

Conditional G-expectation in \mathbb{L}^p and Related Itô's Calculus

Y. FAN

School of Science, North China University of Technology, China

In this paper, we define a dynamically consistent conditional G-expectation in space \mathbb{L}^p , and give the related stochastic calculus of Itô's type, especially get Itô's formula for a general $C^{1,2}$ -function.

Keywords conditional G-expectation, Itô's integral, stopping times, Itô's formula

AMS Subject Classification 60H05; 60J65.

1 Introduction

The notion of G-expectation, which is a typical nonlinear expectation, was proposed by Peng [5], [6], and [7]. It can be regarded as a nonlinear generalization of Wiener probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , where $\Omega = C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, and P is a Wiener probability measure defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . On the same canonical space Ω , G-expectation is a sublinear expectation, such that the same canonical process $B_t(\omega) := \omega_t, t \geq 0$ is a G-Brownian motion, i.e., it is a continuous process with stable and independent increments. One important feature of G-expectation is its time consistency. To be precise, let ξ be a random variable and $Y_t := \mathbb{E}_t^G[\xi]$ be the conditional G-expectation, then one has $\mathbb{E}_s^G[\xi] = \mathbb{E}_s^G[\mathbb{E}_t^G[\xi]]$ for any $s < t$. For this reason, the conditional G-expectation is called a G-martingale, or a martingale under G-expectation.

A well-known and fundamentally important fact in probability theory is that the linear space L_P^1 coincides with the $E_P[|\cdot|]$ -norm completion of the space of bounded and \mathcal{F} -measurable functions $B_b(\Omega)$, or bounded and continuous functions $C_b(\Omega)$, or even smaller one, the space $Lip_{b,cyl}(\Omega) \subset C_b(\Omega)$ of bounded and Lipschitz cylinder functions. While in the theory of G-expectation, Denis, et al.[1] proved that the $\mathbb{E}[|\cdot|]$ -norm completion of $Lip_{b,cyl}(\Omega)$ and $C_b(\Omega)$ are the same space $L_G^1(\Omega)$, the random variables $X = X(\omega)$ which are quasi-continuous with respect to the natural Choquet capacity $c(A) := \mathbb{E}^G[\mathbf{1}_A]$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, but they are strict subspace of the $\mathbb{E}[|\cdot|]$ -norm completion of $B_b(\Omega)$. Moreover the latter one is, again, a strict subspace of \mathbb{L}^1 , the space of all \mathcal{F} -measurable random variables X such that $\mathbb{E}[|X|] < \infty$.

This research was supported by Beijing Natural Science Foundation Grant No. 1112009.

Address correspondence to Y. Fan, School of Science, North China University of Technology, Beijing 100144, China; Email: fanyl@ncut.edu.cn

In Peng [5], [6], and [7], G-expectation and the related Itô's calculus are mainly based on space $L_G^1(\Omega)$. Then Li and Peng [4] extend the Itô's integral to space without the quasi-continuity, obtain Itô's integral on stopping time interval, and get Itô's formula for a general $C^{1,2}$ -function, which generalizes the previous results of Peng [5], [6], and [7] and its improved version of Gao [2] and Zhang et al. [8].

But in Li and Peng [4], the conditional G-expectation has not been defined, so whether the martingale properties still hold for the stochastic integral $(\int_0^t \eta_s dB_s)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ and the conditional G-expectation of random variables without quasi-continuous condition is open.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give the definition of conditional G-expectation of random variables in space \mathbb{L}^1 . In section 3, we define the related Itô's integral in space $\mathbb{M}^2(0, T)$. In section 4, we prove the Itô's formula for general $C^{1,2}$ function.

2 Conditional G-expectation in \mathbb{L}^p

2.1 G-Brownian motion and G-expectation

We first present some preliminaries in the theory of G-expectation and the related space of random variables. More relevant details can be found in Peng [5], [6], [7].

Let Ω be a given set and let \mathcal{H} be a linear space of real functions defined on Ω such that $c \in \mathcal{H}$ for each constant c and $|X| \in \mathcal{H}$ if $X \in \mathcal{H}$. A sublinear expectation \mathbb{E} on \mathcal{H} is a functional $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties: for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$,

- (a) Monotonicity: If $X \geq Y$ then $\mathbb{E}[X] \geq \mathbb{E}[Y]$.
- (b) Constant preserving: $\mathbb{E}[c] = c$.
- (c) Sub-additivity: $\mathbb{E}[X + Y] \leq \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$.
- (d) Positive homogeneity: $\mathbb{E}[\lambda X] = \lambda \mathbb{E}[X], \forall \lambda \geq 0$.

The triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ is called a sublinear expectation space. In the literature, for technical convenience, \mathcal{H} is taken as the space satisfying that if $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{H}$ then $\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{H}$ for each $\varphi \in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the linear space of (local Lipschitz) functions φ satisfying

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq C(1 + |x|^m + |y|^m) |x - y|, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for some $C > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on φ . The linear space $C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be replaced by $\mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), C_b(\mathbb{R}^n), C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^n), C_{unif}(\mathbb{R}^n), C_{b,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In this case $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is called an n -dimensional random vector, denoted by $X \in \mathcal{H}^n$.

DEFINITION 2.1. In a nonlinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$, a random vector $Y \in \mathcal{H}^n$ is said to be independent from another random vector $X \in \mathcal{H}^n$ under $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ if for each test function $\varphi \in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X, Y)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\varphi(x, Y)]_{x=X}].$$

Let $\Omega = C_0^d(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be the space of all \mathbb{R}^d -valued continuous paths $(\omega_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$, with $\omega_0 = 0$, equipped with the distance

$$\rho(\omega^1, \omega^2) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} [(\max_{t \in [0, i]} |\omega_t^1 - \omega_t^2|) \wedge 1].$$

For each fixed $T \in [0, \infty)$, we set $\Omega_T := \{\omega_{\cdot \wedge T} : \omega \in \Omega\}$.

Let

$$L_{ip}(\Omega_T) := \{\phi(B_{t_1 \wedge T}, \dots, B_{t_n \wedge T}) : n \in \mathbb{N}, t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, \infty), \phi \in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times n})\}$$

with $B_t = \omega_t, t \in [0, \infty)$ for $\omega \in \Omega$, and

$$L_{ip}(\Omega) := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{ip}(\Omega_n).$$

Let $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of d -dimensional random vectors on a sublinear expectation space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}})$ such that ξ_i is G -normal distributed and ξ_{i+1} is independent from (ξ_1, \dots, ξ_i) for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$. For each $X \in L_{ip}(\Omega)$ with

$$X = \phi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}}),$$

some $\phi \in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times n})$ and $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < \infty$, define G -expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]$ as

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\phi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}})] := \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\phi(\sqrt{t_1 - t_0}\xi_1, \dots, \sqrt{t_n - t_{n-1}}\xi_n)].$$

And the related conditional G -expectation of

$$X = \phi(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}})$$

under Ω_{t_j} is defined by

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X | \Omega_{t_j}] := \psi(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_j} - B_{t_{j-1}}),$$

where

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_j) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\phi(x_1, \dots, x_j, \sqrt{t_{j+1} - t_j}\xi_{j+1}, \dots, \sqrt{t_n - t_{n-1}}\xi_n)].$$

$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]$ consistently defines a sublinear expectation on $L_{ip}(\Omega)$ and $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a G-Brownian motion.

The sublinear expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot] : L_{ip}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined through the above procedure is called a G-expectation. The corresponding canonical process $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on the sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, L_{ip}(\Omega), \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called a G-Brownian motion.

Let $L_G^p(\Omega_T), p \geq 1$, denotes the completion of

$$L_{ip}(\Omega_T) := \{\varphi(B_{t_1 \wedge T}, \dots, B_{t_n \wedge T}) : n \in \mathbb{N}, t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, \infty), \varphi \in C_{l, Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times n})\}$$

under the norm $\|X\|_p := (\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X|^p])^{\frac{1}{p}}$. And set $L_{ip}(\Omega) := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{ip}(\Omega_n)$. The definition of G-expectation and conditional G-expectation can be extended to space $L_G^p(\Omega)$.

2.2 Conditional G-expectation in \mathbb{L}^p

Let \mathcal{M} be the collection of all probability measures on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$, and

$L^0(\Omega)$: the space of all $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ -measurable real functions;

$L^0(\Omega_t)$: the space of all $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_t)$ -measurable real functions;

$B_b(\Omega)$: all bounded elements in $L^0(\Omega)$; $B_b(\Omega_t)$: all bounded elements in $L^0(\Omega_t)$;

$C_b(\Omega)$: all bounded and continuous elements in $L^0(\Omega)$; $C_b(\Omega_t)$: all bounded and continuous elements in $L^0(\Omega_t)$.

THEOREM 2.2. (Denis, et al.[1]) *There exists a weakly compact subset $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, such that*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\xi] = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[\xi], \forall \xi \in L_G^1(\Omega).$$

\mathcal{P} is called a set that represents $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$.

The upper expectation of probability measure set \mathcal{P} is defined in Huber and Strassen [3]: For each $X \in L^0(\Omega)$ such that $E_P[X]$ exists for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, the upper expectation about \mathcal{P} is defined as

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[X] := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[X].$$

Denote

$$c(A) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P(A), A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega).$$

Then $c(\cdot)$ is a Choquet capacity. A set A is called polar if $c(A) = 0$, and a property holds “quasi-surely” (q.s.) if it holds outside a polar set. Let

$$\mathcal{L}^p := \{X \in L^0(\Omega) : \mathbb{E}[|X|^p] < \infty\}, 0 < p < \infty,$$

$$\mathcal{L}^\infty := \{X \in L^0(\Omega) : \exists \text{ a constant } M, \text{ s.t. } |X| \leq M, \text{ q.s.}\},$$

$$\mathcal{N} := \{X \in L^0(\Omega) : X = 0, c - \text{q.s.}\},$$

$$\mathbb{L}^p := \mathcal{L}^p / \mathcal{N}.$$

Then for $0 < p < 1$, \mathbb{L}^p is a complete metric space under the distance $d(X, Y) := \mathbb{E}[|X - Y|^p]$, and for $1 \leq p < \infty$, \mathbb{L}^p is a Banach space under the norm $\|X\|_p := (\mathbb{E}[|X|^p])^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Similarly, we can define space $\mathbb{L}^p(\Omega_t)$. And we denote by $\mathbb{L}_b^p(\Omega_t)$ the completion of $B_b(\Omega_t)$ and $\mathbb{L}_c^p(\Omega_t)$ the completion of $C_b(\Omega_t)$ under norm $\|\cdot\|_p = \mathbb{E}[|\cdot|^p]$, $0 \leq t \leq \infty$.

Denis, et al.[1] proved that $\mathbb{L}_c^1(\Omega_t) = L_G^1(\Omega_t) \subset \mathbb{L}_b^1(\Omega_t)$.

$\mathbb{L}^\infty := \mathcal{L}^\infty/\mathcal{N}$ is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|X\|_\infty := \inf\{M \geq 0 : |X| \leq M, q.s.\}.$$

LEMMA 2.3. *If for any $p > 0$, $X \in \mathbb{L}^p$, then $c(|X| = \infty) = 0$, that is $|X| < \infty, q.s.$*

Proof. Otherwise, $c(|X| = \infty) > 0$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[|X|^p] \geq \mathbb{E}[|X|^p \mathbf{I}_{\{|X|=\infty\}}] = \infty,$$

which means $X \notin \mathbb{L}^p$.

Denote

$$\mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,t}) := \left\{ \eta = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{A^j} \eta^j, \text{ where } \{A^j\}_{j=1}^N \text{ is an } \mathcal{F}_s \text{-partition of } \Omega, \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \eta^j, j = 1, \dots, N \text{ are } \mathcal{F}_t^s \text{-measurable} \right\}.$$

DEFINITION 2.4. *We define a mapping, $\mathbb{E}_s[\cdot] : \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,t}) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,s})$ which has the following properties,*

- (i) if $\xi \geq \eta$, then $\mathbb{E}_s[\xi] \geq \mathbb{E}_s[\eta]$.
- (ii) $\mathbb{E}_s[\eta] = \eta$, if $\eta \in \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,s})$.
- (iii) $\mathbb{E}_s[\xi] - \mathbb{E}_s[\eta] \leq \mathbb{E}_s[\xi - \eta]$.
- (iv) $\mathbb{E}_s[\eta\xi] = \eta^+ \mathbb{E}_s[\xi] + \eta^- \mathbb{E}_s[-\xi]$, if $\eta \in \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,s})$.
- (v) $\mathbb{E}_s[\eta] = \mathbb{E}[\eta]$, if η is independent from \mathcal{F}_s .

Then for any $\eta \in \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,t})$, we have

$$\mathbf{I}_{A^j} \mathbb{E}_s[\eta] = \mathbb{E}_s[\mathbf{I}_{A^j} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{A^j} \eta^j] = \mathbb{E}_s[\mathbf{I}_{A^j} \eta^j] = \mathbf{I}_{A^j} \mathbb{E}[\eta^j].$$

Summarizing over j , we have

$$\mathbb{E}_s[\eta] = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{A^j} \mathbb{E}[\eta^j].$$

So we can define the conditional G-expectation of η as

$$\mathbb{E}_s[\eta] := \mathbb{E}[\eta | \mathcal{F}_s] = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{A^j} \mathbb{E}[\eta^j].$$

Denote

$$\mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,r,t}) := \left\{ \eta = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \eta_{s,r}^j \eta_{r,t}^j, \text{ where } \{A_s^j\}_{j=1}^N \text{ is an } \mathcal{F}_s \text{-partition of } \Omega, \right.$$

$$\left. \eta_{s,r}^j, j = 1, \dots, N \text{ are } \mathcal{F}_r^s \text{-measurable, } \eta_{r,t}^j, j = 1, \dots, N \text{ are } \mathcal{F}_t^r \text{-measurable} \right\}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.5. $\mathbb{E}_s[\cdot] : \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,r,t}) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,s})$ is dynamically consistent.

Proof. For any random variable $\eta \in \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,r,t})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_s[\eta] &= \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \mathbb{E}[\eta_{s,r}^j \eta_{r,t}^j] = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[x \eta_{r,t}^j]_{x=\eta_{s,r}^j}] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \mathbb{E}[\eta_{s,r}^j] \mathbb{E}[\eta_{r,t}^j]. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \mathbb{E}_r[\eta] = \mathbb{E}_r[\mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \eta_{s,r}^j \eta_{r,t}^j] = \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \eta_{s,r}^j \mathbb{E}[\eta_{r,t}^j],$$

Summarizing over j , we have

$$\mathbb{E}_r[\eta] = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \eta_{s,r}^j \mathbb{E}[\eta_{r,t}^j].$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}_s[\mathbb{E}_r[\eta]] = \mathbb{E}_s\left[\sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \eta_{s,r}^j \mathbb{E}[\eta_{r,t}^j]\right] = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \mathbb{E}[\eta_{s,r}^j] \mathbb{E}[\eta_{r,t}^j].$$

So $\mathbb{E}_s[\cdot]$ is dynamically consistent.

By the proof of lemma 43 of Denis, et al.[1], “the collection of processes $(\theta_t)_{t \in [s,T]}$ with $\{\theta_t = \sum I_{A_j} \theta_t^j : \{A_j\}_{j=1}^N$ is an \mathcal{F}_s partition of Ω , θ^j is (\mathbb{F}^s) -adapted} is dense in $\mathcal{A}_{s,T}^\Theta$ ”. So for any indicator function $\mathbf{I}_A \in \mathcal{F}_t$, there exist sequence $\zeta^i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_1^i} \mathbf{I}_{A_1^{ij}} \eta^{ij}, i = 1, \dots$, where for every i , $\{A_1^{ij}\}_{j=1}^{N_1^i}$ is an \mathcal{F}_s -partition of Ω and η^{ij} are \mathcal{F}_t^s -measurable, such that $\zeta^i \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_A, i \rightarrow \infty$.

While for any \mathcal{F}_t -measurable random variable η , there exists simple function sequence $\xi^i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_2^i} \mathbf{I}_{A_2^{ij}} \eta^{ij}, i = 1, 2, \dots$ where $\{A_2^{ij}\}_{j=1}^{N_2^i}$ is an \mathcal{F}_t -partition of Ω , and η^{ij} are constants, such that $\xi^i \rightarrow \eta, i \rightarrow \infty$.

So $\mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,t})$ is dense in $L^0(\Omega_t)$, and $\mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,r,t})$ is dense in $\mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,t})$ as well as in $L^0(\Omega_t)$.

Hence for any $\eta \in \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_t)$, there exists $\eta^i \in \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,t})$ such that $\eta^i \rightarrow \eta, i \rightarrow \infty$. We define the conditional G-expectation of η as

$$\mathbb{E}_s[\eta] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_s[\eta^i]. \quad (2.1)$$

The conditional G-expectation $\mathbb{E}_s[\cdot] : \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_s)$ defined in (2.1) has the following properties.

PROPOSITION 2.6. For each $X, Y \in \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega)$,

(i) if $X \geq Y$, then $\mathbb{E}_s[X] \geq \mathbb{E}_s[Y]$.

(ii) $\mathbb{E}_s[\eta] = \eta$, if $\eta \in \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_s)$.

(iii) $\mathbb{E}_s[X] - \mathbb{E}_s[Y] \leq \mathbb{E}_s[X - Y]$.

(iv) $\mathbb{E}_s[\eta X] = \eta^+ \mathbb{E}_s[X] + \eta^- \mathbb{E}_s[-X]$ for each bounded $\eta \in \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_s)$.

(v) $\mathbb{E}_s[\mathbb{E}_t[X]] = \mathbb{E}_{t \wedge s}[X]$, in particular, $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}_s[X]] = \mathbb{E}[X]$.

3 Itô's integral in $\mathbb{M}^2(0, T)$

For $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$, a partition π_T of $[0, T]$ is a finite ordered subset $\pi_T = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_N\}$ such that $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$. Let $\mu(\pi_T) := \max\{|t_{i+1} - t_i| : i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$, and use $\pi_T^N = \{t_0^N, t_1^N, \dots, t_N^N\}$ to denote a sequence of partitions of $[0, T]$ such that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\pi_T^N) = 0$.

Let $p \geq 1$ be fixed. We consider the following type of simple processes: for a given partition $\pi_T = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_N\}$ of $[0, T]$ we set

$$\eta_t(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k(\omega) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k, t_{k+1})}(t),$$

where $\xi_k \in \mathbb{L}^p(\Omega_{t_k})$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1$ are given. The collection of these processes is denoted by $\mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T)$.

DEFINITION 3.1. For each $p \geq 1$, we denote by $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$ the completion of $\mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T)$ under the norm

$$\|\eta\|_{\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)} := \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |\eta_t|^p dt \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

It is clear that $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T) \supset \mathbb{M}^q(0, T)$ for $1 \leq p \leq q$.

DEFINITION 3.2. For an $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T)$ with $\eta_t(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k(\omega) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k, t_{k+1})}(t)$, the related Bochner integral is

$$\int_0^T \eta_t(\omega) dt := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k(\omega) (t_{k+1} - t_k).$$

For each $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T)$, set

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_T[\eta] := \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \eta_t(\omega) dt \right].$$

$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_T : \mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ forms a sublinear expectation, so under the natural norm $\|\eta\|_{\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)}$, the Bochner integral can be extended from $\mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T)$ to $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$

We now introduce the definition of Itô's integral. For simplicity, we first introduce Itô's integral with respect to 1-dimensional G-Brownian motion.

Let $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a 1-dimensional G-Brownian motion with $G(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}^2 \alpha^+ - \underline{\sigma}^2 \alpha^-)$, where $0 \leq \underline{\sigma} \leq \bar{\sigma} < \infty$.

DEFINITION 3.3. For each $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^{2,0}(0, T)$ with $\eta_t(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k(\omega) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k, t_{k+1})}(t)$, define

$$I(\eta) = \int_0^T \eta_t dB_t := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k (B_{t_{k+1}} - B_{t_k}).$$

LEMMA 3.4. The mapping $I : \mathbb{M}^{2,0}(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_T)$ is a continuous linear mapping and thus can be continuously extended to $I : \mathbb{M}^2(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_T)$, and we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \eta_t dB_t\right] = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T \eta_t dB_t\right)^2\right] \leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \eta_t^2 dt\right]. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Notice that $B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i}$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_{t_i} , so for $\xi_i \in \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_{t_i})$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_i (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})] = \mathbb{E}[-\xi_i (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})] = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_i^2 (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})^2 - \bar{\sigma}^2 \xi_i^2 (t_{i+1} - t_i)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}_t[\xi_i^2 (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})^2 - \bar{\sigma}^2 \xi_i^2 (t_{i+1} - t_i)]] = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Hence we get (3.1) and (3.2) by the same procedure as Peng [7].

DEFINITION 3.5. We define, for a fixed $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^2(0, T)$, the stochastic integral

$$\int_0^T \eta_t dB_t := I(\eta).$$

It is clear (3.1) and (3.2) still holds for $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^2(0, T)$.

The Itô's integral has the following properties,

PROPOSITION 3.6. Let $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{M}^2(0, T)$, and let $0 \leq s \leq r \leq t \leq T$. Then we have

- (i) $\int_s^t \eta_u dB_u = \int_s^r \eta_u dB_u + \int_r^t \eta_u dB_u, q.s.,$
- (ii) $\int_s^t (\alpha \eta_u + \theta_u) dB_u = \alpha \int_s^t \eta_u dB_u + \int_s^t \theta_u dB_u, \text{ if } \alpha \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_s),$
- (iii) $\mathbb{E}[X + \int_s^T \eta_u dB_u \mid \Omega_s] = \mathbb{E}[X \mid \Omega_s], \text{ for } X \in \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega).$

For the multi-dimensional case. Let $G(\cdot) : \mathbb{S}(d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a given monotonic and sublinear function and let $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a d -dimensional G-Brownian motion. For each fixed $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we use $B_t^a := \langle a, B_t \rangle$. Then $(B_t^a)_{t \geq 0}$ is a 1-dimensional G_a -Brownian motion with $G_a(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{aa^T}^2 \alpha^+ - \sigma_{-aa^T}^2 \alpha^-)$, where $\sigma_{aa^T}^2 = 2G(aa^T)$ and $\sigma_{-aa^T}^2 = -2G(-aa^T)$. Similar to 1-dimensional case, we can define Itô's integral by

$$I(\eta) := \int_0^T \eta_t dB_t^a, \text{ for } \eta \in \mathbb{M}^2(0, T).$$

and have (3.1), (3.2) and Proposition 3.6.

Let $(\langle B \rangle_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the quadratic variation process of 1-dimensional G-Brownian motion.

Define a mapping:

$$Q_{0,T}(\eta) = \int_0^T \eta_t d\langle B \rangle_t := \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \xi_j (\langle B \rangle_{t_{j+1}} - \langle B \rangle_{t_j}) : \mathbb{M}^{1,0}(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_T).$$

We have the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.7. *For each $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^{1,0}(0, T)$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[|Q_{0,T}(\eta)|] \leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |\eta_t| dt \right]. \quad (3.5)$$

Thus $Q_{0,T} : \mathbb{M}^{1,0}(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_T)$ is a continuous linear mapping. Consequently, $Q_{0,T}$ can be uniquely extended to $\mathbb{M}^1(0, T)$, and we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^T \eta_t d\langle B \rangle_t \right| \right] \leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |\eta_t| dt \right], \forall \eta \in \mathbb{M}^1(0, T). \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Notice that $\mathbb{E}[|\xi_j|(\langle B \rangle_{t_{j+1}} - \langle B \rangle_{t_j}) - \bar{\sigma}^2 |\xi_j| (t_{j+1} - t_j)] = 0$. Then it is easy to check that (3.5) as well as (3.6) holds.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *For any $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^2(0, T)$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \eta_s dB_s \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \eta_s^2 d\langle B \rangle_s \right]. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. For $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^{2,0}(0, T)$, it is easy to check that (3.7) holds. We can continuously extend the above equality to the case $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^2(0, T)$ and get (3.7).

Similar to Li and Peng(2011), we can prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.9. *For any $\xi \in \mathbb{M}^1(0, T)$, $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^2(0, T)$, and $0 \leq t \leq T$, $\int_0^t \xi_s ds$, $\int_0^t \eta_s dB_s$, and $\int_0^t \xi_s d\langle B \rangle_s$ are well defined processes which are continuous in t quasi-surely.*

A stopping time τ with respect to filtration (\mathcal{F}_t) is a mapping $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow [0, T]$ such that for every t , $\{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$.

LEMMA 3.10. *For each stopping time τ and $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$, we have $\mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau]}, \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau]}\eta \in \mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$.*

Proof. For a given stopping time τ , let

$$\tau_n = \sum_{k=1}^n t_k^n \mathbf{I}_{[t_{k-1}^n < \tau \leq t_k^n]} + T \mathbf{I}_{[\tau > T]}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, T]}(t) &= \mathbf{I}_{[\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^n \mathbf{I}_{[t_{i-1}^n < \tau \leq t_i^n]} + T \mathbf{I}_{[\tau > T]}, T)}(t) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n \mathbf{I}_{[t_l^n, T]}(t) \mathbf{I}_{[t_{l-1}^n < \tau \leq t_l^n]} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^{n-1} \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^n, t_{k+1}^n]}(t) \mathbf{I}_{[t_{l-1}^n < \tau \leq t_l^n]} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{l=1}^k \mathbf{I}_{[t_{l-1}^n < \tau \leq t_l^n]} \right) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^n, t_{k+1}^n]}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{l=1}^k \mathbf{I}_{[t_{l-1}^n < \tau \leq t_l^n]} \in \mathbb{L}^p(\Omega_{t_k^n})$, we have $\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, T]} \in \mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T)$.

For any $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$, there exists a sequence of simple processes $\eta_t^i = \sum_k \xi_k^i \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^i, t_{k+1}^i]}(t)$ with $\xi_k^i \in \mathbb{L}^p(\Omega_{t_k^i})$ such that $\eta^i \rightarrow \eta, i \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$. Obviously, $\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, T]}\eta^i \in \mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T)$.

It is easy to check that $\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, T]}\eta^i \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, T]}\eta, i \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$, which means that $\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, T]}\eta \in \mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$.

Now we prove $\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, T]}\eta \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{[\tau, T]}\eta$ in $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, T]}(t)\eta_t - \mathbf{I}_{[\tau, T]}(t)\eta_t|^p dt \right] \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, T]}(t)\eta_t - \mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, T]}(t)\eta_t^i|^p dt \right] + C \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, T]}(t)\eta_t^i - \mathbf{I}_{[\tau, T]}(t)\eta_t^i|^p dt \right] \\ & \quad + C \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |\mathbf{I}_{[\tau, T]}(t)\eta_t^i - \mathbf{I}_{[\tau, T]}(t)\eta_t|^p dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta^i \rightarrow \eta, i \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists I such that when $i \geq I$, $\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |\eta_t^i - \eta_t|^p dt \right] < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Hence for some fixed $i \geq I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, T]}(t)\eta_t - \mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, T]}(t)\eta_t^i|^p dt \right] &< \frac{\epsilon}{3}, \\ C \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |\mathbf{I}_{[\tau, T]}(t)\eta_t^i - \mathbf{I}_{[\tau, T]}(t)\eta_t|^p dt \right] &< \frac{\epsilon}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$CE \left[\int_0^T |\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, T)}(t)\eta_t^i - \mathbf{I}_{[\tau, T)}(t)\eta_t^i|^p dt \right] \leq \sum_k |\xi_k^i|^p \mu(\pi_T^n) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}, \text{ for some fixed } i \geq I \text{ and } n \text{ large enough.}$$

So $\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, T)}\eta \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{[\tau, T)}\eta$ in $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$, which means $\mathbf{I}_{[\tau, T)}\eta \in \mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$, and consequently $\mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau_n)}\eta \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau)}\eta$ in $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$. As a special case, $\mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau)} \in \mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$.

By lemma 3.10, the integral $\int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau]}(s)dB_s$ and $\int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau]}(s)\eta_s dB_s$ for $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^2(0, T)$ is well defined.

LEMMA 3.11. *For each stopping time τ and $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$, we have*

$$\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \eta_s dB_s = \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau)}\eta_s dB_s, \text{ q.s.} \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. Let

$$\tau_n = \sum_{k=1}^n t_k^n \mathbf{I}_{[t_{k-1}^n < \tau \leq t_k^n]} + T \mathbf{I}_{[\tau > T]} = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{I}_{A_n^{k-1}} t_k^n,$$

with $A_n^{k-1} = [t_{k-1}^n < \tau \leq t_k^n]$, and $A_n = [\tau > T]$.

For any $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$, we have

$$\int_0^{\tau_n} \eta_s dB_s = \int_0^{\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{I}_{A_n^{k-1}} t_k^n} \eta_s dB_s = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{I}_{A_n^{k-1}} \int_0^{t_k^n} \eta_s dB_s = \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{I}_{[0, t_k^n)}(s) \mathbf{I}_{A_n^{k-1}} \eta_s dB_s.$$

Thus we have

$$\int_0^{\tau_n} \eta_s dB_s = \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau_n)}(s) \eta_s dB_s, \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\int_{\tau_n}^{t \wedge \tau} \eta_s dB_s = \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n, t \wedge \tau)}(s) \eta_s dB_s \rightarrow 0,$$

by the continuity of $\int_0^t \eta_s dB_s$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\tau_n} \eta_s dB_s = \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \eta_s dB_s - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau_n}^{t \wedge \tau} \mathbf{I}_{[0, t \wedge \tau)}(s) \eta_s dB_s \rightarrow \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \eta_s dB_s. \quad (3.10)$$

By the proof of lemma 3.8, $\mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau_n)}\eta \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau)}\eta$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, in $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$, so we have

$$\int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau_n)}(s) \eta_s dB_s \rightarrow \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau)}(s) \eta_s dB_s, \text{ in } \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_t).$$

By Denis, Hu and Peng(2011) proposition 17, there exists a subsequence $\int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau_{n_k}]}(s) \eta_s dB_s$ such that

$$\int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau_{n_k}]}(s) \eta_s dB_s \rightarrow \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau)}(s) \eta_s dB_s, \text{ q.s., as } k \rightarrow \infty, . \quad (3.11)$$

From (3.9), (3.10), and (3.11), (3.8) holds.

LEMMA 3.12. For $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^2(s, t)$ with $s < t$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_s[|\int_s^t \eta_u du|^2] \leq (t-s)\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t \eta_u^2 du], \quad (3.12)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_s[|\int_s^t \eta_u d\langle B \rangle_u|^2] \leq \bar{\sigma}^4(t-s)\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t \eta_u^2 du]. \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. For each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, $\eta_u(\omega)$ is a measurable function on $[s, t]$. By lemma 2.3, $\int_s^t \eta_u^2 du < \infty, q.s..$ So for fixed $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\int_s^t \eta_u^2(\omega) du < \infty$, we have

$$|\int_s^t \eta_u(\omega) du|^2 \leq (\int_s^t |\eta_u(\omega)| du)^2 \leq (t-s) \int_s^t |\eta_u(\omega)|^2 du. \quad (3.14)$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}_s[|\int_s^t \eta_u dt|^2] \leq (t-s)\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t |\eta_u|^2 dt]. \quad (3.15)$$

Now we prove (3.13). For $\eta^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \eta_{t_i}^n \mathbf{I}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(t) \in \mathbb{M}^{2,0}(0, T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_s[|\int_s^t \eta_u^n d\langle B \rangle_u|^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_s[|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \eta_{t_i}^n (\langle B \rangle_{t_{i+1}} - \langle B \rangle_{t_i})|^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_s[\sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} |\eta_{t_i}^n \eta_{t_j}^n| (\langle B \rangle_{t_{i+1}} - \langle B \rangle_{t_i}) (\langle B \rangle_{t_{j+1}} - \langle B \rangle_{t_j})] \\ &\leq \bar{\sigma}^4 \mathbb{E}_s[\sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} |\eta_{t_i}^n \eta_{t_j}^n| (t_{i+1} - t_i)(t_{j+1} - t_j)] \\ &= \bar{\sigma}^4 \mathbb{E}_s[(\int_s^t \eta_u^n dt)^2] \\ &\leq \bar{\sigma}^4 (t-s) \mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t |\eta_u^n|^2 dt]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Thus (3.13) holds for $\eta^n \in \mathbb{M}^{2,0}(0, T)$. We can continuously extend the above equality to the case $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^2(0, T)$ and get (3.13).

4 Itô's Formula

LEMMA 4.1. Let $\varphi \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\partial_t \varphi, \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi, \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi \in C_{b, Lip}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\mu, \nu = 1, \dots, n$. Let $s \in [0, T]$ be fixed and $X = (X^1, \dots, X^n)^T$ be an n -dimensional process on $[s, T]$ of the form

$$X_t^\nu = X_s^\nu + \alpha^\nu(t-s) + \eta^{\nu ij} (\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_t - \langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s) + \beta^{\nu j} (B_t^j - B_s^j),$$

where for $\nu = 1, \dots, n$, $i, j = 1, \dots, d$, $\alpha^\nu, \eta^{\nu ij} \in \mathbb{L}^4(\Omega_s)$, $\beta^{\nu j} \in \mathbb{L}^8(\Omega_s)$ and $X_s = (X_s^1, \dots, X_s^n)^T$ is a given random vector in $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_s)$. Then for each $t \geq s$, we have, in $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, X_t) - \varphi(s, X_s) &= \int_s^t [\partial_t \varphi(u, X_u) + \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \alpha^\nu] du + \int_s^t \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \beta^{\nu j} dB_u^j \\ &\quad + \int_s^t [\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \eta^{\nu ij} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(u, X_u) \beta^{\mu i} \beta^{\nu j}] d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Here we use the above repeated indices μ, ν, i and j imply the summation.

Proof. For each positive integer N , we set $\delta_N = (t - s)/N$ and take the partition

$$\pi_{[s,t]}^N = \{t_0^N, t_1^N, \dots, t_N^N\} = \{s, s + \delta_N, \dots, s + N\delta_N = t\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, X_t) - \varphi(s, X_s) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} [\varphi(t_{k+1}^N, X_{t_{k+1}^N}) - \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \{ \partial_t \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) (t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N) + \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) (X_{t_{k+1}^N}^\nu - X_{t_k^N}^\nu) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} [\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) (X_{t_{k+1}^N}^\mu - X_{t_k^N}^\mu) (X_{t_{k+1}^N}^\nu - X_{t_k^N}^\nu) + \kappa_k^N] \} \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_k^N &= \partial_{tt}^2 \varphi(t_k^N + \theta \delta_N, X_{t_k^N} + \theta (X_{t_{k+1}^N}^\nu - X_{t_k^N}^\nu)) (t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N)^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \partial_{tx^\nu}^2 \varphi(t_k^N + \theta \delta_N, X_{t_k^N} + \theta (X_{t_{k+1}^N}^\nu - X_{t_k^N}^\nu)) (t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N) (X_{t_{k+1}^N}^\nu - X_{t_k^N}^\nu) \\ &\quad + [\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(t_k^N + \theta \delta_N, X_{t_k^N} + \theta (X_{t_{k+1}^N}^\nu - X_{t_k^N}^\nu)) - \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})] (X_{t_{k+1}^N}^\nu - X_{t_k^N}^\nu)^2 \end{aligned}$$

with $\theta \in [0, 1]$. We have, since $\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi \in C_{b, Lip}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \kappa_k^N \right]^2 \leq CN [\delta_N^6 + \delta_N^3] \rightarrow 0,$$

where C is a constant independent of k .

The rest terms in the summation of the right side of (4.2) are $\xi_t^N + \zeta_t^N$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_t^N &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \{ \partial_t \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) (t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N) \\ &\quad + \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) [\alpha^\nu (t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N) + \eta^{\nu ij} (\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_{k+1}^N} - \langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_k^N}) + \beta^{\nu j} (B_{t_{k+1}^N}^j - B_{t_k^N}^j)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \beta^{\mu i} \beta^{\nu j} (B_{t_{k+1}^N}^i - B_{t_k^N}^i) (B_{t_{k+1}^N}^j - B_{t_k^N}^j) \}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_t^N &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \{ \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) [\alpha^\mu(t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N) + \eta^{\mu ij} (\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_{k+1}^N} - \langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_k^N})] \\ &\quad \times [\alpha^\nu(t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N) + \eta^{\nu ij} (\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_{k+1}^N} - \langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_k^N})] \\ &\quad + 2[\alpha^\mu(t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N) + \eta^{\mu ij} (\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_{k+1}^N} - \langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_k^N})] \beta^{\nu j} (B_{t_{k+1}^N}^j - B_{t_k^N}^j) \}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we prove ξ_t^N converges to the right side of (4.1) and ζ_t^N converges to 0 in $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_t)$.

Firstly, we have the following estimates.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_s & \left[\int_s^t |\partial_u \varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_u \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u)|^2 du \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_s \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{t_k^N}^{t_{k+1}^N} |\partial_u \varphi(u, X_u) - \partial_u \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})|^2 du \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{t_k^N}^{t_{k+1}^N} \mathbb{E}_s [|\partial_u \varphi(u, X_u) - \partial_u \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})|^2] du \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{t_k^N}^{t_{k+1}^N} C_1 \mathbb{E}_s [|u - t_k^N|^2 + |X_u - X_{t_k^N}|^2] du \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{t_k^N}^{t_{k+1}^N} \mathbb{E}_s [(C_1 + C_2(\alpha^\nu)^2) |u - t_k^N|^2 + C_2(\eta^{\nu ij})^2 |\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u - \langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_k^N}|^2 + C_2(\beta^{\nu j})^2 |B_u^j - B_{t_k^N}^j|^2] du \\ &\leq (C_1 + C_2(\alpha^\nu)^2)(t - s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\eta^{\nu ij})^2(t - s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\beta^{\nu j})^2(t - s)\delta_N.\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_s & \left[\int_s^t |\partial_{x^\mu} \varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_{x^\mu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u)|^2 du \right] \\ &\leq (C_1 + C_2(\alpha^\nu)^2)(t - s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\eta^{\nu ij})^2(t - s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\beta^{\nu j})^2(t - s)\delta_N,\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_s & \left[\int_s^t |\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u)|^2 d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u \right] \\ &\leq (C_1 + C_2(\alpha^\nu)^2)(t - s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\eta^{\nu ij})^2(t - s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\beta^{\nu j})^2(t - s)\delta_N,\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_s & \left[\int_s^t |\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u)|^2 d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u \right] \\ &\leq (C_1 + C_2(\alpha^\nu)^2)(t - s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\eta^{\nu ij})^2(t - s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\beta^{\nu j})^2(t - s)\delta_N.\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

Of course, (4.3)-(4.6) implies that $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_u \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(\cdot)$ converges to $\partial_u \varphi(\cdot, X)$, $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_{x^\mu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(\cdot)$ converges to $\partial_{x^\mu} \varphi(\cdot, X)$, and $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(\cdot)$ converges to $\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(\cdot, X)$ in $\mathbb{M}^2(0, T)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_s^t [\partial_u \varphi(u, X_u) + \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \alpha^\nu] du + \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \beta^{\nu j} dB_u^j \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + [\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \eta^{\nu, \nu i j} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(u, X_u) \beta^{\nu j} \beta^{\nu j}] d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u - \xi_t^N \right|^2 \right] \\
& \leq 5 \{ \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_s^t (\partial_u \varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_u \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u) du \right|^2 \right] \right. \\
& \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_s^t (\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u)) \alpha^\nu du \right|^2 \right] \\
& \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_s^t (\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u)) \beta^{\nu j} dB_u^j \right|^2 \right] \\
& \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_s^t (\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u)) \eta^{\nu i j} d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u \right|^2 \right] \\
& \quad \left. + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_s^t \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u)) \beta^{\mu i} \beta^{\nu j} d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u \right|^2 \right] \right\} \quad (4.7) \\
& \leq 5(t-s) \{ \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E}_s \left[\int_s^t (\partial_u \varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_u \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u))^2 du \right]] \\
& \quad + \mathbb{E} [(\alpha^\nu)^2 \mathbb{E}_s \left[\int_s^t (\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u))^2 du \right]] \\
& \quad + K \mathbb{E} [(\beta^{\nu j})^2 \mathbb{E}_s \left[\int_s^t (\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u))^2 du \right]] \\
& \quad + K \mathbb{E} [(\eta^{\nu i j})^2 \mathbb{E}_s \left[\int_s^t |\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u)|^2 du \right]] \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} K \mathbb{E} [(\beta^{\mu j} \beta^{\nu j})^2 \mathbb{E}_s \left[\int_s^t |\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u)|^2 du \right]] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By estimates (4.3)-(4.6), and $\alpha^\nu, \eta^{\nu i j} \in \mathbb{L}^4(\Omega_s)$, $\beta^{\nu j} \in \mathbb{L}^8(\Omega_s)$ we can prove the right side of (4.7) converges to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

By the boundedness of $\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{t_k^N} [(\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_{k+1}^N} - \langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_k^N})^2 (B_{t_{k+1}^N}^j - B_{t_k^N}^j)^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [(\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_{k+1}^N} - \langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_k^N})^2 (B_{t_{k+1}^N}^j - B_{t_k^N}^j)^2] \\ &\leq \left\{ \mathbb{E} [(\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_{k+1}^N} - \langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_k^N})^4] \mathbb{E} [(B_{t_{k+1}^N}^j - B_{t_k^N}^j)^4] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(\zeta_t^N)^2] &\leq NC_3 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \{ \mathbb{E} [|\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})|^2] [(\alpha^\mu)^4 (t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N)^4 + (\eta^{\mu ij})^4 (\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_{k+1}^N} - \langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_k^N})^4] \\ &\quad + 2(\alpha^\nu \beta^{\nu j})^2 (t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N)^2 (B_{t_{k+1}^N}^j - B_{t_k^N}^j)^2 \\ &\quad + 2(\eta^{\nu ij} \beta^{\nu j})^2 (\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_{k+1}^N} - \langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_k^N})^2 (B_{t_{k+1}^N}^j - B_{t_k^N}^j)^2 \} \\ &\leq NC_4 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \{ \mathbb{E} [(\alpha^\mu)^4 (t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N)^4 + (\eta^{\mu ij})^4 \mathbb{E}_{t_k^N} [(\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_{k+1}^N} - \langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_k^N})^4] \\ &\quad + 2(\alpha^\nu \beta^{\nu j})^2 (t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N)^2 \mathbb{E}_{t_k^N} [(B_{t_{k+1}^N}^j - B_{t_k^N}^j)^2] \\ &\quad + 2(\eta^{\nu ij} \beta^{\nu j})^2 \mathbb{E}_{t_k^N} [(\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_{k+1}^N} - \langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_k^N})^2 (B_{t_{k+1}^N}^j - B_{t_k^N}^j)^2] \} \\ &\leq NC_5 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \{ \mathbb{E} [(\alpha^\mu)^4 + |\eta^{\mu ij}|^4] \delta_N^4 + 2((\alpha^\nu \beta^{\nu j})^2 + |\eta^{\nu ij} \beta^{\nu j}|^2) \delta_N^3 \} \\ &= C_5 (N \delta_N)^2 \mathbb{E} [(\alpha^\nu)^4 + |\eta^{\nu ij}|^4] \delta_N^2 + 2((\alpha^\nu \beta^{\nu j})^2 + |\eta^{\nu ij} \beta^{\nu j}|^2) \delta_N \\ &\rightarrow 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_t). \end{aligned}$$

We then have proved (4.8).

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $\varphi \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\partial_t \varphi, \partial_{x^\mu} \varphi, \partial_{xx}^2 \varphi \in C_{b, Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $X = (X^1, \dots, X^n)$ and*

$$X_t = X_s + \int_s^t \alpha_u^\nu du + \int_s^t \eta_u^{\nu ij} d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u + \int_s^t \beta_u^{\nu j} dB_u^j,$$

where $\alpha^\nu, \eta^{\nu ij} \in \mathbb{M}^4(0, T)$ and $\beta^{\nu j} \in \mathbb{M}^8(0, T)$. Then for each $t \geq s$, we have, in $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, X_t) - \varphi(s, X_s) &= \int_0^t [\partial_t \varphi(u, X_u) + \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \alpha_u^\nu] du + \int_0^t \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \beta_u^{\nu j} dB_u^j \\ &\quad + \int_0^t [\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \eta_u^{\nu ij} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(u, X_u) \beta_u^{\nu i} \beta_u^{\nu j}] d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. For $\alpha^\nu, \eta^{\nu ij} \in \mathbb{M}^4(0, T)$ and $\beta^{\nu j} \in \mathbb{M}^8(0, T)$, there exist sequences of simple processes $\alpha^{m, \nu} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^4} \alpha^\nu, \eta^{m, \nu ij} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^4} \eta^{\nu ij}, \beta^{m, \nu j} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^8} \beta^{\nu j}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$X_t^m = X_s + \int_s^t \alpha_u^{m, \nu} du + \int_s^t \eta_u^{m, \nu ij} d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u + \int_s^t \beta_u^{m, \nu j} dB_u^j, m = 1, 2, \dots$$

From lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t, X_t^m) - \varphi(s, X_s^m) &= \int_0^t [\partial_t \varphi(u, X_u^m) + \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u^m) \alpha_u^{m, \nu}] du + \int_0^t \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u^m) \beta_u^{m, \nu j} dB_u^j \\ &\quad + \int_0^t [\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u^m) \eta_u^{m, \nu ij} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(u, X_u^m) \beta_u^{m, \mu i} \beta_u^{m, \nu j} d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u].\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

For any $s \leq t \leq T$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |X_t - X_t^m|^4] \leq C \mathbb{E} \int_s^T [(\alpha_u^{m, \nu ij} - \alpha_u^{\nu ij})^4 + |\eta_u^{m, \nu ij} - \eta_u^{\nu j}|^4 + |\beta_u^{m, \nu j} - \beta_u^{\nu j}|^4] du \rightarrow 0,$$

since $\alpha^{m, \nu} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^4} \alpha^\nu$, $\eta^{m, \nu ij} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^4} \eta^{\nu ij}$, $\beta^{m, \nu j} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^8} \beta^{\nu j}$. So

$$\mathbb{E} \int_s^T |X_t - X_t^m|^4 dt \leq C \int_s^T \mathbb{E}[\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |X_t - X_t^m|^4] dt \rightarrow 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T |\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u^m) \alpha_u^{m, \nu} - \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \alpha_u^\nu|^2 du] \\ &\leq 2\{\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T (\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u^m) - \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u))^2 |\alpha_u^{m, \nu}|^2 du] + \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T (\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u))^2 |\alpha_u^{m, \nu} - \alpha_u^\nu|^2 du]\} \\ &\leq 2C\{\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T |X_u^m - X_u|^2 |\alpha_u^{m, \nu}|^2 du] + \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T |\alpha_u^{m, \nu} - \alpha_u^\nu|^2 du]\} \\ &\leq 2C\{\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T |X_u^m - X_u|^4 du] \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T |\alpha_u^{m, \nu}|^4 du]\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T |\alpha_u^{m, \nu} - \alpha_u^\nu|^2 du] \\ &\rightarrow 0,\end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant. Then we have proved $\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(\cdot, X^m) \alpha^{m, \nu} \rightarrow \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(\cdot, X) \alpha^\nu$ in $\mathbb{M}^2(0, T)$. Similarly, we can prove that in $\mathbb{M}^2(0, T)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial \cdot \varphi(\cdot, X^m) &\rightarrow \partial \cdot \varphi(\cdot, X), \\ \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(\cdot, X^m) \eta^{m, \nu ij} &\rightarrow \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(\cdot, X) \eta^{\nu ij}, \\ \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(\cdot, X^m) \beta^{m, \nu j} &\rightarrow \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(\cdot, X) \beta^{\nu j}, \\ \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu} \varphi(\cdot, X^m) \beta^{m, \mu i} \beta^{m, \nu j} &\rightarrow \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu} \varphi(\cdot, X) \beta^{\mu i} \beta^{\nu j}.\end{aligned}$$

We then pass to limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in both sides of (4.9) to get (4.8).

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $\varphi \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\varphi, \partial_t \varphi, \partial_{x^\mu} \varphi, \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi$ are bounded and uniformly continuous on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $X = (X^1, \dots, X^n)$ and*

$$X_t = X_s + \int_s^t \alpha_u^\nu du + \int_s^t \eta_u^{\nu ij} d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u + \int_s^t \beta_u^{\nu j} dB_u^j,$$

where $\alpha^\nu, \eta^{\nu ij} \in \mathbb{M}^4(0, T)$ and $\beta^{\nu j} \in \mathbb{M}^8(0, T)$. Then for each $t \geq s$, we have, in $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, X_t) - \varphi(s, X_s) &= \int_0^t [\partial_t \varphi(u, X_u) + \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \alpha_u^{\nu ij}] du + \int_0^t \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \beta_u^{\nu j} dB_u^j \\ &\quad + \int_0^t [\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \eta_u^{\nu ij} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(u, X_u) \beta_u^{\mu i} \beta_u^{\nu j}] d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Proof. We take $\{\varphi_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ such that, for each m , φ_m and all its first order and second order derivatives are in $C_{b, Lip}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and such that, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, $\varphi_m, \partial_t \varphi_m, \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi_m$, and $\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi_m$ converge respectively to $\varphi, \partial_t \varphi, \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi$, and $\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi$ uniformly on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$. We then use the Itô's formula (4.8) to $\varphi_m(t, X_t)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_m(t, X_t) - \varphi_m(s, X_s) &= \int_0^t [\partial_t \varphi_m(u, X_u) + \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi_m(u, X_u) \alpha_u^{\nu ij}] du + \int_0^t \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi_m(u, X_u) \beta_u^{\nu j} dB_u^j \\ &\quad + \int_0^t [\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi_m(u, X_u) \eta_u^{\nu ij} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi_m(u, X_u) \beta_u^{\mu i} \beta_u^{\nu j}] d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get (4.10).

THEOREM 4.4. Let $\varphi \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $X = (X^1, \dots, X^n)$ with

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \alpha_u^\nu du + \int_0^t \eta_u^{\nu ij} d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u + \int_0^t \beta_u^{\nu j} dB_u^j,$$

where $\alpha^\nu, \eta^{\nu ij} \in \mathbb{M}^4(0, T)$ and $\beta^{\nu j} \in \mathbb{M}^8(0, T)$. Then for each $t \geq s$, we have, in $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, X_t) - \varphi(s, X_s) &= \int_0^t [\partial_t \varphi(u, X_u) + \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \alpha_u^{\nu ij}] du + \int_0^t \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \beta_u^{\nu j} dB_u^j \\ &\quad + \int_0^t [\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \eta_u^{\nu ij} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi(u, X_u) \beta_u^{\mu i} \beta_u^{\nu j}] d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Proof. For simplicity, we only prove the case of $n = d = 1$. We set

$$\gamma_t = |X_t| + \int_0^t (|\beta_u|^8 + |\beta_u|^4 + |\beta_u|^4) du,$$

and for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, $\tau_k = \inf\{t \geq 0; \gamma_t \geq k\}$. Let $\varphi_k \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, such that $\partial_t \varphi_k, \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi_k, \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \varphi_k$ are bounded and uniformly continuous and $\varphi_k = \varphi$, for $|x| \leq 2k$ and $t \in [0, T]$. By lemma 3.10, $\mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau_k]} \alpha, \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau_k]} \eta, \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau_k]} \beta \in \mathbb{M}^2(0, T)$, so we have

$$X_{t \wedge \tau_k} = X_0 + \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau_k]} \alpha_u du + \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau_k]} \eta_u d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u + \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau_k]} \beta_u dB_u^j,$$

Then we can apply the Itô's formula (4.10) to $\varphi_k(t, X_{t \wedge \tau_k})$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(t, X_{t \wedge \tau_k}) - \varphi_k(s, X_s) &= \int_0^t [\partial_t \varphi_k(u, X_u) + \partial_x \varphi_k(u, X_u) \alpha_u] \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau_k]} du \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \partial_x \varphi_k(u, X_u) \beta_u \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau_k]} dB_u^j \\ &\quad + \int_0^t [\partial_x \varphi_k(u, X_u) \eta_u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx}^2 \varphi_k(u, X_u) \beta_u^2] \mathbf{I}_{[0, \tau_k]} d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get (4.11).

References

- [1] Denis L., Hu M., and Peng S. 2011. Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectation: application to G-Brownian motion pathes. *Potential Anal.* 34(2):139-161.
- [2] Gao F. 2009. Pathwise properties and homeomorphic flows for stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 119(10):3356-3382.
- [3] Huber P., and Strassen, V. 1973. Minimax tests and the Neyman-Pearson lemma for capacity. *Ann. Stat.* 1(2):252-263.
- [4] Li X., and Peng S. 2011. Stopping times and related Itô's calculus with G-Brownian motion. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 121:1492-1508.
- [5] Peng S. G-expectation, G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus of Itô's type. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, The Abel Symposium 2005, Abel Symposia, Edit. Benth et al., 5417, Springer-Verlag
- [6] Peng S. 2008. Multi-dimensional G-Brownian Motion and related stochastic calculus under G-expectation. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 118(12):2223-2253.
- [7] Peng S. 2010. Nonlinear Expectations and Stochastic Calculus under Uncertainty, arXiv:1002.4546.
- [8] Zhang B., Xu J., and Kannan, D. 2010. Extension and application of Itô's formula under G-framework, *Stochastic Anal. Appl.* 28(2):322-349.