

1
2 **Numerical Determination of the Optimal Value**
3 **of Quantizer's Segment Threshold Using**
4 **Quadratic Spline Functions**

5
6 **Lazar Velimirović^{1*}, Zoran Perić², Miomir Stanković³, Jelena Nikolić²**

7
8 ¹*Mathematical Institute of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Belgrade, Serbia*

9 ²*Department of Telecommunications, Faculty of Electronic Engineering,*
10 *University of Niš, Serbia*

11 ³*Mathematical Department, Faculty of Occupational Safety, University of Niš, Serbia*

12
13
14
15
16 **ABSTRACT**

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

In this paper, an approximation of the optimal compressor function using the quadratic spline functions has been presented. The coefficients of the quadratic spline functions are determined by minimizing the mean-square error (MSE). Based on the obtained approximative quadratic spline functions, the design for companding quantizer for Gaussian source is done. The support region of proposed companding quantizer is divided on segments of unequal size, where the optimal value of segment threshold is numerically determined depending on maximal value of the signal to quantization noise ratio (SQNR). It is shown that by the companding quantizer proposed in this paper, the SQNR that is very close to SQNR of nonlinear optimal companding quantizer is achieved.

Keywords: Quadratic spline functions, optimal compressor function, mean square error, quantizer's segment threshold.

1. INTRODUCTION

Quantization is the nonlinear, zero-memory operation of converting a continuous signal into a discrete signal that assumes only a finite number of levels. The input to a quantizer is the original data, and the output is always one among a finite number of levels [1,2]. The quantizer is a function whose set of output values are discrete, and usually finite. Obviously, this is a process of approximation, and a good quantizer is one which represents the original signal with minimum loss or distortion.

In scalar quantization, each input symbol is treated separately in producing the output. A quantizer can be specified by its input partitions and output levels (also called reproduction levels). If the input range is divided into levels of equal spacing, then the quantizer is termed as a uniform quantizer, and if not, it is termed as a nonuniform quantizer [1,2]. Uniform quantizers are suitable for signals that have approximately uniform distribution. However, most of the signals do not have a uniform distribution; there is a need for using nonuniform quantizer.

Nonuniform quantization can be realized through the process of companding, namely by applying an optimal compressor function on input signal. By knowing optimal compressor

*Corresponding author: E-mail address: velimirovic.lazar@gmail.com

39 function, model quantizer is completely defined. However, it is shown that this model is very
40 difficult to realize [1,2]. Also, it is well known that designing nonlinear optimal companding
41 quantizers for a Gaussian source is very complex due to the difficulties in determining the
42 inverse optimal compressor function [1-6]. Due to the complex process of optimal
43 compressor functions practical realizations, their approximation is often performed.

44 In this paper we will propose a new method of construction companding quantizer which is
45 based on the approximation of the optimal compressor function using quadratic spline
46 functions with the support region divided into $2L = 4$ segments. The design is performed for
47 this number of segments so that the proposed companding quantizer would have low
48 complexity. The goal is to achieve as high as possible the signal to quantization noise ratio
49 SQNR for as small as possible complexity [2]. In order to achieve with the proposed
50 companding quantizer higher SQNR close to SQNR value of the nonlinear optimal
51 companding quantizer, in this paper we propose that the coefficients based on which the
52 approximative quadratic spline functions are formed, are determined by minimization mean
53 square error (MSE). Based on the obtained approximative quadratic spline functions,
54 quantizer designing process will be performed for the Gaussian source.

55 Support region of the quantizer considered in [4] is divided into equal segments while the
56 number of reproduction levels inside segments is determined by optimizing the granular
57 distortion and under the constraint of total number of reproduction levels. Unlike with the
58 quantizer described in [4], the support region of proposed companding quantizer is not
59 divided on segments of equal size. In this paper, the optimal value of segment threshold of
60 proposed companding quantizer is numerically determined depending on maximal value of
61 SQNR. The number of cells per segments is determined depending on value of optimized
62 segment threshold. The value of segment threshold depends on the size of the support
63 region [5].

64 The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: In section 2 the detailed description of
65 the quadratic spline functions. Also, in section 2, the optimal compressor function is given.
66 Design of companding quantizer based on approximate quadratic spline functions is
67 described in section 3. Procedure of numerical determination of the optimal value of the
68 segment threshold is described in section 4. Finally, section 5 presents a discussion of
69 numerical results obtained using the proposed companding quantizer for the Gaussian
70 source of unit variance.

71 **2. APPROXIMATE QUADRATIC SPLINE FUNCTIONS**

72
73
74 A spline curve is a mathematical representation for which it is easy to build an interface that
75 will allow a user to design and control the shape of complex curves and surfaces. The
76 general approach is that the user enters a sequence of points, and a curve is constructed
77 whose shape closely follows this sequence [7]. A spline function consists of polynomial
78 pieces on subintervals joined together with certain continuity conditions. Formally, suppose
79 that $n + 1$ points x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n have been specified and satisfy $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n$. These points
80 are called knots. Suppose also that an integer $k \geq 0$ has been prescribed. A spline function
81 of degree k having knots x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n is a function S such that [7]:

- 82 1. On each interval $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$, S is a polynomial of degree $\leq k$.
- 83 2. S has a continuous $(k - 1)$ st derivative on $[x_0, x_n]$.

84 Hence, S is a piecewise polynomial of degree at most k having continuous derivatives of all
 85 orders up to $k - 1$.

86 In this paper, the approximation of the optimal compressor function using quadratic spline
 87 functions ($k = 2$) is done. The quadratic spline is a continuously differentiable piecewise
 88 quadratic function, where quadratic includes all linear combinations of the basic functions
 89 $x \rightarrow 1, x, x^2$. It is consisted of parabola parts between two consecutive knots, but elected to
 90 have the same tangent at knot [7]. The approximate quadratic spline function $h(x)$, which
 91 approximates a nonlinear optimal compressed function $c(x)$, has the following form [7]:

$$92 \quad h(x) = \begin{cases} r_1 + p_1x + q_1x^2, & x \in [0, x_1] \\ r_2 + p_2x + q_2x^2, & x \in [x_1, x_2] \\ \vdots \\ r_L + p_Lx + q_Lx^2, & x \in [x_{L-1}, x_L] \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

93 The coefficients of the quadratic spline functions, r_i, p_i and $q_i, i = 1, \dots, L$, are determined by
 94 minimizing the mean-square error (MSE) as follows:

$$95 \quad F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^L \frac{1}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} (c(x) - h(x))^2 dx, \quad (2)$$

$$96 \quad \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial r_i} = 0, \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial p_i} = 0, \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial q_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, L. \quad (3)$$

97 The optimal compressor function $c(x)$ by which the maximum SQNR is achieved for the
 98 reference variance of an input signal is defined as [1,2]:

$$99 \quad c(x) = \begin{cases} \int_0^{|x|} p^{1/3}(t) dt \\ x_{\max} \operatorname{sgn} x \frac{\int_0^{|x|} p^{1/3}(t) dt}{\int_0^{x_{\max}} p^{1/3}(t) dt}, & |x| \leq x_{\max}, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

100 where x_{\max} denotes the support region threshold of the optimal companding quantizer and
 101 $p(t)$ is a symmetric Gaussian probability density function (PDF). Without diminishing the
 102 generality, the companding quantizer design will be done for the reference input variance of
 103 $\sigma_{ref}^2 = 1$ and $0 \leq x \leq x_{\max}$. In the rest of the paper we assume symmetry about zero in the
 104 companding quantizer design. The Gaussian PDF, we consider here, is indeed symmetrical
 105 about zero. The PDF of this source is given by [1,2]:

$$106 \quad p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right). \quad (5)$$

107

108 **3. DESIGN OF COMPANDING QUANTIZER BASED ON APPROXIMATE**
 109 **QUADRATIC SPLINE FUNCTIONS**

110

111 Two finite sets of real numbers, characterize each scalar quantizer: set of reproduction
 112 levels $\{y_1, \dots, y_{\max}\}$ and set of decision thresholds $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\max}\}$. Decision thresholds divide
 113 input range of the quantizer into N quantization cells also called quantization intervals
 114 $a_j = [x_{j-1}, x_j)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. The support region of scalar quantizer $[-x_{\max}, x_{\max}]$ is divided into
 115 L segments in both quadrants, where each segment is divided into specific number of cells
 116 whose size differs from segment to segment. The support region threshold of our
 117 companding quantizer is defined as follows [5]:

118
$$x_{\max} = \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{6 \ln N} \left[1 - \frac{\ln \ln N}{4 \ln N} - \frac{\ln(3\sqrt{\pi})}{2 \ln N} \right]. \quad (6)$$

119 Let us assume, as in [4], that in the granular region $[-x_{\max}, x_{\max}]$, the total number of the
 120 reproduction levels per segments in the first quadrant is:

121
$$\sum_{i=1}^L \frac{N_i}{2} = \frac{N-2}{2}, \quad (7)$$

122 where the number of reproduction levels per segments, $N_i/2$, is determined from the following
 123 condition:

124
$$\frac{N_i}{2} = \frac{N-2}{2} \frac{c_i(x_i) - c_{i-1}(x_{i-1})}{c_L(x_L)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, L. \quad (8)$$

125 Reproduction levels of the companding quantizer we propose are determined as the solution
 126 of the approximate quadratic spline functions as follows:

127
$$y_{i,j} = h_i^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{2j-1}{2} \right) \Delta \right), \quad i = 1, j = 1, \dots, \frac{N_i}{2}, \quad (9)$$

128
$$y_{i,j} = h_i^{-1} \left(c_i(x_i) + \left(\frac{2j-1}{2} \right) \Delta \right), \quad i = 2, \dots, L, j = 1, \dots, \frac{N_i}{2}, \quad (10)$$

129 where for the $y_{i,j}$ is taken the solution that belongs to the spline function domain. Indexes i
 130 and j indicate the j -th reproduction levels within the i -th segment. Step size Δ is determined
 131 with:

132
$$\Delta = \frac{2x_{\max}}{N-2}. \quad (11)$$

133 The cells lengths per segments of the considered companding quantizer are determined by:

134
$$\Delta_{i,j} = \frac{\Delta}{h_i'(y_{i,j})}, \quad i = 1, \dots, L, j = 1, \dots, \frac{N_i}{2}. \quad (11)$$

135 where by $\Delta_{i,j}$ denotes the j -th cells lengths within the i -th segment. The total distortion is a
 136 quality measure of quantization process, and can be found as a sum of the granular D_g and
 137 the overload D_o distortion. The granular distortion for such a designed model is [1,2]:

$$138 \quad D_g = 2 \frac{x_{\max}^2}{3(N-2)^2} \sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{N_i/2} \frac{p(y_{i,j})}{[h_i'(y_{i,j})]^2} \Delta_{i,j}, \quad i=1, \dots, L, j=1, \dots, N_i \quad (12)$$

139 The overload distortion is given by [1,2]:

$$140 \quad D_o = 2 \int_{x_{\max}}^{\infty} (x - y_{\max})^2 p(x) dx, \quad (13)$$

141 where the y_{\max} is determined from the condition:

$$142 \quad y_{\max} = \frac{\int_{x_{\max}}^{\infty} xp(x) dx}{\int_{x_{\max}}^{\infty} p(x) dx}, \quad (14)$$

143 the property of the constant tail centroids is used in the design process. Finally, combining
 144 the set of equations (5), (6), (13) and (14), one can derive the overload distortion of the
 145 proposed companding quantizer as in [5]:

$$146 \quad D_o = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{x_{\max}^3} e^{-\frac{x_{\max}^2}{2}}. \quad (15)$$

147 By determining the total distortion D as a sum of the granular distortion D_g (12) and the outer
 148 distortion D_o (15), the signal to quantization noise ratio can be determined [1,2]:

$$149 \quad \text{SQNR} = 10 \log \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{D_g + D_o} \right) = 10 \log \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{D} \right). \quad (16)$$

150

151 **4. NUMERICAL DETERMINATION OF THE OPTIMAL VALUE OF SEGMENT** 152 **THRESHOLD**

153

154 In this section, numerical determination of the optimal value of segment threshold is
 155 described. The optimal value of segment threshold x_i is determined in the following:

156 **Step 1.** Solving the system of equations (3), the expressions for determining coefficients $r_i, p_i,$
 157 $q_i, i=1, \dots, L$ are obtained. The optimal value of the support region, x_{\max} , is defined by equation


158 (6). For different values of the segment threshold, $\frac{x_{\max}}{2} < x_i < x_{\max}$, the values of coefficients $r_i,$

159 $p_i, q_i, i=1, \dots, L$ are determined.

160 **Step 2.** Based on the coefficients $r_i, p_i, q_i, i=1, \dots, L$ which are obtained in a method described
 161 in step 1, the quadratic spline functions, $h(x)$, defined by equation (1) are obtained.

162 **Step 3.** On the basis of the quadratic spline functions obtained in step 2, $h(x)$, the proposed
 163 companding quantizer as described in section 3 is designed.

164 **Step 4.** For different values of the segment threshold, $\frac{x_{\max}}{2} < x_i < x_{\max}$, the SQNR value of
 165 the proposed companding quantizer which is designed as described in step 3 is determined.
 166 The optimal value of the segment threshold is determined depending on the maximal SQNR
 167 value of the proposed companding quantizer (see Figure 1).



168 **Fig. 1.** Numerical determination the optimal value of the segment threshold x_1 for the
 169 number of levels $N = 16$.
 170

171
 172 **5. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND CONCLUSION**

173
 174 Numerical results presented in this section are obtained for the case when the number of
 175 segments is equal to $2L = 4$ and for number of levels $N = 16$ and $N = 32$. For this number of
 176 segments, the approximate quadratic spline function $h(x)$ defined by equation (1) is equal to:

177

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} r_1 + p_1x + q_1x^2, & x \in [0, x_1] \\ r_2 + p_2x + q_2x^2, & x \in [x_1, x_2] \end{cases} \quad (17)$$


178 Based on equation (17) it can be noticed that for the approximate quadratic spline function
 179 $h(x)$ design it is necessary to determine six coefficients, $r_1, p_1, q_1, r_2, p_2, q_2$. In this paper, these
 180 coefficient are determined by minimization mean square error (solving the system of
 181 equations defined by equation (3)). The values of coefficients $r_1, p_1, q_1, r_2, p_2, q_2$ depend on the
 182 value of the segment threshold x_1 . In order for the quadratic spline function to better
 183 approximate the optimal compressor function, the value of the segment threshold x_1 is
 184 numerically determined as described in section 4. Numerical determination of the optimal
 185 value of the segment threshold x_1 for the number of levels $N = 16$ is presented in figure 1. For
 186 the number of segments $2L = 4$ and for number of levels $N = 16$, the optimal value of the
 187 segment threshold x_1 is equal to $x_1 = 1.68$. In the other case, for the number of segments
 188 $2L = 4$ and for number of levels $N = 32$, the optimal value of the segment threshold x_1 is equal

189 to $x_1 = 2.25$. For these optimal values of the segment threshold x_1 , coefficients $r_1, p_1, q_1, r_2, p_2,$
 190 q_2 are determined, the approximate quadratic spline function $h(x)$ is formed and the design of
 191 companding quantizer as described in section 3 is done. Table 1 shows the values of SQNR
 192 of the proposed companding quantizer which the segment threshold x_1 is at the middle of
 193 support region, (SQNR^{EQU}), the values of SQNR of the proposed companding quantizer
 194 which segment threshold x_1 numerically determined (SQNR^{NUM}), and the values of SQNR of
 195 nonlinear optimal companding quantizer [1], (SQNR^{OPT}), for the number of levels $N = 16$ and
 196 $N = 32$.

197
 198 **Table 1. The values of SQNR^{EQU} , SQNR^{NUM} and SQNR^{OPT} for the number of levels**
 199 **$N = 16$ and $N = 32$.**
 200

N	SQNR^{EQU} [dB]	SQNR^{NUM} [dB]	SQNR^{OPT} [dB]
16	19.69	20.04	20.22
32	25.80	25.99	26.01

201
 202 In Figure 2 dependency of SQNR^{EQU} , SQNR^{NUM} and SQNR^{OPT} on the number bits per
 203 sample is shown.



204
 205 **Fig. 2. Dependency of SQNR^{EQU} , SQNR^{NUM} and SQNR^{OPT} on the number bits per**
 206 **sample.**
 207

208 Analyzing the results shown in Table 1 and Figure 2, one can notice that design of proposed
 209 companding quantizer based on approximate quadratic spline functions, with the optimal
 210 value of segment threshold x_1 , achieved higher SQNR than design of quantizer based on
 211 approximate quadratic spline functions which coefficients are determined for the case where
 212 the segment threshold x_1 is at the middle of the support region. Also, one can conclude that
 213 design of quantizer based on approximate quadratic spline functions, with the optimal value
 214 of segment threshold x_1 , achieved SQNR very close to that of nonlinear optimal companding
 215 quantizer. Since the complexity of the proposed companding quantizer is very low, and an
 216 achieved SQNR very close to that of nonlinear optimal companding quantizer, it can be
 217 concluded that the proposed companding quantizer presents a very efficient solution.

218

219

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

220

221

This work is partially supported by Serbian Ministry of Education and Science through Mathematical Institute of Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts (Project III44006) and by Serbian Ministry of Education and Science (Project TR32035).

222

223

224

REFERENCES

225

226

227

[1] Jayant SN, Noll P. Digital Coding of Waveforms: Principles and Applications to Speech and Video. Prentice Hall, New Jersey. 1984; ch. 4-5.

229

230

[2] Gray MR, Neuhoff LD. Quantization. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory. 1998;IT-44(6):2325-2384.

231

232

233

[3] Perić Z, Nikolić J, Eskić Z, Krstić S, Marković N. Design of Novel Scalar Quantizer Model for Gaussian Source. Information Technology and Control. 2008;37(4):321-325.

234

235

[4] Velimirović L, Perić Z, Nikolić J. Design of novel piecewise uniform scalar quantizer for Gaussian memoryless source. Radio Science. 2012;47(2):1-6.

236

237

238

[5] Na S. Asymptotic Formulas for Variance-Mismatched Fixed-Rate Squalar Quantization of a Gaussian Source. IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing. 2011;59(5):2437-2441.

239

240

[6] Na S, Neuhoff LD. On the Support of MSE-Optimal, Fixed-Rate Scalar Quantizers. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory. 2001;47(7):2972-2982.

241

242

[7] Cheney W, Kincaid D. Numerical Mathematics and Computing. 6th ed. Belmont: Thomson Higher Education. 2008; ch 9.

243

244