

DRIFT PARAMETER ESTIMATION FOR FRACTIONAL ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK PROCESS OF THE SECOND KIND

EHSAN AZMOODEH AND JOSÉ IGOR MORLANES

ABSTRACT. Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind (fOU₂) is solution of the Langevin equation $dX_t = -\theta X_t dt + dY_t^{(1)}$, $\theta > 0$ with driving noise $Y_t^{(1)} := \int_0^t e^{-s} dB_{a_s}$; $a_t = He^{\frac{t}{H}}$ where B is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$. In this article, in the case $H > \frac{1}{2}$, we prove that the least squares estimator $\hat{\theta}_T$ introduced in [[7], *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 80, no. 11-12, 1030-1038], provides a consistent estimator. Moreover, using central limit theorem for multiple Wiener integrals, we prove asymptotic normality of the estimator valid for the whole range $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$.

Keywords: fractional Brownian motion, fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, Malliavin calculus, Langevin equation, least squares estimator
2010 AMS subject classification: 60G22, 60H07, 62F99

1. INTRODUCTION

Assume $B = \{B_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$, i.e. a continuous, centered Gaussian process with covariance function

$$R_H(t, s) = \frac{1}{2} \{t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}\}.$$

Consider Gaussian process $Y_t^{(1)} := \int_0^t e^{-s} dB_{a_s}$ with $a_t = He^{\frac{t}{H}}$. The fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind X with initial value X_0 is the solution of the Langevin equation

$$(1.1) \quad dX_t = -\theta X_t dt + dY_t^{(1)}, \quad X_0 = X_0, \quad \theta > 0.$$

The terminology “*of the second kind*” is taken from Kaarakka and Salminen [8]. The motivation behind the process X is that it is related to Lamperti transformation of fractional Brownian motion. For more detailed information on fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, see Subsection 2.1.

An interesting problem in mathematical statistics is to estimate the unknown parameter θ based on continuous observation of the sample paths of the process X_t , $t \in [0, T]$. In the case of fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the first kind (fOU₁), that is replace driving noise $Y^{(1)}$ with fractional Brownian motion B in the Langevin equation (1.1), when $H > \frac{1}{2}$, Hu and Nualart [7] suggested an estimator so called *the least squares estimator*

defined as

$$(1.2) \quad \widehat{\theta}_T = -\frac{\int_0^T X_t \delta X_t}{\int_0^T X_t^2 dt}$$

where the stochastic integral is interpreted as Skorokhod integral (see Subsection 2.2 for definitions and notations). The motivation comes from the following heuristic argument. The least squares estimator is obtained by minimizing the function

$$\theta \mapsto \int_0^T |\dot{X}_t + \theta X_t|^2 dt$$

which leads to the solution (1.2). In the same setup as fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the first kind, the maximum likelihood estimator of drift parameter θ is found by Kleptsyna and Le Breton [9] and its strong consistency is proved. Estimation of drift parameter θ for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the first kind, in the non-ergodic case, i.e. $\theta < 0$, is studied by Belfadli, Es-Sebaï and Ouknine in [4]. In this paper, we consider the least squares estimator $\widehat{\theta}_T$ in the setup of the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind. The key point is the Lemma 3.1. Using this lemma, we replace the Gaussian noise $Y^{(1)}$ with an equivalent (in distribution) noise and do computations in an equivalent model.

The paper is organized as follows. In the section 2, we give a detailed information on fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes and Malliavin calculus for fractional Brownian motion. Section 3 is devoted to our main results. In the appendix section, we provide some auxiliary computation which is used in the proof of main results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

It is well known that the classical Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $U^{(\frac{1}{2}, \xi_0)} = \{U_t^{(\frac{1}{2}, \xi_0)}\}_{t \geq 0}$ with initial value ξ_0 can be constructed as the unique solution of the Langevin SDE

$$(2.1) \quad dU_t^{(\frac{1}{2}, \xi_0)} = -\theta U_t^{(\frac{1}{2}, \xi_0)} dt + dW_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\theta > 0$ and $W = \{W_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is standard Brownian motion. The solution of SDE (2.1) can be expressed as

$$U_t^{(\frac{1}{2}, \xi_0)} := e^{-\theta t} \left(\xi_0 + \int_0^t e^{\theta s} dW_s \right), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Let \widehat{W} denote a two sided Brownian motion defined as

$$(2.2) \quad \widehat{W}_t := \begin{cases} W_t & \text{for } t \geq 0 \\ W_{-t}^{(-)} & \text{for } t \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $W_t^{(-)} = \{W_t^{(-)}; t \geq 0\}$ is another Brownian motion initiated at 0 and independent of W . The selection $\xi_0 = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\theta s} d\widehat{W}_s$ leads to the unique

solution $U^{(\frac{1}{2})}$ given by

$$U_t^{(\frac{1}{2})} := \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} d\hat{W}_s, \quad t \geq 0.$$

It is a stationary, continuous Gaussian process with covariance function

$$\text{Cov}(U_s^{(\frac{1}{2})}, U_t^{(\frac{1}{2})}) = \frac{1}{2\theta} e^{-\theta|t-s|}, \quad \forall s, t \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, it is known that the classical Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $U^{(\frac{1}{2})}$ can be reconstructed from Brownian motion W by Lamperti transformation. For $\alpha > 0$, define the process

$$Z_t^{(\frac{1}{2})} := e^{-\theta t} W_{\alpha e^{2\theta t}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then $Z^{(\frac{1}{2})}$ is a stationary, Gaussian process with covariance function

$$\text{Cov}(Z_t^{(\frac{1}{2})}, Z_s^{(\frac{1}{2})}) = \alpha e^{-\theta|t-s|}, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since, finite dimensional distributions of a Gaussian process are completely characterized by its mean and covariance functions, therefore with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2\theta}$, we have $U^{(\frac{1}{2})} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} Z^{(\frac{1}{2})}$ where $\stackrel{\text{law}}{=}$ stands for equality in law. For more information on Lamperti transformation and related topics we refer to the book [6].

2.1. Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. In this subsection, we briefly introduce fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. The main references are [5] and [8]. We mainly focus on fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind that is the core stochastic process of the article. To obtain fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, replace the Brownian motion W with the fractional Brownian motion B in Langevin equation (2.1). Consider the following stochastic differential equation

$$(2.3) \quad dU_t^{(H, \xi_0)} = -\theta U_t^{(H, \xi_0)} dt + dB_t$$

with initial value ξ_0 , The solution of the following SDE can be expressed as

$$(2.4) \quad U_t^{(H, \xi_0)} = e^{-\theta t} \left(\xi_0 + \int_0^t e^{\theta s} dB_s \right).$$

Note that the stochastic integral is understood as a path-wise Riemann-Stieltjes integral. Let \hat{B} denote a two sided fractional Brownian motion (see 2.2). The selection

$$\xi_0 := \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\theta s} d\hat{B}_s$$

for the initial value ξ_0 leads to an unique stationary, Gaussian process $U^{(H)}$ of the form

$$(2.5) \quad U_t^{(H)} = e^{-\theta t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\theta s} d\hat{B}_s.$$

Definition 2.1. We call the process $U^{(H,\xi_0)}$ given by (2.4) a fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the first kind with initial value ξ_0 . The process $U^{(H)}$ defined in (2.5) is called stationary fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the first kind.

Remark 2.1. It is shown in [5] that the covariance function of the stationary process $U^{(H)}$ decays like a power function, so it is ergodic and for $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, it exhibits long range dependence.

Now, we define a new stationary, Gaussian process $X^{(\alpha)}$ by means of Lamperti transformation of fractional Brownian motion B :

$$X_t^{(\alpha)} := e^{-\alpha t} B_{a_t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $a_t = \frac{H}{\alpha} e^{\frac{\alpha t}{H}}$. We aim to represent the process $X^{(\alpha)}$ as solution of a Langevin type SDE. For this reason, consider the process Y^α defined via

$$Y_t^{(\alpha)} := \int_0^t e^{-\alpha s} dB_{a_s}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The stochastic integral is understood in as path-wise Riemann-Stieltjes integral. Using the self-similarity property of fractional Brownian motion one can see that the process $Y^{(\alpha)}$ satisfies in the following scaling property

$$(2.6) \quad \{Y_{t/\alpha}^{(\alpha)}\}_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \{\alpha^{-H} Y_t^{(1)}\}_{t \geq 0}.$$

Using $Y^{(\alpha)}$ the process $X^{(\alpha)}$ can be viewed as the solution of the following Langevin type SDE

$$dX_t^{(\alpha)} = -\alpha X_t^{(\alpha)} dt + dY_t^{(\alpha)},$$

with random initial value $X_0^{(\alpha)} = B_{a_0} \stackrel{d}{=} B_{H/\alpha} \sim N(0, (\frac{H}{\alpha})^{2H})$.

Inspired by the scaling property (2.6), we consider the following Langevin equation with $Y^{(1)}$ as the driving noise:

$$(2.7) \quad dX_t = -\theta X_t dt + dY_t^{(1)}, \quad \theta > 0.$$

The solution of the SDE (2.7) is given by

$$(2.8) \quad X_t = e^{-\theta t} \left(X_0 + \int_0^t e^{\theta s} dY_s^{(1)} \right) = e^{-\theta t} \left(X_0 + \int_0^t e^{(\theta-1)s} dB_{a_s} \right)$$

with $\alpha = 1$ in a_t . Notice that the stochastic integral is understood as path-wise Riemann-Stieltjes integral. The selection $X_0 = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(\theta-1)s} dB_{a_s}$ leads to an unique stationary, Gaussian process

$$(2.9) \quad U_t = e^{-\theta t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(\theta-1)s} dB_{a_s}.$$

Definition 2.2. We call the process X given by (2.8) a fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind with initial value X_0 . The process U defined in (2.9) is called the stationary fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind.

Proposition 2.1. [8] *The covariance function of the stationary process U decays exponentially and has short range dependence.*

2.2. Malliavin calculus with respect to fractional Brownian motion. In this subsection, we briefly introduce some basic facts on Malliavin calculus with respect to fractional Brownian motion. We also recall some required results related to fractional Brownian motion which we need for the proof of our main theorem. The main references are [1], [13] and [11].

Assume $B = \{B_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ and covariance function $R_H(t, s)$. It is well-known that the covariance function R_H can be represented as

$$R_H(t, s) = \int_0^{t \wedge s} K_H(t, u) K_H(s, u) du$$

for a Volterra-type square integrable kernel K_H . In the case $H > \frac{1}{2}$, the kernel K_H has a simple expression given by

$$K_H(t, s) = c_H s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \int_s^t (u-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} u^{H-\frac{1}{2}} du$$

where $c_H = (H - \frac{1}{2}) \left(\frac{2H\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}-H)}{\Gamma(H+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(2-2H)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Consider the set \mathcal{E} of all step functions on $[0, T]$. We define the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} as the closure of \mathcal{E} with respect to inner product

$$\langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, \mathbf{1}_{[0,s]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = R_H(t, s).$$

Then, the mapping $\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]} \mapsto B_t$ can be extended to an isometry between Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and Gaussian space \mathcal{H}_1 associated with fractional Brownian motion B . We denote this isometry by $\varphi \mapsto B(\varphi)$. It is known that when $H = \frac{1}{2}$, we have $\mathcal{H} = L^2[0, T]$, whereas for $H > \frac{1}{2}$, the elements of \mathcal{H} may be not functions but distributions of negative order (see [16]). An interesting subspace $|\mathcal{H}|$ containing only functions is the set of all measurable functions φ on $[0, T]$ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{|\mathcal{H}|}^2 := \alpha_H \int_0^T \int_0^T |\varphi(s)| |\varphi(t)| |t-s|^{2H-2} ds dt < \infty,$$

where $\alpha_H = H(2H-1)$. Notice that for any two measurable functions $\varphi, \psi \in |\mathcal{H}|$, we have

$$(2.10) \quad \mathbb{E}(B(\varphi)B(\psi)) = \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \alpha_H \int_0^T \int_0^T \varphi(s)\psi(t)|t-s|^{2H-2} ds dt.$$

Notice that when $H > \frac{1}{2}$, we have the inclusions $L^2[0, T] \subset L^{\frac{1}{H}}[0, T] \subset |\mathcal{H}| \subset \mathcal{H}$. Consider the linear operator K_H^* between \mathcal{E} and $L^2[0, T]$ defined by

$$(K_H^* \varphi)(s) = \int_s^T \varphi(t) \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(t, s) dt.$$

Notice that the operator K_H^* is an isometry between \mathcal{E} and $L^2[0, T]$ that can be extended to the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Moreover, the processes $W = \{W_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ given by

$$(2.11) \quad W_t := B((K_H^*)^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}))$$

defines a Brownian motion. The fractional Brownian motion B and Brownian motion W relate through the integral representation

$$(2.12) \quad B_t = \int_0^t K_H(t, s) dW_s.$$

Consider the space \mathcal{S} of all smooth random variables of the form

$$(2.13) \quad F = f(B(\varphi_1), \dots, B(\varphi_n)), \quad \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in \mathcal{H},$$

where $f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any smooth random variable F of the form 2.13, we define its Malliavin derivative $D^{(B)} = D$ as an element of $L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{H})$ by

$$DF = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i f(B(\varphi_1), \dots, B(\varphi_n)) \varphi_i.$$

In particular, $DB_t = \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}$. We denote by $\mathbb{D}_B^{1,2} = \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ the Hilbert space of all square integrable Malliavin derivative random variables as the closure of the set \mathcal{S} of smooth random variables with respect to norm

$$\|F\|_{1,2}^2 = \mathbb{E}|F|^2 + \mathbb{E}(\|DF\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2).$$

The *transfer principle* connects the Malliavin operators of the both processes B and W . This is the message of the next proposition.

Proposition 2.2. [11] *For any $F \in \mathbb{D}_W^{1,2} = \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$,*

$$K_H^* DF = D^{(W)} F,$$

where $D^{(W)}$ denotes the Malliavin derivative operator with respect to Brownian motion W , and $\mathbb{D}_W^{1,2}$ the corresponding Hilbert space.

The *divergence operator* denoted by $\delta_B = \delta$ is the adjoint operator of Malliavin derivative operator D . For any \mathcal{H} -valued random variable $u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{H})$ belongs to the domain $\text{Dom} \delta$ of the divergence operator δ , then the random variable $\delta(u)$ is defined by duality relationship

$$\mathbb{E}(F \delta(u)) = \mathbb{E} \langle DF, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \forall F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}.$$

Also, an element $u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{H})$ belongs to the domain $\text{Dom} \delta$ if and only if

$$|\mathbb{E} \langle DF, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \leq c_u \|F\|_{L^2}$$

for any $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, where c_u is just a constant depending on u . The divergence operator δ is also called Skorokhod integral. It is known that in the case of Brownian motion it coincides with Ito integral for adapted integrands. Hereafter for any $u \in \text{Dom} \delta$, we denote $\delta(u) = \int_0^T u_t \delta B_t$.

Following [1] one can develop a Malliavin calculus for any continuous Gaussian process G of the form

$$G_t = \int_0^t K(t, s) dW_s$$

where W is a Brownian motion and the kernel K , $0 < s < t < T$ satisfying $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t K(t, s)^2 ds < \infty$. Consider the linear operator K^* from \mathcal{E} to

$L^2[0, T]$ defined by

$$(K^* \varphi)(s) = \varphi(s)K(T, s) + \int_s^T [\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)] K(dt, s).$$

The Hilbert space \mathcal{H} generated by covariance function of the Gaussian process G can be represented as $\mathcal{H} = (K^*)^{-1}(L^2[0, T])$ and $\mathbb{D}_G^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}) = (K^*)^{-1}(\mathbb{D}_W^{1,2}(L^2[0, T]))$. For any $n \geq 1$, let \mathcal{H}_n be the n th Wiener chaos of G , i.e. the closed linear subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$ generated by the random variables $\{H_n(G(\varphi)), \varphi \in \mathcal{H}, \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1\}$, and H_n is the n th Hermite polynomial. It is well known that the mapping $I_n^G(\varphi^{\otimes n}) = n! H_n(G(\varphi))$ provides a linear isometry between the symmetric tensor product $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ and subspace \mathcal{H}_n .

Definition 2.3. [1] *We say that the kernel K is regular if for all $s \in [0, T]$, $K(\cdot, s)$ has bounded variation on the interval $(s, T]$, and*

$$\int_0^T |K|((s, T], s)^2 ds < \infty.$$

For regular kernel K , put $K(s^+, s) := K(T, s) - K((s, T], s)$. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}$, define the seminorm

$$\|\varphi\|_{K_r}^2 = \int_0^T \varphi(s)^2 K(s^+, s)^2 ds + \int_0^T \left(\int_s^T |\varphi(t)| |K|(dt, s) \right)^2 ds.$$

Denote by \mathcal{H}_{K_r} the completion of \mathcal{E} with respect to seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{K_r}$. The following proposition establishes the relationship between path-wise integral and Skorokhod integral.

Proposition 2.3. [1] *Assume K is a regular kernel with $K(s^+, s) = 0$ and u is a process in $\mathbb{D}_G^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_{K_r})$. Then the process u is Stratonovich integrable with respect to G and*

$$\int_0^T u_t dG_t = \int_0^T u_t \delta G_t + \int_0^T \left(\int_s^T D_s u_t K(dt, s) \right) ds.$$

The next proposition is taken from [12] and provides a central limit theorem for a sequence of multiple Wiener integrals. Let $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ denote the Gaussian distribution with zero mean and variance σ^2 .

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of random variables in the q -th Wiener chaos, $q \geq 2$, such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(F_n^2) = \sigma^2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i): F_n converges in distribution to $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ as n tends to infinity.
- (ii): $\|DF_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to $q\sigma^2$ as n tends to infinity.

The next proposition will be used to bridge our original Langevin equation (2.7) to a new SDE that plays the key role in our computations.

Proposition 2.5. [3] *Let $B = \{B_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Suppose $f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly positive, absolutely continuous and f' is locally square integrable function. Then there exists a locally square integrable kernel L such that*

$$B \int_0^t f(s) \frac{1}{H} ds \stackrel{law}{=} \int_0^t f(s) dB_s + \int_0^t \left(\int_r^t f(u) \left(\int_r^u \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial s}(u, s) L(s, r) ds \right) du \right) dW_r$$

where Brownian motion W is given by 2.11. Moreover the kernel L satisfies in

$$\phi(t, s) = \int_s^t f(t) \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} (t-r)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} L(r, s) dr$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t, s) = \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t} \left(\int_0^t f(v)^{\frac{1}{H}} dv, \int_0^s f(v)^{\frac{1}{H}} dv \right) & f(t)^{\frac{1}{H}} f(s)^{\frac{1}{2H}} \\ & - f(t) \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(t, s). \end{aligned}$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

For the rest of the paper, we assume that $H > \frac{1}{2}$. Let $X = \{X_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ be the solution of the Langevin equation (2.7). Assume $X_0 = 0$ and $\theta > 1$ (this is a technical assumption which we need in the proof of the main result). Then the solution can be expressed as

$$(3.1) \quad X_t = \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} dY_s^{(1)}.$$

The next theorem gives the main result of the paper.

Theorem 3.1. *The least squares estimator $\hat{\theta}_T$ given by (1.2) is weakly consistent, i.e.*

$$\hat{\theta}_T \rightarrow \theta$$

in probability, as T tends to infinity.

The next theorem provides the rate of convergence for the least squares estimator towards the Gaussian distribution. Let $B(x, y)$ denote the complete Beta function and \xrightarrow{law} stands for convergence in distribution.

Theorem 3.2. *For the least squares estimator $\hat{\theta}_T$ given by (1.2), we have*

$$\sqrt{T} \left(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta \right) \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(\theta, H))$$

as T tends to infinity, where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2(\theta, H) = & \frac{\theta^2}{H^2 B((\theta-1)H+1, 2H-1)^2} \\ & \times 2 \int_{[0, \infty)^3} e^{-\theta y} e^{-\theta|z-x|} e^{(1-\frac{1}{H})(x+y+z)} \left[\left| e^{-\frac{y}{H}} - e^{-\frac{x}{H}} \right| \left| 1 - e^{-\frac{z}{H}} \right| \right]^{2H-2} dx dy dz. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. *It is worth to mention that the central limit theorem 3.2 holds for whole range $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, whereas for the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the first kind we have restriction $H \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$, see [7].*

To prove Theorem 3.1, we need a series of lemmas. We start with the following lemma that provides an alternative stochastic differential equation of the Langevin equation (2.7).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\tilde{B}_t = B_{t+H} - B_H$ be the shifted fractional Brownian motion. Then there exists a regular Volterra-type kernel \tilde{L} , in sense of Definition 2.3, so that for the solution of the following stochastic differential equation*

$$(3.2) \quad d\tilde{X}_t = -\theta\tilde{X}_t dt + d\tilde{G}_t, \quad \tilde{X}_0 = 0,$$

we have, $\{X_t\}_{t \in [0, T]} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \{\tilde{X}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ where the Gaussian process

$$\tilde{G}_t = \int_0^t \left(K_H(t, s) + \tilde{L}(t, s) \right) d\tilde{W}_s$$

and Brownian motion \tilde{W} is defined as 2.11.

Proof. It is easy to check that the solution of the SDE (3.2) is given by

$$\tilde{X}_t = \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} d\tilde{G}_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Moreover, $\mathbb{E}(X_t) = \mathbb{E}(\tilde{X}_t) = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}_X(t, s) &= \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-u)} dY_u^{(1)} \int_0^s e^{-\theta(s-v)} dY_v^{(1)} \right) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{-\theta(t-u)-\theta(s-v)} \text{Cov}_{Y^{(1)}}(du, dv). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}_{\tilde{X}}(t, s) &= \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-u)} d\tilde{G}_s \int_0^s e^{-\theta(s-v)} d\tilde{G}_v \right) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{-\theta(t-u)-\theta(s-v)} \text{Cov}_{\tilde{G}}(du, dv). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is enough to show that for the Gaussian processes $Y^{(1)}$ and \tilde{G} , we have

$$\text{Cov}_{Y^{(1)}}(s, t) = \mathbb{E}(Y_s^{(1)} Y_t^{(1)}) = \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}_s \tilde{G}_t) = \text{Cov}_{\tilde{G}}(s, t).$$

To see this, consider the function $f(t) = e^t$ and apply proposition 2.5. Then

$$(3.3) \quad B_{at} = \tilde{B}_{\int_0^t e^{\#} dr} + B_H \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \int_0^t e^r d\tilde{B}_r + \int_0^t g(t, r) d\tilde{W}_r + B_H$$

where the Volterra-type Kernel g is given by

$$g(t, r) = \int_r^t e^u \left(\int_r^u \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial s}(u, s) L(s, r) ds \right) du.$$

Now, using integration by parts formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad Y_t^{(1)} &= \int_0^t e^{-s} dB_{as} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \int_0^t e^{-s} d \left(\int_0^s e^r d\tilde{B}_r + \int_0^s g(s, r) d\tilde{W}_r + B_H \right) \\ &= \tilde{B}_t + \int_0^t e^{-s} d \left(\int_0^s g(s, r) d\tilde{W}_r \right) \\ &= \tilde{B}_t + e^{-t} \int_0^t g(t, r) d\tilde{W}_r + \int_0^t \left(\int_0^s g(s, r) d\tilde{W}_r \right) e^{-s} ds \end{aligned}$$

Next, using Fubini theorem for Brownian motion \tilde{W} , we see that

$$A = \int_0^t \left(\int_0^s g(s, r) d\tilde{W}_r \right) e^{-s} ds = \int_0^t \int_r^t e^{-s} g(s, r) ds d\tilde{W}_r$$

Put

$$h(u, r) = \int_r^u \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial s}(u, v) L(v, r) dv,$$

and using Fubini theorem for real-valued functions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_r^t e^{-s} g(s, r) ds &= \int_r^t e^{-s} \int_r^s e^u h(u, r) du ds \\ &= \int_r^t e^u h(u, r) \left(\int_u^t e^{-s} ds \right) du \\ &= \int_r^t h(u, r) du - e^{-t} \int_r^t e^u h(u, r) du. \end{aligned}$$

Now, plug this into A , we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{-s} g(s, r) ds d\tilde{W}_r \\ &= \int_0^t \left(\int_r^t h(u, r) du - e^{-t} \int_r^t e^u h(u, r) du \right) d\tilde{W}_r := A_1 - A_2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad A_1 = \int_0^t \int_r^t h(u, r) du d\tilde{W}_r,$$

and the term A_2 can be written as

$$(3.6) \quad A_2 = \int_0^t e^{-t} \int_r^t e^u h(u, r) du d\tilde{W}_r = \int_0^t e^{-t} g(t, r) d\tilde{W}_r.$$

Finally, plug in (3.5), (3.6) and (2.12) into (3.4), we obtain

$$Y_t^{(1)} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \int_0^t \left(K_H(t, s) + \int_r^t h(u, r) du \right) d\tilde{W}_r.$$

Therefore, it is enough to introduce the kernel \tilde{L} as

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{L}(t, r) = \int_r^t h(u, r) du.$$

and then the result follows. \square

The next lemma gives an alternative form for the least squares estimator in terms of the process \tilde{X} and Gaussian noise \tilde{G} .

Lemma 3.2. *For the least squares estimator $\hat{\theta}_T$ we have*

$$(3.8) \quad \hat{\theta}_T \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \theta - \frac{\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t \delta \tilde{G}_t}{\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t^2 dt}.$$

Proof. Note that the least squares estimator $\hat{\theta}_T$ is actually equal to

$$\hat{\theta}_T = \theta - \frac{\int_0^T X_t \delta Y_t^{(1)}}{\int_0^T X_t^2 dt}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\int_0^T X_t \delta Y_t^{(1)} = \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} \delta Y_s^{(1)} \delta Y_t^{(1)} = I_2^{Y^{(1)}}(f)$$

and the function f is given by $f(t, s) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\theta|t-s|}$. Similarly,

$$\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t \delta \tilde{G}_t = \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} \delta \tilde{G}_s \delta \tilde{G}_t = I_2^{\tilde{G}}(f).$$

This immediately implies that

$$\int_0^T X_t \delta Y_t^{(1)} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \int_0^T \tilde{X}_t \delta \tilde{G}_t.$$

Moreover, for every $t \in [0, T]$ there exists a function $g(\cdot, t)$ so that we can write

$$X_t = I_1^{Y^{(1)}}(g(\cdot, t)).$$

Using multiplication formula for multiple stochastic integrals, we obtain

$$X_t^2 = \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + I_2^{Y^{(1)}}(g(\cdot, t) \otimes g(\cdot, t))$$

where \mathcal{H} stands for Hilbert space generated by the covariance function of the Gaussian process $Y^{(1)}$. Therefore, using Fubini theorem

$$\int_0^T X_t^2 dt = \int_0^T \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 dt + I_2^{Y^{(1)}}\left(\int_0^T (g(\cdot, t) \otimes g(\cdot, t)) dt\right)$$

Similarly, in the same lines one can obtain that

$$\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t^2 dt = \int_0^T \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}^2 dt + I_2^{\tilde{G}}\left(\int_0^T (g(\cdot, t) \otimes g(\cdot, t)) dt\right)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ stands for Hilbert space generated by the covariance function of the Gaussian process \tilde{G} . Note that $\|g(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}$. Consequently

$$\int_0^T X_t^2 dt \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \int_0^T \tilde{X}_t^2 dt.$$

Finally, by Lemma 4.2 in Appendix, we can deduce that for the following two dimensional random vectors we have

$$\left(\int_0^T X_t \delta Y_t^{(1)}, \int_0^T X_t^2 dt\right) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \left(\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t \delta \tilde{G}_t, \int_0^T \tilde{X}_t^2 dt\right).$$

We conclude that

$$\frac{\int_0^T X_t \delta Y_t^{(1)}}{\int_0^T X_t^2 dt} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \frac{\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t \delta \tilde{G}_t}{\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t^2 dt}.$$

□

In the next lemma, we give an alternative expression of the estimator $\hat{\theta}_T$.

Lemma 3.3. *The least squares estimator $\hat{\theta}_T$ can be written as*

$$\hat{\theta}_T \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} -\frac{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{X}_T^2}{\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t^2 dt} + \frac{\int_0^T \int_s^T D_s^{(\tilde{W})} \tilde{X}_t \left(K_H(dt, s) + \tilde{L}(dt, s)\right) ds}{\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t^2 dt},$$

where $D^{(\tilde{W})}$ denotes the Malliavin derivative operator with respect to Brownian motion \tilde{W} .

Proof. Using Lemma 3.2, Proposition 2.3 and SDE (3.2), we can infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\theta}_T &\stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \theta - \frac{\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t \delta \tilde{G}_t}{\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t^2 dt} \\
&= \theta - \frac{\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t d\tilde{G}_t - \int_0^T \int_s^T D_s^{(\tilde{W})} \tilde{X}_t \left(K_H(dt, s) + \tilde{L}(dt, s) \right) ds}{\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t^2 dt} \\
&= \theta - \frac{\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t (d\tilde{X}_t + \theta \tilde{X}_t dt) - \int_0^T \int_s^T D_s^{(\tilde{W})} \tilde{X}_t \left(K_H(dt, s) + \tilde{L}(dt, s) \right) ds}{\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t^2 dt} \\
&= -\frac{\frac{1}{2} \tilde{X}_T^2}{\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t^2 dt} + \frac{\int_0^T \int_s^T D_s^{(\tilde{W})} \tilde{X}_t \left(K_H(dt, s) + \tilde{L}(dt, s) \right) ds}{\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t^2 dt}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

In order to prove the theorem, we need the following lemma. The next lemma can be used for an alternative estimator of the parameter θ .

Lemma 3.4. *For the process X given by (3.1) and consequently for the process \tilde{X} , as T tends to infinity, we have*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt \rightarrow \frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{\theta} B((\theta-1)H+1, 2H-1)$$

almost surely and in L^2 .

Proof. Note that for every $t \geq 0$, we have

$$(3.9) \quad U_t = e^{-\theta t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(\theta-1)s} dB_{a_s} = X_t + e^{-\theta t} \xi,$$

where $\xi = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(\theta-1)s} dB_{a_s}$. For the stationary Gaussian process U we have (see Proposition 3.11 of [8])

$$\mathbb{E}(U_t U_0) = O(e^{-\theta t}) \rightarrow 0,$$

as t tends to infinity. Hence, U is ergodic. Therefore, the ergodic theorem implies that

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T U_t^2 dt \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(U_0^2)$$

as T tends to infinity, almost surely and in L^2 . It is straightforward from (3.9) to check that

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(U_0^2).$$

On the other hand, using change of variable we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
U_t &= e^{-\theta t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(\theta-1)s} dB_{a_s} \\
&= H^{-(\theta-1)H} e^{-\theta t} \int_0^{at} s^{(\theta-1)H} dB_s.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using formula (2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad \mathbb{E}(U_0)^2 &= H^{-2(\theta-1)H} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^{a_0} s^{(\theta-1)H} dB_s \right)^2 \\
&= H^{-2(\theta-1)H} H(2H-1) \int_0^{a_0} \int_0^{a_0} s^{(\theta-1)H} t^{(\theta-1)H} |s-t|^{2H-2} ds dt \\
&= \frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{\theta} B((\theta-1)H+1, 2H-1)
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.11) into (3.10) yields the result. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. From lemma (3.3), we can write $\widehat{\theta}_T = I_1 + I_2$. By Lemma 3.4, we know that $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \widetilde{X}_t^2 dt$ converges almost surely and in L^2 , as T tends to infinity to a constant. Then, it suffices to study the almost sure convergence of the numerators of I_1 and I_2 .

Step 1: $I_1 \rightarrow 0$ almost surely as T tends to infinity. From Lemma (4.1) in Appendix and the assumption $\theta > 1$, we deduce that almost surely

$$(3.12) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\widetilde{X}_T^2}{T} = 0.$$

Step 2: $I_2 \rightarrow \theta$ almost surely as T tends to infinity.

First note that the Malliavin derivative $D_s^{(\widetilde{W})} \widetilde{X}_t$ with respect to Brownian motion \widetilde{W} is given by (see Section 2.2)

$$D_s^{(\widetilde{W})} \widetilde{X}_t = \int_s^t e^{-\theta(t-u)} \left(\frac{\partial K_H}{\partial u}(u, s) + h(u, s) \right) du.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
K_H(dt, s) + \widetilde{L}(dt, s) &= \left(\frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(t, s) + h(t, s) \right) dt \\
&= \left(\frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(t, s) + e^{-t} \phi(t, s) \right) dt \\
&= e^{-t} \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t} \left(\int_0^t e^{\frac{u}{H}} du, \int_0^s e^{\frac{u}{H}} du \right) e^{\frac{t}{H}} e^{\frac{s}{2H}} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote the numerator of the term I_2 with I_2^{up} . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2^{up} &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_s^T D_s^{(\widetilde{W})} \widetilde{X}_t \left(K_H(dt, s) + \widetilde{L}(dt, s) \right) ds \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_s^T \int_s^t e^{-\theta(t-u)} e^{-u} \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial u} \left(\int_0^u e^{\frac{u}{H}} du, \int_0^s e^{\frac{u}{H}} du \right) e^{\frac{u}{H}} e^{\frac{s}{2H}} du \\
&\quad \times e^{-t} \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t} \left(\int_0^t e^{\frac{u}{H}} du, \int_0^s e^{\frac{u}{H}} du \right) e^{\frac{t}{H}} e^{\frac{s}{2H}} dt ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by Fubini theorem for real-valued functions and the Lemma (4.3) in Appendix, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2^{up} &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-u)} e^{-u} e^{\frac{u}{H}} e^{-t} e^{\frac{t}{H}} \\
&\quad \times \int_0^{t \wedge u} \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t} \left(\int_0^t e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv, \int_0^s e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv \right) \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial u} \left(\int_0^u e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv, \int_0^s e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv \right) e^{\frac{s}{H}} ds du dt \\
&= \frac{\alpha_H}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-u)} e^{-u} e^{\frac{u}{H}} e^{-t} e^{\frac{t}{H}} \left| \int_0^u e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv - \int_0^t e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv \right|^{2H-2} du dt
\end{aligned}$$

We make a change of variables $p = \int_0^u e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv$ and $q = \int_0^t e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2^{up} &= \frac{\alpha_H}{T} \int_0^{a_T-H} \int_0^q \left(1 + \frac{q}{H}\right)^{-H(1+\theta)} \left(1 + \frac{p}{H}\right)^{H(\theta-1)} |p - q|^{2H-2} dp dq \\
&= \frac{\alpha_H H^{2H}}{T} \int_0^{a_T-H} \int_0^q (q + H)^{-H(1+\theta)} (p + H)^{H(\theta-1)} |p - q|^{2H-2} dp dq \\
&= \frac{\alpha_H H^{2H}}{T} \int_{a_0}^{a_T} \int_H^{q+H} q^{-H(1+\theta)} p^{H(\theta-1)} |p - q|^{2H-2} dp dq \\
&= \frac{\alpha_H H^{2H}}{T} \int_{a_0}^{a_T} \frac{1}{q} \int_{\frac{H}{q}}^{1+\frac{H}{q}} y^{(\theta-1)H} (1-y)^{2H-2} dy dq
\end{aligned}$$

As a result,

$$I_2^{up} \longrightarrow (2H-1)H^{2H} B((\theta-1)H+1, 2H-1)$$

and the claim follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\sqrt{T} (\hat{\theta}_T - \theta) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} -\frac{\sqrt{T} I_2^{\tilde{G}} \left(\frac{1}{2} e^{-\theta|t-s|}\right)}{\int_0^T \tilde{X}_t^2 dt} = -\frac{F_T}{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \tilde{X}_t^2 dt},$$

where F_T stands for the double stochastic integral

$$F_T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} I_2^{\tilde{G}} \left(\frac{1}{2} e^{-\theta|t-s|} \right).$$

Therefore, taking into account Lemma 3.4, it is enough to show that the sequence $\{F_T\}$ for $T = 1, 2, \dots$ converges in law to a Gaussian distribution. To show this, we use Proposition 2.4. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(F_T)^2 &= \frac{\alpha_H^2}{2T} \int_{[0, T]^4} \left[e^{-\theta(|u_1-v_1|+|u_2-v_2|)} e^{(\frac{1}{H}-1)(u_1+v_1+u_2+v_2)} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left| \int_0^{u_2} e^{\frac{x}{H}} dx - \int_0^{u_1} e^{\frac{x}{H}} dx \right|^{2H-2} \left| \int_0^{v_2} e^{\frac{x}{H}} dx - \int_0^{v_1} e^{\frac{x}{H}} dx \right|^{2H-2} \Big] du_1 du_2 dv_1 dv_2 \\
&:= \frac{\alpha_H^2}{2T} H^{4H-4} I_T,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_T &= \int_{[0, T]^4} \left[e^{-\theta(|u_1-v_1|+|u_2-v_2|)} e^{(\frac{1}{H}-1)(u_1+v_1+u_2+v_2)} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left| e^{\frac{u_2}{H}} - e^{\frac{u_1}{H}} \right|^{2H-2} \left| e^{\frac{v_2}{H}} - e^{\frac{v_1}{H}} \right|^{2H-2} \Big] du_1 du_2 dv_1 dv_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the derivative with respect to T , change of variables $x = T - u_1, y = T - u_2, z = T - v_1$, and taking limit as T tends to infinity, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{dI_T}{dT} &= 4 \int_{[0, \infty)^3} e^{-\theta y} e^{-\theta|z-x|} e^{(1-\frac{1}{H})(x+y+z)} \\ &\quad \times \left[e^{-\frac{y}{H}} - e^{-\frac{x}{H}} \left| 1 - e^{-\frac{z}{H}} \right| \right]^{2H-2} dx dy dz \end{aligned}$$

and this integral is finite. Indeed using obvious bound $e^{-\theta|z-x|} \leq 1$ and change of variables $e^{-\frac{x}{H}} = a, e^{-\frac{y}{H}} = b, e^{-\frac{z}{H}} = c$, we infer that, it is smaller than

$$\begin{aligned} C(H)B(1-H, 2H-1) &\int_{[0,1]^2} (ab)^{-H} b^{\theta H} |b-a|^{2H-2} da db \\ &= C(H)B(1-H, 2H-1) \left[\int_0^1 \int_0^b + \int_0^1 \int_b^1 (ab)^{-H} b^{\theta H} |b-a|^{2H-2} da db \right] \\ &= C(H)B(1-H, 2H-1) (J_1 + J_2). \end{aligned}$$

For example, for the term J_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \int_0^1 b^{\theta H-1} db \int_0^1 w^{(\theta-1)H} (1-w)^{2H-2} dw \\ &= B(1 + (\theta-1)H, 2H-1) \int_0^1 a^{\theta H-1} da < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

With similar computation (see [2], appendix), one can show that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| D_s F_T \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \mathbb{E} \left\| D_s F_T \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right]^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

Taking into account that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| D_s F_T \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = 2 \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(F_T^2),$$

we complete the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. Note that if one can replace Skorokhod integral with path-wise Riemann-Stieltjes integral in the formula of least squares estimator, then we can obtain the new estimator

$$\widehat{\theta}'_T := -\frac{\int_0^T X_t dX_t}{\int_0^T X_t^2 dt} \rightarrow 0$$

almost surely by Lemma 3.4 and 4.1 in Appendix, as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

4. APPENDIX

Lemma 4.1. For the stationary Gaussian process U given by (3.9) and any $\alpha > 0$, as T tends to infinity, we have

$$\frac{U_T}{T^\alpha} \rightarrow 0 \text{ almost surely.}$$

Proof. Using Proposition 3.11 of [8], there exists a constant $C(H, \theta)$ such that

$$c(t) := \text{Cov}(U_t, U_0) = C(H, \theta) e^{-\theta t} \left(\int_0^{at} \int_0^{a_0} (xy)^{(\theta-1)H} |x-y|^{2H-2} dx dy \right),$$

and the constant $C(H, \theta) = H(2H - 1)H^{2H(1-\theta)}$. Denote the term inside parentheses by $I(t)$. Then with some direct computations one can see

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) &= \int_0^{a_t} \int_0^{\frac{a_0}{a_t}y} (xy)^{(\theta-1)H} (y-x)^{2H-2} dx dy \\ &+ \int_0^{a_0} \int_0^x (xy)^{(\theta-1)H} (x-y)^{2H-2} dy dx \\ &+ \int_0^{a_0} \int_{\frac{a_0}{a_t}y}^y (xy)^{(\theta-1)H} (y-x)^{2H-2} dx dy \\ &= \frac{a_0^{2\theta H}}{\theta H} B((\theta-1)H+1, 2H-1) + \frac{1}{2\theta H} (a_t^{2\theta H} - a_0^{2\theta H}) \int_0^{\frac{a_0}{a_t}} z^{(\theta-1)H} (1-z)^{2H-2} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} c(t) &= \frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{\theta} B((\theta-1)H+1, 2H-1) \\ &- (2H-1)H^{2H} \times t \times \int_{\frac{a_0}{a_t}}^1 z^{(\theta-1)H} (1-z)^{2H-2} dz + o(t^{2H}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $B_{\text{inc}}(p, q, x)$ and ${}_2F_1(a, b, c; x)$ stand for incomplete Beta function and Gauss Hyper geometric function respectively (see [17] for definition). Using a relation between these two functions ([17], page 289), we obtain that as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{a_0}{a_t}}^1 z^{(\theta-1)H} (1-z)^{2H-2} dz &= B_{\text{inc}}(2H-1, (\theta-1)H, 1 - \frac{a_0}{a_t}) \\ &= \frac{HH^{-2H}}{2H-1} e^{-(\theta+1)t} (a_t - a_0)^{2H-1} {}_2F_1((\theta+1)H, 1, 2H; 1 - \frac{a_0}{a_t}) \\ &= \frac{HH^{-2H}}{2H-1} t^{2H-1} + o(t^{2H-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.2) in (4.1), we obtain that as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, we have

$$c(t) = \frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{\theta} B((\theta-1)H+1, 2H-1) - Ht^{2H} + o(t^{2H}).$$

Now the claim follows from Theorem 3.1 of [15]. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Set $U = I_2^{Y^{(1)}}(f)$, $V = I_2^{Y^{(1)}}\left(\int_0^T (g(\cdot, t) \tilde{\otimes} g(\cdot, t)) dt\right)$ and $\tilde{U} = I_2^{\tilde{G}}(f)$, $\tilde{V} = I_2^{\tilde{G}}\left(\int_0^T (g(\cdot, t) \tilde{\otimes} g(\cdot, t)) dt\right)$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}(U^{m_1} V^{m_2}) = \mathbb{E}(\tilde{U}^{m_1} \tilde{V}^{m_2}) \quad \forall m_1, m_2 \geq 1.$$

Proof. It immediately follows from Corollary 7.3.1 of [14]. \square

The next lemma is used in study of the term I_2^{up} .

Lemma 4.3.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{s \wedge t} \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t} \left(\int_0^t e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv, \int_0^u e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv \right) \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial s} \left(\int_0^s e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv, \int_0^u e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv \right) e^{\frac{u}{H}} du \\ = H(2H - 1) \left| \int_0^t e^{\frac{v}{H}} - \int_0^s e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv \right|^{2H-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Put $V_t = B_{\int_0^t e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv}$. Note that we can also represent this random variable as Wiener integral in the following way:

$$V_t = \int_0^t K_H \left(\int_0^t e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv, \int_0^u e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv \right) e^{\frac{u}{2H}} dW_u$$

where Brownian motion W is given by (2.11). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad \mathbb{E}(V_s V_t) \\ = \int_0^{s \wedge t} K_H \left(\int_0^s e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv, \int_0^u e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv \right) K_H \left(\int_0^t e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv, \int_0^u e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv \right) e^{\frac{u}{H}} du. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad \mathbb{E}(V_s V_t) &= \mathbb{E} \left(B_{\int_0^s e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv} B_{\int_0^t e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv} \right) \\ &= R_H \left(\int_0^s e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv, \int_0^t e^{\frac{v}{H}} dv \right). \end{aligned}$$

With differentiating respect to s and t of the right hand side of (4.4) and (4.3), we get the desired identity. \square

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Ehsan Azmoodeh thanks the Magnus Ehrnrooth foundation for financial support of the major part of the this work that had been done in Helsinki. José Igor Morlanes thanks Prof. Esko Valkeila for his advice and his financial support via the Academy grant 21245. Both authors thank Tommi Sottinen and Lauri Viitasaari for useful comments and discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] ALOS, E., MAZET, O., NUALART, D. (2001) Stochastic Calculus with respect to Gaussian processes. *Ann. Probab.* 29, no. 2, 766-801.
- [2] AZMOODEH, E., VIITASAARI, L. (2013) Parameters estimation based on discrete observation of fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind. Manuscript.
- [3] BAUDOIN, F., NUALART, D. (2003) Equivalence of Volterra processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 107, no. 2, 327-350.
- [4] BELFADLI, R., ES-SEBAIY, K., OUKNINE, Y. (2011) Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes: Non-ergodic case. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1102.5491>.
- [5] CHERIDITO P., KAWAGUCHI H., MAEJIMA M. (2003) Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes *Electron. J. Probab.* 8, no. 3, 1-14.
- [6] EMBRECHTS, P., MAEJIMA, M. (2002) Selfsimilar processes. *Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics*. Princeton University Press.
- [7] HU, Y., NUALART, D. (2010) Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 80, no. 11-12, 1030-1038.

- [8] KAARAKKA T., SALMINEN P. (2011) On Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. *Communications on Stochastic Analysis*. Vol. 5, No.1 pages 121-133.
- [9] KLEPTSZYNA, M. L., LE BRETON, A. (2002) Statistical analysis of the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type process. *Stat. Inference Stoch. Process.* 5, no. 3, 229-248.
- [10] MISHURA, Y. (2008) Stochastic Calculus for fractional Brownian motion and Related processes. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer.
- [11] NUALART D. (2006) The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics. *Probability and its Application*. Springer.
- [12] NUALART, D., ORTIZ-LATORRE, S. (2008) Central limit theorems for multiple stochastic integrals and Malliavin calculus. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 118, 614-628.
- [13] NOURDIN, I., PECCATI, G. (2012) Normal Approximations Using Malliavin Calculus: from Stein's Method to Universality. *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University.
- [14] PECCATI, G., TAQQU, M. (2011) Wiener Chaos: Moments, Cumulants and Diagrams. A survey with computer implementation. *Bocconi University Press*. Springer.
- [15] PICKANDS, J. (1969) Asymptotic properties of the maximum in a stationary Gaussian process. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* Vol:145, pages 75-86.
- [16] PIPIRAS, V., TAQQU, M. S. (2002) Integration questions related to fractional Brownian motion. *Probab. Theory Related Fields.* 118, no. 2, 251-291.
- [17] TEMME, N. M. (1996) Special Functions: An Introduction to the Classical Functions of Mathematical Physics. Wiley Inter-science Press.

JOSÉ IGOR MORLANES, STATISTISKA INSTITUTIONEN, STOCKHOLMS UNIVERSITET, P.O. BOX SE-10691 STOCKHOLM.

E-mail address: jose.morlanes@stat.su.se

EHSAN AZMOODEH, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES, DE LA TECHNOLOGIE ET DE LA COMMUNICATION, UNIVERSITÉ DU LUXEMBOURG, P.O. BOX L-1359 LUXEMBOURG.

E-mail address: ehsan.azmoodeh@uni.lu