

THE C^0 GENERAL DENSITY THEOREM FOR GEODESIC FLOWS

MÁRIO BESSA AND MARIA JOANA TORRES

ABSTRACT. Given a closed Riemannian manifold, we prove the C^0 -general density theorem for continuous geodesic flows. More precisely, that there exists a residual (in the C^0 -sense) subset of the continuous geodesic flows such that, in that residual subset, the geodesic flow exhibits dense closed orbits.

MSC 2000: primary 28D05; 54H20; secondary 37B20.

Keywords: Periodic points, topological dynamics, closing lemma.

1. INTRODUCTION: BASIC DEFINITIONS AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

1.1. The geodesic flow and mechanic Hamiltonians. A Riemannian manifold (M, g) is a C^∞ -manifold with an Euclidean inner product g_x in each $T_x M$ which varies smoothly with respect to $x \in M$. So a Riemannian metric is a smooth section $g_M \rightarrow \text{Symm}_2^+(TM)$, where $\text{Symm}_2^+(TM)$ is the set of positive bilinear and symmetric forms in TM . Pick a Riemannian metric on TM and denote by $d_{TM}(\cdot, \cdot)$ the geodesic distance associated to it on TM . Note that since all Riemannian metrics are Lipschitz equivalent on compact subsets, the choice of the metric on TM is not important.

The geodesic flow of the metric g of class C^2 is the flow on TM defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_g^t : TM &\longrightarrow TM \\ (x, v) &\longmapsto (\gamma_{x,v}^g(t), \dot{\gamma}_{x,v}^g(t)), \end{aligned}$$

where, $\gamma_{x,v}^g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ denotes the geodesic starting at x with initial velocity v , $x \in M$, $v \in T_x M$. Since the speed of the geodesic is constant, we can consider the flow restricted to $UT(M) := \{(x, v) \in TM : g_x(v, v) = 1\}$.

Clearly, the orbit of a point $(x, v) \in UT(M)$ consists of the tangent vectors to the geodesic defined by (x, v) and periodic orbits for the geodesic flow correspond to closed geodesics on M . We write $Per(\phi_g^t) \subset UT(M)$ for the set of periodic orbits. Recall that $(x, v) \in UT(M)$ belongs to the *nonwandering set* of ϕ_g^t , denoted by $\Omega(\phi_g^t)$, if for every neighborhood V of (x, v) there exists $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\phi_g^{t_n}(V) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. We say that $(x, v) \in UT(M)$ is a ϕ_g^t -*recurrent point*, and we denote this set by $R(\phi_g^t)$, if given any neighborhood V of (x, v) , there exists t_n such that $\phi_g^{t_n}((x, v)) \in V$. We have $R(\phi_g^t) \subset \Omega(\phi_g^t)$. Along this paper we are going to consider that M is closed and with dimension ≥ 2 . We observe that the geodesic flow keeps the Liouville volume invariant. Thus, Poincaré's recurrence theorem (see e.g. [10]) asserts that Lebesgue almost every point is recurrent. Therefore, we conclude that Lebesgue almost every point is nonwandering and so $UT(M) = \Omega(\phi_g^t)$.

The geodesic flow is a subclass of the Hamiltonian flows. Actually, for the metric g on M we have the associated Hamiltonian defined in the cotangent bundle T^*M endowed with the canonical symplectic form by:

$$\begin{aligned} H : T^*M &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (x, p) &\longmapsto \frac{1}{2}(\|p\|_x^*)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|^*$ stands for the dual norm on the cotangent bundle. Usually, we call H the *mechanical Hamiltonian* because it is given only by the kinetic energy and free of potential.

1.2. Statement of the result and some history. Let $\mathcal{R}^k(M)$ denote the set of C^k Riemannian metrics in M . For $\ell \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ we can endow $\mathcal{R}^k(M)$ with the C^ℓ -topology. A property in $\mathcal{R}^k(M)$, endowed with the C^ℓ topology is said to be C^ℓ -generic if it holds in a C^ℓ -residual subset of $\mathcal{R}^k(M)$. In particular, since when $\ell = k$ the set $\mathcal{R}^k(M)$ is a Baire space, by Baire's Category theorem (see [12]) a C^k -residual subset of $\mathcal{R}^k(M)$ is C^k -dense in $\mathcal{R}^k(M)$. Consequently, a C^k -residual subset of $\mathcal{R}^k(M)$ is C^ℓ -dense in $\mathcal{R}^k(M)$, for any $\ell \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Let $C^0([a, b] \times UT(M), UT(M))$ stands for the set of continuous maps from $[a, b] \times UT(M)$ into $UT(M)$. We say that ϕ is a C^0 flow on the unit tangent bundle $UT(M)$ if $\phi: \mathbb{R} \times UT(M) \rightarrow UT(M)$ is such that $\phi(t, \cdot)$ is a homeomorphism and $\phi(\cdot, (x, v))$ is a C^1 curve on $UT(M)$. As usually we denote a flow by ϕ^t . We are interested in volume-preserving flows, i.e., the Lebesgue measure is ϕ^t -invariant. We let $\mathcal{F}^0(UT(M))$ be the set of volume-preserving C^0 flows on $UT(M)$. For any $a < b$ we define the map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_{a,b}: \mathcal{F}^0(UT(M)) & \longrightarrow & C^0([a, b] \times UT(M), UT(M)) \\ \phi^t & \longmapsto & \phi^t|_{[a,b] \times UT(M)} \end{array}$$

The compact-open topology, that we shall denote by τ , is the smallest one making $\rho_{a,b}$ continuous (see [19, 8]). We endow $\mathcal{F}^0(UT(M))$ with τ . Let $\mathcal{G}^1(UT(M))$ be the set of geodesic flows associated to metrics in $\mathcal{R}^2(M)$. We define the set of *continuous geodesic flows* by the τ -closure of $\mathcal{G}^1(UT(M))$ and denote this set by $\mathcal{G}^0(UT(M)) \subset \mathcal{F}^0(UT(M))$. Observe that $(\mathcal{G}^0(UT(M)), \tau)$ is Baire ([8, §2.4]). Moreover, if $\phi_{g_1}^t, \phi_{g_2}^t \in \mathcal{G}^1(UT(M))$ and g_1 and g_2 are C^1 -close, then as a consequence of Gronwall's inequality (see e.g. [16]) we get that $\phi_{g_1}^t$ and $\phi_{g_2}^t$ are τ -close. A property in $\mathcal{F}^0(UT(M))$ is said to be τ -generic if it holds in a τ -residual subset of $\mathcal{F}^0(UT(M))$. Once again, by Baire's Category theorem, a τ -residual subset of $\mathcal{F}^0(UT(M))$ is τ -dense in $\mathcal{F}^0(UT(M))$.

Given any continuous geodesic flow $\phi \in \mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$, the definitions of the nonwandering and recurrent sets of ϕ^t can be given analogously to the ones given for a geodesic flow $\phi_g^t \in \mathcal{G}^1(UT(M))$.

Considering C^0 -closures of geodesic flows is a well-known subject and it is quite related to Gromov-Eliasberg symplectic rigidity (see e.g. [13, 15, 22]). We mention a recent result on rigidity of C^0 -geodesic flows ([14, §6]) which, in rough terms says that, if the sequence of metrics $g_n \in \mathcal{R}^2(M)$ converges in the C^0 -sense to g , then the geodesic flows associated to $g_n, \phi_{g_n}^t$, converge to the geodesic flow of g .

Given a Riemannian metric g which generates a geodesic flow ϕ_g^t , a central question in dynamical systems is to know if the periodic orbits of ϕ_g^t are dense in $\Omega(\phi_g^t)$. The aim of the present paper is to prove the celebrated Pugh's general density theorem ([18]) for continuous geodesic flows, i.e. to show that:

Theorem 1. *There exists a τ -residual subset \mathcal{G} of $\mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$ such that $UT(M) = \overline{Per(\phi^t)}$, for any $\phi^t \in \mathcal{G}$.*

We recall that Klingenberg and Takens theorem (see [11]) assures that for a dense subset of metrics on a compact manifold M we have infinitely many closed geodesics (see also [20] on more general generic assumptions). It is worth noting that on manifolds with negative curvature the geodesic flow is Anosov and so, by Anosov closing lemma (see e.g. [10]), the closed geodesics are dense in the manifold without any need of generic considerations.

The first attempt to obtain the dissipative version of Theorem 1 in the much less knotty class of homeomorphisms was in [17] by Palis, Pugh, Shub and Sullivan. However, the proof in [17] was not complete as it was observed in [7]. The complete proof is due to Hurley ([9]) using dissipative arguments. Hurley's proof uses the fact that we can create a C^0 -stable periodic *sink* by a small C^0 -perturbation and Brouwer's fixed point theorem guarantees a fixed point for every C^0 -close homeomorphism. Clearly, this strategy is meaningless for the volume-preserving setting because sinks simply do not exist. With respect to the volume-preserving context Daalderop and Fokkink proved (see [5, Proposition 4]) that the general density theorem holds for that systems. In the present paper we mainly follow the arguments in [7], adapting them to the geodesic flow framework and combine it with the recent proved closing lemma ([21]). It is interesting to remark that the general density theorem was first established in the C^1 -class by Pugh ([18]) in the late 1960's and much later by Pugh and Robinson for volume-preserving and symplectic diffeomorphisms as also for Hamiltonians (see [19]). Actually, the general density theorem is a direct consequence of the combination of the closing lemma and the stability and persistence of non-degenerated closed orbits given, for example, from the hyperbolic (and also elliptic in the conservative case) structure. This stability and persistence holds in the smooth case but sadly the notion of hyperbolicity (and ellipticity) is no longer valid in the topological context, as it is perceptively observed by Rifford in [21]: "*The Pugh C^1 -Closing Lemma has strong consequences on the structure of the flow of generic vector fields... It is worth noticing that our result is not striking enough to infer relevant properties for generic geodesic flows (for instance, the existence of an hyperbolic periodic orbit is not stable under C^0 perturbations on the dynamics)*". Fortunately, we have at hand a range of topological techniques which will allow us to reach a generic result of undeniable interest.

2. PERTURBATION RESULTS

Let ϕ_g^t be the geodesic flow of a Riemannian metric $g \in \mathcal{R}^2(M)$ acting on $UT(M)$, the unit tangent bundle of M . Let $\pi : UT(M) \rightarrow M$ be the canonical projection. Non-trivial closed geodesics on M are in one-to-one correspondence to the periodic orbits of ϕ_g^t . From now on we denote by θ_γ the representative of the closed geodesic γ by picking a single point in the orbit, say $\theta_\gamma = (x, v)$. Given a closed orbit $\gamma = \{\phi_g^t(\theta) : t \in [0, a]\}$ of period a we can define the *Poincaré map* $\mathcal{P}_g(\Sigma, \gamma)$ as follows: one can choose a local $(2 \dim(M) - 2)$ -hypersurface Σ in $UT(M)$ containing θ and transversal to γ such that there are open neighborhoods Σ_0 and Σ_a of θ in Σ and a differentiable arrival function $\delta : \Sigma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\delta(\theta) = a$ such that the map $\mathcal{P}_g(\Sigma, \gamma) : \Sigma_0 \rightarrow \Sigma_a$ given by $v \mapsto \phi_g^{\delta(v)}(v)$ is a diffeomorphism.

Given a closed geodesic $c : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M$, all iterates $c^m : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M$; $c^m(t) = c(mt)$ for a positive integer m are closed geodesics too.

A closed orbit γ (or the corresponding closed geodesic c) is called *nondegenerate* (c.f. [4]) if 1 is not an eigenvalue of the linearized Poincaré map $P_c := D_{\gamma(0)}\mathcal{P}_g(\Sigma, \gamma)$. In that case, γ is an isolated closed orbit and $\pi \circ \gamma$ is an isolated closed geodesic.

A Riemannian metric g is called *bumpy* if all the closed orbits of the geodesic flow are nondegenerate. Since $P_{c^m} = P_c^m$ this is equivalent to saying that if $\exp(2\pi i\lambda)$ is an eigenvalue of P_c , then λ is irrational. We state the bumpy metric theorem ([1, 2]):

Theorem 2.1. (*Bumpy metric theorem*) *For $2 \leq k \leq \infty$, the set of bumpy metrics of class C^k is a residual subset of $\mathcal{R}^k(M)$.*

Recently, in [21], Rifford was able to overcome a problem that was open for a long time and showed how to close an orbit of the geodesic flow by a small perturbation of the metric in the C^1 topology:

Theorem 2.2. (*C^1 -closing lemma*) *Let g be a Riemannian metric on M of class C^k with $k \geq 3$ (resp. $k = \infty$), $(x, v) \in UT(M)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be fixed. Then there exists a metric \tilde{g} of class C^{k-1} (resp. C^∞) with $\|\tilde{g} - g\|_{C^1} < \epsilon$ such that the geodesic $\gamma_{(x,v)}^{\tilde{g}}$ is periodic.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let $\phi^t \in \mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$. We define the set of *weak-periodic points* of ϕ^t by

$$WPer(\phi^t) := \{(x, v) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n, v_n) : (x_n, v_n) \in Per(\phi_n^t), \phi_n^t \in \mathcal{G}^0(UT(M)) \text{ and } \phi_n^t \xrightarrow{\tau} \phi^t\}$$

We observe that, thanks to the Poincaré recurrence theorem, the geodesic flow ϕ^t is nowandering on $UT(M)$. Let $\mathcal{G}^2(UT(M))$ be the set of geodesic flows associated to metrics in $\mathcal{R}^3(M)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{G}^2(UT(M))$ is τ -dense in $\mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$. Therefore, it follows from the C^1 -closing lemma (Theorem 2.2), and via Gronwall's inequality, that:

Lemma 3.1. *If $\phi^t \in \mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$, then $UT(M) = WPer(\phi^t)$.*

We say that a closed orbit γ of ϕ^t is *permanent* if any $\tilde{\phi}^t \in \mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$ and τ -arbitrarily close to ϕ^t has a $\tilde{\phi}^t$ -periodic orbit $\tilde{\gamma}$ near γ . Let $\mathcal{P}(\phi^t)$ denote the set of all permanent closed orbits of ϕ^t .

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a τ -residual subset \mathcal{G} of $\mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$ such that $Per(\phi^t) = \mathcal{P}(\phi^t)$, for any $\phi^t \in \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. Along this proof we borrow some argument developed in [7] together with some elementary fixed point index theory.

It follows from the bumpy metric theorem (Theorem 2.1) that there exists a C^2 -residual subset \mathcal{R}_0 of $\mathcal{R}^2(M)$, hence \mathcal{R}_0 is C^1 -dense in $\mathcal{R}^2(M)$, such that every metric g in \mathcal{R}_0 has all the closed orbits of the geodesic flow nondegenerate. As a consequence of Gronwall's inequality, there exists a τ -dense subset \mathcal{G}_0 of $\mathcal{G}^1(UT(M))$ such that every ϕ_g^t in \mathcal{G}_0 has all the closed orbits nondegenerate. Since $\mathcal{G}^1(UT(M))$ is τ -dense in $\mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$ we get that \mathcal{G}_0 is τ -dense in $\mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$.

We claim that there exists a τ -residual \mathcal{G} such that any element in it has all the closed orbits permanent. For that we take a countable base for the topology $\{\mathcal{B}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the unit tangent bundle $UT(M)$. The fixed point index will play a crucial role along the proof since, in rough terms the existence of non-null index on a set assures a fixed point (periodic orbit) in that set and, moreover, displaying non-null index persists under topological τ -perturbations.

Now we define, for every $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following τ -open subsets of $\mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$, which a priori do not cover the whole set $\mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$, in the following way:

- (1) $\phi^t \in \mathcal{F}_{i,n}$ if ϕ^t is *free* of closed orbits of period less or equal than n in $\overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$;
- (2) $\phi^t \in \mathcal{I}_{i,n}$ if there exists \mathcal{B}_j with $\text{diam}(\mathcal{B}_j) < \text{diam}(\mathcal{B}_i)$ such that for some return time $t < n$ we have $\phi^t(x, v) \neq (x, v)$ for any (x, v) in the boundary of \mathcal{B}_j and $\text{ind}(\phi^t, \mathcal{B}_j) \neq 0$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_n^{\mathcal{R}}$ be the C^1 -open and dense subset of metrics in $\mathcal{R}^2(M)$ without any closed geodesic of period exactly equal to n , and let \mathcal{O}_n be the set of geodesic flows associated with metrics in $\mathcal{O}_n^{\mathcal{R}}$.

We claim that any flow $\phi_g^t \in \mathcal{G}_0 \cap \mathcal{O}_n$ is such that $\phi_g^t \in \mathcal{F}_{i,n} \cup \mathcal{I}_{i,n}$ for all i, n . In fact, if ϕ_g^t has no closed orbit with period $\leq n$ through \mathcal{B}_i , then $\phi_g^t \in \mathcal{F}_{i,n}$, otherwise ϕ_g^t has a closed orbit γ with period $a < n$ through \mathcal{B}_i . But, since $\phi_g^t \in \mathcal{G}_0$, γ must be isolated from other closed orbits with period less than a . Therefore, we accomplish (2) and $\phi_g^t \in \mathcal{I}_{i,n}$.

We let the residual on the theorem to be defined by

$$\mathcal{G} := \bigcap_{i,n} (\mathcal{F}_{i,n} \cup \mathcal{I}_{i,n}).$$

Let us see why it works; take $\phi^t \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\gamma \in \text{Per}(\phi^t)$ of period a , then for any \mathcal{B}_i which intersects γ clearly $\phi^t \in \mathcal{I}_{i,n}$ for all $n \geq a$. As a consequence, there exists \mathcal{B}_j with $\text{diam}(\mathcal{B}_j) < \text{diam}(\mathcal{B}_i)$ and such that $\text{ind}(\phi^a, \mathcal{B}_j) \neq 0$ and moreover this property is persistent for small τ -perturbations on the original flow. In conclusion γ is permanent. \square

Let $UT(M)^\star$ be the set of compact subsets of $UT(M)$ endowed with the Hausdorff topology.

Lemma 3.3. *The map $\mathfrak{P}: \mathcal{G}^0(UT(M)) \rightarrow UT(M)^\star$, where $\mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$ is endowed with the τ -topology and $UT(M)^\star$ is endowed with the Hausdorff topology, and defined by $\mathfrak{P}(\phi^t) = \overline{\text{Per}(\phi^t)}$ is lower semicontinuous on the residual \mathcal{G} given by Lemma 3.2.*

Proof. We must prove that for any $\tilde{\phi}^t \in \mathcal{G}$, and any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood V of $\tilde{\phi}^t$ such that $\mathfrak{P}(\tilde{\phi}^t) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\epsilon(\mathfrak{P}(\phi^t))$ for all $\phi^t \in V$, or in other words there are no implosions of the number of closed orbits when we perturb $\tilde{\phi}^t$. But Lemma 3.2 says that $\text{Per}(\tilde{\phi}^t) = \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\phi}^t)$ and the proof is completed by recalling the definition of permanent closed orbit. \square

Proof. (of Theorem 1) Noting that $UT(M) = \Omega(\phi^t)$ we will prove that $\Omega(\phi^t) = \overline{\text{Per}(\phi^t)}$ for some τ -generic flow in $\mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$. From Lemma 3.3 the map $\mathfrak{P}: \mathcal{G}^0(UT(M)) \rightarrow UT(M)^\star$ defined by $\mathfrak{P}(\phi^t) = \overline{\text{Per}(\phi^t)}$ is lower semicontinuous on \mathcal{G} . It is well-known (see [6]) that the continuity points of $\mathfrak{P}|_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a residual subset $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}$, hence a residual subset of $\mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$. Let us see that if $\phi^t \in \mathcal{G}_1$ (i.e. ϕ^t is a continuity point of $\mathfrak{P}|_{\mathcal{G}}$) then $UT(M) = \overline{\text{Per}(\phi^t)}$. The non obvious inclusion is $UT(M) \subset \overline{\text{Per}(\phi^t)}$. Assume, by contradiction, that exists $(x, v) \in UT(M) \setminus \overline{\text{Per}(\phi^t)}$. By Lemma 3.1 let $\{\phi_n^t\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$ and $\{(x_n, v_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \text{Per}(\phi_n^t)$ be such that $\phi_n^t \xrightarrow{\tau} \phi^t$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n, v_n) = (x, v)$. By Lemma 3.2 there exists $\{\tilde{\phi}_n^t\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $(x_n, v_n) \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\phi}_n^t)$ and each $\tilde{\phi}_n^t$ becomes τ -arbitrarily close to ϕ_n^t .

Since $\mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$ endowed with the τ -topology is a Baire space we get that \mathcal{G} is dense in $\mathcal{G}^0(UT(M))$. Therefore, there exist $\{\bar{\phi}_n^t\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{G}$ and $\{(\bar{x}_n, \bar{v}_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \text{Per}(\bar{\phi}_n^t)$ such that

$$d_{UT(M)}((x_n, v_n), (\bar{x}_n, \bar{v}_n)) < \frac{1}{n}$$

and $\bar{\phi}_n^t$ becomes τ -arbitrarily close to $\tilde{\phi}_n^t$.

We conclude that $\bar{\phi}_n^t \xrightarrow{\tau} \phi^t$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\bar{x}_n, \bar{v}_n) = (x, v)$. Then, since ϕ^t is a continuity point of $\mathfrak{P}|_{\mathcal{G}}$ we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{P}(\bar{\phi}_n^t) = \mathfrak{P}(\phi^t)$, i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\text{Per}(\bar{\phi}_n^t)} = \overline{\text{Per}(\phi^t)}$. Finally, we observe that $(x, v) \in \overline{\text{Per}(\bar{\phi}_n^t)}$, or equivalently, $(x, v) \in \overline{\text{Per}(\phi^t)}$ which is a contradiction with the assumption that $(x, v) \in UT(M) \setminus \overline{\text{Per}(\phi^t)}$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

MB was partially supported by National Funds through FCT - “Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia”, project PEst-OE/MAT/UI0212/2011. MJT was partially supported by the Research Centre of Mathematics of the University of Minho with the Portuguese Funds from the “Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia”, through the Project PEstOE/MAT/UI0013/2014.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Abraham, *Bumpy metrics*, Global Analysis, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XIV (S.S. Chern and S. Smale, eds.), (1970), 1–3.
- [2] D.V. Anosov, *On generic properties of closed geodesics*, Math. USSR, Izv., 21, (1983), 1–29.
- [3] L. Buhovsky and S. Seyfaddini, *Uniqueness of generating Hamiltonians for topological Hamiltonian flows*, J. Symplectic Geom. 11 (1), (2013), 37–52.
- [4] G. Contreras-Barandiarán and G.P. Paternain, *Genericity of geodesic flows with positive topological entropy on S^2* , J. Differential Geom., 61 (1), (2002), 1–49.
- [5] F. Daalderop and R. Fokkink, *Chaotic homeomorphisms are generic*, Topology Appl., 102, (2000), 297–302.
- [6] M. K. Fort, *Category theorems*, Fund. Math., 42, (1955), 276–288.
- [7] E. M. Coven, J. Madden and Z. Nitecki, *A note on generic properties of continuous maps*, Ergodic theory and dynamical systems, II, 97–101, Progr. Math., 21, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1982.
- [8] M. W. Hirsch *Differential Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics - Springer, 33, 1976.
- [9] M. Hurley, *On proofs of the C^0 general density theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 124 (4), (1996), 1305–1309.
- [10] A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt, *Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [11] W. Klingenberg and F. Takens, *Generic properties of geodesic flows*, Math. Annal, 197 (1972), 4, 323–334.
- [12] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. 1, Academic Press, 1966.
- [13] S. Müller and Y-G. Oh, *The group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms and C^0 -symplectic topology*, J. Symplectic Geom. 5 (2), (2007), 167–219.
- [14] S. Müller and P. Spaeth, *Topological contact dynamics III, uniqueness of the topological hamiltonian and C^0 -rigidity of the geodesic flow*, Preprint arXiv:1305.6951v1 (2013).
- [15] Y-G. Oh, *The group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms and continuous Hamiltonian flows*. Symplectic topology and measure preserving dynamical systems, 149–177, Contemp. Math., 512, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [16] J. Palis and W. de Melo, *Geometric theory of dynamical systems. An introduction*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [17] J. Palis, C. Pugh, M. Shub and D. Sullivan, *Genericity theorems in topological dynamics*, Dynamical systems – Warwick 1974, Lecture Notes in Math., 468, 241–250, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [18] C. Pugh, *An improved closing lemma and a general density theorem*, American Jr. Math., 89 (4), (1967), 1010–1021.
- [19] C. Pugh and C. Robinson, *The C^1 closing lemma, including Hamiltonians*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., 3 (1983), 261–313.
- [20] H-B Rademacher, *On a generic property of geodesic flows*, Math. Ann. 298 (1), 1994, 101–116.
- [21] L. Rifford, *Closing geodesics in C^1 topology*, J. Differential Geom., 91 (3), (2012), 361–382.
- [22] C. Viterbo, *On the uniqueness of generating Hamiltonian for continuous limits of Hamiltonians flows*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2006), Art. ID 34028, 9 pp; Erratum to: “On the uniqueness of generating Hamiltonian for continuous limits of Hamiltonians flows”, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2006), Art. ID 38784, 4 pp.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DA BEIRA INTERIOR, RUA MARQUÊS D’ÁVILA E BOLAMA, 6201-001 COVILHÃ, PORTUGAL.

E-mail address: `bessa@ubi.pt`

CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DO MINHO E DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA E APLICAÇÕES, UNIVERSIDADE DO MINHO, CAMPUS DE GUALTAR, 4700-057 BRAGA, PORTUGAL

E-mail address: `jtorres@math.uminho.pt`