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#### Abstract

We establish the upper bound on the speed of convergence to the infinitely divisible limit density in the local limit theorem for triangular arrays of random variables $\left\{X_{k, n}, k=1, . ., a_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.
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## 1 Introduction

This paper is motivated by [KKu07], where the local limit theorem for a triangular array of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d) in each series random variables $\left\{X_{k, n}, k=1, . ., a_{n}\right\}$ is established. Staying in frames of the situation studied in [KKu07], in this note we would like make a step further and obtain the information about the speed of convergence to the limit density.

In contrast to the local limit theorem for the normal law, there is not much known even about the local limit theorem for infinitely divisible limit densities. Of course, one can refer to Gnedenko's theorem on the necessary and sufficient conditions for the convergence to the stable law, see [IL71]. Under certain conditions the uniform convergence to the limit density was proved in [KKu07], but up to the author's knowledge in the general case nothing is known about the speed of convergence.

To make the presentation self-contained, we quote below the necessary and sufficient conditions for convergence to the infinitely divisible law, see Theorem 2, Chapter XVII §2 from [Fe71].

Recall that a measure $M$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is called canonical if $M(I)<\infty$ for any finite interval, and

$$
M^{+}(x)=\int_{x}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{u^{2}} M(d u)<+\infty, \quad M^{-}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{-x} \frac{1}{u^{2}} M(d y)<+\infty, \quad x>0 .
$$

A sequence of canonical measures $\left\{M_{n}\right\}$ converges to a canonical measure properly if $M_{n}(I) \rightarrow M(I)$ for any finite interval, and $M_{n}^{+}(x) \rightarrow M^{+}(x), M_{n}^{-}(x) \rightarrow M^{-}(x)$ for every $x>0$. In this case we write $M_{n} \rightarrow M$.

[^0]Theorem 1. [Fer1] Let $\left\{X_{k, n} 1 \leq k \leq a_{n}\right\}$ be such that $X_{k, n}$ are i.i.d. for any $1 \leq k \leq a_{n}$, $a_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\left|X_{1, n}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F_{n}(d u)$ be the distribution function of $X_{1, n}$,

$$
M_{n}(d u):=a_{n} u^{2} F_{n}(d u), \quad \beta_{n}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin u F_{n}(d u)
$$

Then $S_{n}:=X_{1, n}+\ldots+X_{a_{n}, n}$ converges in distribution to some random variable $S$ if and only of

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n} \rightarrow M, \quad a_{n} \beta_{n} \rightarrow \beta, \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and some canonical measure $M$. In this case the characteristic function $\Phi(z)$ of $S$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z)=\exp \left\{i \beta z+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{i z u}-1-i z \sin u}{u^{2}} M(d u)\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z):=-i \beta z+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1-e^{i z u}+i z \sin u}{u^{2}} M(d u)=-i \beta z+\phi(z) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the characteristic exponent of the infinitely divisible variable $S$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}(z):=-i \beta_{n} z+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1-e^{i z u}+i z \sin u}{u^{2}} M_{n}(d u)=-i \beta_{n} z+\phi_{n}(z) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. Of course, one can formulate Theorem 1 with the function $\mathbb{1}_{|u| \leq 1}$ instead of $\sin u$ under the integral, but for technical convenience we need the Lévy representation (1.3).

Sometimes, especially when the convergence in Theorem 1 is that to a stable law (cf. [IL71]) it is more convenient to consider the random variables in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k, n}=\frac{\xi_{k, n}}{b_{n}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the variables $\xi_{k, n}, 1 \leq k \leq a_{n}$, are i.i.d. for each $n$, and the sequence $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies certain growth assumptions. In the paper we assume that the random variables $X_{k, n}$ are of the form (1.6). We assume that the conditions of Theorem 1 hold true, and thus $S_{n}=\frac{\xi_{1, n}+\ldots+\xi_{k, n}}{b_{n}}$ converges weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to some infinitely divisible distribution $S$. Under some conditions on the sequences $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1},\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$, and on the distribution of $\xi_{1, n}$ (cf. [KKu07]), $S_{n}$ and $S$ possess transition probability densities and the local limit theorem takes place. Taking this result as the starting point we derive in Theorem 2 (under some additional assumptions) the speed of convergence to the limit density, and illustrated our result by examples.

In order to make the presentation as transparent as possible, we write the main notation in Table 1. Finally, denote by $\hat{m}$ the symmetrization of the measure $m$, i.e. $\hat{m}(A):=\frac{m(A)+m(-A)}{2}$ for any Borel set $A \in \mathbb{R}$.

Table 1: Notation

| Variable | Char. function | Probab. measure | Probab. density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\xi_{1, n}$ | $\theta_{n}(z)$ | $G_{n}(d u)$ | $g_{n}(u)$ |
| $X_{1, n}$ | $\theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right)$ | $F_{n}(d u) \equiv G_{n}\left(b_{n} d u\right)$ | $f_{n}(u) \equiv b_{n} g_{n}\left(b_{n} u\right)$ |
| $S_{n}$ | $\Phi_{n}(z) \equiv \theta_{n}^{a_{n}}\left(z / b_{n}\right)$ | $P_{n}(d x)$ | $p_{n}(x)$ |
| $S$ | $\Phi(z)=e^{-\psi(z)}$ | $P(d x)$ | $p(x)$ |

## 2 Main result

We assume that the assumptions below hold true:
A. for any $n \geq 1$ the variable $\xi_{1, n}$ possesses the density $g_{n}(x)$;
B. $\exists \alpha \in(0,2)$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \psi(z) \geq c|z|^{\alpha}$ for $|z|$ large enough;
C. $\forall \delta>0$ we have $N(\delta):=\sup _{n \geq 1,|z| \geq \delta}\left|\theta_{n}(z)\right|<1$;
D. $\sup _{n \geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{n}^{2}(x) d x<\infty$;
E. $b_{n} \rightarrow \infty, \frac{\ln b_{n}}{a_{n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
F. for $n \geq 1$ one of the conditions below is satisfied:
a) there exists $c(\delta)>0,0<\kappa<2$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(1-\cos (z u)) \hat{F}_{n}(d u) \geq c(\delta)|z|^{\kappa} \quad \text { for all }|z| \leq \delta b_{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) $\hat{F} \leq \hat{F}_{n}$ on $\mathbb{R}$.
G. $\exists \delta>0$ such that $\inf _{n \geq 1,|z| \leq \delta}\left|\operatorname{Re} \theta_{n}(z)\right|>0$.

Remark 2. Condition A, and $\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{E}$ are taken from [KKu07]. Instead of condition $\mathbf{B}$ in [KKu07] another condition was assumed (namely, a version of the Kallenberg condition [Ka81] for the sequence of measures $\hat{M}_{n}$ ), which in fact implies $\mathbf{B}$.

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{n}^{\prime}:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|\operatorname{Re} \phi(z)-\operatorname{Re} \phi_{n}(z)\right|}{1+z^{2}}, \quad \gamma_{n}^{\prime \prime}:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|\operatorname{Im} \phi(z)-\operatorname{Im} \phi_{n}(z)\right|}{1+z^{2}},  \tag{2.2}\\
& \chi_{n}:=\left|a_{n} \beta_{n}-\beta\right|,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta_{n}$ has the same meaning as in Theorem 1.
From now we fix $\delta>0$, for which the above conditions hold true. For some fixed $0<\epsilon<1$ put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\epsilon, \delta}(n):=\max \left(\chi_{n}, \gamma_{n}^{\prime}, \gamma_{n}^{\prime \prime}, a_{n}^{-1}, e^{a_{n}(\ln N(\delta)+\epsilon)} e^{-(1-\epsilon) \operatorname{Re} \psi\left(\delta b_{n}\right)}\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N(\delta)$ is defined in $\mathbf{C}$.
Theorem 2. Suppose that conditions (1.1), (1.2), and $\boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{G}$ are satisfied. Then the distributions $S_{n}$ and $S$ possess, respectively, the densities $p_{n}(x)$ and $p(x)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|p_{n}(x)-p(x)\right| \leq C \rho_{\epsilon, \delta}(n), \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{\epsilon, \delta}(n)$ is given by (2.3).

One can simplify the expression for the speed of convergence, but at the expense of some additional assumptions on $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$. We say that a sequence $\left(c_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies condition $\mathbf{H}$, if there exist $a, b>0$ such that

$$
0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_{n}}{n^{a}} \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_{n}}{n^{b}}<\infty
$$

Corollary 1. Suppose conditions of Theorem 2 hold true, and assume in addition that the sequences $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ and $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfy $\boldsymbol{H}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|p_{n}(x)-p(x)\right| \leq C \max \left(\gamma_{n}^{\prime}, \gamma_{n}^{\prime \prime}, \chi_{n}, a_{n}^{-1}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2. Suppose that conditions $\boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{F}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ hold true, the densities $p_{n}(x)$ and $p(x)$ are symmetric, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}(z) \geq \Phi(z) \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $\left\{z:|z| \leq \delta b_{n}\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|p_{n}(x)-p(x)\right| \leq C\left(\gamma_{n}^{\prime}+r(n)\right)\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r(n)=o\left(n^{-k}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $k \geq 1$.
Remark 3. As one can expect, the oscillation of measures involved in $\gamma_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\gamma_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ can play the crucial role in the estimation of the speed of convergence. For example, it might be insufficient to know the behaviour of such a "rough estimate" for $\gamma_{n}^{\prime}$ as below:

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1 \wedge|u z|^{2}\right)\left(M_{n}(d u)-M(d u)\right)\right|}{1+z^{2}}
$$

in particular, when the densities $\left(g_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ have oscillations. Such a situation is illustrated in Example 1.

## 3 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 2. Recall that the densities $p_{n}(x)$ and $p(x)$ can be written as the inverse Fourier transforms of the respective characteristic functions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
p(x)=(2 \pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i z x} \Phi(z) d z=(2 \pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i z x-\psi(z)} d z,  \tag{3.1}\\
p_{n}(x)=(2 \pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i z x} \Phi_{n}(z) d z \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

By (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{n}: & =2 \pi \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|p_{n}(x)-p(x)\right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\Phi_{n}(z)-\Phi(z)\right| d z \\
& \leq\left(\int_{-\delta b_{n}}^{\delta b_{n}}\left|\Phi_{n}(z)-\Phi(z)\right| d z+\int_{|z|>\delta b_{n}}\left|\Phi_{n}(z)\right| d z+\int_{|z|>\delta b_{n}}|\Phi(z)| d z\right) \\
& =: I_{1}(n)+I_{2}(n)+I_{3}(n),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta>0$. We estimate the terms $I_{k}(n), k=1,2,3$, separately.
Estimation of $I_{1}$. Observe, that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|1-e^{x+i y}\right| & =\left|1+e^{2 x}-2 e^{x} \cos y\right|^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left|\left(1-e^{x}\right)^{2}+2 e^{x}(1-\cos y)\right|^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq\left|\left(1-e^{x}\right)^{2}+e^{x} y^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \leq\left|1-e^{x}\right|+e^{x / 2}|y| \\
& \leq e^{x+}(|x|+|y|),
\end{align*}
$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x_{+}:=\max (x, 0)$. Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}(z):=\psi(z)+a_{n} \ln \theta_{n}\left(\frac{z}{b_{n}}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (3.3) we get

$$
I_{1}(n) \leq \int_{-\delta b_{n}}^{\delta b_{n}} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \psi(z)+\left(\operatorname{Re} H_{n}(z)\right)+}\left(\left|\operatorname{Re} H_{n}(z)\right|+\left|\operatorname{Im} H_{n}(z)\right|\right) d z
$$

Since $\ln (1-z) \leq-z$ for $z \in(0,1)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} H_{n}(z)=\operatorname{Re} \psi(z)+a_{n} \ln \left|\theta_{n}\left(\frac{z}{b_{n}}\right)\right| \leq \operatorname{Re} \psi(z)-a_{n}\left(1-\left|\theta_{n}\left(\frac{z}{b_{n}}\right)\right|\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\theta_{n}\left(\frac{z}{b_{n}}\right)\right|=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos (z u) \hat{F}_{n}(d u)=a_{n}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\cos (z u)}{u^{2}} \hat{M}_{n}(d u) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (3.5) and $\mathbf{F}$ we have for all $n$ large enough and $|z| \leq \delta b_{n}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re} \psi(z)-\left(\operatorname{Re} H_{n}(z)\right)_{+} & \geq a_{n}\left(1-\left|\theta_{n}\left(\frac{z}{b_{n}}\right)\right|\right) \\
& =a_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(1-\cos (u z)) \hat{F}_{n}(d u)  \tag{3.7}\\
& \geq c(\delta)|z|^{\kappa},
\end{align*}
$$

if $\mathbf{F}$.a) holds true, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \psi(z)-\left(\operatorname{Re} H_{n}(z)\right)_{+}=\operatorname{Re} \psi(z) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\mathbf{F}$.b) is satisfied. On the other hand, for $z \in(0,1)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\ln z+1-z| & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-z)^{k}}{k} \leq \frac{1-z}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-z)^{k} \\
& \leq \frac{(1-z)^{2}}{2 z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by (3.6) and G we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\operatorname{Re} H_{n}(z)\right| \leq & \left|\operatorname{Re} \psi(z)-a_{n}\left(1-\left|\theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right)\right|\right)\right|+a_{n}\left|\left(1-\left|\theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right)\right|\right)-\ln \right| \theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right)| | \\
\leq & \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1-\cos (z u)) u^{-2}\left(\hat{M}(d u)-\hat{M}_{n}(d u)\right)\right| \\
& +2^{-1} a_{n}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1-\cos (z u)) \hat{F}_{n}(d u)\right)^{2} \cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos (z u) \hat{F}_{n}(d u)\right)^{-1}  \tag{3.9}\\
\leq & c_{1}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\prime}\left(1+z^{2}\right)+\left(2 a_{n}\right)^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Re} \psi_{n}(z)\right)^{2}\right) \\
\leq & c_{1}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\prime}\left(1+z^{2}\right)+\left(2 a_{n}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(1+z^{2}\right) \gamma_{n}+\left(1+z^{2}\right)\right)^{2}\right) \\
\leq & c_{2}\left(1+z^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\gamma_{n}+a_{n}^{-1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Next we estimate $\left|\operatorname{Im} H_{n}(z)\right|$. Observe that for $z=x+i y$, where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\operatorname{Im} \ln z=\operatorname{Arg} z=\arctan \frac{y}{x}
$$

and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $|\arctan x-x| \leq c_{3}|x|^{3}$, where $c_{3}>0$ is some constant. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\operatorname{Im} H_{n}(z)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Im} \psi(z)+a_{n} \operatorname{Im} \ln \theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left|-\beta z+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{z \sin u-\sin (z u)}{u^{2}} M(d u)+a_{n} \arctan \frac{\operatorname{Im} \theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right)}{\operatorname{Re} \theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right)}\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left|-\beta z+z \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin u}{u^{2}} M_{n}(d u)\right|+\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{z \sin u-\sin (z u)}{u^{2}}\left(M_{n}-M\right)(d u)\right| \\
& \quad+\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin (z u)-z \sin u}{u^{2}} M_{n}(d u)\right|\left|\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} \theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right)}-1\right| \\
& \quad+\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} z \frac{\sin u}{u^{2}} M_{n}(d u)\right|\left|\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} \theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right)}-1\right|+a_{n}\left|\arctan \frac{\operatorname{Im} \theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right)}{\operatorname{Re} \theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right)}-\frac{\operatorname{Im} \theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right)}{\operatorname{Re} \theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right)}\right| \\
& \quad \leq I_{11}+I_{12}+I_{13}+I_{14}+I_{15} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that by $M_{n} \rightarrow M$ and $a_{n} \beta_{n} \rightarrow \beta$ (cf. (1.2)) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{11}(n) \leq|z|\left|\beta-a_{n} \beta_{n}\right|=|z| \chi_{n} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $I_{12}(n)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{12}(n) \leq\left|\operatorname{Im} \phi_{n}(z)-\operatorname{Im} \phi(z)\right| \leq c_{4}\left(1+z^{2}\right) \gamma_{n}^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using G, we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{13}(n) \leq c_{5} a_{n}^{-1}\left|\operatorname{Im} \phi_{n}(z)\right| \operatorname{Re} \phi_{n}(z) \leq c_{6} a_{n}^{-1}\left(1+z^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{14}(n) \leq c_{7} \beta_{n} a_{n}^{-1}|z| \operatorname{Re} \phi_{n}(z) \leq c_{8} a_{n}^{-1}|z|\left(1+z^{2}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, for $I_{15}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{15} & \leq a_{n} c_{3}\left|\frac{\operatorname{Im} \theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right)}{\operatorname{Re} \theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right)}\right|^{3} \leq c_{9} a_{n}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin (z u) F_{n}(d u)\right|^{3} \\
& \leq c_{9} a_{n}^{-2}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin (z u)-z \sin u}{u^{2}} M_{n}(d u)+z \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin u}{u^{2}} M_{n}(d u)\right|^{3} \\
& =c_{9} a_{n}^{-2}\left|\operatorname{Im} \phi_{n}(z)-z \beta_{n}\right|^{3} \\
& \leq c_{10} a_{n}^{-2}\left(1+z^{2}\right)^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}(n) & \leq c_{11} \max \left(\kappa_{n}, \gamma_{n}^{\prime}, \gamma_{n}^{\prime \prime}, a_{n}^{-1}\right) \int_{0}^{\delta b_{n}} e^{-c(\delta) z^{\kappa}}\left(1+z^{2}\right)^{3} d z  \tag{3.15}\\
& \leq c_{12} \max \left(\kappa_{n}, \gamma_{n}^{\prime}, \gamma_{n}^{\prime \prime}, a_{n}^{-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Estimation of $I_{2}$. We have by $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(n) & =\int_{|z| \geq \delta b_{n}}\left|\theta_{n}\left(\frac{z}{b_{n}}\right)\right|^{a_{n}} d z=b_{n} \int_{|x| \geq \delta}\left|\theta_{n}(x)\right|^{a_{n}} d x \\
& \leq b_{n} N(\delta)^{a_{n}-2} \int_{|x| \geq \delta}\left|\theta_{n}(x)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq b_{n} N(\delta)^{a_{n}-2} \sup _{n \geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{n}^{2}(x) d x \\
& =c_{13} b_{n} e^{a_{n} \ln N(\delta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\ln N(\delta)+\varepsilon<0$. By $\mathbf{E}, \frac{\ln b_{n}}{a_{n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and thus without loss of generality we may assume that for all $n \geq 1$ we have $\frac{\ln b_{n}}{a_{n}} \leq \varepsilon$. Then

$$
b_{n} e^{a_{n} \ln N(\delta)} \leq e^{-a_{n}|\ln N(\delta)+\varepsilon|} .
$$

Estimation of $I_{3}$. For any $\epsilon>0$

$$
I_{3}(n) \leq c_{14} \int_{|z| \geq \delta b_{n}} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \psi(z)} d z \leq c_{15}(\epsilon) e^{-(1-\epsilon) \operatorname{Re} \psi\left(\delta b_{n}\right)}
$$

Summarizing the estimates for $I_{i}(n), i=1,2,3$, we derive $\Delta_{n} \leq C \rho_{\epsilon, \delta}(n)$.

Proof of Corollaries 1 and 2. Clearly, the proofs are obtained as slight modifications of the proof of Theorem 2. Since $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ satisfy $\mathbf{H}$, the terms $I_{2}(n)$ and $I_{3}(n)$ decay as $o\left(n^{-k}\right), n \rightarrow \infty$, for any $k \geq 0$. This implies the statement of Corollary 1. To complete the proof of Corollary 2, we need to estimate more precisely $I_{1}(n)$. Let us look closely on the properties of the function $H_{n}(z)$ from (3.4). Since both $p_{n}(x)$ and $p(x)$ are symmetric, $H_{n}(z)$ is real-valued. Further, condition (2.6) implies that $H_{n}(z) \geq 0$. Therefore, instead of (3.9) we get

$$
H_{n}(z) \leq \psi(z)+a_{n} \ln \theta_{n}\left(z / b_{n}\right) \leq \gamma_{n}^{\prime}\left(1+z^{2}\right)
$$

which implies

$$
I_{1}(n) \leq C \gamma_{n}^{\prime}
$$

## 4 Examples

Example 1. Let $\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ be i.i.d. random variables with probability density

$$
g(u)=c_{\alpha} \frac{(1-\cos u)}{|u|^{1+\alpha}}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0<\alpha<2 .
$$

Then one can check (using Theorem 1 with $a_{n}=n$ and $b_{n}=n^{1 / \alpha}$ ) that

$$
S_{n}:=\frac{\xi_{1}+. .+\xi_{n}}{n^{1 / \alpha}} \Rightarrow S
$$

where $S$ is a symmetric $\alpha$-stable distribution. In this case the respective measure $M(d u)$ in (1.3) is equal to $c_{\alpha}|u|^{1-\alpha} d u$, and after the appropriate choice of $c_{\alpha}$ we have $\psi(z)=|z|^{\alpha}$. For example, in the case $\alpha=1$ we must chose $c_{\alpha}=1 / \pi$. Clearly, conditions A, B, D and $\mathbf{G}$ are satisfied. Condition $\mathbf{C}$ is the Cramer condition (cf. [Lu79]) for the characteristic function of $\xi_{1}$, which is satisfied since the law of $\xi_{1}$ is absolutely continuous.

Let us check condition F. Consider

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1-\cos (z u)}{u^{1+\alpha}} \cos \left(n^{1 / \alpha} u\right) d u=|z|^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1-\cos u}{u^{1+\alpha}} \cos \left(n^{1 / \alpha} u / z\right) d u
$$

We need to estimate from above

$$
I(\alpha, k):=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1-\cos v}{v^{1+\alpha}} \cos (k v) d v
$$

Note that for $\alpha=1$ we have (cf. [BE69], p.28)

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(1, k)=\frac{\pi}{2}(1-|k|)_{+} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also possible to calculate $I(\alpha, k)$ for $\alpha \in(0,2) \backslash\{1\}$. Integrating by parts, we get for any $k>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(\alpha, k) & =\left.\frac{\sin k v}{k} \cdot \frac{1-\cos v}{v^{1+\alpha}}\right|_{0} ^{\infty}-\frac{1}{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sin (k v) \frac{v \sin v-(1+\alpha)(1-\cos v)}{v^{2+\alpha}} d v \\
& =-\frac{1}{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sin (k v) \frac{v \sin v-(1+\alpha)(1-\cos v)}{v^{2+\alpha}} d v
\end{aligned}
$$

The integrals

$$
I_{1}(\alpha, k):=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin (k v) \sin v}{v^{1+\alpha}} d v
$$

and

$$
I_{2}(\alpha, k):=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin (k v) \sin ^{2}(v / 2)}{v^{2+\alpha}} d v
$$

can be calculated explicitly, see [BE69], p.77-78, from where one can derive the asymptotic behaviour as $k \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}(\alpha, k)=\frac{\pi}{4} \frac{|k+1|^{\alpha}-|k-1|^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha) \sin (\pi \alpha / 2)} \sim c_{\alpha, 1}|k|^{\alpha-1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}(\alpha, k)=2^{-2} \Gamma(-1-\alpha) \cos (\pi \alpha / 2)\left[2|k|^{\alpha+1}-|k+1|^{\alpha+1}-|k-1|^{\alpha+1}\right] \sim c_{\alpha, 2}|k|^{\alpha-1} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{\alpha, 1}:=\frac{\pi}{2 \Gamma(1+\alpha) \sin (\pi \alpha / 2)}, c_{\alpha, 2}=2^{-1} \alpha(\alpha+1) \Gamma(-1-\alpha) \cos (\pi \alpha / 2)$. Thus, we have the exact expression for $I(\alpha, k)$, from which we derive

$$
I(\alpha, k) \sim c_{3, \alpha} k^{\alpha-1}, \quad k \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $c_{3, \alpha}=c_{1, \alpha}+2(\alpha+1) c_{2, \alpha}$. Finally, for $|z| \leq \delta n^{1 / \alpha}$ with $\delta>0$ is small enough,

$$
a_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(1-\cos (z u)) \hat{F}_{n}(d u)=|z|^{\alpha}\left(1-2 c_{\alpha} I\left(\alpha, \frac{n^{1 / \alpha}}{|z|}\right)\right) \geq c(\delta)|z|^{\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in(0,2)
$$

where $c(\delta)>0$ is some constant.
Let us calculate the order of convergence. From above, we have for $\alpha \in(0,2)$

$$
\left|\operatorname{Re} \psi(z)-\operatorname{Re} \psi_{n}(z)\right|=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(1-\cos (z u))}{|u|^{1+\alpha}} \cos \left(n^{1 / \alpha} u\right) d u\right| \leq \frac{C z^{2}}{n^{(2-\alpha) / \alpha}}
$$

Thus, by Corollary 1 we arrive at

$$
\rho(n) \leq \begin{cases}C n^{-1}, & 0<\alpha<1  \tag{4.4}\\ C n^{-\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}}, & 1 \leq \alpha<2\end{cases}
$$

Example 2. Suppose now that $\xi_{1, n}$ possesses the distribution density

$$
g_{n}(u):=\frac{1}{2 n u \sinh (u / n)} \mathbb{1}_{|u| \geq 1},
$$

and $a_{n}=b_{n}=n$. Conditions A, C-E were already checked in [KKu07], in particular, it was shown that $S_{n}$ converges in distribution to a hyperbolic cosine distribution $S$, i.e. the distribution density of $S$ is $p(x)=\frac{1}{\pi \cosh x}$. Since in this case

$$
a_{n} f_{n}(u)=\frac{1}{2 u \sinh u} 1_{|u| \geq \frac{1}{n}} \uparrow \frac{1}{2 u \sinh u}=: f(u) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

the function

$$
\psi(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1-\cos (u z)) f(u) d u
$$

satisfies condition $\mathbf{B}$ with $\alpha=1$. Let us check $\mathbf{F}$ for $\kappa=1$. Since for $|z| \leq 1$ we have $1-\cos z \geq(1-\cos 1) z^{2}$, then estimating $\frac{u}{\sinh u}$ from below for small $u$ by a constant we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(1-\cos (z u)) f_{n}(u) d u & \geq n(1-\cos 1) \int_{|u z| \leq 1}(z u)^{2} f_{n}(u) d u \\
& \geq(1-\cos 1)|z| \inf _{|z| \leq \delta n}|z| \int_{1 / n}^{1 /|z|} \frac{u}{\sinh u} d u \\
& \geq c_{1}|z| \inf _{|z| \leq \delta n}|z|\left(\frac{1}{|z|}-\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
& \geq c_{1}(1-\delta)|z|
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly in $\{z:|z| \leq \delta n\}$. Thus, condition $\mathbf{F}$ holds true.
It remains to check condition G. Let $|z| \leq \delta$. Since the function $r \sinh (u / r)$ is increasing in $r$, we have by dominated convergence theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\inf _{n \geq 1}\left|\theta_{n}(z)\right| & =\inf _{n \geq 1}\left|\int_{u \geq 1} \frac{\cos (z u)}{n u \sinh (u / n)} d u\right|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\theta_{n}(z)\right|=\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos (z u)}{u^{2}} d u \\
& \geq \cos 1 \int_{1}^{1 / \delta} \frac{d u}{u^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives G.
Finally, by Corollary 1 we arrive at

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|p_{n}(x)-p(x)\right| \leq \frac{C}{n}
$$
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