

NOETHER'S PROBLEM FOR ABELIAN EXTENSIONS OF CYCLIC p -GROUPS II

IVO M. MICHAĬLOV

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field and G be a finite group. Let G act on the rational function field $K(x(g) : g \in G)$ by K automorphisms defined by $g \cdot x(h) = x(gh)$ for any $g, h \in G$. Denote by $K(G)$ the fixed field $K(x(g) : g \in G)^G$. Noether's problem then asks whether $K(G)$ is rational (i.e., purely transcendental) over K . Let p be any prime and let G be a p -group of exponent p^e . Assume also that (i) $\text{char } K = p > 0$, or (ii) $\text{char } K \neq p$ and K contains a primitive p^e -th root of unity. In this paper we prove that if G is any p -group of nilpotency class 2, which has the ABC (Abelian-By-Cyclic) property, then $K(G)$ is rational over K . We also prove the rationality of $K(G)$ over K for two 3-generator p -groups G of arbitrary nilpotency class.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be any field. A field extension L of K is called rational over K (or K -rational, for short) if $L \simeq K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ over K for some integer n , with x_1, \dots, x_n algebraically independent over K . Now let G be a finite group. Let G act on the rational function field $K(x(g) : g \in G)$ by K automorphisms defined by $g \cdot x(h) = x(gh)$ for any $g, h \in G$. Denote by $K(G)$ the fixed field $K(x(g) : g \in G)^G$. *Noether's problem* then asks whether $K(G)$ is rational over K . This is related to the inverse Galois problem, to the existence of generic G -Galois extensions over K , and to the existence of versal G -torsors over K -rational field extensions [Sw, Sa1, GMS, 33.1, p.86]. Noether's problem for abelian groups was studied extensively by Swan, Voskresenskii, Endo, Miyata and Lenstra, etc. The reader is referred to Swan's paper for a survey of this problem [Sw]. Fischer's Theorem is a starting point of investigating Noether's problem for finite abelian groups in general.

Date: April 17, 2018.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. primary 14E08 14M20; secondary 13A50, 12F12.

Key words and phrases. Noether's problem, Rationality problem, Meta-abelian group actions, Metacyclic p -groups.

This work is partially supported by a project No RD-08-241/12.03.2013 of Shumen University.

Theorem 1.1. (Fischer [Sw, Theorem 6.1]) *Let G be a finite abelian group of exponent e . Assume that (i) either $\text{char } K = 0$ or $\text{char } K > 0$ with $\text{char } K \nmid e$, and (ii) K contains a primitive e -th root of unity. Then $K(G)$ is rational over K .*

The next stage is the investigation of Noether's problem for finite meta-abelian groups, and in particular metacyclic p -groups. Recall that any metacyclic p -group G is generated by two elements σ and τ with relations $\sigma^{p^a} = 1$, $\tau^{p^b} = \sigma^{p^c}$ and $\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{\varepsilon + \delta p^r}$ where $\varepsilon = 1$ if p is odd, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ if $p = 2$, $\delta = 0, 1$ and $a, b, c, r \geq 0$ are subject to some restrictions. For the description of these restrictions see e.g. [Ka1, p. 564]. The following Theorem of Kang generalizes Fischer's Theorem for the metacyclic p -groups.

Theorem 1.2. (Kang[Ka1, Theorem 1.5]) *Let G be a metacyclic p -group with exponent p^e , and let K be any field such that (i) $\text{char } K = p$, or (ii) $\text{char } K \neq p$ and K contains a primitive p^e -th root of unity. Then $K(G)$ is rational over K .*

Other results of Noether's problem for p -groups the reader can find in [CK, HuK, Ka2].

Definition. *We say that a group G has the ABC (Abelian-By-Cyclic) property if G has a normal abelian subgroup H such that the quotient group G/H is cyclic*

Noether's problem for p -groups with the ABC property is still not solved entirely. However, there are number of partial results that have been obtained recently. We list some of them.

Theorem 1.3. (Haeuslein [Ha]) *Let K be a field and G be a finite group. Assume that (i) G contains an abelian normal subgroup H so that G/H is cyclic of prime order p , (ii) $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ is a unique factorization domain, and (iii) $\zeta_{p^e} \in K$ where e is the exponent of G . If $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ is any finite-dimensional linear representation of G over K , then $K(V)^G$ is rational over K .*

Theorem 1.4. (Hajja [Haj]) *Let K be a field and G be a finite group. Assume that (i) G contains an abelian normal subgroup H so that G/H is cyclic of order n , (ii) $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ is a unique factorization domain, and (iii) K is algebraically closed with $\text{char } K = 0$. If $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ is any finite-dimensional linear representation of G over K , then $K(V)^G$ is rational over K .*

Theorem 1.5. ([Ka3, Theorem 1.4]) *Let K be a field and G be a finite group. Assume that (i) G contains an abelian normal subgroup H so that G/H is cyclic of order n , (ii) $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ is a unique factorization domain, and (iii) $\zeta_e \in K$ where e is the exponent of G . If $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is any finite-dimensional linear representation of G over K , then $K(V)^G$ is rational over K .*

Note that those integers n for which $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ is a unique factorization domain are determined by Masley and Montgomery.

Theorem 1.6. (Masley and Montgomery [MM]) *$\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ is a unique factorization domain if and only if $1 \leq n \leq 22$, or $n = 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 40, 42, 45, 48, 50, 54, 60, 66, 70, 84, 90$.*

Therefore, Theorem 1.3 holds only for primes p such that $1 \leq p \leq 19$. In a recent paper [Mi] we managed to show that this condition can be waived, under some additional assumptions regarding the structure of the abelian subgroup H .

Theorem 1.7. (Michailov[Mi, Theorem 1.8]) *Let p be an odd prime, let G be a group of order p^n for $n \geq 2$ with an abelian subgroup H of order p^{n-1} , and let G be of exponent p^e . Choose any $\alpha \in G$ such that α generates G/H , i.e., $\alpha \notin H, \alpha^p \in H$. Denote $H(p) = \{h \in H : h^p = 1, h \notin H^p\}$, and assume that $[H(p), \alpha] \subset H(p)$. Denote by $G_{(i)} = [G, G_{(i-1)}]$ the lower central series for $i \geq 1$ and $G_{(0)} = G$. Let the p -th lower central subgroup $G_{(p)}$ be trivial. Assume that (i) $\mathrm{char} K = p > 0$, or (ii) $\mathrm{char} K \neq p$ and K contains a primitive p^e -th root of unity. Then $K(G)$ is rational over K .*

Theorem 1.8. (Michailov[Mi, Theorem 1.9]) *Let p be an odd prime and let G be a group of order p^n for $n \leq 6$ which has the ABC property. Let G be of exponent p^e . Assume that (i) $\mathrm{char} K = p > 0$, or (ii) $\mathrm{char} K \neq p$ and K contains a primitive p^e -th root of unity. Then $K(G)$ is rational over K .*

The main purpose of this paper is to prove a generalization of the latter results for all p -groups of nilpotency class 2, which have the ABC property. However, we should not "over-generalize" Theorem 1.8 to the case of any meta-abelian group because of the following Theorem of Saltman.

Theorem 1.9. (Saltman [Sa2]) *For any prime number p and for any field K with $\text{char } K \neq p$ (in particular, K may be an algebraically closed field), there is a meta-abelian p -group G of order p^9 such that $K(G)$ is not rational over K .*

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.10. *For any prime p let G be a p -group of nilpotency class 2, which has the ABC property. Denote by p^e the exponent of G . Assume that (i) $\text{char } K = p > 0$, or (ii) $\text{char } K \neq p$ and K contains a primitive p^e -th root of unity. Then $K(G)$ is rational over K .*

When G has a nilpotency class bigger than 2 it is much harder to determine Noether's problem. In some cases, however, it is possible to apply similar linearization techniques. We will do this for two specific p -groups with three generators. Note that our method can be applied for other p -groups with three generators.

Let C_{p^a} be a cyclic group of order p^a generated by the element α , and let H be an abelian group generated by two elements β and γ having orders p^b and p^c , respectively ($a, b, c \geq 1$). We are going to consider two groups G_1 and G_2 which are in the middle of the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G_i \rightarrow C_{p^a} \rightarrow 1$. In particular, for the two groups we have the relations $\alpha^{p^a} \in \langle \beta, \gamma \rangle, \beta^{p^b} = \gamma^{p^c} = 1, [\beta, \gamma] = 1$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \mid [\beta, \alpha] = 1, [\gamma, \alpha] = \beta^x \gamma^{p^s}, x \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{N} \rangle, \\ G_2 &= \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \mid [\beta, \alpha] = \beta^{p^r}, [\gamma, \alpha] = \beta^x, x \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{N} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

The second result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.11. *For any prime p let G be isomorphic either to the group G_1 or to G_2 . Denote by p^e the exponent of G . Assume that (i) $\text{char } K = p > 0$, or (ii) $\text{char } K \neq p$ and K contains a primitive p^e -th root of unity. Then $K(G)$ is rational over K .*

The key idea to prove Theorems 1.10 and 1.11 is to find a faithful G -subspace W of the regular representation space $\bigoplus_{g \in G} K \cdot x(g)$ and to show that W^G is rational over K . The subspace W is obtained as an induced representation from H . By applying various linearizing techniques we then reduce the rationality problem to another rationality

problem which is related either to cyclic or to metacyclic actions. The latter actions can be linearized by using Lemma 2.4 or Theorem 2.5.

We organize this paper as follows. We recall some preliminaries in Section 2. The proofs of Theorems 1.10 and 1.11 are given respectively in Sections 3 and 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We list several results which will be used in the sequel.

Theorem 2.1. ([HK, Theorem 1]) *Let G be a finite group acting on $L(x_1, \dots, x_m)$, the rational function field of m variables over a field L such that*

- (i): *for any $\sigma \in G, \sigma(L) \subset L$;*
- (ii): *the restriction of the action of G to L is faithful;*
- (iii): *for any $\sigma \in G$,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(x_m) \end{pmatrix} = A(\sigma) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix} + B(\sigma)$$

where $A(\sigma) \in \text{GL}_m(L)$ and $B(\sigma)$ is $m \times 1$ matrix over L . Then there exist $z_1, \dots, z_m \in L(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ so that $L(x_1, \dots, x_m)^G = L^G(z_1, \dots, z_m)$ and $\sigma(z_i) = z_i$ for any $\sigma \in G$, any $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Theorem 2.2. ([AHK, Theorem 3.1]) *Let G be a finite group acting on $L(x)$, the rational function field of one variable over a field L . Assume that, for any $\sigma \in G, \sigma(L) \subset L$ and $\sigma(x) = a_\sigma x + b_\sigma$ for any $a_\sigma, b_\sigma \in L$ with $a_\sigma \neq 0$. Then $L(x)^G = L^G(z)$ for some $z \in L[x]$.*

Theorem 2.3. ([CK, Theorem 1.7]) *If $\text{char} K = p > 0$ and \tilde{G} is a finite p -group, then $K(G)$ is rational over K .*

The following Lemma can be extracted from some proofs in [Ka2, HuK].

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\langle \tau \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order $n > 1$, acting on $K(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$, the rational function field of $n - 1$ variables over a field K such that*

$$\tau : v_1 \mapsto v_2 \mapsto \dots \mapsto v_{n-1} \mapsto (v_1 \cdots v_{n-1})^{-1} \mapsto v_1.$$

If K contains a primitive n -th root of unity ξ , then $K(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) = K(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})$ where $\tau : s_i \mapsto \xi^i s_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

Proof. Define $w_0 = 1 + v_1 + v_1v_2 + \cdots + v_1v_2 \cdots v_{n-1}$, $w_1 = (1/w_0) - 1/n$, $w_{i+1} = (v_1v_2 \cdots v_i/w_0) - 1/n$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Thus $K(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) = K(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ with $w_1 + w_2 + \cdots + w_n = 0$ and

$$\tau : w_1 \mapsto w_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto w_{n-1} \mapsto w_n \mapsto w_1.$$

Define $s_i = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \xi^{-ij} w_j$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then $K(w_1, \dots, w_n) = K(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})$ and $\tau : s_i \mapsto \xi^i s_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. \square

Now, let G be any metacyclic p -group generated by two elements σ and τ with relations $\sigma^{p^a} = 1$, $\tau^{p^b} = \sigma^{p^c}$ and $\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{\varepsilon + \delta p^r}$ where $\varepsilon = 1$ if p is odd, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ if $p = 2$, $\delta = 0, 1$ and $a, b, c, r \geq 0$ are subject to some restrictions. For the the description of these restrictions see e.g. [Ka1, p. 564].

Theorem 2.5. (Kang [Ka1, Theorem 4.1]) *Let p be a prime number, m, n and r are positive integers, $k = 1 + p^r$ if $(p, r) \neq (2, 1)$ (resp. $k = -1 + 2^r$ with $r \geq 2$). Let G be a split metacyclic p -group of order p^{m+n} and exponent p^e defined by $G = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{p^m} = \tau^{p^n} = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^k \rangle$. Let K be any field such that $\text{char}K \neq p$ and K contains a primitive p^e -th root of unity, and let ζ be a primitive p^m -th root of unity. Then $K(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{p^n-1})^G$ is rational over K , where G acts on x_0, \dots, x_{p^n-1} by*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma & : x_i \mapsto \zeta^{k^i} x_i, \\ \tau & : x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p^n-1} \mapsto x_0. \end{aligned}$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.10

Let G be generated by an abelian normal subgroup H and an element α such that $\alpha^{p^a} \in H$. Assume that $H = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s \mid \alpha_i^{p^{a_i}} = 1, 1 \leq i \leq s \rangle$. We divide the proof into several steps. We are going now to find a faithful representation of G .

Step 1. Let V be a K -vector space whose dual space V^* is defined as $V^* = \bigoplus_{g \in G} K \cdot x(g)$ where G acts on V^* by $h \cdot x(g) = x(hg)$ for any $h, g \in G$. Thus $K(V)^G = K(x(g) : g \in G)^G = K(G)$.

Define $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_s \in V^*$ by

$$X_j = \sum_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s} x \left(\prod_{i \neq j} \alpha_i^{\ell_i} \right), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq s.$$

Note that $\alpha_i \cdot X_j = X_j$ for $j \neq i$. Let $\zeta_{p^{a_i}} \in K$ be a primitive p^{a_i} -th root of unity for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Define $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_s \in V^*$ by

$$Y_i = \sum_{m=0}^{p^{a_i}-1} \zeta_{p^{a_i}}^{-m} \alpha_i^m \cdot X_i$$

for $1 \leq i \leq s$.

It follows that

$$\alpha_i : Y_i \mapsto \zeta_{p^{a_i}} Y_i, \quad Y_j \mapsto Y_j, \quad \text{for } j \neq i.$$

Thus $\bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq s} K \cdot Y_j$ is a faithful representation space of the subgroup $H = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s \rangle$. The induced subspace W depends on the relations in G . It is well known that the case $\alpha^{p^a} \in H$ can easily be reduced to the case $\alpha^{p^a} = 1$ (see e.g. [Mi, Proof of Theorem 1.8, Step 2]).

Define $x_{ji} = \alpha^i \cdot Y_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq s, 0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$. Recall that G is of nilpotency class 2, so $[H, \alpha] \leq Z(G)$, i.e., $[\alpha_j, \alpha] = \gamma_j \in Z(G)$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$. It is not hard to see that

$$\alpha^{-i} \alpha_j \alpha^i = \alpha_j \gamma_j^i, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1.$$

We can now write the decomposition of γ_j in H :

$$(3.1) \quad \gamma_j = \prod_{i=1}^s \alpha_i^{\alpha_{ij} p^{r_{ij}}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq s, r_{ij} \geq 0, \alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(\alpha_{ij}, p) = 1.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j : x_{ji} &\mapsto \zeta_{p^{a_j}} \zeta_{p^{a_j}}^{i \alpha_{jj} p^{r_{jj}}} x_{ji}, \quad x_{mi} \mapsto \zeta_{p^{a_m}}^{i \alpha_{mj} p^{r_{mj}}} x_{mi}, \quad \text{for } m \neq j, \\ \alpha : x_{j0} &\mapsto x_{j1} \mapsto \dots \mapsto x_{j p^a - 1} \mapsto x_{j0}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Step 2. In this step we will find somewhat simpler actions of H , which resemble the diagonal actions. First of all, for abuse of notation we can assume that $\alpha_{ij} = 1$ for all i, j . (We can easily adjust the substitutions that follow in such a way that this method works for arbitrary α_{ij} 's, but the notations will become cumbersome. Moreover, for the next steps we need not to know the exact choices of primitive roots of unity.)

Next, without loss of generality we can assume that $a_1 - r_{11} = \max\{a_m - r_{mj} \mid 1 \leq m, j \leq s\}$. Then we can replace the generators of H with the new generators

$\alpha_1, \alpha_1^{-p^{r_{12}-r_{11}}} \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_1^{-p^{r_{1s}-r_{11}}} \alpha_s$. The actions of the new generators are of the following type

$$\alpha_1^{-p^{r_{1j}-r_{11}}} \alpha_j : x_{1i} \mapsto \zeta_p^{b_{1j}} x_{1i}, \quad x_{mi} \mapsto \zeta_p^{i b_{mj}} x_{mi}, \quad \text{for } m \neq j,$$

for some $b_{tj} \geq 0$, where $1 \leq t \leq s, 2 \leq j \leq s$ and $0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$. Now, for $2 \leq m \leq s$ define $y_{mi} = x_{mi} x_{1i}^{a_1 - r_{11} - a_m + p^{r_{m1}}}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 : x_{1i} &\mapsto \zeta_{p^{a_1}} \zeta_{p^{a_1}}^{i p^{r_{11}}} x_{1i}, \quad y_{mi} \mapsto \zeta_{p^{c_{m1}}} y_{mi}, \quad \text{for } m \neq 1, \\ \alpha_1^{-p^{r_{1j}-r_{11}}} \alpha_j : x_{1i} &\mapsto \zeta_{p^{c_{1j}}} x_{1i}, \quad y_{mi} \mapsto \zeta_{p^{d_{mj}}} \zeta_{p^{c_{mj}}}^i y_{mi}, \quad \text{for } m \neq 1, \end{aligned}$$

for some $c_{tj} \geq 0$ ($1 \leq t, j \leq s$) and $d_{tj} \geq 0$ ($2 \leq t, j \leq s$), where $0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$.

We can apply the same process with each generator $\alpha_1^{-p^{r_{1j}-r_{11}}} \alpha_j$ for $2 \leq j \leq s$. For example, we may assume that $c_{22} = \max\{c_{mj} \mid 2 \leq m, j \leq s\}$. Then we can proceed in the same way for the generator $\alpha_1^{-p^{r_{12}-r_{11}}} \alpha_2$. Repeating this process with each generator we will finally obtain generators β_1, \dots, β_s of H which act on the function field $K(y_{ji} : 1 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1) = K(x_{ji} : 1 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)$ in this way

$$\beta_j : y_{ji} \mapsto \zeta_p^{b_j} \zeta_p^{i b_{jj}} y_{ji}, \quad y_{mi} \mapsto \zeta_p^{b_{mj}} y_{mi}, \quad \text{for } m \neq j,$$

for some $b_j \geq 0, b_{tj} \geq 0$, where $1 \leq t, j \leq s$ and $0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$. Clearly, the action of α is not changed:

$$\alpha : y_{j0} \mapsto y_{j1} \mapsto \dots \mapsto y_{jp^{a-1}} \mapsto y_{j0},$$

where $0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Observe that from $1 = [\alpha_j, \alpha^{p^a}] = \gamma_j^{p^a}$ it follows that $a_m - r_{mj} \leq a$ for all m, j (see (3.1)). Since each primitive root $\zeta_p^{b_{mj}}$ is obtained via multiplication of roots of the type $\zeta_p^{a_m - r_{mj}}$, we deduce that $b_{tj} \leq a$.

Clearly, $W = \bigoplus_{j,i} K \cdot y_{ij} \subset V^*$ is the induced G -subspace obtained from V . Thus, by Theorem 2.1 it suffices to show that W^G is rational over K .

Step 3. Assume that $b_{jj} \geq 1$, where $1 \leq j \leq t$ for some $t : 1 \leq t \leq s$. Denote $A = b_{11}$ and $\xi = \zeta_{p^{a-A}}$, a primitive p^{a-A} -th root of unity. For $0 \leq \ell \leq p^{a-A} - 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq p^A - 1$ define

$$u_{\ell k} = y_{1k} + \xi^\ell y_{1,k+p^A} + (\xi^\ell)^2 y_{1,k+2p^A} + \dots + (\xi^\ell)^{p^{a-A}-1} y_{1,k+(p^{a-A}-1)p^A}.$$

It is not hard to see that this is a well defined non-singular transformation, so $K(u_{\ell k} : 0 \leq \ell \leq p^{a-A} - 1, 0 \leq k \leq p^A - 1) = K(y_{1i} : 0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)$. Then the actions

of the generators of G on $K(u_{\ell k})$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1 & : u_{\ell k} \mapsto \zeta_{p^{b_1}} \zeta_{p^A}^k u_{\ell k}, \\ \beta_m & : u_{\ell k} \mapsto \zeta_{p^{b_{1m}}} u_{\ell k}, \quad \text{for } m \neq 1, \\ \alpha & : u_{\ell 0} \mapsto u_{\ell 1} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{\ell p^{A-1}} \mapsto \xi^{-\ell} u_{\ell 0},\end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \ell \leq p^{a-A} - 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq p^A - 1$.

For $1 \leq i \leq p^A - 1$ define $v_{0i} = u_{0i}/u_{0i-1}$. For $1 \leq \ell \leq p^{a-A} - 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq p^A - 1$ define $v_{\ell k} = u_{\ell k}/u_{0k}$. Thus $K(u_{\ell k} : 0 \leq \ell \leq p^{a-A} - 1, 0 \leq k \leq p^A - 1) = K(u_{00}, v_{\ell k} : (k, \ell) \neq (0, 0))$, and for every $g \in G$

$$(3.2) \quad g \cdot u_{00} \in K(v_{\ell k} : (k, \ell) \neq (0, 0)) \cdot u_{00},$$

while the subfield $K(v_{\ell k} : (k, \ell) \neq (0, 0))$ is invariant by the action of G , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1 & : v_{0i} \mapsto \zeta_{p^A} v_{0i}, \quad v_{\ell k} \mapsto v_{\ell k}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq p^A - 1, 0 \leq k \leq p^A - 1, \ell \neq 0 \\ \beta_m & : v_{\ell k} \mapsto v_{\ell k}, \quad \text{for } m \neq 1, 0 \leq \ell \leq p^{a-A} - 1, 0 \leq k \leq p^A - 1, (k, \ell) \neq (0, 0), \\ \alpha & : v_{01} \mapsto v_{02} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{0p^{A-1}} \mapsto (v_{01} \cdots v_{0p^{A-1}})^{-1}, \\ & v_{\ell 0} \mapsto v_{\ell 1} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{\ell p^{A-1}} \mapsto \xi^{-\ell} v_{\ell 0}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \ell \leq p^{a-A} - 1.\end{aligned}$$

Step 4. We can apply the same type of transformations as in Step 3 for each $K(y_{ji} : 0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)$, where $2 \leq j \leq t$. For $t+1 \leq j \leq s$ define $w_{ji} = y_{ji}/y_{ji-1}$, where $1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$. Denote by \mathcal{X} the set of all new variables (together with the variables of the type (3.2)) and by \mathcal{X}_j the subset of all variables that are not invariant under β_j and are not of the type (3.2). Observe that β_1 leaves invariant all new variables except v_{0i} 's and except the type (3.2), i.e. $\mathcal{X}_1 = \{v_{0i} : 1 \leq i \leq p^A - 1\}$.

Define $w_1 = v_{01}^{p^A}$ and $w_i = v_{0i}/v_{0i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq p^A - 1$. Then $K(y_{ji} : 0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1, 1 \leq j \leq s)^{\langle \beta_1 \rangle} = K(\mathcal{X})^{\langle \beta_1 \rangle} = K(w_i, \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{X}_1, 1 \leq i \leq p^A - 1)$. Note that for the variables of the type (3.2) we apply Theorem 2.2. The action of α on \mathcal{X}_1 is

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha & : w_1 \mapsto w_1 w_2^{p^A}, \\ & w_2 \mapsto w_3 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto w_{p^{A-1}} \mapsto (w_1 w_2^{p^A-1} w_3^{p^A-2} \cdots w_{p^{A-1}}^2)^{-1} \mapsto \\ & \mapsto w_1 w_2^{p^A-2} w_3^{p^A-3} \cdots w_{p^{A-2}}^2 w_{p^{A-1}} \mapsto w_2.\end{aligned}$$

Define $z_1 = w_2, z_i = \alpha^i \cdot w_2$ for $2 \leq i \leq p^A - 1$. Now the action of α is

$$\alpha : z_1 \mapsto z_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto z_{p^A-1} \mapsto (z_1 z_2 \cdots z_{p^A-1})^{-1}.$$

Since $w_1 = (z_{p^{A-1}} z_1^{p^A-1} z_2^{p^A-2} \cdots z_{p^{A-2}}^2)^{-1}$, we get that $K(w_1, \dots, w_{p^{A-1}}) = K(z_1, \dots, z_{p^{A-1}})$. From Lemma 2.4 it follows that the action of α on $K(z_1, \dots, z_{p^{A-1}})$ can be linearized. Clearly, the action of α on $K(v_{\ell i} : 1 \leq \ell \leq p^{a-A} - 1, 0 \leq i \leq p^A - 1)$ is also linear.

Similarly, we can linearize the action of α on $K(\mathcal{X})^{(\beta_j)}$ for each $j : 2 \leq j \leq t$. For $j : t+1 \leq j \leq s$ the action of α on $K(w_{ji})$ is

$$\alpha : w_{j1} \mapsto w_{j2} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto w_{jp^{a-1}} \mapsto (w_{j1} w_{j2} \cdots w_{jp^{a-1}})^{-1},$$

which again can be linearized according to Lemma 2.4. Therefore, we obtain a linear action of α on $K(\mathcal{X})^H$. We are done.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.11

Let V be a K -vector space whose dual space V^* is defined as $V^* = \bigoplus_{g \in G} K \cdot x(g)$ where G acts on V^* by $h \cdot x(g) = x(hg)$ for any $h, g \in G$. Thus $K(V)^G = K(x(g) : g \in G)^G = K(G)$. The key idea is to find a faithful G -subspace W of V^* and to show that W^G is rational over K . The subspace W is obtained as an induced representation from H .

Define $X_1, X_2 \in V^*$ by

$$X_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{p^b-1} x(\beta^i), \quad X_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{p^c-1} x(\gamma^i).$$

Note that $\beta \cdot X_1 = X_1$ and $\gamma \cdot X_2 = X_2$.

Let $\zeta_{p^b} \in K$ be a primitive p^b -th root of unity, and let $\zeta_{p^c} \in K$ be a primitive p^c -th root of unity. Define $Y_1, Y_2 \in V^*$ by

$$Y_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{p^c-1} \zeta_{p^c}^{-1} \gamma^i \cdot X_1, \quad Y_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{p^b-1} \zeta_{p^b}^{-1} \beta^i \cdot X_2.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta & : Y_1 \mapsto Y_1, \quad Y_2 \mapsto \zeta_{p^b} Y_2, \\ \gamma & : Y_1 \mapsto \zeta_{p^c} Y_1, \quad Y_2 \mapsto Y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $K \cdot Y_1 + K \cdot Y_2$ is a representation space of the subgroup H . The induced subspace W depends on the relations in G . It is well known that the case $\alpha^{p^a} = \beta^f \gamma^h$

can easily be reduced to the case $\alpha^{p^a} = 1$ (see e.g. [Mi, Proof of Theorem 1.8, Step 2]). Henceforth we will consider separately G_1 and G_2 .

Case I. $G \simeq G_1$.

Define $x_i = \alpha^i \cdot Y_1, y_i = \alpha^i \cdot Y_2$ for $0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$. Calculations show that $\gamma\alpha^i = \alpha^i \gamma^{k(i)} \beta^{xl(i)}$ for $k(i) = 1 + \binom{i}{1}p^s + \binom{i}{2}p^{2s} + \cdots + \binom{i}{i}p^{is} = k^i$, where $k = 1 + p^s$, and $l(i) = \binom{i}{1} + \binom{i}{2}p^s + \cdots + \binom{i}{i}p^{(i-1)s}$. We have now

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &: x_i \mapsto x_i, y_i \mapsto \zeta_{p^b} y_i, \\ \gamma &: x_i \mapsto \zeta_{p^c}^{k^i} x_i, y_i \mapsto \zeta_{p^b}^{xl(i)} y_i, \\ \alpha &: x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p^a-1} \mapsto x_0, \\ & y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto y_{p^a-1} \mapsto y_0. \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$.

For $1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$, define $u_i = x_i/x_{i-1}$ and $v_i = y_i/y_{i-1}$. Thus $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1) = K(x_0, y_0, u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)$ and for every $g \in G$

$$g \cdot x_0 \in K(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1) \cdot x_0, \quad g \cdot y_0 \in K(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1) \cdot y_0,$$

while the subfield $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)$ is invariant by the action of G . Thus $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)^G = K(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)^G(u, v)$ for some u, v such that $\alpha(v) = \beta(v) = \gamma(v) = v$ and $\alpha(u) = \beta(u) = \gamma(u) = u$. Notice that $l(i) - l(i-1) = k^{i-1}$. We have now

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta &: u_i \mapsto u_i, v_i \mapsto v_i, \\ \gamma &: u_i \mapsto \zeta_{p^c}^{p^s k^{i-1}} u_i, v_i \mapsto \zeta_{p^b}^{x k^{i-1}} v_i, \\ \alpha &: u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{p^a-1} \mapsto (u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{p^a-1})^{-1}, \\ & v_1 \mapsto v_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{p^a-1} \mapsto (v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{p^a-1})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$. From Theorem 2.2 it follows that if $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)^G$ is rational over K , so is $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)^G$ over K .

We can always write x in the form $x = yp^t$ for $y \in \mathbb{Z} : \gcd(y, p) = 1$ and $t \geq 0$. Assume that $b - t \leq c - s$. For $1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$, define $w_i = v_i/u_i^{yp^{c-s-b+t}}$. Then $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1) = K(u_i, w_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)$ and $\gamma(w_i) = w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$. (The other case $b - t > c - s$ is identical, since we can define $w_i = u_i/v_i^{zp^{b-t-c+s}}$ for some z such that $zy \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{c-s}}$.)

Now, consider the metacyclic p -group $\tilde{G} = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{p^c} = \tau^{p^a} = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^k, k = 1 + p^s \rangle$.

Define $X = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq p^c-1} \zeta_{p^c}^{-j} x(\sigma^j)$, $V_i = \tau^i X$ for $0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: V_i \mapsto \zeta_{p^c}^{k^i} V_i, \\ \tau &: V_0 \mapsto V_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto V_{p^a-1} \mapsto V_0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $K(V_0, V_1, \dots, V_{p^a-1})^{\tilde{G}}$ is rational by Theorem 2.5.

Define $U_i = V_i/V_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$. Then $K(V_0, V_1, \dots, V_{p^a-1})^{\tilde{G}} = K(U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{p^a-1})^{\tilde{G}}(U)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: U_i \mapsto \zeta_{p^c}^{k^i - k^{i-1}} U_i, \quad U \mapsto U \\ \tau &: U_1 \mapsto U_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto U_{p^a-1} \mapsto (U_1 U_2 \cdots U_{p^a-1})^{-1}, \quad U \mapsto U. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\zeta_{p^c}^{k^i - k^{i-1}} = \zeta_{p^c}^{p^s k^{i-1}}$. Compare Formula 4.1 (i.e., the actions of γ and α on $K(u_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)$) with the actions of \tilde{G} on $K(U_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)$. They are the same. Hence, according to Theorem 2.5, we get that $K(u_1, \dots, u_{p^a-1})^G(u) \cong K(U_1, \dots, U_{p^a-1})^{\tilde{G}}(U) = K(V_0, V_1, \dots, V_{p^a-1})^{\tilde{G}}$ is rational over K . Since by Lemma 2.4 we can linearize the action of α on $K(w_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)$, we obtain finally that $K(u_i, w_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)^{\langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle}$ is rational over K .

Case II. $G \simeq G_2$.

Define $x_i = \alpha^i \cdot Y_1, y_i = \alpha^i \cdot Y_2$ for $0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$. Calculations show that $\gamma \alpha^i = \alpha^i \gamma \beta^{xl(i)}$ for $l(i) = \binom{i}{1} + \binom{i}{2} p^r + \cdots + \binom{i}{i} p^{(i-1)r}$, and $\beta \alpha^i = \alpha^i \beta^{k(i)}$ for $k(i) = 1 + \binom{i}{1} p^r + \binom{i}{2} p^{2r} + \cdots + \binom{i}{i} p^{ir} = k^i$, where $k = 1 + p^r$. We have now

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &: x_i \mapsto x_i, \quad y_i \mapsto \zeta_{p^b}^{k^i} y_i, \\ \gamma &: x_i \mapsto \zeta_{p^c} x_i, \quad y_i \mapsto \zeta_{p^b}^{xl(i)} y_i, \\ \alpha &: x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p^a-1} \mapsto x_0, \\ &: y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto y_{p^a-1} \mapsto y_0. \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$.

For $1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$, define $u_i = x_i/x_{i-1}$ and $v_i = y_i/y_{i-1}$. Thus $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1) = K(x_0, y_0, u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)$ and for every $g \in G$

$$g \cdot x_0 \in K(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1) \cdot x_0, \quad g \cdot y_0 \in K(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1) \cdot y_0,$$

while the subfield $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)$ is invariant by the action of G . Thus $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)^G = K(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)^G(u, v)$ for some u, v such that $\alpha(v) = \beta(v) = \gamma(v) = v$ and $\alpha(u) = \beta(u) = \gamma(u) = u$. Notice that $l(i) - l(i - 1) = k^{i-1}$. We have now

$$\begin{aligned} \beta & : u_i \mapsto u_i, v_i \mapsto \zeta_{p^b}^{p^r k^{i-1}} v_i, \\ \gamma & : u_i \mapsto u_i, v_i \mapsto \zeta_{p^b}^{x k^{i-1}} v_i, \\ \alpha & : u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{p^a-1} \mapsto (u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{p^a-1})^{-1}, \\ & \quad v_1 \mapsto v_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{p^a-1} \mapsto (v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{p^a-1})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1$. From Theorem 2.2 it follows that if $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)^G$ is rational over K , so is $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)^G$ over K .

We can always write x in the form $x = yp^t$ for $y \in \mathbb{Z} : \gcd(y, p) = 1$ and $t \geq 0$. Assume that $r \leq t$. Since γ acts in the same way as $\beta^{yp^{t-r}}$ on $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)$, we find that $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^a - 1)^G = K(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^2 - 1)^{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle}$. (The other case $r > t$ is identical, since β acts in the same way as $\gamma^{zp^{r-t}}$ or some z such that $zy \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{b-r}}$.) The proof henceforth is the same as Case I.

REFERENCES

- [AHK] H. Ahmad, S. Hajja and M. Kang, Rationality of some projective linear actions, *J. Algebra* **228** (2000), 643–658.
- [CK] H. Chu and M. Kang, Rationality of p -group actions, *J. Algebra* **237** (2001), 673–690.
- [GMS] S. Garibaldi, A. Merkurjev and J-P. Serre, “Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology”, AMS Univ. Lecture Series vol. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2003.
- [Ha] G.K. Haeuslein, On the invariants of finite groups having an abelian normal subgroup of prime index, *J. London Math. Soc.* **3** (1971), 355-360.
- [Haj] M. Hajja, Rational invariants of meta-abelian groups of linear automorphisms, *J. Algebra* **80** (1983), 295-305.
- [HK] S. Hajja and M. Kang, Some actions of symmetric groups, *J. Algebra* **177** (1995), 511–535.
- [HuK] S. J. Hu and M. Kang, Noether’s problem for some p -groups, in “Cohomological and geometric approaches to rationality problems”, edited by F. Bogomolov and Y. Tschinkel, Progress in Math. vol. 282, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2010.
- [Ka1] M. Kang, Noether’s problem for metacyclic p -groups, *Adv. Math.* **203** (2005), 554–567.
- [Ka2] M. Kang, Noether’s problem for p -groups with a cyclic subgroup of index p^2 , *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011) 218–234.
- [Ka3] M. Kang, Rationality problem for some meta-abelian groups, *J. Algebra* **322** (2009), 1214-1219.
- [Mi] I. Michailov, Noether’s problem for abelian extensions of cyclic p -groups, Pacific J. Math, to appear, (preprint available at arXiv:1301.7284v1 [math.AG]).
- [MM] J.M. Masley, H.L. Montgomery, Cyclotomic fields with unique factorization, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **286/287** (1976) 248-256.

- [Sa1] D. J. Saltman, Generic Galois extensions and problems in field theory, *Adv. Math.* **43** (1982), 250–283.
- [Sa2] D. J. Saltman, Noether’s problem over an algebraically closed field, *Invent. Math.* **77** (1984), 71–84.
- [Sw] R. Swan, Noether’s problem in Galois theory, in “Emmy Noether in Bryn Mawr”, edited by B. Srinivasan and J. Sally, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, SHUMEN UNIVERSITY ”EPISKOP KONSTANTIN PRES LAVSKI”, UNIVERSITETSKA STR. 115, 9700 SHUMEN, BULGARIA
E-mail address: ivo_michailov@yahoo.com