

HOFER METRIC FROM THE CONTACT POINT OF VIEW

TOMASZ RYBICKI

ABSTRACT. Given a manifold M endowed with a contact 1-form α , a bi-invariant pseudo-metric ϱ_α is introduced on $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$, the compactly supported identity component of the group of all strict contactomorphisms of (M, α) . For M open ϱ_α is a metric. If (M, α) is the total space of a prequantization bundle of an integral closed symplectic manifold (N, ω) with simply-connected Hamiltonian group $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$, the existence of $\varphi \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ such that $\varrho_\alpha(\text{id}, \varphi) > 0$ is shown by elementary methods. In view of the simplicity theorem on $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ it follows an alternative, elementary and natural, proof of the non-degeneracy of the Hofer metric ϱ_H on $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$. The proof amounts to showing a surprising estimate from below on ϱ_H , which cannot be derived from the energy-capacity inequality. It follows the unboundedness of ϱ_H on $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$. Analogous results for the contactisation (M, α) of an exact open symplectic manifold (N, ω) (with no assumption on $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$) are also obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(M, \xi = \ker(\alpha))$ be a co-oriented contact manifold, i.e. M is a C^∞ smooth paracompact manifold of dimension $2n + 1$, and α is a C^∞ 1-form on M such that $\nu_\alpha = \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n$ is a volume form. A contactomorphism f of (M, α) is a C^∞ diffeomorphism of M such that $f^*\alpha = \lambda_f\alpha$, where λ_f is a smooth nowhere vanishing function on M depending on f and α . In other words, f preserves the contact distribution ξ . Next, a contactomorphism f is called *strict* if λ_f is equal to 1 on M .

Let $\text{Cont}(M, \xi)$ denote the compactly supported identity component of the group of all contactomorphisms of (M, ξ) . In view of [18] $\text{Cont}(M, \xi)$ is a simple group. We shall deal mainly with contact manifolds (M, α) in the narrow sense. The symbol $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ stands for the totality of strict contactomorphisms of (M, α) which can be joined to the identity by a compactly supported isotopy consisting of strict contactomorphisms. Note that the group $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ is neither simple nor a normal subgroup of $\text{Cont}(M, \xi)$.

Date: November 9, 2013.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53D10, 57R17, 22E65.

Key words and phrases. Contactomorphism, strict contactomorphism, group of contactomorphisms, Hamiltonian group, Hofer metric, non-degeneracy, quantomorphism group, unbounded group.

The Hofer metric ϱ_H is a bi-invariant metric on the group of compactly supported Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ of a symplectic manifold (N, ω) . Hofer geometry constitutes a basic tool in symplectic topology (see [9], [12], [13], [17]). In attempt to extend methods of Hofer geometry to the contact case Banyaga and Donato in [2] introduced a bi-invariant metric ϱ_{BD} on $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ for a very special type of (M, α) . Recently, Müller and Spaeth in [15] generalized this definition for all contact manifolds (M, α) . In this paper we deal with a bi-invariant pseudo-metric ϱ_α on $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$. For M open ϱ_α is a metric. It is then equivalent to the Banyaga-Donato metric (cf. (5.3)) but very likely different from it. For M open the non-degeneracy of ϱ_α is shown by making use of the energy-capacity inequality for contact manifolds [15].

For any $F \in C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ denote

$$(1.1) \quad \|F\|_\infty = \max_{p \in M} F(p) - \min_{p \in M} F(p).$$

Theorem 1.1. *Given any contact manifold (M, α) and a compactly supported vector field X on M , denote*

$$\|X\|_\alpha = \|\alpha(X)\|_\infty.$$

Then the function $\varrho_\alpha : \text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \times \text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, given by

$$\varrho_\alpha(\varphi, \psi) = \inf\{l_\alpha(\{f_t\}) \mid \{f_t\} \text{ lies in } \text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \text{ with } f_0 = \varphi, f_1 = \psi\}$$

for any $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$, is a bi-invariant pseudo-metric on the group $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$. Here $l_\alpha(\{f_t\})$ is the length of an isotopy $\{f_t\}$ in $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$. Moreover, ϱ_α is a metric if and only if M is open.

From now on we shall consider contact manifolds satisfying the assumptions (1) or (2) below.

Assumption 1.2. (1) (M, α) is the total space of a prequantization bundle of an integral closed symplectic manifold (N, ω) ;
(2) (M, α) is the contactisation of an exact open symplectic manifold (N, ω) .

Denote by $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ a prequantization bundle. Then one defines a homomorphism

$$q : \text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \rightarrow \text{Ham}(N, \omega)$$

which is an isomorphism in the case (2), and which appears in the exact sequence of groups

$$(1.2) \quad \{1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \xrightarrow{q} \text{Ham}(N, \omega) \rightarrow \{1\},$$

in the case (1), that is in (1) $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ is a central extension of $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ (see section 6). The relation between the pseudo-metric ϱ_α and the Hofer metric ϱ_H is the following.

Proposition 1.3. *For every $f \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ we have $\varrho_\alpha(\text{id}, f) = \varrho_H(\text{id}, q(f))$.*

Our next aim is to show some part of Theorem 1.1 without appealing to hard symplectic methods. This part is formulated as the following

Claim 1.4. (1) *If (M, α) satisfies 1.2(1) and $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ is simply connected, then there is $\varphi \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ such that $\varrho_\alpha(\text{id}, \varphi) > 0$.*
 (2) *In the case 1.2(2) there is $\psi \in [\text{Cont}(M, \alpha), \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)]$ such that $\varrho_\alpha(\text{id}, \psi) > 0$.*

A straightforward consequence of Claim 1.4 and of the classical simplicity theorem on the Hamiltonian symplectomorphism group due to Banyaga [1] (Theorem 6.5) is an elementary and natural proof of the non-degeneracy of the Hofer metric ϱ_H for $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$, whenever the assumptions of Claim 1.4 are satisfied. This is a striking enough phenomenon, bearing in mind infinite variational methods or hard symplectic methods in the classical proofs, see [9], [16], [11], [13] and [17]. The proof of Claim 1.4 constitutes an example of the influence of the structure of strict contactomorphism group (i.e. the quantomorphism group) on symplectic topology. Up to now only the opposite influence has been known, e.g. in the paper [5] by Eliashberg and Polterovich, or in [15], the contactomorphism group has been investigated by symplectic methods. Further investigations of the properties of ϱ_α might be of interest both in contact and symplectic topology. Namely, the fact that the non-degeneracy of the Hofer metric on $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ is encoded in the related contact structure seems to reveal new perspectives in contact topology.

The proof of Claim 1.4 amounts to showing some estimates from below on $\varrho_H(\text{id}, q(\varphi))$ and $\varrho_H(\text{id}, q(\psi))$, where φ and ψ are determined by some smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . It follows that the metrics ϱ_α and ϱ_H on the groups in question (the case 1.4(1)) or on their commutator subgroups (the case 1.4(2)) are unbounded.

The first homotopy group of $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ is known only in a few cases (cf. [17], p.62). For instance, $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(N, \omega)) = 0$ if (N, ω) is a closed oriented surface with genus ≥ 1 . If $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(N, \omega)) = 0$ we obtain an estimate from below on ϱ_α and ϱ_H which is surprisingly simple.

Theorem 1.5. *Under the assumption of Claim 1.4(1), let $\varphi \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ be the time-one map of a smooth function $F^A \in C^\infty(N, \mathbb{R})$, $A \in \mathbb{R}_+$, such that $F^A = A$ on a canonical chart domain $B \subset N$. Then*

$$(1.3) \quad A \leq \varrho_\alpha(\text{id}, \varphi) = \varrho_H(\text{id}, q(\varphi)).$$

Recall that a group G is called *unbounded* if it carries a bi-invariant metric which is unbounded. Otherwise it is called *bounded*.

Corollary 1.6. *Let (M, α) and (N, ω) be as in Theorem 1.5. Then the pseudo-metric ϱ_α on $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ and the Hofer metric ϱ_H on $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ are unbounded. Consequently, the group $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ is unbounded.*

Indeed, it suffices to take A tending to ∞ .

In the case (2) of M, N exact open we are able to omit the assumption $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(N, \omega)) = 0$. To formulate a theorem in this case we need a definition of $\psi \in [\text{Cont}(M, \alpha), \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)]$. Moreover, if we maintain the assumption $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(N, \omega)) = 0$ then (1.3) will be true for this ψ .

Namely, for every $A, a, b > 0$ choose a smooth bump function

$$\mu^A = \mu_{a,b}^A : [-(a+b), a+b] \rightarrow [0, A]$$

such that $\text{supp}(\mu^A) \subset (-(a+b), a+b)$, $\mu^A = A$ on $[-a, a]$, with "typical" properties (see section 8.2). For all $p = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ we define

$$F_{a,b}^A(p) := \mu^{\bar{A}}(x_1) \cdots \mu^{\bar{A}}(x_n) \mu^{\bar{A}}(y_1) \cdots \mu^{\bar{A}}(y_n) \quad \text{where } \bar{A} = A^{\frac{1}{2n}}.$$

Let $\varphi = \varphi_{a,b}^A$ be the time-one map of $F_{a,b}^A$. It follows that $q(\varphi) \in \text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ is also the time-one map of $F_{a,b}^A$.

Next for $A, a, b, c > 0$ we define

$$F_{a,b,c}^A(p) := F_{a,b}^A(\tau_c^{(x_1)}(p)) - F_{a,b}^A(\tau_{-c}^{(x_1)}(p)),$$

where $\tau^{(x_1)}$ is the translation along the x_1 -axis. Assume that $c > a+b$ and set

$$U = (-(a+b+c), a+b+c) \times (-(a+b), a+b)^{2n-1}.$$

In addition, assume that U is a canonical chart domain. Let $\psi = \psi_{a,b,c}^A \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ be the time-one map of $F_{a,b,c}^A$. Then $q(\psi) \in \text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ is also the time-one map of $F_{a,b,c}^A$. Moreover, ψ and $q(\psi)$ belong to the commutator subgroup of $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ and $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$, resp. We shall denote $B = [-a, a]^{2n}$.

Theorem 1.7. (1) *If Assumption 1.2(2) is satisfied then*

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{2A \text{vol}(B)}{(n+1) \text{vol}(U)} \leq \varrho_\alpha(\text{id}, \psi) = \varrho_H(\text{id}, q(\psi)).$$

(2) *If, in addition, $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \cong \text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ is simply connected, then*

$$(1.5) \quad A \leq \varrho_\alpha(\text{id}, \psi) = \varrho_H(\text{id}, q(\psi)).$$

E.g., (1.5) is satisfied for $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_{st})$ with $n = 1$ or 2 .

Observe that, in general, the inequalities (1.3), (1.4) or (1.5) are not a consequence of the energy-capacity inequality. In fact, we can take A large enough without changing the support of φ and ψ .

It is an immediate consequence of the surjectivity of the Calabi homomorphism $\text{Cal} : \text{Ham}(N, \omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that the Hofer metric on $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ is unbounded, whenever (N, ω) is exact and $\text{vol}(N) < \infty$. We strengthen this fact as follows.

Corollary 1.8. *The metric ϱ_α on $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ and the Hofer metric ϱ_H on $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ restricted to the corresponding commutator subgroups are unbounded. Consequently, the group $\ker(\text{Cal})$ is unbounded.*

In fact, $\ker(\text{Cal}) = [\text{Ham}(N, \omega), \text{Ham}(N, \omega)]$, cf. [1].

There are some results related to Corollaries 1.6 and 1.8. For instance, Sikorav proved in [20] that the Hofer metric on the group $\text{Ham}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_{st})$ is unbounded, but it is not stably unbounded. The unboundedness of ϱ_H is established for surfaces, complex projective spaces with the Fubini-Study symplectic form and closed manifolds with $\pi_2 = 0$, see [3] and references therein. Recently, in the papers [14] by McDuff and [23] by Usher the problem of the unboundedness of the Hofer metric has been solved in the affirmative for some symplectic manifolds by employing hard symplectic methods.

Notice that contrary to Corollaries 1.6 and 1.8 the identity components of most of diffeomorphism groups are bounded (see [3], [19]), but it is still not known if it is the case of all manifolds. In the contact category several result concerning the (un)boundedness of $\text{Cont}(M, \xi)$ and its universal covering group have recently been proved by Colin and Sandon [4], and Fraser, Polterovich and Rosen [7].

Convention. We will often omit the sign of composition \circ .

Acknowledgements. A part of this paper was written when I visited Laboratoire Jean Leray, Université de Nantes, in March 2012. I would like to thank for the invitation and hospitality. I express my gratitude to François Laudenbach and Vincent Colin for helpful discussions and critical comments on an earlier version of the paper. Also I would like to thank Yasha Eliashberg for calling to my attention the reference [15].

2. THE HOFER METRIC

First we recall the definition of the Hofer metric, c.f. [9], [12]. Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold. Recall that there is a one-to-one correspondence between isotopies $\{f_t\}$ in $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$ and smooth families of functions H_t (up to constant) in $C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$i_{X_t}\omega = dH_t,$$

where $X_t = \dot{f}_t$ is defined by $\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} \circ f_t^{-1}$. Let $\mathfrak{ham}(M, \omega)$ be the Lie algebra of $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$, i.e. the totality of compactly supported Hamiltonian vector fields on (M, ω) . Then for $X \in \mathfrak{ham}(M, \omega)$ we set by using the norm (1.1)

$$(2.1) \quad \|X\|_\infty = \|H_X\|_\infty = \max_{p \in M} H_X(p) - \min_{p \in M} H_X(p),$$

where $H_X \in C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that $i_X\omega = dH_X$ ($\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a norm on $\mathfrak{ham}(M, \omega)$ even if M compact). Observe that

$$(2.2) \quad \|H \circ \varphi\|_\infty = \|H\|_\infty$$

for all $H \in C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi \in \text{Ham}(M, \omega)$, that is the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is invariant w.r.t. the adjoint action of $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$ on $\mathfrak{ham}(M, \omega)$. Now the *Hofer length* of a Hamiltonian isotopy $\{f_t\}$ is defined as

$$(2.3) \quad l_H(\{f_t\}) = \int_0^1 \|\dot{f}_t\|_\infty dt.$$

The *Hofer norm* (or the *Hofer energy*) is then defined for $\varphi \in \text{Ham}(M, \omega)$ by

$$(2.4) \quad E_H(\varphi) = \inf_{\{f_t\}} (l_H(\{f_t\})),$$

where $\{f_t\}$ runs over all Hamiltonian isotopies joining id to φ .

Let G be a group. For a function $\nu : G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\nu(e) = 0$ consider the following conditions. For any $g, h \in G$

- (1) $\nu(g^{-1}) = \nu(g)$;
- (2) $\nu(gh) \leq \nu(g) + \nu(h)$;
- (3) $\nu(g) > 0$ if and only if $g \neq e$;
- (4) $\nu(hgh^{-1}) = \nu(g)$.

Then ν is called a *pseudo-norm* (resp. *norm*) if (1)-(2) (resp. (1)-(3)) are fulfilled. If (3) is not satisfied, ν is called *degenerate*. Next, ν is *conjugation-invariant* if (4) is satisfied. In view of Lemma 3.1 below and (2.2) it is easily seen that E_H is a conjugation-invariant pseudo-norm.

The following theorem was proved by Hofer in [8] for $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. It was generalized for some other symplectic manifolds by Polterovich in [16]. Finally, the proof for all symplectic manifolds was given by Lalonde and McDuff in [11]. In all three proofs the Hofer's idea of displacement energy and hard symplectic methods are in use.

Theorem 2.1. $E_H : \text{Ham}(M, \omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a norm. Consequently, $\varrho_H(\varphi, \psi) := E_H(\varphi\psi^{-1})$ is a bi-invariant metric, called the Hofer metric.

The Hofer metric plays a crucial role in symplectic topology and various important notions and facts are expressed in terms of it (see, e.g., [9], [12], [13], [17]). The original proof of its non-degeneracy for $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is based on the action principle and a crucial role in it is played by the action spectrum. The Hofer metric is intimately related, on the one hand, to a capacity c_0 (c.f. [9]) and hence to periodic orbits, and on the other hand to the displacement energy.

3. THE GROUPS OF CONTACTOMORPHISMS

For a smooth manifold M by $\text{Diff}(M)$ we denote the compactly supported identity component of the group of all diffeomorphisms on M . Let $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$ and $\{f_t\}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a compactly supported smooth isotopy such that $f_1 = f$, $f_0 = \text{id}$. Then $\{f_t\}$ determines a smooth family of vector fields $\{\dot{f}_t\}$ in $\mathfrak{X}_c(M)$, the Lie algebra of all compactly supported vector fields on M . Namely for all $p \in M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$(3.1) \quad \dot{f}_t(p) = \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}(f_t^{-1}(p)).$$

Moreover, there is the one-to-one correspondence between

$$\{f_t\} \in C_e^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \text{Diff}(M)) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M) \mid f(0) = \text{id}\}$$

and $\{\dot{f}_t\} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{X}_c(M))$, see, e.g., [10] for more details. In particular, a time-independent vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}_c(M)$ corresponds to its flow $\text{Fl}^X \in C_e^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \text{Diff}(M))$.

Likewise, for any $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$ the space $C_\varphi^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \text{Diff}(M)) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M) \mid f(0) = \varphi\}$ identifies with $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{X}_c(M))$ by $\{f_t\} \mapsto \overbrace{\{f_t \circ \varphi^{-1}\}}$.

The following is easy to check.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\{f_t\}, \{g_t\} \in C_e^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \text{Diff}(M))$ and $\phi \in \text{Diff}(M)$. Then:*

- (1) $\overbrace{\dot{f}_t g_t} = \dot{f}_t + (f_t)_*(\dot{g}_t)$.
- (2) $\overbrace{\dot{f}_t^{-1}} = -(\dot{f}_t^{-1})_*(\dot{f}_t)$.
- (3) $\overbrace{\phi \dot{f}_t \phi^{-1}} = \phi_*(\dot{f}_t)$.

Let $(M, \xi = \ker(\alpha))$ be a co-oriented contact manifold with $\dim(M) = 2n+1$. The contact form α can be put into the following normal form. For any $p \in M$ there is a chart $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z) : M \supset U \rightarrow u(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, centered at p , such that $\alpha|_U = dz - y_1 dx_1 - \dots - y_n dx_n$.

The symbol $\mathbf{cont}(M, \xi)$ will stand for the Lie algebra of all contact vector fields, i.e. $X \in \mathbf{cont}(M, \xi)$ iff $L_X \alpha = \mu_X \alpha$ for some function $\mu_X \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, where L is the Lie derivative. This definition is independent of α , though μ_X depends on α . Let $\mathbf{cont}_c(M, \xi)$ be the Lie subalgebra of compactly supported elements of $\mathbf{cont}(M, \xi)$. Then $\mathbf{cont}_c(M, \xi)$ is the Lie algebra of the Lie group $\text{Cont}(M, \xi)$ (c.f. [10]) and in view of (3.1) we get the bijection

$$C_e^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \text{Cont}(M, \xi)) \ni \{f_t\} \mapsto \{\dot{f}_t\} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{cont}_c(M, \xi)).$$

Set $I = [0, 1]$. For a Lie group G and $g, h \in G$ we introduce the notation $\mathcal{J}G = C^\infty(I, G)$ and

$$\mathcal{J}_g G = \{f \in \mathcal{J}G \mid f(0) = g\}, \quad \mathcal{J}_g^h G = \{f \in \mathcal{J}G \mid f(0) = g, f(1) = h\}$$

for the isotopy groups of G .

Then the above bijection induces the bijection for I (as well as for any interval of \mathbb{R} with some initial condition fixed)

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \text{Cont}(M, \xi) \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \text{cont}_c(M, \xi).$$

In particular, one has $L_{X_t} \alpha = \mu_{X_t} \alpha$ with $\mu_{X_t} = (\partial \ln \lambda_{f_t} / \partial t) \circ f_t^{-1}$ where $f_t^* \alpha = \lambda_{f_t} \alpha$ and $X_t = \dot{f}_t$. Next we define $\text{cont}_c(M, \alpha) = \{X \in \text{cont}_c(M, \xi) : \mu_X = 0\}$, and the bijection

$$\mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \text{cont}_c(M, \alpha).$$

Let R_α denote the unique vector field satisfying $i_{R_\alpha} \alpha = 1$ and $i_{R_\alpha} d\alpha = 0$, where i_X is the interior product w.r.t. X . R_α is called the *Reeb vector field*. Clearly $R_\alpha \in \text{cont}(M, \alpha)$. A vector field X is called *horizontal* if $i_X \alpha = 0$. A dual concept is a *semibasic* form, i.e. any 1-form γ such that $\gamma(R_\alpha) = 0$. We have the \mathbb{R} -linear isomorphism

$$I_{d\alpha} : \mathfrak{X}(M) \ni X \mapsto i_X d\alpha + \alpha(X)\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}^1(M)$$

between the space of vector fields $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ and the space of 1-forms $\mathfrak{F}^1(M)$. The isomorphism $I_{d\alpha}$ preserves the duality, that is

$$(3.3) \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \text{ is horizontal} \iff I_{d\alpha}(X) \text{ is semi-basic.}$$

Given any function $H \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, we get a semi-basic 1-form

$$\theta_H = dH(R_\alpha)\alpha - dH.$$

It defines uniquely a horizontal vector field Y_H such that

$$(3.4) \quad i_{Y_H} d\alpha = dH(R_\alpha)\alpha - dH.$$

As a consequence we have the existence of the following isomorphism I_α , an important tool in contact geometry.

Proposition 3.2. *There is an \mathbb{R} -linear isomorphism*

$$I_\alpha : \text{cont}(M, \xi) \ni X \mapsto i_X \alpha = \alpha(X) \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}).$$

In particular, $C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ is identified with $\text{cont}_c(M, \xi)$ by means of α .

For $H \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ we have

$$(3.5) \quad I_\alpha^{-1}(H) = HR_\alpha + I_{d\alpha}^{-1}((i_{R_\alpha} dH)\alpha - dH) = HR_\alpha + Y_H.$$

Set $X_H = I_\alpha^{-1}(H)$. Notice that, in view of (3.3), (3.4) and the Cartan formula,

$$(3.6) \quad L_{X_H} \alpha = (dH(R_\alpha))\alpha,$$

that is X_H is indeed an element of $\text{cont}(M, \xi)$. It follows that any *basic* function $H \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, i.e. a function invariant under the Reeb flow, gives rise to a horizontal vector field Y_H given by

$$(3.7) \quad i_{Y_H} d\alpha = -dH.$$

In view of (3.2) and Prop. 3.2 we get the bijective correspondence

$$(3.8) \quad \Psi_\alpha : \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \text{Cont}(M, \xi) \ni \{f_t\} \mapsto \Psi_\alpha(\{f_t\}) \in C_c^\infty(I \times M, \mathbb{R}).$$

Furthermore, denote by $C_b^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ the space of compactly supported basic functions on M . Hence in view of (3.6) there is a one-to-one correspondence

$$(3.9) \quad \Psi_\alpha : \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \ni \{f_t\} \mapsto \Psi_\alpha(\{f_t\}) \in C_b^\infty(I \times M, \mathbb{R}).$$

Now from Lemma 3.1 we derive the following

Lemma 3.3. *Let Ψ_α be as in (3.8), $\{f_t\}, \{g_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \text{Cont}(M, \xi)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Cont}(M, \xi)$. Then:*

- (1) $\Psi_\alpha(\{f_t g_t\}) = \Psi_\alpha(\{f_t\}) + (\lambda_{f_t} \cdot \Psi_\alpha(\{g_t\})) \circ f_t^{-1}$;
- (2) $\Psi_\alpha(\{f_t^{-1}\}) = -\lambda_{f_t}^{-1} \cdot (\Psi_\alpha(\{f_t\}) \circ f_t)$;
- (3) $\Psi_\alpha(\{f_t^{-1} g_t\}) = \lambda_{f_t}^{-1} \cdot ((\Psi_\alpha(\{g_t\}) - \Psi_\alpha(\{f_t\})) \circ f_t)$;
- (4) $\Psi_\alpha(\{\varphi f_t \varphi^{-1}\}) = \lambda_\varphi \cdot (\Psi_\alpha(\{f_t\}) \circ \varphi^{-1})$.

We will deal with the standard contact form $\alpha_{st} = dz - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i dx_i$ on \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} or $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Then we have $R_{\alpha_{st}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ and $d\alpha_{st} = \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dy_i$. Observe that $\xi_{st} = \ker(\alpha_{st})$ is generated by $Y_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$ and $X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, where $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Next it is easily seen that $I_{d\alpha_{st}}(Y_i) = -dx_i$ and $I_{d\alpha_{st}}(X_i) = dy_i$. For every $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \alpha_{st})$ we have $i_X \alpha_{st} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(3.10) \quad i_X \alpha_{st} = u_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i u_i \quad \text{if} \quad X = u_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + u_{n+i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}.$$

Conversely, in view of (3.5) and the above equalities, we have

$$(3.11) \quad X_H = \left(H - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_i} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} + y_i \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i},$$

for all $H \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \mathbb{R})$. Indeed, it is easily checked that $\alpha(X_H) = H$ and $L_{X_H} \alpha = \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} \alpha$. These equalities imply (3.11).

Throughout we will write x instead of (x_1, \dots, x_n) and y instead of (y_1, \dots, y_n) . We specify some elements in $\text{Cont}(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{S}^1, \alpha_{st})$, where $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$:

- (1) The translations $\tau_t^{(z)} : (x, y, z) \rightarrow (x, y, z + t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Here H is the constant function 1 and $X_{H_0} = R_{\alpha_{st}}$.
- (2) The translations $\tau_t^{(x_i)} : (x, y, z) \rightarrow (x + t\mathbf{1}_i, y, z)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with $H(x, y, z) = -y_i$ and $X_H = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$.
- (3) The pseudo-translations $\tau_t^{(y_i)} : (x, y, z) \rightarrow (x, y + t\mathbf{1}_i, z + tx_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $H(x, y, z) = x_i$ and $X_H = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} + x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$.

Observe that the maps $\tau_t^{(y_i)}$ from (3) cannot be "replaced" by the translations along the y_i axes, since such translations are not contactomorphisms as they do not preserve the contact distribution ξ .

Proposition 3.4. (1) *If a diffeomorphism f of $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is a contactomorphism, where $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{2n}, f_{2n+1})$ w.r.t. the coordinates (x, y, z) , then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_{2n+1}}{\partial z} - \sum_{j=1}^n f_{n+j} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial z} &= \lambda_f, \\ \frac{\partial f_{2n+1}}{\partial x_i} - \sum_{j=1}^n f_{n+j} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} &= -y_i \lambda_f, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \frac{\partial f_{2n+1}}{\partial y_i} - \sum_{j=1}^n f_{n+j} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial y_i} &= 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if f is independent of z then $\lambda_f = 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

(2) *Given a contact manifold (M, α) , if there is a nonconstant $H \in C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ independent of z , then the group $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ is non-trivial.*

Proof. (1) follows from the coordinate expression for f , see Prop. 2.2 in [18].

Next, (2) is a consequence of (1) and (3.5). Namely, take elements of the flow Fl^{X_H} , where H is independent of z . \square

4. THE PSEUDO-METRIC ϱ_α

Let $(M, \xi = \ker(\alpha))$ be a (co-oriented) contact manifold. We shall deal with the pseudo-metric ϱ_α on $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$.

In view of Prop. 3.2 it is obvious that $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ given by

$$(4.1) \quad \|X\|_\alpha = \|\alpha(X)\|_\infty$$

is a pseudo-norm on $\mathbf{cont}_c(M, \xi)$. If M is open then for $X \in \mathbf{cont}_c(M, \xi)$ we have $\max_{p \in M} |\alpha(X)(p)| \leq \|X\|_\alpha$. That is, $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ is a norm for M open. Contrary to the Hamiltonian case, $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ is not a norm if M is closed. In fact, the Reeb vector field R_α belongs to $\mathbf{cont}_c(M, \alpha)$ and clearly $\|R_\alpha\|_\alpha = 0$. Next ϱ_α possesses the invariance property, similar to (2.2).

Proposition 4.1. *For any $X \in \mathbf{cont}(M, \xi)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ we have $\|\text{Ad}_\varphi(X)\|_\alpha = \|X\|_\alpha$.*

Proof. It follows from the equality $\alpha(\varphi_* X) = \varphi^* \alpha(X) \circ \varphi^{-1} = (\lambda_\varphi \alpha(X)) \circ \varphi^{-1} = \alpha(X) \circ \varphi^{-1}$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$. \square

For a contact isotopy $\{f_t\}$, $t \in I$, in $\text{Cont}(M, \xi)$ we introduce the notion of *contact length* by

$$(4.2) \quad l_\alpha(\{f_t\}) = \int_0^1 \|\dot{f}_t\|_\alpha dt = \int_0^1 \|F_t\|_\infty dt,$$

where $F \in C_c^\infty(I \times M, \mathbb{R})$ corresponds to $\{f_t\}$ and $F_t = F(t, \cdot)$. Here we do not assume that $f_0 = \text{id}$ and we have the equality

$$(4.3) \quad l_\alpha(\{f_{1-t}\}) = l_\alpha(\{f_t\}),$$

and the right-invariance of l_α

$$(4.4) \quad \forall \varphi \in \text{Cont}(M, \xi), \quad l_\alpha(\{f_t \varphi\}) = l_\alpha(\{f_t\}).$$

Lemma 4.2. *If $\sigma : [a, b] \rightarrow I$ be a smooth non-decreasing surjection then for any isotopy $\{f_t\}$ in $\text{Cont}(M, \xi)$ one has $l_\alpha(\{f_{\sigma(t)}\}_{a \leq t \leq b}) = l_\alpha(\{f_t\})$.*

Proof. Suppose $F \in C_c^\infty(I \times M, \mathbb{R})$ corresponds by (3.8) to $\{f_t\}$ and $F^\sigma \in C_c^\infty(I \times M, \mathbb{R})$ corresponds to $\{f_{\sigma(t)}\}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^\sigma(t, x) &= \alpha_x(\widehat{f_{\sigma(t)}}(x)) = \alpha_x \left(\sigma'(t) \frac{\partial f_\tau}{\partial \tau} \Big|_{\tau=\sigma(t)} (f_{\sigma(t)}^{-1}(x)) \right) \\ &= \sigma'(t) \alpha_x(\dot{f}_{\sigma(t)}(x)) = \sigma'(t) F(\sigma(t), x). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} l_\alpha(\{f_{\sigma(t)}\}) &= \int_a^b \|F_t^\sigma\|_\infty dt = \int_a^b \sigma'(t) \|F_{\sigma(t)}\|_\infty dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \|F_t\|_\infty dt = l_\alpha(\{f_t\}), \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Note that for any $0 < s < 1$ one has

$$(4.5) \quad l_\alpha(\{f_t\}_{t \in I}) = l_\alpha(\{f_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq s}) + l_\alpha(\{f_t\}_{s \leq t \leq 1}).$$

As a consequence of the above facts we get

Proposition 4.3. *For all isotopies $\{f_t\}$, $\{g_t\}$ in $\text{Cont}(M, \xi)$ (resp. $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$) we have:*

- (1) *For any $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ there exists an isotopy $\{\bar{f}_t\}$ in $\text{Cont}(M, \xi)$ (resp. $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$) with $l_\alpha(\{\bar{f}_t\}) = l_\alpha(\{f_t\})$ such that $f_t = f_0$ for $|t| \leq \delta$ and $f_t = f_1$ for $|1-t| \leq \delta$.*
- (2) *There is $\{\tilde{f}_t\}$ in $\text{Cont}(M, \xi)$ (resp. $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$) such that $\Psi_\alpha(\{\tilde{f}_t\})$ is 1-periodic in t , $\tilde{f}_0 = f_0$, $\tilde{f}_1 = f_1$, and $l_\alpha(\{\tilde{f}_t\}) = l_\alpha(\{f_t\})$.*
- (3) *$l_\alpha(\{\bar{f}_t\} \star \{\bar{g}_t\}) = l_\alpha(\{f_t\}) + l_\alpha(\{g_t\})$, where $\{\bar{f}_t\}$, $\{\bar{g}_t\}$ are as in (1), and $\{\bar{f}_t\} \star \{\bar{g}_t\}$ is their concatenation.*
- (4) *$\forall \varphi \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha), \quad l_\alpha(\{\varphi f_t \varphi^{-1}\}) = l_\alpha(\{f_t\})$.*

Proof. In fact, (1) is a consequence of Lemma 4.2, and (2) and (3) follow from (1) and (4.5). Prop. 4.1 and (4.4) yield (4). \square

For $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ define

$$(4.6) \quad \varrho_\alpha(\varphi, \psi) = \inf_{\{f_t\}} \{l_\alpha(\{f_t\})\},$$

where $\{f_t\}$ is an element of $\mathcal{J}_\varphi^\psi \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$. Then, in view of Prop.4.3, (4.3) and (4.4) ϱ_α is a bi-invariant pseudo-metric on $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$.

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 we shall need the energy-capacity inequality in the contact case. For $F \in C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ denote $\|F\| := \max_{p \in M} |F(p)|$.

Theorem 4.4. (*Theorem 1.1 in [15]*) *Let $(M, \xi = \ker(\alpha))$ is a contact manifold. Suppose that $\phi \in \text{Cont}(M, \xi)$ is the time-one map of $H \in C_c^\infty(I \times M, \mathbb{R})$ and that ϕ displaces a ball. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of the contact Hamiltonian H , such that*

$$(4.7) \quad 0 < C e^{-\|h\|} \leq \|H\|,$$

where $h \in C_c^\infty(I \times M, \mathbb{R})$ is the conformal factor of the isotopy $\{\phi_t\} = \Psi_\alpha^{-1}(H)$, i.e. $\phi_t^* \alpha = e^{h(t, \cdot)} \alpha$.

Note that (4.7) may be written in this form because of the inequalities (5.3) below.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 We already know that ϱ_α is a bi-invariant pseudo-metric on $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$. For M open the non-degeneracy follows from Theorem 4.4 since in the group $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ $h(t, \cdot) = 0$ on M and from the inequality $\|H\| \leq \|H\|_\infty$. For M closed the elements of the flow of R_α belong to $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ and $\|R_\alpha\|_\alpha = 0$.

5. RELATION TO BANYAGA-DONATO METRIC

Let us remark that Banyaga and Donato introduced in [2] a bi-invariant metric on $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$, where (M, α) is a compact regular contact manifold satisfying some additional condition, by using the Hofer metric on the corresponding Hamiltonian group of the base of M . Namely, if (M, α) is as above then the mapping $c : \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$c(\{f_t\}) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_M \left(\int_0^1 F_t(p) dt \right) \nu_\alpha$$

induces an epimorphism $\tilde{c} : \widetilde{\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $F = \Psi_\alpha(\{f_t\})$ corresponds to $\{f_t\}$ and $\widetilde{\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)}$ is the universal covering of $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$. Moreover, it is assumed in [2] that

$$\tilde{c}(\pi_1(\text{Cont}(M, \alpha))) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now the contact length defined by Banyaga and Donato takes the form

$$(5.1) \quad l_{BD}(\{f_t\}) = |c(f_t)| + \int_0^1 \|\dot{f}_t\|_\infty dt,$$

where $\{f_t\}$ is an isotopy in $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is given by (2.1). Next, the bi-invariant metric ϱ_{BD} is defined by (4.6), where l_α is replaced by l_{BD} .

Recently, Müller and Spaeth introduced in [15] the definition of the Banyaga-Donato metric for all contact manifolds (Theorem 1.2 in [15]) by using a length slightly different than l_{BD} given by (5.1). Namely, for $F = \Psi_\alpha(\{f_t\})$ they defined

$$(5.2) \quad l'_{BD}(\{f_t\}) = \int_0^1 (\|\dot{f}_t\|_\infty + |c(F_t)|) dt,$$

where c is the average value of a function, that is $c(F) := \int_M F \nu_\alpha$. However we have that the metrics ϱ_{BD} defined by (5.1) and ϱ'_{BD} defined by (5.2) coincide in view of Lemma 10.3, [15].

The non-degeneracy of ϱ_{BD} was proven in [15] for all contact manifolds by applying the energy-capacity inequality for contact structures (Theorem 4.4). This inequality is obtained by means of the energy-capacity inequality in the symplectization of the contact manifold in question.

Since for M open and for all $F \in C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ we have

$$(5.3) \quad \|F\| \leq \|F\|_\infty + |c(F)| \leq 3 \|F\|,$$

where $\|F\| = \max_{p \in M} |F(p)|$, the metrics ϱ_α and ϱ_{BD} are then equivalent. On the other hand, it is very likely that these metrics are different (though difficult to show).

In the sequel we shall not appeal to the metric ϱ_{BD} .

6. RELATION TO HOFER METRIC

In this section we shall see how the pseudo-metric ϱ_α is related to the Hofer metric ϱ_H . Here (M, α) and (N, ω) fulfill Assumption 1.2.

Set $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ be a principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle with the \mathbb{S}^1 -action on M generated by the flow of a vector field R with period 1. Suppose that α is a connection 1-form on this bundle, i.e. α fulfills $L_R \alpha = 0$ and $i_R \alpha = 1$. In view of the Cartan formula we get $L_R(d\alpha) = 0$ and $i_R d\alpha = 0$. Consequently, $d\alpha$ determines a 2-form ω on N such that $\pi^* \omega = d\alpha$. Since π^* is injective, it follows from $\pi^* d\omega = d^2 \alpha = 0$ that $d\omega = 0$. Then ω is called the curvature form of α .

The above situation is well-known and occurs in the following cases:

- (1) Due to the first part of Boothby-Wang theorem (see, e.g., [6], 7.2.4), if (N, ω) is a closed symplectic manifold with symplectic integral form ω and $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ is the principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle with Euler class $-\omega \in$

$H^2(N, \mathbb{Z})$, then there exists a connection form α on M such that α is a regular contact form, the curvature form of α is ω , and the infinitesimal generator of the \mathbb{S}^1 -action is R_α . Recall that a nowhere vanishing vector field R on M is called *regular* if each point of M admits a flow box which meets at most once any integral curve of R . Next a contact form is *regular* if so is its Reeb vector field.

More generally, in view of the second part of Boothby-Wang theorem ([6], 7.2.5), if α is a regular contact form on a closed manifold M then, after rescaling it, α is the connection form on a principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ over a symplectic manifold (N, ω) , with ω the curvature form of α . Then (M, α) is called the prequantization of (N, ω) and $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ is the group of quantomorphisms (c.f. Souriau [21]).

- (2) If (N, ω) is an open exact symplectic manifold with $\omega = -d\theta$, then we define a contact manifold $(M, \xi = \ker(\alpha))$, where $M = N \times \mathbb{S}^1$, $\alpha = dz - \theta$, and z is the coordinate on \mathbb{S}^1 .

In each case the contact distribution coincides with the horizontal distribution of the connection in $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ determined by the form α .

Next recall the definition of a homomorphism

$$(6.1) \quad q : \text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \rightarrow \text{Ham}(N, \omega),$$

cf. [2]. Let $\{f_t\}$ be an isotopy in $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ joining id to $f = f_1$ and let $F = \Psi_\alpha(\{f_t\})$ be the corresponding element of $C_b^\infty(I \times M, \mathbb{R})$ by (3.9). Then $L_{\dot{f}_t}\alpha = 0$ and the Cartan formula yields the equalities

$$i_{\dot{f}_t} d\alpha = -d(i_{\dot{f}_t}\alpha) = -dF_t.$$

Since F_t is basic there is a smooth family H_t in $C_c^\infty(N, \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$(6.2) \quad -F_t = H_t \circ \pi.$$

In view of (3.7) there is a unique smooth curve of vector fields $Y_t = Y_{F_t}$ such that $\alpha(Y_t) = 0$ and $i_{Y_t}d\alpha = -dF_t$, that is Y_t is the horizontal part of \dot{f}_t . It is easily seen that Y_t coincides with the horizontal lift of $X_t = X_{H_t}$ w.r.t. the connection α .

Proposition 6.1. *Under the above notation, $\{f_t\}$ projects by π onto $\{h_t\}$, where $\{h_t\} = \Psi_\alpha^{-1}(H)$.*

Proof. Since F_t is basic it preserves the one-dimensional foliation generated by the Reeb vector field R_α . Hence f_t is projectable for all t . From the above considerations and (3.5) it is easily seen that f_t projects to h_t . \square

Then we define (6.1) by

$$(6.3) \quad q(f) = h_1.$$

Due to (6.2), (6.3) and Lemma 4.2

$$(6.4) \quad l_H(\{q(f_t)\}) = l_\alpha(\{f_t\}),$$

where l_H is given by (2.3).

Lemma 6.2. *If f is a strict contactomorphism of (M, α) preserving the fibres of q , i.e. $q(f) = \text{id}$, then $f = \tau_c^{(z)}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{S}^1$.*

Proof. It suffices to show the assertion on \hat{U} with canonical coordinates (x, y, z) . Since $f \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ it is independent of z and since f is fiber preserving, it takes the form $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{2n+1})$ with $f_i(x, y, z) = x_i$, $f_{n+i}(x, y, z) = y_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $f_{2n+1}(x, y, z) = z + C(x, y)$ for a smooth function C . Consequently, from Prop. 3.4 it follows that $C_{x_i} = C_{y_i} = 0$ for all i . Thus C is constant as required. \square

The following fact is well-known (see, e.g., [2], p.300 and 306).

Theorem 6.3. (1) $q^{-1}(h) = \{\tau_c \circ f : \tau_c = \text{Fl}_c^{R\alpha}, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for all $h \in \text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ and for all $f \in q^{-1}(h)$.
(2) For (N, ω) open q is an isomorphism.
(3) For (N, ω) closed we have the exact sequence of groups

$$\{1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \xrightarrow{q} \text{Ham}(N, \omega) \rightarrow \{1\},$$

that is $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ is a central extension of $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$.

Indeed, this is a consequence of Prop. 6.1 and Lemma 6.2.

It follows that q induces the epimorphism

$$\tilde{q} : \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \text{Ham}(N, \omega), \quad \{f_t\} \mapsto \{q(f_t)\}.$$

Due to Theorem 6.3 the kernel of \tilde{q} coincides with $C_e^\infty(I, \mathbb{S}^1) \subset C_e^\infty(I, \text{Cont}(M, \alpha))$.

Proof of Prop. 1.2. It follows from Theorem 6.3, Lemma 3.3(1), (6.4), (2.3) and (4.6).

The following property of q will be of use.

Theorem 6.4. *The map $q : \text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \rightarrow \text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ is a fibration.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.7.8 in [22] it suffices to show the existence of a lift function

$$\lambda : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \text{Cont}(M, \alpha), \quad \hat{q} \circ \lambda = \text{id},$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \{(f, \gamma) \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \times \mathcal{J} \text{Ham}(N, \omega) \mid \gamma(0) = q(f)\}$ and $\hat{q} : \mathcal{J} \text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is given by $\hat{q}(\bar{\gamma}) = (\bar{\gamma}(0), \tilde{q} \circ \bar{\gamma})$. This lift function can be easily defined in view of Prop. 6.2 and Theorem 6.3. Namely, any $\gamma \in \mathcal{J} \text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ is defined (uniquely up to constant) by $\Psi_\alpha(\gamma) \in C^\infty(N, \mathbb{R})$. It follows that $\lambda(f, \gamma)$ may be defined as the unique $\bar{\gamma} \in \mathcal{J} \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ determined by $\Psi_\alpha(\bar{\gamma})$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(0) = f$. Clearly $\hat{q}(\lambda(f, \gamma)) = (f, \gamma)$. \square

We shall use the classical simplicity theorem on the symplectomorphism group, due to Banyaga ([1]). This theorem may be formulated as follows.

Theorem 6.5. [1] *Let (N, ω) be a symplectic manifold (without boundary) and let $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ be the group of its compactly supported Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms.*

- (1) *If N is closed then the group $\text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ is simple.*
- (2) *If N is open then the commutator subgroup $[\text{Ham}(N, \omega), \text{Ham}(N, \omega)]$ is simple.*

By applying this theorem we shall prove the following preparatory lemma for the proof of the non-degeneracy of ϱ_H .

Lemma 6.6. *Let (M, α) , (N, ω) fulfil either (1) or (2). Suppose that there exists $\psi \in \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ with $\varrho_\alpha(\text{id}, \psi) > 0$. In the case (2) we assume, in addition, that $\psi \in [\text{Cont}(M, \alpha), \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)]$. Then ϱ_H is a metric.*

Proof. In view of Prop. 1.2 $\varrho_H(\text{id}, q(\psi)) > 0$. Denote $\mathcal{G} = \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$, $\mathcal{H} = \text{Ham}(N, \omega)$. Observe that $\{\phi \in \mathcal{G} : \varrho_\alpha(\text{id}, \phi) = 0\}$ and $\{\phi \in [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}] : \varrho_\alpha(\text{id}, \phi) = 0\}$ are normal subgroups of \mathcal{G} and $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ resp. It follows that $\{\bar{\phi} \in \mathcal{H} : \varrho_H(\text{id}, \bar{\phi}) = 0\} = \{e\}$ in the case (1), and $\{\bar{\phi} \in [\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}] : \varrho_H(\text{id}, \bar{\phi}) = 0\} = \{e\}$ in the case (2), in view of Theorem 6.5. Hence ϱ_H is non-degenerate on \mathcal{H} in the case (1), and ϱ_H is non-degenerate on $[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}]$ in the case (2).

Take any $g \in \mathcal{H}$, $g \neq \text{id}$. There is $p \in N$ with $g(p) \neq p$. Choose a neighborhood U of $p \in N$ such that $g(U) \cap U = \emptyset$, and $h \in \mathcal{H}$ supported in U such that $h(p) \neq p$. Then $gh \neq hg$ and $[g, h] \neq \text{id}$. Therefore $0 < \varrho_H([g, h]) \leq 2\varrho_H(g)$. Thus ϱ_H is non-degenerate on \mathcal{H} itself. \square

Proof of the non-degeneracy of ϱ_H . Claim 1.4 will be an obvious consequence of the proofs of Theorems 1.5 and 1.7 in sections 7 and 8. Now the non-degeneracy will follow from Claim 1.4 combined with Lemma 6.6.

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

7.1. Operation on isotopies of a foliated manifold. The bijection given by (3.1) between $C_e^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \text{Diff}(M))$ and $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{X}_c(M))$ still holds if \mathbb{R} is replaced by $I = [0, 1]$. Denote it by

$$\Phi_M : \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathfrak{X}_c(M).$$

Likewise for any diffeomorphism f there is a similar bijection

$$\Phi_M : \mathcal{J}_f \text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathfrak{X}_c(M).$$

Lemma 7.1. *Assume that M is a smooth manifold. Let $I \times I \ni (t, s) \mapsto f_{t,s} \in \text{Diff}(M)$ be a smooth mapping corresponding to a smooth mapping $I \times I \ni (t, s) \mapsto X_{t,s} \in \mathfrak{X}_c(M)$ in the sense that for each $s \in I$ and $p \in M$*

$$(7.1) \quad X_{t,s}(f_{t,s}(p)) = \frac{\partial f_{t,s}}{\partial t}(p), \quad f_{0,s}(p) = p,$$

that is $\Phi_M(\{f_{t,s}\}) = \{X_{t,s}\}$ for any s fixed. Then:

- (1) *A smooth mapping $I \times I \ni (t, s) \mapsto Y_{t,s} \in \mathfrak{X}_c(M)$ corresponds for all $t \in I$ to the curve $I \ni s \mapsto f_{t,s} \in \text{Diff}(M)$ with initial value $f_{t,0}$ (i.e. $\Phi_M(\{f_{t,s}\}) = \{Y_{t,s}\}$ with t fixed) if and only if for all $p \in M$*

$$(7.2) \quad \frac{\partial Y_{t,s}}{\partial t}(p) = \frac{\partial X_{t,s}}{\partial s}(p)$$

and $Y_{0,s} = 0$ for all $s \in I$.

- (2) *Moreover, $f_{1,s} = f_{1,0}$ for all $s \in I$, that is $\{f_{t,s}\}$ is a homotopy rel. endpoints if and only if $Y_{1,s} = 0$ for all $s \in I$.*

Proof. (1) Define $Y_{t,s}$ by $\Phi_M(\{f_{t,s}\}) = \{Y_{t,s}\}$ for fixed t , i.e.

$$(7.3) \quad \frac{\partial f_{t,s}}{\partial s}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) = Y_{t,s}(p)$$

for all $p \in M$ and $t, s \in I$. Fixing p and s (7.3) is an equality of curves w.r.t. t in the vector space $T_p(M)$. First suppose that M is a chart domain. Differentiating the curve

$$t \mapsto (t, s, f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) \mapsto \frac{\partial f_{t,s}}{\partial s}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p))$$

with p, s fixed, we get from the chain rule $\frac{\partial^2 f_{t,s}}{\partial t \partial s}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) = \frac{\partial Y_{t,s}}{\partial t}(p)$. Likewise $\frac{\partial^2 f_{t,s}}{\partial t \partial s}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) = \frac{\partial X_{t,s}}{\partial s}(p)$. Therefore (7.2) holds on any chart domain. Since the equality (7.2) is expressed without any coordinates, (7.2) holds on the whole M . Further, $Y_{0,s}(p) = \frac{\partial f_{0,s}}{\partial s}(f_{0,s}^{-1}(p)) = \frac{\partial \text{id}}{\partial s}(p) = 0$.

Conversely, differentiating the curve $t \mapsto Y_{t,s}(p) \in T_p(M)$ with p, s fixed, by (7.2) and (7.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Y_{t,s}}{\partial t}(p) &= \frac{\partial X_{t,s}}{\partial s}(p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{\partial f_{t,s}}{\partial t}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) \right) = \frac{\partial^2 f_{t,s}}{\partial s \partial t}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial f_{t,s}}{\partial s}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for all t one has $\frac{\partial f_{t,s}}{\partial s}(f_{t,s}^{-1}(p)) = Y_{t,s}(p) + C(s)$ for some smooth curve $s \mapsto C(s) \in T_p(M)$. But in view of the assumption, $Y_{0,s}(p) = 0 = \frac{\partial \text{id}}{\partial s}(p) = \frac{\partial f_{0,s}}{\partial s}(f_{0,s}^{-1}(p))$. Consequently, $C = 0$ as required.

This completes the proof of (1). The assertion (2) follows immediately from this proof. \square

Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold. The symbol $\text{Diff}(M, \mathcal{F})$ will stand for the group of all leaf preserving diffeomorphisms of (M, \mathcal{F}) that are isotopic to id through leaf preserving compactly supported isotopy. Next, $\mathfrak{X}_c(M, \mathcal{F})$ is the Lie algebra of all compactly supported vector fields on M tangent to \mathcal{F} . Similarly as above for any $f \in \text{Diff}(M, \mathcal{F})$ the equality (3.1) yields a bijection

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{J}_f(\text{Diff}(M, \mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{X}_c(M, \mathcal{F})).$$

Proposition 7.2. *Assume that (M, \mathcal{F}) is a smooth foliated manifold and \mathcal{D} is a smooth distribution on M such that $T_p(M) = T_p(\mathcal{F}) \oplus \mathcal{D}_p$ for all $p \in M$. For any $X \in \mathfrak{X}_c(M)$ we write $X = X^{\mathcal{F}} + X^{\mathcal{D}}$ for the resulting decomposition. There exists a mapping*

$$\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \text{Diff}(M) \ni \{f_t\} \longmapsto \{f_t\}^{\mathcal{F}} := \Phi_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(\Phi_M(\{f_t\})^{\mathcal{F}}) \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \text{Diff}(M, \mathcal{F})$$

with the following properties:

- (1) $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{\text{id}\}) = \{\text{id}\}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t\} \star \{f'_t\}) = \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t\}) \star \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f'_t\})$.
- (3) If $\{f_t\}$ and $\{f'_t\}$ are homotopic rel. endpoints then so are $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t\})$ and $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f'_t\})$.
- (4) If $\{f_t\}$ is a contractible loop then so is $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t\})$.
- (5) $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t\}\{f'_t\})$ and $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t\})\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f'_t\})$ are homotopic rel. endpoints.
- (6) $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t^{-1}\})$ and $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{F}}(\{f_t\})^{-1}$ are homotopic rel. endpoints.

Proof. (1) and (2) are trivial by definition. (3) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 7.1 since the relation of homotopy rel. endpoints is characterized by two smooth families of vector fields $X_{t,s}$ and $Y_{t,s}$ fulfilling the conditions specifying in Lemma 7.1, and these conditions are preserved by the projection $X \mapsto X^{\mathcal{F}}$. Next (4) is implied by (1) and (3). Finally, (5) follows from (1), (2) and (3), and (6) from (1) and (5). \square

7.2. The proof. For $A > 0$ and a canonical chart domain B let $F^{\varphi} \in C^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R})$ be such that for all $p \in B$ $F^{\varphi}(p) = A$. Set $\{\varphi_t\} := \Psi_{\alpha}^{-1}(F^{\varphi})$ and $\varphi := \varphi_1$. Denoting $\{\varphi_t\} = \{(q(\varphi_t), k_t^{\varphi})\}$, in view of (3.11) we have in a chart domain

$$(7.4) \quad \frac{\partial k_t^{\varphi}}{\partial t}(p) = (F^{\varphi} - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i F_{y_i}^{\varphi})(\varphi_t(p))$$

for all p and t . Hence for $p \in B$ one has $X_{F^{\varphi}}(p) = (0, 0, F^{\varphi}(p))$ and by (7.4)

$$(7.5) \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial t}(p) = \frac{\partial k_t^{\varphi}}{\partial t}(p) = F^{\varphi}(\varphi_t(p)) = F^{\varphi}(p) = A.$$

Let $\{f_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}}^\varphi \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ be an arbitrary isotopy, and $\{F_t\} = \Psi_\alpha(\{f_t\})$. The isotopy $\{\varphi_t^{-1}f_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ is a loop. Since $\tilde{q}(\{\varphi_t^{-1}f_t\})$ belonging to $\mathcal{J}_{\text{id}}^{\text{id}} \text{Ham}(N, \omega)$ is a contractible loop by assumption, Theorem 6.4 and the exact homotopy sequence of a fibration applied to (1.2) imply that $\{\varphi_t^{-1}f_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ can be regarded as a contractible loop. Namely, for some $m \in \mathbb{Z} = \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$ we can replace $\{f_t\}$ by $\{\bar{f}_t\} := \{f_t\tau_{m,t}\}$, where $\{\tau_{m,t}\} = \Psi_\alpha^{-1}(m)$ and $m \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ is the constant function. It follows that now $\{\varphi_t^{-1}\bar{f}_t\}$ is a contractible loop. Moreover, $\{\bar{f}_t\}$ has the same properties as $\{f_t\}$, i.e. $\bar{f}_1 = \varphi$ and $l_\alpha(\{\bar{f}_t\}) = l_\alpha(\{f_t\})$ since $\|\bar{F}_t\|_\infty = \|F_t\|_\infty$ for all t , where $\{\bar{F}_t\} = \Psi_\alpha(\{\bar{f}_t\})$. From now on we will write $\{f_t\}$ instead of $\{\bar{f}_t\}$.

Consider the one-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{R_\alpha}$ on M determined by the Reeb vector field R_α . In view of (3.5), for all $H \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ one has

$$(X_H)^\mathcal{F} = HR_\alpha = H \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

in any canonical chart (x, y, z) . Therefore for the isotopies $\{f_t\}^\mathcal{F} = \{f_t^\mathcal{F}\}$, $\{\varphi_t\}^\mathcal{F} = \{\varphi_t^\mathcal{F}\} \in \text{Diff}(M, \mathcal{F})$ we can write

$$(7.6) \quad \frac{\partial f_t^\mathcal{F}}{\partial t}(p) = F_t(f_t^\mathcal{F}(p)), \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_t^\mathcal{F}}{\partial t}(p) = F^\varphi(\varphi_t^\mathcal{F}(p))$$

for any $p \in M$, $t \in I$. In particular, for $p \in B$ by (7.5), (7.6), Lemma 3.3 and the equality $(X_{F^\varphi})^\mathcal{F} = X_{F^\varphi}$ on $\pi^{-1}(B)$ we have

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial(\varphi_t^\mathcal{F})^{-1}}{\partial t}(f_1^\mathcal{F}(p)) &= -\frac{\partial(\varphi_t^\mathcal{F})^{-1}}{\partial t}(\varphi_1^\mathcal{F}(p)) = -\frac{\partial(\varphi_t)^{-1}}{\partial t}(\varphi_1(p)) \\ &= (F^\varphi \circ \varphi_t)(\varphi_t^{-1}(\varphi_1(p))) = F^\varphi(\varphi_t(p)) = \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial t}(p) = A, \end{aligned}$$

since $\varphi_t^\mathcal{F}(p) = \varphi_t(p)$. On the other hand, in view of Prop. 7.2 $\{(\varphi_t^\mathcal{F})^{-1} \star f_t^\mathcal{F}\}$ is a contractible loop in $\text{Diff}(M, \mathcal{F})$. Since the leaves of \mathcal{F} are identified with \mathbb{S}^1 it follows that $\int_0^1 \frac{\partial((\varphi_t^\mathcal{F})^{-1} \star f_t^\mathcal{F})}{\partial t}(p) dt = 0$ and consequently

$$(7.8) \quad -\int_0^1 \frac{\partial(\varphi_t^\mathcal{F})^{-1}}{\partial t}(f_1^\mathcal{F}(p)) dt = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f_t^\mathcal{F}}{\partial t}(p) dt.$$

Thus, from (7.6), (7.7) and (7.8) we have for all $p \in B$

$$(7.9) \quad \int_0^1 F_t(f_t^\mathcal{F}(p)) dt = A.$$

In light of (7.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.10) \quad A \operatorname{vol}(B) &= \int_B \left(\int_0^1 F_t(f_t^{\mathcal{F}}(p)) dt \right) dp \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\int_B F_t(f_t^{\mathcal{F}}(p)) dp \right) dt \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_B (\max_{p \in B} F_t(p) - \min_{p \in B} F_t(p)) dp \right) dt \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_B \|F_t\|_{\infty} dp \right) dt \\
&= \operatorname{vol}(B) \int_0^1 \|F_t\|_{\infty} dt \\
&= \operatorname{vol}(B) l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\|F\|_{\infty} = \max_{p \in N} F(p) - \min_{p \in N} F(p)$. By the definition of l_{α} and Prop. 1.3, this completes the proof.

8. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.7

In this section we assume that (N, ω) is an exact open symplectic manifold, where $\omega = d\lambda$. Next, $M = N \times \mathbb{S}^1$, where $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha = dz - \lambda$, where z is the natural coordinate of \mathbb{S}^1 , that is (M, α) is the contactization of (N, ω) . Denote by $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ the canonical projection.

8.1. Definition of a mapping $K_{\alpha} : \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$. Let

$$f_t = (q(f_t), k_t), \quad \text{where } k_t : M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1,$$

be a strict contact isotopy such that $f_0 = \text{id}$ and $f_1 = f$. Here $k_t : M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ is such that $k_t k_0^{-1}$ descends to a map $N \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$, and $q : \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ham}(N, \omega)$ given by (6.3). Then there exists a unique lift

$$(8.1) \quad \tilde{f}_t = (q(f_t), \tilde{k}_t) : M \rightarrow N \times \mathbb{R}$$

such that $\tilde{k}_0(p) = 0$ for all p , where $\tilde{k}_t : \hat{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the lift of $k_t k_0^{-1} : \hat{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ and $\hat{U} = U \times \mathbb{S}^1$. In particular, $\frac{\partial \tilde{k}_t}{\partial t}(p) = \frac{\partial k_t}{\partial t}(p)$ for all p and t . We define the mapping

$$K_{\alpha} : \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R}),$$

where for all $p \in M$

$$(8.2) \quad K_{\alpha}(\{f_t\})(p) := \tilde{k}_1(p) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial k_t}{\partial t}(p) dt.$$

Clearly $K_\alpha(\{f_t\})$ is a smooth map. For any concatenation $\{f_t\} = \{f_t^r\} \star \cdots \star \{f_t^1\}$ we have the equality for all $p \in M$

$$(8.3) \quad \tilde{k}_t(p) = \tilde{k}_1^1(p) + \tilde{k}_1^2(f_1^1(p)) + \cdots + \tilde{k}_1^{\rho-1}(f_1^{\rho-2} \cdots f_1^1(p)) + \tilde{k}_{rt-\rho+1}^\rho(f_1^{\rho-1} \cdots f_1^1(p)),$$

whenever $t \in [\frac{\rho-1}{r}, \frac{\rho}{r}]$, where $\rho \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq \rho \leq r$. Here $\{\tilde{k}_t^i\}$ corresponds to $\{f_t^i\}$ by (8.1). In particular, $K_\alpha(\{f_t\} \star \{f_t'\}) = K_\alpha(\{f_t\}) \circ f_1' + K_\alpha(\{f_t'\})$.

Observe that if $\{f_t\}$ and $\{f_t'\}$ are homotopic rel. endpoints then the resulting $K_\alpha(\{f_t\}), K_\alpha(\{f_t'\}) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ coincide. Indeed, the isotopies $\{k_t\}$ and $\{k_t'\}$ are then homotopic rel. endpoints as well, and so are the isotopies $\{\tilde{k}_t\}$ and $\{\tilde{k}_t'\}$.

Now, suppose $f_t = f_t^l \circ \cdots \circ f_t^1$ for all t . Since the isotopy $\{f_t\}$ is homotopic rel. endpoints to $\{f_t^l\} \star \cdots \star \{f_t^1\}$ we obtain by (8.2) and (8.3)

$$(8.4) \quad K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p) = K_\alpha(\{f_t^l\})(p) + K_\alpha(\{f_t^2\})(f_1^1(p)) + \cdots + K_\alpha(\{f_t^l\})(f_1^{l-1} \cdots f_1^1(p)).$$

Summing-up the above considerations we have

Proposition 8.1. *The mapping $K_\alpha : \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \text{Cont}(M, \alpha) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ possesses the following properties:*

- (1) $K_\alpha(\{f_t\})$ is constant on any fiber $\pi^{-1}(p)$, $p \in N$.
- (2) $K_\alpha(\{f_t\} \star \{f_t'\}) = K_\alpha(\{f_t\}) \circ f_1' + K_\alpha(\{f_t'\})$.
- (3) If $\{f_t\}$ and $\{f_t'\}$ are homotopic rel. endpoints then $K_\alpha(\{f_t\}) = K_\alpha(\{f_t'\})$.
- (4) $K_\alpha(\{f_t\}\{f_t'\}) = K_\alpha(\{f_t\}) \circ f_1' + K_\alpha(\{f_t'\})$. More generally, if $f_t = f_t^l \circ \cdots \circ f_t^1$ for all t then (8.4) holds.
- (5) If for some $p \in M$ the map $t \mapsto f_t(p)$ is a contractible loop then $K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p) = 0$. In particular, for any open subset $V \subset N$, if $\text{supp}(\{f_t\}) \subset \hat{V}$ then $\text{supp}(K_\alpha(\{f_t\})) \subset \hat{V}$.
- (6) $K_\alpha(\{f_t^{-1}\}) = -K_\alpha(\{f_t\}) \circ f_1^{-1}$.
- (7) $K_\alpha(\{f_t'\}\{f_t^{-1}\}) = (K_\alpha(\{f_t'\}) - K_\alpha(\{f_t\})) \circ f_1^{-1}$.
- (8) If for some $p \in M$ the map $t \mapsto f_t(p)$ is a loop then $K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. (1)-(4) are proved above, and (5) follows from (3). Next (6) is a consequence of (4) and (5), and (7) holds due to (4) and (6). It remains to show (8). In fact, if $t \mapsto f_t(p)$ is a loop, then so is $t \mapsto k_t(p)$ and $k_1(p)k_0(p)^{-1} = 0 \in \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Hence \tilde{k}_t , the lift of $k_t k_0^{-1}$, satisfies $K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p) = \tilde{k}_1(p) \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

8.2. Construction of a contactomorphism ψ . Choose arbitrarily $a > 0$, $b > 0$ and denote $V = (-(a+b), a+b)^{2n}$. We assume that V is a domain of canonical coordinates (x, y) . Then $\hat{V} = V \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is equipped with the canonical coordinates (x, y, z) .

Take $A > 0$ and a smooth bump function

$$\mu^A = \mu_{a,b}^A : [-(a+b), a+b] \rightarrow [0, A]$$

such that $\text{supp}(\mu^A) \subset (-(a+b), a+b)$, $\mu^A = A$ on $[-a, a]$, $(\mu^A)' \geq 0$ on $[-(a+b), 0]$, and $\mu^A(-x) = -\mu^A(x)$. For all $p \in V$, $p = (x, y, z)$, we define

$$F^\varphi(p) := F_{a,b}^A(p) := \mu^{\bar{A}}(x_1) \cdots \mu^{\bar{A}}(x_n) \mu^{\bar{A}}(y_1) \cdots \mu^{\bar{A}}(y_n)$$

where $\bar{A} = A^{\frac{1}{2n}}$. Set $B := [-a, a]^{2n}$. For any $p \in B$ one has $F^\varphi(p) = A$. If $\{\varphi_t\} := \Psi_\alpha^{-1}(F^\varphi)$ then we set

$$\varphi := \varphi_{a,b}^A := \varphi_1.$$

Observe that for $\{\varphi_t\} = \{(q(\varphi_t), k_t^\varphi)\}$ the equality (7.4) holds.

Assume that $\{f_t\}$ is an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{J}_{\text{id}}^\varphi \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$. Hence, in view of Prop. 8.1, $K_\alpha(\{f_t\}) - K_\alpha(\{\varphi_t\})$ is an integer constant. Since $K_\alpha(\{f_t\})$ and $K_\alpha(\{\varphi_t\})$ are compactly supported, we have that $K_\alpha(\{f_t\}) = K_\alpha(\{\varphi_t\})$ on M . It follows from (8.2) and (7.4) that

$$(8.5) \quad K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p) = K_\alpha(\{\varphi_t\})(p) \geq 0, \quad \forall p \in M,$$

since it is easily seen that $F^\varphi - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i F_{y_i}^\varphi \geq 0$ on \hat{V} . Next

$$(8.6) \quad K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p) = K_\alpha(\{\varphi_t\})(p) = A, \quad \forall p \in \hat{B} = B \times \mathbb{S}^1,$$

in view of (7.4) and (8.2) since for every $t \in I$ and every $p \in \hat{B}$ we have $\frac{\partial k_t^\varphi}{\partial t}(p) = F^\varphi(p) = A$.

Next we construct a contactomorphism ψ in the commutator subgroup of $\text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ which appears in Theorem 1.7. For $A, a, b, c > 0$ we define

$$(8.7) \quad U := (-(a+b+c), a+b+c) \times (-(a+b), a+b)^{2n-1}$$

$$(8.8) \quad F^\psi(p) := F_{a,b,c}^A(p) := F_{a,b}^A(\tau_c^{(x_1)}(p)) - F_{a,b}^A(\tau_{-c}^{(x_1)}(p)),$$

where $\tau^{(x_1)}$ is the translation along the x_1 -axis. From now on we assume that $c > a+b$ and that U is a canonical chart domain. Let $\psi = \psi_{a,b,c}^A$ be the time-one map of F^ψ . Then F^ψ and ψ are supported in U .

It is easily seen that $\psi = [\bar{\varphi}, \tau_{2c}^{(x_1)}]$, where $\bar{\varphi} = \tau_{-c}^{(x_1)} \varphi \tau_c^{(x_1)}$. Consequently, $\psi \in [\text{Cont}(M, \alpha), \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)]$. In fact, denote $\psi_t = [\bar{\varphi}_t, \tau_{2c}^{(x_1)}]$ and $\bar{\varphi}_t = \tau_{-c}^{(x_1)} \varphi_t \tau_c^{(x_1)}$. Clearly $\Psi_\alpha(\{\psi_t\}) = F^\psi$ by Lemma 3.3. Moreover, we can arrange so that $\{\psi_t\}$ is supported in U .

8.3. Estimates in the local case. Given $\{f_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}} \text{Cont}(\hat{V}, \alpha_{st})$, let $F = \Psi_\alpha(\{f_t\})$ be the corresponding Hamiltonian in $C_b^\infty(I \times \hat{V}, \mathbb{R})$. Here $V = (-(a+b), a+b)^{2n}$ as in section 7.2. From now on V will be identified with

$V \times \{0\} \subset V \times \mathbb{S}^1 = \hat{V}$. Put $f_t = (g_t, h_t, k_t)$. Then by using (8.2), (3.11) and Prop.8.1(1), and applying Fubini's theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.9) \quad & \int_V K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p) dp = \int_V \int_I \frac{\partial k_t}{\partial t}(p) dt \\
& = \int_I \left(\int_{\hat{V}} \left(F_t - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \frac{\partial F_t}{\partial y_i} \right) (f_t(p)) \nu_a \right) dt \\
& = \int_I \left(\int_{\hat{V}} \left(F_t - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \frac{\partial F_t}{\partial y_i} \right) (p) \nu_a \right) dt \\
& \leq - \int_I \left(\int_{\hat{V}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \frac{\partial F_t}{\partial y_i} \right) (p) \nu_a \right) dt + \int_I \left(\int_V F_t(p) dp \right) dt \\
& = - \int_I \left(\int_V \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \frac{\partial F_t}{\partial y_i} \right) (p) dp \right) dt + \int_I \left(\int_V F_t(p) dp \right) dt \\
& = - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{V^{(i)}} \left(\int_I \left(\int_{L_{i,\beta}} y_i \frac{\partial F_t}{\partial y_i}(t, p) dy_i \right) dt \right) d(x, y'_i, z) + \int_I \left(\int_V F_t(p) dp \right) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where $y'_i = (y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_i, \dots, y_n)$, $V^{(i)} = \text{pr}_i(V)$, where pr_i is the canonical projection along y_i , and for any $\beta \in I \times V^{(i)}$ we denote $L_{i,\beta} = \{(t, p) \in I \times V : (t, x, y'_i, z) = \beta\}$ which is identified with $(-(a+b), a+b)$. Further, integrating by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.10) \quad & \int_0^1 \left(\int_{-(a+b)}^{a+b} y_i \frac{\partial F_t}{\partial y_i} dy_i \right) dt = \int_0^1 \left(y_i F_t|_{-(a+b)}^{a+b} - \int_{-(a+b)}^{a+b} F_t dy_i \right) dt \\
& = - \int_0^1 \left(\int_{-(a+b)}^{a+b} F_t dy_i \right) dt
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in view of (8.9) and (8.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.11) \quad & \int_V K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p) dp = (n+1) \int_V \left(\int_0^1 F_t(p) dt \right) dp \\
& \leq (n+1) \int_0^1 \left(\int_V \|F_t\|_\infty dp \right) dt \\
& = (n+1) \text{vol}(V) l_{\alpha_{st}}(\{f_t\}).
\end{aligned}$$

Denote $V = V' \times V'' \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $dy = dy_1 \dots dy_n$. Actually we also obtain from (8.9) and (8.10) the following

$$(8.12) \quad \int_{V''} K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p) dy = (n+1) \int_{V''} \left(\int_0^1 F_t(p) dt \right) dy = (n+1) \int_0^1 \left(\int_{V''} F_t(p) dy \right) dt.$$

Now suppose $\{f_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}}^\varphi \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$. It follows from (8.5), (8.6) and (8.11) that

$$(8.13) \quad \begin{aligned} A \text{vol}(B) &= \int_B Adp = \int_B K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p) dp \\ &\leq \int_V K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p) dp \leq (n+1) \text{vol}(V) l_{\alpha_{st}}(\{f_t\}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 8.2. In view of (8.11) $\int_V K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p) dp = (n+1) \text{Cal}_V(q(\varphi))$, where $\text{Cal}_V : \text{Ham}(V, \omega|_V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the Calabi homomorphism for the exact symplectic manifold $(V, \omega|_V)$, cf. [1]. It follows from (8.13) that $q(\varphi)$ and φ are not product of commutators (also directly from the definition of Cal_V).

8.4. Proof of 1.7(1). Under the notation (8.7), write $U = U' \times U'' \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Note that due to (8.8) and the definition of F^φ

$$(8.14) \quad F^\psi(-x_1, x', y) = -F^\psi(x_1, x', y),$$

where $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Now for arbitrarily chosen $\{f_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}}^\psi \text{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ with $\{F_t\} = \Psi_\alpha(\{f_t\})$ we denote

$$K_\alpha(\{f_t\})^{(x)}(p) := \int_{U''} K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p) dy, \quad F_t^{(x)} := (n+1) \int_{U''} F_t(p) dy.$$

Then (8.12) for U'' instead of V'' implies the equality

$$(8.15) \quad \int_0^1 F_t^{(x)} dt = K_\alpha(\{f_t\})^{(x)}.$$

Therefore by (8.14) and the equality $K_\alpha(\{f_t\}) = K_\alpha(\{\psi_t\})$ we have

$$K_\alpha(\{f_t\})^{(x)}(-x_1, x') = -K_\alpha(\{f_t\})^{(x)}(x_1, x'),$$

and consequently from (8.15)

$$(8.16) \quad \int_0^1 F_t^{(x)}(-x_1, x') dt = - \int_0^1 F_t^{(x)}(x_1, x') dt.$$

Set $U_1 = \{(x, y) \in U : x_1 < 0\}$ and $U_2 = \{(x, y) \in U : x_1 > 0\}$. We get also from (8.5)

$$(8.17) \quad \int_0^1 F_t^{(x)}(p) dt \geq 0, \quad \text{for } p \in U_1.$$

Denote $U_i = U'_i \times U''_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ for $i = 1, 2$. In view of (8.5), (8.6), (8.15), (8.16) and (8.17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2A \operatorname{vol}(B) &\leq \int_U |K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p)| dp \\
&= \int_{U_1} |K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p)| dp + \int_{U_2} |K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p)| dp \\
&= 2 \int_{U_1} K_\alpha(\{f_t\})(p) dp \\
&= 2 \int_{U'_1} K_\alpha(\{f_t\})^{(x)}(p) dy \\
&= 2 \int_{U'_1} \left(\int_0^1 F_t^{(x)}(p) dt \right) dy \\
&\leq 2 \int_{U'_1} \left| \int_0^1 F_t^{(x)}(p) dt \right| dy \\
(8.18) \quad &= \int_{U'} \left| \int_0^1 F_t^{(x)}(p) dt \right| dy \\
&= (n+1) \int_{U'} \left| \int_0^1 \left(\int_{U''} F_t(p) dx \right) dt \right| dy \\
&\leq (n+1) \int_{U'} \left(\int_0^1 \left(\int_{U''} |F_t(p)| dx \right) dt \right) dy \\
&= (n+1) \int_0^1 \left(\int_U |F_t(p)| dp \right) dt \\
&\leq (n+1) \int_0^1 \left(\int_U \|F_t\|_\infty dp \right) dt \\
&= (n+1) \operatorname{vol}(U) \int_0^1 \|F_t\|_\infty dt \\
&= (n+1) \operatorname{vol}(U) l_{\alpha_{st}}(\{f_t\}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\|F\|_\infty = \max_{p \in N} F(p) - \min_{p \in N} F(p)$. Thus, in light of (4.6) and Prop. 1.3 we obtain the inequality (1.4).

8.5. Proof of 1.7(2). Under the notation of section (8.2) let $B_1 = \tau_{-c}^{(x_1)}(B)$, $B_2 = \tau_c^{(x_1)}(B)$ and $\tilde{B} = B_1 \cup B_2$. The reasoning in section 7.2 can be adapted to ψ . Namely, suppose $\{f_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{id}}^\psi \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)$ is an arbitrary isotopy, and $\{F_t\} = \Psi_\alpha(\{f_t\})$. Then instead of (7.9) we have $\int_0^1 F_t(f_t^{\mathcal{F}}(p)) dt = A$ for all $p \in B_1$, and $\int_0^1 F_t(f_t^{\mathcal{F}}(p)) dt = -A$ for all $p \in B_2$. Therefore in our case (7.10)

takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
A \operatorname{vol}(\tilde{B}) &= \int_{\tilde{B}} \left| \int_0^1 F_t(f_t^{\mathcal{F}}(p)) dt \right| dp \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\tilde{B}} |F_t(f_t^{\mathcal{F}}(p))| dp \right) dt \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\tilde{B}} (\max_{p \in B} F_t(p) - \min_{p \in B} F_t(p)) dp \right) dt \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\tilde{B}} \|F_t\|_{\infty} dp \right) dt \\
&= \operatorname{vol}(\tilde{B}) \int_0^1 \|F_t\|_{\infty} dt \\
&= \operatorname{vol}(\tilde{B}) l_{\alpha}(\{f_t\}).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows the required inequality (1.5).

Remark 8.3. It is apparent from the above proofs that Theorem 1.7 in both cases (1) and (2) still holds true for many elements of $[\operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha), \operatorname{Cont}(M, \alpha)]$ "similar" to $\psi_{a,b,c}^A$ but possible proofs would be more complicated in notation.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Banyaga, *Sur la structure du groupe des difféomorphismes qui préservent une forme symplectique*, Comment. Math. Helv. 53 (1978), 174-227.
- [2] A. Banyaga, P. Donato, *Length of contact isotopies and extensions of the Hofer metric*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 30(2006), 299-312.
- [3] D. Burago, S. Ivanov and L. Polterovich, *Conjugation invariant norms on groups of geometric origin*, Advanced Studies in Pures Math. 52, Groups of Diffeomorphisms (2008), 221-250.
- [4] V. Colin, S. Sandon, *The discriminant length for contact and Legendre isotopies*, arXiv:1205:2102.
- [5] Y. Eliashberg, L. Polterovich, *Partially ordered groups and geometry of contact transformations*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 10(2000), 1448-1476.
- [6] H. Geiges, *An Introduction to Contact Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [7] M. Fraser, L. Polterovich, D. Rosen, *On Sandon-type metrics for contactomorphism groups*, arXiv:1207.3151.
- [8] H. Hofer, *On the topological properties of symplectic maps*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh 115A(1990), 25-38.
- [9] H. Hofer, E. Zehnder, *Symplectic Invariants and Hamiltonian Dynamics*, Birkhauser, Advanced Texts, Birkhauser Verlag 1994.
- [10] A. Kriegl, P. W. Michor, *The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol.53, American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [11] F. Lalonde, D. McDuff, *The geometry of symplectic energy*, Ann. Math. 141(1995), 349-371.

- [12] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*, Oxford Science, Oxford 1995.
- [13] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, AMS, Providence, 2004.
- [14] D. McDuff, *Monodromy in Hamiltonian Floer theory*, Comment. Math. Helv. 85(2010), 95-133.
- [15] S. Müller, P. Spaeth, *Topological contact dynamics I: Symplectization and applications of the energy-capacity inequality*, arXiv:1110.6705v2.
- [16] L. Polterovich, *Symplectic displacement energy for Lagrangian submanifolds*, Erg. Th. Dynam. Sys. 13(1993), 357-367.
- [17] L. Polterovich, *The geometry of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms*, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 2001.
- [18] T. Rybicki, *Commutators of contactomorphisms*, Adv. Math. 225(2010), 3291-3326.
- [19] T. Rybicki, *Boundedness of certain automorphism groups of an open manifold*, Geometriae Dedicata 151,1(2011), 175-186.
- [20] J.-C. Sikorav, *Systemes Hamiltoniens et topologie symplectiques*, ETS, EDITRICE PISA, 1990.
- [21] J. M. Souriau, *Structures des systèmes dynamiques*, Dunod, Paris 1969.
- [22] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1966.
- [23] M. Usher, *Hofer's metrics and boundary depth*, Annales scientifiques de l'ENS 46,1 (2013), 57-128.

FACULTY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, AGH UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, AL. MICKIEWICZA 30, 30-059 KRAKÓW, POLAND
E-mail address: tomasz@agh.edu.pl