it is called *bounded*.

**Corollary 1.6.** Let  $(M, \alpha)$  and  $(N, \omega)$  be as in Theorem 1.5. Then the pseudometric  $\rho_{\alpha}$  on  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  and the Hofer metric  $\rho_H$  on  $\operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$  are unbounded. Consequently, the group  $\operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$  is unbounded.

Indeed, it suffices to take A tending to  $\infty$ .

In the case (2) we are able to omit the assumption  $\pi_1(\operatorname{Ham}(N,\omega)) = 0$ . To formulate a theorem in this case we need a definition of  $\psi$  belonging to the commutator subgroup of  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ . Moreover, if we maintain the assumption  $\pi_1(\operatorname{Ham}(N,\omega)) = 0$  then we will obtain a surprisingly simple estimation of  $\varrho_H(\operatorname{id}, \psi)$  (cf. (1.5) below).

Under the above notation, for A, a, b, c > 0 we define

$$F_{a,b,c}^{A}(p) := F_{a,b}^{A}(\tau_{c}^{(x_{1})}(p)) - F_{a,b}^{A}(\tau_{-c}^{(x_{1})}(p)),$$

where  $\tau^{(x_1)}$  is the translation along the  $x_1$ -axis. Assume that c > a + b and set

 $U = (-(a+b+c), a+b+c) \times (-(a+b), a+b)^{2n-1}.$ 

In addition, assume that U is a canonical chart domain. Let  $\psi = \psi_{a,b,c}^A \in \text{Cont}(M,\alpha)$  be the time-one map of  $F_{a,b,c}^A$ . Then  $q(\psi) \in \text{Ham}(N,\omega)$  is also the time-one map of  $F_{a,b,c}^A$ . Moreover,  $\psi$  and  $q(\psi)$  belong to the commutator subgroup of  $\text{Cont}(M,\alpha)$  and  $\text{Ham}(N,\omega)$ , resp.

**Theorem 1.7.** (1) If Assumption 1.2(2) is satisfied then

(1.4) 
$$\frac{2A\operatorname{vol}(B)}{(n+1)\operatorname{vol}(U)} \le \varrho_{\alpha}(\operatorname{id},\psi) = \varrho_{H}(\operatorname{id},q(\psi)).$$

(2) If, in addition,  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \cong \operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$  is simply connected, then

(1.5) 
$$A \le \varrho_{\alpha}(\mathrm{id}, \psi) = \varrho_{H}(\mathrm{id}, q(\psi)).$$

E.g., (1.5) is satisfied for  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_{st})$  with n = 1 or 2 (see [17]).

Observe that, in general, the inequalities (1.3), (1.4) or (1.5) are not a consequence of the energy-capacity inequality. In fact, we can take A large enough without changing the support of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ .

It is an immediate consequence of the surjectivity of the Calabi homomorphism Cal :  $\operatorname{Ham}(N,\omega) \to \mathbb{R}$  that the Hofer metric on  $\operatorname{Ham}(N,\omega)$  is unbounded, whenever  $(N,\omega)$  is exact and  $\operatorname{vol}(N) < \infty$ . We strengthen this fact as follows.

**Corollary 1.8.** Let  $(N, \omega)$  be an arbitrary exact open symplectic manifold, and  $(M, \alpha)$  be its contactisation. The metric  $\varrho_{\alpha}$  on  $Cont(M, \alpha)$  and the Hofer metric  $\varrho_H$  on  $Ham(N, \omega)$  restricted to the corresponding commutator subgroups are unbounded. Consequently, the group ker(Cal) is unbounded.

In fact,  $\operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{Cal}) = [\operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega), \operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)], \operatorname{cf.}[1].$ 

There are some results related to Corollaries 1.6 and 1.8. For instance, Sikorav proved in [20] that the Hofer metric on the group  $\operatorname{Ham}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_{st})$  is unbounded, but it is not stably unbounded. The unboundedness of  $\varrho_H$  is established for surfaces, complex projective spaces with the Fubini-Study symplectic form and closed manifolds with  $\pi_2 = 0$ , see [3] and references therein. Recently, in the papers [14] by McDuff and [23] by Usher the problem of the unboundedness of the Hofer metric has been solved in the affirmative for some symplectic manifolds by employing hard symplectic methods.

Notice that contrary to Corollaries 1.6 and 1.8 the identity components of most of diffeomorphism groups are bounded (see [3], [19]), but it is still not known if it is the case of all manifolds. In the contact category several result concerning the (un)boundedness of  $\text{Cont}(M,\xi)$  and its universal covering group have recently been proved by Colin and Sandon [4], and Fraser, Polterovich and Rosen [7].

Acknowledgements. A part of this paper was written when I visited Laboratoire Jean Leray, Université de Nantes, in March 2012. I would like to thank for the invitation and hospitality. I express my gratitude to François Laudenbach and Vincent Colin for helpful discussions and critical comments on an earlier version of the paper. Also I would like to thank Yasha Eliashberg for calling to my attention the reference [15]. Finally I express my gratitude to the referee for pointing out essential mistakes in the previous version.

## 2. The Hofer Metric

First we recall the definition of the Hofer metric, c.f. [9], [12]. Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold. Recall that there is a one-to-one correspondence between isotopies  $\{f_t\}$  in Ham $(M, \omega)$  and smooth families of functions  $H_t$  (up

to constant) in  $C_c^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$  given by

$$i_{X_t}\omega = \mathrm{d}\,H_t,$$

where  $X_t = \dot{f}_t$  is defined by  $\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} \circ f_t^{-1}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{ham}(M, \omega)$  be the Lie algebra of  $\operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)$ , i.e. the totality of compactly supported Hamiltonian vector fields on  $(M, \omega)$ . Then for  $X \in \mathfrak{ham}(M, \omega)$  we set by using the norm (1.1)

(2.1) 
$$||X||_{\infty} = ||H_X||_{\infty} = \max_{p \in M} H_X(p) - \min_{p \in M} H_X(p),$$

where  $H_X \in C_c^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $i_X \omega = d H_X$  ( $\| \cdot \|_{\infty}$  is a norm on  $\mathfrak{ham}(M, \omega)$  even if M compact). Observe that

$$(2.2) || H \circ \varphi ||_{\infty} = || H ||_{\infty}$$

for all  $H \in C_c^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Ham}(M, \omega)$ , that is the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  is invariant w.r.t. the adjoint action of  $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$  on  $\mathfrak{ham}(M, \omega)$ . Now the *Hofer length* of a Hamiltonian isotopy  $\{f_t\}$  is defined as

(2.3) 
$$l_H(\{f_t\}) = \int_0^1 \|\dot{f}_t\|_{\infty} dt.$$

The Hofer norm (or the Hofer energy) is then defined for  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)$  by

(2.4) 
$$E_H(\varphi) = \inf_{\{f_t\}} (l_H(\{f_t\})),$$

where  $\{f_t\}$  runs over all Hamiltonian isotopies joining id to  $\varphi$ .

Let G be a group. For a function  $\nu : G \to [0, \infty)$  such that  $\nu(e) = 0$  consider the following conditions. For any  $g, h \in G$ 

(1)  $\nu(g^{-1}) = \nu(g);$ (2)  $\nu(gh) \le \nu(g) + \nu(h);$ (3)  $\nu(g) > 0$  if and only if  $g \ne e;$ (4)  $\nu(hgh^{-1}) = \nu(g).$ 

Then  $\nu$  is called a *pseudo-norm* (resp. *norm*) if (1)-(2) (resp. (1)-(3)) are fulfilled. If (3) is not satisfied,  $\nu$  is called *degenerate*. Next,  $\nu$  is *conjugation-invariant* if (4) is satisfied. In view of Lemma 3.1 below and (2.2) it is easily seen that  $E_H$  is a conjugation-invariant pseudo-norm.

The following theorem was proved by Hofer in [8] for  $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . It was generalized for some other symplectic manifolds by Polterovich in [16]. Finally, the proof for all symplectic manifolds was given by Lalonde and McDuff in [11]. In all three proofs the Hofer's idea of displacement energy and hard symplectic methods are in use.

**Theorem 2.1.**  $E_H$ : Ham $(M, \omega) \to [0, \infty)$  is a norm. Consequently,  $\varrho_H(\varphi, \psi) := E_H(\varphi \psi^{-1})$  is a bi-invariant metric, called the Hofer metric.

The Hofer metric plays a crucial role in symplectic topology and various important notions and facts are expressed in terms of it (see, e.g., [9], [12], [13], [17]). The original proof of its non-degeneracy for  $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is based on the action principle and a crucial role in it is played by the action spectrum. The Hofer metric is intimately related, on the one hand, to a capacity  $c_0$  (c.f. [9]) and hence to periodic orbits, and on the other hand to the displacement energy.

#### 3. The groups of contactomorphisms

For a smooth manifold M by Diff(M) we denote the compactly supported identity component of the group of all diffeomorphisms on M. Let  $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$ and  $\{f_t\}, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , be a compactly supported smooth isotopy such that  $f_1 = f, f_0 = \text{id}$ . Then  $\{f_t\}$  determines a smooth family of vector fields  $\{\dot{f}_t\}$  in  $\mathfrak{X}_c(M)$ , the Lie algebra of all compactly supported vector fields on M. Namely for all  $p \in M$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

(3.1) 
$$\dot{f}_t(p) = \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} (f_t^{-1}(p)).$$

Moreover, there is the one-to-one correspondence between

$$\{f_t\} \in \mathcal{C}_e^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \operatorname{Diff}(M)) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \to \operatorname{Diff}(M) | f(0) = \operatorname{id}\}$$

and  $\{\dot{f}_t\} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{X}_c(M))$ , see, e.g., [10] for more details. In particular, a time-independent vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}_c(M)$  corresponds to its flow  $\mathrm{Fl}^X \in C_e^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{Diff}(M))$ .

Likewise, for any  $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$  the space  $C^{\infty}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}, \text{Diff}(M)) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Diff}(M) | f(0) = \varphi\}$  identifies with  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{X}_{c}(M))$  by  $\{f_{t}\} \mapsto \{f_{t} \circ \varphi^{-1}\}$ . The following is easy to check.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\{f_t\}, \{g_t\} \in C_e^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \text{Diff}(M))$  and  $\phi \in \text{Diff}(M)$ . Then:

(1) 
$$\widehat{f_t g_t} = \dot{f}_t + (f_t)_*(\dot{g}_t).$$
  
(2)  $\overbrace{f_t}^{-1} = -(f_t^{-1})_*(\dot{f}_t).$   
(3)  $\overbrace{\phi f_t \phi^{-1}}^{-1} = \phi_*(\dot{f}_t).$ 

Let  $(M, \xi = \ker(\alpha))$  be a co-oriented contact manifold with  $\dim(M) = 2n+1$ . The contact form  $\alpha$  can be put into the following normal form. For any  $p \in M$  there is a chart  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n, z) : M \supset U \to u(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ , centered at p, such that  $\alpha|_U = \mathrm{d} z - y_1 \mathrm{d} x_1 - \ldots - y_n \mathrm{d} x_n$ .

The symbol  $\operatorname{cont}(M,\xi)$  will stand for the Lie algebra of all contact vector fields, i.e.  $X \in \operatorname{cont}(M,\xi)$  iff  $L_X \alpha = \mu_X \alpha$  for some function  $\mu_X \in C^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R})$ , where L is the Lie derivative. This definition is independent of  $\alpha$ , though  $\mu_X$  depends on  $\alpha$ . Let  $\operatorname{cont}_c(M, \xi)$  be the Lie subalgebra of compactly supported elements of  $\operatorname{cont}(M, \xi)$ . Then  $\operatorname{cont}_c(M, \xi)$  is the Lie algebra of the Lie group  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \xi)$  (c.f. [10]) and in view of (3.1) we get the bijection

$$\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{e}(\mathbb{R}, \operatorname{Cont}(M, \xi)) \ni \{f_t\} \mapsto \{\dot{f}_t\} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{cont}_c(M, \xi)).$$

Set I = [0, 1]. For a Lie group G and  $g, h \in G$  we introduce the notation  $\mathcal{J}G = C^{\infty}(I, G)$  and

$$\mathcal{J}_g G = \{ f \in \mathcal{J}G | f(0) = g \}, \quad \mathcal{J}_g^h G = \{ f \in \mathcal{J}G | f(0) = g, f(1) = h \}$$

for the isotopy groups of G.

Then the above bijection induces the bijection for I (as well as for any interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  with some initial condition fixed)

(3.2) 
$$\mathcal{J}_{id}\operatorname{Cont}(M,\xi) \to \mathcal{J}\operatorname{cont}_c(M,\xi).$$

In particular, one has  $L_{X_t}\alpha = \mu_{X_t}\alpha$  with  $\mu_{X_t} = (\partial \ln \lambda_{f_t}/\partial t) \circ f_t^{-1}$  where  $f_t^*\alpha = \lambda_{f_t}\alpha$  and  $X_t = \dot{f}_t$ . Next we define  $\operatorname{cont}_c(M, \alpha) = \{X \in \operatorname{cont}_c(M, \xi) : \mu_X = 0\}$ , and the bijection

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{id}}\operatorname{Cont}(M,\alpha) \to \mathcal{J}\operatorname{cont}_c(M,\alpha).$$

Let  $R_{\alpha}$  denote the unique vector field satisfying  $i_{R_{\alpha}}\alpha = 1$  and  $i_{R_{\alpha}} d\alpha = 0$ , where  $i_X$  is the interior product w.r.t. X.  $R_{\alpha}$  is called the *Reeb vector field*. Clearly  $R_{\alpha} \in \operatorname{cont}(M, \alpha)$ . A vector field X is called *horizontal* if  $i_X \alpha = 0$ . A dual concept is a *semibasic* form, i.e. any 1-form  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma(R_{\alpha}) = 0$ . We have the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear isomorphism

$$I_{d\alpha}: \mathfrak{X}(M) \ni X \mapsto i_X \, \mathrm{d} \, \alpha + \alpha(X) \alpha \in \mathfrak{F}^1(M)$$

between the space of vector fields  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  and the space of 1-forms  $\mathfrak{F}^1(M)$ . The isomorphism  $I_{d\alpha}$  preserves the duality, that is

(3.3) 
$$X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$$
 is horizontal  $\iff I_{d\alpha}(X)$  is semi-basic.

Given any function  $H \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ , we get a semi-basic 1-form

$$\theta_H = \mathrm{d} \, H(R_\alpha) \alpha - \mathrm{d} \, H.$$

It defines uniquely a horizontal vector field  $Y_H$  such that

(3.4) 
$$i_{Y_H} d\alpha = dH(R_\alpha)\alpha - dH.$$

As a consequence we have the existence of the following isomorphism  $I_{\alpha}$ , an important tool in contact geometry.

**Proposition 3.2.** There is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear isomorphism

$$I_{\alpha} : \operatorname{cont}(M,\xi) \ni X \mapsto i_X \alpha = \alpha(X) \in \mathrm{C}^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R}).$$

In particular,  $C_c^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$  is identified with  $\operatorname{cont}_c(M, \xi)$  by means of  $\alpha$ .

For  $H \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$  we have

(3.5) 
$$I_{\alpha}^{-1}(H) = HR_{\alpha} + I_{d\alpha}^{-1}((i_{R_{\alpha}} d H)\alpha - d H) = HR_{\alpha} + Y_{H}.$$

Set  $X_H = I_{\alpha}^{-1}(H)$ . Notice that, in view of (3.3), (3.4) and the Cartan formula, (3.6)  $L_{X_H} \alpha = (\mathrm{d} H(R_{\alpha})) \alpha$ ,

that is  $X_H$  is indeed an element of  $\operatorname{cont}(M, \xi)$ . It follows that any *basic* function  $H \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ , i.e. a function invariant under the Reeb flow, gives rise to a horizontal vector field  $Y_H$  given by

In view of (3.2) and Prop. 3.2 we get the bijective correspondence

(3.8) 
$$\Psi_{\alpha}: \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{id}}\operatorname{Cont}(M,\xi) \ni \{f_t\} \mapsto \Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) \in \mathrm{C}_c^{\infty}(I \times M, \mathbb{R}).$$

Furthermore, denote by  $C_b^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$  the space of compactly supported basic functions on M. Hence in view of (3.6) there is a one-to-one correspondence

(3.9) 
$$\Psi_{\alpha}: \mathcal{J}_{id} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \ni \{f_t\} \mapsto \Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) \in \mathcal{C}_b^{\infty}(I \times M, \mathbb{R}).$$

Now from Lemma 3.1 we derive the following

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\Psi_{\alpha}$  be as in (3.8),  $\{f_t\}, \{g_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{id} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \xi)$  and  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Cont}(M, \xi)$ . Then:

 $\begin{aligned} (1) \ \Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}g_{t}\}) &= \Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\}) + (\lambda_{f_{t}} \cdot \Psi_{\alpha}(\{g_{t}\})) \circ f_{t}^{-1}; \\ (2) \ \Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}^{-1}\}) &= -\lambda_{f_{t}}^{-1} \cdot (\Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\}) \circ f_{t}); \\ (3) \ \Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}^{-1}g_{t}\}) &= \lambda_{f_{t}}^{-1} \cdot ((\Psi_{\alpha}(\{g_{t}\}) - \Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\})) \circ f_{t}); \\ (4) \ \Psi_{\alpha}(\{\varphi f_{t}\varphi^{-1}\}) &= \lambda_{\varphi} \cdot (\Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\}) \circ \varphi^{-1}). \end{aligned}$ 

We will deal with the standard contact form  $\alpha_{st} = dz - \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i dx_i$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  or  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ . Then we have  $R_{\alpha_{st}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$  and  $d\alpha_{st} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} dx_i \wedge dy_i$ . Observe that  $\xi_{st} = \ker(\alpha_{st})$  is generated by  $Y_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$  and  $X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ , where  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

Next it is easily seen that  $I_{d\alpha_{st}}(Y_i) = -d x_i$  and  $I_{d\alpha_{st}}(X_i) = d y_i$ . For every  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \alpha_{st})$  we have  $i_X \alpha_{st} \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \mathbb{R})$  by

(3.10) 
$$i_X \alpha_{st} = u_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i u_i$$
 if  $X = u_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + u_{n+i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$ .

Conversely, in view of (3.5) and the above equalities, we have

$$(3.11) \quad X_H = \left(H - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_i}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} + y_i \frac{\partial H}{\partial z}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i},$$

for all  $H \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \mathbb{R})$ . Indeed, it is easily checked that  $\alpha(X_H) = H$  and  $L_{X_H}\alpha = \frac{\partial H}{\partial z}\alpha$ . These equalities imply (3.11).

Throughout we will write x instead of  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  and y instead of  $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ . We specify some elements in  $\text{Cont}(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{S}^1, \alpha_{st})$ , where  $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ :

- (1) The translations  $\tau_t^{(z)}: (x, y, z) \to (x, y, z+t)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Here *H* is the constant function 1 and  $X_{H_0} = R_{\alpha_{st}}$ .
- (2) The translations  $\tau_t^{(x_i)} : (x, y, z) \to (x + t\mathbf{1}_i, y, z), \ i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $H(x, y, z) = -y_i$  and  $X_H = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ .
- (3) The pseudo-translations  $\tau_t^{(y_i)} : (x, y, z) \to (x, y + t\mathbf{1}_i, z + tx_i)$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Here  $H(x, y, z) = x_i$  and  $X_H = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} + x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ .

Observe that the maps  $\tau_t^{(y_i)}$  from (3) cannot be "replaced" by the translations along the  $y_i$  axes, since such translations are not contactomorphisms as they do not preserve the contact distribution  $\xi$ .

# **Proposition 3.4.** (1) If a diffeomorphism f of $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is a contactomorphism, where $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_{2n}, f_{2n+1})$ w.r.t. the coordinates (x, y, z), then we have

$$\frac{\partial f_{2n+1}}{\partial z} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{n+j} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial z} = \lambda_f,$$
  
$$\frac{\partial f_{2n+1}}{\partial x_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{n+j} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} = -y_i \lambda_f, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$
  
$$\frac{\partial f_{2n+1}}{\partial y_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{n+j} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial y_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In particular, if f is independent of z then  $\lambda_f = 1$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ .

(2) Given a contact manifold  $(M, \alpha)$ , if there is a nonconstant  $H \in C_c^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ independent of z, then the group  $Cont(M, \alpha)$  is non-trivial.

*Proof.* (1) follows from the coordinate expression for f, see Prop. 2.2 in [18].

Next, (2) is a consequence of (1) and (3.5). Namely, take elements of the flow  $\operatorname{Fl}^{X_H}$ , where *H* is independent of *z*.

Let  $\pi : (M, \alpha) \to (N, \omega)$  be a prequantization bundle. For a subset  $W \subset N$ let  $\operatorname{Cont}_W(M, \alpha)$  stand for the totality of  $f \in \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  such that there exists an isotopy  $\{f_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{\operatorname{id}}^f \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  compactly supported in  $W \times \mathbb{S}^1$ . In the sequel we shall need the fragmentation lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $(M, \alpha)$  and  $(N, \omega)$  satisfy assumption 1.2(1). Suppose  $V_1, \ldots, V_r$ is an open covering of N such that the bundle  $\pi$  trivializes over each  $V_i$ . Then there is a  $C^1$  neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of {id} in  $\mathcal{J}_{id} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  and maps preserving the identity

$$P_i: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{id}} \operatorname{Cont}_{V_i}(M, \alpha), \quad i = 1, \dots, r,$$

such that

$${f_t} = P_r({f_t}) \dots P_1({f_t})$$

for any  $\{f_t\} \in \mathcal{U}$ . Moreover, there is a universal constant C > 0, depending only on  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ , such that for all i

$$l_{\alpha}(P_i(\{f_t\})) \le Cl_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}).$$

The proof follows from Prop. 3.2 and is well-known (e.g. [18]).

# 4. The pseudo-metric $\rho_{\alpha}$

Let  $(M, \xi = \ker(\alpha))$  be a (co-oriented) contact manifold. We shall deal with the pseudo-metric  $\rho_{\alpha}$  on  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ .

In view of Prop. 3.2 it is obvious that  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$  given by

$$(4.1) || X ||_{\alpha} = || \alpha(X) ||_{\infty}$$

is a pseudo-norm on  $\operatorname{cont}_c(M,\xi)$ . If M is open then for  $X \in \operatorname{cont}_c(M,\xi)$  we have  $\max_{p \in M} |\alpha(X)(p)| \leq ||X||_{\alpha}$ . That is,  $||\cdot||_{\alpha}$  is a norm for M open. Contrary to the Hamiltonian case,  $||\cdot||_{\alpha}$  is not a norm if M is closed. In fact, the Reeb vector field  $R_{\alpha}$  belongs to  $\operatorname{cont}_c(M,\alpha)$  and clearly  $||R_{\alpha}||_{\alpha} = 0$ . Next  $\varrho_{\alpha}$  possesses the invariance property, similar to (2.2).

**Proposition 4.1.** For any  $X \in cont(M,\xi)$  and  $\varphi \in Cont(M,\alpha)$  we have  $\|\operatorname{Ad}_{\varphi}(X)\|_{\alpha} = \|X\|_{\alpha}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from the equality  $\alpha(\varphi_*X) = \varphi^*\alpha(X) \circ \varphi^{-1} = (\lambda_{\varphi}\alpha(X)) \circ \varphi^{-1} = \alpha(X) \circ \varphi^{-1}$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  and  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ .

For a contact isotopy  $\{f_t\}, t \in I$ , in  $Cont(M, \xi)$  we introduce the notion of *contact length* by

(4.2) 
$$l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) = \int_0^1 \|\dot{f}_t\|_{\alpha} dt = \int_0^1 \|F_t\|_{\infty} dt,$$

where  $F \in C_c^{\infty}(I \times M, \mathbb{R})$  corresponds to  $\{f_t\}$  and  $F_t = F(t, \cdot)$ . Here we do not assume that  $f_0 = \text{id}$  and we have the equality

(4.3) 
$$l_{\alpha}(\{f_{1-t}\}) = l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}),$$

and the right-invariance of  $l_{\alpha}$ 

(4.4) 
$$\forall \varphi \in \operatorname{Cont}(M,\xi), \quad l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\varphi\}) = l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}).$$

**Lemma 4.2.** If  $\sigma : [a, b] \to I$  be a smooth non-decreasing surjection then for any isotopy  $\{f_t\}$  in  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \xi)$  one has  $l_{\alpha}(\{f_{\sigma(t)}\}_{a \leq t \leq b}) = l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$ . *Proof.* Suppose  $F \in C_c^{\infty}(I \times M, \mathbb{R})$  corresponds by (3.8) to  $\{f_t\}$  and  $F^{\sigma} \in$  $C_c^{\infty}(I \times M, \mathbb{R})$  corresponds to  $\{f_{\sigma(t)}\}$ . Then we have

$$F^{\sigma}(t,x) = \alpha_x(\dot{f}_{\sigma(t)}(x)) = \alpha_x \left( \sigma'(t) \frac{\partial f_{\tau}}{\partial \tau}|_{\tau=\sigma(t)} (f_{\sigma(t)}^{-1}(x)) \right)$$
$$= \sigma'(t)\alpha_x(\dot{f}_{\sigma(t)}(x)) = \sigma'(t)F(\sigma(t),x).$$

It follows that

$$l_{\alpha}(\{f_{\sigma(t)}\}) = \int_{a}^{b} || F_{t}^{\sigma} ||_{\infty} dt = \int_{a}^{b} \sigma'(t) || F_{\sigma(t)} ||_{\infty} dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} || F_{t} ||_{\infty} dt = l_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\}),$$

as required.

Note that for any 0 < s < 1 one has

(4.5) 
$$l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}_{t \in I}) = l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}_{0 \le t \le s}) + l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}_{s \le t \le 1}).$$

As a consequence of the above facts we get

**Proposition 4.3.** For all isotopies  $\{f_t\}, \{g_t\}$  in  $Cont(M, \xi)$  (resp.  $Cont(M, \alpha)$ ) we have:

- (1) For any  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$  there exists an isotopy  $\{\bar{f}_t\}$  in  $Cont(M,\xi)$  (resp. Cont $(M, \alpha)$  with  $\bar{l}_{\alpha}(\{\bar{f}_t\}) = l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$  such that  $f_t = f_0$  for  $|t| \leq \delta$  and  $f_t = f_1 \text{ for } |1 - t| \le \delta.$
- (2) There is  $\{\tilde{f}_t\}$  in  $\operatorname{Cont}(M,\xi)$  (resp.  $\operatorname{Cont}(M,\alpha)$ ) such that  $\Psi_{\alpha}(\{\tilde{f}_t\})$  is 1-periodic in t,  $\tilde{f}_0 = f_0$ ,  $\tilde{f}_1 = f_1$ , and  $l_{\alpha}(\{\tilde{f}_t\}) = l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$ .
- (3)  $l_{\alpha}(\{\bar{f}_t\} \star \{\bar{g}_t\}) = l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) + l_{\alpha}(\{g_t\}), \text{ where } \{\bar{f}_t\}, \{\bar{g}_t\} \text{ are as in } (1),$ and  $\{\bar{f}_t\} \star \{\bar{g}_t\}$  is their concatenation.
- (4)  $l_{\alpha}(\lbrace f_t \rbrace \lbrace g_t \rbrace) \leq l_{\alpha}(\lbrace f_t \rbrace) + l_{\alpha}(\lbrace g_t \rbrace)$  whenever  $\lbrace f_t \rbrace, \lbrace g_t \rbrace \in \mathcal{J} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha).$ (5)  $\forall \varphi \in \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha), \quad l_{\alpha}(\lbrace \varphi f_t \varphi^{-1} \rbrace) = l_{\alpha}(\lbrace f_t \rbrace).$

*Proof.* In fact, (1) is a consequence of Lemma 4.2, and (2) and (3) follow from (1) and (4.5). Lemma 3.3 implies (4), and Prop. 4.1 and (4.4) yield (5). 

For  $\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  define

(4.6) 
$$\varrho_{\alpha}(\varphi,\psi) = \inf_{\{f_t\}} \{l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})\},$$

where  $\{f_t\}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\varphi} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ . Then, in view of Prop.4.3, (4.3) and (4.4)  $\rho_{\alpha}$  is a bi-invariant pseudo-metric on Cont $(M, \alpha)$ .

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 we shall need the energycapacity inequality in the contact case. For  $F \in C_c^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$  denote || F || := $\max_{p \in M} |F(p)|.$ 

**Theorem 4.4.** (Theorem 1.1 in [15]) Let  $(M, \xi = \ker(\alpha))$  is a contact manifold. Suppose that  $\phi \in \operatorname{Cont}(M, \xi)$  is the time-one map of  $H \in \operatorname{C}_c^{\infty}(I \times M, \mathbb{R})$  and that  $\phi$  displaces a ball. Then there exists a constant C > 0, independent of the contact Hamiltonian H, such that

(4.7) 
$$0 < Ce^{-\|h\|} \le \|H\|,$$

where  $h \in C_c^{\infty}(I \times M, \mathbb{R})$  is the conformal factor of the isotopy  $\{\phi_t\} = \Psi_{\alpha}^{-1}(H)$ , i.e.  $\phi_t^* \alpha = e^{h(t, \cdot)} \alpha$ .

Note that (4.7) may be written in this form because of the inequalities (5.3) below.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 We already know that  $\varrho_{\alpha}$  is a bi-invariant pseudometric on  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ . For M open the non-degeneracy follows from Theorem 4.4 since in the group  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) h(t, \cdot) = 0$  on M and from the inequality  $\| H \| \leq \| H \|_{\infty}$ . For M closed the elements of the flow of  $R_{\alpha}$  belong to  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  and  $\| R_{\alpha} \|_{\alpha} = 0$ .

## 5. Relation to Banyaga-Donato metric

Let us remark that Banyaga and Donato introduced in [2] a bi-invariant metric on  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ , where  $(M, \alpha)$  is a compact regular contact manifold satisfying some additional condition, by using the Hofer metric on the corresponding Hamiltonian group of the base of M. Namely, if  $(M, \alpha)$  is as above then the mapping  $c : \mathcal{J}_{id} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \to \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$c(\lbrace f_t \rbrace) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(M)} \int_M \left( \int_0^1 F_t(p) \, \mathrm{d}\, t \right) \nu_\alpha$$

induces an epimorphism  $\tilde{c} : Cont(M, \alpha) \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $F = \Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$  corresponds to  $\{f_t\}$  and  $Cont(M, \alpha)$  is the universal covering of  $Cont(M, \alpha)$ . Moreover, it is assumed in [2] that

$$\tilde{c}(\pi_1(\operatorname{Cont}(M,\alpha)) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now the contact length defined by Banyaga and Donato takes the form

(5.1) 
$$l_{BD}(\{f_t\}) = |c(f_t)| + \int_0^1 \|\dot{f}_t\|_{\infty} dt,$$

where  $\{f_t\}$  is an isotopy in  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  is given by (2.1). Next, the bi-invariant metric  $\rho_{BD}$  is defined by (4.6), where  $l_{\alpha}$  is replaced by  $l_{BD}$ .

Recently, Müller and Spaeth introduced in [15] the definition of the Banyaga-Donato metric for all contact manifolds (Theorem 1.2 in [15]) by using a length slightly different than  $l_{BD}$  given by (5.1). Namely, for  $F = \Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$  they defined

(5.2) 
$$l'_{BD}(\{f_t\}) = \int_0^1 (\|\dot{f}_t\|_{\infty} + |c(F_t)|) dt,$$

where c is the average value of a function, that is  $c(F) := \int_M F \nu_{\alpha}$ . However we have that the metrics  $\rho_{BD}$  defined by (5.1) and  $\rho'_{BD}$  defined by (5.2) coincide in view of Lemma 10.3, [15].

The non-degeneracy of  $\rho_{BD}$  was proven in [15] for all contact manifolds by applying the energy-capacity inequality for contact structures (Theorem 4.4). This inequality is obtained by means of the energy-capacity inequality in the symplectization of the contact manifold in question.

Since for M open and for all  $F \in C_c^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$  we have

(5.3) 
$$|| F || \le || F ||_{\infty} + |c(F)| \le 3 || F ||,$$

where  $|| F || = \max_{p \in M} |F(p)|$ , the metrics  $\rho_{\alpha}$  and  $\rho_{BD}$  are then equivalent. On the other hand, it is very likely that these metrics are different (though difficult to show).

In the sequel we shall not appeal to the metric  $\rho_{BD}$ .

## 6. Relation to Hofer Metric

In this section we shall see how the pseudo-metric  $\rho_{\alpha}$  is related to the Hofer metric  $\rho_{H}$ . Here  $(M, \alpha)$  and  $(N, \omega)$  fulfill Assumption 1.2.

Set  $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\pi : M \to N$  be a principal  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -bundle with the  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -action on M generated by the flow of a vector field R with period 1. Suppose that  $\alpha$ is a connection 1-form on this bundle, i.e.  $\alpha$  fulfills  $L_R \alpha = 0$  and  $i_R \alpha = 1$ . In view of the Cartan formula we get  $L_R(d\alpha) = 0$  and  $i_R d\alpha = 0$ . Consequently,  $d\alpha$  determines a 2-form  $\omega$  on N such that  $\pi^*\omega = d\alpha$ . Since  $\pi^*$  is injective, it follows from  $\pi^* d\omega = d^2 \alpha = 0$  that  $d\omega = 0$ . Then  $\omega$  is called the curvature form of  $\alpha$ .

The above situation is well-known and occurs in the following cases:

(1) Due to the first part of Boothby-Wang theorem (see, e.g., [6], 7.2.4), if  $(N, \omega)$  is a closed symplectic manifold with symplectic integral form  $\omega$  and  $\pi : M \to N$  is the principal S<sup>1</sup>-bundle with Euler class  $-[\omega] \in$  $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z})$ , then there exists a connection form  $\alpha$  on M such that  $\alpha$  is a regular contact form, the curvature form of  $\alpha$  is  $\omega$ , and the infinitesimal generator of the S<sup>1</sup>-action is  $R_{\alpha}$ . Recall that a nowhere vanishing vector field R on M is called *regular* if each point of M admits a flow box which meets at most once any integral curve of R. Next a contact form is *regular* if so is its Reeb vector field.

More generally, in view of the second part of Boothby-Wang theorem ([6], 7.2.5), if  $\alpha$  is a regular contact form on a closed manifold M then,

after rescaling it,  $\alpha$  is the connection form on a principal S<sup>1</sup>-bundle  $\pi: M \to N$  over a symplectic manifold  $(N, \omega)$ , with  $\omega$  the curvature form of  $\alpha$ . Then  $(M, \alpha)$  is called the prequantization of  $(N, \omega)$  and  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  is the group of quantomorphisms (c.f. Souriau [21]).

(2) If  $(N, \omega)$  is an open exact symplectic manifold with  $\omega = -d\theta$ , then we define a contact manifold  $(M, \xi = \ker(\alpha))$ , where  $M = N \times \mathbb{S}^1$ ,  $\alpha = dz - \theta$ , and z is the coordinate on  $\mathbb{S}^1$ .

In each case the contact distribution coincides with the horizontal distribution of the connection in  $\pi: M \to N$  determined by the form  $\alpha$ .

Next recall the definition of a homomorphism

(6.1) 
$$q: \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \to \operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega),$$

cf. [2]. Let  $\{f_t\}$  be an isotopy in  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  joining id to  $f = f_1$  and let  $F = \Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$  be the corresponding element of  $\operatorname{C}_b^{\infty}(I \times M, \mathbb{R})$  by (3.9). Then  $L_{f_t}\alpha = 0$  and the Cartan formula yields the equalities

$$i_{\dot{f}_t} d\alpha = -d(i_{\dot{f}_t}\alpha) = -dF_t.$$

Since  $F_t$  is basic there is a smooth family  $H_t$  in  $C_c^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R})$  given by

$$(6.2) -F_t = H_t \circ \pi$$

In view of (3.7) there is a unique smooth curve of vector fields  $Y_t = Y_{F_t}$  such that  $\alpha(Y_t) = 0$  and  $i_{Y_t} d\alpha = -d F_t$ , that is  $Y_t$  is the horizontal part of  $f_t$ . It is easily seen that  $Y_t$  coincides with the horizontal lift of  $X_t = X_{H_t}$  w.r.t. the connection  $\alpha$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** Under the above notation,  $\{f_t\}$  projects by  $\pi$  onto  $\{h_t\}$ , where  $\{h_t\} = \Psi_{\alpha}^{-1}(H)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $F_t$  is basic it preserves the one-dimensional foliation generated by the Reeb vector field  $R_{\alpha}$ . Hence  $f_t$  is projectable for all t. From the above considerations and (3.5) it is easily seen that  $f_t$  projects to  $h_t$ .

Then we define (6.1) by

(6.3) 
$$q(f) = h_1.$$

Due to (6.2), (6.3) and Lemma 4.2

(6.4) 
$$l_H(\{q(f_t)\}) = l_\alpha(\{f_t\}),$$

where  $l_H$  is given by (2.3).

**Lemma 6.2.** If f is a strict contactomorphism of  $(M, \alpha)$  preserving the fibres of q, i.e. q(f) = id, then  $f = \tau_c^{(z)}$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{S}^1$ .

Proof. It suffices to show the assertion on  $\hat{U} = U \times \mathbb{S}^1$  with canonical coordinates (x, y, z). Since  $f \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  it is independent of z and since f is fiber preserving, it takes the form  $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_{2n+1})$  with  $f_i(x, y, z) = x_i$ ,  $f_{n+i}(x, y, z) = y_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , and  $f_{2n+1}(x, y, z) = z + C(x, y)$  for a smooth function C. Consequently, from Prop. 3.4 it follows that  $C_{x_i} = C_{y_i} = 0$  for all i. Thus C is constant as required.

The following fact is well-known (see, e.g., [2], p.300 and 306).

**Theorem 6.3.** (1)  $q^{-1}(h) = \{\tau_c \circ f : \tau_c = \operatorname{Fl}_c^{R_\alpha}, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$  for all  $h \in \operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$  and for all  $f \in q^{-1}(h)$ .

- (2) For  $(N, \omega)$  open q is an isomorphism.
- (3) For  $(N, \omega)$  closed we have the exact sequence of groups

 $\{1\} \to \mathbb{S}^1 \to \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \xrightarrow{q} \operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega) \to \{1\},\$ 

that is  $Cont(M, \alpha)$  is a central extension of  $Ham(N, \omega)$ .

Indeed, this is a consequence of Prop. 6.1 and Lemma 6.2. It follows that q induces the epimorphism

 $\tilde{q}: \mathcal{J}_{id} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \to \mathcal{J}_{id} \operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega), \quad \{f_t\} \mapsto \{q(f_t)\}.$ 

Due to Theorem 6.3 the kernel of  $\tilde{q}$  coincides with  $C_e^{\infty}(I, \mathbb{S}^1) \subset C_e^{\infty}(I, \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha))$ .

*Proof of Prop. 1.3.* It follows from Theorem 6.3, Lemma 3.3(1), (6.4), (2.3) and (4.6).

The following property of q will be of use.

**Theorem 6.4.** The map  $q : \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \to \operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$  is a fibration.

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 2.7.8 in [22] it suffices to show the existence of a lift function

$$\lambda: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{J}\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha), \quad \hat{q} \circ \lambda = \operatorname{id},$$

where  $\mathcal{B} = \{(f,\gamma) \in \operatorname{Cont}(M,\alpha) \times \mathcal{J}\operatorname{Ham}(N,\omega) | \gamma(0) = q(f)\}$  and  $\hat{q} : \mathcal{J}\operatorname{Cont}(M,\alpha) \to \mathcal{B}$  is given by  $\hat{q}(\bar{\gamma}) = (\bar{\gamma}(0), \tilde{q} \circ \bar{\gamma})$ . This lift function can be easily defined in view of Lemma 6.2 and Theorem 6.3. Namely, any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{J}\operatorname{Ham}(N,\omega)$  with  $\gamma(0) = q(f)$  is defined (uniquely up to constant) by  $\Psi_{\alpha}(\gamma) \in C^{\infty}(N,\mathbb{R})$ . It follows that  $\lambda(f,\gamma)$  may be defined as the unique  $\bar{\gamma} \in \mathcal{J}\operatorname{Cont}(M,\alpha)$  determined by  $\Psi_{\alpha}(\gamma)$  such that  $\bar{\gamma}(0) = f$ . Clearly  $\hat{q}(\lambda(f,\gamma)) = (f,\gamma)$ .

We shall use the classical simplicity theorem on the symplectomorphism group, due to Banyaga ([1]). This theorem may be formulated as follows.

**Theorem 6.5.** [1] Let  $(N, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold (without boundary) and let  $\operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$  be the group of its compactly supported Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms.

- (1) If N is closed then the group  $\operatorname{Ham}(N,\omega)$  is simple.
- (2) If N is open then the commutator subgroup  $[\operatorname{Ham}(N,\omega), \operatorname{Ham}(N,\omega)]$  is simple.

By applying this theorem we shall prove the following preparatory lemma for the proof of the non-degeneracy of  $\rho_H$ .

**Lemma 6.6.** Let  $(M, \alpha)$ ,  $(N, \omega)$  fulfil either (1) or (2). Suppose that there exists  $\psi \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  with  $\varrho_{\alpha}(\text{id}, \psi) > 0$ . In the case (2) we assume, in addition, that  $\psi \in [\text{Cont}(M, \alpha), \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)]$ . Then  $\varrho_H$  is a metric.

Proof. In view of Prop. 1.2  $\rho_H(\operatorname{id}, q(\psi)) > 0$ . Denote  $\mathcal{G} = \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha), \mathcal{H} = \operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$ . Observe that  $\{\phi \in \mathcal{G} : \rho_\alpha(\operatorname{id}, \phi) = 0\}$  and  $\{\phi \in [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}] : \rho_\alpha(\operatorname{id}, \phi) = 0\}$  are normal subgroups of  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$  resp. It follows that  $\{\bar{\phi} \in \mathcal{H} : \rho_H(\operatorname{id}, \bar{\phi}) = 0\} = \{e\}$  in the case (1), and  $\{\bar{\phi} \in [\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}] : \rho_H(\operatorname{id}, \bar{\phi}) = 0\} = \{e\}$  in the case (2), in view of Theorem 6.5. Hence  $\rho_H$  is non-degenerate on  $\mathcal{H}$  in the case (2).

Take any  $g \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $g \neq \text{id.}$  There is  $p \in N$  with  $g(p) \neq p$ . Choose a neighborhood U of  $p \in N$  such that  $g(U) \cap U = \emptyset$ , and  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  supported in Usuch that  $h(p) \neq p$ . Then  $gh \neq hg$  and  $[g,h] \neq \text{id.}$  Therefore  $0 < \varrho_H([g,h]) \leq 2\varrho_H(g)$ . Thus  $\varrho_H$  is non-degenerate on  $\mathcal{H}$  itself.  $\Box$ 

Proof of the non-degeneracy of  $\rho_H$ . Claim 1.4 will be an obvious consequence of the proofs of Theorems 1.5 and 1.7 in sections 8 and 9. Now the nondegeneracy will follow from Claim 1.4 combined with Lemma 6.6.

### 7. Preparatory constructions

First for a foliated manifold  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  we will define a mapping  $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}$  which sends isotopies of diffeomorphisms of M to isotopies of foliated diffeomorphisms of  $(M, \mathcal{F})$ . It is important that  $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}$  preserves the class of contractible loops, though likely it does not preserve loops. By means of  $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}$ , for a contact manifold  $(M, \alpha)$  satisfying assumption 1.2 we will construct a mapping  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$ :  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \to \operatorname{C}^{\infty}(M, \alpha)$ , a basic tool in the proof of Theorem 1.5. Next, to prove Theorem 1.7 we will use another mapping  $K_{\alpha}$ :  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \to \operatorname{C}^{\infty}(M, \alpha)$ similar to  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$ , but different from it.  $K_{\alpha}$  possesses better properties than  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$ , but its definition is possible only in the case (2), i.e. if  $(M, \alpha)$  is the contactisation of an exact open symplectic manifold.

Throughout, we denote  $\hat{U} = U \times \mathbb{S}^1$  for  $U \subset N$ .

7.1. Operation on isotopies of a foliated manifold. The bijection given by (3.1) between  $C_e^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \text{Diff}(M))$  and  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{X}_c(M))$  still holds if  $\mathbb{R}$  is replaced by I = [0, 1]. Denote it by

$$\Phi_M : \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{id}} \operatorname{Diff}(M) \to \mathcal{J}\mathfrak{X}_c(M).$$

Likewise for any diffeomorphism  $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$  there is a similar bijection

$$\Phi_M : \mathcal{J}_f \operatorname{Diff}(M) \to \mathcal{J}\mathfrak{X}_c(M).$$

**Lemma 7.1.** Assume that M is a smooth manifold. Let  $I \times I \ni (t, s) \mapsto f_{t,s} \in$ Diff(M) be a smooth mapping corresponding to a smooth mapping  $I \times I \ni$  $(t, s) \mapsto X_{t,s} \in \mathfrak{X}_c(M)$  in the sense that for each  $s \in I$  and  $p \in M$ 

(7.1) 
$$X_{t,s}(f_{t,s}(p)) = \frac{\partial f_{t,s}}{\partial t}(p), \quad f_{0,s}(p) = p,$$

that is  $\Phi_M({f_{t,s}}) = {X_{t,s}}$  for any s fixed. Then:

(1) A smooth mapping  $I \times I \ni (t,s) \mapsto Y_{t,s} \in \mathfrak{X}_c(M)$  corresponds for all  $t \in I$  to the curve  $I \ni s \mapsto f_{t,s} \in \text{Diff}(M)$  with initial value  $f_{t,0}$  (i.e.  $\Phi_M(\{f_{t,s}\}) = \{Y_{t,s}\}$  with t fixed) if and only if for all  $p \in M$ 

(7.2) 
$$\frac{\partial Y_{t,s}}{\partial t}(p) = \frac{\partial X_{t,s}}{\partial s}(p)$$

and  $Y_{0,s} = 0$  for all  $s \in I$ .

(2) Moreover,  $f_{1,s} = f_{1,0}$  for all  $s \in I$ , that is  $\{f_{t,s}\}$  is a homotopy rel. endpoints if and only if  $Y_{1,s} = 0$  for all  $s \in I$ .

*Proof.* (1) Define  $Y_{t,s}$  by  $\Phi_M(\{f_{t,s}\}) = \{Y_{t,s}\}$  for fixed t, i.e.

(7.3) 
$$\frac{\partial f_{t,s}}{\partial s}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) = Y_{t,s}(p)$$

for all  $p \in M$  and  $t, s \in I$ . Fixing p and s (7.3) is an equality of curves w.r.t. t in the vector space  $T_p(M)$ . First suppose that M is a chart domain. Differentiating the curve

$$t \mapsto (t, s, f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) \mapsto \frac{\partial f_{t,s}}{\partial s}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p))$$

with p, s fixed, we get from the chain rule  $\frac{\partial^2 f_{t,s}}{\partial t \partial s}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) = \frac{\partial Y_{t,s}}{\partial t}(p)$ . Likewise  $\frac{\partial^2 f_{t,s}}{\partial t \partial s}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) = \frac{\partial X_{t,s}}{\partial s}(p)$ . Therefore (7.2) holds on any chart domain. Since the equality (7.2) is expressed without any coordinates, (7.2) holds on the whole M. Further,  $Y_{0,s}(p) = \frac{\partial f_{0,s}}{\partial s}(f_{0,s}^{-1}(p)) = \frac{\partial \mathrm{id}}{\partial s}(p) = 0$ .

Conversely, differentiating the curve  $t \mapsto Y_{t,s}(p) \in T_p(M)$  with p, s fixed, by (7.2) and (7.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Y_{t,s}}{\partial t}(p) &= \frac{\partial X_{t,s}}{\partial s}(p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( \frac{\partial f_{t,s}}{\partial t}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) \right) = \frac{\partial^2 f_{t,s}}{\partial s \partial t}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial f_{t,s}}{\partial s}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for all t one has  $\frac{\partial f_{t,s}}{\partial s}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) = Y_{t,s}(p) + C(s)$  for some smooth curve  $s \mapsto C(s) \in T_p(M)$ . But in view of the assumption,  $Y_{0,s}(p) = 0 =$  $\frac{\partial \operatorname{id}}{\partial s}(p) = \frac{\partial f_{0,s}}{\partial s}(f_{0,s}^{-1}(p))$ . Consequently, C = 0 as required. This completes the proof of (1). The assertion (2) follows immediately from

(7.3) for t = 1. 

Let  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  be a foliated manifold. The symbol  $\text{Diff}(M, \mathcal{F})$  will stand for the group of all leaf preserving diffeomorphisms of  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  that are isotopic to id through leaf preserving compactly supported isotopy. Next,  $\mathfrak{X}_{c}(M, \mathcal{F})$  is the Lie algebra of all compactly supported vector fields on M tangent to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Similarly as above for any  $f \in \text{Diff}(M, \mathcal{F})$  the equality (3.1) yields a bijection

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{J}_f(\mathrm{Diff}(M,\mathcal{F})) \to \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{X}_c(M,\mathcal{F})).$$

**Proposition 7.2.** Assume that  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  is a smooth foliated manifold and  $\mathcal{D}$ is a smooth distribution on M such that  $T_p(M) = T_p(\mathcal{F}) \oplus \mathcal{D}_p$  for all  $p \in M$ . For any  $X \in \mathfrak{X}_{c}(M)$  we write  $X = X^{\mathcal{F}} + X^{\mathcal{D}}$  for the resulting decomposition. There exists a mapping

$$\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{id}}\operatorname{Diff}(M) \ni \{f_t\} \longmapsto \{f_t\}^{\mathcal{F}} := \Phi_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(\Phi_M(\{f_t\})^{\mathcal{F}}) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{id}}\operatorname{Diff}(M, \mathcal{F})$$

with the following properties:

- (1)  $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{\mathrm{id}\}) = \{\mathrm{id}\}.$
- (1)  $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t\}) \star \{f'_t\}) = \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t\}) \star \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f'_t\}).$ (3) If  $\{f_t\}$  and  $\{f'_t\}$  are homotopic rel. endpoints then so are  $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t\})$ and  $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f'_t\})$ .
- (4) If  $\{f_t\}$  is a contractible loop then so is  $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t\})$ .
- (5)  $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t\}\{f_t'\})$  and  $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t\})\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t'\})$  are homotopic rel. endpoints. (6)  $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t^{-1}\})$  and  $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t\})^{-1}$  are homotopic rel. endpoints.

*Proof.* (1) and (2) are trivial by definition. (3) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 7.1 since the relation of homotopy rel. endpoints is characterized by two smooth families of vector fields  $X_{t,s}$  and  $Y_{t,s}$  fulfilling the conditions specifying in Lemma 7.1, and these conditions are preserved by the projection  $X \mapsto X^{\mathcal{F}}$ . Next (4) is implied by (1) and (3). Finally, (5) follows from (2) and (3), and (6) from (1) and (5). 

## 7.2. The mapping $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$ . By means of Prop. 7.2 we define a mapping

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}: \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{id}}\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \to \operatorname{C}^{\infty}_{c}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

for an arbitrary contact manifold  $(M, \alpha)$  satisfying assumption 1.2. Here we regard  $(M, \alpha)$  as a foliated manifold with the one-dimensional foliation  $\mathcal{F} =$  $\mathcal{F}_{R_{\alpha}}$  generated by the Reeb flow, also endowed with the contact distribution  $\ker(\alpha)$ . We define  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$  as follows. For  $p \in M$ 

(7.4) 
$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})(p) := \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f_t^{\mathcal{F}}}{\partial t}(p)dt = f_1^{\mathcal{F}}(p) - p \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\{f_t^{\mathcal{F}}\} = \{f_t\}^{\mathcal{F}}$ .  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$  is well-defined since any  $f_t^{\mathcal{F}}$  is leaf preserving and any leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  is identified with  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . Then we have

**Proposition 7.3.** The mapping  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha} : \mathcal{J}_{id} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \to \operatorname{C}^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$  fulfills the following properties:

- (1)  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{id\}) = 0.$
- (2)  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$  is constant on any fiber  $\pi^{-1}(p), p \in N$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\lbrace f_t \rbrace \star \lbrace f'_t \rbrace) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\lbrace f_t \rbrace) + \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\lbrace f'_t \rbrace).$
- (4) If  $\{f_t\}$  and  $\{f'_t\}$  are homotopic rel. endpoints then  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{f'_t\})$ .
- (5)  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\lbrace f_t \rbrace \lbrace f'_t \rbrace) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\lbrace f_t \rbrace) + \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\lbrace f'_t \rbrace).$ (6) If  $\lbrace f_t \rbrace$  is a contractible loop then  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\lbrace f_t \rbrace) = 0.$ (7)  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\lbrace f_t^{-1} \rbrace) = -\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\lbrace f_t \rbrace).$
- (8) For an open subset  $V \subset N$ , one has  $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})) \subset \hat{V}$  whenever  $\operatorname{supp}(\{f_t\}) \subset V.$

Indeed, all these properties follow directly from (7.4) and Prop. 7.2.

7.3. The mapping  $K_{\alpha}$  in the case (2). For  $(M, \alpha)$  fulfilling assumption 1.2(2) we define

$$K_{\alpha}: \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{id}}\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \to \operatorname{C}^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

as follows. Let

$$f_t = (q(f_t), k_t), \text{ where } k_t : M \to \mathbb{S}^1,$$

be a strict contact isotopy such that  $f_0 = \text{id}$  and  $f_1 = f$ . Here  $k_t : M \to \mathbb{S}^1$  is such that  $k_t k_0^{-1}$  descends to a map  $N \to \mathbb{S}^1$ , and  $q : \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \to \operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$ given by (6.3). Then there exists a unique lift

(7.5) 
$$\tilde{f}_t = (q(f_t), \tilde{k}_t) : M \to N \times \mathbb{R}$$

such that  $\tilde{k}_0(p) = 0$  for all p, where  $\tilde{k}_t : \hat{U} \to \mathbb{R}$  is the lift of  $k_t k_0^{-1} : \hat{U} \to \mathbb{S}^1$ . In particular,  $\frac{\partial \tilde{k}_t}{\partial t}(p) = \frac{\partial k_t}{\partial t}(p)$  for all p and t. We define the mapping  $K_{\alpha}$  for all  $p \in M$  by

(7.6) 
$$K_{\alpha}(\lbrace f_t \rbrace)(p) := \tilde{k}_1(p) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial k_t}{\partial t}(p) dt.$$

Clearly  $K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$  is a smooth map. For any concatenation  $\{f_t\} = \{f_t^r\} \star \cdots \star$  $\{f_t^1\}$  we have the equality for all  $p \in M$ (7.7)

$$\tilde{\tilde{k}}_{t}(p) = \tilde{k}_{1}^{1}(p) + \tilde{k}_{1}^{2}(f_{1}^{1}(p)) + \dots + \tilde{k}_{1}^{\rho-1}(f_{1}^{\rho-2}\cdots f_{1}^{1}(p)) + \tilde{k}_{rt-\rho+1}^{\rho}(f_{1}^{\rho-1}\cdots f_{1}^{1}(p)),$$

whenever  $t \in [\frac{\rho-1}{r}, \frac{\rho}{r}]$ , where  $\rho \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq \rho \leq r$ . Here  $\{\tilde{k}_t^i\}$  corresponds to  $\{f_t^i\}$ by (7.5). In particular,  $K_{\alpha}(\lbrace f_t \rbrace \star \lbrace f'_t \rbrace) = K_{\alpha}(\lbrace f_t \rbrace) \circ f'_1 + K_{\alpha}(\lbrace f'_t \rbrace).$ 

Observe that if  $\{f_t\}$  and  $\{f'_t\}$  are homotopic rel. endpoints then the resulting  $K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}), K_{\alpha}(\{f'_t\}) : M \to \mathbb{R}$  coincide. Indeed, the isotopies  $\{k_t\}$  and  $\{k'_t\}$ are then homotopic rel. endpoints as well, and so are the isotopies  $\{\tilde{k}_t\}$  and  $\{\tilde{k}'_t\}.$ 

Now, suppose  $\{f_t\} = \{f_t^l\} \cdots \{f_t^1\}$ . Since the isotopy  $\{f_t\}$  is homotopic rel. endpoints to  $\{f_t^l\} \star \cdots \star \{f_t^1\}$  we obtain by (7.6) and (7.7) (7.8)

$$K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})(p) = K_{\alpha}(\{f_t^1\})(p) + K_{\alpha}(\{f_t^2\})(f_1^1(p)) + \dots + K_{\alpha}(\{f_t^l\})(f_1^{l-1} \cdots f_1^1(p)).$$
  
Summing up the above considerations we have

Summing-up the above considerations we have

**Proposition 7.4.** The mapping  $K_{\alpha} : \mathcal{J}_{id} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \to \operatorname{C}^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$  possesses the following properties:

- (1)  $K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$  is constant on any fiber  $\pi^{-1}(p), p \in N$ .
- (2)  $K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\} \star \{f'_t\}) = K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) \circ f'_1 + K_{\alpha}(\{f'_t\}).$
- (3) If  $\{f_t\}$  and  $\{f'_t\}$  are homotopic rel. endpoints then  $K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) = K_{\alpha}(\{f'_t\})$ .
- (4)  $K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}\{f'_t\}) = K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) \circ f'_1 + K_{\alpha}(\{f'_t\})$ . In general, if  $\{f_t\} =$  $\{f_t^l\} \cdots \{f_t^1\}$  then (7.8) holds.
- (5) If for some  $p \in M$  the map  $t \mapsto f_t(p)$  is a contractible loop then  $K_{\alpha}({f_t})(p) = 0$ . In particular, for any open subset  $V \subset N$ , if  $\sup_{t \in \mathcal{K}} (\{f_t\}) \subset \hat{V} \text{ then } \sup_{t \in \mathcal{K}} (\{f_t\})) \subset \hat{V}.$ (6)  $K_{\alpha}(\{f_t^{-1}\}) = -K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) \circ f_1^{-1}.$
- (7)  $K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}\{f_t^{-1}\}) = (K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})) \circ f_1^{-1}.$
- (8) If for some  $p \in M$  the map  $t \mapsto f_t(p)$  is a loop then  $K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})(p) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* (1)-(4) are proved above, and (5) follows from (3). Next (6) is a consequence of (4) and (5), and (7) holds due to (4) and (6). It remains to show (8). In fact, if  $t \mapsto f_t(p)$  is a loop, then so is  $t \mapsto k_t(p)$  and  $k_1(p)k_0(p)^{-1} = 0 \in \mathbb{C}$  $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $\tilde{k}_t$ , the lift of  $k_t k_0^{-1}$ , satisfies  $K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})(p) = \tilde{k}_1(p) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . 

## 8. Proof of Theorem 1.5

8.1. Definition of  $\varphi$ . Choose arbitrarily a > 0, b > 0 and denote V = $(-(a+b), a+b)^{2n}$ . We assume that V is a domain of canonical coordinates (x, y). Then  $\hat{V} = V \times \mathbb{S}^1$  is equipped with the canonical coordinates (x, y, z). Take A > 0 and a smooth bump function

$$\mu^{A} = \mu^{A}_{a,b} : [-(a+b), a+b] \to [0, A]$$
<sup>21</sup>

such that  $\text{supp}(\mu^A) \subset (-(a+b), a+b), \ \mu^A = A \text{ on } [-a, a], \ (\mu^A)' \geq 0 \text{ on } [-(a+b), 0], \text{ and } \mu^A(-x) = \mu^A(x).$  Hence  $(\mu^A)' \leq 0 \text{ on } [0, a+b].$  For all  $p \in V, \ p = (x, y)$ , we define

$$F^{\varphi}(p) := F^{A}_{a,b}(p) := \mu^{\bar{A}}(x_{1}) \cdots \mu^{\bar{A}}(x_{n})\mu^{\bar{A}}(y_{1}) \cdots \mu^{\bar{A}}(y_{n})$$

where  $\overline{A} = A^{\frac{1}{2n}}$ . Set  $B := (-a, a)^{2n}$ . For any  $p \in B$  one has  $F^{\varphi}(p) = A$ . If  $\{\varphi_t\} := \Psi_{\alpha}^{-1}(F^{\varphi})$  then we set

$$\varphi := \varphi_{a,b}^A := \varphi_1.$$

Observe that for  $\{\varphi_t\} = \{(q(\varphi_t), k_t^{\varphi})\}$  we have by (3.11)

(8.1) 
$$\frac{\partial k_t^{\varphi}}{\partial t}(p) = (F^{\varphi} - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i F_{y_i}^{\varphi})(\varphi_t(p))$$

for all p and t. Hence for  $p \in \hat{B}$  one has  $X_{F^{\varphi}}(p) = (0, 0, F^{\varphi}(p))$  and

(8.2) 
$$\frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial t}(p) = \frac{\partial k_t^{\varphi}}{\partial t}(p) = F^{\varphi}(\varphi_t(p)) = F^{\varphi}(p) = A.$$

Suppose that  $\{f_t\}$  is an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{J}_{id}^{\varphi} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ . Hence, in view of Prop. 7.4,  $K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) - K_{\alpha}(\{\varphi_t\})$  is an integer constant. Since  $K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$  and  $K_{\alpha}(\{\varphi_t\})$  are compactly supported, we have that

(8.3) 
$$K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) = K_{\alpha}(\{\varphi_t\})$$

on the whole M. It follows from (8.3) and (8.1) that

(8.4) 
$$K_{\alpha}(\lbrace f_t \rbrace)(p) = K_{\alpha}(\lbrace \varphi_t \rbrace)(p) \ge 0, \quad \forall p \in M,$$

since it is easily seen that  $F^{\varphi} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i F_{y_i}^{\varphi} \ge 0$  on  $\hat{V}$ . Next

(8.5) 
$$K_{\alpha}(\lbrace f_t \rbrace)(p) = K_{\alpha}(\lbrace \varphi_t \rbrace)(p) = A, \quad \forall p \in \hat{B},$$

in view of (8.3) and (8.2). The equalities (8.4) and (8.5) will be applied in the proof of Theorem 1.7; in the proof of Theorem 1.5 we will use  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$  rather than  $K_{\alpha}$ .

8.2. The proof. Let  $\pi : (M, \alpha) \to (N, \omega)$  be a prequantization bundle. Suppose that  $N = V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_r$  is an open covering as in Lemma 3.5, and each  $V_i$  constitutes a canonical chart domain. In addition, assume  $V = V_1$  and  $\operatorname{vol}(V) \ge \operatorname{vol}(V_i)$  for  $i = 2, \ldots, r$ . Next,  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Cont}_{\hat{V}}(M, \alpha)$  is defined in the previous subsection.

Let  $\{f_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{id}^{\varphi} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  be an arbitrary isotopy, and  $\{F_t\} = \Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$ . The isotopy  $\{\varphi_t^{-1}f_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{id} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  is a loop. Since  $\tilde{q}(\{\varphi_t^{-1}f_t\})$  belonging to  $\mathcal{J}_{id}^{id} \operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$  is a contractible loop by assumption, Theorem 6.4 and the exact homotopy sequence of a fibration applied to (1.2) imply that  $\{\varphi_t^{-1}f_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{id} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  can be regarded as a contractible loop. Namely, for some  $m \in$   $\mathbb{Z} = \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$  we can replace  $\{f_t\}$  by  $\{\bar{f}_t\} := \{f_t\tau_{m,t}\}$ , where  $\{\tau_{m,t}\} = \Psi_{\alpha}^{-1}(m)$ and  $m \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$  is the constant function. It follows that now  $\{\varphi_t^{-1}\bar{f}_t\}$ is a contractible loop. Moreover,  $\{\bar{f}_t\}$  has the same properties as  $\{f_t\}$ , i.e.  $\bar{f}_1 = \varphi$  and  $l_{\alpha}(\{\bar{f}_t\}) = l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$  since  $\|\bar{F}_t\|_{\infty} = \|F_t\|_{\infty}$  for all t, where  $\{\bar{F}_t\} = \Psi_{\alpha}(\{\bar{f}_t\})$ . From now on we will write  $\{f_t\}$  instead of  $\{\bar{f}_t\}$ .

In view of (3.5), for all  $H \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$  one has

$$(X_H)^{\mathcal{F}} = HR_{\alpha} = H\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

in any canonical chart (x, y, z). Therefore for the isotopies  $\{f_t\}^{\mathcal{F}} = \{f_t^{\mathcal{F}}\}, \{\varphi_t\}^{\mathcal{F}} = \{\varphi_t^{\mathcal{F}}\} \in \operatorname{Diff}(M, \mathcal{F})$  we can write

(8.6) 
$$\frac{\partial f_t^{\mathcal{F}}}{\partial t}(p) = F_t(f_t^{\mathcal{F}}(p)), \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_t^{\mathcal{F}}}{\partial t}(p) = F^{\varphi}(\varphi_t^{\mathcal{F}}(p))$$

for any  $p \in M$ ,  $t \in I$ . The second equality implies that

(8.7)  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{\varphi_t\}) \ge 0$ , and  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{\varphi_t\}) = A$  on  $\hat{B}$ .

Next, it follows from (7.4) and (8.6) that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) = \int_M F_t(f_t^{\mathcal{F}}(p))\nu_{\alpha} = \int_M F_t(p)\nu_{\alpha}.$$

In particular, if  $\operatorname{supp}(\{f_t\}) \subset \hat{W}$ , where W is a canonical chart domain, then

(8.8) 
$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) \leq \operatorname{vol}(W) l_{\alpha_{st}}(\{f_t\}).$$

Next, it follows from Prop. 7.3 that  $\int_0^1 \frac{\partial((\varphi_t^{\mathcal{F}})^{-1} \star f_t^{\mathcal{F}})}{\partial t}(p) dt = 0$  and consequently

(8.9) 
$$-\int_0^1 \frac{\partial (\varphi_t^{\mathcal{F}})^{-1}}{\partial t} (f_1^{\mathcal{F}}(p)) dt = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f_t^{\mathcal{F}}}{\partial t} (p) dt.$$

On the other hand, by Prop. 7.2 and 7.3, (8.10)

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\partial(\varphi_t^{\mathcal{F}})^{-1}}{\partial t} (f_1^{\mathcal{F}}(p)) dt = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial(\varphi_t^{-1})^{\mathcal{F}}}{\partial t} (p) dt = \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\{\varphi_t^{-1}\}) = -\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\{\varphi_t\}),$$

since  $\{(\varphi_t^{\mathcal{F}})^{-1}\}$  and  $\{(\varphi_t^{-1})^{\mathcal{F}}\}$  are homotopic rel. endpoints. Thus, in view of (7.4), (8.6), (8.9) and (8.10) we get

(8.11) 
$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{\varphi_t\}).$$

Now, we take a concatenation for  $\{f_t\}$ 

$$\{f_t\} = \{g_t^R\} \star \dots \star \{g_t^1\}$$

with with sufficiently  $C^1$ -small factors  $\{g_t^{\rho}\} \in \mathcal{J}_{id} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ . In view of (4.5) we then have

(8.12) 
$$l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) = \sum_{\rho=1}^{R} l_{\alpha}(\{g_t^{\rho}\}).$$

Next, due to Lemma 3.5, there are fragmentations

$$\forall \rho = 1, \dots, R, \quad \{g_t^{\rho}\} = \{g_t^{\rho, r}\} \cdots \{g_t^{\rho, 1}\}, \quad \text{supp}(\{g_t^{\rho, i}\}) \subset \hat{V}_i,$$

and a constant C > 0 such that for all  $\rho$  and i

(8.13) 
$$l_{\alpha}(\lbrace g_t^{\rho,i}\rbrace) \le C l_{\alpha}(\lbrace g_t^{\rho}\rbrace),$$

Consequently we get a decomposition

$$\{f_t\} = \{f_t^l\} \cdots \{f_t^1\},\$$

with each factor  $\{f_t^j\}$  supported in some  $V_{i(j)}$ . Here each  $\{f_t^j\}$  identifies with  $\{g_t^{\rho,i}\}$  for some  $1 \leq \rho \leq R$  and  $1 \leq i \leq r$  so that l = Rr. In light of (8.12), (8.13) and Prop. 4.3, it follows that

(8.14) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{l} l_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}^{j}\}) \leq \sum_{\rho=1}^{R} \sum_{i=1}^{r} l_{\alpha}(\{g_{t}^{\rho,i}\}) \leq Cr \sum_{\rho=1}^{R} l_{\alpha}(\{g_{t}^{\rho}\}) = Crl_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\}).$$

Therefore, from (8.7), (8.11), Prop. 7.3, (8.8) and (8.14) we have  $\frac{24}{24}$ 

$$A \operatorname{vol}(B) = \int_{B} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{\varphi_{t}\})(p) dp$$

$$\leq \int_{M} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{\varphi_{t}\})(p) \nu_{\alpha}$$

$$= \int_{M} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\})(p) \nu_{\alpha}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{l} \int_{M} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}^{j}\})(p) \nu_{\alpha}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{l} \int_{V_{i(j)}} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}^{j}\})(p) dp$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{l} \operatorname{vol}(V_{i(j)}) l_{\alpha_{st}}(\{f_{t}^{j}\})$$

$$\leq \operatorname{vol}(V) \sum_{j=1}^{l} l_{\alpha_{st}}(\{f_{t}^{j}\})$$

$$\leq Cr \operatorname{vol}(V) l_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\})$$

In view of (4.6) and Prop. 1.3 this completes the proof.

## 9. Proof of Theorem 1.7

In this section we assume that  $(N, \omega)$  is an exact open symplectic manifold, where  $\omega = d \lambda$ . Next,  $M = N \times \mathbb{S}^1$  and  $\alpha = d z - \lambda$ , where z is the natural coordinate of  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , that is  $(M, \alpha)$  is the contactization of  $(N, \omega)$ . Denote by  $\pi : M \to N$  the canonical projection.

9.1. Estimates in the local case. Given  $\{f_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{id} \operatorname{Cont}(\hat{V}, \alpha_{st})$ , let  $F = \Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$  be the corresponding Hamiltonian in  $C_b^{\infty}(I \times \hat{V}, \mathbb{R})$ . Here  $V = (-(a+b), a+b)^{2n}$  as in section 8.1. From now on V will be identified with  $V \times \{0\} \subset V \times \mathbb{S}^1 = \hat{V}$ . Put  $f_t = (g_t, h_t, k_t)$ . Then by using (7.6), (3.11) and

Prop. 7.4(1), and applying Fubini's theorem we have

$$(9.1)$$

$$\int_{V} K_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\})(p)dp = \int_{V} \left(\int_{I} \frac{\partial k_{t}}{\partial t}(p)dt\right) dp$$

$$= \int_{I} \left(\int_{\hat{V}} \left(F_{t} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \frac{\partial F_{t}}{\partial y_{i}}\right)(f_{t}(p))\nu_{a}\right) dt$$

$$= \int_{I} \left(\int_{\hat{V}} \left(F_{t} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \frac{\partial F_{t}}{\partial y_{i}}\right)(p)\nu_{a}\right) dt$$

$$\leq -\int_{I} \left(\int_{\hat{V}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \frac{\partial F_{t}}{\partial y_{i}}\right)(p)\nu_{a}\right) dt + \int_{I} \left(\int_{V} F_{t}(p)dp\right) dt$$

$$= -\int_{I} \left(\int_{V} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \frac{\partial F_{t}}{\partial y_{i}}\right)(p)dp\right) dt + \int_{I} \left(\int_{V} F_{t}(p)dp\right) dt$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{V^{(i)}} \left(\int_{I} \left(\int_{L_{i,\beta}} y_{i} \frac{\partial F_{t}}{\partial y_{i}}(t,p)dy_{i}\right) dt\right) d(x,y'_{i},z) + \int_{I} \left(\int_{V} F_{t}(p)dp\right) dt,$$

where  $y'_i = (y_1, \ldots, \hat{y}_i, \ldots, y_n)$ ,  $V^{(i)} = \operatorname{pr}_i(V)$ , where  $\operatorname{pr}_i$  is the canonical projection along  $y_i$ , and for any  $\beta \in I \times V^{(i)}$  we denote  $L_{i,\beta} = \{(t,p) \in I \times V : (t, x, y'_i, z) = \beta\}$  which is identified with (-(a+b), a+b). Further, integrating by parts we get

(9.2)  
$$\int_{0}^{1} \left( \int_{-(a+b)}^{a+b} y_{i} \frac{\partial F_{t}}{\partial y_{i}} dy_{i} \right) dt = \int_{0}^{1} \left( y_{i} F_{t} |_{-(a+b)}^{a+b} - \int_{-(a+b)}^{a+b} F_{t} dy_{i} \right) dt$$
$$= -\int_{0}^{1} \left( \int_{-(a+b)}^{a+b} F_{t} dy_{i} \right) dt$$

Therefore, in view of (9.1) and (9.2) we have

(9.3)  
$$\int_{V} K_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\})(p)dp = (n+1) \int_{V} \left( \int_{0}^{1} F_{t}(p)dt \right) dp$$
$$\leq (n+1) \int_{0}^{1} \left( \int_{V} \|F_{t}\|_{\infty} dp \right) dt$$
$$= (n+1) \operatorname{vol}(V) l_{\alpha_{st}}(\{f_{t}\}).$$

Denote  $V = V' \times V'' \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and  $dy = dy_1 \dots dy_n$ . Actually we also obtain from (9.1) and (9.2) the following (9.4)

$$\int_{V''} K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})(p) dy = (n+1) \int_{V''} \left( \int_0^1 F_t(p) dt \right) dy = (n+1) \int_0^1 \left( \int_{V''} F_t(p) dy \right) dt$$

Suppose that  $\{f_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{id}^{\varphi} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ . It follows from (8.4), (8.5) and (9.3) that

(9.5)  
$$A \operatorname{vol}(B) = \int_{B} Adp = \int_{B} K_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\})(p)dp$$
$$\leq \int_{V} K_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\})(p)dp \leq (n+1)\operatorname{vol}(V)l_{\alpha_{st}}(\{f_{t}\})$$

**Remark 9.1.** In view of (9.3)  $\int_V K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p)dp = (n+1)\operatorname{Cal}_V(q(\varphi))$ , where  $\operatorname{Cal}_V : \operatorname{Ham}(V, \omega|_V) \to \mathbb{R}$  is the Calabi homomorphism for the exact symplectic manifold  $(V, \omega|_V)$ , cf. [1]. It follows from (9.5) that  $q(\varphi)$  and  $\varphi$  are not product of commutators (also directly from the definition of  $\operatorname{Cal}_V$ ).

9.2. Definition of  $\psi$ . Assume the notation in section 8.1. We construct a contactomorphism  $\psi$  in the commutator subgroup of  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  which appears in Theorem 1.7. For A, a, b, c > 0 we define

(9.6) 
$$U := (-(a+b+c), a+b+c) \times (-(a+b), a+b)^{2n-1}$$

(9.7) 
$$F^{\psi}(p) := F^{A}_{a,b,c}(p) := F^{A}_{a,b}(\tau^{(x_1)}_c(p)) - F^{A}_{a,b}(\tau^{(x_1)}_{-c}(p)),$$

where  $\tau^{(x_1)}$  is the translation along the  $x_1$ -axis. From now on we assume that c > a + b and that U is a canonical chart domain. Let  $\psi = \psi^A_{a,b,c}$  be the

time-one map of  $F^{\psi}$ . Then  $F^{\psi}$  and  $\psi$  are supported in U. It is easily seen that  $\psi = [\bar{\varphi}, \tau_{2c}^{(x_1)}]$ , where  $\bar{\varphi} = \tau_{-c}^{(x_1)} \varphi \tau_c^{(x_1)}$ . Consequently,  $\psi \in [\text{Cont}(M, \alpha), \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)]$ . In fact, denote  $\psi_t = [\bar{\varphi}_t, \tau_{2c}^{(x_1)}]$  and  $\bar{\varphi}_t = (\bar{\varphi}_t, \tau_{2c}^{(x_1)})$  $\tau_{-c}^{(x_1)}\varphi_t\tau_c^{(x_1)}$ . Clearly  $\Psi_{\alpha}(\{\psi_t\}) = F^{\psi}$  by Lemma 3.3. Moreover, we can arrange so that  $\{\psi_t\}$  is supported in U.

9.3. Proof of 1.7(1). Under the notation (9.6), write  $U = U' \times U'' \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Note that due to (9.7) and the definition of  $F^{\varphi}$ 

(9.8) 
$$F^{\psi}(-x_1, x', y) = -F^{\psi}(x_1, x', y),$$

where  $x' = (x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ . Now for arbitrarily chosen  $\{f_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{id}^{\psi} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ with  $\{F_t\} = \Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$  we denote for p = (x, y)

$$K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})^{(x)}(x) := \int_{U''} K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})(p)dy, \quad F_t^{(x)}(x) := (n+1) \int_{U''} F_t(p)dy.$$

Then (9.4) for U'' instead of V'' implies for all  $x \in U'$  the equality

(9.9) 
$$\int_0^1 F_t^{(x)}(x)dt = K_\alpha(\{f_t\})^{(x)}(x).$$

On the other hand, by (9.8) and the equality

$$K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}) = K_{\alpha}(\{\psi_t\})$$

which can be proved analogously to (8.3), we have for all  $x \in U'$ 

(9.10) 
$$K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})^{(x)}(-x_1, x') = -K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})^{(x)}(x_1, x').$$

Therefore by (9.9) and (9.10) for  $x \in U'$ 

(9.11) 
$$\int_0^1 F_t^{(x)}(-x_1, x')dt = -\int_0^1 F_t^{(x)}(x_1, x')dt.$$

Set  $U_1 = \{(x, y) \in U : x_1 < 0\}$  and  $U_2 = \{(x, y) \in U : x_1 > 0\}$ . We get also from (8.4)

(9.12) 
$$\int_0^1 F_t^{(x)}(x)dt = K_\alpha(\{f_t\})^{(x)}(x) \ge 0, \quad \text{for} \quad x \in U_1'.$$

Denote  $U_i = U'_i \times U''_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  for i = 1, 2. In view of (8.5), (9.9), (9.10) (9.11) and (9.12) we obtain

$$2A \operatorname{vol}(B) \leq \int_{U} |K_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\})(p)| dp \\ = \int_{U_{1}} |K_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\})(p)| dp + \int_{U_{2}} |K_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\})(p)| dp \\ = 2 \int_{U_{1}} K_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\})(p) dp \\ = 2 \int_{U_{1}'} K_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\})^{(x)}(x) dx \\ = 2 \int_{U_{1}'} \left(\int_{0}^{1} F_{t}^{(x)}(x) dt\right) dx \\ = 2 \int_{U_{1}'} \left|\int_{0}^{1} F_{t}^{(x)}(x) dt\right| dx \\ = \int_{U'} \left|\int_{0}^{1} F_{t}^{(x)}(x) dt\right| dx \\ = (n+1) \int_{U'} \left|\int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{U''} F_{t}(p) dy\right) dt\right| dx \\ \leq (n+1) \int_{U'} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{U''} |F_{t}(p)| dy\right) dt\right) dx \\ = (n+1) \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{U} |F_{t}(p)| dp\right) dt \\ \leq (n+1) \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{U} |F_{t}|_{\infty} dp\right) dt \\ = (n+1) \operatorname{vol}(U) \int_{0}^{1} ||F_{t}||_{\infty} dt \\ = (n+1) \operatorname{vol}(U) l_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\}),$$

where  $|| F ||_{\infty} = \max_{p \in N} F(p) - \min_{p \in N} F(p)$ . Thus, in light of (4.6) and Prop. 1.3 we obtain the inequality (1.4).

9.4. **Proof of 1.7(2).** Under the notation of sections 8 and 9.2 let  $B_1 = \tau_{-c}^{(x_1)}(B)$ ,  $B_2 = \tau_c^{(x_1)}(B)$  and  $\tilde{B} = B_1 \cup B_2$ . Suppose  $\{f_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{id}^{\psi} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  is an arbitrary isotopy, and  $\{F_t\} = \Psi_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})$ . It is easily checked that  $\bar{\varphi}_t^{\mathcal{F}} = \frac{29}{29}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{-c}^{(x_1)} \varphi_t^{\mathcal{F}} \tau_c^{(x_1)} \text{ and } \psi_t^{\mathcal{F}} &= [\bar{\varphi}_t^{\mathcal{F}}, \tau_{2c}^{(x_1)}]. \text{ Hence in view of (8.9) and (8.6)} \\ &\int_0^1 \frac{\partial f_t^{\mathcal{F}}}{\partial t}(p) dt = -\int_0^1 \frac{\partial (\bar{\varphi}_t^{\mathcal{F}})^{-1}}{\partial t} (f_1^{\mathcal{F}}(p)) dt = -\int_0^1 \frac{\partial (\bar{\varphi}_t^{\mathcal{F}})^{-1}}{\partial t}(p) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 F^{\psi}(p) dt = A \end{aligned}$$

for all  $p \in B_1$ . Thus, from (8.6) and (9.13) we obtain

(9.15) 
$$\int_{0}^{1} F_{t}(p)dt = \int_{0}^{1} F_{t}(f_{t}^{\mathcal{F}}(p))dt = A, \quad \forall p \in B_{1}.$$

By the same reasoning

(9.16) 
$$\int_{0}^{1} F_{t}(p)dt = \int_{0}^{1} F_{t}(f_{t}^{\mathcal{F}}(p))dt = -A, \quad \forall p \in B_{2}.$$

In light of (9.14) and (9.15) we get

$$2A \operatorname{vol}(B) = \int_{\tilde{B}} \left| \int_{0}^{1} F_{t}(p) dt \right| dp$$

$$\leq \int_{\tilde{B}} \left( \int_{0}^{1} |F_{t}(p)| dt \right) dp$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left( \int_{\tilde{B}} |F_{t}(p)| dp \right) dt$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{1} \left( \int_{\tilde{B}} ||F_{t}||_{\infty} dp \right) dt$$

$$= 2 \operatorname{vol}(B) \int_{0}^{1} ||F_{t}||_{\infty} dt$$

$$= 2 \operatorname{vol}(B) l_{\alpha}(\{f_{t}\}),$$

where  $|| F ||_{\infty} = \max_{p \in N} F(p) - \min_{p \in N} F(p)$ . By the definition of  $\rho_{\alpha}$  and Prop. 1.3, this completes the proof.

**Remark 9.2.** It is apparent from its proof that Theorem 1.5 still holds true for many elements of  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  "similar" to  $\varphi_{a,b}^A$  but a possible proof would be more complicated in notation. The same remark concerns Theorem 1.7 and elements of the commutator subgroup of  $\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$  "similar" to  $\psi_{a,b,c}^A$ .

## References

- A. Banyaga, Sur la structure du groupe des difféomorphismes qui préservent une forme symplectique, Comment. Math. Helv. 53 (1978), 174-227.
- [2] A. Banyaga, P. Donato, Length of contact isotopies and extensions of the Hofer metric, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 30(2006), 299-312.

- [3] D. Burago, S. Ivanov and L. Polterovich, Conjugation invariant norms on groups of geometric origin, Advanced Studies in Pures Math. 52, Groups of Diffeomorphisms (2008), 221-250.
- [4] V. Colin, S. Sandon, The discriminant length for contact and Legendre isotopies, arXiv:1205:2102.
- [5] Y. Eliashberg, L. Polterovich, Partially ordered groups and geometry of contact transformations, Geom. Funct. Anal. 10(2000), 1448-1476.
- [6] H. Geiges, An Introduction to Contact Topology, Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [7] M. Fraser, L. Polterovich, D. Rosen, On Sandon-type metrics for contactomorphism groups, arXiv:1207.3151.
- [8] H. Hofer, On the topological properties of symplectic maps, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh 115A(1990), 25-38.
- [9] H. Hofer, E. Zehnder, Symplectic Invariants and Hamiltonian Dynamics, Birkhauser, Advanced Texts, Birkhauser Verlag 1994.
- [10] A. Kriegl, P. W. Michor, *The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol.53, American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [11] F. Lalonde, D. McDuff, The geometry of symplectic energy, Ann. Math. 141(1995), 349-371.
- [12] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, Introduction to Symplectic Topology, Oxford Science, Oxford 1995.
- [13] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, AMS, Providence, 2004.
- [14] D. McDuff, Monodromy in Hamiltonian Floer theory, Comment. Math. Helv. 85(2010), 95-133.
- [15] S. Müller, P. Spaeth, Topological contact dynamics I: Symplectization and applications of the energy-capacity inequality, arXiv:1110.6705v2.
- [16] L. Polterovich, Symplectic displacement energy for Lagrangian submanifolds, Erg. Th. Dynam. Sys. 13(1993), 357-367.
- [17] L. Polterovich, The geometry of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 2001.
- [18] T. Rybicki, Commutators of contactomorphisms, Adv. Math. 225(2010), 3291-3326.
- [19] T. Rybicki, Boundedness of certain automorphism groups of an open manifold, Geometriae Dedicata 151,1(2011), 175-186.
- [20] J.-C. Sikorav, Systemes Hamiltoniens et topologie symplectiques, ETS, EDITRICE PISA, 1990.
- [21] J. M. Souriau, Structures des systèmes dynamiques, Dunod, Paris 1969.
- [22] E. H. Spanier, Algebraic topology, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1966.
- [23] M. Usher, Hofer's metrics and boundary depth, Annales scientifiques de l'ENS 46,1 (2013), 57-128.

FACULTY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, AGH UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, AL. MICKIEWICZA 30, 30-059 KRAKÓW, POLAND *E-mail address*: tomasz@agh.edu.pl