1

SUPER-RENORMALIZABLE GRAVITY

Leonardo Modesto

Department of Physics, Fudan University & Center for Field Theory and Particle Physics, Shanghai, 200433, China E-mail: lmodesto@fudan.edu.cn

We review a class of higher derivative theories of gravity consistent at quantum level. This class is marked by a non-polynomal entire function (form factor), which averts extra degrees of freedom (including ghosts) and improves the high energy behaviour of the loop amplitudes. By power counting arguments, it is proved that the theory is superrenormalizable, i.e. only one-loop divergences survive. At classical level, black holes and cosmological solutions are singularity free.

Keywords: Quantum gravity; non-local quantum field theory.

We hereby show that is possible to find a solution of the quantum gravity puzzle in the quantum field theory framework. We know that all the other fundamental interactions are consistent with quantum mechanics and perturbation theory. The higher derivative theory of gravity^{[1](#page-2-0)[,2](#page-2-1)} quoted here^{[3](#page-2-2)-8} is compatible with the two properties above at the same level of the other three fundamental interactions. The theory is renormalizable and unitary, but it is non-polynomial due to the operators $R \sum_{r=0}^{+\infty} c_r \Box^r R$ and $R_{\mu\nu} \sum_{r=0}^{+\infty} c_r \Box^r R^{\mu\nu}$ that make the Lagrangian non-local (\Box is the covariant D'Alembertian operator). As it is well known, non-locality is a property shared by all the other fundamental interactions when the one-loop effective action is considered.

Let us now explore the mathematical details of the theory. In a D-dimensional spacetime the most general action reads

$$
\mathcal{L}_D = \frac{2a_{\kappa}}{\kappa^2} R + R_{\mu\nu} \gamma_2(\square) R^{\mu\nu} + R \gamma_0(\square) R + R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma_4(\square) R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + R^3 + \dots + R^{\frac{D}{2}}, (1)
$$

where the three "form factors" $\gamma_{0,2,4}(\square)$ are "non-polynomial trascendental entire functions" of the covariant D'Alembertian operator. In $D = 4$ the Lagrangian [\(1\)](#page-0-0) simplifies to just the first three operators in [\(1\)](#page-0-0) with the following clear definitions

$$
\mathcal{L} = \frac{2a_{\kappa}}{\kappa^2} R + b_0 R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + a_0 R^2 + R_{\mu\nu} h_2(-\Box_{\Lambda}) R^{\mu\nu} + R h_0(-\Box_{\Lambda}) R. \tag{2}
$$

In [\(2\)](#page-0-1) the trascendental entire functions $h_i(-\Box_\Lambda)$ will be defined later; $\Box_\Lambda := \Box/\Lambda^2$, Λ is an invariant mass scale; a_{κ} , a_0 , b_0 are coupling constants subjected to quantum renormalizations; $\kappa^2 = 32\pi G_N$. To address the unitarity problem we first calculate the graviton propagator. The gauge invariant part largely simplifies to the following form,

$$
\mathcal{O}^{-1}(k) = \frac{1}{k^2} \left(\frac{P^{(2)}}{\bar{h}_2} - \frac{P^{(0)}}{2\bar{h}_0} \right), \quad \bar{h}_2 := a_\kappa + \frac{\kappa^2 \Lambda^2}{2} z b_0 + \frac{\kappa^2 \Lambda^2}{2} z h_2(z),
$$

$$
\bar{h}_0 := a_\kappa - \kappa^2 \Lambda^2 z (b_0 + h_2(z)) - 3\kappa^2 \Lambda^2 z (a_0 + h_0(z)).
$$
 (3)

It is easy to see that any polynomial choice, $p_n(z)$, for the functions h_2 and h_0 will introduce *n*-additional poles from the *n* zeros in $\bar{h}_i(i = 0, 2)$. The residues 2

of some of these poles will be negative, consequently introducing ghosts in the spectrum and then causing loss of unitarity. To overcome this issue, we demand the following general properties for the transcendental entire functions $h_i(z)$ ($i = 0, 2$) and/or $\bar{h}_i(z)$ $(i = 0, 2)$:^{[8](#page-2-3)}

- (i) $\bar{h}_i(z)$ (i = 0, 2) is real and positive on the real axis and it has no zeroes on the whole complex plane $|z| < +\infty$. This requirement implies that there are no gauge-invariant poles other than the transverse massless physical graviton pole.
- (ii) $|h_i(z)|$ has the same asymptotic behavior along the real axis at $\pm \infty$.
- (iii) There exists $\Theta > 0$ such that

$$
\lim_{|z| \to +\infty} |h_i(z)| \to |z|^\gamma, \ \gamma \geqslant 2, \tag{4}
$$

for the argument of z in the following conical regions

 $C = \{z \mid -\Theta < \arg z < +\Theta, \ \pi - \Theta < \arg z < \pi + \Theta \},\$ for $0 < \Theta < \pi/2$.

This condition is necessary in order to achieve the supe-renormalizability of the theory that we are going to show here below. The necessary asymptotic behavior is imposed not only on the real axis, (ii) but also in the conic regions that surround it. In an Euclidean spacetime, the condition (ii) is not strictly necessary if (iii) applies.

Imposing the conditions (i)-(iii) we have the option of choosing the following form for the functions h_i ,

$$
h_2(z) = 2\tilde{a}_{\kappa} \frac{V(z)^{-1} - 1}{\kappa^2 \Lambda^2 z} - \tilde{b}_0 , \quad h_0(z) = -\tilde{a}_{\kappa} \frac{V(z)^{-1} - 1}{\kappa^2 \Lambda^2 z} + \tilde{a}_0 ,
$$
 (5)

for general parameters \tilde{a}_{κ} , \tilde{a}_0 and \tilde{b}_0 . At quantum level the coupling constants $a_{\kappa}(\mu)$, $a_0(\mu)$ and $b_0(\mu)$ are renormalized at some scale μ . If we assume the theory to be renormalized at a certain scale μ_0 and we identify $\tilde{a}_{\kappa} = a_{\kappa}(\mu_0), \tilde{a}_0 = a_0(\mu_0),$ $b_0 = b_0(\mu_0)$, then the propagator simplifies to

$$
\mathcal{O}^{-1}(k) = \frac{V(k)}{2k^2} \left(2P^{(2)} - P^{(0)} \right),\tag{6}
$$

and no other poles are manifest besides the graviton one. If we choose another renormalization scale, the bare propagator acquires poles that will cancel out with a self-energy shift in the dressed propagator.

Here we offer an explicit example of how a trascendental entire function $V(z)^{-1}$ does not introduce extra poles in the graviton propagator and satisfies the properties (i)-(iii). If we define $V(z)^{-1} := \exp H(z)$, then a possible choice for the entire function $H(z)$ is

$$
H(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\gamma_E + \Gamma \left(0, p_{\gamma+1}^2(z) \right) + \log \left(p_{\gamma+1}^2(z) \right) \right], \quad \text{Re}(p_{\gamma+1}^2(z)) > 0, \tag{7}
$$

where $p_{\gamma+1}(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\gamma+1$ (for example $p_{\gamma+1}=z^{\gamma+1}$.)

Let us eventually examine under what conditions the theory result to be power counting renormalizable. According to the property (iii) in the high energy regime,

the propagator in the momentum space goes as $\mathcal{O}^{-1}(k) \sim 1/k^{2\gamma+4}$. The *n*-graviton interaction has the same leading scaling of the kinetic term, and the superficial degree of divergence in a four dimensional spacetime reads

$$
\delta_{\mathcal{G}} \leq 4L - (2\gamma + 4)I + (2\gamma + 4)V = 4 - 2\gamma(L - 1).
$$

It follows that the higher divergence we can find in the loop expansion is $\delta_{\rm G}=4$ for $L = 1$. For $L > 1$ and $\gamma > 2$ the loop amplitudes are convergent.

So far we considered the theory at quantum level. But what about the classical solutions? After the simplifications explained throughout the paper, the four dimensional action reduces to

$$
\mathcal{L} = 2\kappa^{-2} \sqrt{|g|} \Big(R - G_{\mu\nu} \frac{e^{H(-\Box_{\Lambda})} - 1}{\Box} R^{\mu\nu} \Big) \tag{8}
$$

and the equations of motion at the order $O(R^2)$ simplify to

$$
G_{\mu\nu} + O(R^2) = 8\pi G_N e^{-H(-\Box_\Lambda)} T_{\mu\nu}.
$$
 (9)

We can solve the above equations in the case of a spherically symmetric spacetime, obtaining regular black hole solutions. $3,5,9$ $3,5,9$ $3,5,9$ The theory also admits bouncing cosmological exact solutions.[10](#page-2-6)[–12](#page-2-7)

References

- 1. K.S. Stelle, Phys. Rev. D 16, 953-969 (1977).
- 2. M. Asorey, J.L. Lopez, I.L. Shapiro, Intern. Journal of Mod. Phys. A12, 5711-5734 (1997) [\[hep-th/9610006\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9610006).
- 3. L. Modesto, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 044005 [\[arXiv:1107.2403\[](http://arxiv.org/abs/1107.2403)hep-th]].
- 4. T. Biswas, E. Gerwick, T. Koivisto, A. Mazumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 031101 (2012). [\[arXiv:1110.5249v](http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.5249)2].
- 5. L. Modesto [\[arXiv:1202.0008](http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.0008) [hep-th]]; L. Modesto [\[arXiv:1202.3151](http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.3151) [hep-th]]; L. Modesto [\[arXiv:1206.2648](http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.2648) [hep-th]].
- 6. S. Alexander, A. Marciano, L. Modesto [\[arXiv:1202.1824](http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.1824) [hep-th]], Phys. Rev. D85 (2012) 124030.
- 7. N. V. Krasnikov, Teoreticheskaya i Matematicheskaya Fizika, Vol. 73, No. 2, 235-244, November 1986.
- 8. E. T. Tomboulis [\[hep-th/9702146v](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9702146)1].
- 9. L. Modesto, J. W. Moffat, P. Nicolini [\[arXiv:1010.0680](http://arxiv.org/abs/1010.0680) [gr-qc]], Phys. Lett. B 695, 397-400 (2011).
- 10. F. Briscese, A. Marciano, L. Modesto, E. N. Saridakis [\[arXiv:1212.3611](http://arxiv.org/abs/1212.3611) [hep-th]].
- 11. T. Biswas, A. Mazumdar, W. Siegel, JCAP 0603 (2006) 009; S. Alexander, T. Biswas, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 023501 Phys. Rev. D 80 023501; T. Biswas, T. Koivisto, A. Mazumdar, JCAP 1011 (2010) 008;
- 12. A. S. Koshelev [\[arXiv:1302.2140](http://arxiv.org/abs/1302.2140) [astro-ph.CO]]; T. Biswas, A. S. Koshelev, A. Mazumdar, S. Yu. Vernov JCAP 1208 (2012) 024 [\[arXiv:1206.6374](http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.6374) [astro-ph.CO]]; A. S. Koshelev, S. Yu. Vernov, Phys.Part.Nucl. 43 (2012) 666-668 [\[arXiv:1202.1289](http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.1289) [hepth]]; A. S. Koshelev [\[arXiv:1112.6410](http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.6410) [hep-th]].