# HYPERBOLIC ALEXANDROV-FENCHEL QUERMASSINTEGRAL INEQUALITIES II

#### YUXIN GE, GUOFANG WANG, AND JIE WU

Abstract. In this paper we first establish an optimal Sobolev type inequality for hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  (see Theorem [1.1\)](#page-2-0). As an application we obtain hyperbolic Alexandrov-Fenchel inequalities for curvature integrals and quermassintegrals. Precisely, we prove a following geometric inequality in the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^n$ , which is a hyperbolic Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality,

$$
\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{2k} \geq C_{n-1}^{2k} \omega_{n-1} \left\{ \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} + \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k} \frac{n-1-2k}{n-1}} \right\}^{k},
$$

provided that  $\Sigma$  is a horospherical convex, where  $2k \leq n-1$ . Equality holds if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a geodesic sphere in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . Here  $\sigma_j = \sigma_j(\kappa)$  is the j-th mean curvature and  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \cdots, \kappa_{n-1})$ is the set of the principal curvatures of  $\Sigma$ . Also, an optimal inequality for quermassintegrals in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  is as following:

$$
W_{2k+1}(\Omega) \ge \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{n-1-2k}{n-1-2k+2i} C_k^i \left(\frac{nW_1(\Omega)}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-1-2k+2i}{n-1}},
$$

provided that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  is a domain with  $\Sigma = \partial \Omega$  horospherical convex, where  $2k \leq n-1$ . Equality holds if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a geodesic sphere in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . Here  $W_r(\Omega)$  is quermassintegrals in integral geometry.

#### 1. Introduction

In this paper we first establish Sobolev type inequalities for hypersurfaces in the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . Let g be a Riemannian metric on a Riemannian manifold. Its kth Gauss-Bonnet curvature (or Lovelock curvature)  $L_k$  is defined by (see [\[13\]](#page-19-0) for instance)

(1.1) 
$$
L_k := \frac{1}{2^k} \delta_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} R_{i_1 i_2}^{j_1 j_2} \cdots R_{i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}^{j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}.
$$

Here  $R_{ij}{}^{kl}$  is the Riemannian curvature with respect to g, and the generalized Kronecker delta is defined by

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
\delta_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r}^{j_1 j_2 \dots j_r} = \det \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \delta_{i_1}^{j_1} & \delta_{i_1}^{j_2} & \cdots & \delta_{i_1}^{j_r} \\ \delta_{i_2}^{j_1} & \delta_{i_2}^{j_2} & \cdots & \delta_{i_2}^{j_r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \delta_{i_r}^{j_1} & \delta_{i_r}^{j_2} & \cdots & \delta_{i_r}^{j_r} \end{array} \right)
$$

.

The first named author is partly supported by ANR project ANR-08-BLAN-0335-01. The second and third named authors are partly supported by SFB/TR71 "Geometric partial differential equations" of DFG.

When  $k = 1, L_1$  is just the scalar curvature R. When  $k = 2$ , it is the so-called (second) Gauss-Bonnet curvature

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
L_2 = ||Rm||^2 - 4||Ric||^2 + R^2,
$$

where Rm, Ric are the Riemannian curvature tensor, and the Ricci tensor with respect to g respectively. The Gauss-Bonnet curvature  $L_k$  is a very natural generalization of the scalar curvature. When the underlying manifold is local conformally flat,  $L_k$  equals to the  $\sigma_k$ -scalar curvature up to a constant multiple, precisely(cf. [\[14\]](#page-19-1))

(1.2) 
$$
L_k = 2^k k! (n - 1 - k)(n - 2 - k) \cdots (n - 2k) \sigma_k(g).
$$

Here the  $\sigma_k$ -scalar curvature was introduced in Viaclovsky [\[30\]](#page-20-0) by

$$
\sigma_k(g) := \sigma_k(\Lambda_g),
$$

and  $\Lambda_q$  is the set of the eigenvalues of the Schouten tensor  $A_q$  defined by

<span id="page-1-5"></span>(1.4) 
$$
A_g = \frac{1}{n-3} \left( Ric_g - \frac{R_g}{2(n-2)} g \right).
$$

Here we consider the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold M with metric g. The  $\sigma_k$ -scalar curvature is also a very natural generalization of the scalar curvature R (in fact,  $\sigma_1(g) = \frac{1}{2(n-2)}R$ ) and has been intensively studied in the fully nonlinear Yamabe problem. The fully nonlinear Yamabe problem for  $\sigma_k$  is a generalization of ordinary Yamabe problem for the scalar curvature R. In the ordinary Yamabe problem, the following functional, the so-called Yamabe functional, plays a crucial role

(1.5) 
$$
\mathcal{F}_1(g) = (vol(g))^{-\frac{n-3}{n-1}} \int R_g d\mu(g).
$$

For a given conformal class  $[g] = \{e^{-2u}g \mid u \in C^{\infty}(M)\}\$ , the Yamabe constant is defined by

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
Y_1([g]) = \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \mathcal{F}_1(\tilde{g}).
$$

By the resolution of the Yamabe problem, Aubin and Schoen [\[2,](#page-19-2) [25\]](#page-19-3) proved that for any metric  $g$  on  $M$ 

<span id="page-1-4"></span>
$$
(1.6)Y_1([g]) \le Y_1([g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}]) \text{ and } Y_1([g]) < Y_1([g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}]) \text{ for any } (M, [g]) \text{ other than } [g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}],
$$

where  $[g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}]$  is the conformal class of the standard round metric on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . From this, one can see the importance of the constant  $Y_1([g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}])$ . In fact, one can prove that

(1.7) 
$$
Y_1([g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}]) = (n-1)(n-2)\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2}{n-1}},
$$

where  $\omega_{n-1}$  is the volume of  $g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ . It is trivial to see that [\(1.7\)](#page-1-0) is equivalent to

<span id="page-1-3"></span>(1.8) 
$$
\int_M L_1 d\mu(g) = \int_M R_g d\mu(g) \ge (n-1)(n-2)\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2}{n-1}} vol(g)^{\frac{n-3}{n-1}},
$$

for any  $g \in [g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}]$ , which is in fact an optimal Sobolev inequality. See [\[20\]](#page-19-4). As a natural generalization, we proved in [\[19\]](#page-19-5) a generalized Sobolev inequality for  $\sigma_k$ -scalar curvature  $\sigma_k(g)$ , which states

<span id="page-1-2"></span>(1.9) 
$$
\int_M \sigma_k(g) d\mu(g) \geq \frac{C_{n-1}^k}{2^k} \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2k}{n-1}} vol(g)^{\frac{n-1-2k}{n-1}},
$$

for any  $g \in C_{k-1}([g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}])$ , where  $C_{k-1}([g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}]) = [g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}] \cap \Gamma_{k-1}^+$  and  $\Gamma_k^+ = \{g \mid \sigma_j(g) > 0, \forall j \leq k\}.$ In this paper, we denote  $C_{n-1}^k = \frac{(n-1)!}{k!(n-1-k)!}$ . By [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) inequality [\(1.9\)](#page-1-2) can be written in the following form

<span id="page-2-1"></span>(1.10) 
$$
\int_{\Sigma} L_k d\mu(g) \geq C_{n-1}^{2k} (2k)! \, \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2k}{n-1}} (vol(g))^{\frac{n-1-2k}{n-1}},
$$

for any  $g \in \mathcal{C}_{k-1}([g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}])$ . We call both inequalities [\(1.8\)](#page-1-3), [\(1.10\)](#page-2-1) *optimal Sobolev inequalities* and would like to investigate which classes of metrics satisfy the optimal Sobolev inequalities. [\(1.8\)](#page-1-3) and [\(1.10\)](#page-2-1) mean that a suitable subclass of the conformal class of the standard round metric satisfies the optimal Sobolev inequalities. From [\(1.6\)](#page-1-4) we know in any conformal class other than the conformal class of the standard round metric, there exist many metrics which do not satisfy the optimal Sobolev inequality. Hence it is natural to ask if there are other interesting classes of metrics satisfy the optimal Sobolev inequality? Observe that for a closed hypersurface  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$
(1.11) \t\t\t L_k = (2k)! \sigma_{2k},
$$

where  $\sigma_{2k}$  is the 2k-mean curvature of  $\Sigma$ , which is defined by

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
\sigma_j = \sigma_j(\kappa),
$$

where  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \cdots, \kappa_{n-1}), \kappa_j$  (1 ≤ j ≤ n-1) is the principal curvature of B, and B is the 2nd fundamental form of  $\Sigma$  induced by the standard Euclidean metric. The classical Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality (see [\[27\]](#page-19-6) for instance) implies for convex hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
(1.12) \qquad \int_{\Sigma} L_k(g) d\mu(g) = (2k)! \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{2k} d\mu(g) \ge C_{n-1}^{2k} (2k)! \, \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2k}{n-1}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{n-1-2k}{n-1}}.
$$

I this paper we use  $|\Sigma|$  to denote the area of  $\Sigma$  with respect to the induced metric. Inequality [\(1.12\)](#page-2-2) means that the induced metric of any convex hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfy the optimal Sobolev inequalities. The convexity can be weakened. See the work of Guan-Li [\[18\]](#page-19-7), Huisken [\[21\]](#page-19-8) and Chang-Wang [\[6\]](#page-19-9).

In this paper we prove that the induced metric of horospherical convex hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ also satisfy the optimal Sobolev inequalities.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $2k < n-1$ . Any horospherical convex hypersurfaces  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  satisfies

<span id="page-2-3"></span>(1.13) 
$$
\int_{\Sigma} L_k d\mu(g) \geq C_{n-1}^{2k} (2k)! \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2k}{n-1}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{n-1-2k}{n-1}},
$$

equality holds if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a geodesic sphere.

A hypersurface in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  is *horospherical convex* if all principal curvatures are larger than or equal to 1. The horospherical convexity is a natural geometric concept, which is equivalent to the geometric convexity in Riemannian manifolds. For any hypersurface in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ , the Gauss-Bonnet curvature  $L_k$  of the induced metric of the hypersurface can be expressed in terms of the curvature integrals by (see also Lemma [3.1](#page-7-0) below)

<span id="page-2-5"></span>(1.14) 
$$
L_k = C_{n-1}^{2k} (2k)! \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \frac{C_k^j}{C_{n-1}^{2k-2j}} \sigma_{2k-2j}.
$$

Comparing [\(1.12\)](#page-2-2) for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with [\(1.13\)](#page-2-3) for  $\mathbb{H}^n$  and [\(1.11\)](#page-2-4) with [\(1.14\)](#page-2-5), we obtain the same inequality for  $L_k$ , while  $L_k$  has diferent expression in terms of the curvature integrals. We remark that when  $2k = n - 1$ , [\(1.13\)](#page-2-3) is an equality for any hypersurface diffeomorphic to a sphere, i.e,

$$
\int_{\Sigma} L_{\frac{n-1}{2}} dv(g) = (n-1)! \omega_{n-1}.
$$

This follows that the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem.

As a first direct application, we establish Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequalities for curvature integrals.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $2k \leq n-1$ . Any horospherical convex hypersurface  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  satisfies

(1.15) 
$$
\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{2k} \ge C_{n-1}^{2k} \omega_{n-1} \left\{ \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} + \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k} \frac{n-1-2k}{n-1}} \right\}^{k},
$$

equality holds if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a geodesic sphere.

When  $k = 1$  Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-0) and hence Theroem [1.2,](#page-3-0) is true even for any star-shaped and two-convex hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ , ie.,  $\sigma_1 \geq 0$  and  $\sigma_2 \geq 0$ , which was proved by Li-Wei-Xiong in a recent work [\[22\]](#page-19-10). When  $k = 2$ , Theorem [1.1](#page-2-0) was proved in our recent paper [\[15\]](#page-19-11). Due to the complication of the variational structure of  $\int \sigma_k$  in the hyperbolic space, the case  $k \geq 2$  is quite different from the case  $k = 1$ . For case  $k \geq 2$  the horospherical convexity of the hypersurface  $\Sigma$ plays an essential role.

At the end of this paper we show that a similar inequality holds for  $\sigma_1$  and propose a conjecture for general odd  $\sigma_{2k+1}$ .

Another application is an optimal inequality for quermassintegrals in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . For a (geodesically) convex domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  with  $\Sigma = \partial \Omega$ , quermassintegrals are defined by

(1.16) 
$$
W_r(\Omega) := \frac{(n-r)\omega_{r-1}\cdots\omega_0}{n\omega_{n-2}\cdots\omega_{n-r-1}} \int_{\mathcal{L}_r} \chi(L\cap\Omega) dL,
$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_r$  is the space of r-dimensional totally geodesic subspaces L in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ ,  $\omega_r$  is the area of the r-dimensional standard round sphere and dL is the natural (invariant) measure on  $\mathcal{L}_r$  (cf. [\[24\]](#page-19-12), [\[28\]](#page-19-13)). As in the Euclidean case we take  $W_0(\Omega) = Vol(\Omega)$ . With these definitions, unlike the euclidean case, the quermassintegral in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  do not coincide with the mean curvature integrals, but they are closely related (cf. [\[28\]](#page-19-13))

$$
(1.17)
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{C_{n-1}^r} \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_r = n \left( W_{r+1}(\Omega) + \frac{r}{n-r+1} W_{r-1}(\Omega) \right), \quad W_0(\Omega) = Vol(\Omega), \quad W_1(\Omega) = \frac{1}{n} |\Sigma|.
$$

The relationship between  $W_0$  and  $W_1$ , the hyperbolic isoperimetric inequality, was established by Schmidt [\[26\]](#page-19-14) 70 years ago. When  $n = 2$ , the hyperbolic isoperimetric inequality is

$$
L^2 \ge 4\pi A + A^2,
$$

where L is the length of a curve  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{H}^2$  and A is the area of the enclosed domain by  $\gamma$ . In general, this hyperbolic isoperimetric inequality has no explicit form. There are many attempts to establish relationship between  $W_k(\Omega)$  in the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . See, for example, [\[24\]](#page-19-12) and [\[29\]](#page-19-15). In [\[11\]](#page-19-16), Gallego-Solanes proved by using integral geometry the following interesting inequality for convex domains in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ , precisely, there holds,

<span id="page-4-0"></span>(1.18) 
$$
W_r(\Omega) > \frac{n-r}{n-s}W_s(\Omega), \quad r > s,
$$

which implies

<span id="page-4-1"></span>(1.19) 
$$
\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k d\mu > cC_{n-1}^k |\Sigma|,
$$

where  $c = 1$  if  $k > 1$  and  $c = (n-2)/(n-1)$  if  $k = 1$  and  $|\Sigma|$  is the area of  $\Sigma$ . Here  $d\mu$  is the area element of the induced metric. The constants in [\(1.18\)](#page-4-0) and [\(1.19\)](#page-4-1) are optimal in the sense that one can not replace them by bigger constants. However, they are far away being optimal.

As another application of Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-0) we have the following optimal inequalities of  $W_k(\Omega)$ for general odd k in terms of  $W_1 = \frac{1}{n} |\Sigma|$ .

<span id="page-4-4"></span>**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $2k \leq n-1$ . If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  be a domain with  $\Sigma = \partial \Omega$  horospherical convex, then

$$
(1.20) \t W_{2k+1}(\Omega) \ge \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{n-1-2k}{n-1-2k+2i} C_k^i \left(\frac{nW_1(\Omega)}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-1-2k+2i}{n-1}}
$$

where  $\omega_{n-1}$  is the area of the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Equality holds if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a geodesic sphere.

As a direct corollary we solve an isoperimetric problem for horospherical convex surfaces with fixed  $W_1$ .

<span id="page-4-2"></span>Corollary 1.4. Let  $2k \leq n-1$ . In a class of horospherical convex hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  with fixed  $W_1$ , the minimum of  $W_{2k+1}$  is achieved by and only by the geodesic spheres.

Corollary [1.4](#page-4-2) answers a question asked in the paper of Gao, Hug and Schneider [\[12\]](#page-19-17) in this case.

In order to prove Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-0) motivated by [\[15\]](#page-19-11) and [\[22\]](#page-19-10) (see also [\[4\]](#page-19-18) and [\[9\]](#page-19-19)), we consider the following functional

<span id="page-4-5"></span>(1.21) 
$$
Q(\Sigma) := |\Sigma|^{-\frac{n-1-2k}{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma} L_k.
$$

Here  $L_k$  is the Gauss-Bonnet curvature with respect to the induced metric g on  $\Sigma$ . This is a Yamabe type functional. One of crucial points of this paper is to show that functional Q is non-increasing under the following inverse curvature flow

<span id="page-4-3"></span>(1.22) 
$$
\frac{\partial \Sigma_t}{\partial t} = \frac{n - 2k}{2k} \frac{\sigma_{2k-1}}{\sigma_{2k}} \nu,
$$

where  $\nu$  is the outer normal of  $\Sigma_t$ , provided that the initial hypersurface is horospherical convex. One can show that horospherical convexity is preserved by flow  $(1.22)$ . By the convergence results of Gerhardt  $[16]$  on the inverse curvature flow  $(1.22)$ , we show that the flow approaches

,

to surfaces whose induced metrics belong to the conformal class of the standard round sphere metric. Therefore, we can use the result [\(1.10\)](#page-2-1) to

$$
Q(\Sigma) \ge \lim_{t \to \infty} Q(\Sigma_t) \ge C_{n-1}^{2k} (2k)! \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2k}{n-1}}.
$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some basic facts about the elementary functions  $\sigma_k$  and recall the generalized Sobolev inequality [\(1.10\)](#page-2-1) from [\[19\]](#page-19-5). In Section 3, We present the relationship between various geometric quantities including the intrinsic geometric quantities  $\int_{\Sigma} L_k$ , the curvature integrals  $\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k$  and the quermassintegrals  $W_r(\Omega)$ . In Section 4 we prove the crucial monotonicity of Q and analyze its asymptotic behavior under flow [\(1.22\)](#page-4-3). The proof of our main theorems are given in Section 5. In Section 6, we show that a similar inequality holds for  $\sigma_1$  and propose a conjecture for integral integrals  $\sigma_{2k+1}$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\sigma_k$  be the k-th elementary symmetry function  $\sigma_k : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$
\sigma_k(\Lambda) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_k} \quad \text{for } \Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.
$$

For a symmetric matrix B, denote  $\lambda(B) = (\lambda_1(B), \cdots, \lambda_n(B))$  be the eigenvalues of B. We set

$$
\sigma_k(B) := \sigma_k(\lambda(B)).
$$

The Garding cone  $\Gamma_k^+$  is defined as

$$
\Gamma_k^+ = \{ \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \, | \, \sigma_j(\Lambda) > 0, \quad \forall j \leq k \}.
$$

A symmetric matrix B is called belong to  $\Gamma_k^+$  if  $\lambda(B) \in \Gamma_k^+$ . We collect the basic facts about  $\sigma_k$ , which will be directly used in this paper. For other related facts, see a survey of Guan [\[17\]](#page-19-21) or [\[22\]](#page-19-10).

(2.1) 
$$
\sigma_k(B) = \frac{1}{k!} \delta^{i_1 \cdots i_k}_{j_1 \cdots j_k} b^{j_1}_{i_1} \cdots b^{j_k}_{i_k},
$$

where  $B = (b_j^i)$ . In the following, for simplicity of notation we denote

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
p_k = \frac{\sigma_k}{C_{n-1}^k}.
$$

<span id="page-5-3"></span>**Lemma 2.1.** For  $\Lambda \in \Gamma_k^+$ , we have the following Newton-MacLaurin inequalities

<span id="page-5-0"></span>(2.2) 
$$
\frac{p_{k-1}p_{k+1}}{p_k^2} \le 1,
$$

<span id="page-5-1"></span>(2.3) 
$$
\frac{p_1 p_{k-1}}{p_k} \ge 1.
$$

Moreover, equality holds in [\(2.2\)](#page-5-0) or [\(2.3\)](#page-5-1) at  $\Lambda$  if and only if  $\Lambda = c(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ .

The Newton-MacLaurin inequalities play a very important role in proving geometric inequalities mentioned above. However, we will see that these inequalities are not precise enough to show our inequality  $(1.13)$ .

Let  $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  with the hyperbolic metric

$$
\bar{g} = dr^2 + \sinh^2 r g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}},
$$

where  $g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$  is the standard round metric on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  a smooth closed hypersurface in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  with a unit outward normal  $\nu$ . Let h be the second fundamental form of  $\Sigma$ and  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n-1})$  the set of principal curvatures of  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  with respect to  $\nu$ . The k-th mean curvature of  $\Sigma$  is defined by

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\sigma_k = \sigma_k(\kappa).
$$

We now consider the following curvature evolution equation

$$
\frac{d}{dt}X = F\nu,
$$

where  $\Sigma_t = X(t, \cdot)$  is a family of hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ ,  $\nu$  is the unit outward normal to  $\Sigma_t = X(t, \cdot)$ and  $F$  is a speed function which may depend on the position vector  $X$  and principal curvatures of  $\Sigma_t$ . One can check that [\[23\]](#page-19-22) along the flow

<span id="page-6-1"></span>(2.5) 
$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k d\mu = (k+1) \int_{\Sigma} F \sigma_{k+1} d\mu + (n-k) \int_{\Sigma} F \sigma_{k-1} d\mu,
$$

and thus

<span id="page-6-3"></span>(2.6) 
$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} p_k d\mu = \int_{\Sigma} ((n - k - 1)p_{k+1} + kp_{k-1}) F d\mu.
$$

If one compares flow  $(2.4)$  in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  with a similar flow of hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the last term in  $(2.5)$ is an extra term. This extra term comes from  $-1$ , the sectional curvature of  $\mathbb{H}^n$  and makes the phenomenon of  $\mathbb{H}^n$  quite different from the one of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

As mentioned above we use the following inverse flow

$$
\frac{d}{dt}X = \frac{p_{2k-1}}{p_{2k}}\nu.
$$

By using the result of Gerhardt [\[16\]](#page-19-20) we have

**Proposition 2.2.** If the initial hypersurface  $\Sigma$  is horospherical convex, then the solution for the flow [\(2.7\)](#page-6-2) exists for all time  $t > 0$  and preservs the condition of horospherical convexity. Moreover, the hypersurfaces  $\Sigma_t$  become more and more umbilical in the sense of

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
|h_i{}^j - \delta_i{}^j| \le C e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}, \quad t > 0,
$$

i.e., the principal curvatures are uniformly bounded and converge exponentially fast to one. Here  $h_i{}^j = g^{ik} h_{kj}$ , where g is the induced metric and h is the second fundamental form.

Proof. For the long time existence of the inverse curvature flow, see the work of Gerhardt [\[16\]](#page-19-20). The preservation of the horospherical convexity along flow [\(2.7\)](#page-6-2) was proved in [\[15\]](#page-19-11) with the help of a maximal principle for tensors of Andrews  $[1]$ .

Let g be a Riemannian metric on  $M^{n-1}$ . Denote  $Ric_g$  and  $R_g$  the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of g respectively. The Schouten tensor  $A<sub>q</sub>$  is defined by [\(1.4\)](#page-1-5). The  $\sigma<sub>k</sub>$ -scalar curvature, which is introduced by Viaclovsky [\[30\]](#page-20-0), is defined by

$$
\sigma_k(g) := \sigma_k(A_g).
$$

This is a natural generalization of the scalar curvature R. In fact,  $\sigma_1(g) = \frac{1}{2(n-2)}R$ . Recall that M is of dimension  $n-1$ . We now consider the conformal class  $[g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}]$  of the standard sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and the following functionals defined by

(2.8) 
$$
\mathcal{F}_k(g) = vol(g)^{-\frac{n-1-2k}{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_k(g) d\mu, \quad k = 0, 1, ..., n-1.
$$

If a metric g satisfies  $\sigma_j(g) > 0$  for any  $j \leq k$ , we call it k-positive and denote  $g \in \Gamma_k^+$ . From Theorem 1.A in [\[19\]](#page-19-5) we have

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $0 < k < \frac{n-1}{2}$  and  $g \in [g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}]$  k-positive. We have

(2.9) 
$$
\mathcal{F}_k(g) \geq \mathcal{F}_k(g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}) = \frac{C_{n-1}^k}{2^k} \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2k}{n-1}}.
$$

Inequality [\(2.9\)](#page-7-1) is a generalized Sobolev inequality, since when  $k = 1$  inequality (2.9) is just the optimal Sobolev inequality. See for example [\[20\]](#page-19-4). For another Sobolev inequalities, see also [\[3\]](#page-19-24) and [\[7\]](#page-19-25).

### <span id="page-7-1"></span>3. Relationship between various geometric quantities

The Gauss-Bonnet curvatures  $L_k$ , and hence  $\int_{\Sigma} L_k$  are intrinsic geometric quantities, which depend only on the induced metric g on  $\Sigma$  and do not depend on the embeddings of  $(\Sigma, g)$ . Lemma [3.2](#page-8-0) and Lemma [3.3](#page-8-1) below imply that  $\sigma_{2k}$ ,  $\int \sigma_{2k}$  and  $W_{2k+1}$  are also intrinsic.  $\sigma_{2k+1}$ ,  $\int \sigma_{2k+1}$  and  $W_{2k}$  are extrinsic. The functionals  $\int_{\Sigma} L_k$  are new geometric quantities for the study of the integral geometry in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . In this section we present the relationship between these geometric quantities.

We first have a relation between  $L_k$  and  $\sigma_k$ .

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Lemma 3.1.** For a hypersurface  $(\Sigma, g)$  in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ , its Gauss-Bonnet curvature  $L_k$  can be expressed by higher order mean curvatures

(3.1) 
$$
L_k = C_{n-1}^{2k} (2k)! \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^i (-1)^i p_{2k-2i}.
$$

Hence we have

$$
(3.2) \quad \int_{\Sigma} L_k = C_{n-1}^{2k} (2k)! \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^i (-1)^i \int_{\Sigma} p_{2k-2i} = C_{n-1}^{2k} (2k)! \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \frac{C_k^i}{C_{n-1}^{2k-2i}} \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{2k-2i}.
$$

Proof. First recall the Gauss formula

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
R_{ij}{}^{kl} = (h_i{}^k h_j{}^l - h_i{}^l h_j{}^k) - (\delta_i{}^k \delta_j{}^l - \delta_i{}^l \delta_j{}^k),
$$

where  $h_i^j := g^{ik} h_{kj}$  and h is the second fundamental form. Then substituting the Gauss formula above into  $(1.1)$  and recalling  $(2.1)$ , we have by a straightforward calculation,

$$
L_k = \frac{1}{2^k} \delta_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} R_{i_1 i_2}^{j_1 j_2} \cdots R_{i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}^{j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \delta_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} (h_{i_1}^{j_1} h_{i_2}^{j_2} - \delta_{i_1}^{j_1} \delta_{i_2}^{j_2}) \cdots (h_{i_{2k-1}}^{j_{2k-1}} h_{i_{2k}}^{j_{2k}} - \delta_{i_{2k-1}}^{j_{2k-1}} \delta_{i_{2k}}^{j_{2k}})
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^i (-1)^i (n - 2k)(n - 2k + 1) \cdots (n - 1 - 2k + 2i)((2k - 2i)!\sigma_{2k - 2i})
$$
  
\n
$$
= C_{n-1}^{2k} (2k)! \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^i (-1)^i p_{2k - 2i}.
$$

Here in the second equality we use the symmetry of generalized Kronecker delta and in the third equality we use [\(2.1\)](#page-5-2) and the basic property of generalized Kronecker delta

<span id="page-8-5"></span>(3.3) 
$$
\delta_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{p-1} j_p}^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{p-1} i_p} \delta_{i_1}^{j_1} = (n-p) \delta_{j_2 j_3 \cdots j_p}^{i_2 i_3 \cdots i_p},
$$

which follows from the Laplace expansion of determinant.

 $\Box$ 

Motivated by the expression [\(3.1\)](#page-7-2), we introduce the following notations,

(3.4) 
$$
\widetilde{L}_k = \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^i (-1)^i p_{2k-2i}, \qquad \widetilde{N}_k = \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^i (-1)^i p_{2k-2i+1}.
$$

It is clear that

<span id="page-8-4"></span>
$$
L_k = (2k)! C_{n-1}^{2k} \tilde{L}_k, \qquad N_k = (2k)! C_{n-1}^{2k} \tilde{N}_k.
$$

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Lemma 3.2. We have

(3.5) 
$$
\sigma_{2k} = C_{n-1}^{2k} p_{2k} = C_{n-1}^{2k} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k} C_k^i \widetilde{L}_i \right),
$$

and hence

<span id="page-8-3"></span><span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{2k} = C_{n-1}^{2k} \sum_{i=0}^{k} C_k^i \int_{\Sigma} \widetilde{L}_i = \frac{1}{(2k)!} \sum_{i=0}^{k} C_k^i \int_{\Sigma} L_i.
$$

To show Theorem [1.3](#page-4-4) below, we need

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Lemma 3.3.** The quermassintegral  $W_{2k+1}$  can be expressed in terms of integral of  $\widetilde{L}_i$ 

(3.6) 
$$
W_{2k+1}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{k} C_k^i \frac{n-1-2k}{n-1-2k+2i} \int_{\Sigma} \widetilde{L}_{k-i}.
$$

*Proof.* We use the induction argument to show  $(3.6)$ . When  $k = 0$ , we have by  $(1.17)$  that  $W_1(Q) = \frac{1}{n} |\Sigma|$ . We then assume that [\(3.6\)](#page-8-2) holds for  $k-1$ , that is

(3.7) 
$$
W_{2k-1}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} C_{k-1}^j \frac{n+1-2k}{n+1-2k+2j} \widetilde{L}_{k-1-j}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{i=1}^k C_{k-1}^{i-1} \frac{n+1-2k}{n-1-2k+2i} \widetilde{L}_{k-i}.
$$

By  $(1.17)$  and  $(3.5)$ , we have

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
W_{2k+1}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Sigma} p_{2k} - \frac{2k}{n - 2k + 1} W_{2k-1}(\Omega)
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{n} \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{i=0}^{k} C_k^i \widetilde{L}_i - \frac{2k}{n - 2k + 1} W_{2k-1}(\Omega).
$$

Substituting  $(3.7)$  into above, one immediately obtains  $(3.6)$  for k. Thus we complete the proof.  $\Box$ 

One can also show the following relation between the quermassintegrals and the curvature integrals.

### Lemma 3.4.

<span id="page-9-2"></span>(3.8) 
$$
W_{2k+1}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \frac{(2k)!!(n-2k-1)!!}{(2k-2j)!!(n-2k-1+2j)!!} \frac{1}{C_{n-1}^{2k-2j}} \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{2k-2j},
$$

where

$$
(2k-1)!! := (2k-1)(2k-3)\cdots 1 \quad and \quad (2k)!! := (2k)(2k-2)\cdots 2.
$$

*Proof.* One can show this relation by a direct computation. See also [\[24\]](#page-19-12) or [\[29\]](#page-19-15).

## 4. MONOTONICITY

In this section we prove the monotonicity of functional  $Q$  under inverse curvature flow. First, we have the variational formula for  $\int \widetilde{L}_k$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Along the inverse flow  $(2.7)$ , we have

<span id="page-9-1"></span>(4.1) 
$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} \widetilde{L}_k = (n-1-2k) \int \widetilde{L}_k + (n-1-2k) \int_{\Sigma} \left( \widetilde{N}_k \frac{p_{2k-1}}{p_{2k}} - \widetilde{L}_k \right).
$$

*Proof.* It follows from  $(2.6)$  that along the inverse flow  $(2.4)$ , we have

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} \widetilde{L}_k = \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^i (-1)^i \Big( (n-1-2k+2i)p_{2k-2i+1} + 2(k-i)p_{2k-2i-1} \Big) F
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^i (-1)^i (n-1-2k+2i)p_{2k-2i+1}F + \int_{j=1}^k C_k^{j-1} (-1)^{j-1} 2(k-j+1)p_{2k-2j+1}F
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^i (-1)^i (n-1-2k)p_{2k-2i+1}F + \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{j=1}^k 2(-1)^j \Big( C_k^j j - C_k^{j-1} (k-j+1) \Big) p_{2k-2j+1}F
$$
\n
$$
= (n-1-2k) \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^i (-1)^i p_{2k-2i+1}
$$
\n
$$
= (n-1-2k) \int_{\Sigma} \widetilde{N}_k F
$$
\n
$$
= (n-1-2k) \int_{\Sigma} \widetilde{L}_k + (n-1-2k) \int \Big( \widetilde{N}_k F - \widetilde{L}_k \Big).
$$
\nSubstituting  $F = \frac{p_{2k-1}}{2}$  into above, we get the desired result.

Substituting  $F = \frac{p_{2k-1}}{p_{0k}}$  $\frac{2k-1}{p_{2k}}$  into above, we get the desired result.

In order to show the monotonicity of the functional  $Q$  defined in  $(1.21)$  under the inverse flow  $(2.7)$ , we need to show the non-positivity of the last term in  $(4.1)$ . That is

(4.2) 
$$
\frac{p_{2k-1}}{p_{2k}}\widetilde{N}_k - \widetilde{L}_k \leq 0.
$$

When  $k = 1$ , [\(4.2\)](#page-10-0) is just

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\frac{p_1}{p_2}(p_3 - p_1) - (p_2 - 1) \le 0,
$$

which follows from the Newton-Maclaurin inequalities in Lemma [2.1.](#page-5-3) In fact, it is clear that

$$
\frac{p_1}{p_2}(p_3 - p_1) - (p_2 - 1) = \left(\frac{p_1p_3}{p_2} - p_2\right) + \left(1 - \frac{p_1^2}{p_2}\right).
$$

Hence the non-positivity follows, for both terms are non-positive, by Lemma [2.1.](#page-5-3) This was used in [\[22\]](#page-19-10). When  $k \geq 2$ , the proof of [\(4.2\)](#page-10-0) becomes more complicated. When  $k = 2$ , one needs to show the non-positivity of

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
(4.3) \qquad \frac{p_3}{p_4}(p_5 - 2p_3 + p_1) - (p_4 - 2p_2 + 1) = \left(\frac{p_3}{p_4}p_5 - p_4\right) + 2\left(p_2 - \frac{p_3^2}{p_4}\right) + \left(\frac{p_3}{p_4}p_1 - 1\right).
$$

By Lemma [2.1,](#page-5-3) the first two terms are non-positive, but the last term is non-negative. It was showed in [\[15\]](#page-19-11) that [\(4.3\)](#page-10-1) is non-positive if  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  satisfying

<span id="page-10-2"></span>(4.4) 
$$
\kappa \in {\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \cdots, \kappa_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} | \kappa_i \geq 1}.
$$

We want to show that [\(4.2\)](#page-10-0) is true for general  $k \leq \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)$ . This is one of key points of this paper. Now the case is more complicated than the case  $k = 2$ .

<span id="page-11-3"></span>**Proposition 4.2.** For any  $\kappa$  satisfying [\(4.4\)](#page-10-2), we have

<span id="page-11-4"></span>(4.5) 
$$
\frac{p_{2k-1}}{p_{2k}} \widetilde{N}_k - \widetilde{L}_k \leq 0.
$$

Equality holds if and only if one of the following two cases holds

(4.6) either (i)  $\kappa_i = \kappa_j \ \forall \, i, j, \quad \text{or} \quad (ii) \ \exists \, i \, \text{ with } \kappa_i < 1 \ \& \ \kappa_j = 1 \ \forall j \neq i.$ 

We sketch the proof into several steps. Before the proof, we introduce the notation of  $\Sigma$  to simplify notations. Precisely, given  $n-1$  numbers  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \cdots, \kappa_{n-1})$ , we denote  $\sum_{cyc} f(\kappa_1, \cdots, \kappa_{n-1})$ the cyclic summation which takes over all *different* terms of the type  $f(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n-1})$ . For instance,

$$
\sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \dots + \kappa_{n-1}, \qquad \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1^2 \kappa_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \kappa_i^2 \sum_{j \neq i} \kappa_j \right),
$$
  

$$
\sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 (\kappa_2 - \kappa_3)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \kappa_i \sum_{\substack{1 \le j < k \le n-1 \\ j, k \neq i}} (\kappa_j - \kappa_k)^2 \right),
$$
  

$$
= (n-3) \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2^2 - 6 \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3.
$$

<span id="page-11-2"></span>**Lemma 4.3.** For any  $\kappa$  satisfying  $(4.4)$ , we have

$$
\widetilde{N}_k - p_1 \widetilde{L}_k \le 0.
$$

Equality holds if and only if one of the following two cases holds

<span id="page-11-0"></span>*either* (i) 
$$
\kappa_i = \kappa_j \ \forall i, j
$$
, or (ii)  $\exists i \ with \ \kappa_i > 1 \ \& \ \kappa_j = 1 \ \forall j \neq i$ .

*Proof.* It is crucial to observe that  $(4.7)$  is indeed equivalent to the following inequality:

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
(4.8) \sum_{1 \leq i_m \leq n-1, i_j \neq i_l(j \neq l)} \kappa_{i_1} (\kappa_{i_2} \kappa_{i_3} - 1) (\kappa_{i_4} \kappa_{i_5} - 1) \cdots (\kappa_{i_{2k-2}} \kappa_{i_{2k-1}} - 1) (\kappa_{i_{2k}} - \kappa_{i_{2k+1}})^2 \geq 0,
$$

where the summation takes over all the  $(2k + 1)$ -elements permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ . For the convenience of the reader, we sketch the proof of  $(4.8)$  briefly. First, note that from  $(3.4)$ that

$$
(p_1 \widetilde{L}_k - \widetilde{N}_k) = p_1 \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^{k-i} (-1)^{k-i} p_{2i} - \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^{k-i} (-1)^{k-i} p_{2i+1}
$$

$$
= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} C_k^i (p_1 p_{2i} - p_{2i+1}).
$$

Next we calculate each term  $p_1p_{2i} - p_{2i+1}$  carefully. By using

$$
(n-1)C_{n-1}^{j} = (j+1)C_{n-1}^{j+1} + (n-1)C_{n-1}^{j-1}),
$$

we have

$$
\sigma_j \sigma_1 = \left( \sum_{cyc} \kappa_{i_1} \kappa_{i_2} \cdots \kappa_{i_j} \right) \left( \sum_{cyc} \kappa_{i_{j+1}} \right)
$$
  
= 
$$
(j+1) \left( \sum_{cyc} \kappa_{i_1} \kappa_{i_2} \cdots \kappa_{i_j} \kappa_{i_{j+1}} \right) + \sum_{cyc} \kappa_{i_1}^2 \kappa_{i_2} \cdots \kappa_{i_j},
$$

and

$$
p_1p_{2j} - p_{2j+1}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{(n-1)C_{n-1}^{2j}} \Biggl(\sum_{cyc} \kappa_{i_1}\kappa_{i_2} \cdots \kappa_{i_{2j}}\Biggr) \Biggl(\sum_{cyc} \kappa_{i_{2j+1}}\Biggr) - \frac{1}{C_{n-1}^{2j+1}} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_{i_1}\kappa_{i_2} \cdots \kappa_{i_{2j+1}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{(n-1)C_{n-1}^{2j}C_{n-1}^{2j+1}} \Biggl(C_{n-1}^{2j+1}(2j+1) \sum_{cyc} \kappa_{i_1}\kappa_{i_2} \cdots \kappa_{i_{2j}}\kappa_{i_{2j+1}} + C_{n-1}^{2j+1} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_{i_1}^2\kappa_{i_2} \cdots \kappa_{i_{2j}}
$$
\n
$$
-(n-1)C_{n-1}^{2j} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_{i_1}\kappa_{i_2} \cdots \kappa_{i_{2j+1}}\Biggr)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{(n-1)C_{n-1}^{2j}C_{n-1}^{2j+1}} \cdot \frac{C_{n-1}^{2j+1}}{n-2j} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_{i_1}\kappa_{i_2} \cdots \kappa_{i_{2j-1}}(\kappa_{i_{2j}} - \kappa_{i_{2j+1}})^2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{(2j)!(n-2j-2)!}{(n-1)\cdot (n-1)!} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_{i_1}\kappa_{i_2} \cdots \kappa_{i_{2j-1}}(\kappa_{i_{2j}} - \kappa_{i_{2j+1}})^2.
$$

In [\(4.8\)](#page-11-1), the coefficient of  $\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \cdots \kappa_{2j-1} (\kappa_{2j} - \kappa_{2j+1})^2$  is

$$
2(-1)^{k-j}C_{k-1}^{j-1}(2j-1)!C_{n-2j-2}^{2k-2j}[2(k-j)]! = \frac{(-1)^{k-j}}{k}C_k^j(2j)!(n-2j-2)!
$$
  
=  $(-1)^{k-j}C_k^j\frac{(2j)!(n-2j-2)!}{(n-1)\cdot(n-1)!} \cdot \frac{(n-1)\cdot(n-1)!}{k}.$ 

Therefore we have

$$
0 \leq \sum_{1 \leq i_m \leq n-1, i_j \neq i_l (j \neq l)} \kappa_{i_1} (\kappa_{i_2} \kappa_{i_3} - 1) (\kappa_{i_4} \kappa_{i_5} - 1) \cdots (\kappa_{i_{2k-2}} \kappa_{i_{2k-1}} - 1) (\kappa_{i_{2k}} - \kappa_{i_{2k+1}})^2
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{(n-1) \cdot (n-1)!}{k} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j (p_1 p_{2j} - p_{2j+1})
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{(n-1) \cdot (n-1)!}{k} (p_1 \widetilde{L}_k - \widetilde{N}_k).
$$

This finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

In view of [\(4.8\)](#page-11-1), we have the following remark which will be used later.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Remark 4.4.** For any  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n-1})$  satisfying  $0 < \kappa_i \leq 1$ ,  $(i = 1, \dots, n-1)$ , then  $(-1)^{k-1}(\widetilde{N}_k - p_1 \widetilde{L}_k) \leq 0.$ 

<span id="page-13-2"></span>**Lemma 4.5.** For any  $\kappa$  satisfying [\(4.4\)](#page-10-2), we have

$$
\widetilde{N}_k \ge 0, \quad \widetilde{L}_k \ge 0.
$$

*Proof.* They are equivalent to the following inequalities respectively:

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
(4.9) \qquad \sum_{1 \leq i_m \leq n-1, i_j \neq i_l(j \neq l)} \kappa_{i_1} (\kappa_{i_2} \kappa_{i_3} - 1) (\kappa_{i_4} \kappa_{i_5} - 1) \cdots (\kappa_{i_{2k-2}} \kappa_{i_{2k-1}} - 1) (\kappa_{i_{2k}} \kappa_{i_{2k+1}} - 1) \geq 0,
$$

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
(4.10) \sum_{1 \leq i_m \leq n-1, i_j \neq i_l(j \neq l)} (\kappa_{i_2} \kappa_{i_3} - 1)(\kappa_{i_4} \kappa_{i_5} - 1) \cdots (\kappa_{i_{2k-2}} \kappa_{i_{2k-1}} - 1)(\kappa_{i_{2k}} \kappa_{i_{2k+1}} - 1) \geq 0.
$$

where the summation takes over all the  $(2k + 1)$ -elements permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ . The proof to show the equivalence of  $(4.9)$ , $(4.10)$  is exactly the same as the one of  $(4.8)$ . Hence we omit it here.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 4.6.** For any  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n-1})$  satisfying  $0 < \kappa_i \leq 1$ ,  $(i = 1, \dots, n-1)$ , then  $(-1)^k \widetilde{N}_k \geq 0, (-1)^k \widetilde{L}_k \geq 0.$ 

Making use of Lemma [4.3](#page-11-2) and Remark [4.4,](#page-12-0) we can show the following result which is stronger than Proposition [4.2.](#page-11-3)

**Lemma 4.7.** For any  $\kappa$  satisfying [\(4.4\)](#page-10-2), we have

$$
p_{2k}\tilde{N}_k - p_{2k+1}\tilde{L}_k \le 0.
$$

Proof. According to the induction argument proved in [\[15\]](#page-19-11) (see p.8), we only need to prove it for  $n - 1 = 2k + 1$ . Let  $z_i = \frac{1}{\kappa_i} \leq 1$ , and

$$
\hat{p}_i = p_i(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_{2k+1}).
$$

It is clear that

(4.11) 
$$
\hat{p}_j = \frac{p_{2k+1-j}}{p_{2k+1}}.
$$

By Remark [4.4,](#page-12-0) we have

(4.12) 
$$
(-1)^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} C_k^i (-1)^i \hat{p}_{2k-2i+1} - (-1)^{k-1} \hat{p}_1 \sum_{i=0}^{k} C_k^i (-1)^i \hat{p}_{2k-2i} \le 0,
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
(4.13) \qquad (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} C_k^i (-1)^i \frac{p_{2i}}{p_{2k+1}} - (-1)^{k-1} \frac{p_{2k}}{p_{2k+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k} C_k^i (-1)^i \frac{p_{2i+1}}{p_{2k+1}} \le 0.
$$

Thus we have

(4.14) 
$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k} C_k^i (-1)^{k-i} p_{2i} - \frac{p_{2k}}{p_{2k+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k} C_k^i (-1)^{k-i} p_{2i+1} \ge 0,
$$

which implies  $\frac{p_{2k}}{p_{2k+1}} \widetilde{N}_k - \widetilde{L}$  $k \leq 0.$ 

*Proof of Proposition [4.2.](#page-11-3)* Then by the Newton-MacLaurin inequality  $p_{2k-1}p_{2k+1} \leq p_{2k}^2$ , we obtain

$$
\frac{p_{2k-1}}{p_{2k}}\widetilde N_k-\widetilde L_k\leq \frac{p_{2k}}{p_{2k+1}}\widetilde N_k-\widetilde L_k\leq 0,
$$

which is exactly [\(4.5\)](#page-11-4). Here we have used Lemma [4.5.](#page-13-2)  $\Box$ 

**Remark 4.8.** Proposition [4.2](#page-11-3) holds for  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  with  $\kappa_i \kappa_j \geq 1$  for any i, j. This is equivalent to the condition that the sectional curvature of  $\Sigma$  is non-negative.

**Remark 4.9.** From the proof of Proposition [4.2,](#page-11-3) it is easy to see that  $(4.5)$  has an inverse inequality for  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  with  $0 \leq \kappa_i \leq 1$ .

Now we have a monotonicity of  $Q(\Sigma_t)$  defined by [\(1.21\)](#page-4-5) under the flow [\(2.7\)](#page-6-2).

**Theorem 4.10.** Functional  $Q$  is non-increasing under the flow  $(2.7)$ , provided that the initial surface is horospherical convex.

Proof. It follows from [\(3.1\)](#page-7-2), [\(3.4\)](#page-8-4) and Proposition [4.2](#page-11-3) that

(4.15) 
$$
\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Sigma}L_k \leq (n-1-2k)\int_{\Sigma}L_k.
$$

On the other hand, by  $(2.6)$  and  $(2.3)$ , we also have

(4.16) 
$$
\frac{d}{dt}|\Sigma_t| = \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{p_{2k-1}}{p_{2k}}(n-1)p_1 d\mu \ge (n-1)|\Sigma_t|.
$$

Combining [\(4.15\)](#page-14-0) and [\(4.16\)](#page-14-1) together, we complete the proof.

**Remark 4.11.** From the above proof, one can check that to obtain a monotonicity of Q it is enough to choose  $F = \frac{1}{p_1}$ . Then from [\(4.1\)](#page-9-1) and [\(4.7\)](#page-11-0), it holds for all k

<span id="page-14-1"></span><span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int \widetilde{L}_k = (n - 2k - 1) \int \widetilde{L}_k + (n - 2k - 1) \left( \frac{1}{p_1} \widetilde{N}_k - \widetilde{L}_k \right)
$$

$$
\leq (n - 2k - 1) \int \widetilde{L}_k.
$$

## <span id="page-14-2"></span>5. Proof of main Theorems

Now we are ready to show our main theorems.

*Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-0)* First recall the definition  $(1.21)$  of the functional  $Q$ ,  $(1.13)$  is equivalent to

(5.1) 
$$
Q(\Sigma) \ge C_{n-1}^{2k} (2k)! \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2k}{n-1}}.
$$

Let  $\Sigma(t)$  be a solution of flow [\(2.7\)](#page-6-2) obtained by the work of Gerhardt [\[16\]](#page-19-20). This flow preserves the horospherical convexity and non-increases for the functional  $Q$ . Hence, to show  $(5.1)$  we only need to show

(5.2) 
$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} Q(\Sigma_t) \ge C_{n-1}^{2k} (2k)! \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2k}{n-1}}.
$$

 $\Box$ 

Since  $\Sigma$  is a horospherical convex hypersurface in  $(\mathbb{H}^n, \bar{g})$ , it is written as graph of function  $r(\theta), \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . We denote  $X(t)$  as graphs  $r(t, \theta)$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  with the standard metric  $\hat{g}$ . We set  $\lambda(r) = \sinh(r)$  and we have  $\lambda'(r) = \cosh(r)$ . It is clear that

$$
(\lambda')^2 = (\lambda)^2 + 1.
$$

We define  $\varphi(\theta) = \Phi(r(\theta))$ . Here  $\Phi$  verifies

$$
\Phi' = \frac{1}{\lambda}.
$$

We define another function

$$
v = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi|_{\hat{g}}^2}.
$$

By [\[16\]](#page-19-20), we have the following results.

## <span id="page-15-0"></span>Lemma 5.1.

<span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
\lambda = O(e^{\frac{t}{n-1}}), \qquad |\nabla \varphi| + |\nabla^2 \varphi| = O(e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}).
$$

From Lemma [\(5.1\)](#page-15-0), we have the following expansions:

(5.3) 
$$
\lambda' = \lambda (1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-2}) + O(e^{-\frac{4t}{n-1}}),
$$

and

(5.4) 
$$
\frac{1}{v} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi|_{\hat{g}}^2 + O(e^{-\frac{4t}{n-1}}).
$$

We have also

(5.5) 
$$
\nabla \lambda = \lambda \lambda' \nabla \varphi.
$$

The second fundamental form of  $\Sigma$  is written in an orthogonal basis (see [\[10\]](#page-19-26) for example)

<span id="page-15-3"></span><span id="page-15-2"></span>
$$
h_i^j = \frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \left( \delta_i^j - \frac{\varphi_i^j}{\lambda'} + \frac{\varphi_i \varphi_l \varphi^{jl}}{v^2 \lambda'} \right)
$$
  
=  $\delta_i^j + \left( \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \right) \delta_i^j - \frac{\varphi_i^j}{\lambda} + O(e^{-\frac{4t}{n-1}}),$ 

where the second equality follows from [\(5.3\)](#page-15-1) and [\(5.4\)](#page-15-2). We set

(5.6) 
$$
T_i{}^j = \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\varphi|^2\right)\delta_i{}^j - \frac{\varphi_i{}^j}{\lambda},
$$

then from the Gauss equations, we obtain

<span id="page-15-4"></span>
$$
R_{ij}{}^{kl} = -(\delta_i{}^k \delta_j{}^l - \delta_i{}^l \delta_j{}^k) + (h_i{}^k h_j{}^l - h_i{}^l h_j{}^k) = \delta_i{}^k T_j{}^l + T_i{}^k \delta_j{}^l - T_i{}^l \delta_j{}^k - \delta_i{}^l T_j{}^k + O(e^{-\frac{4t}{n-1}}).
$$

It follows from [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) that

$$
L_k = \frac{1}{2^k} \delta_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} R_{i_1 i_2}^{j_1 j_2} \cdots R_{i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}^{j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= 2^k \delta_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} T_{i_1}^{j_1 j_1} \delta_{i_2}^{j_2} \cdots T_{i_{2k-1}}^{j_{2k-1} j_{2k-1}} \delta_{i_2 k}^{j_{2k}} + O(e^{-\frac{(2k+2)t}{n-1}})
$$
  
\n
$$
= 2^k (n-1-k) \cdots (n-2k) \delta_{j_1 j_3 \cdots j_{2k-1}}^{i_1 i_3 \cdots i_{2k-1}} T_{i_1}^{j_1} T_{i_3}^{j_3} \cdots T_{i_{2k-1}}^{j_{2k-1}} + O(e^{-\frac{(2k+2)t}{n-1}})
$$
  
\n
$$
= 2^k k! (n-1-k) \cdots (n-2k) \sigma_k(T) + O(e^{-\frac{(2k+2)t}{n-1}}).
$$

Here in the second equality we use the fact

$$
\delta_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} T_{i_1}^{i_1 i_1} \delta_{i_2}^{j_2} = \delta_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} \delta_{i_1}^{j_1} T_{i_2}^{j_2}
$$
  
= 
$$
-\delta_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} T_{i_1}^{j_2} \delta_{i_2}^{j_1} = -\delta_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} \delta_{i_1}^{j_2} T_{i_2}^{j_1},
$$

and in the third equality we use [\(2.1\)](#page-5-2) and [\(3.3\)](#page-8-5). Recall  $\varphi_i = \lambda_i / \lambda \lambda'$ , then by [\(5.3\)](#page-15-1) we have

(5.7) 
$$
\varphi_{ij} = \frac{\lambda_{ij}}{\lambda^2} - \frac{2\lambda_i\lambda_j}{\lambda^3} + O(e^{-\frac{3t}{n-1}}).
$$

By the definition of the Schouten tensor,

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
A_{\hat{g}} = \frac{1}{n-3} \left( Ric_{\hat{g}} - \frac{R_{\hat{g}}}{2(n-2)} \hat{g} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \hat{g}.
$$

Its conformal transformation formula is well-known (see for example [\[30\]](#page-20-0))

<span id="page-16-1"></span>(5.8) 
$$
A_{\lambda^2 \hat{g}} = -\frac{\nabla^2 \lambda}{\lambda} + \frac{2\nabla \lambda \otimes \nabla \lambda}{\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\nabla \lambda|^2}{\lambda^2} \hat{g} + A_{\hat{g}} = -\frac{\nabla^2 \lambda}{\lambda} + \frac{2\nabla \lambda \otimes \nabla \lambda}{\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\nabla \lambda|^2}{\lambda^2} \hat{g} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{g}.
$$
 Substituting (5.5) and (5.7) into (5.6), together with (5.8), we have

<span id="page-16-2"></span>
$$
T_i^j = ((\lambda^2 \hat{g})^{-1} A_{\lambda^2 \hat{g}})_i^j + O(e^{-\frac{4t}{n-1}}),
$$

which implies

(5.9) 
$$
L_k = 2^k k! (n - 1 - k) \cdots (n - 2k) \sigma_k (A_{\lambda^2 \hat{g}}) + O(e^{-\frac{(2k+2)t}{n-1}}).
$$

As before,  $\Sigma(t)$  is a horospherical convex hypersurface. As a consequence,  $\Sigma$  has the nonnegative sectional curvature so that  $T + O(e^{-\frac{4t}{n-1}})$  is positive definite. We consider  $\tilde{\lambda} := \lambda^{1-e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}}$  and the conformal metric  $\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g}$ . We have

$$
\tilde{\lambda}^{2}(\tilde{\lambda}^{2}\hat{g})^{-1}A_{\tilde{\lambda}^{2}\hat{g}} = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}I + \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}})\frac{|\nabla\lambda|^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}I - e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}})\hat{g}^{-1}\frac{\nabla\lambda \otimes \nabla\lambda}{\lambda^{2}} + \lambda^{2}(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}})(\lambda^{2}\hat{g})^{-1}A_{\lambda^{2}\hat{g}}.
$$

Recall  $\frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}I + \lambda^2(1-e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}})(\lambda^2\hat{g})^{-1}A_{\lambda^2\hat{g}} \in \Gamma^+_{n-1}$  for the sufficiently large t and  $\frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}(1-e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}})$  $e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}\frac{|\nabla\lambda|^2}{\lambda^2}I-e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}(1-e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}})\hat{g}^{-1}\frac{\nabla\lambda\otimes\nabla\lambda}{\lambda^2}\in\overline{\Gamma_k^+}$  for any  $k\leq\frac{n-1}{2}$  $\frac{-1}{2}$ . Therefore, we infer  $\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g} \in \Gamma_k^+$ for any  $k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$  $\frac{-1}{2}$ . The Sobolev inequality [\(2.9\)](#page-7-1) for the  $\sigma_k$  operator gives

<span id="page-16-3"></span>
$$
(5.10) \qquad (vol(\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g}))^{-\frac{n-1-2k}{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_k(A_{\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g}}) dvol_{\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g}} \ge \frac{(n-1)\cdots(n-k)}{2^k k!} \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2k}{n-1}}.
$$

On the other hand, we have

<span id="page-17-0"></span>(5.11) 
$$
(vol(\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g}))^{-\frac{n-1-2k}{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_k(A_{\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g}}) dvol_{\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g}} = (1+o(1))(vol(\lambda^2 \hat{g}))^{-\frac{n-1-2k}{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_k(A_{\lambda^2 \hat{g}}) dvol_{\lambda^2 \hat{g}},
$$

since

$$
\lambda^{-e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}} = 1 + o(1).
$$

As a consequence of  $(5.9)$ , $(5.10)$  and  $(5.11)$ , we deduce

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} (vol(\Sigma(t)))^{-\frac{n-1-2k}{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma(t)} L_k \ge (n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-2k) \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2k}{n-1}}.
$$

When  $(5.1)$  is an equality, then  $Q$  is constant along the flow. Then  $(4.16)$  is an equality, which implies that equality in the inequality

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
\frac{p_{2k-1}}{p_{2k}}p_1\geq 1,
$$

holds. Therefore,  $\Sigma$  is a geodesic sphere.

*Proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-3-0)* It follows from [\(3.1\)](#page-7-2), [\(3.4\)](#page-8-4) and Theorem [1.1](#page-2-0) that when  $n-1 > 2k$ 

(5.12) 
$$
\int_{\Sigma} \tilde{L}_k \geq \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2k}{n-1}} (|\Sigma|)^{\frac{n-1-2k}{n-1}}.
$$

Using the expression [\(3.5\)](#page-8-3) of  $\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k$  in terms of  $\int_{\Sigma} \tilde{L}_j$  we get the desired result

$$
\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{2k} \geq C_{n-1}^{2k} \omega_{n-1} \left\{ \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} + \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k} \frac{n-1-2k}{n-1}} \right\}^{k}.
$$

By Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-0) equality holds if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a geodesic sphere.

When  $n-1=2k$ , since the hypersurface  $\Sigma$  is convex. we know that [\(1.13\)](#page-2-3) is an equality when  $n - 1 = 2k$  by the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem, even for any hypersurface diffeomorphic to a sphere. Hence in this case, we also have all the above inequalities with equality which in turn implies by [\[22\]](#page-19-10) or [\[15\]](#page-19-11) that  $\Sigma$  is a geodesic sphere.

*Proof of Theorem [1.3.](#page-4-4)* When  $n - 1 > 2k$ , the proof follows directly from [\(5.12\)](#page-17-1) and Lemma [3.3.](#page-8-1) When  $n - 1 = 2k$ , the proof follows by the same reason as in Theorem [1.2.](#page-3-0)

From [\(1.17\)](#page-3-1), it is easy to see that Theorem [1.3](#page-4-4) implies Theorem [1.2,](#page-3-0) meanwhile Theorem [1.2](#page-3-0) may not directly imply Theorem [1.3,](#page-4-4) since there are negative coefficients in [\(3.8\)](#page-9-2) above.

#### 6. ALEXANDROV-FENCHEL INEQUALITY FOR ODD  $k$

In this section, we show an Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality for  $\sigma_1$ , which follows from the result of Cheng-Zhou [\[8\]](#page-19-27) and Theorem [1.2](#page-3-0) (or more precisely from [\[22\]](#page-19-10)).

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $n \geq 2$ . Any horospherical convex hypersurface  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  satisfies

(6.1) 
$$
\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 \geq (n-1)\omega_{n-1} \left\{ \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{2(n-2)}{n-1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

where  $\omega_{n-1}$  is the area of the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and  $|\Sigma|$  is the area of  $\Sigma$ . Equality holds if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a geodesic sphere.

*Proof.* Notice that the horospherical convex condition implies that the Ricci curvature of  $\Sigma$  is non-negative. We observe first that by a direct computation  $(1.4)$  in [\[8\]](#page-19-27)

<span id="page-18-2"></span><span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\Sigma} |H - \overline{H}|^2 \le \frac{n-1}{n-2} \int_{\Sigma} |B - \frac{H}{n-1}g|^2,
$$

is equivalent to

(6.2) 
$$
\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_2 \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_0 \leq \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} \left( \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 \right)^2.
$$

Then we use the optimal inequality for  $\sigma_2$  proved in [\[22\]](#page-19-10) (see also Theorem 1.2),

(6.3) 
$$
\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_2 \geq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \left( \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2}{n-1}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{n-3}{n-1}} + |\Sigma| \right),
$$

to obtain the desired inequality for  $\sigma_1$ ,

<span id="page-18-1"></span>
$$
\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 \geq (n-1)\omega_{n-1} \left\{ \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{2(n-2)}{n-1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

When  $(6.1)$  is an equality, in turn,  $(6.3)$  is also a equality, then it follows from  $[22]$  that the hypersurface is a geodesic sphere.

Motivated by Theorem [1.2](#page-3-0) and [\(6.2\)](#page-18-2), we would like propose the following

Conjecture 6.2. Let  $n-1 \geq 2k+1$ . Any horospherical convex hypersurface  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  satisfies

$$
\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{2k+1} \geq C_{n-1}^{2k+1} \omega_{n-1} \left\{ \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{2}{2k+1}} + \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{2}{2k+1} \frac{(n-2k-2)}{n-1}} \right\}^{\frac{2k+1}{2}}.
$$

Equality holds if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a geodesic sphere.

The conjecture follows from Theorem [1.2](#page-3-0) and the following conjecture

(6.4) 
$$
\frac{\left(C_{n-1}^{2k+1}\right)^2}{C_{n-1}^{2k+2}C_{n-1}^{2k}} \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{2k+2} \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{2k} \le \left(\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{2k+1}\right)^2.
$$

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank Wei Wang for his important help in the proof of the monotonicity of functional Q.

#### 20 YUXIN GE, GUOFANG WANG, AND JIE WU

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-19-23"></span><span id="page-19-2"></span>[1] B. Andrews, Pinching estimates and motion of hypersurfaces by curvature functions, J. reine angew. Math. 608 (2007) 17–31.
- [2] T. Aubin, Équations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire, J. Math. Pures Appl. 55 (1976), 269-296.
- <span id="page-19-24"></span>[3] W. Beckner, Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser-Trudinger inequality, Ann. of Math. 138(1993), 213–242.
- <span id="page-19-18"></span>[4] S. Brendle, P.-K. Hung, and M.-T. Wang, A Minkowski-type inequality for hypersurfaces in the AntideSitter-Schwarzschild manifold,  $arXiv: 1209.0669$ .
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>[5] Y.D. Burago and V.A. Zalgaller, Geometric Inequalities, Springer, Berlin, (1988).
- [6] S.-Y. A. Chang and Y.Wang, On Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequalities for k-convex domains, Milan J. Math., 79 (2011), no. 1, 13–38.
- <span id="page-19-25"></span>[7] S.-Y. A. Chang and P. C. Yang, The inequality of Moser and Trudinger and applications to conformal geometry, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 56 (2003), 1135–1150.
- <span id="page-19-27"></span><span id="page-19-19"></span>[8] X. Cheng and D. Zhou, Rigidity for nearly umbilical hypersurfaces in space forms,  $arXiv:1208.1786$ .
- [9] L.L. de lima and F. Girão, An Alexandrov-Fenchel-type inequality in hyperbolic space with an application to a Penrose inequality,  $arXiv:1209.0438v2$ .
- <span id="page-19-26"></span>[10] Q. Ding, The inverse mean curvature flow in rotationally symmetric spaces, Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B, 1–18 (2010).
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>[11] E. Gallego and G. Solanes, Integral geometry and geometric inequalities in hyperbolic space, Differential Geom. Appl. 22 (2005), 315–325.
- <span id="page-19-17"></span>[12] F. Gao, D. Hug, and R. Schneider, Intrinsic volumes and polar sets in spherical space, Homage to Luis Santaló. Vol. 1 (Spanish). Math. Notae 41 (2001/02), 159–76 (2003).
- <span id="page-19-1"></span><span id="page-19-0"></span>[13] Y. Ge, G. Wang and J. Wu, A new mass for asymptotically flat manifolds,  $arXiv:1211.3645$ .
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>[14] Y. Ge, G. Wang and J. Wu, The Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass of conformally flat manifolds,, arXiv:1212.3213.
- <span id="page-19-20"></span>[15] Y. Ge, G. Wang and J. Wu, Hyperbolic Alexandrov-Fenchel quermassintegral inequalities I, arXiv:1303.1714.
- <span id="page-19-21"></span>[16] C. Gerhardt, Inverse curvature flows in hyperbolic space, J. Differential Geom. 89 (2011), no. 3, 487–527.
- [17] P. Guan, Topics in Geometric Fully Nonlinear Equations, Lecture Notes, [http://www.math.mcgill.ca/guan/notes.html.](http://www.math.mcgill.ca/guan/notes.html)
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>[18] P. Guan and J. Li, The quermassintegral inequalities for k-convex starshaped domains, Adv. Math. 221(2009), 1725–1732.
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>[19] P. Guan and G. Wang, Geometric inequalities on locally conformally flat manifolds, Duke Math. J. 124 (2004), 177–212.
- <span id="page-19-8"></span><span id="page-19-4"></span>[20] E. Hebey, Sobolev Spaces on Riemannian manifolds, Lecture Notes inMathematics 1635 Springer (1996).
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>[21] G.Huisken, in preparation.
- <span id="page-19-22"></span>[22] H. Li, Y. Wei and C. Xiong, A geometric inequity on hypersurface in hyperbolic space,  $arXiv:1211.4109$ .
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>[23] R. Reilly, On the Hessian of a function and the curvatures of its graph, Michigan Math. J. 20 (1973) 373–383.
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>[24] L. A. Santaló, *Integral Geometry and Geometric Probability*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass. (1976).
- [25] R.Schoen, Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature, J. Diff. Geom. 20 (1984), 479-495.
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>[26] E. Schmidt, Die isoperimetrischen Ungleichungen auf der gewöhnlichen Kugel und für Rotationskörper  $im \nmid n-dimensionalen sphärischen Raum.$  (German) Math. Z. 46, (1940), 743–794.
- <span id="page-19-13"></span><span id="page-19-6"></span>[27] R. Schneider, Convex bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski theory, Cambridge University, (1993). MR1216521.
- [28] G. Solanes, Integral geometry and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem in constant curvature spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), no. 3, 1105–1115.
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>[29] G. Solanes, Integrals de curvatura i geometria integral a l'espai hiperbolic, Univ. Aut. Barcelona, PhD Thesis, 2003.

<span id="page-20-0"></span>[30] J. Viaclovsky, Conformal geometry, contact geometry, and the calculus of variations, Duke Math. J. 101 (2000), no. 2, 283–316.

LABORATOIRE D'ANALYSE ET DE MATHÉMATIQUES APPLIQUÉES, CNRS UMR 8050, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS EST-CRÉTEIL VAL DE MARNE,, 61 AVENUE DU GÉNÉRAL DE GAULLE, 94010 CRÉTEIL CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: ge@u-pec.fr

ALBERT-LUDWIGS-UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT ECKERSTR. 1 D-79104 FREIBURG E-mail address: guofang.wang@math.uni-freiburg.de

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China Hefei 230026, P. R. CHINA AND ALBERT-LUDWIGS-UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT ECKERSTR. 1 D-79104 Freiburg

E-mail address: jie.wu@math.uni-freiburg.de