Quantification of linear entropy for quantum entanglement in He, H⁻ and Ps⁻ ions using highly-correlated Hylleraas functions

Chien-Hao Lin^{1,2,#}, Yen-Chang Lin^{1,3,*} and Yew Kam Ho^{1,**}

¹ Institute of Atomic and Molecular Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

² Department of Physics, National Taiwan Universities, Taiwan

³Graduate Insitute of Applied Science and Engineering, Catholic Fu-Jen University, Taiwan

Abstract

The quantum entanglement for the two electrons in three-body atomic systems such as the helium atom, the hydrogen negative ion and the positronium negative ion are investigated by employing highly correlated Hylleraas functions to represent the ground states of such systems. As a measure of the spatial entanglement, the linear entropy of the reduced density matrix is calculated for the ground states. The required four-electron (12-dimensional) integrals are solved analytically such that they are suitable for machine computations. Results are compared with other calculations when available.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud, 31.15.ve

** <u>ykho@pub.iams.sinica.edu.tw</u>

^{# &}lt;u>b99202042@ntu.edu.tw</u>

^{* &}lt;u>linyc@pub.iams.sinica.edu.tw</u>

I. INTRODUCTION

There has been considerable interest in the investigations of quantum entanglement in atomic systems ([1-4], and references therein) including the two-electron model atoms, helium-like ions and helium atoms, as understanding of entangled systems is important in other research areas such as quantum information [5], quantum computation [6] and quantum cryptography [7]. The recent developments on entanglement for atomic and molecular systems were reviewed by Tichy et al. [8]. Works on model atoms such as the Moshinsky atom [9-11], the Crandall atom [1, 2, 12] and the Hooke atom [13], have also been reported in the literatures, as well as the works for quantum dots [14-16]. Osenda and Serra have calculated the von Neumann entropy in spherical helium [17]. As for the natural helium atom, several groups have calculated the entropies for this system. Coe and D'Amico calculated the linear entropy for the ground state of the helium atom with wave functions constructed by using the products of hydrogenic wave functions, as well as using the density functional theory [2]. Manzano et al. [1] and Dehesa et al. [3, 4] investigated the entanglement of the helium ground and excited states using Kinoshita-type wave functions. These authors employed the Monte Carlo multidimensional integration scheme to treat the 12-dimensional integrals needed for calculations of linear entropy. Lin et al [18] employed configuration interaction with B-spline basis to represent the helium wave functions and calculated the linear entropy for the ground and excited states, and for the helium-like ions up to Z=15. Benenti et al [19] calculated the linear entropy and von Neumann entropy for the helium atom using configuration interaction basis wave functions constructed with Slater orbitals. In the present work, we report calculations of linear entropies for spatial entanglement (electron-electron orbital entanglement) in some three-particle atomic systems, such as the hydrogen negative ion, the positronium negative ion and the ground state helium atom by employing elaborate Hylleraas wave functions up to 203 terms. The energy-optimized wave functions are used to calculate the linear entropies. Our treatment of the four-electron integrals is different from the numerical integration used in Ref. [1, 3, 4]. By systematically changing the size of the expansion sets, we have established benchmark values for the linear entropy with relatively small estimated uncertainties in the He atom, H⁻ and Ps⁻ ions. Atomic units are used in the present work.

II. THEORETICAL METHOD

The non-relativistic Hamiltonian (in atomic units) describing the three-body atomic systems are

$$H = -\frac{1}{2m_1}\nabla_1^2 - \frac{1}{2m_2}\nabla_2^2 - \frac{1}{2m_3}\nabla_3^2 - \frac{Z}{r_{13}} - \frac{Z}{r_{23}} + \frac{1}{r_{12}},$$
(1)

where 1, 2, and 3 denote the electron 1, electron 2 and the positively charged particle respectively, and r_{ij} is the relative distance between the particle *i* and *j*. When m_3 is infinitely heavy, the Hamiltonian with Z = 1 and Z = 2 are for the H⁻ ion and the He atom, respectively. When Z=1 and m_3 = 1, it is then for the positronium negative ion, Ps^{-} . For *S*-states we use Hylleraas-type wave functions to describe the system, with

$$\Psi_{kmn} = \sum_{kmn} C_{kmn} \{ \exp[-\alpha(r_{13} + r_{23})] r_{12}^{k} r_{13}^{m} r_{23}^{n} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \}, \qquad (2)$$

where $k + m + n \le \omega$, and ω , *l*, *m* and *n* are positive integers or zero. In the present work we use wave functions up to *N*=203 terms, with $\omega = 11$.

The entanglement of atomic systems is quantified using different entanglement entropies, such as the von Neumann and linear entropies. In this work, we focus on the linear entropy. The von Neumann entropy has the form

$$S = -\mathrm{Tr}(\rho \ln \rho), \tag{3}$$

and the linear entropy is an approximation of the von Neumann entropy by taking

$$\ln x = (x - 1) - \frac{(x - 1)^2}{x} \dots$$
 (4)

By keeping the leading term in the above expansion, the linear entropy, denoted as L_s , becomes

$$L_{\rm s} = -{\rm Tr}(\rho(\rho-1) = {\rm Tr}(\rho-\rho^2) = 1 - {\rm Tr}(\rho^2) \quad . \tag{5}$$

The linear entropy for the two-electron systems is then defined as

$$L_{\rm s} = 1 - {\rm Tr}\rho_{red}^2 \qquad , \tag{6}$$

where ρ_{red} is the reduced density matrix. For two-component quantum systems, e.g., two-electron atoms, the reduced density matrix is obtained by tracing the two-particle density matrix over one of the two particles. We have

$$\rho_{red}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2}) = \int \psi^*(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_3}) \psi(\vec{r_2}, \vec{r_3}) d\vec{r_3}$$
(7)

and

with

$$\rho_{red}^2(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2}) = \int \rho_{red}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_3}) \rho_{red}(\vec{r_2}, \vec{r_3}) d\vec{r_3} \qquad , \tag{8}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}\rho_{red}^2 = \int \rho_{red}^2(\vec{r},\vec{r})d\vec{r} \quad . \tag{9}$$

The wave functions employed here are of Hylleraas type (see Eq. (2)). The advantage of using the correlation factors r_{ij} explicitly enables us to take into account the correlation effects in an accurate and effective manner. But including the non-separable variable r_{ij} leads to some complication as Eq. (9) becomes

$$Tr\rho_{red}^{2} = \iint \rho_{red}(\overrightarrow{r_{1}},\overrightarrow{r_{2}})\rho_{red}(\overrightarrow{r_{2}},\overrightarrow{r_{1}})d\overrightarrow{r_{2}}d\overrightarrow{r_{1}}$$

$$= \iint \left[\int \Psi^{*}(\overrightarrow{r_{1}},\overrightarrow{r_{3}})\Psi(\overrightarrow{r_{2}},\overrightarrow{r_{3}})d\overrightarrow{r_{3}} \right] \left[\int \Psi^{*}(\overrightarrow{r_{2}},\overrightarrow{r_{4}})\Psi(\overrightarrow{r_{1}},\overrightarrow{r_{4}})d\overrightarrow{r_{4}} \right]d\overrightarrow{r_{2}}d\overrightarrow{r_{1}}$$

$$= \iiint \Psi^{*}(\overrightarrow{r_{1}},\overrightarrow{r_{3}})\Psi(\overrightarrow{r_{2}},\overrightarrow{r_{3}})\Psi^{*}(\overrightarrow{r_{2}},\overrightarrow{r_{4}})\Psi(\overrightarrow{r_{1}},\overrightarrow{r_{4}})d\overrightarrow{r_{1}}d\overrightarrow{r_{2}}d\overrightarrow{r_{3}}d\overrightarrow{r_{4}}$$
(10)

This implies that some four-electron integrals are needed to be solved, and they have the general form of

$$I_4(i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, s, t, a, b, c, d) = \int r_1^i r_2^j r_3^k r_4^l r_{12}^m r_{13}^n r_{14}^p r_{23}^q r_{24}^s r_{34}^t e^{-ar_1 - br_2 - cr_3 - dr_4} d\vec{r_1} d\vec{r_2} d\vec{r_3} d\vec{r_4}$$
(11)

In Refs. [1, 3,4], the authors solved this kind of four-electron integrals by carrying out the 12-dimensional integrals using Monte Carlo multidimensional numerical integration routines. But using such an approach their results may have statistical uncertainty for the calculated linear entropy, not so small for some excited states, and that their results may even lead to errors in numerical calculations [4]. In the present work, we prefer to carry out the four-electron integrals analytically to forms that are suitable for machine computations. There have been considerable references for four-electron integrals in the literatures ([20, 21], and references therein), and we have found the seminal work by King [19] suit our purpose quite well. Together with the needed routines for two-and three-electron integrals [22-25], we are able to calculate the linear entropies for the helium atom, the hydrogen negative ion, and the positronium negative ion by employing Hylleraas functions up to 203 terms ($\mathcal{O} = 11$).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have calculated the linear entropy for the H⁻ ion, Ps⁻ ion and the ground state He atom using wave functions of Eq (2). Different expansion lengths are used, with $\mathcal{O} = 6$, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, corresponding to number of terms N = 50, 70, 95, 125, 161, 203, respectively. The energy in each term is individually optimized, and the wave functions are then used to calculate the linear entropy L_s using Eq. (6). Results are shown in Tables I, II, III, for He, H⁻ and Ps⁻, respectively. Furthermore, we can achieve better results by extrapolating \mathcal{O} to infinity. Since the even and odd powers of the r_{12} factors contribute to the energy differently, we extrapolate the energy and linear entropy according to even or odd number of \mathcal{O} values separately, i.e, $\mathcal{O} = (6, 8, 10)$ or $\mathcal{O} = (7, 9, 11)$. Using a geometric fit to represent the convergence, we can extrapolate \mathcal{O} to infinite for the energy as follows (see [26], for example):

$$\Delta(\omega) \equiv E(\omega) - E(\omega - 2) = cp^{\omega}$$
⁽¹²⁾

$$E(\infty) = E(\omega) + \frac{\Delta^2(\omega)}{\Delta(\omega-2) - \Delta(\omega)}$$
(13)

In Tables I to III, we show comparisons for our energies with those of elaborate calculations in the

literature [27-29]. A formula similar to the above can also be applied to extrapolate linear entropy as well. The final results representing benchmark values for linear entropy in two-electron atomic systems are shown in Tables I, II, and III for the ground state of the helium atom, the hydrogen negative ion, and the positronium negative ion, respectively. For the ground state helium atom, we conclude $L_s = 0.0159156 \pm 0.0000010$, and a comparison with earlier calculations [3, 18, 19] is also made in Table I. Our present result represents an improvement over previous values in the literature as it has a smaller estimated uncertainty in our calculation. For the hydrogen negative ion, we determine our result as $L_s = 0.106153 \pm 0.000010$. Again, with smaller estimated uncertainty, we believe our present value is also an improvement over other calculations, notwithstanding that no explicit numerical value was reported in Ref. [1]. Finally, we determine the linear entropy for the positronium negative ion as $L_s = 0.120796 \pm 0.000010$. To the best of our knowledge, there is no reported linear entropy for Ps⁻ in the literature for comparison. In addition to the investigation of quantum entanglement for H⁻ and Ps⁻ ions, we have also calculated the linear entropy for the model systems between these two natural ions with different masses of the positively charged particle. Figure 1 shows the linear entropy vs 1/m, with m being the mass (in units of electron mass) of the positively charged particle. It is seen that the linear entropy increases from the hydrogen negative ion to the positronium negative ion for increasing 1/m (decreasing mass m). This indicates that as the mass of the positively charged particle decreases, the two electrons become more correlated, resulting in the increase of spectral entanglement for these three-body ions from H⁻ to Ps⁻. Figure 2 shows the linear entropy vs the nuclear charge Z from $H^{-}(Z=1)$ to He (Z=2). It is seen that with decreasing Z from helium to H⁻, the correlation effect between the two electrons would increase, leading to the increase of the linear entropy, in a manner consistent with previous findings [18] that the linear entropy was found increasing when the nuclear charge of the helium sequence was decreased from Z=15 to Z=2.

IV. Summary and Conclusion

We have investigated the spatial entanglement of the ground state helium atom, the hydrogen negative ion and the positronium negative ion using elaborate Hylleraas basis wave functions. The linear entropies for these systems are calculated with the 12-dimensional integrals being solved analytically to expressions that are suitable for machine computations. Convergences of the linear entropies in terms of expansion lengths in the wave functions are examined, establishing benchmark values with relative small estimated uncertainties that are useful references for future investigations on quantum entanglement in atomic systems.

Acknowledgments

The present work is supported by National Science Council of Taiwan. C.-H. Lin is a recipient of 2012 Dr. Chau-Ting Chang Scholarship for summer research program at IAMS.

References

- [1] Manzano, D., Plastino, A. R. Dehesa J. S. and Koga, T., *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **43**, 275301(2010).
- [2] Coe, J. P. and D'Amico, I., Journal of Physics: Conference Series 254, 012010 (2010).
- [3] Dehesa, J. S. Koga, T. Yanez, R. J. Plastino, A. R. and Esquivel, R. O., *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **45**, 015504 (2012).
- [4] Dehesa, J. S. Koga, T. Yanez, R. J. Plastino, A. R. and Esquivel, R. O., *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **45**, 239501 (2012).
- [5] Nielsen, M. A. and Chuang, I. L., *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [6] Zhao, M.-J., Fei, S.-M. and Li-Jost, X., Phys. Rev. A 85, 054301 (2012).
- [7] Naik, D. S. Peterson, C. G. White, A. G. Berglund, A. J. and Kwiat, P. G., Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4733 (2000).
- [8] Tichy, M. C., Mintert, F. and Buchleitner, A., J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 44, 192001 (2011)
- [9] Moshinsky, M., Am. J. Phys. 36, 52 (1968).
- [10] Amovilli, C. and March, N. H., Phys. Rev. A 67, 022509 (2003); Phys. Rev. A 69, 054302 (2004).
- [11] Nagy, I. and Pipek, J., Phys. Rev. A 81, 014501 (2010).
- [12] Yanez, R. J., Plastino, A. R. and Dehesa, J. S., Eur. Phys. J. D 56, 141 (2010).
- [13] Coe, J. P., Sudbery, A. and D'Amico, I., Phys. Rev. B 77, 205122 (2008).
- [14] Abdullah, S., Coe, J. P. and D'Amico, I., Phys. Rev. B 80, 235302 (2009).
- [15] Nazmitdinov, R. G., Simonovi´c, N. S., Plastino, A. R. and Chizhov, A. V., J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 45 (2012) 205503.
- [16] Coden, D. S. A., Romero, R. H., Ferr on, A. and Gomez, S. S., J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 46 (2013) 065501.
- [17] Osenda, O. and Serra, P., Phys. Rev. A 75, 042331 (2007).
- [18] Lin, Y.-C., Lin, C.-Y. and Ho, Y. K., Phys. Rev. A 87, 022316 (2013).
- [19] Benenti, G., Siccardi, S. and Strini, G., arXiv:1204.6667v1
- [20] King, F. W., J. Chem. Phys. 99 3622 (1993).
- [21] King, F. W., J. Chem. Phys, 120 3042 (2004).
- [22] Ho, Y. K. and Page, B. A. P., J. Comput. Phys. 17, 122 (1975).
- [23] Perkins, J. F, J. Comp. Phys. 17, 434 (1975).
- [24] Sims, J. S. and Hagstrom, S. A., J. Chem. Phys. 55, 4699 (1971).
- [25] Ohrn, Y. and Nordling, J., J. Chem. Phys. 39, 1864 (1963).
- [26] Drachman, R. J., Ho, Y. K. and. Houston, S. K, J. Phys. B: Atom Molec. Phys. 9, L199 (1976).
- [27] Drake, G. W. F., Atomic, Molecular, & Optical Physics Handbook (AIP press, New York, 1996), Chap. High Precision Calculations for Helium, pp. 154–171.
- [28] Drake, G. W. F., Cassar, M. and Nistor, R. A., Phys. Rev. A 65, 054501 (2002).
- [29] Ho, Y. K., Phys. Rev. A 48, 4780 (1993).

ω	Number of terms	Energy (atomic unit)	Linear Entropy
3	13	-2.903640446	0.015886739
4	22	-2.903713944	0.015922114
5	34	-2.903720967	0.015915605
6	50	-2.903723702	0.015916146
7	70	-2.903724105	0.015915692
8	95	-2.903724305	0.015915709
9	125	-2.903724344	0.015915656
10	161	-2.903724366	0.015915657
11	203	-2.903724372	0.015915649
Extrapolated (6, 8, 10)		-2.903724373	0.015915650
Extrapolated (7, 9, 11)		-2.903724375	0.015915648
Present final estimate			0.0159156 ± 0.0000010
Drake and coworkers [27, 28]		-2.9037243770341196	
Y. C. Lin et al [18]		-2.9035869	0.015943 ± 0.00004
Dehesa et al [3]		-2.903724377	$0.015914 {\pm} 0.000044$
Benenti et al [19]			0.01606

Table I. The energy and linear entropy of the helium atom in its ground state.

ω	Wave Functions	Energy (atomic unit)	Linear Entropy
5	34	-0.527707183	0.10553029
6	50	-0.527743248	0.10605049
7	70	-0.527747857	0.10610703
8	95	-0.527750064	0.10613936
9	125	-0.527750614	0.10614786
10	161	-0.527750865	0.10615100
11	203	-0.527750943	0.10615256
Extrapolated (6, 8, 10)		-0.527750972	0.10615276
Extrapolated (7, 9, 11)		-0.527750988	0.10615317
Present final estimate			0.106153±0.000010
Drake and coworkers [27, 28]		-0.527751016544377196503	

Table II, The energy and linear entropy of the hydrogen negative ion.

ω	Number of terms	Energy (atomic unit)	Linear Entropy
5	34	-0.261957583	0.119612504
6	50	-0.262001051	0.120694682
7	70	-0.262002801	0.120723743
8	95	-0.262004669	0.120786171
9	125	-0.262004894	0.120791288
10	161	-0.262005012	0.120794606
11	203	-0.262005044	0.120796128
Extrapolated (6,8,10)		-0.262005048	0.12079546
Extrapolated (7,9,11)		-0.262005056	0.12079650
Present final estimate			0.120796±0.000010
Drake and coworkers [28]		-0.262005070232980107627	
Ho [29]		-0.26200507023294	

Table III. The energy and linear entropy of the positronium negative ion



Figure 1, Linear entropy for the hydrogen negative ion to the positronium negative ion for changing 1/m, with *m* being the mass (in units of electron mass) of the positively charged particle.



