

Journal of Integrative Neuroscience
 © Imperial College Press

MODELING OF INTERSTITIAL BRANCHING OF AXONAL NETWORKS

Y. SULEYMANOV, F. GAFAROV*

Institute of Information Systems, Kazan Federal University, Kremlevskaya 18, Kazan, 420008, Russia

N. KHUSNUTDINOV

*Institute of Physics, Kazan Federal University, Kremlevskaya 18, Kazan, 420008, Russia
 7mail7@gmail.com*

Received Day Month Year
 Revised Day Month Year

A single axon can generate branches connecting with plenty synaptic targets. Process of branching is very important for making connections in central nervous system. The interstitial branching along primary axon shaft occurs during nervous system development. Growing axon makes pause in its movement and leaves active points behind its terminal. A mathematical model is developed to describe and investigate axonal network branching process. The model under consideration describes axonal network growth in which the concentration of axon guidance molecules manages axon's growth. We model the interstitial branching from axon shaft. Numerical simulations show that in the model framework axonal networks branch similarly to real neural networks in vitro.

Keywords: axon; branching model; interstitial; neuron; activity; growth cone

1. Introduction

Processes underlying brain self-organization and operation of the cerebral cortex is still unclear. Different theoretical approaches describing complex spatio-temporal structures and connections were suggested (Andras, 2003; Chauvet, 2002; Chauvet and Chauvet, 2002; Hentschel and van Ooyen, 1999; Pelt van *et al.*, 2005; Segev and Ben-Jacob, 2000). These investigations give new understanding of the phenomena observed in neural network formation stage.

Formation of specific nervous system crucially depends on the possibility of creation contacts between sets of neurons. For this reason, the main problem of neurobiology becomes revealing mechanisms for recognition of the target neurons by axons.

*Corresponding author. Mail to fgafarov@yandex.ru

2 *Suleymanov, Gafarov, Khusnutdinov*

Evolution of the neuron's connections is important for correct functionality of nervous system. Nervous system development is accompanied by axons branching out, making contacts with plenty target neurons (Gibson and Ma, 2011). The importance of understanding mechanisms of temporal and spatial axon's branching when investigating neural networks is yet unknown with precision.

Axonal branches may be characterized by their morphology, complexity and branch function which they produce. One shape of branching is arborization. The formation of arborization usually takes place in axon's terminal end in the target region and leads to formation of arbor branches. Another shape of branching is formed by bifurcation of growth cone. As the result two daughter branches appear. They move away from each other as was observed in center sensor projections in spinal cord. Besides these types of branching there is an interstitial branching which usually is formed at some distance from the axon's terminal and grows toward the target neuron from main axon. This form of branching is produced by sensory collaterals in the spinal cord and by the descending projections from the cortex (Gibson and Ma, 2011).

In the paper we suggest a mathematical model which describes growth of neural network taking into account the axons branching process. The model is based on the experimental biological data. We use interstitial branching of the axons – the branch appears on the axon's shaft behind growth cone (Bastmeyer and O'Leary, 1996; Dent *et al.*, 1999, 2003; Kalil *et al.*, 2000; Soussi-Yanicostas *et al.*, 2002; Szebenyi *et al.*, 1998). The interstitial branching happens not by bifurcation but by reorganization of the growth cone because after reorganization the growth cone still continues its movement. After reorganization of growth cone, on axon's shaft appears a place usually called branching point. Here new branch is formed as a response to external molecular signals. The main novelty of our approach is consideration of branching process and network growth depending on axon guidance molecules (AGM) concentration. The paper is continuation of our previous investigations (Gafarov, 2006; Gafarov *et al.*, 2009).

2. Axon branching processes

Axon branching, along with its growth and guidance is tightly controlled and requires concordant activity of many processes (Gibson and Ma, 2011).

Evolving growth cones are directed to the corresponding target neurons. Some cortex neuron pathways emerge by the evolution of the interstitial branching from the axon's body. The interstitial branching appears from the axon shaft sometimes very far behind the main growth cone. The branching process is closely connected with delay of the growth cone. The duration of delay can take from few hours to few days. In this time the expansion of the growth cone takes place. When the cone starts moving again, the part of the filopodium and lamellipodium which remains on the axon behind its growth cone organizes interstitial branching. In natural conditions cerebral cortical axons innervate their target neurons via interstitial branching from

axons shaft (Bastmeyer and O’Leary, 1996; Kalil *et al.*, 2000; Ruthazer *et al.*, 2010).

One possible mechanism of interstitial branch formation is that axon shaft itself replies for signals taken from the target which are independent from the main growth cone. Another possibility is that the main growth cone recognizes the target and demarcates specific domains of axon for future branching. Pauses of the cortical neurons growth cones movements take place in regions where axon branches appear later. In the pausing time the growth cone reorganizes and forms new growth cone. The rests of the reorganized cones are left behind on the axon shaft as active filopodium and new branches grow from these points (Szebenyi *et al.*, 1998). So, the question is not only who recognizes ”branching signal” but also what type of signal is that. Factors released by target neurons can define the place of axon branching. Factors from local tissues can provide an instructive cue to promote branch formation along the axon. For example, the nerve growth factor (NGF) molecules may trigger the growth of the axons interstitial branches growing (Gallo and Letourneau, 1998). Similar effect was observed with fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF-2) on pyramidal neuron’s axons, but in this case FGF-2 affected region nearby of the growth cone(Szebenyi *et al.*, 2001). In this case the axon branching of separate cortical neurons takes place without targets and application of FGF-2 just increases branching (Kalil *et al.*, 2000). The guidance molecule Netrin-1 may stimulate the formation of the cortical axon branching (Dent *et al.*, 2004). The influence of Netrin-1 on the branch process formation stimulates space-bounded Ca^{2+} processes in axon that take place in the moment of branching formation (Tang and Kalil, 2005). Moreover, the local application the Netrin-1 stimulates local Ca^{2+} transition process accompanied by growth of the stimulated branching (Hutchins and Kalil, 2008). At last the protein Anosmin commonly known as KAL1 can assist formation of local interstitial branches from projection neurons in the mammalian olfactory bulb (Soussi-Yanicostas *et al.*, 2002).

As was shown by fluorescently labeled microtubules and high resolution images, the process of branch formation takes place in the growth cone. The growth cone distinguishes the future branching points. In the rests left behind the branches can appear later (Kalil *et al.*, 2000).

Growth of the interstitial branches is accompanied by reorganization of microtubules (MT) from constrained sets to diffused sets and transformation of long MT to short MT. Long-term reorganizations of MT is accompanied by cancellation of some axonal processes and increasing and stabilisation of others. The MT move inside axonal growth cones developing interstitial branches. During the branch outgrowth from the axons shaft the MT are reorganized in more plastic form, they may go alone out and may be fragmented. Short MT break into developing branches by anterograde and retrograde movements (Dent *et al.*, 1999).

Axons branching process also depends on the neuron’s activity which may increase or decrease the branching processes. The investigations made previously with cats show that the blocking of the neural activity by tetrodotoxin significantly de-

increases the area occupied by axon arbors of thalamocortical fibres (Herrmann and Shatz, 1995). The studies of blocking rat's cortical neurons activity with pharmacological inhibitors reveals the decreasing of the branches number only expansion of arbors maintained the same level (Uesaka *et al.*, 2005). Therefore, regulation of axon activity can enlarge or diminish the size of arbors as well as it can change the complexity of structure.

3. The model of growth and branching

Direction of growth cones motion is controlled by diffusible chemicals – axon guidance molecules (AGM) (Goodhill, 1997). Concentration $c_i = c_i(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i, t)$ of AGM at the point \mathbf{r}_j in the moment t depends on the concentration of AGM released by i -th neuron at the point \mathbf{r}_i . Concentration of AGM obeys standard diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} - D^2 \Delta_d c_i + k c_i = J_i(\mathbf{r}_j, t). \quad (3.1)$$

Here D^2 – the diffusion coefficient, k is the degradation coefficient and Δ_d is the Laplace operator in d -dimensional space. Quantity $J_i(\mathbf{r}_j, t)$ means some external source. Solution of this equation is well-known for a long time and it has the following form:

$$c_i(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i, t) = \int G_d(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', t) c_i^0(\mathbf{r}') d\mathbf{r}' + \int_0^t dt' \int G_d(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', t - t') J_i(\mathbf{r}', t') d\mathbf{r}', \quad (3.2)$$

where $c_i^0(\mathbf{r})$ – initial distribution of concentration and $G_d(\mathbf{r}, t)$ – the Green function in dimension d :

$$G_d(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t D^2)^{d/2}} e^{-kt - \frac{\mathbf{r}^2}{4tD^2}}. \quad (3.3)$$

At the initial moment $t = 0$ there is no AGM, $c_i^0(\mathbf{r}) = 0$, and the source

$$J_i(\mathbf{r}_i, t) = a \delta^{(d)}(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i) j_i(t), \quad (3.4)$$

is localized on i -th neuron. Parameter a describes the amount of AGM released by neuron per second. The function $j_i(t)$ is perceptivity of i -th neuron at the moment t to AGM, that hereafter we will name as activity. We suppose that $j_i(t) \leq 1$.

Therefore, we arrive with the following expression for concentration

$$c_i(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i, t) = a \int_0^t dt' G_d(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i, t - t') j_i(t'). \quad (3.5)$$

Because of the activity of the neurons has no relaxation form but should depend on the surrounded neurons we adopt the following equation for activity,

$$\tau \frac{dj_i(t)}{dt} = -j_i(t) + f \left(j_i^{ext}(t) + \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^N \omega_{ik} j_k(t) \right), \quad (3.6)$$

where $f(x) = x\theta(x)$ and $\theta(x)$ is step function. Here $j_i^{ext}(t)$ – external source depending on the time t , $j_i(t)$ – activity of i -th neuron, τ – relaxation time of activity.

The ω_{ik} are weights which define influence of k -th neuron on the i -th neuron and may take three value, $-1, 0$ and 1 . If $\omega_{ik} = 1$ then it is excitatory connection and vice versa if $\omega_{ik} = -1$ then it is inhibitory connection, $\omega_{ik} = 0$ means no influence. Because neuron can't make connection with itself then $\omega_{ii} = 0$. For $j_i(t) > j^{th}$ the $\omega_{ik} = -1$ and for $j_i(t) < j^{th}$ the $\omega_{ik} = 1$. Here j^{th} is a threshold value for activity.

The i -th axon growth dynamic is described by vector \mathbf{g}_i of the axon's terminal which obeys to differential equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{g}_i}{dt} = \lambda\theta(j_i - j^{th}) \sum_{k=1}^N \nabla c_k(\mathbf{g}_i - \mathbf{r}_k, t). \quad (3.7)$$

Axon moves if $j_i > j^{th}$. Parameter λ describes axon's sensitivity. At initial moment the coordinates of axon's terminal are equal to the coordinates of its neuron and activity $j_i(t) = 0$. Also there are no connections between k -th and i -th neurons and all $\omega_{ik} = 0$.

In the framework of model branching process has two stages. At the first stage we find points on the axon's shaft in which the future branch will grow up and we define direction for growth. To find the points we calculate its growth rate, concentration of AGM on its terminal and its length. Branching starts if three conditions are fulfilled:

- (i) Velocity of its terminal should be smaller than some threshold value v_g ,
- (ii) Concentration of AGM at the terminal should be in range between c_{min} and c_{max} ,
- (iii) Length L_b of axon's shaft should be greater than threshold value L_{th} .




Fig. 1. Axon with branching points. Here L_{b1} and L_{b2} – lengths of the first and the second segments of axon between branching points which should exceed threshold value L_{th} in order to branching process may start. The interval of time t_{bt} means the time after what the mechanism of branch formation starts from branching point. C is concentration axon guidance molecules at the moment of creation of branching point. The C_{min} and C_{max} mean the interval for concentration where formation of branch is possible.

If these conditions are fulfilled the coordinates of this point are stored. New branch starts to growing after some time after growth cone reorganization (Dent *et al.*, 2004; Kalil *et al.*, 2000) so, we launch timer for interval of time t_{bt} . New branch does not grow during this time. After this period of time concentration of

6 *Suleymanov, Gafarov, Khusnutdinov*

AGM is verified at the branching point. If the concentration of AGM belongs interval from c_{min} up to c_{max} (see Fig. 1), the second stage starts. The axon's branching process depends on the activity and the greater it is, the greater is the intensity of branching becomes (Uesaka *et al.*, 2007, 2005; Ohnami *et al.*, 2008). Plenty branching points appear on the axon's shaft during its growth, but only part of them serve as the basis for branches. For this reason we consider this process as random process (Koene *et al.*, 2009). Branching process starts if the following condition is fulfilled:

$$\frac{1}{j^{th}j} \geq P, \quad (3.8)$$

where P – a random number obtained by generator of the random numbers $0 \leq P \leq 1$ and j is the axon's activity. Observations show that the growth cone "touches" the space around itself (Aeschlimann, 2000). In analogy of this we calculate AGM concentration close to terminal in some points taking into account Eq. (3.5) (see Fig. 2(a)). Coordinates of these points are calculated with help of equations

$$x_i = x_a + r_b \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \quad y_i = y_a + r_b \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \quad z_i = z_a + r_b \cos \theta, \quad (3.9)$$

where x_i, y_i and z_i – coordinates points on the sphere, x_a, y_a and z_a – coordinates of the axon's terminal and the step of angles θ and φ is 5° . Next we calculate the AGM concentration at the distance r_b from axon's terminal at point r_i and calculate angle γ between shaft of axon and line between point r_i and terminal of axon (see Fig. 2(b)). If this angle belongs interval from γ_{min} to γ_{max} we add new branch in the set of branches. From this set of branches we select single branch with maximum AGM concentration. Therefore, we obtain new branch of axon.




Fig. 2. The procedure of finding point for new branch in 3-dimensional space. (a) Calculation the concentration around axon's terminal. (b) Calculation of angle between shaft of axon and the line between terminal of axon and the point of observation. Axon is shown with 3 segments, that were growing during the time Δt , the angle γ and triangle for calculation angle γ .

4. Numerical simulations

In the framework of model we neglect the geometry of neuron's soma. We consider it as sphere or circle depending on dimension of problem under consideration. Also we suppose that all neurons are identical with respect their form and behavior, the positions are fixed. We will call these spheres as neurons.

Let us consider axonal network which consists of the 12 neurons and then the network composed of 18 neurons. The parameters used in the paper are shown in the Table 1.

Table 1. Parameters used for numerical calculations.

Parameter	Value
Amount of AGM per unit second, (Goodhill, 1997; Gundersen and Barrett, 1980)	$a = 10^{-5} \frac{nM}{s}$
AGM diffusion coefficient, (Goodhill, 1997)	$D^2 = 6 \cdot 10^{-7} \frac{cm^2}{s}$
Relaxation time of activity, (Vogels <i>et al.</i> , 2005)	$\tau = 1s$
Threshold parameter, (Segev <i>et al.</i> , 2003)	$j^{th} = 0.51$
Growth's speed of an axon for branching	$v_g = 5 \cdot 10^{-7} \frac{cm}{s}$
Degradation coefficient	$k = 10^{-3} s^{-1}$
Radius of soma	$r = 5 \cdot 10^{-3} cm$
Minimum concentration of substance for branching	$c_{min} = 1 \frac{nM}{cm^3}$
Maximum concentration of substance for branching	$c_{max} = 30 \frac{nM}{cm^3}$
Coefficient describing axons sensitivity and motility, (Rosoff <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	$\lambda = 4 \cdot 10^{-6} \frac{cm^5}{nM \cdot s}$

In the first experiment we used network from 12 neurons with different distances between them. We made experiments 6 times for different values of the external activity of neurons $j_{ext} = 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5$. At the beginning the activity of all neurons was the same and equal j_{ext} , which models growth of axonal network with blockades such as TTX, APV and DNQX. For each value of the external activity we made the numerical experiment 9 times, because the branching process has causal origin and connects with neuron activity. We used following parameters: initial length of the branch $r_b = 1.5 \cdot 10^{-3} cm$, angle $\gamma_{min} = 90^\circ$ and $\gamma_{max} = 95^\circ$, the time $t_{bt} = 25000s$ and the threshold for minimal length of axon's segment $L_{th} = 5 \cdot 10^{-2} cm$.

In framework of our model neurons may have activity from 0 to 1. Neurons with activity around zero are inactive neurons. These neurons both have not been stimulated by the external signals and activity of these neurons is depressed by chemicals. Therefore, small values of neuron's activity models the axonal network in the chemical surround such as TTX, APV and DNQX.

We calculated amount of branching points and number of formed branches. We also obtained concentrations of AGM at branching points, neuron's activity at moment of branching, velocity of axon's terminal, length of branch and numbers of

neurons between which connections are formed and type of connection. The data obtained in 9 numerical experiments are arithmetically averaged and represented as plots. Except numerical data we show results of numerical analysis axonal network with neurons and axons to see the network obtained (see Fig. 6 and 7).




Fig. 3. The results of the first experiment. The activity dependence on the number of created branches (a), the number of the points of branches (b), and percent of created branches (c).




Fig. 4. The results of the second experiment. The activity dependence on the number of created branches (a), the number of the points of branches (b), and percent of created branches (c).




Fig. 5. The results of the third experiment. The activity dependence on the number of created branches (a), the number of the points of branches (b), and percent of created branches (c).

In the first numerical experiments with activity 0.1 and 0.5 number of branching points is 27. This is lowest value except the case with $j_{ext} = 0.05$. For activity $j_{ext} = 0.1$ the number of the branches is smaller and for this reason the number of the branches is the least. For $j_{ext} = 0.5$ activity of neurons is highest. It influences velocity of axon's growth and rate of network growth because the concentration of AGM depends on the neuron's activity. For great velocity and lower time of growth the number of points is smaller. Neurons with activity $j_{ext} = 0.2$ have the maximum number of branching points, but when activity increases the number of branching point decreases (see Fig. 3(b)). Number of generated branches becomes greater with

increasing of neuron activity except the case with activity 0.5 (see Fig. 3(a)). It is also explained by linear dependence between velocity of growth and activity of neurons, the time of growth is smaller than in other cases with smaller activity.

The ratio of the number generated branches and the number of generated branch points is shown in Fig. 3(c). For $j_{ext} = 0.1$ only 15% from 27 branching points create new branches. The probability of new branch creation from the branching points increases up to 67% with increasing of neuron's activity to $j_{ext} = 0.4$. A drastic increase of probability to create new branch up to 40% appears for $j_{ext} = 0.2$.

In the second numerical experiment we have 18 irregular located neurons. The experiment was made 6 time and results were averaged. Unlike first experiment, we used different initial length of branching $r_b = 5 \cdot 10^{-4} cm$ and another disposition of neurons. We obtained different results. The number of created branching points and branches becomes greater. In Fig. 4(a) we show average number (over 6 experiments) of created branches for different neuron's activity. In the first experiment we observed drastic increasing of number of created branches at $j_{ext} = 0.2$ with small variations for $j_{ext} > 0.2$, but in the second experiment we obtained dependence which looks like exponent without jump at point 0.2.

The number of branching points (see Fig. 4(b)) is distinctive comparing with first experiment. In the latter case we observed the maximum at point 0.2 while in the second experiment – at points 0.4, 0.5. The percent of created branches grows exponentially, but for activities 0.3 and 0.4 the results of first and second experiments coincides with error in 1% (see Fig. 4(c)).

Because different results in two experiments were obtained, we repeated first experiment but with the length of branching as in the second experiment $r_b = 5 \cdot 10^{-4} cm$. We refer this experiment as third experiment. The results are the same as in the first experiment (see Fig. 5 and Fig. 3). Therefore, this parameter is of no importance for network formation. We found also similar results in all experiments namely, (i) the number of created branches for activity $j_{ext} = 0.1$ equals 15% – 16% and (ii) for activity $j_{ext} = 0.3$ we obtained 48% – 52% in all experiments.

5. Discussion

We developed model to describe the process of axon branching during growth of neuron network. The basis of the model is diffusion of AGM, the growth process is managed by diffusion equation. We incorporate only one type of branching – interstitial branching from the axon's shaft.

The axon's branching is activity depended process as was shown by (Uesaka *et al.*, 2007, 2005) and (Ohnami *et al.*, 2008) with help of the pharmaceutical drugs, blockades and inhibitors. In the present work we verified that this branching process is close to that obtained in real experiments by (Uesaka *et al.*, 2007) who applied inhibitors depressing neuron's activity. They observed growing axons and their branching process. The inhibitor TTX (tetrodotoxin) depresses the ability of nerve fibres to conduct pulses and decreases the neuron's activity. 2.1 ± 0.7 new branches


10 *Suleymanov, Gafarov, Khusnutdinov*

Fig. 6. Axonal network made in the first numerical experiment with $j_{ext} = 0.1$. (a) The initial state of network. (b) The final stage of evolution. There are 11 connections – 8 positive and 3 negative. New branches do not form new connections. It is explained in the following way. During the axons growth the situation in the axonal environment was not changed: no connections created, the gradient of ADM concentration remains the same. For this reason influence on branch terminal and axon terminal are the same. On the scale of the initial length of branch the gradient of concentration is invariable.

per one neuron appeared on 14 axons when TTX was applied. In our first numerical experiment the average number of branches is 2.5 for low activity $j_{ext} = 0.05$ which models the inhibitor application. The work undertaken by Uesaka et al (2007) revealed that 1.5 ± 0.4 branches appear when APV/DNQX was applied, that corresponds to activity lower than $j_{ext} = 0.05$. In case of DNQX inhibitor they obtained 1.7 ± 0.5 branches which corresponds to 2.25 branches in our numerical experiment for activity $j_{ext} = 0.05$. The application of APV in culture gives 5.7 ± 1.2 branches. It corresponds activity lying in the range from $j_{ext} = 0.1$ to $j_{ext} = 0.2$. The results of Uesaka et al (2007) without inhibitors correspond the neuron's activity lying in the range from 0.3 to 0.4. The second numerical experiment gives the same results as the first experiment when TTX, DNQX or APV/DNQX were applied. Without inhibitors we obtain neuron's activity lying in the range from 0.1 to 0.2 which differs from the first experiment. It may be explained by the difference in the number of neurons and their dispositions. Concentration of AGM depends on number of neurons, distance between them and their activity. The greater the neurons quantity, the greater is concentration of AGM. The branching process depends on the AGM concentration around the axon's tip and the branch point.

The expected topology of the axonal network was observed in our model. In the framework of the model the AGM represents the single process that participate in the axon's branching. In reality the different types of molecules starts different branching mechanisms, influence different parts of axon, are observed in different parts of nervous system and form specific forms of branching. In our model we do not take into account the specific properties of each type of molecules. The branching process may be accompanied by contraction of axon branches during growth of axonal network and competition of branches at projection to the target axon. In these cases there is connection of single branch axon with target neuron, the other branches are contracted or change their growth trajectory.

Realization of these phenomena is not so difficult but the main problem for anal-




Fig. 7. Axonal network obtained in the second numerical experiment with $j_{ext} = 0.5$. (a) Initial state of the network. (b), (c) Intermediate stages. (d) Final stage. There are 32 connections – 15 positive and 17 negative. 10 axons made connections without branching, 4 axons made connections with help of single branch, 2 axons – with two branches, and 2 axons made connections with help of 3 additional branches.

ysis is time of calculations which becomes very huge even for system made of dozen neurons. In future we intend to make calculations for system with hundreds axons and take into account different phenomena in the branching process (competitive between axonal branches, pruning branches).

References

- Aeschlimann, M. (2000) *Biophysical Models of Axonal Pathfinding* (Lausanne, UNIL).
- Andras, P. (2003) A model for emergent complex order in small neural networks, *J. Integr. Neurosci.* **02**, 55–69.
- Bastmeyer, M. & O’Leary, D.D. (1996) Dynamics of target recognition by interstitial axon branching along developing cortical axons, *J. Neurosci.* **16**, 1450–1459.
- Chauvet, G.A. (2002) On the mathematical integration of the nervous tissue based on the s-propagator formalism I: Theory, *J. Integr. Neurosci.* **01**, 31–68.
- Chauvet, P. & Chauvet, G.A. (2002) On the mathematical integration of the nervous tissue based on the s-propagator formalism II: numerical simulations for molecular-dependent activity, *J. Integr. Neurosci.* **01**, 157–194.
- Dent, E.W., Barnes, A.M., Tang, F. & Kalil, K. (2004) Netrin-1 and semaphorin 3a promote or inhibit cortical axon branching, respectively, by reorganization of the cytoskeleton, *J. Neurosci.* **24**, 3002–3012.
- Dent, E.W., Callaway, J.L., Szebenyi, G., Baas, P.W. & Kalil, K. (1999) Reorganization and movement of microtubules in axonal growth cones and developing interstitial branches, *J. Neurosci.* **19**, 8894–8908.
- Dent, E.W., Tang, F. & Kalil, K. (2003) Axon guidance by growth cones and branches: common cytoskeletal and signaling mechanisms, *Neurosci.* **9**, 343–353.
- Gafarov, F., Khusnutdinov, N. & Galimyanov, F. (2009) Morpholess neurons compromise the development of cortical connectivity, *J. Integr. Neurosci.* **08**, 35–48.

12 *References*

- Gafarov, F.M. (2006) Self-wiring in neural nets of point-like cortical neurons fails to reproduce cytoarchitectural differences, *J. Integr. Neurosci.* **05**, 159–169.
- Gallo, G. & Letourneau, P.C. (1998) Localized sources of neurotrophins initiate axon collateral sprouting, *J. Neurosci.* **18**, 5403–5414.
- Gibson, D.A. & Ma, L. (2011) Developmental regulation of axon branching in the vertebrate nervous system, *Development* **138**, 183–195.
- Goodhill, G.J. (1997) Diffusion in axon guidance, *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **9**, 1414–1421.
- Gundersen, R.W. & Barrett, J.N. (1980) Characterization of the turning response of dorsal root neurites toward nerve growth factor. *J. Cell Biol.* **87**, 546–554.
- Hentschel, H.G.E. & van Ooyen, A. (1999) Models of axon guidance and bundling during development, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **266**, 2231–2238.
- Herrmann, K. & Shatz, C.J. (1995) Blockade of action potential activity alters initial arborization of thalamic axons within cortical layer 4, *PNAS* **92**, 11244–11248.
- Hutchins, B.I. & Kalil, K. (2008) Differential outgrowth of axons and their branches is regulated by localized calcium transients, *J. Neurosci.* **28**, 143–153.
- Kalil, K., Szebenyi, G. & Dent, E.W. (2000) Common mechanisms underlying growth cone guidance and axon branching, *J. Neurobiol.* **44**, 145–158.
- Koene, R.A., Tijms, B., Hees, P., Postma, F., Ridder, A., Ramakers, G.A., Pelt, J. & van Ooyen, A. (2009) Netmorph: A framework for the stochastic generation of large scale neuronal networks with realistic neuron morphologies, *Neuroinformatics* **7**, 195–210.
- Ohnami, S., Endo, M., Hirai, S., Uesaka, N., Hatanaka, Y., Yamashita, T. & Yamamoto, N. (2008) Role of rhoa in activity-dependent cortical axon branching, *J. Neurosci.* **28**, 9117–9121.
- Pelt van, J., Kamermans, M., Levelt, C.N., van Ooyen, A., Ramakers, G.J.A. & Roelfsema, P.R. (2005) Development, dynamics and pathology of neuronal networks: from molecules to functional circuits, *Prog. Brain Res.* **147**, 1–385.
- Rosoff, W., Urbach, J.S., Esrik, M.A., McAllister, R.G., Richards, L.J. & Goodhill, G.J. (2004) A new chemotaxis assay shows the extreme sensitivity of axons to molecular gradients, *Nat. Neurosci.* **7**, 678–682.
- Ruthazer, E.S., Bachleda, A.R. & Olavarria, J.F. (2010) Role of interstitial branching in the development of visual corticocortical connections: A time-lapse and fixed-tissue analysis, *J. Comp. Neurol.* **518**, 4963–4979.
- Segev, R. & Ben-Jacob, E. (2000) Generic modeling of chemotactic based self-wiring of neural networks, *Neural Networks* **13**, 185–199.
- Segev, R., Benveniste, M., Shapira, Y. & Ben-Jacob, E. (2003) Formation of electrically active clustered neural networks, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 168101.
- Soussi-Yanicostas, N., de Castro, F., Julliard, A.K., Perfettini, I., Chedotal, A. & Petit, C. (2002) Anosmin-1, defective in the x-linked form of kallmann syndrome, promotes axonal branch formation from olfactory bulb output neurons, *Cell* **109**, 217–228.
- Szebenyi, G., Callaway, J.L., Dent, E.W. & Kalil, K. (1998) Interstitial branches develop from active regions of the axon demarcated by the primary growth cone during pausing behaviors, *J. Neurosci.* **18**, 7930–7940.
- Szebenyi, G., Dent, E.W., Callaway, J.L., Seys, C., Lueth, H. & Kalil, K. (2001) Fibroblast growth factor-2 promotes axon branching of cortical neurons by influencing morphology and behavior of the primary growth cone, *J. Neurosci.* **21**, 3932–3941.
- Tang, F. & Kalil, K. (2005) Netrin-1 induces axon branching in developing cortical neurons by frequency-dependent calcium signaling pathways, *J. Neurosci.* **25**, 6702–6715.
- Uesaka, N., Hayano, Y., Yamada, A. & Yamamoto, N. (2007) Interplay between laminar specificity and activity-dependent mechanisms of thalamocortical axon branching, *J. Neurosci.* **27**, 5215–5223.
- Uesaka, N., Hirai, S., Maruyama, T., Ruthazer, E.S. & Yamamoto, N. (2005) Activity dependence of cortical axon branch formation: a morphological and electrophysiological study using organotypic slice cultures, *J. Neurosci.* **25**, 1–9.
- Vogels, T.P., Rajan, K. & Abbott, L.F. (2005) Neural network dynamics, *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **28**, 357–376.