Effects of Marangoni numbers on thermocapillary drop migration: constant for quasi-steady state?

Zuo-Bing Wu^{*}[1] and Wen-Rui Hu⁺

State Key Laboratory of Nonlinear Mechanics^{*}, National Microgravity Laboratory⁺, Institute of Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

June 18, 2021

 $^{^1 \}rm Corresponding author. Tel:. +86-10-82543955; fax.: +86-10-82543977. Email addresses: wuzb@lnm.imech.ac.cn (Z.-B. Wu)$

Abstract

The overall *steady*-state energy balance with two phases in a flow domain requires that the change in energy of the domain is equal to the difference between the total energy entering the domain and that leaving the domain. From the condition, the integral thermal flux across the surface is studied for a *steady* thermocapillary drop migration in a flow field with uniform temperature gradient at small and large Marangoni (Reynolds) numbers. The drop is assumed to have only a slight axisymmetric deformation from a sphere. It is identified that a conservative/nonconservative integral thermal flux across the surface in the steady thermocapillary drop migration at small/large Marangoni (Reynolds) numbers. The conservative flux confirms the assumption of quasi-steady state in the thermocapillary drop migration at small Marangoni (Reynolds) numbers. The nonconservative flux may well result from the invalid assumption of quasisteady state, which indicates that the thermocapillary drop migration at large Marangoni (Reynolds) numbers cannot reach steady state and is thus a *unsteady* process.

Keywords Interfacial tension; Thermocapillary migration of drop; Quasi-steady state; Microgravity

1 Introduction

The motion of a drop or bubble in the microgravity environment embedded in an immiscible mother liquid with a uniform temperature gradient is termed as thermocapillary migration of the drop or bubble, which is a very interesting topic for both fundamental theory and engineering application[1]. Young et al(1959) carried out an initial study in this area, called as YGB model[2], and gave an analytical prediction on its migration speed in the limit case of zero Reynolds(Re) and zero Marangoni(Ma) numbers, and a series of theoretical analyses, numerical simulations and experimental investigations on this subject were carried out ever since. Subramanian(1981)[3] and Crespo et al(1996)[4] extended the YGB results to small Ma numbers and obtained analytical results in series expansion of Ma numbers. With consideration of thermal boundary layer, the analytical results for migration speed of a bubble at large Ma (Re) numbers[5,6] agree well with the corresponding results of steady state numerical simulations[7,8,4] and experimental studies[9].

Although the thermocapillary bubble migration processes are understood very well, the behavior of thermocapillary drop migration appears rather complicated due to the transfer of momentum and energy though the interface of two-phase fluids. For the migration of a drop, on the one hand, the experimental result of the migration speed at small drops $11 \pm 1.5 \mu m$ in diameter obtained by Braun et al(1993)[10] agrees with the YGB model. On the other hand, another experiment for larger drops with diameters ranging from 0.69 to 2.38 mm performed by Wozniak(1991)[11] shows that the migration velocities are smaller than those given by the YGB linear prediction. Afterward more attention has been paid to thermocapillary drop migration for large Ma (Re) numbers. Hadland et al(1999)[9] carried out experiments based on Fluorinert liquid FC-75 and 10cst silicone oil as the

drop phase and continuous phase during the NASA Space Shuttle mission with the maximal Ma(Re) number up to 3300(49.1). It was shown that the drop migration speed nondimensionalized by the YGB velocity decreased as the Ma number increased and the global migration process exhibited an unsteady nature. To further observe the variation trend of drop migration velocity with increasing Ma number, Xie et al(2005)[12] adopted Fluorinert liquid FC-75 and 5cst silicone oil as the drop and the continuous phases, respectively, and performed experiments in the Chinese spacecraft ShenZhou-4. The experimental investigation was completed for several ranges of large Ma (Re) numbers, where the drop didn't reach the steady state in the migration process, and the maximal Ma(Re) number reached 5525(302.6). It was also observed that the non-dimensional drop migration velocity decreased as the Ma numbers increased. However, from the theoretical analysis for the large Ma (Re) numbers, it was reported [13] that the migration speed of a drop increased with increasing Ma number, which is in qualitative agreement with the corresponding numerical simulation [14]. Both the theoretical analysis and numerical simulation are based on the assumptions of quasi-steady state and non-deformation of the drop. The above qualitative difference between experimental observations and theoretical/numerical results may result from the quasi-steady state or non-deformation assumptions of the drop in the model. Moreover, Herrmann et al[15] and Wu et al[16] adopted respectively the numerical methods to investigate the thermocapillary motion of deformable and non-deformable drops and indicated that the assumption of quasi-steady state was not valid for large Ma numbers. Therefore, the thermocapillary drop migration at large Ma (Re) numbers is still a topic to be further studied with emphasis laid on its physical mechanism.

To address the discrepancies between experimental and theroretical/numerical

results, in this paper, our effects are focused on the assumption of quasisteady state in the process of thermocapillary drop migration. The drop may have only a slight axisymmetric deformation from a sphere. By using the asymptotic expansion method, we investigate the continuity of integral thermal flux across the surface based on the overall steady-state energy balance in the flow domain, and analyze the existence of quasi-steady migration of the drop at zero, small and large Ma (Re) numbers.

2 Models and quasi-steady state assumption

Consider the thermocapillary migration of a spherical drop of radius R_0 , density $\gamma \rho$, dynamic viscosity $\alpha \mu$, thermal conductivity βk , and thermal diffusivity $\lambda \kappa$ in a continuous phase fluid of infinite extent with density ρ , dynamic viscosity μ , thermal conductivity k, and thermal diffusivity κ under a uniform temperature gradient G. The change rate of the interfacial tension between the drop and the continuous phase fluid with temperature is denoted by σ_T . Axisymmetric energy equations for the continuous phase and for the fluid in the drop in a laboratory coordinate system denoted by a bar are written as follows

$$\frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial t} + \bar{\mathbf{v}} \bar{\nabla} \bar{T} = \kappa \bar{\Delta} \bar{T},$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{T}'}{\partial t} + \bar{\mathbf{v}}' \bar{\nabla} \bar{T}' = \lambda \kappa \bar{\Delta} \bar{T}',$$
(1)

where $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$ and \bar{T} are velocity and temperature, and a prime denotes quantities inside the drop. The solutions of Eq. (1) have to satisfy the boundary conditions at infinity

$$\bar{T}_{\infty} \to T_0 + G\bar{z},$$
 (2)

where T_0 is the undisturbed temperature of the continuous phase and the boundary conditions at the interface (\bar{r}_b, \bar{z}_b) of the two fluids

$$\bar{T}(\bar{r}_b, \bar{z}_b, t) = \bar{T}'(\bar{r}_b, \bar{z}_b, t),
\frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial n}(\bar{r}_b, \bar{z}_b, t) = \beta \frac{\partial \bar{T}'}{\partial n}(\bar{r}_b, \bar{z}_b, t),$$
(3)

where **n** is a unit vector normal to the interface. In what follows, the undisturbed temperature T_0 is reduced for simplicity.

In general, the surface tension decreases with the increasing of the local temperature. For a temperature field with its gradient in the \bar{z} direction, the generated surface tension force is a net force along the surface and the droplet starts to move towards the warm side under the action of net force. When the net force acting on the drop at the flow direction is zero, the thermocapillary drop migration reaches a stable process. However, due to the variation of physical parameters with the ambient temperature, the migration process may not reach stable state. Only when the migration is sufficiently slow that the order of relevant time scale for the transport process to generate stable velocity and temperature fields is smaller than that for the drop to move an appreciable distance, the assumption of the quasi-steady state is valid. It means that after experiencing an initial unstable migration process, the drop migration may reach a steady state at the time t_0 and the position $\mathbf{r}_0 = z_0 \mathbf{k}$, i.e., migrating with a constant drop migration speed V_{∞} . Using the coordinate transformation from the laboratory coordinate system to a coordinate system moving with the drop velocity V_{∞}

$$\bar{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_0 + V_{\infty}(t - t_0)\mathbf{k}, \quad \bar{\mathbf{v}}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}, t) = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{\infty}\mathbf{k}, \quad \bar{T}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}, t) = T(\mathbf{r}) + G[z_0 + V_{\infty}(t - t_0)],$$
$$\bar{\mathbf{v}'}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}, t) = \mathbf{v}'(\mathbf{r}) + V_{\infty}\mathbf{k}, \quad \bar{T'}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}, t) = T'(\mathbf{r}) + G[z_0 + V_{\infty}(t - t_0)]$$
(4)

the problem (1) can be formulated as

$$GV_{\infty} + \mathbf{v}\nabla T = \kappa\Delta T,$$

$$GV_{\infty} + \mathbf{v}'\nabla T' = \lambda\kappa\Delta T'.$$
(5)

The details of the transformation are given in Appendix 1. By taking the radius of the drop R_0 , the velocity $v_0 = -\sigma_T G R_0 / \mu$ and $G R_0$ as the reference quantities to make the coordinates, velocity and temperature dimensionless, energy equations (5) combined with the continuous equations can be written in the following dimensionless form in a spherical coordinate system (r, θ)

$$V_{\infty} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}T) = \frac{1}{Ma} \Delta T, \tag{6}$$

$$V_{\infty} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}'T') = \frac{\lambda}{Ma} \Delta T', \qquad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (u, v)$ and Marangoni number is defined as

$$Ma = \frac{v_0 R_0}{\kappa}.$$
(8)

By using the transformation (4), the boundary conditions (2) and (3) can be respectively written in the form of dimensionaless as follows

$$T \to r \cos \theta, \text{as } r \to \infty$$
 (9)

at places far away from the drop and

$$T(r_0, \theta) = T'(r_0, \theta), \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}(r_0,\theta) = \beta \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n}(r_0,\theta) \tag{11}$$

at the interface of the two fluids. Thus, once the drop migration reaches a steady state, the above problem in the laboratory coordinate system can be described by the steady energy equations (6)(7) with the boundary conditions (9)(10)(11) in the coordinate system moving with the drop velocity. This implies the overall steady-state energy balance with two phases in the flow domain in the co-moving frame of reference.

3 Integral thermal flux across the drop surface under the quasi-steady state assumption

In general, for a two-phase flow, as is the case in the present problem, if the quasi-steady state assumption is valid, the solutions of the problem not only satisfy the differential energy equations with boundary conditions, but also the overall steady-state energy with two phases in the flow domain under integral boundary conditions keeps balance. However, if the quasi-steady state assumption is invalid, the overall steady-state energy with two phases in the flow domain under integral boundary conditions is not balanced. This means the solutions of the problem also cannot satisfy the differential energy equations with boundary conditions. Thus, to confirm whether the thermocapillary drop migrations at different Ma (Re) numbers are always in the quasi-steady state processes, we may analyze the overall steady-state energy of two phases in the flow domain in the co-moving frame of reference under integral boundary conditions.

For the thermocapillary drop migration, to get the overall steady-state energy transport of two phases in the flow domain in the co-moving frame of reference, we have to integrate Eq. (6) and Eq. (7) in the continuous phase domain $(r \in [r_0, r_\infty], \theta \in [0, \pi])$ and within the drop region $(r \in [0, r_0], \theta \in [0, \pi])$ as

$$\int_{r_0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\pi} V_{\infty} dV + \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\pi} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}T) dV = \frac{1}{Ma} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\pi} \Delta T dV, \tag{12}$$

$$\int_0^{r_0} \int_0^{\pi} V_{\infty} dV + \int_0^{r_0} \int_0^{\pi} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}' T') dV = \frac{\lambda}{Ma} \int_0^{r_0} \int_0^{\pi} \Delta T' dV, \tag{13}$$

and Eq. (10) and Eq. (11) at the drop surface $(r = r_0, \theta \in [0, \pi])$ as

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} T(r_0, \theta) dS = \int_{0}^{\pi} T'(r_0, \theta) dS,$$
(14)

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}(r_{0},\theta)dS = \beta \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n}(r_{0},\theta)dS,$$
(15)

where $dV = r^2 \sin \theta dr d\theta$ and $dS = r^2 \sin \theta d\theta$. And then transforming the volume integration of Eq. (12) and Eq. (13) in the flow domains to the surface integration over the droplet surface and the surface at infinity in terms of the Gaussian formula, we have

$$\frac{2V_{\infty}}{3}(r_{\infty}^3 - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\pi} r_0^3 \sin\theta d\theta) + \oint (uT)|_{r_{\infty}} dS - \oint (uT)|_{r_0} dS = \frac{1}{Ma} (\oint \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}|_{r_{\infty}} dS - \oint \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}|_{r_0} dS)$$
(16)

and

$$\frac{V_{\infty}}{3} \int_0^{\pi} r_0^3 \sin \theta d\theta + \oint (u'T')|_{r_0} dS = \frac{\lambda}{Ma} \oint \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n}|_{r_0} dS.$$
(17)

Using the zero normal velocity boundary condition at the interface, we can derive

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} |_{r_{0}} r_{0}^{2} \sin \theta d\theta = r_{\infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} |_{r_{\infty}} \sin \theta d\theta - Mar_{\infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} (uT) |_{r_{\infty}} \sin \theta d\theta - \frac{2V_{\infty}Ma}{3} (r_{\infty}^{3} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} r_{0}^{3} \sin \theta d\theta)$$
(18)

and

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta = \frac{V_\infty M a}{3\lambda} \int_0^\pi r_0^3 \sin \theta d\theta, \tag{19}$$

where the outer normal vector at infinity is the radial coordinate axis. Thus, Eq. (18) and Eq. (19) display integral thermal fluxes across the drop surface obtained from the overall energy transport. We assume that the drop has only a slight axisymmetric deformation from a sphere

$$r_0 = 1 + f(\theta), \quad f \ll 1.$$
 (20)

For this case, Eq. (18) and Eq. (19) may be written as

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta = r_{\infty}^2 \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} |_{r_{\infty}} \sin \theta d\theta - Mar_{\infty}^2 \int_{0}^{\pi} (uT) |_{r_{\infty}} \sin \theta d\theta - \frac{2V_{\infty}Ma}{3} [r_{\infty}^3 - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} f \sin \theta d\theta + O(f^2)]$$

$$(21)$$

and

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta = \frac{V_\infty M a}{3\lambda} [2 + 3 \int_0^\pi f \sin \theta d\theta + O(f^2)].$$
(22)

To next-to-leading (first) order in f, Eq. (21) and Eq. (22) may finally be written as

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta = r_{\infty}^2 \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} |_{r_{\infty}} \sin \theta d\theta - Mar_{\infty}^2 \int_{0}^{\pi} (uT) |_{r_{\infty}} \sin \theta d\theta - \frac{2V_{\infty}Ma}{3} (r_{\infty}^3 - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} f \sin \theta d\theta)$$
(23)

and

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta = \frac{V_\infty M a}{3\lambda} (2 + 3 \int_0^\pi f \sin \theta d\theta).$$
(24)

From Eq. (14) and Eq. (15), we have integral boundary conditions across the drop surface

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} T|_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta = \int_{0}^{\pi} T'|_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta,$$
(25)

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta = \beta \int_0^\pi \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta.$$
(26)

Thus, for a quasi-steady state thermocapillary migration of the drop with the slight deformation from the sphere, the overall steady-state energy balance of two phases in the flow domain in the co-moving frame of reference requires that the integral thermal fluxes (23) and (24) at the drop surface obtained from the overall energy transport are self-consistent with the integral bound-ary condition (26). In the following, we will investigate the self-consistency for the different Ma(Re) numbers.

3.1 Conservative integral thermal flux across the drop surface at zero Ma (Re) numbers

In the case of zero Re $(Re = \frac{v_0 R_0}{\nu})$ and zero Ma numbers, i.e. the YGB model, scaled velocity and temperature fields of the continuous phase and

within the drop in Eq. (6) and Eq. (7) may be described [2, 17] as

$$u = -V_{\infty} \cos \theta (1 - \frac{1}{r^3}),$$

$$v = V_{\infty} \sin \theta (1 + \frac{1}{2r^3}),$$

$$T = (r + \frac{1-\beta}{2+\beta} \frac{1}{r^2}) \cos \theta,$$

(27)

and

$$u' = \frac{3}{2} V_{\infty} \cos \theta (1 - r^2),$$

$$v' = -\frac{3}{2} V_{\infty} \sin \theta (1 - 2r^2),$$

$$T' = \frac{3}{2+\beta} r \cos \theta.$$
(28)

Since Ma = 0, using the temperature field in (27), we can derive the following equality from Eq. (23) and Eq. (24)

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta = \beta \int_0^\pi \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta = 0.$$
(29)

Thus, under the quasi-steady state assumption, the integral thermal flux across the drop surface at zero Re and zero Ma numbers is conservative, which corresponds to the integral thermal flux boundary condition (26). This implies the overall steady-state energy balance of two phases in the flow domain in the co-moving frame of reference. The quasi-steady state assumption is valid.

3.2 Conservative integral thermal flux across the drop surface at small Ma (Re) numbers

For small Re number, the velocity fields in Eq. (6) and Eq. (7) may be described by the creeping flow. The general solutions of the scaled flow field in the continuous phase and within the drop are given by [18, 19] as

$$u = -V_{\infty} \cos \theta (1 - \frac{1}{r^3}) - (1 - \frac{1}{r^2}) \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} D_n r^{-n+1} P_{n-1}(\cos \theta),$$

$$v = V_{\infty} \sin \theta (1 + \frac{1}{2r^3}) + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} D_n (\frac{-n+3}{r^{n-1}} - \frac{-n+1}{r^{n+1}}) C_n^{-1/2}(\cos \theta) / \sin \theta$$
(30)

and

$$u' = \frac{3}{2} V_{\infty} \cos \theta (1 - r^2) + (\frac{1}{r^2} - 1) \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} D_n r^n P_{n-1} (\cos \theta),$$

$$v' = -\frac{3}{2} V_{\infty} \sin \theta (1 - 2r^2) - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} D_n [nr^{n-2} - (n+2)r^n] C_n^{-1/2} (\cos \theta) / \sin \theta,$$
(31)

where $C_n^{-1/2}(\cos\theta)$ is the Gegenbauer polynomial of order n and degree $-\frac{1}{2}$, $P_{n-1}(\cos\theta)$ is the Legendre polynomial of order n. D_n is given as

$$D_n = -\frac{n(n-1)}{4(1+\alpha)} \int_0^{\pi} C_n^{-1/2}(\cos\theta) \frac{\partial T}{\partial\theta} d\theta.$$
(32)

And scaled temperature fields in the continuous phase and within the drop at the small Ma numbers are given in [19] as

$$T = (r + \frac{1-\beta}{2+\beta}\frac{1}{r^2})\cos\theta + \frac{1}{3\lambda(2+\beta)^2(2+3\alpha)}\left[\frac{\delta_1}{r} + \frac{\delta_2}{r^4} + P_2(\cos\theta)(\frac{\delta_3}{r} + \frac{\delta_4}{r^3} + \frac{2\delta_2}{r^4})\right]\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2),$$

$$T' = \frac{3}{2+\beta}r\cos\theta + \frac{1}{\lambda(2+\beta)^2(2+3\alpha)}\left[\delta'_1 + \delta'_2r^2 - \frac{3}{4}r^4 + P_2(\cos\theta)(\delta'_4r^2 + \frac{3}{7}r^4)\right]\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)$$
(33)

where $\delta_1 = 2[\lambda(1-\beta) - \beta(2+\beta)], \ \delta_2 = -\frac{\lambda}{2}(1-\beta), \ \delta_3 = -\lambda(4-\beta), \ \delta_4 = \frac{1}{7(3+2\beta)}[7\lambda(8+5\beta-4\beta^2)-18\beta], \ \delta_1' = \frac{1}{12}[6\lambda(1-\beta) - (8\beta^2+20\beta+17)], \ \delta_2' = \frac{1}{6}(2\beta+13), \ \delta_4' = -\frac{1}{21(3+2\beta)}[7\lambda(7-\beta)+9(3+4\beta)] \ \text{and the small parameter} \ \text{is } \epsilon = Ma.$ Then, using the temperature field in (33), we simplify Eq. (23) and Eq. (24) to

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} |_{r_{0}} r_{0}^{2} \sin \theta d\theta &= -\frac{2}{3\lambda(2+\beta)^{2}(2+3\alpha)} \left(\delta_{1} + \frac{4\delta_{2}}{r_{\infty}^{3}}\right) \epsilon + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{3(2+\beta)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_{\infty}^{3}}\right) V_{\infty} \epsilon \\ &+ V_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\pi} f \sin \theta d\theta \epsilon + O(\epsilon^{2}) \\ \approx -\frac{2\delta_{1}}{3\lambda(2+\beta)^{2}(2+3\alpha)} \epsilon + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{3(2+\beta)} V_{\infty} \epsilon + V_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\pi} f \sin \theta d\theta \epsilon + O(\epsilon^{2}) \\ &= \left[\frac{2\beta}{3\lambda} V_{\infty}^{0} + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{3(2+\beta)} (V_{\infty} - V_{\infty}^{0}) + V_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\pi} f \sin \theta d\theta \right] \epsilon + O(\epsilon^{2}). \end{split}$$
(34)

and

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta = \frac{V_\infty}{3\lambda} (2 + 3 \int_0^\pi f \sin \theta d\theta) \epsilon, \qquad (35)$$

where $V_{\infty}^{0} = \frac{2}{(2+\beta)(2+3\alpha)}$ is the migration velocity of the droplet at zero Re and zero Ma numbers. From Eq. (34) and Eq. (35), we have

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}|_{r_{0}} - \beta \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n}|_{r_{0}}\right) r_{0}^{2} \sin \theta d\theta = \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{\beta}{\lambda} - \frac{1-\beta}{2+\beta}\right) \left(V_{\infty}^{0} - V_{\infty}\right) \epsilon + (1 - \frac{\beta}{\lambda}) V_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\pi} f \sin \theta d\theta \epsilon + O(\epsilon^{2}).$$
(36)

Since $\epsilon \to 0(V_{\infty} \to V_{\infty}^0)$ and $f \ll 1$, we have

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta \approx \beta \int_0^\pi \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta.$$
(37)

Thus, under the quasi-steady state assumption, the integral thermal flux across the drop surface at small Re and small Ma numbers is conservative, which corresponds to the thermal flux boundary condition (26). This implies the overall steady-state energy balance of two phases in the flow domain in the co-moving frame of reference. The quasi-steady state assumption is valid.

3.3 Nonconservative integral thermal flux across the drop surface at large Ma (Re) numbers

For large Re number, the velocity fields in Eq. (6) and Eq. (7) can be described by potential flows and boundary layer flows[20]. The scaled inviscid velocity field in the continuous phase and Hill's spherical vortex within the drop can be respectively written as

$$u = -V_{\infty} \cos \theta (1 - \frac{1}{r^3}),$$

$$v = V_{\infty} \sin \theta (1 + \frac{1}{2r^3})$$
(38)

and

$$u' = \frac{3V_{\infty}}{2} \cos \theta (1 - r^2),$$

$$v' = -\frac{3V_{\infty}}{2} \sin \theta (1 - 2r^2).$$
(39)

Since Eq. (9) only gives the primary approximation of the temperature field at infinity, we have to obtain an asymptotic expansion of T for the integration of Eq. (23). To determine the asymptotic behavior of T at $r \gg 1$, the analytical result of outer temperature field in the continuous phase at the small parameter $\epsilon = 1/\sqrt{V_{\infty}Ma}$ is given in [6] as

$$T = r\cos\theta + \int_{\infty}^{r} (v\sin\theta - u\cos\theta - 1)/u|_{\Psi} d\tilde{r} + o(1), \qquad (40)$$

where $\Psi[=\frac{1}{2}\sin^2\theta(r^2-1/r)]$ is the streamfunction of the continuous phase and the symbol "+" before the integral is determined to preserve the monotonously increasing trend of T(r,0) with r(>1) in the continuous phase. Using Eq. (38), it can be derived as:

$$T = r\cos\theta + \int_{\infty}^{r} \frac{1}{\tilde{r}^{3} - 1} \frac{1 - 3\sin^{2}\theta}{2\cos\theta} |_{\Psi} d\tilde{r} + o(1).$$
(41)

Replacing θ by Ψ in Eq. (41), we have

$$T = r\cos\theta + \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tilde{r}^{3} - 1} \frac{3\Psi/(\tilde{r}^{2} - 1/\tilde{r}) - 1}{\pm\sqrt{1 - 2\Psi/(\tilde{r}^{2} - 1/\tilde{r})}} |_{\Psi} d\tilde{r} + o(1), \qquad (42)$$

where the symbol " \pm " in the integral depends on the value of θ (the symbol "+"/" -" corresponds to $\theta \in [0, \pi/2)/[\pi/2, \pi)$). At $r \gg 1$, the result (42) can be expressed as

$$T \approx r \cos \theta + \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tilde{r}^{3}} \frac{3\Psi/\tilde{r}^{2}-1}{\pm\sqrt{1-2\Psi/\tilde{r}^{2}}} |_{\Psi} d\tilde{r} + o(1)$$

$$= r \cos \theta - \frac{1}{2r^{2}} \cos \theta + o(1),$$
(43)

where $\Psi \approx \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta r^2$.

Using the temperature field at the infinity in (43), we can simplify Eq. (23) and Eq. (24) and derive

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta = -\frac{1}{3\epsilon^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_\infty^3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{0}^{\pi} f \sin \theta d\theta + o\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right) \\
\approx -\frac{1}{3\epsilon^2} \left(1 - 3 \int_{0}^{\pi} f \sin \theta d\theta\right)$$
(44)

and

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta = \frac{1}{3\lambda \epsilon^2} (2 + 3 \int_0^\pi f \sin \theta d\theta).$$
(45)

From Eq. (44) and Eq. (45), we have

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} (\beta \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n}|_{r_{0}} - \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}|_{r_{0}}) r_{0}^{2} \sin \theta d\theta = \frac{1}{3\epsilon^{2}} (1 + \frac{2\beta}{\lambda}) + (\frac{\beta}{\lambda} - 1) \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi} f \sin \theta d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} (1 + \frac{2\beta}{\lambda}) V_{\infty} M a + (\frac{\beta}{\lambda} - 1) V_{\infty} M a \int_{0}^{\pi} f \sin \theta d\theta$$
(46)

Since both β and λ are positive and $f \ll 1$, we have

$$\beta \int_0^\pi \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta \gg \int_0^\pi \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta.$$
(47)

So, if the overall steady-state energy with two phases in the flow domain under integral boundary conditions is balanced, Eq. (47) should be reduced to Eq. (26), which seems impossible. It is termed as a nonconservative integral thermal flux across the surface for the steady thermocapillary drop migration at large Ma (Re) numbers. This implies the overall steady-state energy unbalance of two phases in the flow domain in the co-moving frame of reference and indicates that the thermocapillary drop migration at large Ma (Re) numbers cannot reach steady state. Thus, it is clear that the invalid assumption of quasi-steady state for the thermocapillary drop migration process is a reasonable explanation for the nonconservative integral thermal flux across the drop surface.

To analyze the thermal flux near the boundary, we write the integrals of Eq. (44) and Eq. (45) in the discretization scheme as follows

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} (r_0, \theta_i) r_0^2 \sin \theta_i \Delta \theta < 0$$
(48)

and

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n} |_{r_0} r_0^2 \sin \theta d\theta = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n} (r_0, \theta_i) r_0^2 \sin \theta_i \Delta \theta > 0,$$
(49)

where $\theta_i \in [0, \pi]$ and $\Delta \theta = \pi/N$. Since $r_0^2 \sin \theta_i \ge 0$, we reach a conclusion that there must be some interface points $\theta_i \in [0, \pi]$ where the following equation holds

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}(r_0,\theta_i) < 0 < \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n}(r_0,\theta_i).$$
(50)

or some interface points θ_i and $\theta_j \in [0, \pi]$ where the following equations hold

$$0 < \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}(r_0, \theta_i) < \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n}(r_0, \theta_i),$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}(r_0, \theta_j) < \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n}(r_0, \theta_j) < 0.$$
(51)

Physically, this means that near these points θ_i the thermal energy is transferred from the interface to outside (the surrounding fluid) as well as from the interface to inside (the droplet) or near these points θ_i/θ_i the transference of thermal energy from outside/the interface to the interface/outside is weaker/stronger than that from the interface/inside to inside/the interface. On the one hand, if Eq. (50) can satisfy the integral thermal flux boundary condition in Eq. (26), thermal sources inside the interface will be introduced to balance the transference of thermal energy. On the other hand, if Eq. (51) can satisfy the integral thermal flux boundary condition in Eq. (26), thermal sinks inside the interface or thermal sources in the droplet will be introduced to decrease the transference of thermal energy from the interface to outside or increase the transference of thermal energy from inside to the interface. Since there is absolutely no thermal sources or sinks inside the interface or thermal sources in the droplet, the above transport processes of thermal energy near the interface seem impossible. It means that the thermal flux across the drop surface is nonconservative.

4 Conclusions

In summary, from the condition of overall steady-state energy balance with two phases in a flow domain, we have identified a conservative/nonconservative integral thermal flux across the surface for a steady thermocapillary migration of a drop with a slight axisymmetric deformation from a sphere in a uniform temperature gradient at small/large Ma (Re) numbers. The conservative integral thermal flux confirms the assumption of quasi-steady state in thermocapillary drop migration at small Ma (Re) numbers. The nonconservative integral thermal flux may well result from the invalid assumption of quasi-steady state, which indicates that the thermocapillary drop migration at large Ma (Re) numbers cannot reach steady state and is thus a unsteady process.

Acknowledgments One of the authors (Z.B.W.) thanks the National Science Foundation for general support through the Grants No. 11172310 and IMECH/SCCAS SHENTENG 1800/7000 research computing facilities for assisting in the computation.

Appendix

Based on the transformation between two cylindrical coordinate systems (\bar{r}, \bar{z}) and (r, z) of Eq. (4), we have

$$\bar{\nabla}|_{t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{r}}|_{t}\mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}}|_{t}\mathbf{k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}|_{t}\mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}|_{t}\mathbf{k} = \nabla|_{t},$$

$$\bar{\Delta}|_{t} = \frac{1}{\bar{r}}[\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{r}}(\bar{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{r}}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}}(\bar{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}})]|_{t} = \frac{1}{r}[\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(r\frac{\partial}{\partial z})]|_{t} = \Delta|_{t}.$$
(52)

And for energy equation Eq. (1) of the continuous phase fluid, we can also write its unsteady, convection and conductivity terms as follows

$$\frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial t}|_{\bar{\mathbf{r}}} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}|_{\bar{\mathbf{r}}} + GV_{\infty} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}|_{t}\frac{\partial r}{\partial t}|_{\bar{\mathbf{r}}} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}|_{t}\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}|_{\bar{\mathbf{r}}} + GV_{\infty}
= \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}|_{t}(-V_{\infty}) + \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}|_{\mathbf{r}} + GV_{\infty} = -V_{\infty}\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + GV_{\infty},
\bar{\mathbf{v}}\bar{\nabla}\bar{T}|_{t} = (\mathbf{v} + V_{\infty}\mathbf{k})\bar{\nabla}(T + GV_{\infty}t)|_{t} = (\mathbf{v} + V_{\infty}\mathbf{k})\bar{\nabla}T|_{t}$$

$$= \mathbf{v}\nabla T + V_{\infty}\frac{\partial T}{\partial z},$$

$$\bar{\Delta}\bar{T}|_{t} = \bar{\Delta}(T + GV_{\infty}t)|_{t} = \bar{\Delta}T|_{t} = \Delta T,$$
(53)

where $\frac{\partial r}{\partial t}|_{\bar{\mathbf{r}}} = \frac{\partial r}{\partial t}|_{\bar{\mathbf{r}}} = 0$, $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}|_{\bar{\mathbf{r}}} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}|_{\bar{z}} = -V_{\infty}$ and $\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}|_{\mathbf{r}} = 0$. Then, substituting Eq. (53) into Eq. (1) in the laboratory coordinate system, we obtain Eq. (5) in a coordinate system with its origin fixed at the drop center

$$GV_{\infty} + \mathbf{v}\nabla T = \kappa \Delta T. \tag{54}$$

Similarly, we can also transform the energy equation within the drop as above.

References

- [1] R. Monti, *Physics of Fluids in Microgravity*, CRC Press, 2001.
- [2] N. O. Young, J. S. Goldstein and M. J. Block, The motion of bubbles in a vertical temperature gradient, J. Fluid Mech. 6, (1959) 350.
- [3] R. S. Subramanian, Slow migration of a gas bubble in a thermal gradient, AIChE J. 27, (1981) 646.
- [4] A. Crespo, E. Migoya and F. Manuel, Thermocapillary migration of bubbles at large Reynolds numbers, Int. J. Multiphase Flow, 24, (1998) 685.
- [5] A. Crespo and J. Jimenez-Fernandez, Thermocapillary migration of bubbles at moderately large Reynolds numbers, in *Microgravity Fluid Mechanics*, ed. H. J. Rath, Springer, Berlin (1992) p. 405.
- [6] R. Balasubramaniam and R. S. Subramanian, Thermocapillary bubble migration- thermal boundary layers for large Marangoni numbers, *Int. J. Multiphase Flow* 22, (1996) 593.
- [7] R. Balasubramaniam and J. E. Lavery, Numerical simulation of thermocapillary bubble migration under microgravity for large Reynolds and Marangoni numbers, *Numer. Heat Transfer*, A16, (1989) 175.
- [8] M. Treuner, V. Galindo, G. Gerbeth, D. Langbein and H. J. Rath, Thermocapillary bubble migration at high Reynolds and Marangoni numbers under low gravity, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 179 (1996) 114.
- [9] P. H. Hadland, R. Balasubramaniam, G. Wozniak and R. S. Subramanian, Thermocapillary migration of bubbles and drops at moderate to large Marangoni number and moderate Reynolds number in reduced gravity, *Exp. Fluids*, 26, (1999) 240.

- [10] B. Braun, C. Ikier and H. Klein, Thermocapillary migration of droplets in a binary mixture with miscibility gap during liquid/liquid phase separation under reduced gravity, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 159, (1993) 515.
- [11] G. Wozniak, On the thermocapillary motion of droplets under reduced gravity, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 141, (1991) 245.
- [12] J. C. Xie, H. Lin, P. Zhang, F. Liu and W. R. Hu, Experimental investigation on thermocapillary drop migration at large Marangoni number in reduced gravity, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 285, (2005) 737.
- [13] R. Balasubramaniam and R. S. Subramanian, The migration of a drop in a uniform temperature gradient at large Marangoni numbers, *Phys. Fluids* 12, (2000) 733.
- [14] X. Ma, R. Balasubramiam and R. S. Subramanian, Numerical simulation of thermocapillary drop motion with internal circulation, *Numer. Heat Transfer*, A35, (1999) 291.
- [15] M. Herrmann, J. M. Lopez, P. Brady and M. Raessi, Thermocapillary motion of defromable drops and bubbles, *Proceedings of the Summer Pro*gram 2008, Center for Turbulence Research, Stanford University, (2008) 155.
- [16] Z.-B. Wu and W. R. Hu, Thermocapillary migration of a planar droplet at moderate and large Marangoni numbers, *Acta Mech.* 23, 609 (2012).
- [17] R. Balasubramaniam and A. Chai, Thermocapillary migration of droplets: an exact solution for small marangoni numbers, J. Colloid and Interface Sci, 119, (1987) 531.

- [18] M. D. Levan, Motion of a droplet with a newtonian interface, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 83, (1981) 11.
- [19] R. S. Subramanian, Thermocapillary migration of bubbles and droplets, Adv. Space Rec. 3, (1983) 145.
- [20] J. F. Happer and D. W. Moore, The motion of a spherical liquid drop at high Reynolds number, J. Fluid Mech. 32, (1968) 367.