Symbol calculus on a projective space

Naoya MIYAZAKI Department of Mathematics, Keio University, Yokohama, 223-8521, JAPAN

Abstract: In this article, we introduce symbol calculus on a projective scheme. Using holomorphic Poisson structures, we construct deformations of ring structures for structure sheaves on projective spaces \mathbb{CP}^{n} 's.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): Primary 58B32; Secondary 53C28, 53D55

Keywords: deformation theory, structure sheaf, sheaf-cohomology, twistor theory, quantization, etc.

Acknowledgements: The author would like to dedicate the present article to Professor Akira Yoshioka on his 60th birthday. This research is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, and the academic fund of Keio University.

1 Introduction

The terminology "symbol calculus" is used in Fourier analysis and pseudo-differential operator in order to study partial differential equations. Especially, symbol calculus of pseudo-differential operator with respect to elliptic operator gives fruitful contribution to the index theorem, i.e. an important role is played by pseudo-differential operator in the index theory for elliptic operators appearing geometry, where pseudo-differential operator which has essential topological datas of the base manifold.

In this article, using holomorphic Poisson structures, we consider symbol calculus on a projective scheme. Especially, we give concrete examples using \mathbb{CP}^n . As mentioned above, structure sheaves on algebraic varieties have important and essential feature which plays crucial role to analyze their fundamental properties with respect to the base varieties. Using a holomorphic Poisson structure on the base variety, we construct symbol calculus on the structure sheaf. We here state the main theorems of the present article.

Theorem 1.1 Assume that $Z = [z_0 : z_1 : \ldots : z_n]$ is the homogeneous coordinate system of \mathbb{CP}^n , and $\Lambda = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\partial z_\alpha} \Lambda^{\alpha,\beta} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\partial z_\beta}$ defines a holomorphic skew-symmetric biderivation ¹ of order zero acting on the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^n}$ satisfying the Jacobi rule ² and an assumption below:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overleftarrow{\partial}_{Z_{\alpha_{1}}} \Lambda^{\alpha_{1},\beta_{1}} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{Z_{\beta_{1}}} \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} \overleftarrow{\partial}_{Z_{\alpha_{k}}} \Lambda^{\alpha_{k},\beta_{k}} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{Z_{\beta_{k}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \overrightarrow{\partial}_{Z_{\alpha_{1}\dots\alpha_{k}}} \Lambda^{\alpha_{1},\beta_{1}} \cdots \Lambda^{\alpha_{k},\beta_{k}} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{Z_{\beta_{1}\dots\beta_{k}}},$$

$$(1)$$

¹We use Einstein's convention unless confusing.

 $^{^2 {\}rm The}$ biderivation used here might be called an "algebraic Poisson structure." I am not sure.

where

$$f(Z) \stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\partial_{Z_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}}} \quad (resp. \ \partial_{Z_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_k}} g(Z))$$

means

 $\partial_{Z_{\alpha_1}}\partial_{Z_{\alpha_2}}\cdots\partial_{Z_{\alpha_k}}f(Z) \quad (\textit{resp. } \partial_{Z_{\beta_1}}\partial_{Z_{\beta_2}}\cdots\partial_{Z_{\beta_k}}g(Z)).$

Then, for any point "p" and germs [f(Z)] -, [g(Z)] - of the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^n,p}[[\natural]]^3$,

$$f(Z) \# g(Z)$$

$$:= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\mu}{2}\right)^k \Lambda^{\alpha_1 \beta_1} \Lambda^{\alpha_2 \beta_2} \cdots \Lambda^{\alpha_k \beta_k} \partial_{Z_{\alpha_1}} \partial_{Z_{\alpha_2}} \cdots \partial_{Z_{\alpha_k}} f(Z) \partial_{Z_{\beta_1}} \partial_{Z_{\beta_2}} \cdots \partial_{Z_{\beta_k}} g(Z)$$
(2)

defines a non-commutative and associative ring structure, where μ is a formal parameter.

We also have

Theorem 1.2 Under the same assumptions and notations of Theorem 1.1, the product # induces globally defined non-commutative, associative product on the sheafcohomology space $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathbb{CP}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^n}(k))[[\natural]]$ where μ can be specialized a scalar (for example $\mu = 1$).

Using the product #, we also have

Theorem 1.3 Suppose that the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Let $A[Z] := ZA^tZ$ be a quadratic form with homogeneous degree 2. Then we have

$$e_{\#}^{\frac{1}{\mu}A[Z]} = \det^{-1/2}\left(\frac{e^{\Lambda A} + e^{-\Lambda A}}{2}\right) \cdot e^{1/\mu \left(\frac{\Lambda^{-1}}{\sqrt{-1}}\tan(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda A)\right)[Z]} \in \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H^{0}(\mathbb{CP}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^{n}}(k)[\mu, \mu^{-1}]]).$$
(3)

2 Outlines of Proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3

In this section we give outline of proofs of our main theorems (cf. [18]). Before giving proofs, we recall fundamentals of projective scheme. In fact, we need a slight modification of the standard theory of scheme.

Let $S = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n$ be a graded commutative ring. Then, S_0 is obviously commutative and S is an S_0 -algebra. It is well-known that the homogeneous ideal $S_+ := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ is called the *irrelevant ideal*. And the following is well-known:

Proposition 2.1 A graded commutative ring S is noetherian if and only if S_0 is noetherian and S is finitely generated by S_1 as an S_0 -algebra.

It is also well-known that a projective shceme

 $\operatorname{Proj}(S) := \{ \mathfrak{p} : \text{ a homogeneous prime ideal } | \neg(S_+ \subset \mathfrak{p}) \}$

admits the canonical scheme structure in the following way: Set

$$D_{+}(f) := \Big\{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Proj}(S) \mid \neg (f \in \mathfrak{p}) \Big\},\$$

³Here [[\natural] denotes either $[\mu, \mu^{-1}]$] or $[\mu, \mu^{-1}]$, and we have to choose carefully in context.

for any homogeneous element $f \in S_d$ with degree d, then the family $\{D_+(f)\}_{f \in S_d, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ forms a basis of open sets. Hence it gives the canonical topology $\mathfrak{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(S)}$ (that is, the Zariski topology) for $\operatorname{Proj}(S)$. Note that $\neg(f \in \mathfrak{p})$ means $f(\operatorname{point}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$, intuitively. We also set

$$\Gamma(D_{+}(f), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(S)}) := \left\{ g/f^{m} \mid g \in S_{m}, \ m \ge 0 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(S)} : \mathfrak{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(S)} \ni D_{+}(f) \mapsto \Gamma(D_{+}(f), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(S)}) \in \operatorname{Mod}.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

The functor above is well-known as the structure sheaf. We remark that when $g \in S_0$, we easily see that g/1 = fg/f ($fg \in S_d$). Hence we may consider g/f^m ($m \ge 1$) instead of g/f^m ($m \ge 0$). We obtain that R.H.S. of (4) is a part of degree 0 of localization S_f of S by a product closed set $\{f^\ell\}_{\ell=0,1,2,...}$. We denote it by $(S_f)_0$ or $S_{(f)}$. Strictly speaking, for any homogeneous element f with $\deg(f) = d$,

$$(S_f)_0 := S_{(f)} := \Big\{ g/f^m \mid g \in S_{md}, \ m \ge 0 \Big\}.$$

Hence we proved that

Proposition 2.2 As for $D_+(f)$,

$$(D_+(f), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(S)}|_{D_+(f)}) \cong \operatorname{Spec}(S_{(f)}).$$
(5)

Thus, $\operatorname{Proj}(S)$ is obtained by glueing of affine schemes. It indicates that $(\operatorname{Proj}(S), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(S)})$ is a scheme in the genuin sense.

Next we consider cohomology of quasi-coherent sheaf over $\operatorname{Proj}(S)$. Assume that a graded ring S is generated by S_1 as an S_0 -algebra. For instance

$$S = R[z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n], S_0 = R, S_1 = \{a \in S \mid deg(a) = 1\}.$$

As for a quasi-coherent sheaf 4 \mathcal{F} , we set

$$\mathcal{F}(m)[[\natural]] := \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(S)}} \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(S)}(m)[[\natural]],$$

and define

$$\Gamma_*(\mathcal{F}) := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(m))[[\natural]], \quad \deg(a) := m, \quad (\forall a \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(m)).$$
(6)

Then we see that $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{F}[[\natural]])$ is a graded $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(S)}[[\natural]])$ -module. For any element $f \in S_d$, we set $\alpha_d(f) := a/1$. Then it is well-known that

Proposition 2.3 The map α_d obtained above defines a homomorphism

$$\alpha_d(f): S_d[[\natural]] \ni a \mapsto a/1 \in S(d)_{(f)} = \Gamma(D_+(f), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(S)}(d)[[\natural]]).$$

$$\tag{7}$$

A family $\{\alpha_d(f)\}_{f:homogeneous}$ induces a module homomorphism

$$\alpha_d : S_d[[\natural]] \to \Gamma(\operatorname{Proj}(S), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(S)}(d)[[\natural]]).$$
(8)

Hence, using the module homomorphisms $\{\alpha_d\}$, a graded ring homomorphism

$$\alpha := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_d : S = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} S_d[[\natural]] \to \Gamma(\operatorname{Proj}(S), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(S)}[[\natural]])$$
(9)

can be defined for any quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} . Thus, $\Gamma(\operatorname{Proj}(S), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(S)}[[\natural]])$ admits a graded $S[[\natural]]$ -module structure.

⁴A sheaf \mathcal{F} is quasi-coherent if and only if there is a pre-sheaf exact sequence $\mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus I} \to \mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus J} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$. A sheaf \mathcal{F} is coherent if and only if there is a pre-sheaf exact sequence $\mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus n} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$.

Definition 2.4 We denote the pair $(\operatorname{Proj}(S), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(S)}[[\natural]])$ by $\operatorname{Proj}(S)[[\natural]]$.

We are also interested in $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{F}[[\natural]])_{(f)}$. As for any element $f \in S_d$, and $x \in \Gamma(\operatorname{Proj}(S), \mathcal{F}[[\natural]])_{(f)}$, we see $x/f^n \in \Gamma_*(\operatorname{Proj}(S), \mathcal{F}[[\natural]])_{(f)}$. We denote the restriction of x to $D_+(f)$ by $x|_{D_+(f)}$. Then matching the degrees of $x|_{D_+(f)}$ and $(a_d(f)|_{D_+(f)})^n$ we get $x|_{D_+(f)}/(a_d(f)|_{D_+(f)})^n$.

$$\beta_{(f)} : \Gamma_*(\operatorname{Proj}(S), \mathcal{F}[[\natural]])_{(f)} \ni \frac{x}{f^m} \mapsto \frac{x|_{D_+(f)}}{(\alpha(f)|_{D_+(f)})^m} \in \Gamma(D_+(f), \mathcal{F}[[\natural]]).$$
(10)

As similarly for α , for any homogeneous element $g \in S_e$, we obtain a diagram:



By a similar argment as above, we define an $\operatorname{Proj}(S)[[\natural]]$ -module homomorphism

$$\beta_{\mathcal{F}}: \Gamma_*(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}[[\natural]]) \to \mathcal{F}[[\natural]]. \tag{11}$$

Proposition 2.5 Assume that a graded ring S is generated by $S_1 = \{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_\ell\}$ ($\exists \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) as S₀-algebra. Then we see

- (i) If S is a domain then the map α induced in (9) is injective.
- (ii) If $(f_i)_{(i=1,2,\ldots,\ell)}$ are all prime ideals, then the map α is an isomorphism.
- (iii) When $S = k[z_0, z_1, z_2, ..., z_n]$, then the map α is an isomorphism.

We also have the following:

Proposition 2.6 Assume that $\mathcal{F}[[\natural]]$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf $\operatorname{Proj}(S)[[\natural]]$ -module. Then the homomorphism $\beta_{\mathcal{F}}$ induced in (9) is an isomorphism. Furthermore, via (8), we see

$$H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{n}_{k[z_{0},z_{1},...,z_{n}]}[[\natural]])$$

$$:= H^{0}(\operatorname{Proj}(k[z_{0},z_{1},z_{2},...,x_{n}]), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Proj}(k[z_{0},z_{1},z_{2},...,x_{n}])}(m)[[\natural]])$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & (if \ m < 0), \\ k[z_{0},z_{1},z_{2},...,x_{n}]|_{m} & (o.w. \ m \ge 0). \end{cases}$$
(12)

Definition 2.7 Assume that Λ is a holomorphic skew-biderivation satisfying Jacobi rule. Then, # is called symbol calculus on $\operatorname{Proj}(S)$ if $(\operatorname{Proj}(S)[[\natural]], \#)$ has an associative algebra sheaf structure such that

$$f(Z) # g(Z) = f \bullet g + \left(\frac{\mu}{2}\right) \Lambda^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{Z_{\alpha}} f(Z) \partial_{Z_{\beta}} g(Z) + \cdots$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Under the assumption (1), it is easy to check

$$f(Z)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}\left(\frac{\mu}{2}\right)^{k}\left(\partial_{Z_{\alpha_{1}}}^{\leftarrow}\Lambda^{\alpha_{1},\beta_{1}}\partial_{Z_{\beta_{1}}}^{\rightarrow}\right)\cdots\left(\partial_{Z_{\alpha_{k}}}^{\leftarrow}\Lambda^{\alpha_{k},\beta_{k}}\partial_{Z_{\beta_{k}}}\right)g(Z)$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}\left(\frac{\mu}{2}\right)^{k}\Lambda^{\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}}\Lambda^{\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}\cdots\Lambda^{\alpha_{k}\beta_{k}}\partial_{Z_{\alpha_{1}}}\partial_{Z_{\alpha_{2}}}\cdots\partial_{Z_{\alpha_{k}}}f(Z)\partial_{Z_{\beta_{1}}}\partial_{Z_{\beta_{2}}}\cdots\partial_{Z_{\beta_{k}}}g(Z)$$
(13)

Then the right hand side of (13) coincides with the asymptotic expansion formula for product of the Weyl type pseudo-differential operators. Thus, it completes the proof. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.2. As seen in the previous argument, as for sheaf cohomology of projective space, we obtain that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathbb{CP}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^n}(k)) \cong \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}[Z]_k,$$
(14)

where $\mathbb{C}[Z]_k$ stands for the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then a direct computation using (13) shows that μ can be specialized a scalar. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We would like to compute exponentials having the following form $f(Z) = g(t)e^{\frac{1}{\mu}Q[Z](t)}$ with respect to # for quadratic polynomials under a quite general setting.

general setting. Let $Z = [z^1 : \ldots : z^n]$, $A[Z] := ZA^tZ$, where $A \in Sym(n, \mathbb{C})$, i.e. A is an $n \times n$ complex symmetric matrix. In order to compute the exponential $F(t) := e_{\#}^{t\frac{1}{\mu}A[Z]}$ with
respect to the Wyel type product formula, we treat the following evolution equation:

$$\partial_t F = \frac{1}{\mu} A[Z] \# F, \tag{15}$$

with an initial condition

$$F_0 = e^{\frac{1}{\mu}B[Z]},$$
 (16)

where $B \in Sym(n, \mathbb{C})$.

As seen above, our setting is rather different from the situations considered in the article [12] and in [21, 22, 24]. See also $[25]^5$. However, to compute exponentials, we can use similar methods employed in the articles above, as will be seen below:

Under the assumption $F(t) = g \cdot e^{\frac{1}{\mu}Q[Z]}$ (g = g(t), Q = Q(t)), we would like to find a solution of the equations (15) and (16).

Direct computations give

L.H.S. of (15) =
$$g' e^{\frac{1}{\mu}Q[Z]} + g\frac{1}{\mu}Q'[Z]e^{\frac{1}{\mu}Q[Z]},$$

R.H.S. of (15) = $\frac{1}{\mu}A[Z]\#F$
 $\stackrel{(2)}{=} \frac{1}{\mu}A[Z] \cdot F + \frac{i\hbar}{2}\Lambda^{i_{1}j_{1}}\partial_{i_{1}}\frac{1}{\mu}A[Z] \cdot \partial_{j_{1}}F$
 $-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 \cdot 4}\Lambda^{i_{1}j_{1}}\Lambda^{i_{2}j_{2}}\partial_{i_{1}i_{2}}\frac{1}{\mu}A[Z]\partial_{j_{1}j_{2}}F$
(17)

 $^{^{5}}$ Quillen's method employing the Cayley transform is very useful to compute superconnection character forms and supertrace of Dirac-Laplacian heat kernels (cf. [3]).

where $A = (A_{ij}), \Lambda = (\Lambda^{ij})$ and $Q = (Q_{ij})$. Comparing the coefficient of μ^{-1} gives

$$Q'[Z] = A[Z] - 2^t A \Lambda Q[Z] - Q \Lambda A \Lambda Q[Z].$$
⁽¹⁸⁾

Applying Λ by left and setting $q := \Lambda Q$ and $a := \Lambda A$, we easily obtain

$$\Lambda Q' = \Lambda A + \Lambda Q \Lambda A - \Lambda A \Lambda Q - \Lambda Q \Lambda A \Lambda Q$$

= $(1 + \Lambda Q) \Lambda A (1 - \Lambda Q)$
= $(1 + q)a(1 - q).$ (19)

As to the coefficient of μ^0 , we have

$$g' = \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{i_1 j_1} \Lambda^{i_2 j_2} A_{i_1 i_2} g Q_{j_1 j_2}$$

= $-\frac{1}{2} tr(aq) \cdot g,$ (20)

where "tr" means the trace. Thus we obtain

Proposition 2.8 The equation (15) is rewritten by

$$\partial_t q = (1+q)a(1-q), \qquad (21)$$

$$\partial_t g = -\frac{1}{2} tr(aq) \cdot g. \tag{22}$$

In order to solve the equations (21) and (22), we now recall the "Cayley transform."

Proposition 2.9 Set

$$C(X) := \frac{1-X}{1+X} \tag{23}$$

 $\textit{if} \det(1+X) \neq 0$. Then

1. $X \in sp_{\Lambda}(n, \mathbb{R}) \iff \Lambda X \in Sym(n, \mathbb{R}),$ and then $C(X) \in Sp_{\Lambda}(n, \mathbb{R}),$ where

$$Sp_{\Lambda}(n,\mathbb{R}) := \{g \in GL(n,\mathbb{R})|^{t}g\Lambda g = \Lambda\},\\ sp_{\Lambda}(n,\mathbb{R}) := Lie(Sp_{\Lambda}(n,\mathbb{R})).$$

- 2. $C^{-1}(g) = \frac{1-g}{1+g}$, (the "inverse Cayley transform").
- 3. $e^{2\sqrt{-1}a} = c(-\sqrt{-1}\tan(a)).$
- 4. $\log a = 2\sqrt{-1}\arctan(\sqrt{-1}C^{-1}(g)).$ 5. $\partial_t q = (1+q)a(1-q) \iff \partial_t C(q) = -2aC(q).$

Solving the above equation 5 in Proposition 2.9, we have

$$C(q) = e^{-2at}C(b),$$

where $b=\Lambda B$ and then

$$q = C^{-1} \left(e^{-2at} \cdot C(b) \right) = C^{-1} \left(C(-\sqrt{-1}\tan(\sqrt{-1}at)) \cdot C(b) \right).$$

Hence, according to the inverse Cayley transform, we can get Q in the following way.

Proposition 2.10

$$Q = -\Lambda \cdot C^{-1} \bigg(C(-\sqrt{-1}\tan(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda At)) \cdot C(\Lambda B) \bigg).$$
(24)

Next we compute the amplitude coefficient part g. Solving

$$g' = -\frac{1}{2}tr(aq) \cdot g \tag{25}$$

gives

Proposition 2.11

$$g = \det^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{e^{at}(1+b) + e^{-at}(1-b)}{2} \right).$$
(26)

Setting t = 1, $a = \Lambda A$ and b = 0, we get

Theorem 2.12

$$e_{\#}^{\frac{1}{\mu}A[Z]} = \det^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{e^{\Lambda A} + e^{-\Lambda A}}{2}\right) \cdot e^{\frac{1}{\mu}(\frac{\Lambda^{-1}}{\sqrt{-1}}\tan(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda A))[Z]}.$$
 (27)

As usual, using the Čech resolution, we can compute the sheaf-cohomology $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathbb{CP}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^n}[\mu, \mu^{-1}]])$. Combining it with Theorems 2.12, we see

$$e_{\#}^{\frac{1}{\mu}A[Z]} \in \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathbb{CP}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^n}[\mu, \mu^{-1}]]).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. \Box

3 Remarks

Consider the following diagram:

$$((x^{\alpha,\dot{\alpha}}), [\pi_1:\pi_2]) \in M := \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$$

$$\Pi_1 \qquad \qquad \Pi_2$$

$$([z_1:\ldots:z_4]) \in \mathbb{CP}^3 \qquad (x^{\alpha,\dot{\alpha}}) \in \mathbb{C}^4$$

where $x^{\alpha,\dot{\alpha}}$ are even variables, we set

$$(x^{\alpha,\dot{\alpha}}) := (x^{1,\dot{1}}, x^{1,\dot{2}}, x^{2,\dot{1}}, x^{2,\dot{2}}),$$

$$([z_1:\ldots:z_4]) := ([x^{\alpha,\dot{1}}\pi_{\alpha}:x^{\alpha,\dot{2}}\pi_{\alpha}:\pi_1:\pi_2])$$

Here we use Einstein's convention (we will often omit \sum unless there is a danger of confusion). We call $([z_1 : \ldots : z_4])$ the homogeneous coordinate system of \mathbb{CP}^3 .

1. The relations⁶ $(\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta} = \dot{1}, \dot{2})$

$$[z^{\dot{\alpha}}, z^{\dot{\beta}}] = \hbar D^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}, \beta \dot{\beta}} \pi_{\alpha} \pi_{\beta}, \qquad (28)$$

where $z^{i} := z_{1}, z^{2} := z_{2}$, give a globally defined non-commutative associative product # on \mathbb{CP}^{3} , where $\left(D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha},\beta\dot{\beta}}\right)$ is a skew symmetric matrix.

2. Let A[Z] be a homogeneous polynomial of $z^{i} = z_{1} = x^{\alpha,i}\pi_{\alpha}, \ z^{2} = z_{2} = x^{\alpha,2}\pi_{\alpha}$ with degree 2. Then a star exponential function $e_{\#}^{\frac{1}{\mu}A[Z]}$ gives a "function" on \mathbb{CP}^{3} .

More precisely,

Theorem 3.1 Assume that $\Lambda := \hat{\Lambda}$ and A[Z] a homogeneous polynomial of $z^{\dot{1}} = x^{\alpha,\dot{1}}\pi_{\alpha}, z^{\dot{2}} = x^{\alpha,\dot{2}}\pi_{\alpha}$ with degree 2. Then a star exponential function $e_{\#}^{\frac{1}{\mu}A[Z]}$ gives a cohomology class of \mathbb{CP}^3 with coefficients in the sheaf $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^3}(k)$.



where Π denotes the chiral projection, we can consider non-anti-commutative deformation of super twistor space.

In order to give a brief explanation, we recall the definition of super twistor manifold ([10, 30, 31]).

Definition 3.2 (3|N)-dimensional complex super manifold Z is said to be a super twistor space if the following conditions (1) - (3) are satisfied.

 $^{^{6}\}mathrm{Here}$ [,] denotes the commutator bracket.

(1) $p: Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a holomorphic fiber bundle.

(2) Z has a family of holomorphic section of p whose normal bundle is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(1) \oplus C^N \otimes \Pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(1)$.

(3) Z has an anti-holomorphic involution σ being compatible with (1), (2) and σ has no fixed point.

We define $\mathbb{CP}^{3|N}_{*_{\alpha'}} = (\mathbb{C}P^{3|N}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^{3|N}, *'_{\alpha}}).$ Let $f(z|\xi; \alpha')$ be a local section defined in the following manner:

$$f(z|\xi;\alpha') = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{1 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \dots \le i_k \le N} f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}(z) \xi^{i_1} \xi^{i_2} \dots \xi^{i_k}$$
(29)

where $f_{i_1...i_k}(z)$ is a homogeneous element of $z = [z_1 : z_2 : z_3 : z_4]$ with homogeneous degree (-k) on \mathbb{CP}^3 . Then we can introduce a structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^3|N,*\alpha'}$ whose local section is given by $f(z|\xi;\alpha')$.

Under these notations, we can introduce a ringed space denoted by $\mathbb{CP}^{3|N}_{*\alpha'} = (\mathbb{CP}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^3|N,*\alpha'})$. We shall call it non-anti-commutative complex projective super space.

As for the non-anti-commutative deformed product * aassociated with the nonanti-commutative complex projective super space, we have commutation relations of local coordinate functions:

(1) Let $(z_1, z_2, \pi_1, \pi_2 | \xi^1, \dots, \xi^N)$ be a local coordinate system of $\mathcal{P}^{3|N}$, where $\mathcal{P}^{3|N}$ denotes the non-anti-commutative open super twistor space. Then

$$\{\xi^i, \xi^j\}_* = \alpha' C^{i\alpha, j\beta} \pi_\alpha \pi_\beta, \qquad (0 \text{ o.w.})$$

(2) A local coordinate system $(z_1, z_2, \pi_1, \pi_2 | \xi^1, \dots, \xi^N)$ of $\mathbb{CP}^{3|N}$ satisfies

$$\{\xi^i, \xi^j\}_* = \alpha' C^{i\alpha, j\beta} \pi_\alpha \pi_\beta, \qquad (0 \text{ o.w.})$$

Here we do not explain more the notion and notations which appeared above and do not give the proof of them. For details, see [30].

References

- F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, and D. Sternheimer, *Deforma*tion theory and quantization I, Ann. of Phys. 111 (1978), 61-110.
- [2] B. V. Fedosov, A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization, Jour. Diff. Geom. 40 (1994), 213-238.
- [3] K. Gomi and Y. Terashima, *Chern-Weil construction for twisted K-theory*, preprint.
- [4] Y. Homma and T. Tate, private communications.
- [5] L. Hörmander, Fourier integral operators. I, Acta Mathematica 127, (1971), 79-183.
- [6] L. Hörmander, The Weyl culculus of general pseudodifferential operators, Commun. Pure. Appl. Math. 32 (1979), 359-443.

- [7] L. Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I, Springer-Verlag, 1989
- [8] L. Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III, Springer-Verlag, 1984
- [9] M. Kontsevich, Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, Lett. Math. Phys. 66, (2003), 157-216.
- [10] C. LeBrun, Y. S. Poon and R. O. Wells, Jr., Projective embedding of complex supermanifolds, Commun. Math. Phys. 126 (1990) 433-452.
- [11] Y. Maeda, N. Miyazaki, H. Omori and A. Yoshioka, Star exponential functions as two-valued elements, Progr. Math. 232 (2005), 483-492, Birkhäuser.
- [12] J. M. Maillard, Star exponential for any ordering of the elements of the inhomogeneous symplectic Lie algebra, Jour. Math. Phys. vol.45, no. 2, (2004), 785-794.
- [13] N. Miyazaki, On a certain class of oscillatory integral transformations which determine canonical graphs, Japanese Jour. Math. 24, no.1 (1998) 61-81.
- [14] N. Miyazaki, Formal deformation quantization and the Index theorem, unpublished, (2003), in Japanese.
- [15] N. Miyazaki, Lifts of symplectic diffeomorphisms of a Weyl algebra bundle with Fedosov connection, International Journal of Geometric Method in Modern Physics, Vol. 4, No. 4 (2007) 533-546.
- [16] N. Miyazaki, A Lie Group Structure for Automorphisms of a Contact Weyl Manifold, Progr. Math. 252 (2007), 25-44, Birkhäuser.
- [17] N. Miyazaki, Remarks on deformation quantization, Kyoto University RIMS Kokyuroku 1692, Geometric Mechanics, (2010), 1-16.
- [18] N. Miyazaki, Deformation of structure sheaf on a projective sheeme, in preparation.
- [19] H. Moriyoshi, private communications.
- [20] G. J. Murphy, C^{*}-algebras and operator theory, Academic Press, (1990)
- [21] H. Omori, *Physics in Mathematics*, The Univ. Tokyo Press, (2004), in Japanese.
- [22] H. Omori, Y. Maeda, N. Miyazaki and A. Yoshioka, Star exponential functions for quadratic forms and polar elements, Contemporary Mathematics. 315 (Amer. Math. Soc.), (2002), 25-38.
- [23] H. Omori, Y. Maeda, N. Miyazaki and A. Yoshioka, Convergent star product on Fréchet-Poisson algebras of Heisenberg type, Contemporary Mathematics 434 (Amer. Math. Soc.), (2007), 99-123.
- [24] H. Omori, Y. Maeda, N. Miyazaki and A. Yoshioka, A new nonformal noncommutative calculus: Associativity and finite part regularization, Astérisque 321 (2008), 267-297.
- [25] D. Quillen, Superconnection character forms and the Cayley transform, Topology, 27 (2), (1988), 211-238.
- [26] H. Sato, private communications.
- [27] M. A. Shubin, Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory, Springer-Verlag.
- [28] D. Sternheimer, Deformation quantization twenty years after, AIP Conf. Proc. 453 (1998), 107-145.

- [29] T. Suzuki, private communications.
- [30] T. Taniguchi and N. Miyazaki, On non(anti)commutative super twistor spaces, International Journal of Geometric Method in Modern Physics Vol. 7, No. 4 (2010) 655-668.
- [31] R. S. Ward and R. O. Wells, Jr., Twistor geometry and field theory, Cambridge Monographs on Math. Phys. 1990.
- [32] N. Woodhouse, Geometric quantization, Clarendon Press, (1980), Oxford.
- [33] A. Yoshioka, Contact Weyl manifold over a symplectic manifold, in "Lie groups, Geometric structures and Differential equations", Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 37(2002), 459-493.