

Characterizations of 2-Colorable (Bipartite) and 3-Colorable Graphs

E. Sampathkumar and M. A. Sriraj

Department of Mathematics, University of Mysore, Mysore
India

Email: esampathkumar@eth.net; srinivasa_sriraj@yahoo.co.in

November 12, 2018

Abstract

A *directional labeling* of an edge uv in a graph $G = (V, E)$ by an ordered pair ab is a labeling of the edge uv such that the label on uv in the direction from u to v is $\ell(uv) = ab$, and $\ell(vu) = ba$. New characterizations of 2-colorable (bipartite) and 3-colorable graphs are obtained in terms of directional labeling of edges of a graph by ordered pairs ab and ba . In addition we obtain characterizations of 2-colorable and 3-colorable graphs in terms of matrices called directional adjacency matrices.

Keywords: Uniform directional labeling, uniform adjacency and uniform adjacency matrix.

2010 AMS Classification: 05C78, 05C15, 05B20

1 Introduction

For any definition on graphs we refer the book [1].

An edge uv in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is *directionally labeled* by an ordered pair ab if the label $\ell(uv)$ on uv is ab in the direction from u to v , and $\ell(vu) = ba$. Let uu_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, be the edges at a vertex u in G . If $\ell(uu_i) = ab$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we say that the labelings of the edges at u is *directionally uniform*, and we denote this fact by $\ell_e(u) = ab$.

Definition 1. A graph $G = (V, E)$ admits a directionally uniform edge labeling by ordered pairs ab and ba if for any two adjacent vertices v_1 and v_2 in G , $\ell_e(v_1) = ab \iff \ell_e(v_2) = ba$.




Figure 1

For example, the graphs in Figure 1 admit such edge labelings.

One can easily verify that an odd cycle does not admit such an edge labeling.

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is *bipartite* if V can be partitioned into two sets V_1 and V_2 such that every edge in G joins a vertex in V_1 and a vertex in V_2 .

The following is a new characterization of bipartite graphs.

Theorem 1. *For a graph $G = (V, E)$ the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) G is bipartite.
- (ii) G admits a uniform directional labeling of its edges by ordered pairs ab and ba .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Since G is bipartite, there exists a partition $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ of V such that every edge in G joins a vertex of V_1 and a vertex of V_2 . Directionally label all its edges going from V_1 to V_2 by the ordered pair ab . Then for any edge uv going from V_1 to V_2 , $\ell_e(u) = ab$ and $\ell_e(v) = ba$. This implies that G admits a directional labeling of its edges by the ordered pairs ab and ba .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose there exists such a labeling of the edges of G by ordered pairs ab and ba . Let $V_1 = \{u \in V : \ell_e(u) = ab\}$, and $V_2 = \{v \in V : \ell_e(v) = ba\}$. Since for any two adjacent vertices v_1 and v_2 , $\ell_e(v_1) \neq \ell_e(v_2)$, it follows that V_1 as well as V_2 are independent, and every edge in G joins a vertex of V_1 and a vertex of V_2 . Hence, G is bipartite. \square

2 (1, 2)-Uniform directional labeling of the edges of a graph by ordered pairs ab and ba

2-uniform directional labeling of the edges incident at a vertex.

Let vv_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ be the edges incident to a vertex v in a graph $G = (V, E)$.

If for some edges vv_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\ell(vv_i) = ab$, and some other edges vv_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$, $\ell(vv_j) = ba$, we say that the directional labeling of the edges at v by the ordered pairs ab and ba is *2-uniform*. We denote this fact by $\ell_e(v) = \{ab, ba\}$.

Definition 2. A graph $G = (V, E)$ admits a (1,2)-uniform directional labeling of its edges by ordered pairs ab and ba if for any two adjacent vertices v_1 and v_2 one of the following holds:

- (i) $\ell_e(v_1) = ab, \ell_e(v_2) = ba$
- (ii) $\ell_e(v_1) = ab, \ell_e(v_2) = \{ab, ba\}$
- (iii) $\ell_e(v_1) = ba, \ell_e(v_2) = \{ab, ba\}$.




Figure 2

3 3-Colorable Graphs

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is *3-colorable* if we can color its vertices with 3 colors such that no two adjacent vertices receive the same color, and this is not possible with 2-colors.

The following is a characterization of 3-colorable graphs.

Theorem 2. *For a graph $G = (V, E)$ the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) G is 3-colorable.

(ii) G admits a (1,2)-uniform directional edge labeling by ordered pairs ab and ba .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose G is 3-colorable. Then we can partition $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$ into three independent sets, where say, vertices in V_i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$ are colored i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that every vertex in V_3 has degree at least 2. For, suppose for a vertex v in V_3 , $\deg v = 1$, and vv_i is an edge, and v_i is colored i , $1 \leq i \leq 2$. Then we can recolor v with 1 or 2 according as v_i is colored 2 or 1. This implies that we can shift v to V_1 or V_2 .

First, label all the edges going out of V_1 directionally by ab . Then all the edges going out from V_2 to V_1 are directionally labeled by ba . Now, label all the unlabeled edges going out from V_2 to V_3 by ba . We find that for any $u \in V_1$, $v \in V_2$ and $w \in V_3$, $\ell_e(u) = ab$, $\ell_e(v) = ba$, $\ell_e(w) = \{ab, ba\}$. This implies (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose (ii) holds. Let $V_1 = \{u : \ell_e(u) = ab\}$, $V_2 = \{v : \ell_e(v) = ba\}$, $V_3 = \{w : \ell_e(w) = ab, ba\}$. Since for any two adjacent vertices v_1 and v_2 , $\ell_e(v_1) \neq \ell_e(v_2)$, we see that the sets V_1 , V_2 and V_3 are independent, and hence G is 3-colorable. \square

4 Directional adjacency

The directional labeling of an edge in a graph by an ordered pair ab can also be considered as directional adjacency as follows.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and uv be an edge in G . Sometimes it may be necessary to distinguish the adjacency between u and v in the directions from u to v and from v to u . For example, we can consider the adjacency from u to v as positive, and that from v to u as negative. This is denoted by $da(uv) = 1$, and $da(vu) = -1$.

Suppose

- A, B are two persons,
 - (a) A is talking to B .
 - (b) A is a boss and B is a subordinate of A .
- A, B are nodes in an electrical network, and current is flowing from A to B .
- A is a transmitter and B is a receiver.

In all the above cases, we can consider the adjacency from A to B as positive and that from B to A as negative.

5 Uniform directional adjacency(*u.d.adjacency*)

As in the case of uniform directional labeling of edges at a vertex, we define *u.d.adjacency* at a vertex as follows.

Let uu_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ be edges at a vertex u in G . We say that directional adjacency is *uniform* at u if $da(uu_i) = 1$ or -1 , for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

If the directional adjacency is uniform at u , we denote this fact by $da(u) = 1$ or $da(u) = -1$, accordingly.

A graph G is said to have *u.d.adjacency* if it has such an adjacency at each of its vertices.

One can easily verify the following:

Proposition 3. *A graph G has u.d.adjacency if, and only if, for any two adjacent vertices u and v $da(u) = 1 \iff da(v) = -1$. Note that not all graphs have u.d.adjacency.*

For example, consider K_3 in Figure 3.




Figure 3

Suppose it has *u.d.adjacency*. Then say $da(v_1) = 1$. This implies $da(v_2) = -1$, $da(v_3) = 1$ and $da(v_1) = -1$, which is a contradiction.

6 Directional Adjacency Matrix of a Graph

Let $G = (V, E)$ be graph of order p , and $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$. A *directional adjacency matrix (d.a.matrix)* $M = [a_{ij}]$ of G is a matrix of order p whose entries a_{ij} are defined as follows: For any two vertices v_i, v_j in G .

If $v_i v_j$ is an edge then $a_{ij} = 1$ or -1 . If $v_i v_j$ is an edge, then the directional adjacency from v_i to v_j is said to be positive or negative according as $a_{ij} = 1$ or -1 . The directional adjacency between v_i and v_j is *symmetric* if $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 1$ or -1 . Further, the directional adjacency between v_i and v_j is *antisymmetric* if $a_{ij} = 1 \iff a_{ji} = -1$. If v_i, v_j are not adjacent $a_{ij} = 0$. Let $v_i v_1, v_i v_2, \dots, v_i v_k$ be the edges at a vertex v_i . Then the directional adjacency at a vertex v_i is said to be uniform if $a_{ii_1} = a_{ii_2} = \dots = a_{ii_k} = 1$ or -1 . Note that the directional adjacency at v_i is uniform if, and only if, all the non zero entries in the i^{th} row of the d.a.matrix M are either 1 or -1 .

For example, the d.a. matrix M of the tree T in Figure 4 is the uniform d.a.matrix of T .




Figure 4

We can now restate the Proposition 4 as follows involving uniform d.a.matrix.

Proposition 4. *For a graph G the following statements are equivalent.*


- (i) G is bipartite.
- (ii) G has a uniform d.a. matrix.

7 (1,2)-Uniform Directional adjacency Matrix of a Graph


Let $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$ be the vertex set of a graph $G = (V, E)$, and $M = [a_{ij}]$ be a directional adjacency matrix of G .

If all nonzero entries in the i^{th} row of M are 1 or -1 , we indicate this by $r_M(i) = 1$ or -1 accordingly. It may happen that in an i^{th} row, the nonzero entries may contain both 1 and -1 . In this case we denote this fact by $r_M(i) = \{1, -1\}$. A d.a.matrix $M = [a_{ij}]$ of G is called $(1, 2)$ -uniform if for any two adjacent vertices v_i and v_j , one of the following holds:

- (i) $r_M(i) = 1, r_M(j) = -1$
- (ii) $r_M(i) = 1, r_M(j) = \{1, -1\}$
- (iii) $r_M(i) = -1, r_M(j) = \{1, -1\}$.



| | v_1 | v_2 | v_3 |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| v_1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| v_2 | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| v_3 | -1 | 1 | 0 |



| | v_1 | v_2 | v_3 | v_4 | v_5 | v_6 |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| v_1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| v_2 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| v_3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| v_4 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| v_5 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| v_6 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Figure 5

For example, the d.a.matrix of K_3 and the graph G in Figure 5 are $(1, 2)$ -uniform.

Note: If M is a $(1, 2)$ -uniform directional adjacency matrix of a graph G , then for any two adjacent vertices v_i and v_j , $r_M(i) \neq r_M(j)$. One can restate Proposition 5 as follows involving $(1, 2)$ -uniform d.a.matrix.

Proposition 5. *For a graph G the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) G is 3-colorable.

(ii) G has a $(1, 2)$ -uniform d.a.matrix.

References

- [1] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc. (1969).