

# Jordan homomorphisms and harmonic mappings

Andrea Blunck

Hans Havlicek

## Abstract

We show that each Jordan homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R'$  of rings gives rise to a harmonic mapping of one connected component of the projective line over  $R$  into the projective line over  $R'$ . If there is more than one connected component then this mapping can be extended in various ways to a harmonic mapping which is defined on the entire projective line over  $R$ .

*Mathematics Subject Classification* (2000): 51C05, 51B05, 17C50.

## 1 Introduction

**1.1** The problem to determine all *harmonic mappings* (see 4.7) for *projective lines over rings* (see 4.1) goes back to K. VON STAUDT (1798–1867), who treated harmonic bijections between real projective lines; cf. [18, p. 57–58] for a survey and historical remarks. The results which have been obtained so far show that among the relevant algebraic mappings are – apart from projective transformations – not only *homomorphisms* but also *Jordan homomorphisms* of rings (see 3.1). If a ring  $R$  contains a subfield  $K$  then the point set of the associated *chain geometry* (see 5.1) is the projective line over  $R$ . The investigation of *homomorphism of chain geometries* (see 5.3) has also lead to Jordan homomorphisms of rings. There is a widespread literature on the interplay of Jordan homomorphisms, harmonic mappings, and homomorphisms of chain geometries. The interested reader should consult [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [11], [13], [14], [15], [16], [20], and [21] for further references and related results.

**1.2** Suppose that we are given a Jordan homomorphism  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R'$  of rings. The ring  $R$  can be embedded in the projective line  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  over  $R$  via  $t \mapsto R(t, 1)$  and there is a similar embedding  $R' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(R')$ . By virtue of these embeddings,  $\alpha$  determines a mapping

$$R(t, 1) \mapsto R'(t^\alpha, 1') \text{ with } t \in R. \quad (1)$$

There arises the question if (1) can be extended to a mapping  $\mathbb{P}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(R')$  in some “natural way”. It is fairly obvious that such an extension should take each point  $R(1, t)$  to  $R'(1', t^\alpha)$ . However, the projective line may also contain points of the form  $R(a, b)$ , where neither  $a$  nor  $b$  are invertible, whence they cannot be written as  $R(1, t)$  or  $R(t, 1)$ . For each of those points an “appropriate” definition of the image point is not immediate, since  $R(a, b) \mapsto R(a^\alpha, b^\alpha)$  gives in general no well defined mapping.

An affirmative answer to the question above has been given by C. BARTOLONE [1] under the additional assumption that  $R$  is a ring of stable rank 2. Among the rings with this property are, e.g., local rings, matrix rings over fields, and finite-dimensional algebras over commutative fields. In case of stable rank 2 each point of  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  can be written in the form  $R(t_1 t_2 - 1, t_1)$  with parameters  $t_1, t_2 \in R$ , and

$$R(t_1 t_2 - 1, t_1) \mapsto R'(t_1^\alpha t_2^\alpha - 1', t_1^\alpha) \quad (2)$$

is a well defined extension of (1).

In the present article there will be no restriction on the rings  $R$  and  $R'$ . We shall show that the mapping (1) can be extended to a harmonic mapping  $\bar{\alpha} : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(R')$ , where  $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}(R)$  denotes the *connected component* (in the graph-theoretic sense; see 4.1) of the point  $R(1, 0)$ . If  $C \neq \mathbb{P}(R)$  then  $\bar{\alpha}$  can be extended in various ways to a harmonic mapping  $\mathbb{P}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(R')$ . The definition of  $\bar{\alpha}$  is rather involved: Each point of the connected component  $C$  can be described by some finite sequence  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  of parameters in  $R$ , where  $n \geq 0$  is variable. Then the Jordan homomorphism acts on these parameters, i.e., the sequence  $(t_1^\alpha, t_2^\alpha, \dots, t_n^\alpha)$  determines the image point; cf. formula (3) below which is a generalization of (2). The number of parameters which is needed in order to describe all points of  $C$  may be unbounded. Furthermore, a point of  $C$  may admit many representations in terms of parameters. So the problem is to show that we have a well defined mapping. In [1] the situation is less complicated: When  $R$  is a ring of stable rank 2, the projective line  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  coincides with the connected component  $C$  and, as has been mentioned above, each point of  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  can be described with just two parameters.

**1.3** The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we discuss the *elementary subgroup*  $E_2(R)$  of the general linear group  $GL_2(R)$  over a ring  $R$ . Following P.M. COHN [12] we consider a family of matrices  $E(t)$ ,  $t \in R$ , with the property that each matrix in  $E_2(R)$  can be written as a product  $E(t_1)E(t_2) \cdots E(t_n)$  with  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in R$  and  $n \geq 0$ . The entries of a matrix in  $E_2(R)$  can be expressed with the help of an infinite family of polynomials in non-commuting indeterminates. Next, in Section 3, we introduce the concept of a *polynomial with Jordan property* and present two infinite families of such polynomials (Propositions 3.3 and 3.4). These polynomials are used in order to compare the matrices  $E(t_1)E(t_2) \cdots E(t_n) \in E_2(R)$  and  $E(t_1^\alpha)E(t_2^\alpha) \cdots E(t_n^\alpha) \in E_2(R')$ . For example, if the (1, 1)-entry of the first matrix is a unit, then so is the (1, 1)-entry of the second matrix (Theorem 3.5).

Unfortunately, in general there is no well defined mapping sending  $E(t_1)E(t_2) \cdots E(t_n)$  to  $E(t_1^\alpha)E(t_2^\alpha) \cdots E(t_n^\alpha)$ . But we can pass from  $E_2(R')$  to an appropriate quotient group  $E_2(R'')/N_\alpha$ ; here  $R''$  denotes the subring of  $R'$  which is generated by the image of the Jordan homomorphism  $\alpha$  and  $N_\alpha$  is a normal subgroup of  $E_2(R'')$  which depends on  $\alpha$ . In this way a well defined homomorphism of groups  $E_2(R) \rightarrow E_2(R'')/N_\alpha$  can be obtained (Theorem 3.6). If  $N_\alpha$  contains only the identity matrix then we have a well defined mapping  $E_2(R) \rightarrow E_2(R'')$  (Corollary 3.7). This is the case when  $\alpha$  belongs to a certain class of Jordan homomorphisms, including homomorphisms and antihomomorphisms. However, we shall see that  $N_\alpha$  can also be non-trivial (Examples 3.8). We show that  $N_\alpha$  is in the centre of  $E_2(R'')$ , whence the Jordan homomorphism  $\alpha$  gives rise to a homomorphism

$$\alpha_{PE} : PE_2(R) \rightarrow PE_2(R'')$$

of *projective elementary groups* (see 4.1), which act on the connected component  $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}(R)$  and a connected component of the subline  $\mathbb{P}(R'') \subseteq \mathbb{P}(R')$ , respectively (Theorem 4.4). The mapping  $\alpha_{\text{PE}}$  is then the key to showing that a well defined mapping  $\bar{\alpha}$  of the points of  $C$  is given by

$$R(1, 0) \cdot E(t_1)E(t_2) \cdots E(t_n) \mapsto R'(1', 0') \cdot E(t_1^\alpha)E(t_2^\alpha) \cdots E(t_n^\alpha) \quad (3)$$

with  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in R$  and  $n \geq 0$ . This  $\bar{\alpha}$  extends (1) and it turns  $\alpha_{\text{PE}}$  into a homomorphism of transformation groups. We show some geometric properties of the mapping  $\bar{\alpha}$  and present several examples to illustrate our results.

In Section 5 we examine homomorphisms of chain geometries. In particular, it will be established that the isomorphisms of affine chain geometries discussed by A. HERZER in [15, 9.1] can be extended to homomorphisms of chain geometries without any additional assumption on the underlying rings (Theorem 5.2). Thus our results yield new examples of homomorphisms of chain geometries.

## 2 The elementary subgroup $E_2(R)$

**2.1** Throughout this paper we shall only consider associative rings with a unit element, which is preserved by homomorphisms, inherited by subrings, and acts unitally on modules. The group of *invertible elements* and the *centre* of a ring  $R$  will be denoted by  $R^*$  and  $Z(R)$ , respectively. Also, we shall write  $\mathcal{S}(R) := R^0 \cup R^1 \cup R^2 \cup \dots$  for the set of all *finite sequences* in  $R$ , including the empty sequence.

**2.2** We recall that the *elementary subgroup*  $E_2(R)$  of the general linear group  $\text{GL}_2(R)$  is generated by the set of all matrices

$$E(t) := \begin{pmatrix} t & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } t \in R. \quad (4)$$

Furthermore,

$$E(t)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} = E(0) \cdot E(-t) \cdot E(0), \quad (5)$$

whence each element of  $E_2(R)$  can be written in the form

$$E(t_1) \cdot E(t_2) \cdots E(t_n) =: E(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) =: E(T) \quad (6)$$

where  $T := (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{S}(R)$  denotes a sequence of  $n \geq 0$  elements; cf. [12, p. 368].

It is easily seen that a  $(2 \times 2)$ -matrix over  $R$  commutes with all matrices  $E(t)$ ,  $t \in R$ , if and only if it has the form  $\text{diag}(a, a)$  with  $a \in Z(R)$ . Hence the centre of  $E_2(R)$  is the subgroup

$$H := E_2(R) \cap \{\text{diag}(a, a) \mid a \in Z(R)^*\}. \quad (7)$$

**2.3** In order to describe the entries of a matrix (6) we consider an infinite sequence  $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  of indeterminates over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle$ . Its elements are polynomials in the non-commuting indeterminates  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

We shall frequently use the following *universal property* of  $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle$  [19, p. 6]: If  $R$  is an arbitrary ring and  $(t_1, t_2, \dots)$  is an infinite sequence of elements in  $R$ , then there is a unique homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle \rightarrow R$  such that  $x_i \mapsto t_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . The image of  $f \in \mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle$  under this homomorphism is written as  $f(t_1, t_2, \dots)$ . Also, we have a homomorphism  $E_2(\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle) \rightarrow E_2(R)$  by the action of  $f \mapsto f(t_1, t_2, \dots)$  on the entries of a matrix. In addition, let  $T = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{S}(R)$  be a finite sequence which may be empty ( $n < 1$ ). Then we put

$$f(T) = f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) := f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, 0, 0, \dots). \quad (8)$$

In order to avoid misinterpretations let us point out the following particular case of (8): Assume that  $f = 2x_2 + x_3 \in \mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle$ ,  $T = (x_2, x_3) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle)$ , and  $V = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ . Then  $f(T) = f(x_2, x_3)$  denotes that polynomial which arises from  $f \in \mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle$  if  $X$  is substituted by  $(x_2, x_3, 0, 0, \dots)$ . As  $f(x_2, x_3) = 2x_3 \neq f$ , we must not write “ $f = f(x_2, x_3)$ ” in order to stress that  $f$  belongs to the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra of  $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle$  generated by  $\{x_2, x_3\}$ . Furthermore,  $f(V) = 2v_2 + v_3$ , but  $(f(T))(V) = (f(x_2, x_3))(V) = 2x_3(V) = 2v_3 = f(v_2, v_3)$ . On the other hand, for each  $g \in \mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle$  there is a sufficiently large integer  $n$  such that  $g = g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ .

**2.4** Following [12, p. 376], we define a sequence of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle$  recursively by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} e^{(-2)} &:= -1, & e^{(-1)} &:= 0, & e^{(0)} &:= 1, \\ e^{(n)} &:= e^{(n-1)} x_n - e^{(n-2)}, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (9)$$

where  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . It will turn out useful to have a short notation for polynomials that arise from the ones given in (9) by a substitution (8) as follows: Given  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $i \geq 1$  and  $j \geq i - 3$  we define

$$e_i^j := e^{(j-i+1)}(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_j), \quad \tilde{e}_i^j := e^{(j-i+1)}(x_j, x_{j-1}, \dots, x_i). \quad (10)$$

So  $j$  is an upper index rather than an exponent. In particular, we have

$$e_1^n = e^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = e^{(n)} \text{ for all } n \in \{-2, -1, \dots\}. \quad (11)$$

Furthermore, each polynomial  $e_i^j$  can be written as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of monomials  $x_{h_1} x_{h_2} \cdots x_{h_m}$  with  $i \leq h_1 < h_2 < \cdots < h_m \leq j$  and  $m$  ranging from 0 to  $j - i + 1$ . Likewise  $\tilde{e}_i^j$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of monomials  $x_{h_1} x_{h_2} \cdots x_{h_m}$  with  $j \geq h_1 > h_2 > \cdots > h_m \geq i$  and  $m$  ranging from 0 to  $j - i + 1$ . For example,  $e_2^2 = e^{(1)}(x_2) = x_2$  and  $e_5^6 = e^{(2)}(x_5, x_6) = x_5 x_6 - 1$ .

Many of the following calculations are based upon the identities

$$e_i^j = e_i^{j-1} x_j - e_i^{j-2}, \quad (12)$$

$$\tilde{e}_i^j = \tilde{e}_{i+1}^j x_i - \tilde{e}_{i+2}^j, \quad (13)$$

which follow from (9) and (10) whenever  $1 \geq i \geq j$ .

Next we describe certain elements of the group  $E_2(\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle)$ :

**Lemma 2.5** *If  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle)$  then*

$$E(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \begin{pmatrix} e_1^n & e_1^{n-1} \\ -e_2^n & -e_2^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

$$E(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{e}_2^{n-1} & -\tilde{e}_1^{n-1} \\ \tilde{e}_2^n & \tilde{e}_1^n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

*Proof:* Clearly, for  $n = 0$  we have  $E() = I$ , the identity in  $E_2(\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle)$ . Now (14) follows easily by induction ([12, p. 376]), since for  $n \geq 1$  we infer from the induction hypothesis and (12) that

$$E(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \begin{pmatrix} e_1^{n-1} & e_1^{n-2} \\ -e_2^{n-1} & -e_2^{n-2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_n & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1^n & e_1^{n-1} \\ -e_2^n & -e_2^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof of (15) runs in a similar manner taking into account the first part of equation (5), (13), and  $E(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^{-1} = E(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)^{-1} \cdot E(x_1)^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**2.6** We obtain the recursion  $e_1^n = x_1 e_2^n - e_3^n$  for  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  from the (1, 1)-entry of the matrix equation  $E(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = E(x_1) \cdot E(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$  together with (14). This yields

$$e_i^j = x_i e_{i+1}^j - e_{i+2}^j, \quad (16)$$

$$\tilde{e}_i^j = x_j \tilde{e}_i^{j-1} - \tilde{e}_i^{j-2}, \quad (17)$$

for  $1 \geq i \geq j$  as counterparts of (12) and (13); cf. [12, p. 376].

**2.7** We now return to an arbitrary ring  $R$ . For each  $T = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{S}(R)$  there is the homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle \rightarrow R : f \rightarrow f(T)$ ; see (8). So we can transfer our calculations from  $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle$  to  $R$  and from  $E_2(\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle)$  to  $E_2(R)$ . For example, (12) yields

$$e_1^n(T) = e_1^{n-1}(T)t_n - e_1^{n-2}(T) = e_1^{n-1}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1})t_n - e_1^{n-2}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2}).$$

whereas (16) gives

$$e_1^n(T) = t_1 e_2^n(T) - e_3^n(T) = t_1 e_1^{n-1}(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_n) - e_1^{n-2}(t_3, t_4, \dots, t_n).$$

Observe that there are numerous ways to rewrite such identities, since we may also add irrelevant ring elements. E.g.,  $e_1^n(T) = e_2^{n+1}(s, T)$  and  $e_1^n(T) = e_1^n(T, v)$  for all  $s, v \in R$ .

It has been pointed out in [1, Lemma 1.5] that  $e_1^3(t_1, t_2, t_3) = t_1 t_2 t_3 - t_3 - t_1 \in R^*$  implies  $\tilde{e}_1^3(t_1, t_2, t_3) = t_3 t_2 t_1 - t_1 - t_3 \in R^*$  for all  $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in R^3$ . The following result is a generalization of this, but we give a completely different proof using the group  $E_2(R)$ :

**Proposition 2.8** *Let  $T \in R^n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Then  $e_1^n(T) \in R^*$  implies  $\tilde{e}_1^n(T) \in R^*$ .*

*Proof:* This is obvious for  $n = 0$ . So let  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $e_1^n(T) \in R^*$ , there are elements  $s, v \in R$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= s e_1^n(T) - e_2^n(T) = s e_2^{n+1}(s, T) - e_3^{n+1}(s, T) = e_1^{n+1}(s, T) = e_1^{n+1}(s, T, v), \\ 0 &= e_1^n(T)v - e_1^{n-1}(T) = e_1^n(T, v)v - e_1^{n-1}(T, v) = e_1^{n+1}(T, v) = e_2^{n+2}(s, T, v), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (16) and (12). We read off from (14) and  $e_2^{n+1}(s, T, v) = e_1^n(T)$  that

$$E(s, T, v) = \text{diag}(e_1^{n+2}(s, T, v), -e_1^n(T)).$$

The inverse of this matrix is diagonal, too, and by (15) its (1, 1)-entry equals  $-\tilde{e}_2^{n+1}(s, T, v) = -\tilde{e}_1^n(T)$ , which is therefore a unit.  $\square$

### 3 Jordan homomorphisms

**3.1** Let  $R$  and  $R'$  be rings. We recall that a mapping  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R'$  is called *Jordan homomorphism* if

$$(a + b)^\alpha = a^\alpha + b^\alpha, \quad 1^\alpha = 1', \quad (aba)^\alpha = a^\alpha b^\alpha a^\alpha \quad \text{for all } a, b \in R. \quad (18)$$

See, among others, [17, p. 2] or [15, p. 832]. For such an  $\alpha$  and an  $a \in R^*$  the equation  $1' = (aa^{-2}a)^\alpha = a^\alpha(a^{-2})^\alpha a^\alpha$  shows that  $a^\alpha \in R'^*$ . Also,  $a^\alpha = (aa^{-1}a)^\alpha = a^\alpha(a^{-1})^\alpha a^\alpha$  implies  $(a^{-1})^\alpha = (a^\alpha)^{-1}$  for all  $a \in R^*$ . We say that  $\alpha$  is *proper* if it is neither a homomorphism nor an antihomomorphism.

In general a Jordan homomorphism is not multiplicative, but on certain expressions, like  $aba$  in (18), it acts “like a ring homomorphism”. In order to generalize this we pass to the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle$ . We say that  $f \in \mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle$  is a *polynomial with Jordan property*, or a *J-polynomial* for short, if  $f(T)^\alpha = f(T^\alpha)$  holds for every Jordan homomorphism  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R'$  between arbitrary rings  $R$  and  $R'$  and every (finite or infinite) sequence  $T$  in  $R$ .

**Examples 3.2** Clearly,  $1$ ,  $x_i$ , and  $x_i x_j x_i$  are J-polynomials for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . The set of all J-polynomials forms a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle$ . Furthermore, suppose that  $G$  is a (finite or infinite) sequence of J-polynomials and that  $f$  is a J-polynomial. Then it is easily seen that also  $f(G)$  has the Jordan property. In particular we obtain the J-polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^2 &= x_1 1 x_1, \\ x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1 &= (x_1 + x_2)^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2, \\ x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_2 x_1 &= (x_1 + x_3) x_2 (x_1 + x_3) - x_1 x_2 x_1 - x_3 x_2 x_3. \end{aligned}$$

Next we give two infinite families of J-polynomials.

**Proposition 3.3** *Let  $n \in \{-1, 0, \dots\}$ . Then  $e_1^n \tilde{e}_1^{n-1}$  is a polynomial with Jordan property.*

*Proof:* We proceed by induction observing that  $e_1^{-1} \tilde{e}_1^{-2} = 0$ ,  $e_1^0 \tilde{e}_1^{-1} = 0$ , and  $e_1^1 \tilde{e}_1^0 = x_1$  are J-polynomials. Letting  $n \geq 2$  we infer from the induction hypothesis and an appropriate substitution that

$$e_i^n \tilde{e}_i^{n-1} = e_1^{n-i+1}(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \tilde{e}_1^{n-i}(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n-1}) \quad (19)$$

is a J-polynomial for  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . The keys for the following calculations are formula (16) and formula (13). So we get

$$\begin{aligned} e_1^n \tilde{e}_1^{n-1} &= (x_1 e_2^n - e_3^n)(\tilde{e}_2^{n-1} x_1 - \tilde{e}_3^{n-1}) \\ &= \underbrace{x_1 (e_2^n \tilde{e}_2^{n-1}) x_1 + e_3^n \tilde{e}_3^{n-1}}_{=: f_1} - (x_1 e_2^n \tilde{e}_3^{n-1} + e_3^n \tilde{e}_2^{n-1} x_1) \end{aligned}$$

where, by (19) and the examples given in 3.2,  $f_1$  is a J-polynomial. Similarly, if  $n \geq 3$  then

$$x_1 e_2^n \tilde{e}_3^{n-1} + e_3^n \tilde{e}_2^{n-1} x_1 = \underbrace{x_1 x_2 (e_3^n \tilde{e}_3^{n-1}) + (e_3^n \tilde{e}_3^{n-1}) x_2 x_1}_{=: f_2} - (x_1 e_4^n \tilde{e}_3^{n-1} + e_3^n \tilde{e}_4^{n-1} x_1)$$

with a J-polynomial  $f_2$ . Also, for  $n \geq 4$  we get

$$x_1 e_4^n \tilde{e}_3^{n-1} + e_3^n \tilde{e}_4^{n-1} x_1 = \underbrace{x_1 (e_4^n \tilde{e}_4^{n-1}) x_3 + x_3 (e_4^n \tilde{e}_4^{n-1}) x_1}_{=: f_3} - (x_1 e_4^n \tilde{e}_5^{n-1} + e_5^n \tilde{e}_4^{n-1} x_1).$$

with a J-polynomial  $f_3$ . Proceeding in this way we arrive either at

$$f_{n-1} - (x_1 e_{n+1}^n \tilde{e}_n^{n-1} + e_n^n \tilde{e}_{n+1}^{n-1} x_1) = f_{n-1} - (x_1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 + x_n \cdot 0 \cdot x_1),$$

when  $n$  is odd, or at

$$f_{n-1} - (x_1 e_n^n \tilde{e}_{n+1}^{n-1} + e_{n+1}^n \tilde{e}_n^{n-1} x_1) = f_{n-1} - (x_1 \cdot x_n \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 1 \cdot x_1),$$

when  $n$  is even. But  $x_1$  is a J-polynomial, whence the assertion follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4** *Let  $n \in \{-2, -1, \dots\}$ . Then  $e_1^n \tilde{e}_1^n$  is a polynomial with Jordan property.*

*Proof:* This is clear when  $n < 0$ . If  $n \geq 0$  then we infer from the  $(1, 2)$ -entry of  $E(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \cdot E(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^{-1} = I$  and Lemma 2.5 that

$$-e_1^n \tilde{e}_1^{n-1} + e_1^{n-1} \tilde{e}_1^n = 0.$$

Hence  $e_1^{n+1} \tilde{e}_1^n = e_1^n x_{n+1} \tilde{e}_1^n - e_1^{n-1} \tilde{e}_1^n = e_1^n x_{n+1} \tilde{e}_1^n - e_1^n \tilde{e}_1^{n-1}$ , where we used (12) and the equation above. So

$$e_1^n x_{n+1} \tilde{e}_1^n = e_1^{n+1} \tilde{e}_1^n + e_1^n \tilde{e}_1^{n-1},$$

and Proposition 3.3 yields that  $e_1^n x_{n+1} \tilde{e}_1^n$  is a J-polynomial. This property remains unaltered if we substitute  $x_{n+1}$  by 1.  $\square$

Our next result generalizes [21, Lemma 1.2] and is based upon the previous propositions. It will be the backbone of many considerations. The theorem says that if certain entries of a matrix  $E(T)$  are of a particular form, then so are the corresponding entries in  $E(T^\alpha)$ .

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R'$  be a Jordan homomorphism and let  $T \in R^n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Then the following holds:*

- (a)  $e_1^n(T) \in R^*$  implies  $e_1^n(T^\alpha) \in R'^*$ .
- (b) If  $e_1^n(T) \in R^*$  and  $e_1^{n-1}(T) = 0$  then  $e_1^{n-1}(T^\alpha) = 0'$ .

*Proof:* (a) We deduce from Proposition 2.8 that  $\tilde{e}_1^n(T) \in R^*$ . Proposition 3.4 and  $R^{*\alpha} \subseteq R'^*$  establish that

$$e_1^n(T^\alpha) \tilde{e}_1^n(T^\alpha) = (e_1^n \tilde{e}_1^n)(T^\alpha) = ((e_1^n \tilde{e}_1^n)(T))^\alpha = (e_1^n(T) \tilde{e}_1^n(T))^\alpha \in R'^*.$$

So  $e_1^n(T^\alpha)$  is right invertible. Let  $\tilde{T}$  be the finite sequence  $T$  written in reverse order. Then

$$\tilde{e}_1^n(T^\alpha) e_1^n(T^\alpha) = e_1^n(\tilde{T}^\alpha) \tilde{e}_1^n(\tilde{T}^\alpha) = (e_1^n(\tilde{T}) \tilde{e}_1^n(\tilde{T}))^\alpha = (\tilde{e}_1^n(T) e_1^n(T))^\alpha \in R'^*,$$

where we used the equation from above in the second step. Hence  $e_1^n(T^\alpha)$  is also left invertible.  
(b) We read off from (12) the identity

$$e_1^{n+1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 1) = e_1^n \cdot 1 - e_1^{n-1}. \quad (20)$$

So  $e_1^{n-1}(T) = 0$  implies  $e_1^{n+1}(T, 1) = e_1^n(T)$ , whereas  $\tilde{e}_1^n(T, 1) = \tilde{e}_1^n(T)$  holds trivially. Since  $e_1^{n+1}\tilde{e}_1^n$  and  $e_1^n\tilde{e}_1^n$  are J-polynomials by Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} e_1^{n+1}(T^\alpha, 1')\tilde{e}_1^n(T^\alpha, 1') &= (e_1^{n+1}\tilde{e}_1^n)(T^\alpha, 1') = ((e_1^{n+1}\tilde{e}_1^n)(T, 1))^\alpha \\ &= (e_1^n(T)\tilde{e}_1^n(T))^\alpha = e_1^n(T^\alpha)\tilde{e}_1^n(T^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Now Proposition 2.8 and (a) allow to cancel the unit  $\tilde{e}_1^n(T^\alpha, 1') = \tilde{e}_1^n(T^\alpha)$ . Hence (20) forces that  $e_1^{n-1}(T^\alpha) = 0'$ .  $\square$

We are now in a position to show our first main result.

**Theorem 3.6** *Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R'$  be a Jordan homomorphism and denote by  $R''$  the subring of  $R'$  generated by  $R^\alpha$ . Then the following statements are true:*

(a) *If  $T \in \mathcal{S}(R)$  then  $E(T) \in H$  implies  $E(T^\alpha) \in H''$ , where  $H$  and  $H''$  denote the centres of  $E_2(R)$  and  $E_2(R'')$ , respectively.*

(b) *The set*

$$N_\alpha := \{E(T^\alpha) \mid T \in \mathcal{S}(R) \text{ and } E(T) = I\} \quad (21)$$

*is contained in  $H''$ .*

(c)  *$N_\alpha$  is a normal subgroup of  $E_2(R'')$ . Furthermore, the mapping*

$$\alpha_E : E_2(R) \rightarrow E_2(R'')/N_\alpha : E(T) \mapsto N_\alpha \cdot E(T^\alpha), \quad (22)$$

*where  $T \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ , is a well defined homomorphism of groups.*

*Proof:* (a) Let  $T \in R^n$  be a sequence such that  $E(T) \in H$ . So, by (7), there is an  $a \in Z(R)^*$  with  $E(T) = \text{diag}(a, a)$ . Put  $\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} := E(T^\alpha)$ . By virtue of (14), all entries of this matrix are in  $R''$ . The first row of  $E(T)$  is  $(e_1^n(T), e_1^{n-1}(T)) = (a, 0)$ . We infer from Theorem 3.5 that  $a'$  is a unit and that  $b' = 0'$ . Next choose any  $s \in R$  and let  $S := (s, T, 0, -s, 0)$ . By (5),

$$E(S) = E(s)E(T)E(s)^{-1} = E(T) = \text{diag}(a, a), \quad (23)$$

$$E(S^\alpha) = E(s^\alpha)E(T^\alpha)E(s^\alpha)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d' & d's^\alpha - s^\alpha a' - c' \\ 0' & a' \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

As before, Theorem 3.5 shows that  $d'$  is a unit and that  $d's^\alpha - s^\alpha a' - c'$  vanishes for all  $s \in R$ . Letting  $s := 0, 1$  yields  $c' = 0'$  and  $a' = d'$ . Hence  $a's^\alpha = s^\alpha a'$  for all  $s \in R$  and therefore  $a' \in Z(R'')$ . We infer that  $E(T^\alpha) \in H''$ .

(b) This is immediate from (a).



(c) First, let us introduce the following notation. Given  $T \in R^n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , we define

$$\widehat{T} := (0, -t_n, 0, 0, -t_{n-1}, 0, \dots, 0, -t_1, 0) \in R^{3n}. \quad (25)$$

We observe that (5) implies  $E(\widehat{T}) = E(T)^{-1}$ . Also, when (25) is applied accordingly to sequences in  $R'$ , then  $\widehat{T}^\alpha = \widehat{T}^\alpha$ .

Clearly,  $I' \in N_\alpha$ . Next assume that  $E(T) = E(V)$  for  $T, V \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ . Then  $E(T, \widehat{V}) = I$ , and  $E(T^\alpha)E(V^\alpha)^{-1} = E(T^\alpha, \widehat{V}^\alpha) = E(T^\alpha, \widehat{V}^\alpha) \in N_\alpha$ . Hence  $E(T^\alpha) \in N_\alpha \cdot E(V^\alpha)$ .

Any two matrices in  $N_\alpha$  can be written in the form  $E(T^\alpha)$ ,  $E(V^\alpha)$  with  $E(T) = E(V) = I$ . By the above,  $E(T^\alpha)E(V^\alpha)^{-1} \in N_\alpha$ . So  $N_\alpha$  is a subgroup of  $E_2(R'')$  which is normal by (a). Summing up, the mapping  $\alpha_E$  is well defined and obviously it is a homomorphism.  $\square$

The reason for introducing the subring  $R''$  in the theorem above is that  $N_\alpha$  need not be normal in  $E_2(R')$ ; cf. Example 3.8 (f) below.

**Corollary 3.7** *If, under the assumptions of Theorem 3.6, the group  $N_\alpha$  is trivial, then*

$$\alpha_E : E_2(R) \rightarrow E_2(R'') : E(T) \mapsto E(T^\alpha),$$

where  $T \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ , is a well defined mapping.

**Examples 3.8** (a) Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R'$  be a homomorphism. Then there is the homomorphism  $\alpha_* : \mathrm{GL}_2(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R') : M \mapsto M^\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\alpha$  is applied to each entry of  $M$ . Obviously,  $E(t)^{\alpha_*} = E(t^\alpha)$  for all  $t \in R$ , whence  $N_\alpha = \{I'\}$  and  $\alpha_*$  restricts to  $\alpha_E : E_2(R) \rightarrow E_2(R'')$ .

(b) Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R'$  be an antihomomorphism. The mapping  $\alpha_{**} : \mathrm{GL}_2(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R') : M \mapsto E(0')^{-1}((M^T)^{\alpha_*})^{-1}E(0')$ , where  $M^T$  denotes the transpose of  $M$ , is a homomorphism. It maps each  $E(t) \in E_2(R)$  to  $E(t^\alpha)$ . Hence  $N_\alpha = \{I'\}$  and  $\alpha_{**}$  restricts to  $\alpha_E$ . If  $R'$  is commutative then  $M^{\alpha_{**}} = \det(M^{\alpha_*})^{-1}M^{\alpha_*}$  for all  $M \in \mathrm{GL}_2(R)$ .

(c) Suppose that  $R = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda$  is the direct product of rings  $R_\lambda$ . Then, up to isomorphism,  $\mathrm{GL}_2(R) = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathrm{GL}_2(R_\lambda)$  and  $E_2(R) = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_2(R_\lambda)$ . Similarly, let  $R' = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R'_\lambda$  and let  $\alpha_\lambda : R_\lambda \rightarrow R'_\lambda$  be a family of mappings, where each  $\alpha_\lambda$  is a homomorphism or antihomomorphism. Then  $\alpha := \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \alpha_\lambda$  is in general a proper Jordan homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R'$ . Now, by (a) or (b), we can choose at least one homomorphism  $\beta_\lambda : \mathrm{GL}_2(R_\lambda) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R'_\lambda)$  which restricts to  $\alpha_{\lambda, E}$ . So  $\beta := \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \beta_\lambda : \mathrm{GL}_2(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R')$  is a homomorphism with  $E(t)^\beta = E(t^\alpha)$  for all  $t \in R$ . Finally, as above,  $N_\alpha = \{I'\}$  and  $\beta$  restricts to  $\alpha_E$ .

(d) The following class of examples is essentially due to A. HERZER [14, 4.2]. Although our assumptions are weaker, HERZER's proofs immediately carry over to our setting.

Suppose that  $D$  is a commutative ring and let  $B$  be a  $D$ -algebra with a  $D$ -linear homomorphism  $\chi : B \rightarrow D$  of rings. Denote by  $M$  a left module over  $D$  which is endowed with a  $D$ -bilinear, alternating, and associative product  $M \times M \rightarrow M$ . Then  $R := B \oplus M$  becomes a  $D$ -algebra, if a product is defined by

$$(b_1 + m_1)(b_2 + m_2) := b_1b_2 + b_1^\chi m_2 + b_2^\chi m_1 + m_1m_2$$

for all  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  and  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ . (The commutativity of  $D$  guarantees that  $R$  is associative.) Assume that  $B', \chi'$ , and  $M'$  are given as above and that  $\alpha_1 : B \rightarrow B'$  is a homomorphism or antihomomorphism of  $D$ -algebras satisfying  $\chi = \alpha_1 \chi'$ . Also, let  $\alpha_2 : M \rightarrow M'$  be an arbitrary  $D$ -linear mapping. Then

$$\alpha : R \rightarrow R' : b + m \mapsto b^{\alpha_1} + m^{\alpha_2} \quad (b \in B, m \in M)$$

is a  $D$ -linear Jordan homomorphism.

- (e) With the notation introduced in Example (d) let  $B = B', \chi = \chi'$ , and  $M = M' = D^3$ . Write  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$  for the canonical basis of  $D^3$ . A product on  $D^3$  with the properties mentioned above is given by  $\varepsilon_i^2 = 0, \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j = -\varepsilon_j \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_3 = 0$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . We define a  $D$ -linear Jordan automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $R$  by  $\alpha_1 = \text{id}_B$ , whereas  $\alpha_2$  fixes  $\varepsilon_1$  and interchanges  $\varepsilon_2$  with  $\varepsilon_3$ . Now  $N_\alpha \neq \{I\}$  follows from

$$\begin{aligned} E(\varepsilon_1)E(\varepsilon_3)E(-\varepsilon_1)E(-\varepsilon_3) &= I, \\ E(\varepsilon_1)E(\varepsilon_2)E(-\varepsilon_1)E(-\varepsilon_2) &= \text{diag}(1 - \varepsilon_3, 1 - \varepsilon_3). \end{aligned}$$

So  $\alpha$  is a proper Jordan automorphism. Also there is no mapping  $E_2(R) \rightarrow E_2(R)$  with  $E(T) \mapsto E(T^\alpha)$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ .

- (f) Let  $R$  be given as in Example (e) with  $B = D$  and  $\chi = \text{id}_D$ . (Then  $R = D^4$  is isomorphic to the exterior algebra  $\bigwedge D^2$ .) Furthermore  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  is defined as in (e), but we reserve the letter  $R'$  for the  $D$ -algebra of  $(4 \times 4)$ -matrices over  $D$ . The right regular representation  $\rho$  of  $R$  maps each  $a \in R$  to that  $(4 \times 4)$ -matrix which describes the linear mapping  $R \rightarrow R : x \mapsto xa$  in terms of the basis  $(1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$ . The product  $\alpha\rho$  is a Jordan monomorphism, say  $\beta : R \rightarrow R'$ . We have  $R'' = R^\beta = R^\rho$ .

The first row of the  $(4 \times 4)$ -matrix  $u' := (1 - \varepsilon_3)^\rho \in R'$  reads  $(1, 0, 0, -1)$ , since  $1(1 - \varepsilon_3) = 1 - \varepsilon_3$ . So it is not in the centre of  $R'$  and there is a  $(4 \times 4)$ -matrix  $r' \in R'$  that does not commute with  $u'$ . A straightforward calculation shows that  $E(r')^{-1} \text{diag}(u', u') E(r')$  is not diagonal. On the other hand, all matrices in  $N_\beta$  are diagonal, since they are in the centre  $H''$  of  $E_2(R'')$ . Example (e) shows that  $\text{diag}(u', u') \in N_\beta$ , whence  $N_\beta$  is not normal in  $E_2(R')$ .

- (g) With  $R$  as in Example (e) let  $\alpha_1 = \text{id}_B$ , whereas  $\alpha_2$  is given by  $\varepsilon_1 \mapsto \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \mapsto \varepsilon_2$ , and  $\varepsilon_3 \mapsto 0$ . Then  $R^\alpha$  is not a subring of  $R$ , since  $\varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_1^\alpha \varepsilon_2^\alpha$  is not in the image of  $\alpha$ .

## 4 The projective line over a ring

**4.1** Consider the free left  $R$ -module  $R^2$ . The *projective line over  $R$*  is the orbit of the free cyclic submodule  $R(1, 0)$  under the natural right action of  $\text{GL}_2(R)$ . In other words,  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  is the set of all  $p \leq R^2$  such that  $p = R(a, b)$ , where  $(a, b)$  is the first row of an invertible matrix; compare [15, p. 785]. If also  $(c, d)$  is the first row of an invertible matrix, then  $R(a, b) = R(c, d)$  if and only if there is a unit  $u \in R^*$  with  $(c, d) = u(a, b)$  [8, Proposition 2.1].

Let  $\{(a, b), (c, d)\}$  be a basis of  $R^2$ . Then the points  $p = R(a, b)$  and  $q = R(c, d)$  are called *distant*. In this case we write  $p \triangle q$ . The vertices of the *distant graph* on  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  are the points of  $\mathbb{P}(R)$ , the edges of this graph are the unordered pairs of distant points. The set  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  can be decomposed into *connected components* (maximal connected subsets of the distant graph); cf. [9, p. 108].

The orbit of  $R(1, 0) \in \mathbb{P}(R)$  under the group  $E_2(R)$  is exactly the connected component of  $R(1, 0)$  [9, Theorem 3.2]. It will be denoted by  $C$ . If a matrix  $E(T) \in E_2(R)$  fixes  $R(1, 0)$  and all points  $R(t, 1)$  with  $t \in R$ , then it is easily seen that  $E(T) = \text{diag}(a, a)$  with  $a \in Z(R)^*$ . On the other hand, each such  $E(T)$  fixes all points of  $\mathbb{P}(R)$ . So the kernel of the group action of  $E_2(R)$  on  $C$  (or  $\mathbb{P}(R)$ ) is the centre  $H$  of  $E_2(R)$ ; cf. (7). As usual, we write  $PE_2(R) := E_2(R)/H$  for the *projective elementary group*.

**Lemma 4.2** *The group  $E_2(R)$  acts 2- $\Delta$ -transitively on the connected component  $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}(R)$ , i.e. transitively on the set of ordered pairs of distant points of  $C$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $(p, q)$  be a pair of distant points in  $C$ . Since all points of  $C$  are in one orbit of  $E_2(R)$ , there exists a matrix in  $E_2(R)$  sending  $p$  to  $R(1, 0)$  and  $q$  to  $q_1 \triangle R(1, 0)$ . So  $q_1 = R(t, 1)$  for some  $t \in R$ . Now  $E(0)E(-t)$  fixes  $R(1, 0)$  and takes  $q_1$  to  $R(0, 1)$ .  $\square$

**4.3** Suppose that  $R''$  is a subring of a ring  $R'$ . As  $GL_2(R'')$  is a subgroup of  $GL_2(R')$ , there is a mapping

$$\mathbb{P}(R'') \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(R') : R''(a'', b'') \mapsto R'(a'', b'')$$

which is easily seen to be injective. It will be used to identify  $\mathbb{P}(R'')$  with a subset of  $\mathbb{P}(R')$ . We have to distinguish between the connected components  $C''$  and  $C'$  of  $R'(1', 0')$  in  $\mathbb{P}(R'')$  and  $\mathbb{P}(R')$ , respectively. Since  $E_2(R'')$  is a subgroup of  $E_2(R')$ , we have  $C'' \subseteq C'$ .

However, unless the centre  $H''$  of  $E_2(R'')$  lies in the centre  $H'$  of  $E_2(R')$ , the group  $PE_2(R'')$  cannot be considered as a subgroup of  $PE_2(R')$ : In general there are two matrices in  $E_2(R'')$  that induce the same transformation on  $C''$ , but distinct transformations on  $C'$ . So we cannot always consider  $PE_2(R'')$  as a group which acts on  $C'$ .

The reader should keep these remarks in mind with regard to the following theorem, which is our second main result:

**Theorem 4.4** *Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R'$  be a Jordan homomorphism and denote by  $R''$  the subring of  $R'$  generated by  $R^\alpha$ . Then the following statements are true.*

(a) *The mapping*

$$\alpha_{PE} : PE_2(R) \rightarrow PE_2(R'') : H \cdot E(T) \mapsto H'' \cdot E(T^\alpha), \quad (26)$$

*where  $T \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ , is a well defined homomorphism of groups.*

(b) *Consider the connected component  $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}(R)$  and the connected component  $C'' \subseteq \mathbb{P}(R'') \subseteq \mathbb{P}(R')$ . Then the mapping*

$$\bar{\alpha} : C \rightarrow C'' : R(1, 0) \cdot E(T) \mapsto R'(1', 0') \cdot E(T^\alpha), \quad (27)$$

*where  $T \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ , is well defined.*

(c) The pair  $(\alpha_{\text{PE}}, \bar{\alpha})$  is a homomorphism of transformation groups.

*Proof:* (a) By Theorem 3.6 (b),  $N_\alpha \subseteq H''$ . So there exists the canonical epimorphism

$$\eta : \text{E}_2(R'')/N_\alpha \rightarrow (\text{E}_2(R'')/N_\alpha)/(H''/N_\alpha) \cong \text{E}_2(R'')/H''.$$

We identify its image with  $\text{PE}_2(R'')$ . So, by (22), the composition  $\alpha_E \eta : \text{E}_2(R) \rightarrow \text{PE}_2(R'')$  is a homomorphism. It follows from Theorem 3.6 (a) that  $H^{\alpha_E} \subseteq H''/N_\alpha = \ker \eta$ . Hence  $H \subseteq \ker \alpha_E \eta$  and  $\alpha_{\text{PE}}$  is a well defined homomorphism of groups.

(b) We regard  $\text{PE}_2(R)$  and  $\text{PE}_2(R'')$  as transformation groups on the connected components  $C$  and  $C''$ , respectively.

Suppose that a matrix  $E(S)$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ , fixes  $R(1, 0)$ . Thus its first row has the form  $(u, 0)$  with  $u \in R^*$ . We infer from Theorem 3.5 that the first row of  $E(S^\alpha)$  reads  $(u', 0')$  with a unit  $u' \in R''$ . So  $E(S^\alpha)$  leaves  $R'(1', 0')$  invariant. This means that under  $\alpha_{\text{PE}}$  the stabilizer of  $R(1, 0)$  is mapped into the stabilizer of  $R'(1', 0')$ .

For each point  $p \in C$  there is a sequence  $T \in \mathcal{S}(R)$  such that  $p = R(1, 0) \cdot E(T)$ . Also let  $p = R(1, 0) \cdot E(V)$  with  $V \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ . So the transformation  $H \cdot E(T)E(V)^{-1} \in \text{PE}_2(R)$  fixes  $R(1, 0)$ , whence the transformation  $H'' \cdot E(T^\alpha)E(V^\alpha)^{-1} \in \text{PE}_2(R'')$  fixes  $R'(1', 0')$ . Therefore  $\bar{\alpha}$  is well defined.

(c) By (a) and (b), the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{E(T)} & C \\ \bar{\alpha} \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\alpha} \\ C'' & \xrightarrow{E(T^\alpha)} & C'' \end{array} \quad (28)$$

commutes for each  $T \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ , whence the assertion follows.  $\square$

**4.5** For each point  $p \in C$  there is a smallest integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $p = R(1, 0) \cdot E(T)$  with  $T \in R^n$ . In fact,  $n$  is just the distance of  $p$  and  $R(1, 0)$  in the distant graph [9, formula (10)]. The supremum of all distances between points of  $C$  is a non-negative integer or  $\infty$ . It is called the *diameter* of  $C$ . Furthermore, we have  $(1, 0) \cdot E(0)^2 = (-1, 0)$  and  $(1, 0) \cdot E(t) = (1, 0) \cdot E(1, t + 1)$  for all  $t \in R$ . So if the diameter of  $C$  is finite, say  $d$ , then it is enough to consider sequences  $T \in R^m$  with fixed length  $m := \max\{2, d\}$  in order to reach all points of  $C$ . By (14), in this case  $\bar{\alpha}$  can be described by the single formula

$$R(e_1^m(T), e_1^{m-1}(T))^{\bar{\alpha}} = R'(e_1^m(T^\alpha), e_1^{m-1}(T^\alpha)) \text{ with } T \in R^m. \quad (29)$$

This generalizes [1, Theorem 2.4], where  $m = 2$  and  $R$  is a ring of stable rank 2. See also [10, Remark 5.4] for the special case of an antiisomorphism of rings. We shall see in Example 4.11 that there are rings where one needs sequences  $T \in R^n$  for infinitely many  $n \geq 0$  in order to describe  $\bar{\alpha}$ .

**4.6** Let us state some immediate consequences of Theorem 4.4. If  $(a, b)$  and  $(a', b')$  are the first rows of the matrices  $E(V) \in \text{E}_2(R)$  and  $E(V^\alpha)$ , respectively, then (28) implies that

$$(R(a, b) \cdot E(T))^{\bar{\alpha}} = R'(a', b') \cdot E(T^\alpha) \quad (30)$$

for all  $T \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ . Letting  $E(V) = E(0)$  we get  $(a, b) = (0, 1)$  and  $(a', b') = (0', 1')$ . Therefore also the second rows of the matrices  $E(T)$  and  $E(T^\alpha)$ , with  $T \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ , represent points corresponding under  $\bar{\alpha}$ . We deduce from (27), (30), and (5) that

$$R(t, 1)^{\bar{\alpha}} = (R(1, 0) \cdot E(t))^{\bar{\alpha}} = R'(1', 0') \cdot E(t^\alpha) = R'(t^\alpha, 1'), \quad (31)$$

$$R(1, t)^{\bar{\alpha}} = (R(0, 1) \cdot E(0, -t, 0))^{\bar{\alpha}} = R'(0', 1') \cdot E(0', -t^\alpha, 0') = R'(1', t^\alpha), \quad (32)$$

for all  $t \in R$ . In particular,  $\bar{\alpha}$  is indeed an extension of the mapping described in (1). Furthermore,  $\bar{\alpha}$  is a *fundamental mapping*; this means that  $R(1, 0)^{\bar{\alpha}} = R'(1', 0')$ ,  $R(0, 1)^{\bar{\alpha}} = R'(0', 1')$ , and  $R(1, 1)^{\bar{\alpha}} = R'(1', 1')$ .

If  $R^\alpha = R''$  then each point of  $C''$  can be written as  $R'(1', 0') \cdot E(T^\alpha)$ , whence  $C^{\bar{\alpha}} = C''$ . Similarly, if  $R^\alpha = R'$  then  $C^{\bar{\alpha}} = C' = C''$ . If  $\bar{\alpha}$  injective then (31) implies the injectivity of  $\alpha$ . Also, if  $\alpha$  is bijective then  $\alpha^{-1} : R' \rightarrow R$  is a Jordan isomorphism and  $\bar{\alpha}^{-1}$  is easily seen to be the inverse of  $\bar{\alpha}$ . What remains open here is whether or not  $C^{\bar{\alpha}} = C''$  implies that  $R^\alpha = R''$  and whether or not  $\bar{\alpha}$  is injective if  $\alpha$  is injective.

**4.7** A mapping  $\mathbb{P}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(R')$  is said to be *harmonic* if it preserves harmonic quadruples or, in other words, if it preserves cross ratio  $-1$  [15, 1.3.5]. If  $(p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3)$  is a harmonic quadruple in  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  then  $p_0, p_1$ , and  $p_i$  are mutually distant for  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . Furthermore, all four points are mutually distant if and only if  $-1 \neq 1$  in  $R$ . Otherwise  $p_2 = p_3$ , whence in this case harmonic mappings do not deserve our interest.

In order to state the next result we have to allow the domain and the codomain of a harmonic mapping to be a subset of a projective line.

**Proposition 4.8** *Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R'$  and  $\bar{\alpha} : C \rightarrow C''$  be given as in Theorem 4.4. Then the following statements are true:*

- (a)  $\bar{\alpha}$  takes pairs of distant points to pairs of distant points.
- (b)  $\bar{\alpha}$  is a harmonic mapping.

*Proof:* (a) Let  $(p, q)$  be a pair of distant points in  $C$ . By Lemma 4.2, there exists a matrix in  $E_2(R)$  sending  $p$  to  $R(1, 0)$  and  $q$  to  $R(0, 1)$ . Clearly, the  $\bar{\alpha}$ -images of  $R(1, 0)$  and  $R(0, 1)$  are distant and, by (28), the points  $p^{\bar{\alpha}}$  and  $q^{\bar{\alpha}}$  are distant, too.

(b) Suppose that  $p_1, p_2$  are points of  $\mathbb{P}(R)$ . Then  $(R(1, 0), R(0, 1), p_1, p_2)$  is a harmonic quadruple if and only if there is a  $u \in R^*$  with  $p_1 = R(u, 1)$  and  $p_2 = R(-u, 1)$ .

If we are given a harmonic quadruple in  $C$  then, by Lemma 4.2 and (28), we may assume without loss of generality that the first two points are  $R(1, 0)$  and  $R(0, 1)$ . So the remaining two points can be described as above. We deduce from (31) and  $u^\alpha \in R'^*$  that under  $\bar{\alpha}$  a harmonic quadruple is obtained.  $\square$

The first part of Proposition 4.8 implies that

$$\text{dist}(p^{\bar{\alpha}}, q^{\bar{\alpha}}) \leq \text{dist}(p, q) \text{ for all } p, q \in C. \quad (33)$$

Here  $\text{dist}(p, q)$  denotes the distance of two points in the distant graph.

**4.9** We turn to following question: If  $C \neq \mathbb{P}(R)$ , how should one extend  $\bar{\alpha}$  to a mapping  $\gamma : \mathbb{P}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(R')$ ? In view of Proposition 4.8 such an extension should at least preserve distant pairs and harmonic quadruples. Here are solutions to this problem.

**Examples 4.10** (a) For each connected component  $C_\mu$  of  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  other than  $C$  choose a matrix  $A_\mu \in \mathrm{GL}_2(R)$  such that the first row of  $A_\mu$  represents a point of  $C_\mu$ . Also select a matrix  $A'_\mu \in \mathrm{GL}_2(R')$ . Then  $A_\mu^{-1}$  maps  $C_\mu$  onto  $C$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}$  takes  $C$  into  $C'$ , and  $A'_\mu$  maps  $C'$  into some connected component of  $\mathbb{P}(R')$ . In this way we obtain a solution  $\gamma$  by pasting together all these mappings.

(b) In Example (a) the matrices  $A'_\mu$  can be chosen at random. Suppose now that there is a homomorphism  $\sigma : \mathrm{GL}_2(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R')$  such that  $\sigma$  restricts to  $\alpha_E$  and such that  $\sigma$  takes the stabilizer of  $R(1, 0)$  into the stabilizer of  $R'(1', 0')$ . Then

$$\bar{\sigma} : \mathbb{P}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(R') : R(1, 0) \cdot M \mapsto R'(1', 0') \cdot M^\sigma \quad \text{with } M \in \mathrm{GL}_2(R) \quad (34)$$

is a well defined extension of  $\bar{\alpha}$  and  $(\sigma, \bar{\sigma})$  is a homomorphism of group actions. The mapping  $\bar{\sigma}$  fits into Example (a) by choosing  $A'_\mu = A_\mu^\sigma$ .

The homomorphisms  $\alpha_*$ ,  $\alpha_{**}$ , and  $\beta$  which have been introduced in 3.8 (a), (b), and (c), respectively, satisfy the conditions above: A matrix  $M \in \mathrm{GL}_2(R)$  stabilizes  $R(1, 0)$  if and only if there are elements  $a, d \in R^*$  and  $c \in R$  with  $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . If  $\alpha$  is a homomorphism of rings then the assertion is immediate. Furthermore, in this case we get the well known mapping

$$\bar{\alpha}_* : \mathbb{P}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(R') : R(a, b) \mapsto R'(a^\alpha, b^\alpha). \quad (35)$$

If  $\alpha$  is an antihomomorphism then a straightforward calculation shows

$$M^{\alpha_{**}} = \begin{pmatrix} (d^\alpha)^{-1} & 0' \\ (a^\alpha)^{-1}c^\alpha(d^\alpha)^{-1} & (a^\alpha)^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

whence the assertion follows also in the remaining cases, cf. also [10, Remark 5.4].

We end this section with an example where  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  has more than one connected component, the connected components of  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  have infinite diameter, and  $\alpha$  is a proper Jordan endomorphism.

**Example 4.11** We specify and slightly modify the data of Example 3.8 (e) as follows: Let  $D = K$  be a commutative field, let  $B = K[x, y]$  be the algebra of polynomials in commuting indeterminates  $x, y$  over  $K$ , and let  $\chi : K[x, y] \rightarrow K : f \mapsto f(0, 0)$ . The module  $M = K^3$  and its multiplication remain unchanged. Hence  $R = K[x, y] \oplus K^3$ . Again  $\alpha_1 = \mathrm{id}_{K[x, y]}$ , but now  $\alpha_2$  is chosen to be any  $K$ -linear mapping with  $\varepsilon_3$  not being an eigenvector. Then  $\alpha$  is a proper  $K$ -linear Jordan endomorphism, since  $(\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2)^\alpha = \varepsilon_3^\alpha \notin K\varepsilon_3$ , whereas  $\varepsilon_1^\alpha\varepsilon_2^\alpha \in K\varepsilon_3$  and  $\varepsilon_2^\alpha\varepsilon_1^\alpha \in K\varepsilon_3$ .

The projection  $\pi : R \rightarrow K[x, y]$  is an epimorphism of  $K$ -algebras with kernel  $\{0\} \oplus K^3$ . By (35), it gives rise to a mapping  $\bar{\pi}_* : \mathbb{P}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(K[x, y])$  which is surjective [8, Proposition 3.5 (3)]. Under  $\bar{\pi}_*$  the connected component of  $R(1, 0)$  is mapped onto the connected component of  $K[x, y](1, 0)$ , since  $\pi_{\mathrm{PE}}$  is a surjection of  $\mathrm{PE}_2(R)$  onto  $\mathrm{PE}_2(K[x, y])$ . The projective line  $\mathbb{P}(K[x, y])$  has more than one connected component and all its connected components have infinite diameter [9, Example 5.7 (c)]. So (33) implies that also  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  has more than one connected component and that  $C \subset \mathbb{P}(R)$  has infinite diameter. By [9, Theorem 3.2 (a)], then all connected components of  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  have infinite diameter.

## 5 On homomorphisms of chain geometries

**5.1** If  $K \subseteq R$  is a (not necessarily commutative) subfield, then the projective line  $\mathbb{P}(K)$  can be identified with a subset of  $\mathbb{P}(R)$ ; cf. 4.3. The orbit of  $\mathbb{P}(K)$  under the group  $\mathrm{GL}_2(R)$  is the set of  $K$ -chains. It turns  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  into a *chain geometry*  $\Sigma(K, R)$ . The following basic properties of chain geometries can be found in [7]: Any three mutually distant points are on at least one  $K$ -chain. Two distinct points are distant if and only if they are on a common  $K$ -chain. Therefore each  $K$ -chain is contained in a unique connected component. In contrast to [15] it is not assumed that  $K$  is in the centre of  $R$ , whence in [7] we used the term *generalized chain geometry* for what is here called a chain geometry.

We now consider two chain geometries  $\Sigma(K, R)$ ,  $\Sigma(K', R')$  and the mapping (27). The following result is a generalization of [1, Theorem 2.4] and [15, 9.1]:

**Theorem 5.2** *Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R'$  be a Jordan homomorphism. The mapping  $\bar{\alpha} : C \rightarrow C''$  maps  $K$ -chains into  $K'$ -chains if and only if for each  $c \in R^*$  there is a  $u'_c \in R'^*$  such that*

$$(Kc)^\alpha \subseteq (u'_c)^{-1} K' u'_c c^\alpha. \quad (36)$$

*Proof:* For each  $c \in R^*$  the point set

$$\mathcal{D}_c := \{R(kc, 1) \mid k \in K\} \cup \{R(1, 0)\} \quad (37)$$

is a  $K$ -chain through  $R(1, 0)$ ,  $R(0, 1)$ , and  $R(c, 1)$ . The  $K'$ -chains passing through  $R'(1', 0')$ ,  $R'(0', 1')$ , and  $R'(c^\alpha, 1')$  are exactly the sets

$$\{R((u'^{-1} k' u') c^\alpha, 1') \mid k' \in K'\} \cup \{R'(1', 0')\} \quad (38)$$

where  $u'$  ranges in  $R'^*$ .

Suppose that  $\bar{\alpha}$  maps  $K$ -chains into  $K'$ -chains. So for each  $c \in R^*$  the point set  $\mathcal{D}_c^{\bar{\alpha}}$  is a subset of a  $K'$ -chain. Now (31) implies that this chain contains the points  $R'(1', 0')$ ,  $R'(0', 1')$ , and  $R'(c^\alpha, 1')$ , whence it can be written in the form (38) for some  $u'_c \in R'^*$  depending on  $c$ . Applying (31) to each point of (37) shows that condition (36) is satisfied.

Conversely, (36) forces that each  $K$ -chain  $\mathcal{D}_c$  given by (37) is mapped into one of the  $K'$ -chains given by (38). By Lemma 4.2, every  $K$ -chain  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq C$  is  $E_2(R)$ -equivalent to some  $K$ -chain through  $R(1, 0)$  and  $R(0, 1)$ . Such a chain has the form

$$\{R(ka, b) \mid k \in K\} \cup \{R(1, 0)\} \text{ with } a, b \in R^*.$$

Since  $\mathrm{diag}(b, b^{-1}) = E(-b)E(-b^{-1})E(-b) \in E_2(R)$ , the chains  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_c$ , where  $c := ab$ , are in one orbit of  $E_2(R)$ . Now (28) shows that also  $\mathcal{D}^{\bar{\alpha}}$  is a subset of a  $K'$ -chain.  $\square$

Condition (36) reduces to  $(Kc)^\alpha \subseteq K'c^\alpha$  provided that  $K'$  is invariant under all inner automorphisms of  $R'$ . This is the case whenever  $K'$  is in the centre of  $R'$ , but there are also other possibilities [7, Examples 2.5].

**5.3** We close with some remarks on a mapping  $\bar{\alpha}$  where  $\alpha$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.2. If  $\mathbb{P}(R) = C$  then  $\bar{\alpha}$  is a *homomorphism of chain geometries*, i.e.,  $K$ -chains are mapped

into  $K'$ -chains. If  $\mathbb{P}(R) \neq C$  then  $\bar{\alpha}$  can be extended to a mapping  $\gamma : \mathbb{P}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(R')$  according to Example 4.10 (a). As the general linear group preserves chains, any such  $\gamma$  is a homomorphism of chain geometries. Explicit examples for this latter case arise from Example 4.11, because all Jordan endomorphisms described there are  $K$ -linear and thus fulfil condition (36).

## References

- [1] Bartolone C (1989) Jordan homomorphisms, chain geometries and the fundamental theorem. *Abh Math Sem Univ Hamburg* **59**: 93–99
- [2] Bartolone C, Bartolozzi F (1985) Topics in geometric algebra over rings. In: Kaya R, Plaumann P, Strambach K (eds) *Rings and Geometry*, pp 353–389. Dordrecht: Reidel
- [3] Bartolone C, Di Franco F (1979) A remark on the projectivities of the projective line over a commutative ring. *Math Z* **169**: 23–29
- [4] Benz W, Samaga H-J, Schaeffer H (1981) Cross ratios and a unifying treatment of von Staudt’s notion of reeller Zug. In: Plaumann P, Strambach K (eds) *Geometry – von Staudt’s Point of View*, pp 127–150. Dordrecht: Reidel
- [5] Blunck A (1992) Chain geometries over local alternative algebras. *J Geom* **44**: 33–44
- [6] Blunck A (1994) Chain spaces over Jordan systems. *Abh Math Sem Univ Hamburg* **64**: 33–49
- [7] Blunck A, Havlicek H (2000) Extending the concept of chain geometry. *Geom Dedicata* **83**: 119–130
- [8] Blunck A, Havlicek H (2000) Projective representations I. Projective lines over rings. *Abh Math Sem Univ Hamburg* **70**: 287–299
- [9] Blunck A, Havlicek H (2001) The connected components of the projective line over a ring. *Adv Geom* **1**: 107–117
- [10] Blunck A, Havlicek H (2001) The dual of a chain geometry. *J Geom* **72**: 27–36
- [11] Cirilincione L, Enea MR (1990) Una generalizzazione del birapporto sopra un anello. *Rend Circ Mat Palermo (II)* **34**: 271–280
- [12] Cohn PM (1966) On the structure of the  $GL_2$  of a ring. *Inst Hautes Etudes Sci Publ Math* **30**: 365–413
- [13] Ferrar JC (1981) Cross-ratios in projective and affine planes. In: Plaumann P, Strambach K (eds) *Geometry – von Staudt’s Point of View*, pp 101–125. Dordrecht: Reidel
- [14] Herzer A (1987) On isomorphisms of chain geometries. *Note Mat* **8**: 251–270



- [15] Herzer A (1995) Chain geometries. In: Buekenhout F (ed) Handbook of Incidence Geometry, pp 781–842. Amsterdam: Elsevier
- [16] Hua LK (1953) On semi-homomorphisms of rings and their application in projective geometry (Russian). Uspehi Matem Nauk (NS) **8**: 143–148
- [17] Jacobson N (1968) Structure and Representation of Jordan Algebras. Providence: Amer Math Soc
- [18] Karzel H, Kroll H-J (1988) Geschichte der Geometrie seit Hilbert. Darmstadt: Wiss Buchges
- [19] Lam TY (1991) A First Course in Noncommutative Rings. New York: Springer
- [20] Limaye BV, Limaye NB (1977) The fundamental theorem for the projective line over commutative rings. Aequationes Math **16**: 275–281
- [21] Limaye BV, Limaye NB (1977) Fundamental theorem for the projective line over non-commutative local rings. Arch Math (Basel) **28**: 102–109

Authors' addresses:

Andrea Blunck, Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Hamburg, Bundesstraße 55, D–20146 Hamburg, Germany

email: [andrea.blunck@math.uni-hamburg.de](mailto:andrea.blunck@math.uni-hamburg.de)

Hans Havlicek, Institut für Geometrie, Technische Universität, Wiedner Hauptstraße 8–10, A–1040 Wien, Austria

email: [havlicek@geometrie.tuwien.ac.at](mailto:havlicek@geometrie.tuwien.ac.at)