

TEISSIER'S PROBLEM ON INEQUALITIES OF NEF DIVISORS OVER AN ARBITRARY FIELD

STEVEN DALE CUTKOSKY

ABSTRACT. Boucksom, Favre and Jonsson establish in [4] an analog of Diskant's inequality in convex geometry for nef and big line bundles on a complete algebraic variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero (Theorem F [4]), from which they deduce a solution (Theorem D [4]) to a problem of Teissier (Problem B [29]) on proportionality of nef divisors. In this paper we extend these results to a complete variety over an arbitrary field k .

1. INTRODUCTION

In their beautiful paper [4], Boucksom, Favre and Jonsson establish an analog of Diskant's inequality in convex geometry for nef and big line bundles on a complete algebraic variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero (Theorem F [4]), from which they deduce a solution (Theorem D [4]) to a problem of Teissier (Problem B [29]) on proportionality of nef divisors. Teissier shows in [29] that a version of Bonnesen's inequality holds for a class of nef line bundles on a complete surface. Diskant's original inequality [11] is developed as a higher dimensional generalization of Bonnesen's inequality [2], and Boucksom, Favre and Jonsson establish this formula for nef and big line bundles on a complete variety of any dimension, over an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. This inequality is sufficiently strong to establish (in Theorem D [4]) that equality in the Khovanskii-Teissier inequalities (Corollary 6.3) for nef and big line bundles (on a complete variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero) holds if and only if the line bundles are numerically proportional.

The purpose of this paper is extend these results to a complete variety over an arbitrary field k .

To obtain their Diskant inequality, Boucksom, Favre and Jonsson develop a theory of "positive intersection products", interpret the volume of a big line bundle as a positive intersection product (Theorem 3.1 [4]) and interpret the directional derivative of the volume of a big divisor as a positive intersection product (Theorem A [4]). The positive intersection product is defined by realizing the product as a "Weil Class" in $N^p(\mathcal{X})$. Here \mathcal{X} is the Zariski Riemann manifold associated to a complete d -dimensional variety X . They realize $N^p(\mathcal{X})$ for $0 \leq p \leq d$ as an inverse limit of the finite dimensional real vector spaces $N^p(Y)$ where Y is a nonsingular projective variety which birationally dominates X by a morphism, and $N^p(Y)$ is the real vector space of numerical equivalence classes of codimension p -cycles on Y . The authors refer to Chapter 19 of [14], where the theory of numerical equivalence on nonsingular varieties is surveyed. $N^p(\mathcal{X})$ is given the inverse limit topology (weak topology). They also develop a theory of "Cartier classes" on \mathcal{X} , by computing the direct limit $CN^p(\mathcal{X})$ of the $N^p(Y)$, and giving them the direct limit topology (strong topology). The idea of the positive intersection product of Cartier classes $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in CN^1(\mathcal{X})$ is to

Partially supported by NSF.

take the limit over all Y of the ordinary intersection products $\beta_1 \cdots \beta_p$ where β_1, \dots, β_p are Fujita approximations of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ on Y . They develop the theory of these intersection products, and use this to prove the Theorems A, D and F mentioned above.

We use the notation on schemes and varieties from Hartshorne [18]. In particular, a complete variety over a field k is an integral k -scheme which is proper over k .

We now discuss what the obstacles are to extending the results of [4] to a complete variety over an arbitrary field. The most daunting problem is that resolution of singularities is not known to be true for varieties of dimension larger than three over a field of positive characteristic. As such, we cannot use the sophisticated intersection theory from Chapter 19 [14]. That theory requires that the variety be smooth over an algebraically closed ground field. Certainly the assumption that Y is smooth is necessary here.

The theory of numerical equivalence for line bundles has been developed for a proper scheme over an algebraically closed field in Kleiman's paper [20]. The basic intersection theory here originates from an approach of Snapper [27], and is remarkably simple, so it is valid in a very high level of generality. A study of the paper [20] shows that the theory that we need for numerical equivalence of line bundles extends without difficulty to an arbitrary field. We discuss this in Subsection 2.1.

The volume of a line bundle \mathcal{L} on a complete variety is defined as a lim sup,

$$\text{vol}_X(\mathcal{L}) = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_k \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^m)}{m^d/d!}.$$

This lim sup is actually a limit. When k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, this is shown in Example 11.4.7 [22], as a consequence of Fujita Approximation [15] (c.f. Theorem 10.35 [22]). The limit is established in [23] and [28] when k is algebraically closed of arbitrary characteristic. A proof over an arbitrary field is given in [8]. In this paper, we deduce, in Theorem 5.1, Fujita Approximation over an arbitrary field from Theorem 3.3 [23] and Theorem 7.2 [8]. We will need this to obtain the main results of this paper.

It is worth remarking that when k is an arbitrary field and X is geometrically integral over k we easily obtain that volume is a limit by making the base change to $\overline{X} = X \times_k \overline{k}$ where \overline{k} is an algebraic closure of k . Then the volume of \mathcal{L} (on X over k) is equal to the volume of $\overline{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L} \otimes_k \overline{k}$ (on \overline{X} over \overline{k}). \overline{X} is a complete \overline{k} variety (it is integral) since X is geometrically integral. Thus the conclusions of [22], [23] and [28] are valid for $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ (on the complete variety \overline{X} over the algebraically closed field \overline{k}) so that the volume is a limit for \mathcal{L} (on X over k) as well. However, this argument is not applicable when X is not geometrically integral. The most dramatic difficulty can occur when k is not perfect, as there exist simple examples of irreducible projective varieties which are not even generically reduced after taking the base change to the algebraic closure (we give a simple example below). In Example 6.3 [7] and Theorem 9.6 [8] it is shown that for general graded linear series the limit does not always exist if X is not generically reduced.

We now give an example, showing that even if X is normal and k is algebraically closed in the function field of X , then $X \times_k \overline{k}$ may not be generically reduced, where \overline{k} is an algebraic closure of k . Let p be a prime number, F_p be the field with p elements and let $k = F_p(s, t, u)$ be a rational function field in three variables over F_p . Let R be the local ring $R = (k[x, y, z]/(sx^p + ty^p + uz^p))_{(x, y, z)}$ with maximal ideal m_R . R is the localization of $T = F_p[s, t, u, x, y, z]/(sx^p + ty^p + uz^p)$ at the ideal (x, y, z) , since $F_p[s, t, u] \cap (x, y, z) = (0)$. T is nonsingular in codimension 1 by the Jacobian criterion over the perfect field F_p , and so T is normal by Serre's criterion. Thus R is normal since it is a localization of T . Let k'

be the algebraic closure of k in the quotient field K of R . Then $k' \subset R$ since R is normal. $R/m_R \cong k$ necessarily contains k' , so $k = k'$. However, we have that $R \otimes_k \bar{k}$ is generically not reduced, if \bar{k} is an algebraic closure of k . Now taking X to be a normal projective model of K over k such that R is the local ring of a closed point of X , we have the desired example. In fact, we have that k is algebraically closed in K , but $K \otimes_k \bar{k}$ has nonzero nilpotent elements.

Lazarsfeld has shown that the function vol_X on line bundles extends uniquely to a continuous function on $N^1(X)$ which is homogeneous of degree 1. The proof in Corollary 2.2.45 [22] extends to the case of an arbitrary field.

In Theorem A of [4] it is proven that volume is continuously differentiable on the big cone of X , when the ground field k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero. It is proven by Lazarsfeld and Mustata when the ground field k is algebraically closed of arbitrary characteristic in Remark 2.4.7 [23]. We establish that the volume is continuously differentiable when X is a complete variety over an arbitrary field in Theorem 5.6.

In Example 2.7 of the survey [12] by Ein, Lazarsfeld, Mustata, Nakamaye and Popa, it is shown that volume is not twice differentiable on the big cone of the blow up of \mathbb{P}^2 at a k -rational point.

To define the positive intersection product over an arbitrary field we only need the intersection theory developed in [20]. We consider the directed system $I(X)$ of projective varieties Y which have a birational morphism to X . On each Y we consider for $0 \leq p \leq d = \dim X$ the finite dimensional real vector space $L^p(Y)$ of p -multilinear forms on $N^1(Y)$. We give $L^p(Y)$ the Euclidean topology, and take the inverse limit over $I(Y)$

$$L^p(\mathcal{X}) = \varprojlim L^p(Y)$$

and give it the strong topology (the inverse limit topology). $L^p(\mathcal{X})$ is then a Hausdorff topological vector space. We define the pseudo effective cone $\text{Psef}(L^p(Y))$ in $L^p(Y)$ to be the Zariski closure of the cone generated by the natural image of the p -dimensional closed subvarieties of Y . When $p = 0$, we just take $L^0(Y)$ to be the real numbers, and the pseudoeffective cone to be the nonnegative real numbers. The inverse limit of the $\text{Psef}(L^p(Y))$ is then a closed convex and strict cone $\text{Psef}(L^p(\mathcal{X}))$ in $L^p(\mathcal{X})$, allowing us to define a partial order \geq on $L^p(\mathcal{X})$. In the case when $p = 0$, we have that $L^0(\mathcal{X})$ is the real numbers, and \geq is just the usual order.

In Section 4. we generalize the definition of the positive intersection product in [4], essentially by defining the positive intersection product of p big classes $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ in $L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$ as a limit of the intersection products by nef divisors β_1, \dots, β_p such that $\beta_i \leq \alpha_i$ for all i (where β_i is in some $N^1(Y)$). This product can be considered as a multilinear form. We then show in the remainder of Section 4 that the properties of the positive intersection product which are obtained in [4] hold for our more general construction. Finally, we show that the proofs of the main theorems Theorem A, Theorem D and Theorem F of [4] extend with our generalization of the positive intersection product. We make use of the ingenious manipulation of inequalities from their paper.

In Section 5 we deduce Theorem 5.6, which is proven in Theorem A [4] when k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero.

In the final section, Section 6, we discuss inequalities for nef line bundles, including the wonderful formulas of Khovanskii and Teissier. We establish Discant's inequality over an arbitrary field k in Theorem 6.9. As an immediate corollary, we obtain the following theorem, Theorem 6.11, which is an extension of Proposition 3.2 [29] to all dimensions and to arbitrary nef and big line bundles.

Theorem 1.1. (Theorem 6.11) Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in N^1(X)$ are nef with $(\alpha^d) > 0$, $(\beta^d) > 0$ on a complete d -dimensional variety X over a field k . Then

$$\frac{s_{d-1}^{\frac{1}{d-1}} - (s_{d-1}^{\frac{d}{d-1}} - s_0^{\frac{1}{d-1}} s_d)^{\frac{1}{d}}}{s_0^{\frac{1}{d-1}}} \leq r(\alpha; \beta) \leq \frac{s_d}{s_{d-1}} \leq \frac{s_1}{s_0} \leq R(\alpha; \beta) \leq \frac{s_d^{\frac{1}{d-1}}}{s_1^{\frac{1}{d-1}} - (s_1^{\frac{d}{d-1}} - s_d^{\frac{1}{d-1}} s_0)^{\frac{1}{d}}}$$

where $s_i = (\alpha^i \cdot \beta^{d-i})$,

$$r(\alpha; \beta) = \sup\{t \mid \alpha - t\beta \text{ is pseudo effective}\} \text{ is the "inradius"}$$

and

$$R(\alpha; \beta) = \inf\{t \mid t\alpha - \beta \text{ is pseudo effective}\} \text{ is the "outradius"}.$$

As a consequence, we deduce in Theorem 5.6 that equality of the Khovanskii-Teissier inequalities is equivalent to α and β being proportional in $N^1(X)$, extending the result in Theorem A [4] to arbitrary fields.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON INTERSECTION THEORY AND ASSOCIATED CONES

2.1. Intersection theory on schemes. In this subsection we suppose that X is a d -dimensional proper scheme over a field k . We begin by recalling some results from Kleiman's paper [20], and their extension to arbitrary fields (some of the following is addressed in [25] and [21]). Given a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X whose support has dimension $\leq t$, and invertible sheaves $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_t$ on X , there is an intersection product

$$(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{L}_t \cdot \mathcal{F})$$

on X . The Euler characteristic $\chi(\mathcal{N})$ of a coherent sheaf \mathcal{N} of \mathcal{O}_X -modules is defined as

$$\chi_k(\mathcal{N}) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \dim_k H^i(X, \mathcal{N}).$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_t$ be t invertible sheaves on X . Then

$$\chi_k(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{L}_t^{n_t})$$

is a numerical polynomial (Snapper [27], page 295 [20]). The intersection number

$$(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{L}_t \cdot \mathcal{F})$$

is defined to be the coefficient of the monomial n_1, \dots, n_t in $\chi_k(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{L}_t^{n_t})$. This number depends on the ground field k .

This product is characterized by the nice properties established in Chapter 1, Section 2 [20]. We will write

$$(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{L}_d) = (\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{L}_d \cdot X) = (\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{L}_d \cdot \mathcal{O}_X).$$

The following lemma uses the notation of Proposition I.2.4 [20].

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that X is a projective scheme over a field k , H is an ample Cartier divisor on X and \mathcal{F} is a nonzero coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. Then there exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and Δ in the complete linear system $|\mathcal{O}_X(sH)|$ such that $\Delta \cap \text{Ass}(\mathcal{F}) = \emptyset$.

Proof. There exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that rH is very ample. Thus $X = \text{Proj}(S)$ where $S = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S_n$ is a standard graded, saturated k -algebra with $\mathcal{O}_X(rH) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(1)$. $\mathcal{F} \cong \tilde{M}$ is the sheafification of a finitely generated graded S -module M such that $S_+ = \bigoplus_{n > 0} S_n$ is not an associated prime of M . By graded prime avoidance (c.f. Lemma 1.5.10 [5]) there exists a homogeneous element $h \in S_n$ for some n such that $h \notin P$ for any prime ideal

$P \in \text{Ass}(M)$. If S_1 is spanned by x_0, \dots, x_t as a k -vector space, then the effective Cartier divisor

$$\Delta = \{(\text{Spec}(S_{(x_i)}), \frac{h}{x_i^n}) \mid 0 \leq i \leq t\}$$

is linearly equivalent to nrH and $\Delta \cap \text{Ass}(\mathcal{F}) = \emptyset$. \square

The following version of Bertini's theorem will be useful. The theorem follows from Theorem 3.4.10 and Corollary 3.4.14 [13].

Theorem 2.2. (*Bertini's Theorem*) *Suppose that X is a projective variety over an infinite field k and A is a very ample (integral) divisor on X . Then Bertini's theorems are valid for a generic member of $|\mathcal{O}_X(A)|$.*

There exists a nontrivial Zariski open subset U of a projective space \mathbb{P}_k^n parametrizing the complete linear system $|\mathcal{O}_X(A)|$, over which the desired Bertini conditions hold. With the assumption that k is infinite, U contains infinitely many k -rational points (c.f. Theorem 2.19 [19]). The corresponding elements of $|\mathcal{O}_X(A)|$ are called "generic members" in [13].

$\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ is said to be numerically equivalent to zero, written $\mathcal{L} \equiv 0$, if $(\mathcal{L} \cdot C) = 0$ for all closed integral curves $C \subset X$. The intersection product is defined modulo numerical equivalence. Let $N^1(X)$ be the real vector space $(\text{Pic}(X)/\equiv) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$.

The following proposition extends to the case of an arbitrary field a classical theorem.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose that X is a proper scheme over a field k . Then $N^1(X)$ is a finite dimensional real vector space.*

Proof. In the case when k is algebraically closed, this is proven in Proposition IV.1.4 [20].

Let \bar{k} be an algebraic closure of k . Let $C \subset X \otimes_k \bar{k}$ be a closed integral curve. Let $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ be an affine cover of X . Then $\{U_1 \otimes_k \bar{k}, \dots, U_n \otimes_k \bar{k}\}$ is an affine cover of $X \times_k \bar{k}$. There exists a finite extension field L of k (a field of definition of C) such that $\mathcal{I}_C|(U_i \otimes_k \bar{k})$ is defined over L for all i (a set of generators of $\Gamma(U_i \times_k \bar{k}, \mathcal{I}_C)$ is contained in $\Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_k L$ for all i). Let $C' \subset X \times_k L$ be this integral curve, so that $C = C' \times_L \bar{k}$. Let $g : X \times_k L \rightarrow X$ be the natural proper morphism, and let $\gamma = g(C')$. Let $f : X \times_k \bar{k} \rightarrow X$ be the natural morphism.

Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a line bundle on X . We compute $(f^*\mathcal{L} \cdot C)$, taking \bar{k} as the ground field of $X \times_k \bar{k}$. By flat base change of cohomology (Proposition III.9.3 [18]),

$$\chi_L(g^*\mathcal{L}^n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C'}) = \chi_{\bar{k}}(f^*\mathcal{L}^n \otimes \mathcal{O}_C).$$

Thus

$$[L : k](f^*\mathcal{L} \cdot C) = (g^*\mathcal{L} \cdot C'),$$

where $X \times_k L$ is regarded as a scheme over k . By Proposition I.2.6 [20],

$$(g^*\mathcal{L} \cdot C') = [k(C') : k(\gamma)](\mathcal{L} \cdot \gamma),$$

where $k(\gamma)$ and $k(C')$ are the respective function fields of γ and C' . Thus

$$(1) \quad (f^*\mathcal{L} \cdot C) = \frac{[k(C') : k(\gamma)]}{[L : k]}(\mathcal{L} \cdot \gamma).$$

Suppose that γ is a closed integral curve on X . Apply (1) to any of the finitely many integral curves C such that $C \subset \gamma \times_k \bar{k}$ to obtain that for $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$, $f^*\mathcal{L} \equiv 0$ on $X \times_k \bar{k}$ if and only if $\mathcal{L} \equiv 0$ on X . Thus there is a well defined inclusion of real vector spaces

$$f^* : N^1(X) \rightarrow N^1(X \times_k \bar{k}),$$

so that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} N^1(X) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} N^1(X \times_k \bar{k}) < \infty.$$

□

We give $N^1(X)$ the Euclidean topology.

2.2. $N^1(X)$ on a variety. In this subsection we suppose that X is a d -dimensional complete variety over a field k . Then $\text{Pic}(X)$ is isomorphic to the group of Cartier divisors $\text{Div}(X)$ on X . The basic theory of $N^1(X)$ (written as $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$) is developed in the first chapter of [22], in terms of Cartier divisors. We summarize a few of the concepts, which are valid over an arbitrary base field k . $D \in N^1(X)$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier if D is represented in $N^1(X)$ by a sum $D = \sum a_i E_i$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and E_i integral divisors. An \mathbb{R} -divisor D is effective if it is represented by a sum $D = \sum a_i E_i$ where the E_i are effective integral divisors and $a_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. It is ample if $D = \sum a_i A_i$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{R}_{> 0}$ and A_i ample integral divisors. It is nef (numerically effective) if $(D \cdot C) \geq 0$ for all closed integral curves C on X .

The ample cone $\text{Amp}(X)$ of X is the convex cone in $N^1(X)$ of ample \mathbb{R} -divisors, and the nef cone $\text{Nef}(X)$ is the convex cone in $N^1(X)$ of nef \mathbb{R} -divisors. By Section 4 of [20] and as exposed in Theorem 1.4.23 [22], we have that $\text{Nef}(X)$ is closed and $\text{Amp}(X)$ is the interior of $\text{Nef}(X)$.

In Chapter 2 of [22], big and pseudoeffective cones are defined. An \mathbb{R} -divisor D on X is big if $D = \sum a_i E_i$ where the E_i are big integral divisors and $a_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. The big cone $\text{Big}(X)$ is the convex cone in $N^1(X)$ of big \mathbb{R} -divisors on X . The pseudoeffective cone $\text{Psef}(X)$ is the closure of the convex cone spanned by the classes of all effective \mathbb{R} -divisors. In Theorem 2.2.26 [22], it is shown that when X is projective, $\text{Psef}(X)$ is the closure of $\text{Big}(X)$ and $\text{Big}(X)$ is the interior of $\text{Psef}(X)$.

Suppose that X is projective. Since $\text{Amp}(X)$ is open, if $\alpha \in \text{Big}(X)$, then α has a representative

$$(2) \quad \alpha = H + E$$

in $N^1(X)$ where H is an ample \mathbb{R} -divisor and E is an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor.

2.3. partial orders on vector spaces. Let V be a vector space and $C \subset V$ be a pointed (containing the origin) convex cone which is strict ($C \cap (-C) = \{0\}$). Then we have a partial order on V defined by $x \leq y$ if $y - x \in C$.

2.4. Volume on a variety. The volume of a line bundle \mathcal{L} on a d -dimensional complete variety over a field k is

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{L}) = \text{vol}_X(\mathcal{L}) = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_k \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^m)}{m^d/d!}.$$

This lim sup is actually a limit (shown in Example 11.4.7 [22] when the ground field k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero, and in [23] or [28] when k is algebraically closed of arbitrary characteristic. A proof over an arbitrary field is given in [8]).

Some important properties of volume are that $D \equiv D'$ implies $\text{vol}(D) = \text{vol}(D')$ (Proposition 2.2.41 [22], and that for any positive integer a ,

$$(3) \quad \text{vol}(aD) = a^d \text{vol}(D).$$

This identity is proven in Proposition 2.2.30 [22].

Theorem 2.4. (Lazarsfeld) *Suppose that X is a complete variety over a field k . Then the function vol_X on line bundles extends uniquely to a continuous function on $N^1(X)$ which is homogeneous of degree 1.*

Proof. This is proven in Corollary 2.2.45 [22] when X is projective and k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero. The proof extends without difficulty to the more general situation of this theorem, as we now indicate.

We first establish the theorem in the case when X is projective over an infinite field k . The proof of Corollary 2.2.45 in [22] extends to this case, after we make a couple of observations. First, Lemma 2.2.37 [22] is valid when k is an infinite field, since the k -rational points are then dense in a \mathbb{P}_k^n parameterizing a linear system of divisors. Second, by Theorem 2.2, we can find the very general hyperplane sections required for the proof of Corollary 2.2.45 [22].

Now suppose that X is projective over a finite field k . Let $k' = k(t)$ be a rational function field over k , and $X' = X \times_k k'$ with natural morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$. X' is a k' -variety. By the proof of Proposition 2.3, and by flat base change of cohomology (Proposition III.9.3 [18]), we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbb{R} \\ \text{vol}_X & \nearrow & \uparrow \text{vol}_{X'} \\ N^1(X) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & N^1(X'). \end{array}$$

Thus vol_X is continuous since f^* and $\text{vol}_{X'}$ are.

The general case, when X is complete over a field now follows from taking a Chow cover. \square

3. MORE VECTOR SPACES AND CONES ASSOCIATED TO A VARIETY

In this section we suppose that X is a complete d -dimensional variety over a field k .

3.1. Finite dimensional vector spaces and cones associated to a variety. For $0 < p \leq d$, we define $M^p(X)$ to be the direct product of $N^1(X)$ p times, and we define $M^0(X) = \mathbb{R}$. For $1 < p \leq d$, we define $L^p(X)$ to be the vector space of p -multilinear forms from $M^p(X)$ to \mathbb{R} , and define $L^0(X) = \mathbb{R}$.

The intersection product gives us p -multilinear maps

$$(4) \quad M^p(X) \rightarrow L^{d-p}(X),$$

for $0 \leq p \leq d$. In the special case when $p = 0$, the map is just the linear map taking 1 to the map

$$(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_d) \mapsto (\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{L}_d) = (\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{L}_d \cdot X).$$

We will denote the image of $(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p)$ by $\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{L}_p$. We will sometimes write

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{L}_p(\beta_{p+1}, \dots, \beta_d) = (\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{L}_p \cdot \beta_{p+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_d).$$

We give all of the above vector spaces the Euclidean topology, so that all of the mappings considered above are continuous.

Let $|\ast|$ be a norm on $M^1(X)$ giving the Euclidean topology. The Euclidean topology on $L^p(X)$ is given by the norm $\|A\|$, which is defined on a multilinear form $A \in L^p(X)$ to be the greatest lower bound of all real numbers c such that

$$|A(x_1, \dots, x_p)| \leq c|x_1| \cdots |x_p|$$

for $x_1, \dots, x_p \in M^1(X)$.

Suppose that V is a closed p -dimensional subvariety of X with $1 \leq p \leq d$. Define $\sigma_V \in L^p(X)$ by

$$\sigma_V(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p) = (\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{L}_p \cdot V)$$

for $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p \in \text{Pic}(X)$. The pseudoeffective cone $\text{Psef}(L^p(X))$ in $L^p(X)$ is the closure of the cone generated by the σ_V in $L^p(X)$. $\text{Psef}(L^0(X))$ is defined to be the nonnegative real numbers.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that X is a projective variety over a field k and $1 \leq p \leq d$.*

1) *Suppose that $\alpha \in \text{Psef}(L^p(X))$ and $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p \in M^1(X)$ are nef. Then*

$$\alpha(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p) \geq 0.$$

2) *$\text{Psef}(L^p(X))$ is a strict cone.*

Proof. First suppose that $\alpha = \sum a_i \sigma_{V_i}$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and V_i closed p -dimension subvarieties of X . Then if $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p$ are nef, we have that $\alpha(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p) \geq 0$ (since the nef cone is the closure of the ample cone). Now suppose that α is an arbitrary element of $\text{Psef}(L^p(X))$. Then α is the limit of a sequence $\alpha_j = \sum_i a_i^j \sigma_{V_i}$ with $a_i^j \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and V_i closed p -dimensional subvarieties of X . Suppose that $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p$ are nef. We will show that $\alpha(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p) \geq 0$. Suppose otherwise; then $z := \alpha(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p) < 0$. Let $\varepsilon = \frac{|z|}{|\mathcal{L}_1| \cdots |\mathcal{L}_p|}$. There exists α_j such that $\|\alpha - \alpha_j\| < \varepsilon$ (where $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm defined above). Thus

$$|\alpha(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p) - \alpha_j(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p)| < \varepsilon |\mathcal{L}_1| \cdots |\mathcal{L}_p| = |z|,$$

a contradiction since $\alpha_j(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p) \geq 0$.

In particular, if $\alpha \in \text{Psef}(L^p(X)) \cap (-\text{Psef}(L^p(X)))$, then α vanishes on the open subset $\text{Amp}(X)^p$ of $M^p(X)$. Since $\text{Amp}(X)$ contains a basis of $M^1(X)$ and α is multilinear we have that $\alpha = 0$. □

Since $\text{Psef}(L^p(X))$ is a strict cone, we have by Section 2.3 an equivalence relation on $\text{Psef}(L^p(X))$, defined by

$$\alpha \geq 0 \text{ if } \alpha \in \text{Psef}(L^p(X)).$$

$L^0(X) = \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{Psef}(L^0(X))$ is the set of nonnegative real numbers, so \geq is the usual order on \mathbb{R} .

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that X is a projective variety over a field k and $\beta \in \text{Psef}(L^p(X))$. Then the set*

$$\{\alpha \in \text{Psef}(L^p(X)) \mid 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta\}$$

is compact.

Proof. This set is equal to the closed set

$$\text{Psef}(L^p(X)) \cap (\beta - \text{Psef}(L^p(X))).$$

Since $\text{Psef}(L^p(X))$ is strict, there exists an open set of linear hyperplanes which intersect $\text{Psef}(L^p(X))$ only at the origin. The choice of a linearly independent set of such hyperplanes and their translations by β realizes a bounded set containing $\{\alpha \in \text{Psef}(L^p(X)) \mid 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta\}$. □

Suppose that Y is a complete variety over k and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a birational morphism. Then the natural homomorphism $f^* : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$ induces continuous linear maps

$f^* : N^1(X) \rightarrow N^1(Y)$ and $f_* : L^p(Y) \rightarrow L^p(X)$. By Proposition I.2.6 [20], for $1 \leq t \leq d$, we have that

$$(5) \quad f^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \cdots f^*(\mathcal{L}_t) = \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_t$$

for $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_t \in \text{Pic}(X)$. Thus for $0 \leq p \leq d$ we have commutative diagrams of linear maps

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M^p(Y) & \rightarrow & L^{d-p}(Y) \\ f^* \uparrow & & f_* \downarrow \\ M^p(X) & \rightarrow & L^{d-p}(X), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are those of (4).

For $\alpha \in N^1(X)$, we have that

$$(7) \quad f^*(\alpha) \in \text{Nef}(Y) \text{ if and only if } \alpha \in \text{Nef}(X).$$

$$(8) \quad f^*(\alpha) \in \text{Big}(Y) \text{ if and only if } \alpha \in \text{Big}(X).$$

$$(9) \quad f^*(\alpha) \in \text{Psef}(Y) \text{ if } \alpha \in \text{Psef}(X).$$

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that Y is a complete variety over k and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a birational morphism. Then $f_*(\text{Psef}(L^p(Y))) \subset \text{Psef}(L^p(X))$.*

Proof. Suppose that V is a p -dimensional closed subvariety of Y . Let $W = f(V)$. Then $f_*(\sigma_V) = \deg(f|_V)\sigma_W$ if $\dim W = p$ and is zero otherwise, by Proposition I.2.6 [20]. Since f_* is continuous and $\text{Psef}(L^p(X))$ is closed, we have that

$$f_*(\text{Psef}(L^p(Y))) \subset \text{Psef}(L^p(X)).$$

□

3.2. Infinite dimensional topological spaces associated to a variety. Let $I(X) = \{Y_i\}$ be the set of projective varieties whose function field is $k(X)$ and such that the birational map $Y_i \dashrightarrow X$ is a morphism. This makes $I(X)$ a directed set. $\{M^p(Y_i)\}$ is a directed system of real vector spaces, where we have a linear mapping $f_{ij}^* : M^p(Y_i) \rightarrow M^p(Y_j)$ if the birational map $f_{ij} : Y_j \rightarrow Y_i$ is a morphism. We define

$$M^p(\mathcal{X}) = \varinjlim M^p(Y_i)$$

with the strong topology (the direct limit topology, c.f. Appendix 1. Section 1 [10]). $M^p(\mathcal{X})$ is a real vector space. As a vector space, $M^p(\mathcal{X})$ is isomorphic to the p -fold product $M^1(\mathcal{X})^p$.

We define $\alpha \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ to be \mathbb{Q} -Cartier (respectively nef, big, effective, pseudoeffective) if there exists a representative of α in $M^1(Y)$ which has this property for some $Y \in I(X)$. We define subsets $\text{Nef}^p(\mathcal{X})$, $\text{Big}^p(\mathcal{X})$ and $\text{Psef}^p(\mathcal{X})$ to be the respective subsets of $M^p(\mathcal{X})$ of nef, big and pseudoeffective divisors. There are all convex cones in the vector space $M^p(\mathcal{X})$.

By (7), (8) and (9), $\{\text{Nef}(Y)^p\}$, $\{\text{Big}(Y)^p\}$ and $\{\text{Psef}(Y)^p\}$ also form directed systems. As sets, we have that

$$\text{Nef}^p(\mathcal{X}) = \varinjlim (\text{Nef}(Y)^p), \quad \text{Big}^p(\mathcal{X}) = \varinjlim (\text{Big}(Y)^p), \quad \text{Psef}^p(\mathcal{X}) = \varinjlim (\text{Psef}(Y)^p).$$

We give all of these sets their respective strong topologies.

Let $\rho_Y : M^p(Y) \rightarrow M^p(\mathcal{X})$ be the induced continuous linear maps for $Y \in I(X)$. We will also denote the induced continuous maps $\text{Nef}(Y)^p \rightarrow \text{Nef}^p(\mathcal{X})$, $\text{Big}(Y)^p \rightarrow \text{Big}^p(\mathcal{X})$ and $\text{Psef}(Y)^p \rightarrow \text{Psef}^p(\mathcal{X})$ by ρ_Y .

$\{L^p(Y_i)\}$ is an inverse system of topological vector spaces, where we have a linear map $(f_{ij})_* : L^p(Y_j) \rightarrow L^p(Y_i)$ if the birational map $f_{ij} : Y_j \rightarrow Y_i$ is a morphism. We define

$$L^p(\mathcal{X}) = \varprojlim L^p(Y_i),$$

with the weak topology (the inverse limit topology).

In general, good topological properties on a directed system do not extend to the direct limit (c.f. Section 1 of Appendix 2 [10], especially the remark before 1.8). However, good topological properties on an inverse system do extend (c.f. Section 2 of Appendix 2 [10]). In particular, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *$L^p(\mathcal{X})$ is a Hausdorff real topological vector space. $L^p(\mathcal{X})$ is a real vector space which is isomorphic (as a vector space) to the p -multilinear forms on $M^1(\mathcal{X})$.*

Let $\pi_Y : L^p(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow M^p(Y)$ be the induced continuous linear maps for $Y \in I(X)$.

We will make repeated use of the following, which follow from the universal properties of the inverse limit and the direct limit (c.f. Theorems 2.5 and 1.5 [10]).

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that \mathcal{F} is one of M^p , Ne^p , Big^p or Pse^p . Then giving a continuous mapping*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$$

is equivalent to giving continuous maps $\varphi_Y : \mathcal{F}(Y) \rightarrow L^{d-p}(Y)$ for all $Y \in I(X)$, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(Z) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_Z} & L^{d-p}(Z) \\ f^* \uparrow & & \downarrow f_* \\ \mathcal{F}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_Y} & L^{d-p}(Y) \end{array}$$

commutes, whenever $f : Z \rightarrow Y$ is in $I(Y)$.

In the case when $\mathcal{F} = M^p$, if the φ_Y are all multilinear, then Φ is also multilinear (via the vector space isomorphism of $M^p(\mathcal{X})$ with p -fold product $M^1(\mathcal{X})^p$).

As an application, we have the following useful property.

Lemma 3.6. *The intersection product gives us a continuous map*

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$$

whenever \mathcal{F} is one of M^p , Ne^p , Big^p or Pse^p . The map is multilinear on $M^p(\mathcal{X})$.

We will denote the image of $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ by $\alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p$. For $\beta_{p+1}, \dots, \beta_d \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$, we will often write

$$\alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p(\beta_{p+1}, \dots, \beta_d) = (\alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \cdot \beta_{p+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_d).$$

3.3. Pseudoeffective classes in $L^p(\mathcal{X})$. We define a class $\alpha \in L^p(\mathcal{X})$ to be pseudoeffective if $\pi_Y(\alpha) \in L^p(Y)$ is pseudoeffective for all $Y \in I(X)$.

Lemma 3.7. *The set of pseudoeffective classes $\text{Psef}(L^p(\mathcal{X}))$ in $L^p(\mathcal{X})$ is a strict closed convex cone in $L^p(\mathcal{X})$.*

Proof. The fact that $\text{Psef}(L^p(\mathcal{X}))$ is a closed convex cone follows from the fact that

$$\text{Psef}(L^p(\mathcal{X})) = \bigcap_{Y \in I(X)} \pi_Y^{-1}(\text{Psef}(L^p(Y)))$$

is an intersection of closed convex cones. To verify strictness, we must check that if α and $-\alpha \in \text{Psef}(L^p(\mathcal{X}))$, then $\alpha = 0$. Suppose this is not the case. Then there exists a nonzero α such that $\alpha, -\alpha \in \text{Psef}(L^p(\mathcal{X}))$. Then $\pi_Y(\alpha), -\pi_Y(\alpha) \in \text{Psef}(L^p(Y))$ for all $Y \in I(X)$ so that $\pi_Y(\alpha) = 0$ for all Y by Lemma (3.1), and thus $\alpha = 0$. \square

By Lemma 3.7 (c.f. Section 2.3), we can define a partial order ≥ 0 on $L^p(\mathcal{X})$ by $\alpha \geq 0$ if $\alpha \in \text{Psef}(L^p(\mathcal{X}))$.

$L^0(\mathcal{X}) = \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{Psef}(L^0(\mathcal{X}))$ is the set of nonnegative real numbers (by the remark before Lemma 3.2), so \geq is the usual order on \mathbb{R} .

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose that $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p \in \text{Nef}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\alpha \in \text{Psef}(L^p(\mathcal{X}))$. Then*

$$\alpha(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p) \geq 0.$$

Proof. Suppose that $Y \in I(X)$ is such that $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p$ are represented by classes in $M^1(Y)$. Then

$$\alpha(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p) = \pi_Y(\alpha)(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p) \geq 0$$

by Lemma 3.1, since $\pi_Y(\alpha) \in \text{Psef}(L^p(Y))$. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that $V \subset Y$ is a p -dimensional closed subvariety of Y . Then there exists $\alpha \in \text{Psef}(L^p(\mathcal{X}))$ such that $\pi_Y(\alpha) = \sigma_V$.*

Proof. By the existence theorem, Theorem 37 of Section 16, Chapter VI, page 106 [31], there exists a rank 1 p -dimensional valuation ν of $k(X)$ whose center on X is V . For $Z \in I(X)$, let V_Z be the center of ν on Z . Define $\alpha \in L^p(\mathcal{X})$ by

$$\pi_Z(\alpha) = \frac{[k(V_W) : k(V_Z)]}{[k(V_W) : k(V)]} \sigma_{V_Z}$$

if $\dim V_Z = p$ and there exists a diagram in $I(X)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ Y & & Z. \end{array}$$

Define $\pi_Z(\alpha) = 0$ if $\dim V_Z < p$. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose that $\alpha \in \text{Psef}(L^p(\mathcal{X}))$. Then the set*

$$\{\beta \in L^p(\mathcal{X}) \mid 0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha\}$$

is compact.

Proof. Let $K = \{\beta \in L^p(\mathcal{X}) \mid 0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha\}$ and $K_Y = \{\beta \in L^p(Y) \mid 0 \leq \beta \leq \pi_Y(\alpha)\}$ for $Y \in I(X)$. The statement that $\beta \in K$ is equivalent to the statement that β and $\alpha - \beta$ are in $\text{Psef}(L^p(\mathcal{X}))$, which is equivalent to the statement that $\pi_Y(\beta)$ and $\pi_Y(\alpha) - \pi_Y(\beta)$ are in $\text{Psef}(L^p(Y))$ for all $Y \in I(X)$, which is the statement that $\pi_Y(\beta) \in K_Y$ for all $Y \in I(X)$. Thus $K = \bigcap_Y \pi_Y^{-1}(K_Y)$. The K_Y form an inverse system and $\lim_{\leftarrow} K_Y$ is homeomorphic to the subspace $\bigcap_Y \pi_Y^{-1}(K_Y)$ of $L^p(\mathcal{X})$ (c.f. 2.8, Appendix 2 [10]). Since the K_Y are all compact by Lemma 3.2, $\lim_{\leftarrow} K_Y$ is compact (c.f. 2.4, Appendix 2 [10]). \square

Lemma 3.11. *Suppose that $\alpha_i \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, with α_1 psef and α_i nef for $i \geq 2$. Then $\alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \in L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$ is psef.*

Proof. There exists $Y \in I(X)$ such that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ are represented on Y by classes $\mathcal{N}_1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_p \in M^1(Y)$, with \mathcal{N}_1 psef and \mathcal{N}_i nef for $i \geq 2$. We will show that $\mathcal{N}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{N}_p \in L^{d-p}(Y)$ is psef. Let H be very ample on Y . We will show that

$$(\mathcal{N}_1 + tH) \cdot (\mathcal{N}_2 + tH) \cdot \dots \cdot (\mathcal{N}_p + tH)$$

is psef for all $t > 0$. By continuity of the intersection product, and the fact that $\text{Psef}(L^{d-p}(Y))$ is closed in $M^1(Y)$, we will conclude that $\mathcal{N}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{N}_p$ is psef.

For $2 \leq j \leq p$, and since the closure of $\text{Amp}(Y)$ is $\text{Nef}(Y)$, we have expressions

$$N_i + tH \equiv \sum a_{ij} H_{ij}$$

with H_{ij} integral ample divisors on Y and $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Since the closure of $\text{Big}(Y)$ is $\text{Psef}(Y)$, we have an expression

$$\mathcal{N}_1 + tH \equiv \sum b_j D_j$$

with D_j integral divisors on Y and $b_j \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. By multilinearity of the intersection product, it suffices to show that each

$$H_2 \cdots H_p \cdot D$$

is psef, where H_i is any of the H_{ij} and D is any of the D_j . Suppose that $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{d-p} \in M^1(Y)$. Using Propositions I.2.4 and I.2.5 of [20] and Lemma 2.1, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_{d-p} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(H_2) \cdots \mathcal{O}_Y(H_p) \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(D)) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_{d-p} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(H_2) \cdots \mathcal{O}_Y(H_p) \cdot D) \\ (10) \quad &= (\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \cdots \mathcal{L}_{d-p} \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(H_2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \cdots \mathcal{O}_Y(H_p) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D) \\ &= \frac{1}{s_p} (\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \cdots \mathcal{L}_{d-p} \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(H_2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \cdots \mathcal{O}_Y(H_{p-1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \cdot \Delta_p) \\ &\quad \text{where } s_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \text{ and } \Delta_p \in |\mathcal{O}_Y(s_p H_p) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D| \text{ is such that } \Delta_p \cap \text{Ass}(\mathcal{O}_D) = \emptyset, \\ &= \frac{1}{s_p} (\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_p} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{d-p} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_p} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(H_2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_p} \cdots \mathcal{O}_Y(H_{p-1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_p}). \end{aligned}$$

Iterating, we obtain a p -cycle $W = \sum a_i V_i$ on Y , with V_i closed p -dimensional subvarieties and a_i positive rational numbers such that

$$(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_{d-p} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(H_2) \cdots \mathcal{O}_Y(H_p) \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(D)) = \sum a_i \sigma_{V_i}(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p)$$

for all $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_p \in M^1(Y)$. We thus have that $\pi_Y(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p) \in \text{Psef}(L^p(Y))$.

If $f : Z \rightarrow Y \in I(X)$, then α_1 is represented in $M^1(Z)$ by the psef class $f^*(\mathcal{N}_1)$ and $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p$ are represented by the nef classes $f^*(\mathcal{N}_2), \dots, f^*(\mathcal{N}_p)$. Thus the above argument shows that $\pi_Z(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p) \in \text{Psef}(L^p(Z))$. Since $I(X)$ is directed, and by Lemma 3.3, we have that $\pi_Z(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p) \in \text{Psef}(L^p(Z))$ for all $Z \in I(X)$. Thus $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p \in \text{Psef}(L^p(\mathcal{X}))$. \square

Proposition 3.12. *Suppose that α_i and α'_i for $1 \leq i \leq p$ are nef classes in $M^1(\mathcal{X})$, and that $\alpha_i \geq \alpha'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$. Then*

$$\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p \geq \alpha'_1 \cdots \alpha'_p$$

in $L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$.

Proof. From symmetry of the intersection product, and the assumption that $\alpha_i - \alpha'_i \geq 0$ for all i , we obtain from Lemma 3.11 that

$$\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{i-1} \cdot (\alpha_i - \alpha'_i) \cdot \alpha'_{i+1} \cdots \alpha'_p \geq 0$$

for $1 \leq i \leq p$. The proposition now follows from the multilinearity of the intersection product. \square

Corollary 3.13. *Suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ are such that for some p with $0 \leq p \leq d$ α_i is nef for $i \leq p$, and ω is a nef class in $M^1(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\omega \pm \alpha_i$ is nef for each $i > p$. Then*

$$|(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_d)| \leq C(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p \cdot \omega^{d-p})$$

for some constant C depending only on (ω^d) .

Proof. Let $\beta_i = \alpha_i + \omega$ for $p < i \leq d$. Expand

$$(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p \cdot \alpha_{p+1} \cdots \alpha_d) = (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p \cdot (\beta_{p+1} - \omega) \cdots (\beta_d - \omega))$$

using multilinearity, to get an expression with terms

$$(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p \cdot \beta_{j_1} \cdots \beta_{j_r} \cdot \omega^{d-p-r}).$$

By our assumption, $0 \leq \beta_i \leq 2\omega$ are nef for $p < i \leq d$. The bounds thus follow from Proposition 3.12. \square

4. THE POSITIVE INTERSECTION PRODUCT

We continue to assume that X is a complete d -dimensional variety over a field k .

A partially ordered set is directed if any two elements can be dominated by a third. A partially ordered set is filtered if any two elements dominate a third.

Lemma 4.1. *Let V be a Hausdorff topological vector space and K a strict closed convex cone in V with associated partial order relation \leq . Then any nonempty subset S of V which is directed with respect to \leq and is contained in a compact subset of V has a least upper bound with respect to \leq in V .*

Proof. The set S is a net in V under the partial order \leq . There exists an accumulation point of the net S in V since S is contained in a compact subset of V (c.f. Exercise 10, page 188 [26]). Let γ be an accumulation point. We will show that γ is an upper bound of S . Suppose not. Then there exists $\delta \in S$ such that $\delta \not\leq \gamma$. Thus $\gamma \notin \delta + K$. $\delta + K$ is closed in V since it is a translate of a closed set. Thus there exists an open neighborhood U of γ in V such that $U \cap (\delta + K) = \emptyset$. Since γ is an accumulation point, there exists $\varepsilon \in S$ such that $\delta \leq \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon \in U$. But $\varepsilon \in U \cap (\delta + K) = \emptyset$, a contradiction.

Suppose that $y \in V$ is an upper bound of S . Then we have that $S \subset y - K$. Suppose that $\gamma \not\leq y$. Then $\gamma \notin y - K$ so there exists an open neighborhood U of γ in V such that $U \cap (y - K) = \emptyset$. There exists $\varepsilon \in S$ such that $\varepsilon \in U$. $\varepsilon \leq y$ implies $\varepsilon \in y - K$ so $U \cap (y - K) \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. Thus $\gamma \leq y$ and γ is the (necessarily unique) least upper bound of S . In particular, the net S converges to γ . \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\alpha \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ be big. Then the set $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$ of effective \mathbb{Q} -divisors in $M^1(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\alpha - D$ is nef is nonempty and filtered.*

Proof. Let $Y \in I(X)$ be such that α is represented in $M^1(Y)$ by a big divisor. Then there exists an effective \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor D in $M^1(Y)$ such that $\alpha - D$ is ample by (2). Thus $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$ is nonempty.

Let D_1, D_2 be two \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisors in $M^1(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\alpha - D_1$ and $\alpha - D_2$ are nef. Let $Y \in I(X)$ be such that both D_1 and D_2 are represented there. There exists a positive integer m such that mD_1 and mD_2 are integral Cartier divisors on Y . Let $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{O}_Y(-mD_1) + \mathcal{O}_Y(-mD_2)$, an ideal sheaf on Y . Let Z be the blow up of the ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} , with natural morphism $f : Z \rightarrow Y$. $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_Z$ is a locally principle ideal sheaf, so it determines an (integral) effective divisor D with $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_Z = \mathcal{O}_Z(-D)$. We have that $\mathcal{O}_Z(-f^*(mD_i)) \subset \mathcal{O}_Z(-D)$ for $i = 1, 2$ so that $D' := \frac{1}{m}D \leq f^*(D_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. We must show that $\alpha - D'$ is nef. Let H_1, \dots, H_r be ample divisors on Y whose classes span $M^1(Y)$ as a real vector space. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist real numbers a_i with $0 \leq a_i < \varepsilon$ for all i , such that $\alpha + a_1H_1 + \cdots + a_rH_r$ is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor and $(\alpha + a_1H_1 + \cdots + a_rH_r) - D_i$ are ample on Y for $i = 1, 2$. There exists a positive integer n which is divisible by m , and such that $n(\alpha + a_1H_1 + \cdots + a_rH_r - D_i)$ are very ample integral divisors (so they are generated by

global sections). Let $\mathcal{L} = n(\alpha + a_1H_1 + \cdots + a_rH_r)$, an integral divisor on Y . We have a surjection

$$(\mathcal{O}_X(-nD_1) \otimes \mathcal{L}) \bigoplus (\mathcal{O}_X(-nD_1) \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_m^{\frac{n}{m}} \otimes \mathcal{L}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{I}_m^{\frac{n}{m}} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ is generated by global sections, so

$$(\mathcal{I}_m^{\frac{n}{m}} \mathcal{O}_Z) \otimes \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(n(\alpha + a_1f^*(H_1) + \cdots + \cdots + a_rf^*(H_r) - D'))$$

is generated by global sections. Thus

$$\alpha + a_1f^*(H_1) + \cdots + a_rf^*(H_r) - D'$$

is nef. Since nefness is a closed condition, we have that $\alpha - D'$ is nef. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ are big. Let*

$$S = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha_1 - D_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p - D_p) \in L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X}) \text{ such that } D_1, \dots, D_p \in M^1(\mathcal{X}) \\ \text{are effective } \mathbb{Q}\text{-Cartier divisors and } \alpha_i - D_i \text{ are nef for } 1 \leq i \leq p. \end{array} \right\}$$

Then

- 1) S is nonempty
- 2) S is a directed set with respect to the partial order \leq on $L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$
- 3) S has a (unique) least upper bound with respect to \leq in $L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$.

Proof. S is nonempty and directed by Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 3.12. Let $Y \in I(X)$ be such that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ are represented by elements of $M^1(Y)$ and let $\omega \in M^1(Y)$ be an ample class such that $\alpha_i \leq \omega$ for all i . Then by Proposition 3.12, S is a subset of

$$\{x \in L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X}) \mid 0 \leq x \leq \omega^p\}$$

which is compact by Lemma 3.10. The proposition now follows from Lemma 4.1. \square

The following definition is well defined by virtue of Proposition 4.3.

Definition 4.4. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ be big. Their positive intersection product*

$$\langle \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \rangle \in L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$$

is defined as the least upper bound of the set of classes

$$(\alpha_1 - D_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p - D_p) \in L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$$

where $D_i \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ are effective \mathbb{Q} -Cartier classes such that $\alpha_i - D_i$ is nef.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ are big, with $0 \leq p \leq d$. Then*

$$\langle \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \rangle \leq \langle (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) + \dots + (\alpha_p + \beta_p) \rangle.$$

Proof. Let S be the set of Proposition 4.3 defining $\langle \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \rangle$ and let T be the set defining

$$\langle (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + \beta_p) \rangle.$$

Suppose that

$$\sigma = (\alpha_1 - D_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p - D_p) \in S.$$

Let $Y \in I(X)$ be such that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, D_1, \dots, D_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$ are represented in $M^1(Y)$. For $1 \leq i \leq p$ we have $\beta_i = H_i + E_i$ where H_i is an ample \mathbb{R} -divisor and E_i is an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor (by (2)). Thus

$$\tau = ((\alpha_1 + \beta_1) - (D_1 + E_1)) \cdot \dots \cdot ((\alpha_p + \beta_p) - (D_p + E_p)) \in T$$

and $\sigma \leq \tau$ by Proposition 3.12. Thus $\langle (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + \beta_p) \rangle$ is an upper bound for S . \square

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ are big. Suppose that $Y \in I(X)$, $|\cdot|$ is a norm on $M^1(Y)$ giving the Euclidean topology, and ε is a positive real number. Then there exist $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ which are nef and satisfy $\beta_i \leq \alpha_i$ for all i such that*

$$|(\langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p \rangle - \beta_1 \cdots \beta_p)(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{d-p})| < \varepsilon |\mathcal{L}_1| \cdots |\mathcal{L}_{d-p}|$$

for all $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{d-p} \in M^1(Y)$.

Proof. $\langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p \rangle$ is the limit point in $L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$ of the net

$$S = \{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_p \in L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X}) \mid \text{each } \beta_i \text{ is nef and } D_i = \alpha_i - \beta_i \text{ is } \mathbb{Q}\text{-Cartier}\}.$$

There exists an open neighborhood U of $\pi_Y(\langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p \rangle)$ in $L^{d-p}(Y)$ such that

$$\|A - \pi_Y(\langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p \rangle)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for } A \in U$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm on $L^{d-p}(Y)$ defined before Lemma 3.1. Thus there exists an element $\beta_1 \cdots \beta_p \in S \cap \pi_Y^{-1}(U)$ since $\langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p \rangle$ is the limit point of S , so $\pi_Y(\beta_1 \cdots \beta_p)$ has the desired property. \square

Proposition 4.7. *The map $\text{Big}^p(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$ defined by*

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \mapsto \langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p \rangle$$

is continuous.

Proof. Since the topologies on $\text{Big}^p(\mathcal{X})$ and $L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$ are respectively the strong and weak topologies, it suffices to show that for each $Y \in I(X)$, the map

$$\text{Big}(Y)^p \xrightarrow{\rho_Y} \text{Big}^p(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} L^{d-p}(Y)$$

is continuous. Let $|\cdot|$ be a norm on $M^1(Y)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ be the norm on $L^{d-p}(Y)$ defined before Lemma 3.1 giving the Euclidean topologies.

Let $\mathcal{L}_i \in \text{Big}(Y)$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, and suppose that ε is a positive real number. Let

$$\Omega = \{z \in L^{d-p}(Y) \mid 0 \leq z \leq \langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_p \rangle\}.$$

Ω is compact by Lemma 3.2. Let

$$u = \max\{\|z\| \mid z \in \Omega\}.$$

Choose a rational number λ with $0 < \lambda < 1$ so that

$$((1 + \lambda)^p - (1 - \lambda)^p)u < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and

$$(1 - (1 - \lambda)^p)\|\langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_p \rangle\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Since $\lambda \mathcal{L}_i \in \text{Big}(Y)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\gamma_i \in M^1(Y)$ and $|\gamma_i| < \delta$, then $\lambda \mathcal{L}_i \pm \gamma_i \in \text{Big}(Y)$ for all i . Hence

$$(1 - \lambda)\mathcal{L}_i \leq \mathcal{L}_i + \gamma_i \leq (1 + \lambda)\mathcal{L}_i,$$

and thus by Lemma 4.5 and Definition 4.4,

$$(1 - \lambda)^p \langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_p \rangle \leq \langle (\mathcal{L}_1 + \gamma_1) \cdots (\mathcal{L}_p + \gamma_p) \rangle \leq (1 + \lambda)^p \langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_p \rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ((1 - \lambda)^p - 1) \langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_p \rangle &\leq \langle (\mathcal{L}_1 + \gamma_1) \cdots (\mathcal{L}_p + \gamma_p) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_p \rangle \\ &\leq ((1 + \lambda)^p - 1) \langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_p \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$v = \langle (\mathcal{L}_1 + \gamma_1) \cdots (\mathcal{L}_p + \gamma_p) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_p \rangle.$$

$v \in ((1 - \lambda)^p - 1) \langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{L}_p \rangle + (((1 + \lambda)^p - 1) + [1 - (1 - \lambda)^p])\Omega$
implies $\|v\| < \varepsilon$ by the triangle inequality. □

Definition 4.8. Suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in \text{Psef}(\mathcal{X})$. Then their positive intersection product

$$\langle \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \rangle \in L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$$

is defined as the limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle (\alpha_1 + \varepsilon\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + \varepsilon\omega) \rangle$$

where $\omega \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ is any big class.

Lemma 4.9. Definition 4.8 is well defined.

Proof. Suppose that $\omega \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ is big. The set

$$S_\omega = \{ - \langle (\alpha_1 + t\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + t\omega) \rangle \mid 0 < t \leq 1 \}$$

is a directed set under \leq by Lemma 4.5, and it is contained in the compact set

$$-\{x \in L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X}) \mid 0 \leq x \leq \langle (\alpha_1 + \omega) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + \omega) \rangle\},$$

so it has a least upper bound y in $L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$ by Lemma 4.1. Setting $z = -y$, we have

$$z = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle (\alpha_1 + \varepsilon\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + \varepsilon\omega) \rangle$$

is well defined.

We have equality of sets

$$E = \{x \in L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X}) \mid 0 \leq x \leq z\} = \bigcap_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} C_\varepsilon$$

where

$$C_\varepsilon = \{x \in L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X}) \mid 0 \leq x \leq \langle (\alpha_1 + \varepsilon\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + \varepsilon\omega) \rangle\}.$$

In fact, $E \subset \bigcap_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} C_\varepsilon$ since $-z$ is a least upper bound for S_ω , and $\lambda \in \bigcap_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} C_\varepsilon$ implies $-\lambda$ is an upper bound for S_ω , so $-z \leq -\lambda$ and thus $\lambda \leq z$.

Suppose that $\omega' \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ is another big class. Let

$$z' = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle (\alpha_1 + \varepsilon\omega') \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + \varepsilon\omega') \rangle.$$

Suppose that $z \neq z'$. We will derive a contradiction. Then we either have that $z \not\leq z'$ or $z' \not\leq z$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $z' \not\leq z$. Thus there exists a positive real number ε such that $z' \notin C_\varepsilon$. C_ε is closed in $L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$ since C_ε is compact and $L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$ is Hausdorff. Let U be an open neighborhood of z' in $L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$. If $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small, then $\varepsilon\omega - \delta\omega'$ is big (by (2)) and there exists such a δ with

$$\langle (\alpha_1 + \delta\omega') \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + \delta\omega') \rangle \in U.$$

$$\langle (\alpha_1 + \delta\omega') \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + \delta\omega') \rangle \leq \langle (\alpha_1 + \varepsilon\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + \varepsilon\omega) \rangle$$

by Lemma 4.5. Thus $U \cap C_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$. Since this is true for all open neighborhoods U of z' , z' is in the closed set C_ε , giving a contradiction. Thus $z = z'$. □

Remark 4.10. In Example 3.8 [4], it is shown that the positive intersection product is not continuous up to the boundary of the psef cone in general, even on a nonsingular surface.

Proposition 4.11. If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ are nef, then

$$\langle \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \rangle = \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p.$$

Proof. When the α_i are nef and big we can take $D_i = 0$ in Definition 4.4, from which the statement follows. The general case follows from the big case, continuity of the (usual) intersection product (Lemma 3.6), and Definition 4.8 by taking ω to be nef and big. \square

Proposition 4.12. *Suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in \text{Big}(\mathcal{X})$. Then $\langle \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \rangle$ is the least upper bound of all intersection products $\beta_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_p$ in $L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$ with β_i a nef class such that $\beta_i \leq \alpha_i$. In particular, $\langle \alpha^p \rangle$ is the least upper bound of (β^p) such that β is nef and $\beta \leq \alpha$.*

Proof. Let S be the directed set of Proposition 4.3 and let T be the set

$$T = \{\beta_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_p \mid \beta_i \in M^1(\mathcal{X}) \text{ are nef and } \beta_i \leq \alpha_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p\}.$$

Suppose that $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ are nef with $\beta_i \leq \alpha_i$. Let $Y \in I(X)$ be such that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$ are represented on Y . Let H_1, \dots, H_s be ample, integral divisors on Y which generate $M^1(Y)$ as an \mathbb{R} -vector space. Given a real number $\delta > 0$, there exists $0 < \varepsilon_j^i < \delta$ such that

$$D_i := \alpha_i - \beta_i + \varepsilon_1^i H_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_s^i H_s$$

is represented in $M^1(Y)$ by an effective and big \mathbb{Q} -divisor. $(\alpha_i + \varepsilon_1^i H_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_s^i H_s) - D_i = \beta_i$ is nef, so that

$$\beta_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_p \leq (\alpha_1 + \varepsilon_1^1 H_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_s^1 H_s) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + \varepsilon_1^p H_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_s^p H_s) >$$

by Definition 4.4. We have a continuous map

$$\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^{ps} \rightarrow (M^1(Y))^p$$

defined by

$$\Lambda(\varepsilon_1^1, \dots, \varepsilon_s^1, \dots, \varepsilon_1^p, \dots, \varepsilon_s^p) = (\alpha_1 + \varepsilon_1^1 H_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_s^1 H_s, \dots, \alpha_p + \varepsilon_1^p H_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_s^p H_s).$$

$U = \Lambda^{-1}(\text{Big}(Y)^p)$ is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^{ps} containing the origin. Composing with the continuous map $\text{Big}(Y)^p \rightarrow L^{d-p}(Y)$ defined by $(z_1, \dots, z_p) \mapsto \pi_Y(\langle z_1 \cdot \dots \cdot z_p \rangle)$, we obtain a continuous map $U \rightarrow L^{d-p}(Y)$. Thus

$$\lim_{\varepsilon_j^i \rightarrow 0} \pi_Y(\langle ((\alpha_1 + \varepsilon_1^1 H_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_s^1 H_s) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + \varepsilon_1^p H_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_s^p H_s)) \rangle) = \pi_Y(\langle \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \rangle).$$

Since

$$\pi_Y(\langle ((\alpha_1 + \varepsilon_1^1 H_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_s^1 H_s) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + \varepsilon_1^p H_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_s^p H_s)) \rangle) - \pi_Y(\beta_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_p)$$

is in the closed subset $\text{Psef}(L^{d-p}(Y))$ for all $\varepsilon_j^i > 0$, we have that

$$\pi_Y(\beta_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_p) \leq \pi_Y(\langle \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \rangle).$$

Thus $\beta_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_p \leq \langle \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \rangle$. We have $S \subset T$ and the least upper bound $\langle \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \rangle$ of S is an upper bound of T . Thus $\langle \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \rangle$ is the least upper bound of T . \square

Lemma 4.13. *For $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in \text{Psef}(\mathcal{X})$, the positive intersection product*

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \mapsto \langle \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \rangle \in L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$$

is symmetric, homogeneous of degree 1 and super-additive in each variable.

Proof. First suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in \text{Big}(\mathcal{X})$. The only part that does not follow directly from the definition of the positive intersection product is the statement on homogeneity for irrational scalars. By symmetry, it suffices to prove homogeneity in the first variable. Suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in \text{Big}(\mathcal{X})$. The map $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$ given by

$$\lambda \mapsto \langle \lambda \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \rangle$$

is continuous by Proposition 4.7; in fact it has a natural factorization by continuous maps

$$\mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \text{Big}(Y)^p \xrightarrow{p_X} \text{Big}^p(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$$

if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ are represented in $\text{Big}(Y)$. Since $L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$ is a topological vector space, the map $\psi : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$ defined by $\lambda \mapsto \lambda < \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p >$ is continuous. Since φ and ψ agree on the positive rational numbers, and $L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$ is Hausdorff, we have that $\varphi = \psi$.

Symmetry on $\text{Psef}(\mathcal{X})$ follows from the big case and Definition 4.8. It suffices to establish super additivity in the first variable. Suppose that $\alpha_1, \alpha'_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p \in \text{Psef}(\mathcal{X})$. There exists $Y \in I(X)$ such that $\alpha_1, \alpha'_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p$ are represented in $\text{Psef}(Y)$. Let ω be an ample divisor on Y . Define

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow L^{d-p}(\mathcal{X})$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= < (\alpha_1 + t\omega) + (\alpha'_1 + t\omega) \cdot (\alpha_2 + t\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + t\omega) > \\ &\quad - < (\alpha_1 + t\omega) \cdot (\alpha_2 + t\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + t\omega) > \\ &\quad - < (\alpha'_1 + t\omega) \cdot (\alpha_2 + t\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot (\alpha_p + t\omega) > . \end{aligned}$$

φ is continuous by Proposition 4.7, and $\varphi(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ is contained in the closed set K of pseudoeffective classes. Taking the limit as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, we have by Definition 4.8 that

$$< (\alpha_1 + \alpha'_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p > - < \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p > - < \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p > \in K.$$

□

5. VOLUME

In this section we continue to assume that X is a complete d -dimensional variety over a field k .

Theorem 5.1. (*Fujita Approximation*) *Suppose that D is a big Cartier divisor on a complete variety X of dimension d over a field k , and $\varepsilon > 0$ is given. Then there exists a projective variety Y with a birational morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$, a nef and big \mathbb{Q} -divisor N on Y , and an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor E on Y such that there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ so that nD , nN and nE are Cartier divisors with $f^*(nD) \sim nN + nE$, where \sim denotes linear equivalence, and*

$$\text{vol}_Y(N) \geq \text{vol}_X(D) - \varepsilon.$$

Proof. By taking a Chow cover by a birational morphism, which is an isomorphism in codimension one, we may assume that X is projective over k . This theorem was proven over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero by Fujita [15] (c.f. Theorem 10.35 [22]). It is proven in Theorem 3.4 and Remark 3.4 [23] over an arbitrary algebraically closed field (using Okounkov bodies) and by Takagi [28] using de Jong's alterations [9].

We give a proof for an arbitrary field. The conclusions of Theorem 3.3 [23] over an arbitrary field follow from Theorem 7.2 and formula (45) of [8], taking the L_n of Theorem 7.2 [8] to be the $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD))$ of Theorem 3.3 [23]. $m = 1$ in Theorem 7.2 [8] since D is big. Then the $V_{k,p}$ of Theorem 3.3 [23] are the $L_{kp}^{[p]}$ of the proof of Theorem 7.2 [8].

The proof of Remark 3.4 [23] is valid over an arbitrary field, using the strengthened form of Theorem 3.3 [23] given above, from which the approximation theorem follows.

The following theorem is proven in Theorem 3.1 [4] when k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero.

□

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that X is a complete variety over a field k and \mathcal{L} is a big line bundle on X . Then*

$$\mathrm{vol}_X(\mathcal{L}) = \ll \mathcal{L}^d \gg.$$

Proof. Suppose that $Y \in I(X)$ and D is an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y such that $\mathcal{L} - D$ is nef and $\mathcal{L} \geq \mathcal{L} - D$. There exists $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that tD is an effective Cartier divisor. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have an inclusion of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules

$$f^*(\mathcal{L}^{mt}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-mtD) \rightarrow f^*(\mathcal{L}^{mt}).$$

Thus

$$\dim_k \Gamma(Y, f^*(\mathcal{L}^{mt}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-mtD)) \leq \dim_k \Gamma(Y, f^*(\mathcal{L}^{mt})).$$

We have a short exact sequence of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$$

where the support of \mathcal{G} has dimension less than d since f is birational. Tensoring with \mathcal{L}^{mt} and taking global sections gives us that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_k \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^{mt})}{m^d} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_k \Gamma(Y, f^*(\mathcal{L}^{mt}))}{m^d}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{vol}_X(\mathcal{L}) &= \frac{1}{t^d} \mathrm{vol}_X(t\mathcal{L}) \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d! \dim_k \Gamma(Y, f^*(\mathcal{L}^{mt}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-mtD))}{t^d m^d} \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{vol}_Y(f^*(\mathcal{L}^t) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-tD))}{(f^*(\mathcal{L}^t) - tD)^d} \\ &= \frac{(f^*(\mathcal{L}^t) - tD)^d}{t^d} \\ &= (f^*(\mathcal{L}) - D)^d, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality is by (3) and the third line follows from Fujita's vanishing theorem (Theorem 6.2 [14] when k is algebraically closed and X is a proper scheme over k . The statement for an arbitrary field follows from flat base change of $X \times_k \bar{k}$, where \bar{k} is an algebraic closure of k and Proposition III.9.3 [18]. See also Corollary 1.4.41 and Remark 1.4.36 [22]). Thus $\mathrm{vol}_X(\mathcal{L}) \geq \ll \mathcal{L}^d \gg$ by the definition of the positive intersection product.

Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists a Fujita approximation $Y \rightarrow X$ where Y is a projective k -variety, f is a birational morphism and $f^*\mathcal{L} = A + E$ where A is nef and big and E is an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor, with $\mathrm{vol}_Y(A) > \mathrm{vol}_X(\mathcal{L}) - \varepsilon$, by Theorem 5.1. We have that

$$\mathrm{vol}_Y(A) = (A^d) \leq \ll \mathcal{L}^d \gg$$

by Fujita's vanishing theorem. Thus $\mathrm{vol}_X(\mathcal{L}) \leq \ll \mathcal{L}^d \gg + \varepsilon$. □

Theorem 5.3. *The function $\alpha \mapsto \ll \alpha^d \gg$ is continuous on $\mathrm{Psef}(M^1(\mathcal{X}))$ and vanishes on its boundary, and only there.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.4, Lemma 4.13, Theorem 5.2 and Definition 4.8. □

Proposition 5.4. *Suppose that $A, B \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ are nef. Then*

$$\mathrm{vol}(A - B) \geq (A^d) - d(A^{d-1} \cdot B).$$

Proof. A, B are represented by nef elements of $M^1(Y)$ for some $Y \in I(X)$. When A, B are \mathbb{Q} -Cartier this is Example 2.2.28 [22] and (3). Suppose that A, B are \mathbb{R} -divisors. Let H_1, \dots, H_r be ample classes in $M^1(Y)$ which generate $M^1(Y)$ as a real vector space. Given a positive real number ε , there exist $0 \leq s_i < \varepsilon$ and $0 \leq t_i < \varepsilon$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that

$A + s_1H_1 + \cdots + s_rH_r$ and $B + t_1H_1 + \cdots + t_rH_r$ are represented by nef \mathbb{Q} -divisors, so that the formula holds for these divisors. Define $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{2r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(s_1, \dots, s_r, t_1, \dots, t_r) \mapsto \text{vol}((A + s_1H_1 + \cdots + s_rH_r) - (B + t_1H_1 + \cdots + t_rH_r)) - (A + s_1H_1 + \cdots + s_rH_r)^d + d(A + s_1H_1 + \cdots + s_rH_r)^{d-1} \cdot (B + t_1H_1 + \cdots + t_rH_r).$$

φ is a composition of continuous maps so it is continuous. $Z = \varphi^{-1}(\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \geq 0\})$ is a closed subset of \mathbb{R}^{2r} . Thus $0 \in Z$ and the formula follows, since any neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^{2r} contains points of Z . \square

Corollary 5.5. *Suppose that $\beta, \gamma \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ and β is nef. If $\omega \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ is a fixed nef and big class such that $\beta \leq \omega$ and $\omega \pm \gamma$ are nef, then there exists a positive real number C , depending only on (ω^d) , such that*

$$\text{vol}(\beta + t\gamma) \geq (\beta^d) + dt(\beta^{d-1} \cdot \gamma) - Ct^2$$

for every $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Proof. The expansion

$$(\beta^d + t\gamma)^d - [\beta^d + dt(\beta^{d-1} \cdot \gamma)] = \sum_{i=2}^d \binom{d}{i} t^i (\beta^{d-i} \cdot \gamma^i)$$

has a lower bound on $0 \leq t \leq 1$ in terms of $(\beta^{d-i} \cdot \omega^i)$ for $2 \leq i \leq d$ by Corollary 3.13, and thus a lower bound in terms of (ω^d) by Proposition 3.12, since $\beta \leq \omega$. Thus there exists a positive constant C_1 , depending only on (ω^d) , such that

$$(11) \quad (\beta + t\gamma)^d \geq \beta^d + dt(\beta^{d-1} \cdot \gamma) - C_1t^2.$$

Write

$$\beta + t\gamma = A - B$$

as the difference of two nef classes $A = \beta + t(\gamma + \omega)$ and $B = t\omega$.

$$(A - B)^d - [(A^d) - d(A^{d-1} \cdot B)]$$

has an upper bound in terms of $(A^{d-1} \cdot B^i)$ for $2 \leq i \leq d$, so it has an upper bound on $0 \leq t \leq 1$ in terms of $(A^{d-1} \cdot \omega^i)t^i$ for $2 \leq i \leq d$, and thus an upper bound in terms of (ω^d) , since $A \leq 3\omega$ and by Proposition 3.12, so we have

$$(12) \quad A^d - d(A^{d-1} \cdot B) \geq (A - B)^d - C_2t^2,$$

where C_2 is a positive constant which only depends on (ω^d) . The corollary now follows from Proposition 5.4, (12) and (11). \square

Theorem 5.6 is proven in Theorem A of [4] when k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero. The fact that volume is continuously differentiable on the big cone is proven by Lazarsfeld and Mustata over an algebraically closed field of any characteristic in Remark 4.2.7 [23].

In Example 2.7 [12], it is shown that vol is not twice differentiable on the big cone of the blow up of \mathbb{P}^2 at a rational point.

Theorem 5.6. *Suppose that X is a complete d -dimensional variety over a field k . Then the volume function is \mathcal{C}^1 differentiable on the big cone of $N^1(X)$. If $\alpha \in N^1(X)$ is big and $\gamma \in N^1(X)$ is arbitrary, then*

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \text{vol}(\alpha + t\gamma) = d \langle \alpha^{d-1} \rangle (\gamma).$$

Proof. Fix a nef and sufficiently big class $\omega \in M^1(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\alpha \leq \omega$ and $\omega \pm \gamma$ are nef. Suppose that $\beta \leq \alpha$ is nef. Then certainly $\beta \leq \omega$, so it follows from Corollary 5.5 that

$$\text{vol}(\alpha + t\gamma) \geq \text{vol}(\beta + t\gamma) \geq (\beta^d) + dt(\beta^{d-1} \cdot \gamma) - Ct^2$$

for every $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and some constant C which only depends on (ω^d) . By Lemma 4.6 we thus have that

$$(13) \quad \text{vol}(\alpha + t\gamma) \geq \text{vol}(\alpha) + dt \langle \alpha^{d-1} \rangle (\gamma) - Ct^2$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and in fact for $-1 \leq t \leq 1$, since the identity holds with γ replaced by $-\gamma$. There exists a possibly larger constant C' , depending only on (ω^d) , such that (13) holds for all big $\tilde{\alpha}$ such that $\tilde{\alpha} \leq 2\omega$ and $-1 \leq t \leq 1$; that is,

$$\text{vol}(\tilde{\alpha} - t\gamma) \geq \text{vol}(\tilde{\alpha}) - dt \langle \tilde{\alpha}^{d-1} \rangle (\gamma) - C't^2$$

for $-1 \leq t \leq 1$. Since $\alpha = (\alpha + t\gamma) - t\gamma$ with $\alpha + t\gamma \leq 2\omega$, we have that

$$(14) \quad \text{vol}(\alpha) \geq \text{vol}(\alpha + t\gamma) - dt \langle (\alpha + t\gamma)^{d-1} \rangle (\gamma) - C't^2$$

for $-1 \leq t \leq 1$. By (2), there exists $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that $\alpha + t\gamma$ is big for $-\lambda < t < \lambda$. The map $t \mapsto \langle (\alpha + t\gamma)^{d-1} \rangle (\gamma)$ is a continuous map from the interval $(-\lambda, \lambda)$ in \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} , as it can be factored by continuous maps

$$(-\lambda, \lambda) \rightarrow \text{Big}(X)^{d-1} \xrightarrow{\rho_X} \text{Big}^{d-1}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} L^1(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\pi_X} L^1(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{R}.$$

We thus have that

$$(15) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \langle (\alpha + t\gamma)^{d-1} \rangle (\gamma) = \langle \alpha^{d-1} \rangle (\gamma).$$

From (13), (14) and (15) we obtain the limit of the conclusions of the theorem.

For fixed γ , the map $\langle \alpha^{d-1} \rangle (\gamma) : \text{Big}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a composition of continuous maps so vol is \mathcal{C}^1 on $\text{Big}(X)$. □

6. INEQUALITIES

In this section we suppose that X is a complete d -dimensional variety over a field k .

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in N^1(X)$ are nef. Then for every $1 \leq p \leq d$, we have*

$$(16) \quad (\alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_d) \geq (\alpha_1^p \cdot \alpha_{p+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_d)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdots (\alpha_p^p \cdot \alpha_{p+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_d)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In particular,

$$(17) \quad (\alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_d) \geq (\alpha_1^d)^{\frac{1}{d}} \cdots (\alpha_d^d)^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

Proof. This is proved over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero in Variant 1.6.2 of [22] (which is true in positive characteristic by Remark 1.6.5 [22]). Lazarsfeld refers to Beltrametti and Sommese [1] and Ein and Fulton [15] for the idea of the proof.

The proof generalizes with small modification to an arbitrary field. A part that requires a little care over a nonclosed field is the proof of the ‘‘Generalized inequality of Hodge type’’ (Theorem 1.6.1 [22]). We may assume that k is an infinite field, by making a base change by a rational function field $k(t)$ if necessary. As in the proof in [22], we reduce to the case where $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d$ are ample on a projective variety, and establish the theorem by induction on d . For the case $d = 2$, we resolve the singularities of X ([24] or [6]) and then apply the Hodge index theorem (Theorem 1.9 [18] or Theorem B.27 [21]). Theorem 1.9 [18] is proven with the assumption that k is algebraically closed, but the proof is valid over an arbitrary field, using Lemma B.28 [21] instead of Corollary 1.8 [18]. The assumption that S is geometrically irreducible in the statement of Theorem B.27 [21] is not necessary. The proof is valid without extending the ground field to the algebraic closure.

Finally, to reach the equality (1.24) of [22], and to achieve variant 1.6.2 [22], we must invoke a Bertini theorem which is valid over an infinite field, Theorem 2.2. □

Remark 6.2. *The conclusions of Theorem 6.1 are true for nef line bundles on an irreducible (but possibly not reduced) proper scheme X over a field k (as is proven in [22] when k is algebraically closed). There exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $X = rX_{\text{red}}$ (as a cycle).*

$$(\alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_d) = (\alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_d \cdot X) = r(\alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_d \cdot X_{\text{red}})$$

so the inequality holds from the integral case (and the fact that $(r^{\frac{1}{p}})^p = r$).

However, the inequality in Theorem 6.1 fails if X is not integral, even for ample divisors. The following is a simple example. Let X be the disjoint union of X_1 and X_2 where each X_i is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 . Define line bundles α_1 and α_2 on X by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1|_{X_1} &= \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(n) \text{ and } \alpha_1|_{X_2} = \mathcal{O}_{X_2}(1), \\ \alpha_2|_{X_1} &= \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(1) \text{ and } \alpha_2|_{X_2} = \mathcal{O}_{X_2}(n). \end{aligned}$$

α_1 and α_2 are both ample on X . Since $(\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2) = 2n$ and $(\alpha_1^2) = (\alpha_2^2) = n^2 + 1$, the inequality fails for $n \geq 2$.

Using the method of Example 5.5 [7], we can find a connected (but not integral) example where the inequality fails, essentially by joining X_1 and X_2 at a point.

As a corollary, we obtain (Teissier, [29], [30], and Example 1.6.4 [22])

Corollary 6.3. *(Khovanskii Teissier inequalities) Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in N^1(X)$ are nef on X . Let $s_i = (\alpha^i \cdot \beta^{d-i})$. Then*

$$(18) \quad s_i^2 \geq s_{i-1}s_{i+1}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$,

$$(19) \quad s_i^d \geq s_0^{d-i} s_d^i$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$, and

$$(20) \quad ((\alpha + \beta)^d)^{\frac{1}{d}} \geq (\alpha^d)^{\frac{1}{d}} + (\beta^d)^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

Proof. to obtain (18), Apply (16) with $p = 2$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, $\alpha_2 = \beta$, $\alpha_j = \alpha$ for $3 \leq j \leq i+1$ and $\alpha_j = \beta$ for $i+2 \leq j \leq d$. To obtain (19), apply (17) with

$$\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_i = \alpha \text{ and } \alpha_{i+1} = \dots = \alpha_d = \beta.$$

Finally, to obtain (20), expand

$$(21) \quad ((\alpha + \beta)^d) = \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{d}{i} s_i \geq \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{d}{i} (\alpha^d)^{\frac{i}{d}} (\beta^d)^{\frac{d-i}{d}} = ((\alpha^d)^{\frac{1}{d}} + (\beta^d)^{\frac{1}{d}})^d.$$

□

The corollary tells us that the sequence $\log s_0, \log s_1, \dots, \log s_d$ is concave; that is,

$$\log s_i \geq \frac{1}{2}(\log s_{i-1} + \log s_{i+1})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.

The sequence $\log s_0, \dots, \log s_d$ is affine if there exist constants a and b such that

$$\log s_i = ai + b$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$. This condition holds if and only if

$$\log s_i = \frac{1}{2}(\log s_{i-1} + \log s_{i+1})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.

Lemma 6.4. *Suppose that $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_d \in N^1(X)$ are nef and big. Then $(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{L}_d) > 0$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Nef}(X)$ is the closure of $\text{Amp}(X)$, we have that $(\mathcal{M}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{M}_p \cdot V) \geq 0$ whenever $\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_p$ are nef and V is a closed p -dimensional subvariety of X .

Let H be a very ample line bundle on X . Since the \mathcal{L}_i are big, there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and effective classes E_i such that $\mathcal{L}_i = \varepsilon H + E_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. We then compute using the multilinearity of the intersection product and Propositions I.2.4 and I.2.5 [20] that

$$(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{L}_d) \geq \varepsilon^d (H^d) > 0.$$

□

We remark that if $\alpha \in N^1(X)$ is nef, then α is big if and only if $(\alpha^d) > 0$.

Proposition 6.5. *Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in N^1(X)$ are nef with $(\alpha^d) > 0, (\beta^d) > 0$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- 1) $s_i^2 = s_{i-1}s_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$
- 2) $s_i^d = s_0^{d-i} s_d^i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$
- 3) $s_{d-1}^d = s_0 s_d^{d-1}$
- 4) $(\alpha + \beta)^d)^{\frac{1}{d}} = (\alpha^d)^{\frac{1}{d}} + (\beta^d)^{\frac{1}{d}}$.

Proof. All $s_i > 0$ by Lemma 6.4. We first establish the equivalence of 1) and 3). From (18), we obtain

$$\frac{s_{d-1}}{s_0} = \left(\frac{s_{d-1}}{s_{d-2}}\right) \left(\frac{s_{d-2}}{s_{d-3}}\right) \dots \left(\frac{s_1}{s_0}\right) \geq \left(\frac{s_d}{s_{d-1}}\right)^{d-1}.$$

We have equality of the left and right hand terms if and only if $s_{d-1}^d = s_0 s_d^{d-1}$ and if and only if all of the inequalities of (18) are equalities.

From the inequalities

$$\left(\frac{s_i}{s_{i-1}}\right)^{d-i} \dots \left(\frac{s_1}{s_0}\right)^{d-i} \geq \left(\frac{s_d}{s_{d-1}}\right)^i \dots \left(\frac{s_{i+1}}{s_i}\right)^i$$

we obtain the equivalence of 2) and 1). We obtain the equivalence of 2) and 4) from (21). □

Theorem 6.6. *Suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in \text{Psef}(\mathcal{X})$. Then for every $1 \leq p \leq d$, we have*

$$\langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_d \rangle \geq \langle \alpha_1^p \cdot \alpha_{p+1} \cdots \alpha_d \rangle^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdots \langle \alpha_p^p \cdot \alpha_{p+1} \cdots \alpha_d \rangle^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In particular,

$$\langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_d \rangle \geq \langle \alpha_1^d \rangle^{\frac{1}{d}} \cdots \langle \alpha_d^d \rangle^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

Proof. First suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ are big. $L^0(\mathcal{X}) = \mathbb{R}$ has the Euclidean topology. Let

$$S = \left\{ (\beta_1 \cdots \beta_d) \mid \begin{array}{l} \beta_i \in M^1(\mathcal{X}) \text{ is nef for } 1 \leq i \leq d \\ \text{and } D_i = \beta_i - \alpha_i \text{ is an effective } \mathbb{Q}\text{-Cartier divisor} \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$S_i = \left\{ (\beta_i^p \cdot \beta_{p+1} \cdots \beta_d) \mid \begin{array}{l} \beta \in M^1(\mathcal{X}) \text{ is nef and } D_j = \beta_j - \alpha_j \\ \text{is an effective } \mathbb{Q}\text{-Cartier divisor for } j \in \{i, p+1, \dots, d\} \end{array} \right\}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq p$. The sets S and S_i are nets and $\langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_d \rangle, \langle \alpha_i^p \cdot \alpha_{p+1} \cdots \alpha_d \rangle$ are their respective limit points by Proposition 4.3. Thus given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d \in \text{Nef}(\mathcal{X})$ such that

$$|\langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_d \rangle - (\beta_1 \cdots \beta_d)| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$|\langle \alpha_i^p \cdot \alpha_{p+1} \cdots \alpha_d \rangle - (\beta_i^p \cdot \beta_{p+1} \cdots \beta_d)| < \varepsilon$$

for $1 \leq i \leq p$ by Lemma 4.6. We have that

$$(\beta_1 \cdots \beta_d) \geq (\beta_1^p \cdot \beta_{p+1} \cdots \beta_d)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdots (\beta_p^p \cdot \beta_{p+1} \cdots \beta_d)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

by Theorem 6.1. Letting ε go to zero, we obtain the conclusions of the theorem.

Finally, the case when $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ are pseudoeffective follows from the big case, Definition 4.8, and continuity of the function $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow L^0(\mathcal{X}) = \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} t &\mapsto \langle (\alpha_1 + t\omega) \cdots (\alpha_d + t\omega) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle (\alpha_1 + t\omega)^p \cdot (\alpha_{p+1} + t\omega) \cdots (\alpha_d + t\omega) \rangle^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots \langle (\alpha_p + t\omega)^p \cdot (\alpha_{p+1} + t\omega) \cdots (\alpha_d + t\omega) \rangle^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

where ω is a fixed big class. □

Theorem 6.7. *Suppose that $\alpha_{p+1}, \dots, \alpha_d \in \text{Psef}(\mathcal{X})$. Then the function*

$$\alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha^p \cdot \alpha_{p+1} \cdots \alpha_d \rangle^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is homogeneous of degree 1 and concave on $\text{Psef}(\mathcal{X})$. In particular, the function

$$\alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha^d \rangle^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

has this property.

Proof. Homogeneity follows from Lemma 4.13. We will establish that the function is concave. Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Psef}(\mathcal{X})$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (t\alpha + (1-t)\beta)^p \cdot \alpha_{p+1} \cdots \alpha_d \rangle \\ &\geq \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} \langle (t\alpha)^i \cdot ((1-t)\beta)^{p-i} \cdot \alpha_{p+1} \cdots \alpha_d \rangle \\ &\geq \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} \langle (t\alpha)^p \cdot \alpha_{p+1} \cdots \alpha_d \rangle^{\frac{i}{p}} \langle ((1-t)\beta)^p \cdot \alpha_{p+1} \cdots \alpha_d \rangle^{\frac{p-i}{p}} \\ &= (t \langle \alpha^p \cdot \alpha_{p+1} \cdots \alpha_d \rangle^{\frac{1}{p}} + (1-t) \langle \beta^p \cdot \alpha_{p+1} \cdots \alpha_d \rangle^{\frac{1}{p}})^p. \end{aligned}$$

The second line follows from super additivity in Lemma 4.13, and the third line follows from Theorem 6.6. □

Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in N^1(X)$ are big classes. There exists $t > 0$ such that $\alpha - t\beta$ is big. When X is projective, this follows from (2). Otherwise, let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a birational morphism where Y is a nonsingular projective variety. There exists $t > 0$ such that $f^*(\alpha) - tf^*(\beta)$ is big. Thus $\alpha - t\beta$ is big by (8).

Definition 6.8. *The slope of β with respect to α is*

$$s = s(\alpha, \beta) = \sup\{t > 0 \mid \alpha \geq t\beta\}.$$

Since $\text{Psef}(X)$ is closed and $\text{Big}(X)$ is open, we have that $\alpha \geq s\beta$ and $\alpha - t\beta$ is big for $t < s$. We have that $\alpha = \beta$ if and only if $s(\alpha, \beta) = s(\beta, \alpha) = 1$.

Theorem 6.9 is proven in Theorem F of [4] when k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero.

Theorem 6.9. *(Diskant's inequality) Suppose that X is a complete variety of dimension d over a field k , $\alpha, \beta \in N^1(X)$ are nef with $(\alpha^d) > 0$, $(\beta^d) > 0$ and $s = s(\alpha, \beta)$ is the slope of β with respect to α . Then*

$$(\alpha^{d-1} \cdot \beta)^{\frac{d}{d-1}} - (\alpha^d)(\beta^d)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} \geq ((\alpha^{d-1} \cdot \beta)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} - s(\beta^d)^{\frac{1}{d-1}})^d.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_t = \alpha - t\beta$ for $t \geq 0$. By the definition of the slope $s = s(\alpha, \beta)$, and since bigness is an open condition, we have that α_t is big if and only if $t < s$. By Theorem 5.6, $f(t) = \text{vol}(\alpha_t)$ is differentiable for $t < s$, with $f'(t) = -d < \alpha_t^{d-1} > (\beta)$. We have that $f(0) = (\alpha^d)$ and $f(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow s$ by continuity of vol , so we have that

$$(\alpha^d) = d \int_{t=0}^s < \alpha_t^{d-1} > (\beta) dt.$$

From concavity in Theorem 6.7, we have the following formula. Suppose that $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ are in $\text{Psef}(\mathcal{X})$ and $0 \leq u \leq 1$. Then

$$(22) \quad < (u\bar{\alpha} + (1-u)\bar{\beta})^{d-1} \cdot \beta >^{\frac{1}{d-1}} \geq u < \bar{\alpha}^{d-1} \cdot \beta >^{\frac{1}{d-1}} + (1-u) < \bar{\beta}^{d-1} \cdot \beta >^{\frac{1}{d-1}}.$$

For $0 \leq t \leq s$, set

$$u = \frac{t}{2s}, \quad \bar{\alpha} = 2s\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\beta} = \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{t}{2s})} \alpha_t.$$

Substituting into (22), we obtain

$$< \alpha^{d-1} \cdot \beta >^{\frac{1}{d-1}} \geq t < \beta^d >^{\frac{1}{d-1}} + < \alpha_t^{d-1} \cdot \beta >^{\frac{1}{d-1}}.$$

Let S be the set of Proposition 4.3 used to compute $< \alpha_t^{d-1} >$ and S' be the set of Proposition 4.3 used to compute $< \alpha_t^{d-1} \cdot \beta >$. Since β is nef,

$$S' = \{z \cdot \beta \mid z \in S\}.$$

By Lemma 4.6,

$$< \alpha_t^{d-1} > (\beta) = < \alpha_t^{d-1} \cdot \beta >.$$

We thus have

$$(< \alpha_t^{d-1} > (\beta))^{\frac{1}{d-1}} + t(\beta^d)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} \leq (\alpha^{d-1} \cdot \beta)^{\frac{1}{d-1}},$$

by Proposition 4.11. We obtain

$$(\alpha^d) \leq d \int_{t=0}^s (\alpha^{d-1} \cdot \beta)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} - t(\beta^d)^{\frac{1}{d-1}})^{d-1} dt,$$

and the result follows since

$$\frac{d}{dt} ((\alpha^{d-1} \cdot \beta)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} - t(\beta^d)^{\frac{1}{d-1}})^d = -d(\beta^d)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} ((\alpha^{d-1} \cdot \beta)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} - t(\beta^d)^{\frac{1}{d-1}})^{d-1}.$$

□

In [29], Teissier defines the inradius of α with respect to β as

$$r(\alpha; \beta) = s(\alpha, \beta)$$

and the outradius of α with respect to β as

$$R(\alpha; \beta) = \frac{1}{s(\beta, \alpha)}.$$

As pointed out in [4], The Diskant inequality 6.9 answers Problem B in [29]. In the following theorem, we write out explicitly the bounds asked for in Problem B [29].

Theorem 6.10. *Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in N^1(X)$ are nef with $(\alpha^d) > 0$, $(\beta^d) > 0$ on a complete variety X of dimension d over a field k . Then*

$$(23) \quad \frac{s_{d-1}^{\frac{1}{d-1}} - (s_{d-1}^{\frac{d}{d-1}} - s_0^{\frac{1}{d-1}} s_d)^{\frac{1}{d}}}{s_0^{\frac{1}{d-1}}} \leq r(\alpha; \beta) \leq \frac{s_d}{s_{d-1}}$$

Proof. Let $s = s(\alpha, \beta) = r(\alpha, \beta)$. Since $\alpha \geq s\beta$ and α, β are nef, we have that $(\alpha^d) \geq s(\beta \cdot \alpha^{d-1})$ by Proposition 3.12. This gives us the upper bound. We also have that

$$(24) \quad (\alpha^{d-1} \cdot \beta)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} - s(\beta^d)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} \geq 0.$$

We obtain the lower bound from Theorem 6.9 (using (24) and the inequality $s_{d-1}^d \geq s_0 s_d^{d-1}$ to ensure that the bound is a positive real number). □

Theorem 6.11. *Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in N^1(X)$ are nef with $(\alpha^d) > 0$, $(\beta^d) > 0$ on a complete variety X of dimension d over a field k . Then*

$$(25) \quad \frac{s_{d-1}^{\frac{1}{d-1}} - (s_{d-1}^{\frac{d}{d-1}} - s_0^{\frac{1}{d-1}} s_d)^{\frac{1}{d}}}{s_0^{\frac{1}{d-1}}} \leq r(\alpha; \beta) \leq \frac{s_d}{s_{d-1}} \leq \frac{s_1}{s_0} \leq R(\alpha; \beta) \leq \frac{s_d^{\frac{1}{d-1}}}{s_1^{\frac{1}{d-1}} - (s_1^{\frac{1}{d-1}} - s_d^{\frac{1}{d-1}} s_0)^{\frac{1}{d}}}$$

Proof. By Theorem 6.10, we have that

$$\frac{s_1^{\frac{1}{d-1}} - (s_1^{\frac{d}{d-1}} - s_d^{\frac{1}{d-1}} s_0)^{\frac{1}{d}}}{s_d^{\frac{1}{d-1}}} \leq s(\beta, \alpha) \leq \frac{s_0}{s_1}.$$

The theorem now follows from the fact that $R(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{s(\beta, \alpha)}$, (18) and Theorem 6.10. □

The bounds of Theorems 6.10 and 6.11 are exactly those obtained by Teissier in Proposition 3.2 [29] when X is an integral projective surface and α, β are line bundles on X with $(\alpha^2) > 0$, $(\beta^2) > 0$ and with $\alpha + \beta$ is ample. His s_i is our s_{d-i} .

We immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 6.12. *(Bonnesen's inequality) Suppose that X is a complete surface over a field k , and that $\alpha, \beta \in N^1(X)$ are nef with $(\alpha^2) > 0$, $(\beta^2) > 0$. Then*

$$\frac{s_0^2}{4} (R(\alpha; \beta) - r(\alpha; \beta))^2 \leq s_1^2 - s_0 s_2$$

Corollary 6.12 is obtained by Teissier in [29] when X is an integral projective surface and α, β are line bundles on X with $(\alpha^2) > 0$, $(\beta^2) > 0$ and with $\alpha + \beta$ is ample. Again, his s_i is our s_{d-i} .

The following theorem is proven in Theorem D of [4] when k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

Theorem 6.13. *Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in N^1(X)$ are nef with $(\alpha^d) > 0$, $(\beta^d) > 0$ on a complete variety X of dimension d over a field k . Then the following are equivalent:*

- i) α and β satisfy the equivalent conditions of Proposition 6.5
- ii) α and β are proportional in $N^1(X)$.

Proof. Suppose that α and β satisfy the equivalent conditions of Proposition 6.5. Since the intersection products are homogeneous, we can assume that $(\alpha^d) = (\beta^d) = 1$. Then $s_i = 1$ for all i . Theorem 6.11 then implies that $r(\alpha; \beta) = R(\alpha; \beta) = 1$. Thus $s(\alpha, \beta) = s(\beta, \alpha) = 1$ and so $\alpha = \beta$. \square

Teissier observes in [29] that Theorem 6.13 is proven in some cases for surfaces in Exposé XIII of [3].

Remark 6.14. *The conclusions of Theorem 6.13 do not hold if α and β are only nef and not big. A simple example is obtained by letting W be any $(d-1)$ -dimensional projective variety with $\dim N^1(W) > 1$ and letting $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ be very ample Cartier divisors which are not proportional in $N^1(W)$. Let $X = W \times_k \mathbb{P}^1$ with projection $\pi : X \rightarrow W$. Let $\alpha = \pi^*(\bar{\alpha})$ and $\beta = \pi^*(\bar{\beta})$. Then*

$$s_i = (\alpha^i \cdot \beta^{d-i}) = 0$$

for all i (by Propositions I.2.1 and I.2.6 [20]), but α and β are not proportional.

Theorem 6.13 does hold if X is an integral (possibly not reduced) proper scheme over a field k and $\alpha|_{X_{\text{red}}}$ and $\beta|_{X_{\text{red}}}$ are big, since the theorem holds on the variety D_{red} , and X is a multiple of X_{red} as a cycle.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Beltrametti and A. Sommese, The adjunction theory of complex projective varieties, Walter de Gruyter and Co., Berlin, 1995.
- [2] T. Bonnesen, Les problèmes des isopérimètres et des isépiphanes, p. 175, Gauthier-Villars, 1929.
- [3] Berthelot, Grothendieck, Illusie, SGA 6, Springer Lecture Notes on Mathematics 225.
- [4] S. Bouksom, C. Favre, M. Jonsson, Differentiability of volumes of divisors and a problem of Teissier, Journal of Algebraic Geometry 18 (2009), 279 - 308.
- [5] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, Cohen Macaulay Rings, Oxford Univ. Press, 1993
- [6] V. Cossart, U. Jannsen and S. Saito, Canonical embedded and non-embedded resolution of singularities for excellent two-dimensional schemes, arXiv:0905.2191
- [7] S.D. Cutkosky, The asymptotic growth of graded linear series on arbitrary projective schemes, arXiv:1212.6186
- [8] S.D. Cutkosky, Multiplicities of graded families of linear series and ideals, arXiv:1301:5613
- [9] A. J. de Jong, Smoothness, semistability and alterations, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 83 (1996).
- [10] J. Dugundji, Topology, Prentice Hall, 1966.
- [11] V. Diskant, A generalization of Bonnesen's inequalities, Soviet Math. Dokl. 14 (1973), 1728-1731.
- [12] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, M. Mustata, Nakamaye and M. Popa, Asymptotic invariants of line bundles, Pure Appl. Math. Q. 1 (2005), 379-403.
- [13] H. Flenner, L. O'Carroll, W. Vogel, Joins and Intersections, Springer, 1999.
- [14] T. Fujita, Semipositive line bundles, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 30 (1983), 353-378.

- [15] T. Fujita, Approximating Zariski decomposition of big line bundles, Kodai Math. J. 17 (1994), 1-3.
- [16] W. Fulton, Intersection Theory, Springer Verlag, 1984.
- [17] W. Fulton, Introduction to toric varieties, Annals of Math. Studies vol 131, Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.
- [18] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Springer, 1977.
- [19] N. Jacobson, Basic Algebra I, Freeman, 1985.
- [20] S. Kleiman, Toward a numerical theory of ampleness, Annals of Math. 84 (1966), 293 - 344.
- [21] S. Kleiman, The Picard Scheme, Fundamental algebraic geometry, 235-321, Math. Surveys Monogr., 123 Amer. Math. Soc., 2005.
- [22] R. Lazarsfeld, Positivity in Algebraic Geometry, I and II, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vols 48 and 49, Springer Verlag, Berlin 2004.
- [23] R. Lazarsfeld and M. Mustata, Convex bodies associated to linear series, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super 42 (2009) 783 - 835.
- [24] J. Lipman, Desingularization of two-dimensional schemes, Ann. Math. 107 (1978) 151-207.
- [25] J. Lipman, Rational singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 36 (1969) 195-279.
- [26] J. Munkres, Topology, a first course, Prentice-Hall, 1975.
- [27] E. Snapper, Polynomials associated with divisors, J. Math. and Mech., 9 (1960), 123 - 129.
- [28] S. Takagi, Fujita's approximation theorem in positive characteristics, J. Math. Kyoto Univ, 47 (2007), 179 - 202.
- [29] B. Teissier, Bonnessen-type inequalities in algebraic geometry, I. Introduction to the problem, in Seminar on Differential Geometry, 85 - 105, Ann. Math. Studies 102, Princeton Univ. Press, 1982.
- [30] B. Teissier, Sur une inégalité à la Minkowski pour les multiplicités, Ann. Math. 106 (1977), 38-44.
- [31] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, Commutative Algebra Vol II, Van Nostrand, 1960.

STEVEN DALE CUTKOSKY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA

E-mail address: cutkoskys@missouri.edu