

Geometric solitons with vortex structures for some geometric flows from Minkowski spaces: part I

Youde Wang

Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Beijing 100190, P. R. China. wyd@math.ac.cn

Jun Yang

College of Mathematics and Computational Sciences, Shenzhen University,
Nanhai Ave 3688, Shenzhen 518060, P. R. China. jyang@szu.edu.cn

Abstract

By a perturbation approach, we construct geometric solitons with various vortex structures (vortex pairs, vortex rings) for some geometric flows (Wave maps, Schrödinger flows) from Minkowski spaces to $\mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

1 Introduction

The well-known Landau-Lifshitz (LL) equation is a fascinating nonlinear evolution equation, which describes the dynamics of several important physical systems such as ferromagnets, moving space curves, etc. and has intimate connections with many of the well known integrable soliton equations, including nonlinear Schrödinger equations and modified KdV equations. It admits rich dynamical structures including solitons, dromions, vortices, etc.

In many situations ferromagnetic materials may be viewed as continuous media. For instance, all the spins are locked together by the strong exchange interaction for a single-domain ferromagnetic nanoparticle at sufficiently low temperatures. In order to solve the so-called momentum problem in ferromagnets [22], G. Volovik proposed a simple model of delocalized electrons in [58]. The model introduces the normal velocity of the fermionic liquid as an additional hydrodynamical variable, describing the background fermionic vacuum, which is inspired by superfluid motion at $T = 0$ in $\text{He}^3\text{-A}$ ([25, 26]). The purpose of this approach is the restoration of the correct linear momentum density by using these "hydrodynamical" variables. Moreover, for planar magnetic systems the existence of topologically nontrivial vortex configurations requires the fluid to be rotational with non-vanishing vorticity function [44].

To be precise, the density and the normal velocity of the fermionic liquid, introduced in [58], are denoted by ρ and \mathbf{v} respectively. Now, since the vorticity of \mathbf{v} is proportional to the density ρ of the topological current of the magnetic configuration, one may formulate a simple model of an anisotropic ferromagnetic fluid, which arises as a modification of the phenomenological Landau-Lifshitz equation, with the aim of trying to solve the momentum problem. A magnetic fluid, or a spin-liquid, is a continuum of material particles, each of which is endowed with a magnetic moment. In the continuum approximation the spatial variations of the physical quantities occur

over a large number of particles, so that the medium is characterized by the macroscopic field $m(r, t)$, i.e., the local magnetization with the constraint $|m|^2 = 1$, the velocity field $v(r, t)$ in the Lagrange picture, and the density of particles $\rho(r, t)$.

In a local moving frame with velocity $v(r, t) = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$, the precession motion of $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3)$ is described by the Landau-Lifshitz equation in n -dimensions

$$\partial_t m + v^j \partial_j m = -m \times \nabla E_e(m) \quad (1.1)$$

and v_i is a sort of vector gauge potential, whose tensor field, the vorticity in the hydrodynamical language, is given by

$$\partial_i v_j - \partial_j v_i = 2m \cdot (\partial_i m \times \partial_j m). \quad (1.2)$$

Here $E_e(S)$ denotes the effective energy, which is of the general form

$$E_e(m) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla m|_g^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \beta_i m_i^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} m \cdot H dx,$$

where the β_i 's are the anisotropy constants, H is the external magnetic field, and the inner product in \mathbb{R}^n is defined by the constant diagonal metric $g = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ where α_i are the exchange coefficients. By the definition of metric g , we have

$$|(x_1, \dots, x_n)|_g^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i^2, \quad v^j \partial_j m = \sum_{i=1}^n g^{ij} v_i \partial_j m, \quad |\nabla m|_g^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i |\partial_i m|^2.$$

In the sequel, we will use the summation convention. For compressible fluid we need, in addition, to take account of the continuity equation

$$\partial_t \rho = \text{div}(\rho v), \quad v = (v_1, \dots, v_n),$$

and to assume that the exchange and anisotropy coefficients in E_e depend on ρ . However, in the present paper we restrict ourselves to the incompressible case, that is ρ is a constant (see [44]).

In the case of a ferromagnetic boundary condition

$$m(r, t) \rightarrow (0, 0, -1) \quad \text{for} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \rightarrow \infty,$$

the n -dimensional domain space can be compactified as a topological n -sphere \mathbb{S}^n , and m defines mappings \mathbb{S}^n into \mathbb{S}^2 corresponding to homotopy classes belonging to $\pi_n(\mathbb{S}^2)$. In the case of 2 and 3 dimensions, the mapping class $[m]$ can be characterized by the following topological index:

(1) for the case $n = 2$, it is the integer-valued topological degree of the mapping from \mathbb{S}^2 onto \mathbb{S}^2 (topological charge)

$$Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} m \cdot (\partial_1 m \times \partial_2 m) dx;$$

(2) for the case $n = 3$, it is just the Hopf invariant of m from \mathbb{S}^3 into \mathbb{S}^2 (linking number)

$$L_H = -\frac{1}{(8\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \epsilon_{ijk} v_i m \cdot (\partial_j m \times \partial_k m) dx,$$

where the Levi-Civita symbol for $n = 3$ is given by

$$\epsilon_{ijk} = \frac{(i-j)(j-k)(k-i)}{2}.$$

Moreover, the ‘‘magnetic vorticity’’ (topological current) is defined by

$$J_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} m \cdot (\partial_j m \times \partial_k m),$$

which is a conserved quantity in the case of 3 dimensions and satisfies $\partial^i J_i = 0$.

In the case of one dimension the above model is just the usual Landau-Lifshitz equation[37]. The Ishimori model [28] can be recovered only for particular choices of the metric $g = \text{diag}(1, -1)$. It is well known that the Ishimori model is the first example of integrable classical spin model in $2 + 1$ dimensions.

According to the signature of the metric g we have the different cases $(+, \dots, +)$ and $(+, \dots, +, -, \dots, -)$, possibly after a rescaling of the spatial variables. One usually denotes (\mathbb{R}^n, g) by $\mathbb{R}^{K,N}$ with $K + N = n$, and $\mathbb{R}^{1,N}$ is just the Minkowski space. In mathematics, we need to consider the following Landau-Lifshitz equation with hydrodynamical term (see [33])

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t m &= m \times \left((\partial_1^2 + \dots + \partial_K^2 - \partial_{K+1}^2 - \dots - \partial_{K+N}^2) m - \sum_{i=1}^3 \beta_i m_i \vec{e}_i - H \right) \\ &\quad - b \left(\sum_{j=1}^K v_j \partial_j m - \sum_{j=K+1}^{K+N} v_j \partial_j m \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

$$\partial_i v_j - \partial_j v_i = 2m \cdot (\partial_i m \times \partial_j m), \quad (1.4)$$

with the incompressible condition

$$\text{div}(v) = 0,$$

where b is a real constant, $\vec{e}_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $\vec{e}_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ and $\vec{e}_3 = (0, 0, 1)$. This equation unifies almost all Landau-Lifshitz models.

In the present work we will be concerned only with $b = 0$ and the isotropic higher dimension case, in the absence of an external field. Our task turn into considering the following problems from Minkowski space into a standard unit sphere \mathbb{S}^2 in \mathbb{R}^3

$$\square m = m \times (\square m + |Dm|^2 m) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1,N} \quad \text{with } m(t, \tau, s) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.5)$$

and the equation for its stationary solutions

$$\square m + |Dm|^2 m = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{1,N} \quad \text{with } m(\tau, s) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (1.6)$$

Here, the differential operators are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \square m &\equiv \Delta_\tau m - \Delta_s m = \frac{\partial^2 m}{\partial \tau^2} - \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 m}{\partial s_j^2}, \\ |Dm|^2 &\equiv |\nabla_\tau m|^2 - |\nabla_s m|^2 = \left| \frac{\partial m}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial m}{\partial s_j} \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for the convenience of future use of notation, we here denote τ and s the variables in Minkowski space.

It is easy to see that (1.5) and (1.6) are some types of geometric flows. More precisely (1.5) can be regarded as the Schrödinger flows for maps from a Minkowski space into \mathbb{S}^2 in the sense of [9] (also see [53]). While (1.6) can be viewed as a generalization of the \mathbb{S}^2 σ -model which is a two dimensional scalar field equation with \mathbb{S}^2 as the target manifold, i.e., $S : \mathbb{R}^{1,2} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$. Usually, one calls (1.6) for $S : \mathbb{R}^{1,N} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ as the wave map equation.

From the viewpoint of analysis, many mathematicians made contributions to the Landau-Lifshitz equation without hydrodynamical term. For the Schrödinger flows from the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n into \mathbb{S}^2 , despite some serious efforts (see e.g. [1, 2], [16, 17], [20, 19], [29, 30], [21, 52]) and the references therein), some basic mathematical issues such as global well-posedness and global in time asymptotic for the equation (1.5) remain unknown. One also studied the Schrödinger map flow from \mathbb{R}^n or a compact Riemannian manifold into a Kähler manifold ([4], [9]-[11], [50] and the references therein). If one is interested in one-dimensional wave (plane-wave) solutions of (1.5), that is, $m : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ (or \mathbb{S}^1), a lot were known as (1.5) becomes basically an integrable system (see [16]). The problem in 2-D or higher dimensions are much more subtle. Even though it is possible to obtain weak solutions of (1.5) (see [9]-[10], [13, 14], [16], [45], [56]), one does not know if such weak solutions are classical (smooth) or unique.

One also studied the Cauchy problems of the Ishimori model and some existence results were established recently. We refer to [33] for more details. Especially, C. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega in [32] have ever studied the following Schrödinger equation which is analogous to the Schrödinger flow from Lorentzian manifold:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = i \mathfrak{L}u + P(u, \nabla u, \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u}), \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

where $u = u(t, x)$ is a complex valued function from $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$, is a non-degenerate second-order operator

$$\mathfrak{L} = \sum_{j \leq K} \partial_j^2 - \sum_{j > K} \partial_j^2,$$

for some $K \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and $P : \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a polynomial satisfying certain constraints. They proved the local well-posedness of the above initial value problem in appropriate Sobolev spaces. No doubt, it is a hard task to settle the existence and uniqueness problems of such a class of Cauchy problems (1.5).

It is well-known that vortex dynamics is a natural paradigm for the field of chaotic motion and modern dynamical system theory. The vortex dynamics provides some physically profound examples of Hamiltonian systems of infinite dimensions, attracting much interest in connection with chaotic phenomena in dynamical systems. From the viewpoint of fluid mechanism, it is of important significance to study the behavior of the vortex solutions to such Ishimori type equation. Indeed, some exact vortex solutions for the isotropic case ($\beta = 0$) are considered in the case $n \geq 2$. For instance, in [18, 44] the two dimensional case without external magnetic field was studied and a wide class of solutions can be generated by using time-dependent gauge transformations. For the Landau-Lifshitz equation (1.1) from a standard Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with $b = 0$, $\beta = 0$ and $H = 0$, by our knowledge there is no existence result on vortex solutions

in analytic aspects. However, one has established some existence results on vortex solutions to the equation with $b = 0$, $\beta = (0, 0, 1)$ and $H = 0$ by employing the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method ([41, 59]).

A natural problem is whether or not one can employ an applicable analysis method to construct some solutions to (1.5), which are of vortex structure. This is the main aim of the present paper. More precisely, we intend to construct some geometric soliton (or solitary wave) solutions with various vortex structures to the above problem (1.5) and (1.6). Thus, let's recall some well-known facts and some known results on the existence of vortex solutions with finite energy to the Ishimori model on $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{R}$ and the Landau-Lifshitz equation (1.3) from the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with $b = 0$ and the anisotropic higher dimension case, in the absence of an external field.

1.1 Anti-holomorphic Ishimori model

In the case $n = 2$, $b = 1$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) = 0$, the above Landau-Lifshitz equation (1.3)-(1.4) without external magnetic field for $m : \mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^2$ reads

$$\partial_t m + v_1 \partial_1 m - v_2 \partial_2 m = m \times (\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2) m, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\partial_1 v_2 - \partial_2 v_1 = 2m \cdot (\partial_1 m \times \partial_2 m), \quad (1.9)$$

with the incompressible condition

$$\partial_1 v_1 + \partial_2 v_2 = 0. \quad (1.10)$$

The following conservation law holds true

$$\partial_0 \mathfrak{J}_0 - \partial_1 \mathfrak{J}_1 + \partial_2 \mathfrak{J}_2 = 0,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{J}_0 = |\partial_1 m|^2 + |\partial_2 m|^2,$$

$$\mathfrak{J}_1 = v_1 \mathfrak{J}_0 - 2\partial_1 m \cdot (m \times (\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2) m) + 2m \cdot (\partial_1 m \times \partial_2^2 m - \partial_1 \partial_2 m \times \partial_2 m),$$

and

$$\mathfrak{J}_2 = 2\partial_2 m \cdot (m \times (\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2) m) + v_2 \mathfrak{J}_0 - 2m \cdot (\partial_1^2 m \times \partial_1 \partial_2 m - \partial_1 m \times \partial_2 m).$$

The energy functional

$$E(m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\partial_1 m|^2 + |\partial_2 m|^2) dx$$

and the topological charge are conserved quantities, which are related by the Bogomolny type inequality

$$E(m) \geq 8\pi|Q|.$$

It follows from the following evident inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_i m \pm \epsilon_{ij} m \times \partial_j m|^2 dx \geq 0,$$

and is saturated by time dependent spin configurations satisfying the self-duality equations

$$\partial_i m \pm \epsilon_{ij} m \times \partial_j m = 0.$$

If we consider the standard unit sphere \mathbb{S}^2 as the Riemann sphere for a complex plane, we have the following stereographic projections

$$m_1 + im_2 = \frac{2\zeta}{1 + |\zeta|^2}, \quad m_3 = \frac{1 - |\zeta|^2}{1 + |\zeta|^2},$$

where $\zeta(x, y, t)$ is a complex value function. In stereographic projection form, the self-dual equation corresponding the first sign can be rewritten

$$\zeta_{\bar{z}}(x, y, t) = \partial_{\bar{z}}\zeta(x, y, t) = 0$$

while for the second sign we have the anti-holomorphicity

$$\zeta_z(x, y, t) = \partial_z\zeta(x, y, t) = 0.$$

Here $z = x + iy$ and $\partial_z = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x - i\partial_y)$, $\partial_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x + i\partial_y)$. These conditions written in terms of the real $\text{Re}\zeta$ and imaginary $\text{Im}\zeta$ parts of function ζ , representing the Cauchy-Riemann equations, describe the incompressible and irrotational fluid flow with the velocity potential $\text{Re}\zeta$ and the stream function $\text{Im}\zeta$.

The equation (1.10) can be solved in terms of the stream function of the flow, $v_1 = -\partial_2\varphi$, $v_2 = \partial_1\varphi$ so that we get the so called Ishimori Model

$$\partial_t m = m \times (\partial_1^2 m - \partial_2^2 m) + \partial_2 \varphi \partial_1 m + \partial_1 \varphi \partial_2 m,$$

$$\Delta \varphi = (\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2) \varphi = 2m \cdot (\partial_1 m \times \partial_2 m).$$

In terms of complex variables,

$$v_+ = v_1 + iv_2 = 2i\varphi_{\bar{z}}, \quad v_- = v_1 - iv_2 = -2i\varphi_z$$

for incompressible flow, preserving anti-holomorphicity constraint, we have dependence $\zeta = \zeta(\bar{z}, t)$ and the model reduces to the system

$$i\zeta_t - 2\varphi_{\bar{z}}\zeta_{\bar{z}} + 2\zeta_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} - \frac{4\bar{\zeta}\zeta_{\bar{z}}^2}{1 + |\zeta|^2} = 0,$$

$$\varphi_{z\bar{z}} = -\frac{2\bar{\zeta}_z\zeta_{\bar{z}}}{(1 + |\zeta|^2)^2}. \quad (1.11)$$

We can rearrange the first equation as

$$i\zeta_t + 2\zeta_{\bar{z}}\{-\varphi - 2\ln(1 + |\zeta|^2) + \ln\zeta_{\bar{z}}\}_{\bar{z}} = 0$$

If we choose $\varphi = -2\ln(1 + |\zeta|^2)$, then it is easy to verify the equation (1.11) holds true automatically. Hence, we have the anti-holomorphic Schrödinger equation

$$i\zeta_t + 2\zeta_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = 0.$$

By the transformation $u = 4(\ln\zeta)_{\bar{z}}$, which is a complex analog of the Cole-Hopf transformation, it implies the following complex Burgers' equation

$$iu_t + uu_{\bar{z}} + 2u_{z\bar{z}} = 0.$$

Here, u can be interpreted as the complex velocity of effective flow with the complex potential $f(z) = \ln\zeta^4$. Then every zero of function ζ corresponds to the vortex solution (pole of complex velocity) of anti-holomorphic Burgers' equation. For more details we refer to [18, 44] and reference therein.

1.2 Vortex phenomena in higher dimensional cases

Let us consider the case of Landau-Lifshitz equation from \mathbb{R}^N with external magnetic fields (Schrödinger map flow equation) in the form

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = m \times (\Delta m - m_3 \vec{e}_3) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.12)$$

or equivalently the equation

$$-m \times \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = \Delta m - m_3 \vec{e}_3 + (|\nabla m|^2 + m_3^2)m. \quad (1.13)$$

Here $m : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and where $\vec{e}_3 = (0, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. From the physical side of view, one expects topological solitons, which are half magnetic bubbles, exist in solutions of (1.12) (see [27] and [48]). Indeed, in [23]-[24], F. Hang and F. Lin have established the corresponding static theory for such magnetic vortices.

By a reduction method, F. Lin and J. Wei [41] looked for a solution of the traveling wave $m(s', s_N - Ct)$ (i.e., travel in the s_N -direction with the speed $C = c\epsilon > 0$) of the equation (1.12). Then m must be a solution of

$$-c\epsilon \frac{\partial m}{\partial s_N} = m \times (\Delta m - m_3 \vec{e}_3). \quad (1.14)$$

After a proper scaling in the space, (1.14) becomes

$$-c \frac{\partial m}{\partial s_N} = m \times \left(\Delta m - \frac{m_3}{\epsilon^2} \vec{e}_3 \right), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.15)$$

or

$$cm \times \frac{\partial m}{\partial s_N} = \Delta m - \frac{m_3 \vec{e}_3}{\epsilon^2} + (|\nabla m|^2 + \frac{m_3^2}{\epsilon^2})m. \quad (1.16)$$

The main results of the paper [41] are the following: Let $N \geq 2$ and ϵ sufficiently small there is an axially symmetric solution $m = m(|s'|, s_N) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{S}^2)$ of (1.15) such that

$$E_\epsilon(m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2} (|\nabla m|^2 + \frac{m_3^2}{\epsilon^2}) ds < \infty \quad (1.17)$$

and that m has exactly one vortex at $(|s'|, s_N) = (a_\epsilon, 0)$ of degree $+1$, where $a_\epsilon \approx \frac{1}{2}$. If $N = 2$, the traveling velocity $C \sim \epsilon$, while $C = (N - 2)\epsilon \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ for $N \geq 3$. Naturally such solution m gives rise to a nontrivial (two-dimensional) traveling wave solution of (1.12) with a pair of vortex and antivortex which undergoes the Kelvin Motion as described above. Solutions constructed of this form are called *traveling vortex rings* for the case of the dimension $N \geq 3$. Later on, J. Wei and J. Yang [59] concerned the existence of traveling wave solutions possessing vortex structures with rotating invariance for problem (1.14).

1.3 Main results: Special solutions with vortices for (1.5) and (1.6)

The problems on the existence and the blow-up profiles of wave maps are well-known in analysis and attract much concerning in last few decades. In particular, I. Rodnianski and his coauthor in [49, 51] exhibit stable finite time blow up regimes for the energy critical co-rotational wave

map with the \mathbb{S}^2 target in all homotopy classes and for the critical equivariant $SO(4)$ Yang-Mills problem. They derive sharp asymptotics on the dynamics at blow up time and prove quantization of the energy focused at the singularity. For the case of degree one, a sort of different blow-up profiles was shown in [36]. The existence and regularity theory of wave maps from $\mathbb{R}^{1,2}$ was also established, for more details we refer to [55] and reference therein. However, it seems that one has not known whether or not (1.6) admits any solution with vortex structures by the knowledge of authors.

Since we intend to seek for some special solutions of soliton type with vortex structure to (1.5) and (1.6), let's recall that solitons are some special exact solutions to classical non-linear field equations in physics. They are localized and have a finite energy. In this sense, they behave like ordinary particles. Solitons and multi-solitons are stable because they carry a topological charge N , which is an integer and equals the net number of particles. Therefore, such solitons are usually called as topological solitons from the viewpoint of topology. For instance, the Ishimori Model for the $su(2)$ and $su(1,1)$ algebras can be related to the $(2+1)$ -dimensional Davey-Stewartson equation with pseudo-euclidean space metric [38, 40]. However, in this representation nontrivial mappings from space-time to inner space, classified by a topological charge, could arise.

Because the topological charge is a conserved quantity, a single soliton cannot decay. It is worthy to point out that the conservation of N is not due to a Noether theorem, but to the topological structure of the soliton. On the other hand, some geometric Hamiltonian or dispersive flows are of subtle symmetry when the starting manifolds and target manifolds are of invariance with respect to the groups of transformations. Usually these geometric flows admit some symmetric solutions with respect to these Lie groups of transformations, which obey some conservation laws due to Noether theorems and are of the properties of solitons.

We recall that in [12] Ding and Yin proposed to study the periodic solutions of the Schrödinger flow in the case where the target manifold N is a Kähler-Einstein manifold with positive scalar curvature. such a class of special solutions can be viewed as a sort of geometric solitary wave solution ([53]). Recently, from the viewpoint of differential geometry C. Song, X. Sun and Y. Wang [54] propose a notion “geometric solitons”, which is concerning a special solutions for some geometric flows and can be regarded as a geometric generalization of the classical solitary wave solutions. More precisely,

Definition 1. [54] *Suppose (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold and (N, h, J) is a Kähler manifold. A solution u to the Schrödinger flow*

$$u_t = J(u)\tau(u). \quad (1.18)$$

is called a geometric soliton, if there exist two one-parameter groups of isometries $\psi_t : M \rightarrow M$, $\phi_t : N \rightarrow N$ and a map $v : M \rightarrow N$ independent of t such that u has the form:

$$u(t, x) = \phi_t \circ v \circ \psi_t.$$

For more explanations and examples for geometric solitons, the reader can refer to [54]. In the present paper, we will use similar ideas to transfer problem (1.5) and problem (1.6) to elliptic problems and then try to construct solutions with vortices. For more details, we refer the reader to section 2.

Let \mathcal{P} be the inverse of the stereographic projection

$$\psi = \frac{m_1 + im_2}{1 + m_3} : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

and then set

$$\mathcal{R}(\tau, \cdot) = \mathcal{P} e^{i\tau}.$$

Here are main results.

Theorem 1.1. [Vortex Pairs] *Assume that $N = 2$.*

(1). *For problem (1.5), there is a solution in the form*

$$m(t, \tau, s_1, s_2) = \mathcal{R} \circ \psi(t, \tau, s_1, s_2) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{S}^2),$$

where $\psi(t, \tau, s_1, s_2) = U(s_1, s_2 - c\tau - \omega t) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ possesses two vortices of degree ± 1 traveling along the curves

$$\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 = \pm d, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

under Kelvin motion with relation

$$\frac{1}{d} \sim \frac{2c}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} - \frac{2\omega}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}.$$

(2). *For problem (1.6), there is a solution in the form*

$$m(\tau, s_1, s_2) = \mathcal{R} \circ \psi(\tau, s_1, s_2) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{S}^2),$$

where $\psi(\tau, s_1, s_2) = U(s_1, s_2 - c\tau) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ possesses two vortices of degree ± 1 traveling along the curves

$$\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 = \pm d, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

under Kelvin motion with relation

$$\frac{1}{d} \sim \frac{2c}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}.$$

□

Theorem 1.2. [Vortex rings] *Assume that $N = 3$.*

(1). *There is a solution to (1.5) in the form*

$$m(t, \tau, s_1, s_2, s_3) = \mathcal{R} \circ \psi(t, \tau, s_1, s_2, s_3) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{S}^2),$$

where $\psi(t, \tau, s_1, s_2, s_3) = U(s_1, s_2, s_3 - c\tau - \omega t) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has a vortex helix directed along the curve in the form

$$\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (d \cos \alpha, d \sin \alpha, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

and u is also invariant under the skew motion expressed by cylinder coordinate

$$\Sigma : (r, \theta, s_3) \mapsto (r, \theta + \alpha, s_3), \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The traveling velocity and the geometric parameters of the vortex ring obeys the relation

$$\frac{1}{d} \log d \sim \frac{4c}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} - \frac{4\omega}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}.$$

(2). There is a solution to (1.6) in the form

$$m(\tau, s_1, s_2) = \mathcal{R} \circ \psi(\tau, s_1, s_2, s_3) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{S}^2),$$

where $\psi(\tau, s_1, s_2, s_3) = U(s_1, s_2, s_3 - c\tau) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has a vortex helix directed along the curve in the form

$$\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (d \cos \alpha, d \sin \alpha, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

and u is also invariant under the skew motion expressed by cylinder coordinate

$$\Sigma : (r, \theta, s_3) \mapsto (r, \theta + \alpha, s_3), \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The traveling velocity and the geometric parameters of the vortex ring obeys the relation

$$\frac{1}{d} \log d \sim \frac{4c}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}.$$

□

Remark 1.3. Some words are in order to explain the results in the above theorems.

- (1). For more information of the solutions, the reader can refer to Section 2.
- (2). The reader can refer to Remarks 4.3 and 5.5 for the relation between traveling velocity and locations of vortices. In the proof these theorems, we need the traveling velocity is small enough

The construction of other vortex structures such as vortex helices is under working. The organization of the paper is as follows: In subsection 2.1, we introduce some transformations and then write problem (1.6) and problem (1.5) into elliptic cases for new unknown functions. To describe the vortex phenomena (vortex pairs and vortex rings), we then further formulate these problems by the symmetries in subsection 2.2. An outline of the strategies of proof will be provided in subsection 2.3. Some preliminaries are prepared in Section 3. Section 4 (Section 5) is devoted to the construction of solutions with vortex pairs (vortex rings), which will complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 1.2).

2 Various vortex structures: outline of the proofs

For the construction of various vortex structures and prove all theorems in Section 1, the main objective of this section is to formulate the problems in suitable forms, and then introduce some notation and describe the symmetries of the problems. For the convenience of readers, we also provide an outline of the proofs in subsection 2.3.

2.1 Formulations of the problems

2.1.1 Wave maps

Setting the stereographic projection

$$\psi = \frac{m_1 + im_2}{1 + m_3},$$

problem (1.6) becomes

$$\square\psi - \frac{2\bar{\psi}}{1 + |\psi|^2} \left(\nabla_\tau\psi \cdot \nabla_\tau\psi - \nabla_s\psi \cdot \nabla_s\psi \right) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Here and throughout the paper, we use $\bar{\psi}$ to denote the conjugate of ψ .

We look for the traveling solitary wave solutions in the form

$$\psi(\tau, s_1, \dots, s_N) = U(\tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_N) e^{i\tau},$$

$$\tilde{s}_1 = s_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_{N-1} = s_{N-1}, \tilde{s}_N = s_N - c\tau.$$

This gives that U will satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} & i \frac{1 - |U|^2}{1 + |U|^2} 2c \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tilde{s}_N} - c^2 \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \tilde{s}_N^2} + \frac{2\bar{U}}{1 + |U|^2} c^2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tilde{s}_N} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tilde{s}_N} \\ & + \Delta U - \frac{2\bar{U}}{1 + |U|^2} \nabla U \cdot \nabla U + \frac{1 - |U|^2}{1 + |U|^2} U = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suitable rescaling

$$U(\tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_N) = u(\hat{s}_1, \dots, \hat{s}_N), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\tilde{s}_1 = \hat{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_{N-1} = \hat{s}_{N-1}, \tilde{s}_N = \hat{s}_N \sqrt{1 - c^2}.$$

will derive that

$$i \frac{1 - |u|^2}{1 + |u|^2} \frac{2c}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{s}_N} + \Delta u - \frac{2\bar{u}}{1 + |u|^2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u + \frac{1 - |u|^2}{1 + |u|^2} u = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where we have denoted

$$\Delta u = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \hat{s}_j^2}, \quad \nabla u = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{s}_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{s}_N} \right).$$

In the sequel, we will set

$$F(u) = \frac{1 - |u|^2}{1 + |u|^2} u. \quad (2.4)$$

2.1.2 Generalized Schrödinger maps

Setting the stereographic projection

$$\psi = \frac{m_1 + im_2}{1 + m_3},$$

problem (1.5) becomes

$$i \partial_t \psi + \square \psi - \frac{2\bar{\psi}}{1 + |\psi|^2} \left(\nabla_\tau \psi \cdot \nabla_\tau \psi - \nabla_s \psi \cdot \nabla_s \psi \right) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Here and throughout the paper, we use $\bar{\psi}$ to denote the conjugate of ψ .

We look for the traveling solitary wave solutions in the form

$$\psi(t, \tau, s_1, \dots, s_N) = U(\tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_N) e^{i\tau},$$

$$\tilde{s}_1 = s_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_{N-1} = s_{N-1}, \tilde{s}_N = s_N - c\tau - \omega t.$$

This leads to the problem

$$\begin{aligned} & -i\omega \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tilde{s}_N} + i \frac{1 - |U|^2}{1 + |U|^2} 2c \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tilde{s}_N} - c^2 \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \tilde{s}_N^2} + \frac{2\bar{U}}{1 + |U|^2} c^2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tilde{s}_N} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tilde{s}_N} \\ & + \Delta U - \frac{2\bar{U}}{1 + |U|^2} \nabla U \cdot \nabla U + \frac{1 - |U|^2}{1 + |U|^2} U = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suitable rescaling

$$\begin{aligned} U(\tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_N) &= u(\hat{s}_1, \dots, \hat{s}_N), \\ \tilde{s}_1 = \hat{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_{N-1} &= \hat{s}_{N-1}, \tilde{s}_N = \hat{s}_N \sqrt{1 - c^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

will give that

$$\begin{aligned} & -i \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{s}_N} + i \frac{1 - |u|^2}{1 + |u|^2} \frac{2c}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{s}_N} \\ & + \Delta u - \frac{2\bar{u}}{1 + |u|^2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u + \frac{1 - |u|^2}{1 + |u|^2} u = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

2.2 Vortex phenomena

In this subsection, for future constructions of solutions with various vortex structures, we shall further transform problem (2.3) and problem (2.7) to suitable forms. Note that we will assume that the traveling velocity parameters c and ω are small positive numbers in the present paper.

2.2.1 Vortex pairs in two dimensional spaces: $N = 2$

We will construct solutions with pair of vortices to problem (2.3) and problem (2.7) in the case of $N = 2$. We will set

$$\varepsilon = 2c/\sqrt{1-c^2}. \quad (2.8)$$

Problem (2.3) can be written as

$$i\varepsilon \frac{1-|u|^2}{1+|u|^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} + \Delta u + \frac{1-|u|^2}{1+|u|^2} u - \frac{2\bar{u}}{1+|u|^2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

We are also concerning problem (2.7) in the form

$$-i\kappa\varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} + i\varepsilon \frac{1-|u|^2}{1+|u|^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} + \Delta u + \frac{1-|u|^2}{1+|u|^2} u - \frac{2\bar{u}}{1+|u|^2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

where we have denoted

$$\kappa\varepsilon = \omega/\sqrt{1-c^2}. \quad (2.11)$$

We will construct solutions with vortex pairs(a vortex and its antipair) to problem (2.9) and problem (2.10) in Section 4.

Notation and symmetry properties of the operators: For later use, we will denote

$$z = x_1 + ix_2$$

and then set the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_0[u] &:= \Delta u - \frac{2\bar{u}}{1+|u|^2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u + \frac{1-|u|^2}{1+|u|^2} u, \\ \mathbb{Q}_2[u] &:= i \frac{1-|u|^2}{1+|u|^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}, \quad \mathbb{T}_2[u] := -i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

$$\mathbb{S}_1[u] := \mathbb{S}_0 + \varepsilon \mathbb{Q}_2, \quad \mathbb{S}_2[u] := \mathbb{S}_0 + \varepsilon \mathbb{Q}_2 + \kappa\varepsilon \mathbb{T}_2,$$

It is easy to see the operators \mathbb{S}_1 and \mathbb{S}_2 are invariant under the following two transformations

$$u(z) \rightarrow \overline{u(\bar{z})}, \quad u(z) \rightarrow u(-\bar{z}).$$

Thus we impose the following symmetry on the solutions u to problem (2.9) and problem (2.10)

$$\Pi := \{ u(z) = \overline{u(\bar{z})}, u(z) = u(-\bar{z}) \}. \quad (2.13)$$

This symmetry will play an important role in our analysis.

2.2.2 Vortex Rings

Here we only consider the case $N = 3$ and then construct solutions with vortex rings for problem (2.3) and problem (2.7). We set

$$\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| = \frac{2c}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}. \quad (2.14)$$

By using the cylinder coordinates

$$\hat{s}_1 = r \cos \Psi, \quad \hat{s}_2 = r \sin \Psi, \quad \hat{s}_3 = \hat{s}_3,$$

equation (2.3) is transferred to

$$\begin{aligned} i\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| & \frac{1-|u|^2}{1+|u|^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{s}_3} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \Psi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \hat{s}_3^2} + \frac{1-|u|^2}{1+|u|^2} u \\ & = \frac{2\bar{u}}{1+|u|^2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \Psi} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{s}_3} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

For problem (2.15), we want to find a solution u which has a vortex ring directed along the curve in the form

$$\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (d \cos \alpha, d \sin \alpha, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

with two parameters

$$d = \hat{d}/\varepsilon. \quad (2.16)$$

Moreover, u is also invariant under the skew motion

$$\Sigma : (r, \Psi, \hat{s}_3) \mapsto (r, \Psi + \alpha, \hat{s}_3), \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.17)$$

Hence, u satisfies the problem

$$\begin{aligned} i\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| & \frac{1-|u|^2}{1+|u|^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{s}_3} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \hat{s}_3^2} + \frac{1-|u|^2}{1+|u|^2} u \\ & = \frac{2\bar{u}}{1+|u|^2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{s}_3} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

For further convenience of notation, we also introduce the rescaling

$$(r, \hat{s}_3) = (x_1, x_2), \quad z = x_1 + ix_2. \quad (2.19)$$

Thus (2.18) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} i\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| & \frac{1-|u|^2}{1+|u|^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{1-|u|^2}{1+|u|^2} u \\ & = \frac{2\bar{u}}{1+|u|^2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

We will also consider problem (2.7) in the form

$$-i \kappa \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{s}_3} + i \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \frac{1 - |u|^2}{1 + |u|^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{s}_3} + \Delta u + \frac{1 - |u|^2}{1 + |u|^2} u = \frac{2\bar{u}}{1 + |u|^2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u, \quad (2.21)$$

where

$$\kappa \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| = \omega / \sqrt{1 - c^2}. \quad (2.22)$$

As we have done in the above, for the existence of solutions with vortex rings, problem (2.21) can be transformed to

$$\begin{aligned} -i \kappa \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} + i \delta \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \frac{1 - |u|^2}{1 + |u|^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \\ + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{1 - |u|^2}{1 + |u|^2} u = \frac{2\bar{u}}{1 + |u|^2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

The symmetric properties of the problems: Some words are in order to describe the methods in dealing with the existence of solutions of the above problems. Problem (2.20), and problem (2.23) become two-dimensional cases. The key point is then to construct a solution with vortices of degree +1 and their antipairs of degree -1. Additional to the computations for standard vortices in two dimensional case, there an extra derivative term

$$\frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}. \quad (2.24)$$

In the following we shall mainly focus on how to deal with these terms by the methods in [41].

By using the symmetries, in the sequel, we shall consider problems (2.20), (2.23) with imposing the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z)| \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow +\infty, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}(0, x_2) = 0, \quad \forall x_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that problem (2.20) and problem (2.23) are also invariant under the following two transformations

$$u(z) \rightarrow \overline{u(\bar{z})}, \quad u(z) \rightarrow u(-\bar{z}). \quad (2.26)$$

Thus we impose the following symmetry on the solution u

$$\Pi := \left\{ u(z) = \overline{u(\bar{z})}, \quad u(z) = u(-\bar{z}) \right\}. \quad (2.27)$$

This symmetry will play an important role in our analysis. As a conclusion, if we write the solutions u to problem (2.20) and problem (2.23) in the form

$$u(x_1, x_2) = u_1(x_1, x_2) + i u_2(x_1, x_2),$$

then u_1 and u_2 enjoy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x_1, x_2) &= u_1(-x_1, x_2), & u_1(x_1, x_2) &= u_1(x_1, -x_2), \\ u_2(x_1, x_2) &= u_2(-x_1, x_2), & u_2(x_1, x_2) &= -u_2(x_1, -x_2), \\ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1}(0, x_2) &= 0, & \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1}(0, x_2) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Note that we shall construct the approximate solution to satisfy the boundary conditions in (2.28). We will use these conditions to construct solutions to problem (2.20) and problem (2.23) in Section 5.

Recall the notation in (2.12). We denote

$$\mathbb{H}_1[u] := \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}. \tag{2.29}$$

For later use, we also set

$$\mathbb{S}_3[u] = \mathbb{S}_0 + \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \mathbb{Q}_2 + \mathbb{H}_1, \tag{2.30}$$

$$\mathbb{S}_4[u] = \mathbb{S}_0 + \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \mathbb{Q}_2 + \kappa \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \mathbb{T}_2 + \mathbb{H}_1.$$

2.3 Outline of the Proof

To prove all theorems in Section 1, we will use the finite dimensional reduction method to find solutions to problems (2.9), (2.10) in Section 4, and also for problems (2.20), (2.23) in Section 5. The finite dimensional reduction procedure has been used in many other problems. See [6], [15], [39] and the references therein. M. del Pino, M. Kowalczyk and M. Musso [7] were the first to use this procedure to study Ginzburg-Landau equation in a bounded domain. The methods in the present paper basically follow those in dealing with vortex phenomena for Schrödinger map equations in [41]. Here are the main steps of the approach.

Step 1: Constructions of approximate solutions

To construct a real solution, the first step is to construct an approximate solution, denoted by V_d in (4.2) or (5.19), possessing a vortex located at $\vec{e}_1 = (d, 0)$ and its antipair located at $\vec{e}_2 = (-d, 0)$. Here d is a parameter to be determined in the reduction procedure. In the construction of approximation to solutions to problem (2.20) and problem (2.23), there are singularities caused by the application of the terms in (2.24) to the phase terms of the standard vortices, which will be described in subsection 5.1.

The approximate solution V_d has the symmetry

$$u_2(x_1, x_2) = \overline{u_2(x_1, -x_2)}, \quad u_2(x_1, x_2) = u_2(-x_1, x_2). \tag{2.31}$$

By substituting V_d into problems (2.9), (2.10), (2.20), (2.23), we can derive the estimations of the errors such as $\mathbb{S}_1[V_d]$, $\mathbb{S}_2[V_d]$, $\mathbb{S}_4[V_d]$ in suitable weighted norms. The reader can refer to the papers [7] and [41].

Step 2: Finding a perturbation

We intend to look for solutions to problems (2.9), (2.10), (2.20), (2.23), by adding a perturbation term, say ψ , to the approximation V_d where the perturbation term is small in suitable norms. More precisely, for the perturbation $\psi = \psi_1 + i\psi_2$ with symmetries in (2.13) or (2.27), we take the solution u in the form (cf. (4.13) or (5.25))

$$u(y) = \eta(V_d + iV_d\psi) + (1 - \eta)V_de^{i\psi}.$$

This perturbation method near the vortices was introduced in [7].

For given parameters d and ε small, instead of considering the problems (2.9), (2.10), (2.20), and (2.23) we look for a ψ to their projected forms in (4.31) or (5.53). By writing the projected problem in the form of the perturbation term ψ (with a linear part and a nonlinear part), we can find the perturbation term ψ through *a priori estimates* and the contraction mapping theorem.

Step 3: Adjusting the parameters

Note that the perturbation term ψ and the Lagrange multipliers c in (4.31) or (5.53) are functions of the parameters d . To get real solutions to problems (2.9), (2.10), (2.20), and (2.23), we shall choose suitable parameters d such that c is zero. It is equivalent to solve a reduced algebraic system for the Lagrange multiplier

$$c_\varepsilon(d) = 0.$$

We can derive the equations in (2.32) by the standard reduction procedure. This will be done in subsections 4.5 or 5.5. In other words, we achieve the balance between the vortex-antivortex interaction and the effect of motion of vortices by adjusting the locations of the vortices.

3 Some preliminaries

In this section, we collect some important facts which will be used later. These include the asymptotic behaviors and nondegeneracy of degree one vortex.

For the problem

$$\Delta u + \frac{1 - |u|^2}{1 + |u|^2}u - \frac{2\bar{u}}{1 + |u|^2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla u = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (3.1)$$

if we look for solutions of standard vortex of degree +1 in the form

$$u = w^+ := \rho(\ell)e^{i\theta},$$

in polar coordinate (ℓ, θ) , then ρ satisfies

$$\rho'' + \frac{\rho'}{\ell} - \frac{2\rho(\rho')^2}{1 + \rho^2} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell^2}\right)\frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 + \rho^2}\rho = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Another solution $w^- := \rho(\ell)e^{-i\theta}$ will be of vortex of degree -1 . These two functions will be our block elements for future construction of approximate solutions.

Notation: For simplicity, from now on, we use $w = \rho(\ell)e^{i\theta}$ to denote the degree +1 vortex. \square

The following properties of ρ are proved in [23].

Lemma 3.1. *There hold the asymptotic behaviors:*

- (1) $\rho(0) = 0$, $0 < \rho(\ell) < 1$, $\rho' > 0$ for $\ell > 0$,
- (2) $\rho(\ell) = 1 - c_0 \frac{e^{-\ell}}{\sqrt{\ell}} + O(\ell^{-3/2} e^{-\ell})$ as $\ell \rightarrow +\infty$, where $c_0 > 0$. □

Setting $w = w_1 + iw_2$ and $z = x_1 + ix_2$, then we need to study the following linearized problem of (3.1) around the standard profile w :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_0(\phi) = & \Delta\phi - \frac{4(w_1 \nabla w_1 + w_2 \nabla w_2)}{1 + |w|^2} \nabla\phi - \frac{4\nabla\langle w, \phi \rangle}{1 + |w|^2} \nabla w \\ & + \frac{8\langle w, \phi \rangle (w_1 \nabla w_1 + w_2 \nabla w_2)}{(1 + |w|^2)^2} \nabla w + \frac{4\langle \nabla w, \nabla\phi \rangle}{1 + |w|^2} w \\ & - \frac{4(1 + |\nabla w|^2)\langle w, \phi \rangle}{(1 + |w|^2)^2} w + \frac{2|\nabla w|^2}{1 + |w|^2} \phi + \frac{1 - |w|^2}{1 + |w|^2} \phi. \end{aligned}$$

The nondegeneracy of w is contained in the following lemma[41].

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that*

$$\mathbb{L}_0[\phi] = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\phi = iw\psi$, and $\psi = \psi_1 + i\psi_2$ satisfies the following decaying estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_1| + |z||\nabla\psi_1| & \leq C(1 + |z|)^{-\varrho}, \\ |\psi_2| + |z||\nabla\psi_2| & \leq C(1 + |z|)^{-1-\varrho}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for some $0 < \varrho < 1$. Then

$$\phi = c_1 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1} + c_2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2}$$

for certain real constants c_1, c_2 . □

Finally, we will need the following lemma on decay estimates of a linear problem in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Lemma 3.3. *Let h satisfy*

$$\Delta h + f(z) = 0, h(\bar{z}) = -h(z), |h| \leq C \tag{3.5}$$

where f satisfies

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |z|)^{2+\varrho}}, 0 < \varrho < 1. \tag{3.6}$$

Then

$$|h(z)| \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |z|)^\varrho}. \tag{3.7}$$

□

For the proof of the last two lemmas, the reader can refer to [41].

4 Vortex pairs: construction of solutions to (2.9) and (2.10)

In this section, we will construct solutions with vortex pairs to problem (2.9) and problem (2.10), which will complete the proof for Theorem 1.1.

4.1 Approximate Solutions

Using the degree one vortex, we introduce the *approximate solutions*. Throughout the paper, we assume that the distance d satisfies

$$d = \frac{\hat{d}}{\varepsilon}, \quad \text{where } \hat{d} \in [1/100, 100]. \quad (4.1)$$

The approximate function is then defined by

$$V_d(z) := w^+(z - \vec{e}_1)w^-(z - \vec{e}_2), \quad (4.2)$$

where $\vec{e}_1 = (d, 0)$, $\vec{e}_2 = (-d, 0)$. It is easy to see that $V_d(z) \in \Pi$ with Π defined in (2.13). Furthermore, a simple computation shows that

$$|V_d(z)| \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (4.3)$$

In fact, for $|z| \gg d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_d &\approx e^{i\theta_{\vec{e}_1} - i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} \\ &\approx \frac{(x_1^2 - d^2 + x_2^2 + 2ix_2d)}{\sqrt{(x_1 - d)^2 + x_2^2} \sqrt{(x_1 + d)^2 + x_2^2}} \\ &\approx 1 + O\left(\frac{d^2}{|z|^2} + \frac{d}{|z|}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here θ_ξ denotes the angle argument around ξ and $\ell_\xi = |z - \xi|$. It is easy to see that

$$\nabla \ell_\xi = \frac{1}{|z - \xi|} (z - \xi), \quad \nabla \theta_\xi = \frac{1}{|z - \xi|^2} (z - \xi)^\perp, \quad |\nabla \theta_\xi| = \frac{1}{|z - \xi|}, \quad (4.4)$$

where we denote $z^\perp = (-x_2, x_1)$.

4.2 Error Estimates

We plug in the approximate function (4.2) into the operators \mathbb{S}_1 and \mathbb{S}_2 and obtain the errors

$$\mathbb{E}_1 := \mathbb{S}_1[V_d] = \mathbb{S}_0[V_d] + \varepsilon \mathbb{Q}_2[V_d], \quad (4.5)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_2 := \mathbb{S}_2[V_d] = \mathbb{S}_0[V_d] + \varepsilon \mathbb{Q}_2[V_d] + \kappa \varepsilon \mathbb{T}_2[V_d].$$

Our purpose in this subsection is to estimate these two errors.

By our construction and the properties of ρ , we have

$$V_d = e^{i\theta_{\vec{e}_1} - i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} \left[1 + O\left(e^{-\min(|z - \vec{e}_1|, |z - \vec{e}_2|)}\right) \right]. \quad (4.6)$$

We divide \mathbb{R}^2 into two regions:

$$\mathbb{R}_+^2 = \{x_1 > 0\}, \quad \mathbb{R}_-^2 = \{x_1 < 0\}.$$

By the symmetry assumption in (2.13), we just need to consider the region \mathbb{R}_+^2 .

In the region \mathbb{R}_+^2 , we consider two cases. First, if $|z - \vec{e}_2| > \frac{d}{2} + \frac{|z - \vec{e}_1|}{2}$, then we have

$$V_d = w(z - \vec{e}_1)e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} \left[1 + O(e^{-d/2 - |z - d\vec{e}_1|/2}) \right],$$

$$|V_d| = \rho(|z - \vec{e}_1|) \left[1 + O(e^{-d/2 - |z - \vec{e}_1|/2}) \right].$$

Secondly, if $|z - \vec{e}_2| < \frac{d}{2} + \frac{|z - \vec{e}_1|}{2}$, we use the translated variable as follows

$$z = \vec{e}_1 + y.$$

Then we obtain the following estimates

$$\frac{1 - |V_d|^2}{1 + |V_d|^2} V_d = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 + \rho^2} w \left[1 + O(e^{-d/2 - |y|/2}) \right] e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}},$$

$$\Delta V_d = \left[\Delta w - 2i\nabla w \cdot \nabla \theta_{\vec{e}_2} - \frac{w}{|y + \vec{e}_1 - \vec{e}_2|^2} + O(e^{-d/2 - |y|/2}) \right] e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\bar{V}_d}{1 + |V_d|^2} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla V_d &= \frac{2\bar{w}}{1 + \rho^2} e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} \times \left[\nabla w \cdot \nabla w - 2iw\nabla w \cdot \nabla \theta_{\vec{e}_2} - w^2 \nabla \theta_{\vec{e}_2} \cdot \nabla \theta_{\vec{e}_2} \right] \\ &\quad + O(e^{-d/2 - |y|/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates above, we have for $z \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$,

$$\mathbb{S}_0[V_d] = e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} \left[\frac{2(\rho^2 - 1)}{\rho^2 + 1} i\nabla w \cdot \nabla \theta_{\vec{e}_2} + \frac{\rho^2 - 1}{\rho^2 + 1} \frac{w}{|y + \vec{e}_1 - \vec{e}_2|^2} + O(e^{-d/2 - |y|/2}) \right]. \quad (4.7)$$

On the other hand, we can estimate the term $\mathbb{Q}_2[V_d]$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}_2[V_d] &= i \frac{\partial V_d}{\partial x_2} \frac{1 - |V_d|^2}{1 + |V_d|^2} \\ &= i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \left[w(y)e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} + O(e^{-d/2 - |y|/2}) \right] \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 + \rho^2} \left(1 + O(e^{-d/2 - |y|/2}) \right) \\ &= i \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_2} - iw \frac{\partial \theta_{\vec{e}_2}}{\partial y_2} \right] \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 + \rho^2} e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} + O(e^{-d/2 - |y|/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{T}_2[V_d] = -i \frac{\partial V_d}{\partial x_2} = -i \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_2} - iw \frac{\partial \theta_{\vec{e}_2}}{\partial y_2} \right] e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} + O(e^{-d/2 - |y|/2}). \quad (4.9)$$

Note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \theta_{\vec{e}_2} = \frac{y_1 + 2d}{(y_1 + 2d)^2 + y_2^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) = O(\varepsilon), \quad (4.10)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} \theta_{\vec{e}_2} = O\left(\frac{1}{d^2}\right) = O(\varepsilon^2).$$

In summary, we have obtained for $z \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$, $z = \vec{e}_1 + y$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_1 &= \mathbb{S}_1[V_d] = \mathbb{S}_0[V_d] + \varepsilon \mathbb{Q}_2[V_d] \\ &= \left[\frac{2(\rho^2 - 1)}{\rho^2 + 1} i \nabla w \cdot \nabla \theta_{\vec{e}_2} + \frac{\rho^2 - 1}{\rho^2 + 1} \frac{w}{|y + \vec{e}_1 - \vec{e}_2|^2} \right] e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} \\ &\quad + i\varepsilon \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_2} - iw \frac{\partial \theta_{\vec{e}_2}}{\partial y_2} \right] \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 + \rho^2} e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_2 &= \mathbb{S}_2[V_d] = \mathbb{S}_0[V_d] + \varepsilon \mathbb{Q}_2[V_d] + \kappa \varepsilon \mathbb{T}_2[V_d] \\ &= \left[\frac{2(\rho^2 - 1)}{\rho^2 + 1} i \nabla w \cdot \nabla \theta_{\vec{e}_2} + \frac{\rho^2 - 1}{\rho^2 + 1} \frac{w}{|y + \vec{e}_1 - \vec{e}_2|^2} \right] e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} \\ &\quad + i\varepsilon \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_2} - iw \frac{\partial \theta_{\vec{e}_2}}{\partial y_2} \right] \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 + \rho^2} e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} - i\kappa \varepsilon \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_2} - iw \frac{\partial \theta_{\vec{e}_2}}{\partial y_2} \right] e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Similar (and almost identical) estimates also hold in the region \mathbb{R}_-^2 .

4.3 Setting-up of the Problem

We look for solutions to (2.9) and (2.10) in the form

$$u(y) = \eta(V_d + iV_d\psi) + (1 - \eta)V_d e^{i\psi} \quad (4.13)$$

where η is a function such that

$$\eta = \tilde{\eta}(|z - \vec{e}_1|) + \tilde{\eta}(|z - \vec{e}_2|). \quad (4.14)$$

In the above $\tilde{\eta}$ is the cut-off function defined by

$$\tilde{\eta}(s) = 1 \quad \text{for } s \leq 1, \quad \tilde{\eta}(s) = 0 \quad \text{for } s \geq 2. \quad (4.15)$$

We may write $\psi = \psi_1 + i\psi_2$ with ψ_1, ψ_2 real-valued. The symmetry imposed on u (see (2.13)) can be transmitted to the symmetry on ψ

$$\psi(\bar{z}) = -\overline{\psi(z)}, \quad \psi(z) = \psi(-\bar{z}). \quad (4.16)$$

This symmetry will be of importance in solving the linear problems.

By using (2.9), we can formulate the equation for the perturbation term ψ . Let $R > 1$ be fixed positive constant. In the inner region

$$\mathfrak{S}_1 = \left\{ z \mid z \in B_{9R}(\vec{e}_1) \cup B_{9R}(\vec{e}_2) \right\},$$

by setting

$$\phi = \eta i V_d \psi + (1 - \eta) V_d (e^{i\psi} - 1), \quad (4.17)$$

we have

$$u = V_d + \phi \quad (4.18)$$

and then write the equation (2.9) in ϕ as

$$\mathbb{L}_1[\phi] + \mathbb{N}_1[\phi] + \mathbb{M}_1[\phi] = \mathbb{E}_d, \quad (4.19)$$

where we have denoted

$$\mathbb{E}_d = -\mathbb{S}_1[V_d],$$

$$\mathbb{L}_1[\phi] = \Delta\phi - \frac{4\bar{V}_d}{1+|\bar{V}_d|^2} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla\phi - \frac{2\bar{\phi}}{1+|\bar{V}_d|^2} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla V_d + \frac{2\bar{V}_d(V_d\bar{\phi} + \bar{V}_d\phi)}{(1+|\bar{V}_d|^2)^2} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla V_d + F'(V_d)\phi.$$

The nonlinear operator is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N}_1[\phi] &= F(V_d + \phi) - F(V_d) - F'(V_d)\phi + O((1+|\phi|)|\nabla\phi|^2), \\ \mathbb{M}_1[\phi] &= \varepsilon \mathbb{Q}_2[V_d + \phi] - \varepsilon \mathbb{Q}_2[V_d]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Note that, for problem (2.10) we have a similar formulation, but the nonlinear operator \mathbb{M}_1 is given by

$$\mathbb{M}_1[\phi] = \varepsilon \mathbb{Q}_2[V_d + \phi] - \varepsilon \mathbb{Q}_2[V_d] + \kappa\varepsilon \mathbb{T}_2[V_d + \phi] - \kappa\varepsilon \mathbb{T}_2[V_d].$$

We now formulate the problem for the perturbation term ψ in the outer region

$$\mathfrak{S}_2 = \left\{ z \mid z \in (B_{4R}(\bar{e}_1) \cup B_{4R}(\bar{e}_2))^c \right\},$$

in which we have $u = V_d e^{i\psi}$. By simple computations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbb{S}_1[V_d e^{i\psi}]}{iV_d e^{i\psi}} &= \Delta\psi + 2 \frac{1 - |V_d|^2 + |V_d|^2(e^{-2\psi_2} - 1)}{V_d(1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2})} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla\psi - i \left(\frac{2|V_d|^2}{1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2}} - 1 \right) \nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\psi \\ &+ \frac{1}{iV_d} \frac{2|V_d|^2 \bar{V}_d (e^{-2\psi_2} - 1)}{(1 + |V_d|^2)(1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2})} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla V_d - i \frac{2|V_d|^2(1 - e^{-2\psi_2})}{(1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2})(1 + |V_d|^2)} \\ &+ 2\varepsilon \frac{\partial V_d}{\partial x_2} \frac{2|V_d|^2(1 - e^{-2\psi_2})}{(1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2})(1 + |V_d|^2) V_d} + 2i\varepsilon \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_2} \frac{1 - 2|V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2}}{1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2}} + \frac{\mathbb{E}_1}{iV_d}. \end{aligned}$$

The above equation can be also formulated in the form

$$\mathbb{L}_2[\psi] + \mathbb{N}_2[\psi] + \mathbb{M}_2[\psi] = E, \quad (4.21)$$

where we have denoted

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_2[\psi] &= \Delta\psi + \frac{2(1 - |V_d|^2)}{1 + |V_d|^2} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla\psi + \frac{4i\bar{V}_d^2 \psi_2}{(1 + |V_d|^2)^2} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla V_d - i \frac{4|V_d|^2 \psi_2}{(1 + |V_d|^2)^2}, \\ \mathbb{N}_2[\psi] &= \frac{1}{V_d} \nabla\psi \cdot \nabla V_d O(\psi_2) + O(|V_d|^2 - 1 + |\psi_2|) |\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\psi| + iO(|e^{-\psi_2} - 1 + \psi_2|), \\ \mathbb{M}_2[\psi] &= 2\varepsilon \frac{\partial V_d}{\partial x_2} \frac{2|V_d|^2(1 - e^{-2\psi_2})}{(1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2})(1 + |V_d|^2) V_d} + 2i\varepsilon \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_2} \frac{1 - 2|V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2}}{1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$E = -\frac{\mathbb{E}_1}{iV_d}.$$

Recall that $\psi = \psi_1 + i\psi_2$. Then setting $z = \vec{e}_1 + y$, we have for $z \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$

$$\mathbb{L}_2[\psi] = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta\psi_1 + O(e^{-|y|})|\nabla\psi| \\ \Delta\psi_2 - \frac{4|V_d|^2}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2}\psi_2 + O(e^{-|y|})\nabla\psi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\mathbb{M}_2[\psi] = \begin{pmatrix} O(e^{-|y|}|\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\psi| + |\psi_2|^2 \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^2} + |\psi_2| \frac{1}{1+|y|} |\nabla\psi|) \\ O(e^{-|y|}|\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\psi| + |\psi_2||\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\psi| + |\psi_2|^2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.23)$$

$$\mathbb{N}_2[\psi] = \begin{pmatrix} O(\varepsilon|\frac{\partial\psi_2}{\partial y_2}|) \\ O(\varepsilon|\frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial y_2}|) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.24)$$

Let us remark that the explicit form of all the linear and nonlinear terms will be very useful for later analysis. We can also write problem (2.10) as the above in a similar way, but the nonlinear operator \mathbb{M}_2 has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_2[\psi] &= 2\varepsilon \frac{\partial V_d}{\partial x_2} \frac{2|V_d|^2(1 - e^{-2\psi_2})}{(1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2})(1 + |V_d|^2) V_d} + 2i\varepsilon \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_2} \frac{1 - 2|V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2}}{1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2}}, \\ &\quad - 2\kappa\varepsilon \frac{\partial V_d}{\partial x_2} \frac{2|V_d|^2(1 - e^{-2\psi_2})}{(1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2})(1 + |V_d|^2) V_d} - 2i\kappa\varepsilon \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_2} \frac{1 - 2|V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2}}{1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us fix two small positive numbers $0 < \gamma < 1$, $0 < \varrho < 1$. Recall that $\phi = iV_d\psi$, $\psi = \psi_1 + i\psi_2$. Denote $\ell_j = |z - \vec{e}_j|$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_* &= \sum_{j=1}^2 \|\phi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}(\ell_j < 2)} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \|\phi_j\|_{C^{1,\gamma}(\ell_j < 3)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[\|\ell_j^\varrho \psi_1\|_{L^\infty(\ell_j > 2)} + \|\ell_j^{1+\varrho} \nabla\psi_1\|_{L^\infty(\ell_j > 2)} \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[\|\ell_j^{1+\varrho} \psi_2\|_{L^\infty(\ell_j > 2)} + \|\ell_j^{2+\varrho} \nabla\psi_2\|_{L^\infty(\ell_j > 2)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

$$\|h\|_{**} = \sum_{j=1}^2 \|iV_d h\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\ell_j < 3)} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[\|\ell_j^{2+\varrho} h_1\|_{L^\infty(\ell_j > 2)} + \|\ell_j^{1+\varrho} h_2\|_{L^\infty(\ell_j > 2)} \right]. \quad (4.26)$$

We remark that the choices of these norms are motivated by the expressions of (4.23)-(4.24). A direct application of (4.7), (4.11), and (4.12) yields the decay estimates for the errors

$$\tilde{E}_1 = -\frac{\mathbb{E}_1}{iV_d}, \quad \tilde{E}_2 = -\frac{\mathbb{E}_2}{iV_d},$$

which will be stated in the lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *It holds that for $z \in (B_{2R}(\vec{e}_1) \cup B_{2R}(\vec{e}_2))^c$*

$$\left| \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{E}_1), \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{E}_2) \right| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}}{(1+|z-\vec{e}_1|)^3} + \frac{C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}}{(1+|z-\vec{e}_2|)^3}, \quad (4.27)$$

$$\left| \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{E}_1), \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{E}_2) \right| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}}{(1+|z-\vec{e}_1|)^{1+\varrho}} + \frac{C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}}{(1+|z-\vec{e}_2|)^{1+\varrho}}, \quad (4.28)$$

where $\varrho \in (0, 1)$ is a constant. Moreover,

$$\|\tilde{E}_1, \tilde{E}_2\|_{**} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}. \quad (4.29)$$

4.4 Projected Nonlinear Problem

Let $\tilde{\eta}$ be defined as in (4.15) and $R > 0$ be a fixed large positive number. By defining

$$Z_d := \frac{\partial V_d}{\partial d} \left[\tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{|z-\vec{e}_1|}{R} \right) + \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{|z-\vec{e}_2|}{R} \right) \right], \quad (4.30)$$

we consider the full nonlinear *projected problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[\psi] + \mathcal{N}[\psi] + \mathcal{M}[\psi] &= \mathcal{E} + cZ_d, \\ \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{\phi} Z_d \right) &= 0, \quad \psi \text{ satisfies the symmetry (4.16),} \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

where we have denoted that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \mathbb{L}_1, \quad \mathcal{N} = \mathbb{N}_1, \quad \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{M}_1 \quad \text{in } \mathfrak{S}_1, \\ \mathcal{L} &= \mathbb{L}_2, \quad \mathcal{N} = \mathbb{N}_2, \quad \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{M}_2 \quad \text{in } \mathfrak{S}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above we have used the relation $\phi = iV_d\psi$ in \mathfrak{S}_1 . It is easy to show that Z_d possesses the symmetries in (2.13). Here is the resolution theory.

Proposition 4.2. *There exists a constant C , depending on γ, ϱ only such that for all ε sufficiently small, d large, the following holds: there exists a unique solution ψ_ε to (4.31) and ψ_ε satisfies*

$$\|\psi_\varepsilon\|_* \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}. \quad (4.32)$$

Furthermore, $\psi_{\varepsilon,d}$ is continuous in d . □

The proof of this proposition is similar of that for Proposition 5.4.

4.5 Reduced Problem

From Proposition 4.2, we deduce the existence of a solution (ϕ, c) to (4.31). To find a real solution to (2.9), we shall choose suitable d such that c is zero. This can be realized by the standard reduction procedure in this subsection.

On \mathbb{R}_+^2 , we recall that $z = \vec{e}_1 + y$ and

$$V_d = w(y)e^{-i\theta\vec{e}_2} \left(1 + O(e^{-d/2-|y|/2}) \right),$$

then

$$Z_d = \frac{\partial V_d}{\partial d} = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_1} e^{-i\theta_{\bar{e}_2}} (1 + O(e^{-d/2-|y|/2})) - iw \frac{\partial \theta_{\bar{e}_2}}{\partial d} e^{-i\theta_{\bar{e}_2}} (1 + O(e^{-d/2-|y|/2})),$$

where $\partial \theta_{\bar{e}_2} / \partial d$ is small in the sense

$$\frac{\partial \theta_{\bar{e}_2}}{\partial d} = \frac{\partial \theta_{\bar{e}_2}}{\partial x_1} = O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) = O(\varepsilon).$$

In the polar coordinates, we have $w = \rho(r)e^{i\theta}$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_1} = (\rho' \cos \theta - i \frac{\rho}{r} \sin \theta) e^{i\theta}, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_2} = (\rho' \sin \theta + i \frac{\rho}{r} \cos \theta) e^{i\theta}.$$

Multiplying (4.31) by $\frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d}$ and integrating, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} Z_d \overline{Z_d} \right) &= - \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathcal{E} \right) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} (\mathcal{L}[\psi] + \mathcal{N}[\psi] + \mathcal{M}[\psi]) \right) \\ &= - \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{E}_d \right) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} (\mathbb{L}_1[\phi] + \mathbb{N}_1[\phi] + \mathbb{M}_1[\phi]) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 4.2 and the expression in (4.23), we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{N}_1[\phi] \right) = o(\varepsilon). \quad (4.33)$$

On the other hand, integration by parts, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{L}_1[\phi] \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{\phi} \mathbb{L}_1[Z_d] \right).$$

Let us observe that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial d} \mathbb{S}_0[V_d] = \mathbb{L}_1 \left[\frac{\partial V_d}{\partial d} \right] = \mathbb{L}_1[Z_d] = o(\varepsilon), \quad (4.34)$$

and thus by Proposition 4.2

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{\phi} \mathbb{L}_1[Z_d] \right) = o(\varepsilon). \quad (4.35)$$

It remains to estimate the following integral

$$-2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{E}_d[V_d] \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{S}_1[V_d] \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{1}{(1 + |V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{S}_0[V_d] \right) \\
&\quad + 2 \varepsilon \operatorname{Re} \left(i \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{1}{(1 + |V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{Q}_2[V_d] \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{S}_0[V_d]$ and $\mathbb{Q}_2[V_d]$ are defined in (4.7) and (4.8).

The expression in (4.8) gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1 + |V_d|^2)^2} \mathbb{Q}_2[V_d] \overline{Z_d} \right) \\
&= -\varepsilon \operatorname{Re} \left(i \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1 - |w|^2}{(1 + |w|^2)^3} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_2} \overline{\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_1}} \right) + o(\varepsilon) \\
&= -\varepsilon \operatorname{Re} \left(i \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1 - |\rho|^2}{(1 + |\rho|^2)^3} (\rho' \sin \theta + i \frac{\rho}{r} \cos \theta) (\rho' \cos \theta + i \frac{\rho}{r} \sin \theta) \right) + o(\varepsilon) \\
&= \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(1 - \rho^2) \rho \rho'}{r(1 + \rho^2)^3} = -\frac{\pi \varepsilon}{4} + o(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

On the other hand, using the estimate (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{1}{(1 + |V_d|^2)^2} \mathbb{S}_0[V_d] \overline{Z_d} \right) \\
&= -\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{1}{(1 + |V_d|^2)^2} \mathbb{S}_0[V_d] \overline{\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_1}} e^{i\theta \vec{e}_2} \right) + o(\varepsilon) \\
&= -\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{1}{(1 + |w|^2)^2} \left[\frac{2(|w|^2 - 1)}{|w|^2 + 1} i \nabla w \cdot \nabla \theta_{\vec{e}_2} - \frac{1 - |w|^2}{1 + |w|^2} \frac{w}{|y + \vec{e}_1 - \vec{e}_2|^2} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times e^{-i\theta} (\rho' \cos \theta + i \frac{\rho}{r} \sin \theta) \right) + o(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in \mathbb{R}_+^2

$$\nabla \theta_{\vec{e}_2} \approx \frac{\vec{e}_2}{2d}, \quad \nabla w \cdot \nabla \theta_{\vec{e}_2} \approx \frac{e^{i\theta}}{2d} [\rho' \sin \theta + i \frac{\rho}{r} \cos \theta]. \tag{4.37}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1 + |V_d|^2)^2} \mathbb{S}_0[V_d] \overline{Z_d} \right) \\
&= -\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(|w|^2 - 1)}{(|w|^2 + 1)^3} \frac{i}{d} [\rho' \sin \theta + i \frac{\rho}{r} \cos \theta] [\rho' \cos \theta + i \frac{\rho}{r} \sin \theta] \right) + o(\varepsilon) \\
&= \frac{1}{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(\rho^2 - 1)}{(\rho^2 + 1)^3} \frac{\rho' \rho}{r} + o(\varepsilon) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{d} \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^2 - 1}{(\rho^2 + 1)^3} (\rho^2)' + o(\varepsilon) = -\frac{\pi}{4d} + o(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining all estimates together, we obtain the following equation

$$c(d) = c_0 \left[-\frac{\pi}{4d} + \frac{\pi\varepsilon}{4} \right] + o(\varepsilon), \quad (4.38)$$

where $o(\varepsilon)$ is continuous function of d (which is a consequence of continuity of ϕ in d) and $c_0 \neq 0$. By simple mean-value theorem, we can find a zero of $c(d)$. This completes the reduction procedure for problem (2.9), which also finishes the proof of the existence of vortex pairs of (1.6) in Theorem 1.1.

For the reduction procedure of problem (2.10), we shall estimate

$$\begin{aligned} c_\varepsilon \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} Z_d Z_d \right) &= \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{S}_2[V_d] \right) \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{L}_1[\phi] \right) \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} (\mathbb{N}_1[\phi] + \mathbb{M}_1[\phi]) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{S}_2 = \mathbb{S}_0 + \varepsilon \mathbb{Q}_2 + \kappa \varepsilon \mathbb{T}_2$ is defined in (2.12). The expression in (4.9) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} &\kappa \varepsilon \operatorname{Re} \left(i \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \mathbb{T}_2[V_d] \overline{Z_d} \right) \\ &= \kappa \varepsilon \operatorname{Re} \left(i \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|w|^2)^2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_2} \overline{\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_1}} \right) + o(\varepsilon) \\ &= \kappa \varepsilon \operatorname{Re} \left(i \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|\rho|^2)^2} (\rho' \sin \theta + i \frac{\rho}{r} \cos \theta) (\rho' \cos \theta + i \frac{\rho}{r} \sin \theta) \right) + o(\varepsilon) \\ &= -\kappa \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\rho \rho'}{r(1+\rho^2)^2} = -\kappa \varepsilon \frac{\pi}{2} + o(\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

Whence after similar computation in the above, we need consider the equation

$$c(d) = c_0 \left[-\frac{\pi}{4d} + \frac{\pi\varepsilon}{4} - \frac{\varepsilon \kappa \pi}{2} \right] + o(\varepsilon), \quad (4.40)$$

where $o(\varepsilon)$ is continuous function of d (which is a consequence of continuity of ϕ in d) and $c_0 \neq 0$. If $1 - 2\kappa \neq 0$, by simple mean-value theorem, we can find a zero of $c(d)$. This completes the reduction procedure for problem (2.10), which also finishes the proof of the existence of vortex pairs of (1.5) in Theorem 1.1.

Remark 4.3. Recall the parameters ε, κ given in (2.8), (2.11). By the relation in (4.38), we have that, for problem (2.9) (i.e. (1.6)), the vortex and antivortex pair undergoes Kelvin motion when its speed is sufficiently small so that the vortices are widely separated with the relation

$$\frac{1}{d} \sim \frac{2c}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}.$$

It is also that from (4.40), for problem (2.10) (i.e. (1.5)), there holds

$$\frac{1}{d} \sim \frac{2c}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} - \frac{2\omega}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}.$$

5 Vortex helices

In this section, we will construct solutions with vortices to problem (2.23), which will provide vortex rings to problem (1.5). We omit the similar arguments for the existence of solution to problem (2.20) (i.e. the existence of vortex rings to (1.6)).

5.1 Approximate solutions

5.1.1 First Approximate Solution and its Error

Recall the vortex solutions w^+ and w^- defined in (3.2). For each fixed $d := \frac{\hat{d}}{\varepsilon}$ with $\hat{d} \in [1/100, 100]$, we define the **first approximate solution**

$$v_0(z) := w^+(z - \vec{e}_1)w^-(z - \vec{e}_2), \quad (5.1)$$

where $\vec{e}_1 = (d, 0)$ and $\vec{e}_2 = (-d, 0)$. A simple computation shows that

$$|v_0(z)| \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (5.2)$$

In fact, for $|z| \gg d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &\approx e^{i\theta_{\vec{e}_1} - i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} \\ &\approx \frac{(x_1^2 - d^2 + x_2^2 + 2ix_2d)}{\sqrt{(x_1 - d)^2 + x_2^2} \sqrt{(x_1 + d)^2 + x_2^2}} \\ &\approx 1 + O\left(\frac{d^2}{|z|^2} + \frac{d}{|z|}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here θ_ξ denotes the angle argument around ξ and $\ell_\xi = |z - \xi|$. It is easy to see that

$$\nabla \ell_\xi = \frac{1}{|z - \xi|}(z - \xi), \quad \nabla \theta_\xi = \frac{1}{|z - \xi|^2}(z - \xi)^\perp, \quad |\nabla \theta_\xi| = \frac{1}{|z - \xi|}, \quad (5.3)$$

where we denote $z^\perp = (-x_2, x_1)$.

By our construction and the properties of ρ , we have

$$v_0 = e^{i\theta_{\vec{e}_1} - i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} \left[1 + O(e^{-\min(|z - \vec{e}_1|, |z - \vec{e}_2|)}) \right]. \quad (5.4)$$

We divide the region \mathfrak{S} into two parts:

$$\mathfrak{S}_+ = \left\{ z : x_1 > 0 \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{S}_- = \left\{ z : x_1 < 0 \right\}. \quad (5.5)$$

By our symmetry assumptions, we just need to consider the region \mathfrak{S}_+ . In the region \mathfrak{S}_+ , we consider two cases. Firstly, if $|z - \vec{e}_2| > \frac{d}{2} + \frac{|z - \vec{e}_1|}{2}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &= w(z - \vec{e}_1)e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} \left[1 + O(e^{-d/2 - |z - d\vec{e}_1|/2}) \right], \\ |v_0| &= \rho(|z - \vec{e}_1|) \left[1 + O(e^{-d/2 - |z - \vec{e}_1|/2}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, if $|z - \vec{e}_2| < \frac{d}{2} + \frac{|z - \vec{e}_1|}{2}$, in the rest of this paper, we often use the translated variable as follows

$$z = \vec{e}_1 + y. \quad (5.6)$$

The term $\mathbb{H}_1[v_0]$ obeys the following asymptotic behavior

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &:= \mathbb{H}_1[v_0] = \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{d + y_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \left[w(y) e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} + O(e^{-d/2 - |y|/2}) \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{y_1}{d(d + y_1)} \right) \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_1} - iw \frac{\partial \theta_{\vec{e}_2}}{\partial y_1} \right] e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} + O(\varepsilon e^{-d/2 - |y|/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \theta_{\vec{e}_2} = \frac{-x_2}{(y_1 + 2d)^2 + y_2^2} = O(d^{-2}) = O(\varepsilon^2). \quad (5.7)$$

Whence, by (5.3), the term $\frac{1}{d} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_1}$ in Ω_1 has a singularity in the form

$$\frac{i}{d} w \frac{\partial \theta_{\vec{e}_1}}{\partial y_1} / (iv_0) \sim -\frac{1}{d} \frac{y_2}{|y|^2}. \quad (5.8)$$

In summary, by recalling (4.7), (4.8), and (4.9), we have obtained for $z \in \mathfrak{S}_+$ with $z = \vec{e}_1 + y$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_4[v_0] &= \mathbb{S}_0[v_0] + \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \mathbb{Q}_2[v_0] + \kappa \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \mathbb{T}_2[v_0] + \mathbb{H}_1[v_0] \\ &= e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} \left[\frac{2(\rho^2 - 1)}{\rho^2 + 1} i \nabla w \cdot \nabla \theta_{\vec{e}_2} + \frac{\rho^2 - 1}{\rho^2 + 1} \frac{w}{|y + \vec{e}_1 - \vec{e}_2|^2} \right] \\ &\quad + i\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_2} - iw \frac{\partial \theta_{\vec{e}_2}}{\partial y_2} + O(e^{-d/2 - |y|/2}) \right] \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 + \rho^2} \\ &\quad - i\kappa \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_2} - iw \frac{\partial \theta_{\vec{e}_2}}{\partial y_2} + O(e^{-d/2 - |y|/2}) \right] + \Omega_1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

The similar (and almost identical) estimates also hold in the region $z \in \mathfrak{S}_-$. A direct application of (5.9) yields the following decay estimates for the error

$$\tilde{E}_4 = \frac{\mathbb{S}_0[v_0] + \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \mathbb{Q}_2[v_0] + \kappa \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \mathbb{T}_2[v_0]}{iv_0}. \quad (5.10)$$

Lemma 5.1. *It holds that for $z \in (B_2(\vec{e}_1) \cup B_2(\vec{e}_2))^c$*

$$\left| \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{E}_4) \right| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}}{(1 + |z - \vec{e}_1|)^3} + \frac{C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}}{(1 + |z - \vec{e}_2|)^3}, \quad (5.11)$$

$$\left| \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{E}_4) \right| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}}{(1 + |z - \vec{e}_1|)^{1+\varrho}} + \frac{C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}}{(1 + |z - \vec{e}_2|)^{1+\varrho}}, \quad (5.12)$$

where $\varrho \in (0, 1)$ is a constant.

Proof: The estimates for the term $\mathbb{S}_0[v_0] \frac{1}{iv_0}$ follows from (5.9). We just need to estimate the terms

$$\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \mathbb{Q}_2[v_0] \frac{1}{iv_0}, \quad \kappa \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \mathbb{T}_2[v_0] \frac{1}{iv_0}, \quad \mathbb{H}_3[v_0],$$

whose definition are given in (2.12) and (2.29).

Let us compute for $z \in \mathfrak{S}_+$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon i \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x_2} / iv_0 &= \varepsilon \frac{\partial(\rho(z - \vec{e}_1) e^{i\theta_{\vec{e}_1} - \theta_{\vec{e}_2}})}{\partial x_2} \frac{1}{v_0} + O(\varepsilon e^{-|z - \vec{e}_1|} + \varepsilon e^{-|z - \vec{e}_2|}) \\ &= \varepsilon \frac{\partial \rho(|z - \vec{e}_1|)}{\partial x_2} \frac{1}{\rho(|z - \vec{e}_1|)} + i\varepsilon \frac{\partial(\theta_{\vec{e}_1} - \theta_{\vec{e}_2})}{\partial x_2} + O(\varepsilon e^{-|z - \vec{e}_1|} + \varepsilon e^{-|z - \vec{e}_2|}) \\ &= O(\varepsilon e^{-|z - \vec{e}_1|} + \varepsilon e^{-|z - \vec{e}_2|}) + i\varepsilon \left[\frac{x_1 - d}{(x_1 - d)^2 + x_2^2} - \frac{x_1 + d}{(x_1 + d)^2 + x_2^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate (5.11) then follows. Let us notice that for $z \in \mathfrak{S}_+$, $|z - \vec{e}_1| < d$,

$$\varepsilon \left| \frac{x_1 - d}{(x_1 - d)^2 + x_2^2} - \frac{x_1 + d}{(x_1 + d)^2 + x_2^2} \right| \leq C\varepsilon \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \vec{e}_1|)} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho} \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \vec{e}_1|)^{1+\varrho}}.$$

On the other hand, for $z \in \mathfrak{S}_+$, $|z - \vec{e}_1| > d$, we then have

$$\varepsilon \left| \frac{x_1 - d}{(x_1 - d)^2 + x_2^2} - \frac{x_1 + d}{(x_1 + d)^2 + x_2^2} \right| \leq C \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 + |z - \vec{e}_1|)^2} \leq C \frac{\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}}{(1 + |z - \vec{e}_1|)^{1+\varrho}}.$$

Thus (5.12) is proved. \square

5.1.2 Further Improvement of the Approximation

As we promised in previous subsection, we now define a new correction φ_d in the phase term. The phase function φ_d will be decomposed into two parts: singular part and regular part. Then we will define an improved approximation and estimate its error by substituting to problem (2.23).

To cancel the singularities in (5.8), we want to find a function $\Phi(y_1, y_2)$ by solving the problem in the translated coordinates (y_1, y_2)

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y_2^2} = \frac{y_2}{d\gamma^2 |y|^2} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (5.13)$$

In fact, we can solve this problem by separation of variables and then obtain

$$\Phi(y_1, y_2) = \frac{1}{4d\gamma^2} y_2 \log |y|^2. \quad (5.14)$$

Let χ be a smooth cut-off function in a way such that $\chi(z) = 1$ for $z \in B_{\frac{d}{10}}(\vec{e}_1)$ and $\chi = 0$ for $z \in (B_{\frac{d}{5}}(\vec{e}_1))^c$. Let $\varphi_d(z) = \varphi_s(z) + \varphi_r(z)$, where the singular part is defined by

$$\varphi_s(z) := \chi(z) \frac{1}{4d\gamma^2} x_2 \log \frac{|z - \vec{e}_1|^2}{|z - \vec{e}_2|^2}. \quad (5.15)$$

While by recalling the operators \mathbb{H}_1 in (2.29), we find the regular part $\varphi_r(z)$ by solving the problem

$$\left[\Delta + \mathbb{H}_1\right]\varphi_r = -\left[\Delta + \mathbb{H}_1\right](\theta_{\vec{e}_1} - \theta_{\vec{e}_2} + \varphi_s) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that the function φ_s is continuous but $\nabla\varphi_s$ is not. The singularity of φ_s comes from its derivatives.

By simple computations, we see that for $z \in B_{\frac{d}{10}}(\vec{e}_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Delta + \mathbb{H}_1\right](\theta_{\vec{e}_1} - \theta_{\vec{e}_2} + \varphi_s) \\ &= \frac{4x_2(x_1 - d)}{\left((x_1 - d)^2 + x_2^2\right)\left((x_1 + d)^2 + x_2^2\right)} + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{x_2(x_1^2 - x_2^2 - d^2)}{\left((x_1 - d)^2 + x_2^2\right)\left((x_1 + d)^2 + x_2^2\right)} \\ &= O(d^{-2}) = O(\varepsilon^2). \end{aligned}$$

For $z \in (B_{\frac{d}{10}}(\vec{e}_1))^c$, it is easy to see that we also get $O(\varepsilon^2)$. In fact for $z \in (B_{\frac{d}{5}}(\vec{e}_1))^c$, $\varphi_s = 0$ and

$$\left[\Delta + \mathbb{H}_1\right](\theta_{\vec{e}_1} - \theta_{\vec{e}_2} + \varphi_s) = \frac{-4x_2}{\left((x_1 - d)^2 + x_2^2\right)\left((x_1 + d)^2 + x_2^2\right)}.$$

Going back to the original variable (r, \check{s}_3) in (2.19) and letting $\hat{\varphi}(r, \check{s}_3) = \varphi_r(z)$ we see that

$$\left|\Delta_{r, \check{s}_3}\hat{\varphi} + \mathbb{H}_1[\hat{\varphi}]\right| \leq \frac{C}{\left(\sqrt{1+r^2+\check{s}_3^2}\right)^3}. \quad (5.16)$$

Thus we can choose φ_r such that $\hat{\varphi} = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+r^2+|\check{s}_3|^2}}\right)$. The regular term φ_r is C^1 in the original variable (r, \check{s}_3) .

We observe also that by our definition, the function

$$\tilde{\varphi} := \theta_{\vec{e}_1} - \theta_{\vec{e}_2} + \varphi_s + \varphi_r, \quad (5.17)$$

satisfies

$$\left[\Delta + \mathbb{H}_1\right]\tilde{\varphi} = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}. \quad (5.18)$$

From the decomposition of φ_d , we see that the singular term contains $x_2 \log |z - \vec{e}_1|$ which becomes dominant when we calculate the speed.

Finally, we define an improved approximation

$$V_d(z) := w^+(z - \vec{e}_1)w^-(z - \vec{e}_2)e^{i\varphi_d}. \quad (5.19)$$

5.2 Error Estimates

Let

$$\tilde{\rho} = \rho(|z - \vec{e}_1|)\rho(|z - \vec{e}_2|), \quad \tilde{\varphi} = \theta_{\vec{e}_1} - \theta_{\vec{e}_2} + \varphi_d.$$

So $V_d = \tilde{\rho}e^{i\tilde{\varphi}}$. Note that for $x_1 > 0$, we have

$$\rho(|z - \vec{e}_2|) = 1 + O\left(e^{-\frac{d}{2} - \frac{1}{2}|z - \vec{e}_1|}\right). \quad (5.20)$$

Since the error between 1 and $\rho(|z - \vec{e}_2|)$ is exponentially small, we may ignore $\rho(|z - \vec{e}_2|)$ in the computations below. We shall check that V_d is a good approximate solution in the sense that it satisfy the conditions in (2.28) and has a small error.

Let us start to compute the errors:

$$\Delta V_d = \left[\Delta \tilde{\rho} - |\nabla \tilde{\varphi}|^2 \tilde{\rho} + 2i \nabla \tilde{\rho} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi} - i \tilde{\rho} \mathbb{S}_2[\tilde{\varphi}] \right] e^{i\tilde{\varphi}}. \quad (5.21)$$

Here we have used the fact (5.18). We continue to compute other terms:

$$\nabla V_d \cdot \nabla V_d = \left[|\nabla \tilde{\rho}|^2 - \tilde{\rho}^2 |\nabla \tilde{\varphi}|^2 + 2i \tilde{\rho} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi} \right] e^{2i\tilde{\varphi}}.$$

We then obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_0[V_d] = e^{i\tilde{\varphi}} & \left[-i \tilde{\rho} \mathbb{S}_0[\tilde{\varphi}] + \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\rho}^2 - 1)}{\tilde{\rho}^2 + 1} (|\nabla \tilde{\varphi}|^2 - |\nabla \theta|^2) \right. \\ & \left. + 2i \frac{1 - \tilde{\rho}^2}{1 + \tilde{\rho}^2} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi} + O\left(e^{-\frac{d}{2} - \frac{|z - \vec{e}_1|}{2}}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

In a small neighborhood of \vec{e}_1 , we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}_1[V_d] &= \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial V_d}{\partial x_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial x_1} e^{i\tilde{\varphi}} + i \tilde{\rho} \mathbb{H}_1 \tilde{\varphi} \\ &= i \tilde{\rho} \mathbb{H}_1 \tilde{\varphi} + O(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

The estimates for the terms

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \mathbb{T}_2[V_d] &= i \kappa \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \frac{\partial V_d}{\partial x_2}, \\ \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \mathbb{Q}_2[V_d] &= i \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \frac{1 - |V_d|^2}{1 + |V_d|^2} \frac{\partial V_d}{\partial x_2} \end{aligned}$$

are same as before.

The total error is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_d &= \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\rho}^2 - 1)}{\tilde{\rho}^2 + 1} (|\nabla \tilde{\varphi}|^2 - |\nabla \theta|^2) + 2i \frac{1 - \tilde{\rho}^2}{1 + \tilde{\rho}^2} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi} + O\left(e^{-\frac{d}{2} - \frac{|y|}{2}}\right) \\ &+ i \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_2} - iw \frac{\partial \theta_{\vec{e}_2}}{\partial y_2} \right] \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 + \rho^2} e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} \\ &+ i \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_2} - iw \frac{\partial \theta_{\vec{e}_2}}{\partial y_2} \right] e^{-i\theta_{\vec{e}_2}} + O(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| e^{-d/2 - |y|/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

Here we have used the relation in (5.18).

Setting $z = \vec{e}_1 + y$, we then have

$$\nabla\varphi_s = -\frac{1}{2d\gamma^2} \log d \nabla y_2 + O(\varepsilon \log |y|), \quad \nabla\varphi_r = O(\varepsilon). \quad (5.24)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla\tilde{\varphi}|^2 - |\nabla\theta|^2 &= O(|\nabla\varphi_s \cdot \nabla\theta|) + |\nabla\varphi_s|^2 + O(\varepsilon|\nabla\theta|), \\ \nabla\tilde{\rho} \cdot \nabla\tilde{\varphi} &= O(\varepsilon|\log \varepsilon|\rho') + O(\varepsilon\rho' \log |y|). \end{aligned}$$

These asymptotic expression will play an important role in the reduction part.

5.3 Setting up of the Problem

Now we introduce the set-up of the reduction procedure. We look for solutions to (2.20) and problem (2.23) with boundary condition in (2.25) in the form

$$u(z) = \eta(V_d + iV_d\psi) + (1 - \eta)V_d e^{i\psi}, \quad (5.25)$$

where η is a function such that

$$\eta = \tilde{\eta}(|z - \vec{e}_1|) + \tilde{\eta}(|z - \vec{e}_2|), \quad (5.26)$$

and $\tilde{\eta}(s) = 1$ for $s \leq 1$ and $\tilde{\eta}(s) = 0$ for $s \geq 2$.

The conditions imposed on u in (2.25) and (2.27) can be transmitted to the symmetry on ψ

$$\psi(z) = \psi(-\bar{z}), \quad \psi(z) = -\overline{\psi(\bar{z})}, \quad \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_1}(0, x_2) = 0. \quad (5.27)$$

More precisely, for $\psi = \psi_1 + i\psi_2$, there hold the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(x_1, x_2) &= \psi_1(-x_1, x_2), & \psi_1(x_1, x_2) &= -\psi_1(x_1, -x_2), \\ \psi_2(x_1, x_2) &= \psi_2(-x_1, x_2), & \psi_2(x_1, x_2) &= \psi_2(x_1, -x_2), \\ \frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial x_1}(0, x_2) &= 0, & \frac{\partial\psi_2}{\partial x_1}(0, x_2) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

This symmetry will be important in solving the linear problems in that it excludes all but one kernel. We may write $\psi = \psi_1 + i\psi_2$ with ψ_1, ψ_2 real-valued and then set

$$u = V_d + \phi, \quad \phi = \eta iV_d\psi + (1 - \eta)V_d(e^{i\psi} - 1). \quad (5.29)$$

In the sequel, we will derive the explicit local form of the equation for the perturbation term ψ .

Let $R > 1$ be a fixed constant. In the inner region

$$\mathfrak{S}_1 = \{z \mid z \in B_{9R}(\vec{e}_1) \cup B_{9R}(\vec{e}_2)\},$$

we have

$$u = V_d + \phi, \quad (5.30)$$

and the equation for ϕ becomes

$$\mathbb{L}_1[\phi] + \mathbb{N}_1[\phi] = \mathbb{E}_d. \quad (5.31)$$

In the above, we have denoted linear operator by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{L}_d[\phi] &= \Delta\phi + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_1} - \frac{4\bar{V}_d}{1+|V_d|^2} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla\phi - \frac{2\bar{\phi}}{1+|V_d|^2} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla V_d \\ &\quad + \frac{2\bar{V}_d(V_d\bar{\phi} + \bar{V}_d\phi)}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla V_d + F'(V_d)\phi.\end{aligned}$$

The nonlinear operator and the error are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{N}_1[\phi] &= F(V_d + \phi) - F(V_d) - F'(V_d)\phi + O\left((1+|\phi|)|\nabla\phi|^2\right) \\ &\quad + i\kappa\delta |\log\varepsilon|\varepsilon \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_2} + i\kappa\delta |\log\varepsilon|\varepsilon \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_2},\end{aligned}\tag{5.32}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_d = -\mathbb{S}_4[V_d].\tag{5.33}$$

In the above, we have use the definition of F in (2.4).

In the outer region

$$\mathfrak{S}_2 = \left\{ z \mid z \in (B_{4R}(\vec{e}_1) \cup B_{4R}(\vec{e}_2))^c \right\},$$

we have $u = V_d e^{i\psi}$. By simple computations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathbb{S}[V_d e^{i\psi}]}{iV_d e^{i\psi}} &= \Delta\psi + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_1} + 2 \frac{1 - |V_d|^2 + |V_d|^2(e^{-2\psi_2} - 1)}{V_d(1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2})} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla\psi \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{iV_d} \frac{2|V_d|^2 \bar{V}_d(e^{-2\psi_2} - 1)}{(1 + |V_d|^2)(1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2})} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla V_d - i \left(\frac{2|V_d|^2}{1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2}} - 1 \right) \nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\psi, \\ &\quad - i \frac{2|V_d|^2(1 - e^{-2\psi_2})}{(1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2})(1 + |V_d|^2)} + i\delta |\log\varepsilon|\varepsilon \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_2} \\ &\quad + i\kappa\delta |\log\varepsilon|\varepsilon \frac{1 - |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2}}{1 + |V_d|^2 e^{-2\psi_2}} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\mathbb{E}_d}{iV_d}.\end{aligned}$$

We can also write the problem as an equation of $\psi = \psi_1 + i\psi_2$

$$\mathbb{L}_2[\psi] + \mathbb{N}_2[\psi] + \mathbb{M}_2[\psi] = E,\tag{5.34}$$

with conditions in (5.28). In the above, we have denoted

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{L}_1[\psi] &= \Delta\psi + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_1} + \frac{2(1 - |V_d|^2)}{1 + |V_d|^2} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla\psi + \frac{4i\bar{V}_d^2\psi_2}{(1 + |V_d|^2)^2} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla V_d \\ &\quad - i \frac{4|V_d|^2\psi_2}{(1 + |V_d|^2)^2},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{N}_2[\psi] &= \frac{1}{V_d} \nabla\psi \cdot \nabla V_d O(\psi_2) + O(|V_d|^2 - 1 + |\psi_2|) |\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\psi| + iO(|e^{-\psi_2} - 1 + \psi_2|) \\ &\quad + i\kappa\delta |\log\varepsilon|\varepsilon \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_2}.\end{aligned}$$

There error term has the form

$$E = -\frac{\mathbb{E}_d}{iV_d}.$$

Recall that $\psi = \psi_1 + i\psi_2$. Then setting $z = \vec{e}_1 + y$, we have for $z \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$

$$\mathbb{L}_2[\psi] = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta\psi_1 + \frac{1}{x_1}\frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial x_1} + O(e^{-|y|})|\nabla\psi| \\ \Delta\psi_2 + \frac{1}{x_1}\frac{\partial\psi_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{4|V_d|^2}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2}\psi_2 + O(e^{-|y|})\nabla\psi_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5.35)$$

$$\mathbb{N}_2[\psi] = \begin{bmatrix} O\left(e^{-|y|}|\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\psi| + |\psi_2|^2\frac{1}{(1+|y|)^2} + |\psi_2|\frac{1}{1+|y|}|\nabla\psi|\right) \\ O\left(e^{-|y|}|\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\psi| + |\psi_2||\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\psi| + |\psi_2|^2\right) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5.36)$$

$$\mathbb{N}_2[\psi] = \begin{bmatrix} O\left(\varepsilon\left|\frac{\partial\psi_2}{\partial y_2}\right|\right) \\ O\left(\varepsilon\left|\frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial y_2}\right|\right) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5.37)$$

Let us remark that the explicit form of all the linear and nonlinear terms will be very useful for later analysis in resolution theory.

Let

$$\mathbb{E}_4 = \mathbb{S}_4[V_d], \quad \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_4 = \frac{\mathbb{E}_4}{iV_d}. \quad (5.38)$$

Based on the form of the errors, we need to use suitable norms. Let us fix two positive numbers

$$p > 13, \quad 0 < \varrho < 1.$$

Recall that $\phi = iV_d\psi$, $\psi = \psi_1 + i\psi_2$. Denote $\ell_1 = |z - \vec{e}_1|$ and $\ell_2 = |z - \vec{e}_2|$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_{**} &= \|iV_d h\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{S}_1)} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[\|\ell_j^{2+\varrho} h_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathfrak{S}_2)} + \|\ell_j^{1+\varrho} h_2\|_{L^\infty(\mathfrak{S}_2)} \right], \\ \|\psi\|_* &= \|\phi\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathfrak{S}_1)} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[\|\ell_j^\varrho \psi_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathfrak{S}_2)} + \|\ell_j^{1+\varrho} \nabla\psi_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathfrak{S}_2)} \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\|\ell_j^{1+\varrho} \psi_2\|_{L^\infty(\mathfrak{S}_2)} + \|\ell_j^{2+\varrho} \nabla\psi_2\|_{L^\infty(\mathfrak{S}_2)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In the above,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_1 &= \{z \in \mathfrak{S} : |z - \vec{e}_1| < 3 \text{ or } |z - \vec{e}_2| < 3\}, \\ \mathfrak{S}_2 &= \{z \in \mathfrak{S} : |z - \vec{e}_1| > 2 \text{ and } |z - \vec{e}_2| > 2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

We remark that we use the norm L_{loc}^p (or $W_{loc}^{2,p}$) in the inner part due to the fact that the error term contains terms like $\varepsilon \log |z - \vec{e}_1|$ which is not L^∞ -bounded.

Using the norms defined above, we can have the following error estimates.

Lemma 5.2. *It holds that for $z \in \mathfrak{S}_2$*

$$|\operatorname{Re}(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_4)| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}}{(1+|z-\vec{e}_1|)^3} + \frac{C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}}{(1+|z-\vec{e}_2|)^3}, \quad (5.40)$$

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_4)| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}}{(1+|z-\vec{e}_1|)^{1+\varrho}} + \frac{C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}}{(1+|z-\vec{e}_2|)^{1+\varrho}}, \quad (5.41)$$

and also

$$\|\mathbb{E}_d\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{S}_1)} \leq C\varepsilon|\log \varepsilon|, \quad (5.42)$$

where $\varrho \in (0, 1)$ is a constant. As a consequence, there holds

$$\|\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_4\|_{**} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}. \quad (5.43)$$

□

5.4 Projected Linear and Nonlinear Problems

Let

$$\begin{aligned} L_0[\psi] &= \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1} \\ &+ \frac{2(1-|V_d|^2)}{1+|V_d|^2} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla \psi + \frac{4i\bar{V}_d^2 \psi_2}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \nabla V_d \cdot \nabla V_d - i \frac{4|V_d|^2 \psi_2}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and the co-kernel

$$Z_d := \frac{\partial V_d}{\partial d} \left[\tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{|z-\vec{e}_1|}{R} \right) + \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{|z-\vec{e}_2|}{R} \right) \right], \quad (5.44)$$

where $\tilde{\eta}$ is defined at (5.26) before. Then Z_d satisfies the symmetry (2.27).

As the first step of finite dimensional reduction, we need to consider the following linear problem

$$L_0(\psi) = h \quad \text{in } \mathfrak{S}, \quad \psi \text{ satisfies the symmetry (5.27)}, \quad (5.45)$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{S}} \bar{\phi} Z_d dx \right) = 0 \quad \text{for } \phi = iV_d \psi \text{ in } B_1(\vec{e}_1) \cup B_1(\vec{e}_2).$$

We have the following *a priori estimates*.

Lemma 5.3. *There exists a constant C , depending on ϱ only such that for all ε sufficiently small, $d \sim \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$, and any solution of (5.45), it holds*

$$\|\psi\|_* \leq C\|h\|_{**}. \quad (5.46)$$

Proof: The proof is similar as in Lemma 5.1 in [41]. Suppose that there exists a sequence of $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, functions ψ^n, h_n which satisfy (5.45) with

$$\|\psi^n\|_* = 1, \quad \|h_n\|_{**} = o(\varepsilon).$$

We will derive a contradiction by careful analysis of the estimates.

We derive *inner estimates* first. We have the symmetries and boundary conditions for ψ_1 and ψ_2 in (5.28). Whence we may just need to consider the region

$$\Sigma_+ = \{x_1 > 0, 0 < x_2 < \lambda\pi/(\varepsilon\gamma)\}.$$

Then we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{\phi}_n Z_d\right) = 2\operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{\Sigma_+} \bar{\phi}_n Z_d\right) = 0.$$

Let $z \in \Sigma_+$, $z = \vec{e}_1 + y$ and $\tilde{\phi}_n(y) = \phi_n(z)$. Then as $n \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$V_d = w^+(y)e^{-i\theta\varepsilon_2}(1 + O(e^{-d/2})) = -w^+(y) + o(1).$$

Since $\|\psi^n\|_* = 1$, we may take a limit so that $\tilde{\phi}_n \rightarrow \phi_0$ in \mathbb{R}_{loc}^2 , where ϕ_0 satisfies

$$\mathbb{L}_0[\phi_0] = 0$$

where \mathbb{L}_0 is defined by (3.3). Observe that ϕ_0 satisfies the decay estimate (3.4) because of our assumption on ψ^n . By Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\phi_0 = c_1 \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_1} + c_2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_2}.$$

Observe that ϕ_0 inherits the symmetries of ϕ and hence $\phi_0 = \overline{\phi_0(\bar{z})}$. (The other symmetry is not preserved under the transformation $z = \vec{e}_1 + y$.) But certainly $\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_2}$ does not enjoy the above symmetry. Hence $\phi_0 = c_1 \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_1}$. On the other hand, taking a limit of the orthogonality condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{\Sigma_+} \bar{\phi}_n Z_d\right) = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{\phi}_0 \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_1}\right) = 0.$$

This implies that $c_1 = 0$ and hence we have

$$\phi_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_{loc}^2$$

which implies that for any fixed $R > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 \left(\|\phi_1\|_{L^p(\ell_j < R)} + \|\phi_2\|_{L^p(\ell_j < R)} + \|\nabla\phi_1\|_{L^p(\ell_j < R)} + \|\nabla\phi_2\|_{L^p(\ell_j < R)} \right) = o(1). \quad (5.47)$$

We use the L^p -estimates in the inner part $\{|z - \vec{e}_1| < R\}$. By choosing $p > N$ large we obtain the embedding $W_{loc}^{2,p}$ into $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Next we shall derive *outer estimates*. Let $\tilde{\eta}$ be a cut-off function such that $\tilde{\eta}(s) = 1$ for $s \leq 1$ and $\tilde{\eta}(s) = 0$ for $s > 2$. We consider the new function

$$\tilde{\psi} = \psi \chi(z), \text{ where } \chi(z) = 1 - \tilde{\eta}\left(\frac{|z - \vec{e}_1|}{4}\right) - \tilde{\eta}\left(\frac{|z - \vec{e}_2|}{4}\right).$$

Using the explicit forms of L_0 in (5.35), the first equation becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\psi}_1}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\psi}_1}{\partial x_2^2} = O(e^{-|y|}) |\nabla \psi| + O(\nabla \chi \nabla \psi_1) + O(\psi_1 \Delta \chi) + h_1 \chi. \quad (5.48)$$

On the region $\Sigma \setminus (B_4(\vec{e}_1) \cup B_4(\vec{e}_2))$, we have the conditions in (5.28). Moreover we have

$$|\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla \psi| = o(1) (|z - \vec{e}_1|^2 + |z - \vec{e}_2|^2)^{-\frac{\sigma+2}{2}}.$$

For the outer part estimates, we use the following new barrier function

$$B(z) := B_1(z) + B_2(z),$$

where we have denoted

$$B_1(z) = \ell_1^\beta x_2^\nu + \ell_2^\beta x_2^\nu, \quad B_2(z) = C_1 (1 + |z|^2)^{-\varrho/2}.$$

In the above $\beta + \nu = -\varrho$, $0 < \varrho < \nu < 1$.

Now, we do the computations for B_1

$$\frac{\partial^2 B_1}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 B_1}{\partial x_2^2} \leq -C (\ell_1^{-2-\varrho} + \ell_2^{-2-\varrho}).$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 B_2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 B_2}{\partial x_2^2} \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial B_2}{\partial x_1} \leq -C C_1 (1 + |z|^2)^{-1-\varrho/2}.$$

where C_1 depends only on β and ν . On the other hand, there holds

$$\frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial B_1}{\partial x_1} \leq C \frac{x_2^\nu}{x_1} [\ell_1^{\beta-2} (x_1 - d) + \ell_2^{\beta-2} (x_1 + d)],$$

Thus for $\ell_1 < c_\varrho d$ or $\ell_2 < c_\varrho d$, where c_ϱ is small, we have

$$\frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial B_1}{\partial x_1} \leq C_2 c_\varrho (\ell_1^{-2-\varrho} + \ell_2^{-2-\varrho}).$$

where C_2 depends only on β and ν . For $\ell_1 > c_\varrho d$, there holds

$$\frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial B_1}{\partial x_1} \leq C (1 + |z|^2)^{-1-\varrho/2}.$$

By choosing C_1 large, we finally have

$$\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x_1} \leq -C (\ell_1^{-2-\varrho} + \ell_2^{-2-\varrho}).$$

By comparison principle on the set $\Sigma \setminus (B_4(\vec{e}_1) \cup B_4(-\vec{e}_1))$, we get that

$$|\tilde{\psi}_1| \leq CB(\|h\|_{**} + o(1)), \quad \forall z \in \Sigma \setminus (B_4(\vec{e}_1) \cup B_4(-\vec{e}_1)). \quad (5.49)$$

Elliptic estimates then give

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 \|\ell_j^{1+\sigma} |\nabla \tilde{\psi}_1|\|_{L^\infty(\ell_j > 4)} \leq C(\|h\|_{**} + o(1)). \quad (5.50)$$

To estimate ψ_2 , we perform the same cut-off and now the second equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\psi}_2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\psi}_2}{\partial x_2^2} - \frac{4|V_d|^2}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \tilde{\psi}_2 \\ & = O\left(\frac{1}{1+|y|}\right) \nabla \psi_1 + O(e^{-|y|}) \nabla \psi_2 + O(\nabla \chi \nabla \psi_2) + O(\Delta \psi_2) + h_2 \chi. \end{aligned} \quad (5.51)$$

Note that we also have the conditions in (5.28). Since for $z \in \Sigma \setminus (B_4(\vec{e}_1) \cup B_4(-\vec{e}_1))$, there holds

$$\frac{4|V_d|^2}{(1+|V_d|^2)} \geq \frac{1}{4},$$

by standard elliptic estimates we have

$$\|\psi_2\|_{L^\infty(\ell_{j>4})} \leq C(\|\psi_2\|_{L^\infty(\ell_{j=4})})(1 + \|\psi\|_*) \|h\|_{**} (1 + |z - \vec{e}_1| + |z - d\vec{e}_1|)^{-1-\varrho}, \quad (5.52)$$

$$|\nabla \psi_2| \leq C(\|\psi_2\|_{L^\infty(\ell_{j=R})})(1 + \|\psi\|_*) \|h\|_{**} (1 + |z - \vec{e}_1| + |z - d\vec{e}_1|)^{-2-\varrho}.$$

Combining both inner and outer estimates in (5.47), (5.49)-(5.50) and (5.52), we obtain that $\|\psi\|_* = o(1)$, which is a contradiction. \square

We consider the full nonlinear *projected problem*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}[\psi] + \mathcal{N}[\psi] + \mathcal{M}[\psi] = \mathcal{E} + cZ_d, \\ & \operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{\phi} Z_d\right) = 0, \quad \psi \text{ satisfies the symmetry (5.28),} \end{aligned} \quad (5.53)$$

where we have denoted that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \mathbb{L}_1, \quad \mathcal{N} = \mathbb{N}_1, \quad \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{M}_1 \quad \text{in } \mathfrak{S}_1, \\ \mathcal{L} &= \mathbb{L}_2, \quad \mathcal{N} = \mathbb{N}_2, \quad \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{M}_2 \quad \text{in } \mathfrak{S}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above we have used the relation $\phi = iV_d\psi$ in \mathfrak{S}_1 . By contraction mapping theorem, we make a conclusion by the following the resolution theory

Proposition 5.4. *There exists a constant C , depending on p, ϱ only such that for all ε sufficiently small, d large, the following holds: there exists a unique solution $\psi_{\varepsilon,d}$ to (5.53) and $\psi_{\varepsilon,d}$ satisfies*

$$\|\psi_\varepsilon\|_* \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\varrho}. \quad (5.54)$$

Furthermore, $\psi_{\varepsilon,d}$ is continuous in d . \square

Here, we do not give the proof to the last proposition. The reader can refer to the arguments in [41] for details.

5.5 Reduced Problem

From Proposition 5.4, we deduce the existence of a solution (ψ, c) to (5.53). To find a real solution to problem (2.23), we shall choose suitable d such that c is zero. This can be realized by the standard reduction procedure in this subsection.

Multiplying (5.53) by $\frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d}$ and integrating, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} Z_d \overline{Z_d} \right) &= - \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathcal{E} \right) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \left(\mathcal{L}[\psi] + \mathcal{N}[\psi] + \mathcal{M}[\psi] \right) \right) \\ &= - \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{E}_d \right) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \left(\mathbb{L}_1[\phi] + \mathbb{N}_1[\phi] + \mathbb{M}_1[\phi] \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 5.4 and the expression in (5.32), we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{N}_1[\phi] \right) = o(\varepsilon).$$

On the other hand, integration by parts, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{L}_1[\phi] \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{\phi} \mathbb{L}_1[Z_d] \right).$$

Let us observe that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial d} \mathbb{S}_0[V_d] = \mathbb{L}_1 \left[\frac{\partial V_d}{\partial d} \right] = \mathbb{L}_1[Z_d] = O(\varepsilon)$$

and thus by Proposition 5.4

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{\phi} \mathbb{L}_1[Z_d] \right) = o(\varepsilon).$$

As the strategy in standard reduction method, we are left to estimate the following integral

$$- \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{E}_d \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{S}_+} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{S}_4[V_d] \right) \quad (5.55)$$

The expressions of these error terms are given in (5.23). We will estimate the above integrals in the sequel.

On \mathfrak{S}_+ , recall that $z = \vec{e}_1 + y$. Then we have the estimates for the first term in (5.55)

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{S}_+} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{S}_0[V_d] \right) \\ &= - \int_{\mathfrak{S}_+} \frac{1}{(1+\rho^2)^2} \left(\frac{1}{d+y_1} \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial y_1} + \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\rho}^2-1)}{\tilde{\rho}^2+1} (2\nabla \varphi_s \nabla \theta + |\nabla \varphi_s|^2) \right) \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial y_1} \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathfrak{S}_+} \frac{1}{(1+\rho^2)^2} \frac{2\tilde{\rho}(1-\tilde{\rho}^2)}{1+\tilde{\rho}^2} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \cdot \nabla \varphi_s \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y_1} + O(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Let us notice that

$$\nabla \varphi_s = -\frac{1}{2d} \log d \nabla y_2 + O(\varepsilon \log |y|).$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathfrak{S}_+} \frac{1}{(1+\rho^2)^2} \frac{1}{d+y_1} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial y_1} \right)^2 = O(\varepsilon),$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\mathfrak{S}_+} \frac{1}{(1+\rho^2)^2} \left[\frac{\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\rho}^2-1)}{\tilde{\rho}^2+1} (2\nabla \varphi_s \cdot \nabla \theta + |\nabla \varphi_s|^2) \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial y_1} + \frac{2\tilde{\rho}(1-\tilde{\rho}^2)}{1+\tilde{\rho}^2} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \cdot \nabla \varphi_s \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y_1} \right] + O(\varepsilon) \\ &= -\frac{1}{d} \log d \int_{\mathfrak{S}_+} \frac{1-\rho^2}{(\rho^2+1)^3} \frac{\rho \rho'}{|y|} dy + O(\varepsilon) \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{8d} \log d + O(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the estimates in (4.36) and (4.39). the last terms can be estimated by

$$\kappa \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \operatorname{Re} \left[\int_{\mathfrak{S}_+} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{T}_2[V_d] \right] = -\kappa \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \frac{\pi}{2},$$

$$\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \operatorname{Re} \left[\int_{\mathfrak{S}_+} \frac{1}{(1+|V_d|^2)^2} \overline{Z_d} \mathbb{Q}_2[V_d] \right] = \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

As a conclusion, there holds

$$c(d) = c_0 \pi \left[-\frac{1}{8d} \log d + \frac{1-2\kappa}{4} \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon) \right], \quad (5.56)$$

where $c_0 \neq 0$. Therefore, for any $2\kappa - 1 < 0$, we obtain a solution to $c(d) = 0$ with the following asymptotic behavior:

$$\frac{1}{d} \log d \sim 2(1-2\kappa)\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|. \quad (5.57)$$

Remark 5.5. Recall the parameters ε , κ , given in (2.14), (2.22). By the relation in (5.56), we have that, for problem (1.5), the vortex ring travels along s_3 axis when its speed is sufficiently small with relation between its geometric parameters and traveling velocity

$$\frac{1}{d} \log d \sim \frac{4c}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} - \frac{4\omega}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}.$$

Similarly, for problem (1.6), there holds

$$\frac{1}{d} \log d \sim \frac{4c}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}.$$

Acknowledgment. J. Yang is supported by the foundations: NSFC(No.10901108), NSF of Guangdong (No.10451806001004770) and the Foundation for Distinguished Young Talents in Higher Education of Guangdong (LYM11115). Part of this work was done when J. Yang visited Chern Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University: he is very grateful to the institution for the kind hospitality.

References

- [1] C. Bardos, C. Sulem and P. Sulem, On the continuous limit for a system of classical spins, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 107 (1996), 431-454.
- [2] I. Bejenaru, A. D. Ionescu, C. E. Kenig, D. Tataru, Global Schrödinger maps in dimensions $d \geq 2$: small data in the critical Sobolev spaces, *Ann. of Math.* 173 (2011), no. 3, 1443-1506.
- [3] E. B. Bogomolny, The stability of classical solutions. *Soviet J. Nuclear Phys.* 24 (1976), no. 4, 449-454.
- [4] N. H. Chang, J. Shatah and K. Uhlenbeck, Schrödinger maps, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 53 (2000), no. 5, 590-602.
- [5] G. H. Derrick, Comments on nonlinear wave equations as models for elementary particles, *J. Math. Phys.* 5 (1964), 1252.
- [6] M. del Pino, P. Felmer and M. Musso, Two-bubble solutions in the super-critical Bahri-Coron's problem, *Calc. Var. Part. Diff. Eqn.* 16 (2003), 113-145.
- [7] M. del Pino, M. Kowalczyk and M. Musso, Variational reduction for Ginzburg-Landau vortices, *J. Funct. Anal.* 239 (2006), 497-541.
- [8] W. Y. Ding, *On the Schrödinger flows*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002), 283-291, Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002.
- [9] W. Y. Ding and Y. D. Wang, Schrödinger flow of maps into symplectic manifolds, *Sci. China Ser. A* 41 (1998), no. 7, 746-755.
- [10] W. Y. Ding and Y. D. Wang, Local Schrödinger flow into Kähler manifolds, *Sci. China Ser. A* 44 (2001), no. 11, 1446-1464.
- [11] W. Y. Ding, H. Y. Tang and C. C. Zeng, Self-similar solutions of Schrödinger flows, *Cal. Var. PDE* 34 (2009), no. 2, 267-277.
- [12] W. Ding and H. Yin, Special periodic solutions of Schrödinger flow, *Math.Z.* 253 (2006), 555-570.
- [13] S. Ding and B. Guo, Initial boundary value problem for the Landau-Lifshitz system (I)-Existence and partial regularity, *Prog. in Natural Sci.* 8 (1998), no. 1, 11-23.
- [14] S. Ding and B. Guo, Initial boundary value problem for the Landau-Lifshitz system (II)-Uniqueness, *Prog. in Natural Sci.* 8 (1998), no. 2, 147-151.
- [15] C. Gui and J. Wei, Multiple interior peak solutions for some singularly perturbed Neumann problems, *J. Diff. Equ.* 158 (1999), 1-27.
- [16] Y. Zhou, B. Guo and S. Tan, Existence and uniqueness of smooth solutions for system of ferromagnetic chain, *Sci. in China, Ser. A* 34 (1991), no. 3, 257-266.
- [17] B. Guo and M. Hong, The Landau-Lifshitz equation of the ferromagnetic spin chain and harmonic maps, *Cal. Var. PDE* 1 (1993), 311-334.
- [18] Z. N. Gurkan and O. Pashaev, Integrable vortex dynamics in anisotropic planar spin liquid model, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* 38 (2008), 238-253.
- [19] S. Gustafson, K. Kang, T. P. Tsai, Schrödinger flow near harmonic maps, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 60 (2007), no. 4, 463-499.
- [20] S. Gustafson, K. Kang, T. P. Tsai, Asymptotic stability of harmonic maps under the Schrödinger flow, *Duke Math. J.* 145 (2008), no. 3, 537-583.
- [21] S. Gustafson, J. Shatah, The stability of localized solutions of Landau-Lifshitz equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 55 (2002), no. 9, 1136-1159.
- [22] F. Haldane, Geometrical interpretation of momentum and crystal momentum of classical and quantum ferromagnetic Heisenberg chains, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 57(1986), 1488-1491.
- [23] F. Hang and F. H. Lin, Static theory for planar ferromagnets and antiferromagnets, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series* 17 (2001), 541-580.
- [24] F. Hang and F. Lin, A Liouville type theorem for minimizing maps, Special issue dedicated to Daniel W. Stroock and Srinivasa S. R. Varadhan on the occasion of their 60th birthday, *Methods Appl. Anal.* 9 (2002), no. 3, 407-424.

- [25] T. Ho and N. D. Mermin, Equilibrium order parameters and chemical potentials in rotating superfluids, *Physical Review E*, (21) 1980, 5190-5197.
- [26] N. D. Mermin and T. Ho, Circulation and angular momentum in the a phase of superfluid Helium-3, *Physical Review Letters* 1976, 36:594.
- [27] A. Hubert and R. Schafer, *Magnetic Domain-The Analysis of Magnetic Microstructures*, Berlin, Heidelberg, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [28] Y. Ishimori, Multi-vortex solutions of a two dimensional nonlinear wave equation, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 72 (1984), 33-37.
- [29] A. D. Ionescu and C. E. Kenig, Low-regularity Schrödinger maps, *Diff. Int. Equations.* 19 (2006), 1271-1300.
- [30] A. D. Ionescu and C. E. Kenig, Low-regularity Schrödinger maps, II: global well-posedness in dimensions $d \geq 3$, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 271 (2007), 523-559.
- [31] C. A. Jones and P. H. Roberts, Motion in a Bose condensate IV, Axisymmetric solitary waves, *J. Phys. A* 15 (1982), 2599-2619.
- [32] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, Smoothing effects and local existence theory for the generalized nonlinear Schrodinger equations, *Invent. Math.* 134 (1998), no. 3, 489-545.
- [33] C. E. Kenig and A. Nahmod, The Cauchy problem for the hyperbolic-elliptic Ishimori system and Schrödinger maps, *Nonlinearity* 18 (2005), 1987-2009.
- [34] S. Komineas and N. Papanicolaou, Topology and dynamics in ferromagnetic media. *Phys. D.* 99 (1996), no. 1, 81-107.
- [35] S. Komineas and N. Papanicolaou, Vortex dynamics in two-dimensional antiferromagnets, *Nonlinearity* 11 (1998), no. 2, 265-290.
- [36] J. Krieger, W. Schlag and D. Tataru, Renormalization and blow up for charge one equivariant critical wave maps, *Invent. Math.*, 171 (2008), 543-615.
- [37] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, On the theory of the dispersion of magnetic permeability in ferromagnetic bodies, *Phys. Z. Sow.* 8 (1935), 153-169.
- [38] R. A. Leo, L. Martina, and G. Sohani, Gauge equivalence theory of the noncompact Ishimori model and the Davey-Stewartson equation, *J. Math. Phys.* 33 (1992), no. 4, 1515-1522.
- [39] F. H. Lin, W. M. Ni, J. Wei, On the number of interior peak solutions for a singularly perturbed Neumann problem, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 60 (2007), 252-281.
- [40] F. H. Lin, J. Shatah, Soliton dynamics in planar ferromagnets and anti-ferromagnets, *J. of Zhejiang Univer. Sci.* 4 (2003), no. 5, 503-510.
- [41] F. Lin and J. Wei, Travelling wave solutions of Schrödinger map equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 63 (2010), no. 12, 1585-1621.
- [42] F. Lin and J. Xin, On the dynamical law of the Ginzburg-Landau vortices on the plane, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 52 (1999), no. 10, 1189-1212.
- [43] V. D. Lipovskii and A. V. Shirokov, An example of gauge equivalence of multidimensional integrable equations,(Russian) *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 23 (1989), no. 3, 65-66, translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 23 (1989), no. 3, 225-226 (1990).
- [44] L. Martina, O. K. Pashaev and G. Soliani, On topological soliton dynamics in multidimensional ferromagnetic continuum, *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* 99 (1994), no. 3, 462-470, translation in *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* 99 (1994), no. 3, 726-732.
- [45] R. Moser, *Partial regularity for Landau-Lifshitz equation*, Preprint Series Max-Plancik-Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences 26/2002.
- [46] J. C. Neu, Vortices in complex scalar fields, *Phy. D* 43 (1990), no. 2-3, 385-406.
- [47] N. Papanicolaou, *Dynamics of Magnetic Vortex Rings*, in "Singularities in Fluids, Plasmas and Optics", NATO ASI Series, ecl. R. Caflisch, Springer, 1992.
- [48] N. Papanicolaou and P. N. Spathis, Semitopological solitons in planar ferromagnets, *Nonlinearity* 12 (1999), no. 2, 285-302.

- [49] P. Raphaël and I. Rodnianski, Stable blow up dynamics for the critical co-rotational wave maps and equivariant Yang-Mills problems, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes études Sci.* 115 (2012), 1-122.
- [50] I. Rodnianski, Y. A. Rubinstein, G. Staffilani, On the global well-posedness of the one-dimensional Schrödinger map flow, *Analysis and PDE* 2 (2009), 187-209.
- [51] I. Rodnianski and J. Sterbenz, On the formation of singularities in the critical $O(3)$ σ -model, *Ann. of Math.* 172 (2010), no. 1, 187-242.
- [52] J. Shatah, C. C. Zeng, Schrödinger maps and anti-ferromagnetic chains, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 262 (2006), no. 2, 299-315.
- [53] C. Song and Y. Wang, Schrödinger soliton from Lorentzian manifolds, *Acta Mathematica Sinica* 27 (2011), no. 8, 1455-1476.
- [54] C. Song, X. Sun and Y. Wang, Geometric solitons of Hamiltonian flows on manifolds, arXiv:1212.3934v1.
- [55] J. Sterbenz and D. Tataru, Regularity of wave-maps in dimension 2+1, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 298 (2010), no. 1, 231-264.
- [56] A. Visintin, On Landau-Lifshitz equations for ferromagnetism, *Japan J. Appl. Math.* 2 (1985), 69-84.
- [57] C. Wang, On Landau-Lifshitz equations in dimensions at most four, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 55 (2006), 1615-1644.
- [58] G. E. Volovik, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* 20 (1987), L83.
- [59] J. Wei and J. Yang, Traveling vortex helices for Schrödinger map equations, preprint.