

Factorization of \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous Polynomials in the First (q -)Weyl Algebra

Albert Heinle¹ and Viktor Levandovskyy²

¹Cheriton School of Computer Science, 200 University Ave. West, Waterloo, N2L 3G1, Ontario, Canada. Email: aheinle@uwaterloo.ca

²Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen University, Templergraben 64, 52062 Aachen, Germany. Email: viktor.levandovskyy@math.rwth-aachen.de

Abstract. We present algorithms to factorize weighted homogeneous elements in the polynomial first Weyl algebra and q -Weyl algebra, which are both viewed as \mathbb{Z} -graded rings. We show that factorization of homogeneous polynomials can be almost completely reduced to commutative univariate factorization over the same base field with some additional uncomplicated combinatorial steps. This allows to deduce the complexity of our algorithms in detail. Furthermore, we will show for homogeneous polynomials that irreducibility in the polynomial first Weyl algebra also implies irreducibility in the rational one, which is of interest for practical reasons. We report on our implementation in the computer algebra system SINGULAR. For homogeneous polynomials, it outperforms currently available implementations for factoring in the first Weyl algebra – in speed as well as in elegance of the results.

Keywords: Factorization, (q -)Weyl Algebra, Noncommutative, Ore Algebra, Complexity

Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	2
1.1	Preliminaries	4
2	A New Approach for Factoring Homogeneous Polynomials in the First (q -)Weyl Algebra.....	7
2.1	Factoring homogeneous polynomials of degree zero.....	8
2.2	Factoring homogeneous polynomials of arbitrary degree	11
2.3	Application to the Rational First Weyl Algebra	15
3	Implementation and benchmarking	17
3.1	Comparison to <code>Dfactor</code> and <code>nc_factorize_all</code>	19
3.2	Comparison to <code>CP1F</code>	22
4	Conclusion and Future Work	22

1 Introduction

Algebras of operators, such as the q -Weyl and the Weyl algebras, are important objects to study since, among other things, one can derive properties of the solution spaces of their associated systems of equations one wants to solve. Especially concerning the problem of finding the solutions of a linear ordinary (q -)differential equation, the preconditioning step of factorizing this operator may come in helpful.

But often algebras of operators are noncommutative polynomial rings, and a factorization of an element in those algebras is neither unique in the classical sense (i.e. unique up to multiplication by a unit), nor easy to compute at all in general.

Nevertheless, a lot has been done in this field in the past. Tsarev has studied the form, number and the properties of the factors of a linear differential operator in Tsarev (1994) and Tsarev (1996), where he uses and extends the work presented in Loewy (1903) and Loewy (1906).

A very general approach to noncommutative algebras and their properties, including factorization, is also done by Bueso, Gomez-Torrecillas and Verschoren in (Bueso et al. (2003)). They provide several algorithms and introduce various points of views when dealing with noncommutative polynomial algebras.

In his dissertation van Hoeij developed an algorithm to factorize a linear differential operator (van Hoeij (1996)). There were several papers following that dissertation using and extending those techniques (e.g. van Hoeij (1997a), van Hoeij (1997b) and van Hoeij and Yuan (2010)), and nowadays this algorithm is implemented in the `DETools` package of MAPLE (Monagan et al. (2008)) as the standard algorithm for factorization of those operators.

For the finite field case, Giesbrecht and Zhang have developed a polynomial time algorithm to factor polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q(t)[\mathcal{D}; \sigma, \delta]$ (Giesbrecht and Zhang

(2003)). This includes the Weyl algebras with rational function coefficients over a finite field. The applied methodology extends the results in Giesbrecht (1998).

From a more algebraic point of view and dealing only with strictly polynomial noncommutative algebras, i.e. all units are in the center of the algebra, Melenk and Apel developed a package for the computer algebra system REDUCE (Melenk and Apel (1994)). This package provides tools to deal with noncommutative polynomial algebras and also contains a factorization algorithm for the supported algebras.

In the computer algebra system ALLTYPES (Schwarz (2009)), which is based on REDUCE and solely accessible as a web-service, Schwarz and Grigoriev have implemented the algorithm for factoring differential operators they introduced in Grigoriev and Schwarz (2004).

Beals and Kartashova (Beals and Kartashova (2005)) consider the problem of finding a first-order left hand factor of an element from the second Weyl algebra over a computable differential field, where they are able to deduce parametric factors. Similarly, Shemyakova studied factorization properties of linear partial differential operators in Shemyakova (2007), Shemyakova (2009) and Shemyakova (2010).

Concerning special classes of polynomials in algebras of operators, the paper Foupouagnigni et al. (2004) deals with factorization of fourth-order differential equations satisfied by certain Laguerre-Hahn orthogonal polynomials (Nikiforov and Uvarov (1988)).

Those algorithms and implementations are very well written and they are able to factorize a large number of polynomials we give them as input. Nonetheless, as we will see in this paper, there exists a large class of polynomials that seem to form the worst case for the mentioned algorithms. One can use a different approach to obtain a factorization of such polynomials very quickly, and we will prove that this factorization into irreducible elements is also irreducible in the rational first (q -)Weyl algebra. This approach extends the one developed in Heinle (2010). In this work we deal with this class of polynomials by describing our methods in detail and providing a complexity estimate for the factorization in the case, where the underlying field is computable. A very recent algorithm for factoring general polynomials, which is based on the results presented here, is given in Giesbrecht et al. (2014). We state another main result in Theorem 2.14. There, we prove that irreducible homogeneous polynomials in the polynomial first Weyl algebra stay irreducible when considering them as elements in the rational first Weyl algebra. This is rather unexpected, as this statement is not true for general, i.e. inhomogeneous, polynomials.

Our algorithms are implemented in the computer algebra system SINGULAR (Decker et al. (2012), Greuel and Pfister (2007), Levandovskyy et al. (2010)), and since version 3-1-3 they became part of the distribution as the library `ncfactor.lib`.

1.1 Preliminaries

We will start by introducing the first q -Weyl algebra and the first Weyl algebra. By \mathbb{K} , we always denote an arbitrary field. All algebras are unital associative \mathbb{K} -algebras. For the complexity discussions, we assume that

- (i) \mathbb{K} is computable and its arithmetics have polynomial costs with respect to the bit-size of the elements in \mathbb{K} .
- (ii) There exists a norm $|\cdot| : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The representation size in bits for an element $k \in \mathbb{K}$ is bounded by $\lceil \log |k| \rceil$.

The role of the invertible parameter q can be different: from $q \in \mathbb{K}$ to q being transcendental over \mathbb{K} . We use the unified notation $\mathbb{K}(q)$ for all these cases. Moreover, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by \underline{m} the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$.

Definition 1.1. The *polynomial first q -Weyl algebra* Q_1 is defined as

$$Q_1 := \mathbb{K}(q)\langle x, \partial \mid \partial x = qx\partial + 1 \rangle.$$

For the special case where $q = 1$ we have the *polynomial first Weyl algebra*, which is denoted by A_1 .

Remark 1.2. The first q -Weyl algebra can be viewed as an algebra associated to the operator

$$\partial_q : f(x) \mapsto \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q-1)x},$$

also known as the q -derivative, where f is a univariate function in x (cf. Kac and Cheung (2002)).

For $q = 1$, the operator is still well defined. This can be seen in the following way. Let $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{K}$. Then

$$f(qx) - f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (qx)^i - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i (q^i - 1).$$

The expression $q - 1$ is clearly a divisor of $q^i - 1$ for all $i \geq 1$, and we obtain

$$\frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q-1)x} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^{i-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} q^j \right).$$

The first (q -)Weyl algebra possesses a nontrivial \mathbb{Z} -grading – introduced by M. Kashiwara and B. Malgrange in a broader context of the so-called V -filtration in 1983 (Kashiwara (1983), Malgrange (1983)) – using the weight vector $[-v, v]$ for non-zero $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ on the tuple $[x, \partial]$. For simplicity, we will choose $v := 1$. In what follows, \deg denotes the degree induced by this weight vector. We will write \deg_x and \deg_∂ for the degree of a polynomial in Q_1 resp. A_1 with respect to x and ∂ . From now on, we mean by *homogeneous* or *graded* a polynomial, which is homogeneous with respect to the weight vector $[-1, 1]$.

Example 1.3. We have $\deg(x\partial) = \deg(\partial x + 1) = 0$. Another homogeneous polynomial is

$$x\partial^2 + x^4\partial^5 + \partial = (x\partial + x^4\partial^4 + 1)\partial,$$

which is of degree one.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the n th graded part (cf. 2.2 for more detailed description) of Q_1 and analogously the n th graded part of A_1 is given by

$$Q_1^{(n)} := \left\{ \sum_{j-i=n} r_{i,j} x^i \partial^j \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0, r_{i,j} \in \mathbb{K} \right\},$$

i.e. the degree of a monomial is determined by the difference of its powers in x and ∂ .

Concerning this choice of degree, the so called **Euler operator**

$$\theta := x\partial,$$

which is homogeneous of degree 0, will play an important role as we will see soon.

First of all, let us investigate some commutation rules the Euler operator has with x and ∂ . For Q_1 , in order to abbreviate the size of our formulas, we introduce the so called q -bracket.

Definition 1.4. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the q -bracket $[n]_q$ by

$$[n]_q := \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^i.$$

Lemma 1.5 (Compare with Saito et al. (2000)). In A_1 , the following commutation rules do hold for $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta x^n &= x^n(\theta + n) \\ \theta \partial^n &= \partial^n(\theta - n). \end{aligned}$$

More generally, in Q_1 the following commutation rules do hold for $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta x^n &= x^n(q^n \theta + [n]_q) \\ \theta \partial^n &= \frac{\partial^n}{q} \left(\frac{\theta - 1}{q^{n-1}} - \frac{q^{-n+2} - q}{1 - q} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Those rules follow via induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 1.6. If the characteristic of \mathbb{K} is some prime number p , the elements x^{ap} (resp. ∂^{ap}) for all $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$ commute with θ in A_1 .

Remark 1.7. With the help of the Lemma above one can also easily see that the so called polynomial first shift algebra

$$\mathbb{K}\langle n, s \mid sn = (n+1)s \rangle$$

is a subalgebra of the first Weyl algebra A_1 . An embedding of a polynomial $p = \sum_{i=0}^n p_i(n)s^n$ from the shift algebra, where $p_i \in \mathbb{K}[n]$, into the first Weyl algebra is done via the following homomorphism of \mathbb{K} -algebras:

$$\iota : \mathbb{K}\langle n, s \mid sn = (n+1)s \rangle \rightarrow A_1, \quad \sum_{i=0}^n p_i(n)s^n \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n p_i(\theta)\partial^n.$$

Therefore, the factorization techniques developed here can also be applied to the first shift algebra.

The commutation rules in Lemma 1.5 can of course be extended to arbitrary polynomials in θ .

Corollary 1.8. *Consider $f(\theta) := f \in \mathbb{K}[\theta]$, $\theta := x\partial$. Then, in Q_1 , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta)x^n &= x^n f(q^n\theta + [n]_q), \\ f(\theta)\partial^n &= \partial^n f\left(\frac{1}{q}\left(\frac{\theta-1}{q^{n-1}} - \frac{q^{-n+2}-q}{1-q}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

whereas in A_1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta)x^n &= x^n f(\theta + n), \\ f(\theta)\partial^n &= \partial^n f(\theta - n). \end{aligned}$$

Those are the basic tools we need to explain our approach for factoring homogeneous polynomials in the first Weyl and the first q -Weyl algebra.

For the complexity discussion, let us define some constants we will utilize in order to estimate the operations needed to perform our methods.

Definition 1.9. *Let us denote by $\omega_q(n, c)$, for $n, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the number of bit operations that an algorithm for factoring a polynomial of degree n in a univariate polynomial ring over $\mathbb{K}(q)$, where each coefficient has at most bit-size c , needs to perform.*

We denote for $n, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$ by $\rho_q(n, c)$ the number of bit operations needed to multiply two polynomials in a univariate polynomial ring over $\mathbb{K}(q)$, where each polynomial has degree at most n and where c is the maximal bit size of each coefficient in the two polynomials.

We will write $S_q(n, k, c, \sigma)$, $n, c \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{K}[x])$, for the number of bit operations needed for computing $f(\sigma^k(x))$ for a polynomial f in $\mathbb{K}(q)[x]$ of degree n , where x is an indeterminate and transcendental over $\mathbb{K}(q)$ and each coefficient of f has at most bit-size c .

If we deal with the case $q = 1$, we will omit writing the subscript.

For a detailed complexity discussion, we need to specify the expected output of our factorization algorithms.

Definition 1.10. Let A be a polynomial algebra over a field \mathbb{K} and $f \in A \setminus \mathbb{K}$ be a polynomial. For a fixed totally ordered monomial \mathbb{K} -basis of A , the leading coefficient $\text{lc}(f)$ of f is uniquely defined. A **nontrivial factorization** of f is a tuple (c, f_1, \dots, f_m) , where $c \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, $f_1, \dots, f_m \in A \setminus \{1\}$ are **monic** (i.e. they satisfy $\text{lc}(f_i) = 1$) and $f = c \cdot f_1 \cdots f_m$.

By a slight abuse of notation, we may omit the first element in the tuple if $c = 1$.

The following lemma will provide a complexity estimate of the cost of testing whether a polynomial in Q_1 resp. A_1 is homogeneous.

Lemma 1.11. In order to determine whether a polynomial $p \in Q_1$ resp. $p \in A_1$ is homogeneous, it requires $\#\{\text{Terms in } p\}$ integer additions and comparisons.

Proof. A polynomial p is homogeneous with respect to our definition if and only if in every term the difference between the degree in x and the degree in ∂ is the same. Hence our statement follows.

Graded elements enjoy numerous nice properties, in particular regarding factorizations.

Lemma 1.12. Let $(\Gamma, +)$ be a monoid, totally ordered by $<$, such that $a < b \Rightarrow a + c < b + c$ for all $a, b, c \in \Gamma$. Moreover, let D be a domain over a field \mathbb{K} , nontrivially graded by Γ , that is $D = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} D_\gamma$ for \mathbb{K} -vector spaces D_γ and $D_\alpha \cdot D_\beta \subseteq D_{\alpha+\beta}$ holds $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Consider $d \in D \setminus \{0\}$. If there is $m \geq 1$ and $d_i \in D$, such that $d = d_1 \cdots d_m$, then d is Γ -graded if and only if d_1, \dots, d_m are Γ -graded.

Proof. The \Leftarrow direction follows by the definition of grading, so it remains to prove the \Rightarrow direction. For an element $f \in D \setminus \{0\}$, let us denote by $\alpha(f) \in \Gamma$ resp. by $\omega(f) \in \Gamma$ the degree of the highest resp. the lowest nonzero graded part of f . Note, that $\omega(f) \leq \alpha(f)$. Thus $f = f_{\alpha(f)} + \dots + f_{\omega(f)}$ and, moreover, f is graded if and only if $f = f_{\alpha(f)} = f_{\omega(f)}$.

Suppose $d = bc$, where $b = b_{\alpha(b)} + \dots + b_{\omega(b)}$ and $c = c_{\alpha(c)} + \dots + c_{\omega(c)}$. Then $bc = b_{\alpha(b)}c_{\alpha(c)} + \dots + b_{\omega(b)}c_{\omega(c)}$ is the graded decomposition of $d = bc$, and $(bc)_{\alpha(bc)} = (bc)_{\alpha(b)+\alpha(c)} = b_{\alpha(b)}c_{\alpha(c)}$ since D is a domain. Analogously $(bc)_{\omega(bc)} = b_{\omega(b)}c_{\omega(c)}$. Since $d = bc$ is graded one has thus $\alpha(bc) = \omega(bc)$, that is $\alpha(b)+\alpha(c) = \omega(b) + \omega(c)$. Together with $\alpha(b) \geq \omega(b), \alpha(c) \geq \omega(c)$ this delivers $\alpha(b) = \omega(b)$ and $\alpha(c) = \omega(c)$, proving the claim.

2 A New Approach for Factoring Homogeneous Polynomials in the First (q -)Weyl Algebra

The main idea of our factorization technique lies in the reduction to a commutative univariate polynomial subring of A_1 resp. Q_1 , namely $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$. We will show

that there are only two monic irreducible elements in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$, that are reducible in A_1 resp. Q_1 . Hence, factoring graded elements in A_1 (which have a representation as $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$ modules) can be reduced to factoring in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$, identifying these two elements in a given list of factors, and interchanging using commutation rules.

We will start with discussing how to find one factorization of a given homogeneous polynomial, which, in the process, also leads us to the answer of the question how to find all possible factorizations.

2.1 Factoring homogeneous polynomials of degree zero

The following lemma shows that we can rewrite every homogeneous polynomial of degree zero in A_1 resp. Q_1 as a polynomial in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$.

Lemma 2.1 (Compare with Saito et al. (2000), Lemma 1.3.1). *In A_1 , we have the following identity for $n \in \mathbb{N}$:*

$$x^n \partial^n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (\theta - i).$$

In Q_1 , one can rewrite $x^n \partial^n$ as element in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$ and it is equal to

$$\frac{1}{q^{T_{n-1}}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\theta - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} q^j \right) = \frac{1}{q^{T_{n-1}}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (\theta - [i]_q),$$

where T_i denotes the i th triangular number $\sum_{j=0}^i j = \frac{i(i+1)}{2}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Therefore the factorization of a homogeneous polynomial p of degree zero can be done by rewriting p as element in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$ and factor it in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$, which is for practical choices of \mathbb{K} well implemented in every computer algebra system.

Of course, this would not be a complete factorization, as there are still elements irreducible in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$, but reducible in Q_1 resp. A_1 . An obvious example is θ itself. Fortunately, there are only two monic polynomials irreducible in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$, but reducible in A_1 resp. Q_1 . This is shown by Lemma 2.3, which requires the following proposition for its proof.

Proposition 2.2. $Q_1^{(0)}$ resp. $A_1^{(0)}$ is a \mathbb{K} -algebra, generated by the element $\theta := x\partial$. The graded direct summands $Q_1^{(k)}$ resp. $A_1^{(k)}$ are cyclic $Q_1^{(0)}$ resp. $A_1^{(0)}$ bi-modules generated by the element x^{-k} , if $k < 0$, or by ∂^k , if $k > 0$.

Proof. The first statement can be seen using Lemma 2.1, as we can identify $Q_1^{(0)}$ resp. $A_1^{(0)}$ with $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$.

For the second statement recall that being homogeneous of degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ for a polynomial $p \in Q_1^{(k)}$ resp. $p \in A_1^{(k)}$ means, that every monomial is – for a certain $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ – of the form $x^n \partial^{n+k}$, if $k \geq 0$, or of the form $x^{n-k} \partial^n$, if $k < 0$. Since we can transform $x^n \partial^n$ into an expression in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$ via Lemma 2.1 and use the commutation rules in Lemma 1.5, we can move x^{-k} resp. ∂^k to the right and the left and hence obtain the desired bi-module structure.

Lemma 2.3. *The polynomials θ and $\theta + \frac{1}{q}$ are the only irreducible monic elements in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$ that are reducible in Q_1 . For A_1 , the polynomials θ and $\theta + 1$ are the only irreducible monic elements in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$ that are reducible in A_1 .*

Proof. We will only consider the proof for Q_1 , as the proof for A_1 is done in an analogue way. Let $f \in \mathbb{K}[\theta]$ be a monic polynomial. Assume that it is irreducible in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$, but reducible in Q_1 . Let φ, ψ be elements in Q_1 with $\varphi\psi = f$. Then φ and ψ are homogeneous and $\varphi \in Q_1^{(-k)}, \psi \in Q_1^{(k)}$ for a $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. As for the case where k is negative a similar argument is applicable, we assume without loss of generality that k is positive.

Due to Proposition 2.2, we have for some $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi} \in \mathbb{K}[\theta]$

$$\varphi = \tilde{\varphi}(\theta)x^k, \quad \psi = \tilde{\psi}(\theta)\partial^k.$$

Using Corollary 1.8, we obtain

$$f = \tilde{\varphi}(\theta)x^k\tilde{\psi}(\theta)\partial^k = \tilde{\varphi}(\theta)x^k\partial^k\tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{1}{q}\left(\frac{\theta-1}{q^{n-1}} - \frac{q^{-n+2}-q}{1-q}\right)\right).$$

As we know from Lemma 1.5 the equation

$$x^k\partial^k = \frac{1}{q^{T_{k-1}}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\theta - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} q^j\right)$$

holds.

Thus, because we assumed f to be irreducible in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$, we must have $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi} \in \mathbb{K}$ and $k = 1$ due to Lemma 1.5. Because f is monic, we must also have $\tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\psi}^{-1}$.

As a result, the only possible f is $f = \theta$. If we originally had chosen k to be negative, the only possibility for f would be $f = \theta + \frac{1}{q}$. This completes the proof.

Therefore, we have a procedure for factoring a homogeneous polynomial $p \in A_1$ (resp. $p \in Q_1$) of degree zero in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$. It is done using the following steps.

1. Rewrite p as an element in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$;
2. Factorize p in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$ using commutative methods, i.e. obtain a list $[c, p_1, \dots, p_\ell] \in \mathbb{K}[\theta]^\ell$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $c \in \mathbb{K}$, where $c \cdot p_1 \cdots p_\ell = p$.
3. For every p_j , $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, that is equal to θ or $\theta + 1$ (resp. $\theta + \frac{1}{q}$), remove p_j from the list and insert into position j and $j + 1$ the elements x_i, ∂_i resp. ∂_i, x_i .
4. Replace for every element in the list from the previous step θ by $x \cdot \partial$. Return the resulting list.

Let us consider the complexity of the above steps to factor a homogeneous element of degree zero in A_1 .

Ad step 1: The polynomial p has, due to the assumption of being homogeneous of degree zero, the form

$$p = \sum_{i=0}^n p_i x^i \partial^i, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, p_i \in \mathbb{K} \text{ (resp. } \mathbb{K}(q)\text{)}. \quad (2.1)$$

In order to transform it into an element in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$, we have to apply the rewriting rule stated in Lemma 2.1 for every term $x^i \partial^i$ in p . For that, one makes use of the identity

$$x^{n+1} \partial^{n+1} = x^n \partial^n \cdot (\theta - n).$$

Thus, in order to perform step 1, we need to perform for every $i \in \underline{n}$ a multiplication of a polynomial in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$ of degree i with a polynomial of degree 1.

Ad step 2: Unfortunately, the factorization problem even in the univariate case does not have polynomial complexity in general. One might face exponential complexity with respect to the bit-length of the coefficients in \mathbb{K} or it might even be undecidable, depending on the choice of \mathbb{K} .

An example for a polynomial-time complexity with respect to the bit-length of the coefficients would be $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$, due to the famous LLL algorithm by Lenstra, Lenstra, Lovasz developed in 1982 (Lenstra et al. (1982)). For certain classes of fields, including algebraic ones, polynomial time algorithms have been discovered in Chistov (1986) and Grigoriev (1984). For further readings on the complexity of the factorization problem we also recommend Kaltofen (1982) and von zur Gathen and Gerhard (2013). As given in Definition 1.9, we simply write $\omega(n)$ resp. $\omega_q(n)$ for the amount of bit operations needed for factoring a univariate polynomial of degree n .

Ad step 3: In order to find and identify the polynomials, it does not require any operations on the polynomials other than comparisons.

Ad step 4: For each monomial in each factor that has degree zero, we need to replace θ by $x \cdot \partial$ and bring it into normal form, i.e. each monomial in the end must have the form $x^i \partial^i$ for $i \leq n$. This can be calculated, up to a constant factor, with the same number of operations as performed for step 1, since we only need to reverse the mapping outlined there.

Thus, we can formulate the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Given p as in (2.1), and let b be the maximal coefficient in p with respect to its bit-size. In order to obtain one factorization of p over Q_1 , it requires*

$$O(n \cdot \rho_q(n, \lceil \log |n!| \rceil) + \omega_q(n, \lceil \log |b \cdot n!| \rceil)) \quad (2.2)$$

bit operations.

Example 2.5. Let $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{Q}$ and

$$p := x^3 \partial^3 + 4x^2 \partial^2 + 3x \partial \in A_1.$$

Clearly p is homogeneous of degree zero; rewritten in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$, one obtains

$$p = \theta^3 + \theta^2 + \theta.$$

This polynomial factorizes in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$ to $\theta \cdot (\theta^2 + \theta + 1)$, which further factorizes as θ is reducible to $x \cdot \partial \cdot (\theta^2 + \theta + 1) \in A_1$. To get more (in fact, as we will see in

the next subsection, all) possible factorizations of p , we apply the commutation rules with x resp. ∂ and obtain the following other factorizations:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\theta^2 + \theta + 1) \cdot x \cdot \partial, \\ &x \cdot (\theta^2 + 3\theta + 3) \cdot \partial. \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Factoring homogeneous polynomials of arbitrary degree

Fortunately, the hard work is already done and factoring of homogeneous polynomials of arbitrary degree is just a small further step.

The reason is Proposition 2.2, which leads to the following steps to obtain one factorization of a homogeneous polynomial $p \in Q_1^{(k)}$ resp. $p \in A_1^{(k)}$ of degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1. Represent p as $\tilde{p}x^{-k}$ resp. $\tilde{p}\partial^k$, where \tilde{p} in $A_1^{(0)}$, written as polynomial in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$. We need $O(d^2 \cdot \rho_q(d, \lceil \log |b \cdot d!| \rceil))$, where $d := \min\{\deg_x(p), \deg_\partial(p)\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{K}$ denotes the maximal coefficient in p with respect to the bit-size, operations to obtain this \tilde{p} . Afterwards, if $k < 0$, one additional application of a k -shift to \tilde{p} is required.
2. Factorize \tilde{p} – which is homogeneous of degree zero – using the steps shown in the previous subsection.

Now we have everything we need to formulate an algorithm to find one factorization of a homogeneous element in A_1 resp. Q_1 , namely Algorithm 1 which can be found below.

The next corollary states a complexity estimate Algorithm 1. The proof is straightforward and left to the reader.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $p \in Q_1$ be homogeneous of degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let all the coefficients in p have at most bit size $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, due to Proposition 2.2, p can be written in the form $p = p_0\varphi^{|k|}$, where p_0 is a polynomial of degree $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ in $\mathbb{K}(q)[\theta]$ and $\varphi \in \{x, \partial\}$. Obtaining one factorization in Q_1 of p requires*

$$O(n \cdot \rho_q(n, \lceil \log |n!| \rceil) + \omega_q(n, \lceil \log |b \cdot n!| \rceil) + \mathcal{S}_q(n, k, \lceil \log |b \cdot n!| \rceil, \sigma))$$

bit operations, where $\sigma(x) = x + 1$ if $q = 1$, and $\sigma(x) = q \cdot x + 1$ otherwise.

We also would like to address the topic how to obtain all possible factorizations of a homogeneous polynomial. As mentioned before, the factorization of a polynomial in a noncommutative ring is generally not unique in the classical sense, i.e. up to multiplication by units or up to interchanging factors. Thus several different factorizations can occur. For the homogeneous case, they can fortunately be easily characterized by the commutation rules from Lemma 1.5 and the identities from Lemma 2.3. This is proven by the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in A_1^{(z)}$, resp. $p \in Q_1^{(z)}$, is monic. Suppose, that one factorization of p has been constructed following Proposition 2.2 and has the form $Q(\theta) \cdot T(\theta) \cdot \psi^{|z|}$, where*

Algorithm 1 HomogFac: Factorization of a homogeneous polynomial in the first (q -)Weyl algebra

Input: $h \in A_1^{(m)}$ (resp. $h \in Q_1^{(m)}$), where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

Output: $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A_1^n$ resp. $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in Q_1^n$, such that $f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot f_n = h$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Assumption: h is normalized, i.e. the leading coefficient is 1.

```

1: if  $m \neq 0$  then
2:   if  $m < 0$  then
3:     Get  $\hat{h} \in A_1^{(0)}$  such that  $h = \hat{h}x^{-m}$ 
4:      $factor := \underbrace{(x, \dots, x)}_{-m \text{ times}}$ 
5:   else
6:     Get  $\hat{h}$  such that  $h = \hat{h}\partial^m$ 
7:      $factor := \underbrace{(\partial, \dots, \partial)}_{m \text{ times}}$ 
8:   end if
9: else
10:   $\hat{h} := h$ 
11:   $factor := 1$ 
12: end if
13:  $(\hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_l) :=$  Factorization of  $\hat{h}$  as element in  $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$  ( $l \in \mathbb{N}$ )
14:  $(\hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_l) :=$  Substitute  $\theta$  by  $x \cdot \partial$  in  $(\hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_l)$ 
15:  $result := ()$ 
16: for  $i$  from 1 to  $l$  do
17:   if  $\hat{f}_i = x \cdot \partial$  then
18:     Append  $x$  and  $\partial$  to  $result$ 
19:   else
20:     if  $\hat{f}_i = \partial \cdot x$  then
21:       Append  $\partial$  and  $x$  to  $result$ 
22:     else
23:       Append  $\hat{f}_i$  to  $result$ 
24:     end if
25:   end if
26: end for
27: Append each element in  $factor$  to  $result$ 
28: return  $result$ 

```

- $T(\theta) = (x\partial)^t(\partial x)^s$, $t, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, is a product of irreducible factors in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$, which are reducible in A_1 , resp. Q_1 ,
- $Q(\theta)$ is the product of irreducible factors in both $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$ and A_1 (resp. Q_1), and
- $\psi = x$, if $z < 0$, and $\psi = \partial$ otherwise.

Let $p_1 \cdots p_m$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be another nontrivial factorization of p . Then this factorization can be derived from $Q(\theta) \cdot T(\theta) \cdot \psi^{|z|}$ by using two operations, namely (i) “swapping”, that is interchanging two adjacent factors according to the commutation rules and (ii) “rewriting” of occurring θ resp. $\theta + 1$ ($\theta + \frac{1}{q}$ in the q -Weyl case) by $x \cdot \partial$ resp. $\partial \cdot x$.

Proof. Since p is homogeneous, all p_i for $i \in \underline{m}$ are homogeneous. Thus each of them can be written in the form $p_i = \tilde{p}_i(\theta) \cdot \psi_{e_i}$, where $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\psi_{e_i} = x^{-e_i}$, if $e_i < 0$ and $\psi_{e_i} = \partial^{e_i}$ otherwise. With respect to the commutation rules as stated in Corollary 1.8, we can swap the $\tilde{p}_i(\theta)$ to the left for any $2 \leq i \leq m$. Note that it is possible for them to be transformed to the form θ resp. $\theta + 1$ ($\theta + \frac{1}{q}$ in the q -Weyl case), after performing these swapping steps. I.e., we have commuting factors, both belonging to $Q(\theta)$, as well as to $T(\theta)$ at the left. Our resulting product is thus $\tilde{Q}(\theta)\tilde{T}(\theta)\prod_{j=1}^m\psi_{e_j}$, where the factors in $\tilde{Q}(\theta)$, resp. $\tilde{T}(\theta)$, contain a subset of the factors of $Q(\theta)$ resp. $T(\theta)$. By our assumption of p having degree z , we are able to swap ψ_z to the right in $F := \prod_{j=1}^m\psi_{e_j}$, i.e., $F = \tilde{F}\psi_z$ for $\tilde{F} \in A_1^{(0)}$. This step may involve combining x and ∂ to θ resp. $\theta + 1$ ($\theta + \frac{1}{q}$ in the q -Weyl case). Afterwards, this is also done to the remaining factors in \tilde{F} that are not yet polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$ using the swapping operation. These polynomials are the remaining factors that belong to $Q(\theta)$, resp. $T(\theta)$, and can be swapped commutatively to their respective positions. Since reverse engineering of those steps is possible, we can derive the factorization $p_1 \cdots p_m$ from $Q(\theta) \cdot T(\theta) \cdot \psi_z$ as claimed.

With the help of the above lemma, we are also able to formulate an algorithm to find all factorizations of a given homogeneous polynomial in A_1 , namely Algorithm 2 as stated below.

In order to discuss the complexity of finding all factorizations of a homogeneous element in A_1 resp. Q_1 , we need an upper bound on the number of possible factorizations.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $p = p_0 \cdot \varphi^k$ be a homogeneous polynomial in A_1 resp. Q_1 , where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_0 \in \mathbb{K}[\theta]$ and $\varphi \in \{x, \partial\}$. Furthermore let $n := \deg_\theta(p_0)$. Then the number of different factorizations of p is at most*

$$n \cdot n! \cdot \binom{n+k}{k}.$$

Proof. Let us assume that p_0 decomposes in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$ into $\tilde{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ factors, where $\tilde{n} \leq n$. As all of these factors commute, there are up to $\tilde{n}!$ different possibilities to rearrange them. For every such arrangement of the factors of p_0 , we can place

Algorithm 2 HomogFacAll: All factorizations of a homogeneous polynomial in the first (q -)Weyl algebra

Input: $h \in A_1^{(m)}$ (resp. $h \in Q_1^{(m)}$), where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

Output: $\{(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A_1^n \mid f_1 \cdots f_n = h, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Assumption: h is normalized, i.e. the leading coefficient is 1.

```

1:  $(f_1, \dots, f_\nu, g, \dots, g) := \text{HomogFac}(h)$  without lines 16 – 26
    $\{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0, g \in \{x, \partial\}, f_i \in A_1^{(0)}\}$ 
2: Rewrite each  $f_i$  as element in  $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$ 
3:  $result := \{\text{Permutations of } (f_1, \dots, f_\nu, g, \dots, g) \text{ with respect to the commutation rules}\}$ 
4: for  $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in result$  do
5:   for  $i$  from 1 to  $n$  do
6:     if  $g_i = \theta$  then
7:        $g_i := x, \partial$ 
8:        $leftpart := \{(g_1, \dots, g_k, x, g_{k+1}(\theta + 1), \dots, g_{i-1}(\theta + 1)) \mid k \leq i - 1, g_j \in A_1^{(0)} \text{ for all } k < j \leq i - 1\}$ 
9:        $rightpart := \{(g_{i+1}(\theta + 1), \dots, g_{k-1}(\theta + 1), \partial, g_k, \dots, g_n) \mid k \geq i + 1, g_j \in A_1^{(0)} \text{ for all } i + 1 \leq j < k\}$ 
10:      Append each element in  $\{(l_1, \dots, l_j, r_1 \dots r_k) \mid (l_1, \dots, l_j) \in leftpart, (r_1, \dots, r_k) \in rightpart \text{ for } j, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  to  $result$ .
11:     end if
12:     if  $g_i = \theta + 1$  (resp.  $g_i = \theta + \frac{1}{q}$ ) then
13:        $g_i := \partial, x$ 
14:        $leftpart := \{(g_1, \dots, g_k, \partial, g_{k+1}(\theta - 1), \dots, g_{i-1}(\theta - 1)) \mid k \leq i - 1, g_j \in A_1^{(0)} \text{ for all } k < j \leq i - 1\}$ 
15:        $rightpart := \{(g_{i+1}(\theta - 1), \dots, g_{k-1}(\theta - 1), x, g_k, \dots, g_n) \mid k \geq i + 1, g_j \in A_1^{(0)} \text{ for all } i + 1 \leq j < k\}$ 
16:      Append each element in  $\{(l_1, \dots, l_j, r_1 \dots r_k) \mid (l_1, \dots, l_j) \in leftpart, (r_1, \dots, r_k) \in rightpart \text{ for } j, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  to  $result$ .
17:     end if
18:   end for
19: end for
20: return  $result$ 

```

the k available φ at any position (with applied shift to the respective factors of p_0), which leads to $\binom{\tilde{n}+k}{k}$ possibilities each time. Finally, due to Lemma 2.3, the linear factors of p_0 might split into $x\partial$ resp. ∂x . This would add for each instance at most \tilde{n} new distinct factorizations. As p_0 factors at most into linear factors, we can assume $\tilde{n} = n$ and obtain the stated upper bound.

Remark 2.9. In (Bell et al. (2014)) we prove that in the case of the polynomial n th (q -)Weyl algebra, a nonzero polynomial has only finitely many different factorizations. In yet another recent paper (Giesbrecht et al. (2014)) we have developed an algorithm for computing all factorizations of a given polynomial in the n th (q -)Weyl algebra.

The termination of Algorithms 1 and 2 is clear, as we only iterate over finite sets. The correctness follows by our preliminary work.

Corollary 2.10. *Given the denotations as in Corollary 2.6 By Lemma 2.8, the number of different factorizations of p is bounded by*

$$n \cdot n! \cdot \binom{n + |k|}{|k|}.$$

In order to obtain all these different factorizations, it would require

$$O\left(n \cdot \rho_q(n, \lceil \log |n!| \rceil) + \omega_q(n, b + \lceil \log |n!| \rceil) \right. \\ \left. + \left(n^2 + n \cdot n! \cdot \binom{n + |k|}{|k|} \right) \mathcal{S}_q(n, 1, \lceil \log |b \cdot n!| \rceil, \sigma) \right)$$

bit operations, where $\sigma(x) = x + 1$ if $q = 1$, and $\sigma(x) = q \cdot x + 1$ otherwise.

2.3 Application to the Rational First Weyl Algebra

In practice, one is often interested in ordinary differential equations over the field of rational functions in the indeterminate x . We refer to the corresponding algebra of operators as the **first rational Weyl algebra** and denote it as B_1 . The commutation rules over B_1 are extended from those in A_1 , that is $\partial g(x) = g(x)\partial + \frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x}$ for $g(x) \in \mathbb{K}(x)$.

Unlike in the polynomial Weyl algebra, an infinite number of nontrivial factorizations of an element is possible. The easiest example is the polynomial $\partial^2 \in A_1$, having except $\partial \cdot \partial$ a family of nontrivial factorizations $(\partial + \frac{1}{x+c})(\partial - \frac{1}{x+c})$ for all $c \in \mathbb{K}$ over B_1 ; the only factorization in A_1 is $\partial \cdot \partial$. Thus, at first glance, the factorization problem in both the rational and the polynomial Weyl algebras seems to be distinct in general. But there are still many things in common.

The formalism of the **Ore localization** of a ring (cf. e. g. Bueso et al. (2003)) can be briefly recalled as follows. Let R be a domain and $\{0\} \subsetneq S \subset R$ be a multiplicatively closed **Ore set** in R , i. e. the **Ore condition** holds for S and R

(the condition will appear below). Then there exists a localized ring, denoted by $S^{-1}R$ together with the classical embedding $\iota : R \rightarrow S^{-1}R, r \mapsto 1^{-1}r$, such that $\iota(S) \subset S^{-1}R$ becomes invertible. Note, that the presentation of a left fraction $s^{-1}r \in S^{-1}R$ via the tuple $(s, r) \in S \times R$ is by no means unique, but defines an equivalence class.

Rational Weyl algebras can be recognized as Ore localizations of polynomial Weyl algebras with respect to the multiplicatively closed set $S := \mathbb{K}[x] \setminus \{0\}$, which can be proven to be an Ore set in A_1 . Let us clarify the connection between factorizations in an algebra and in its Ore localization.

Lemma 2.11. *Let R be a domain and $S \subset R$ be an Ore set in R . Moreover, let h be an element in $S^{-1}R \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose, that $h = h_1 \cdots h_m, m \in \mathbb{N}, h_i \in S^{-1}R$ for $i \in \underline{m}$. Then there exists $q \in S$ and $\tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_m \in R$, such that $qh = \tilde{h}_1 \cdots \tilde{h}_m$.*

Proof. Suppose that $h = h_1 h_2 = (s_1^{-1}r_1) \cdot (s_2^{-1}r_2)$ for $r_i \in R, s_i \in S$. Then by the Ore condition $\exists \hat{r}_1 \in R, \hat{s}_2 \in S$ such that $r_1 s_2^{-1} = \hat{s}_2^{-1} \hat{r}_1$. Thus $h = s_1^{-1} \hat{s}_2^{-1} \hat{r}_1 r_2$ and for $q = \hat{s}_2 s_1 \in S$ and $\tilde{h}_1 = \hat{r}_1, \tilde{h}_2 = r_2 \in R$ one has $qh = \tilde{h}_1 \tilde{h}_2 \in R$. The rest follows by induction.

Thus we can lift any factorization from the ring $S^{-1}R$ to a factorization in R by a left multiplication with an element of S .

Example 2.12. As it was mentioned before, in the first rational Weyl algebra one has $\partial^2 = (\partial + \frac{1}{x+c})(\partial - \frac{1}{x+c})$ for all $c \in \mathbb{K}$. Let us fix c and analyze the lifting.

$$(\partial + (x+c)^{-1})(\partial - (x+c)^{-1}) = (x+c)^{-1} \cdot ((x+c)\partial + 1) \cdot (x+c)^{-1} \cdot ((x+c)\partial - 1)$$

Since $\partial \cdot (x+c) = (x+c)\partial + 1$, one has $((x+c)\partial + 1) \cdot (x+c)^{-1} = \partial$ and thus

$$\partial^2 = (x+c)^{-1} \cdot \partial \cdot ((x+c)\partial - 1),$$

from which we read off the corresponding factorization in the polynomial first Weyl algebra

$$(x+c) \cdot \partial^2 = \partial \cdot ((x+c)\partial - 1).$$

In the notation of the preceding Lemma $q = x+c, \tilde{h}_1 = \partial, \tilde{h}_2 = ((x+c)\partial - 1)$. In particular, the infinite family of factorizations we started with does not propagate to the polynomial case: as we see, the parameter c is present in the lifted polynomial $(x+c)\partial^2$. By our approach we can prove, that $(x+c) \cdot \partial^2 = \partial \cdot ((x+c)\partial - 1)$ are the only factorizations of $x\partial^2 + c\partial^2$ in A_1 for any $c \in \mathbb{K}$.

Proposition 2.13. *Let $U := \{r \in R \mid 1^{-1}r \in S^{-1}R \text{ is invertible}\} \subset R$. Then*

1. $r \in U \Leftrightarrow \exists w \in R : wr \in S$.
2. If $S = \mathbb{K}[x] \setminus \{0\}$ in $R \in \{A_1, Q_1\}$, to any factorization of a fraction $h \in S^{-1}R$ we can associate a factorization of $qh \in R$ into elements of R .
3. Let $1^{-1}r$ be an irreducible element in $S^{-1}R$. Then in any factorization $r = pq$, where $p, q \in R$ one has $p \in U$ or $q \in U$, i. e. in general r is not irreducible in R .
4. If $r \in R$ is irreducible in R , in general $1^{-1}r$ is not irreducible in $S^{-1}R$.

Surprisingly, irreducible $[-1, 1]$ -homogeneous polynomials remain irreducible in the rational Weyl algebra, as the following Theorem shows.

Theorem 2.14. *Let p be an irreducible $[-1, 1]$ -homogeneous polynomial in A_1 . Then, in the first rational Weyl algebra B_1 , $1^{-1}p$ is irreducible up to an invertible multiple.*

Proof. The following monic homogeneous polynomials are irreducible in A_1 :

1. ∂ , which is also irreducible over B_1 ,
2. x , which is a unit in B_1 ,
3. a monic irreducible p over $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$, $p \notin \{\theta, \theta + 1\}$.

Therefore, the only interesting case is the third one. Now let p be a monic irreducible element in $A_1^{(0)} \setminus \{\theta, \theta + 1\}$. From now on we identify p with $1^{-1}p \in B_1$. Suppose, that $p \in F$ is nontrivially reducible over B_1 , say $p = p_1 \cdot p_2$ for $p_1, p_2 \in B_1 \setminus A_1$, both non-invertible, thus $\deg_{\partial}(p_1), \deg_{\partial}(p_2) \geq 1$ and therefore $\deg_{\partial}(p) \geq 2$.

By Lemma 2.11, there exist $q \in \mathbb{K}[x]$, $\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2 \in A_1 \setminus \mathbb{K}[x]$, such that $qp = \tilde{p}_1\tilde{p}_2$.

Case 1: $q = x^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (**homogeneous attempt**).

Then all possible factorizations of $x^k \cdot p$ in A_1 are due to Lemma 1.5 of the form

$$x^{k-l}p(\theta - l)x^l, l \in \mathbb{N}_0, l \leq k.$$

As shifts of irreducible elements in a univariate commutative polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$ are irreducible (see e. g. Beachy and Blair (2006), Section 4.2) and $\deg_{\partial}(p) \geq 2$, we see that \tilde{p}_1 and \tilde{p}_2 as supposed above do not exist.

Case 2: $q = \sum_{i=0}^n q_i x^i$, $n \geq 1$, $q_n \neq 0$; **q is not a single term:**

Note, that the product qp in this case is not homogeneous with respect to the $[-1, 1]$ -grading. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m < n$ be minimal, satisfying $q_m \neq 0$, then the sum in $qp = \sum_{i=m}^n q_i x^i p$ coincides with the graded decomposition of qp .

With notations from the proof of Lemma 1.12, suppose that $\alpha(\tilde{p}_1) = \eta \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha(\tilde{p}_2) = \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$q_m x^m p = (qp)_{\alpha(qp)} = (\tilde{p}_1 \tilde{p}_2)_{\alpha(\tilde{p}_1 \tilde{p}_2)} = (\tilde{p}_1)_{\eta} (\tilde{p}_2)_{\mu}.$$

Since $q_m \neq 0$, we can proceed like in Case 1, where two kinds of factorization are possible. Let us first write $(\tilde{p}_1)_{\eta} = x^{m-l}p(\theta - l)$ for some $0 \leq l \leq m$ and $(\tilde{p}_2)_{\mu} = q_m x^l$, then $\deg_{\partial}(\tilde{p}_1) \geq \deg_{\partial}(\tilde{p}_1)_{\eta} = \deg_{\partial}(p) = \deg_{\partial}(qp) = \deg_{\partial}(\tilde{p}_1 \tilde{p}_2) = \deg_{\partial}(\tilde{p}_1) + \deg_{\partial}(\tilde{p}_2)$, indicating that $\deg_{\partial}(\tilde{p}_2) = 0$ and $\deg_{\partial}(\tilde{p}_1) = \deg_{\partial}(p)$. That is, \tilde{p}_2 must be in $\mathbb{K}[x]$ and therefore cannot be as supposed above. The second case, where $\deg_{\partial}(\tilde{p}_2)_{\mu} = \deg_{\partial}(p)$ is analogous and thus the proof is completed.

3 Implementation and benchmarking

We implemented the presented algorithms in SINGULAR, and since version 3-1-3 they are part of the distribution of SINGULAR. The following example shows how to use the library containing them.

Example 3.1. Let $h \in Q_1$ be the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned}
h := & q^{25}x^{10}\partial^{10} + q^{16}(q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)^2x^9\partial^9 \\
& + q^9(q^{13} + 3q^{12} + 7q^{11} + 13q^{10} + 20q^9 + 26q^8 \\
& + 30q^7 + 31q^6 + 26q^5 + 20q^4 + 13q^3 + 7q^2 + 3q + 1)x^8\partial^8 \\
& + q^4(q^9 + 2q^8 + 4q^7 + 6q^6 + 7q^5 + 8q^4 + 6q^3 + 4q^2 + 2q + 1) \\
& (q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)x^7\partial^7 \\
& + q(q^2 + q + 1)(q^5 + 2q^4 + 2q^3 + 3q^2 + 2q + 1) \\
& (q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)(q^2 + 1)(q + 1)x^6\partial^6 \\
& + (q^{10} + 5q^9 + 12q^8 + 21q^7 + 29q^6 + 33q^5 \\
& + 31q^4 + 24q^3 + 15q^2 + 7q + 12)x^5\partial^5 + 6x^3\partial^3 + 24
\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$. We can use SINGULAR to obtain all of its factorizations in the following way.

```

LIB "ncfactor.lib";
ring R = (0,q), (x,d), dp;
def r = nc_algebra (q,1);
setring(r);
poly h = ... //See the polynomial defined above.
homogfacFirstQWeyl_all(h);
[1]:
  [1]:
    1
  [2]:
    x5d5+x3d3+4
  [3]:
    x5d5+6
[2]:
  [1]:
    1
  [2]:
    x5d5+6
  [3]:
    x5d5+x3d3+4

```

As one can see here, the output is a list containing lists containing elements in Q_1 . Those elements in Q_1 are factors of h , and each list represents one possible factorization of h .

If the user is interested in just one factorization the command `homogfacFirstQWeyl` instead of `homogfacFirstQWeyl_all` can be used. The output will then be just one list containing elements in Q_1 .

The calculation was run on a on a computer with a 4-core Intel CPU (Intel® Core™i7-3520M CPU with 2.90GHz, 2 physical cores, 2 hardware threads, 32K L1[i,d], 256K L2, 4MB L3 cache), 16GB RAM and Ubuntu 12.04LTS as operating system. The computation time was 0.62 seconds.

Remark 3.2. The factorization of products of homogeneous elements in A_1 can be observed to be faster than the factorization of the same products in Q_1 . The element in the example above, i.e. $(x^5\partial^5 + 6)(x^5\partial^5 + x^3\partial^3 + 4)$, viewed as an element in A_1 , takes 0.08s to factorize compared to 0.62s in the q -Weyl case. This seems to be way slower considering that both algorithms have the same complexity. But this slowdown is not due to more steps that need to be done in the algorithm for the q -Weyl algebra, but due to the parameter q and the speed of calculating in $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ as the basefield instead of just in \mathbb{Q} . The internal arithmetic in SINGULAR to handle parametrized basefields is being improved by the SINGULAR team.

In fact, there is no computer algebra system known to the authors that can factor polynomials in the first q -Weyl algebra Q_1 . Therefore, we cannot compare our algorithms in this case to other implementations.

For the first Weyl algebra A_1 , there exist other implementations. We can draw a comparison to the `DFactor` method in the `DETools` package of MAPLE and the `nc_factorize_all` method in the `NCPoly` library of REDUCE. Furthermore, we were provided with a wrapper for the algorithm “Coprime Index 1 Factorizations” (`CP1F`) mentioned in van Hoeij (1997b) dealing with polynomials of the form $\mathbb{K}[x][\theta]$ in order to be able to compare it to the algorithm for this special case explicitly. This guarantees a fair evaluation on a core level for an intersection with homogeneous polynomials that does not invoke the complete factorization machinery implemented in `DFactor`.

In the next subsection, we will only compare `DFactor` and `nc_factorize_all` to our implementation. Later on, we will compare the wrapper of `CP1F` implemented in MAPLE to our implementation, as we have to choose for the comparison a special set of polynomials, namely the homogeneous ones supported by `CP1F`.

3.1 Comparison to `DFactor` and `nc_factorize_all`

We used version 17 of MAPLE and version 3.8 of REDUCE. In order to make our benchmarks reproducible, we utilized the SDEVAL framework presented in Heinle et al. (2013). You can download the sources and the results of the computations on one of the author’s website: https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/~aheinle/software_projects.html.

Remark 3.3. As mentioned before, the algorithm `DFactor` implemented in MAPLE factorizes over the rational Weyl algebra, i.e. the variable x is a rational argument having adjusted commutation rules with ∂ . This is a weaker assumption on the input since the ring that is dealt with there is larger. The comparison is still valid, since we have shown in Theorem 2.14 that a factorization of a homogeneous polynomial into irreducible elements over A_1 cannot be further refined in the first Weyl algebra with rational coefficients.

We will not go into detail about how the algorithm in MAPLE works. The interested reader can find details in van Hoeij (1997a). It works with collections of exponential parts and their multiplicities at all singularities of a given differential operator f and subsequent calculation of left and right hand factors.

The algorithm implemented in REDUCE is also working with the polynomial Weyl algebra. In fact, the algorithm written there can be applied to a broad class of polynomial noncommutative rings.

Details about the functionality of the algorithm in REDUCE are unfortunately not available. One can only try to understand it from the code that is given open source. It uses several Gröbner basis computations in order to find its solutions.

Example 3.4. Consider again the element

$$h := (x^5 \partial^5 + 6) \cdot (x^5 \partial^5 + x^3 \partial^3 + 4)$$

in expanded form.

- SINGULAR: Found two factorizations in less than a second.
- MAPLE: Found one factorization after 29 seconds; The factors are huge (size of the output file is around 100KB).
- REDUCE: Did not terminate after 9 hours of calculation.

Example 3.5. We experimented with other randomly generated products of two homogeneous polynomials in the first Weyl algebra. The results are listed in the next table. An entry labeled with “– NT –” stands for “no termination after two hours”.

Singular	Maple	REDUCE
$(x^{10}\partial^{10} + 5x\partial + 7) \cdot x^2 \cdot (x^{11}\partial^{11} + 3x^7\partial^7 + x\partial + 4)$:		
0.08s; 12 factorizations	- NT -	SEGMENTATION FAULT
$(x^5\partial^5 + 6) \cdot (x^5\partial^5 + x^3\partial^3 + 4) \cdot \partial^{10}$:		
0.77s; 132 factorizations	11.18s; 1 factorizations	- NT -
$(5x^{10}\partial^{10} + 7x^9\partial^9 + 8x^8\partial^8 + 9x^7\partial^7 + 6x^6\partial^6 + 5x^5\partial^5 + 8x^4\partial^4 + 5x^3\partial^3 + 9x^2\partial^2 + 9x\partial + 6) \cdot \partial^{20}$:		
0.18s; 21 factorizations	- NT -	- NT -
$(7x^{15}\partial^{15} + x^{13}\partial^{13} - x^{12}\partial^{12} - 3x^{10}\partial^{10} + 2x^9\partial^9 + x^8\partial^8 + x^7\partial^7 - x^5\partial^5 - 9x^4\partial^4 + x\partial - 1) \cdot (8x^{13}\partial^{13} + 3x^{12}\partial^{12} + x^{11}\partial^{11} - 2x^{10}\partial^{10} + 10x^8\partial^8 - 3x^7\partial^7 + 2x^5\partial^5 + x^4\partial^4 + 38x\partial + 1) \cdot \partial^6$:		
5.88s; 504 factorizations	- NT -	- NT -
$(x^{10}\partial^{10} + 23x^9\partial^9 + 3x^8\partial^8 - 9x^7\partial^7 - x^5\partial^5 + 3x^4\partial^4 + 6x^3\partial^3 + 4x\partial + 1) \cdot (-x^8\partial^8 + 4x^7\partial^7 - x^6\partial^6 + 4x^5\partial^5 - 5x^4\partial^4 + x^2\partial^2 - 7x\partial - 10) \cdot x^{10}$:		
0.76s; 132 factorizations	- NT -	- NT -
$(-2x^{24}\partial^{24} + x^{23}\partial^{23} + 4x^{22}\partial^{22} - 110x^{21}\partial^{21} + x^{20}\partial^{20} + x^{19}\partial^{19} + x^{18}\partial^{18} + x^{17}\partial^{17} + 5x^{16}\partial^{16} - 7x^{15}\partial^{15} + 4x^{14}\partial^{14} - x^{13}\partial^{13} + x^{12}\partial^{12} - 2x^{11}\partial^{11} + x^9\partial^9 + 5x^8\partial^8 + x^7\partial^7 + 6x^5\partial^5 + x^4\partial^4 + 2x^3\partial^3 + 219x^2\partial^2 + x\partial - 1) \cdot (-x^{25}\partial^{25} + x^{24}\partial^{24} - 32x^{23}\partial^{23} + x^{22}\partial^{22} + 7x^{21}\partial^{21} + 61x^{20}\partial^{20} - 2x^{18}\partial^{18} + x^{16}\partial^{16} + 2x^{15}\partial^{15} - 2x^{14}\partial^{14} - x^{12}\partial^{12} - 3x^{11}\partial^{11} + 2x^{10}\partial^{10} + 2x^8\partial^8 - 9x^7\partial^7 - x^6\partial^6 + x^5\partial^5 + 4x^3\partial^3 + x^2\partial^2)$:		
28.23s; 230 factorizations	- NT -	- NT -
$(x^{10}\partial^{10} + 13x^9\partial^9 - x^8\partial^8 + 4x^7\partial^7 + 13x^6\partial^6 - 3x^5\partial^5 - 37x^4\partial^4 - x^3\partial^3 + x^2\partial^2 + x\partial - 1) \cdot (-x^{10}\partial^{10} - 23x^9\partial^9 + 3x^8\partial^8 + x^7\partial^7 - x^6\partial^6 - 2x^5\partial^5 - 2x^4\partial^4 + 2x^3\partial^3 - x^2\partial^2 - 2x\partial - 2)$:		
0.06s; 6 factorizations	- NT -	- NT -
$(98x^{15}\partial^{15} + 40x^{14}\partial^{14} + 98x^{13}\partial^{13} + 44x^{12}\partial^{12} + 55x^{11}\partial^{11} + 96x^{10}\partial^{10} + 95x^9\partial^9 + 7x^8\partial^8 + 56x^7\partial^7 + 56x^6\partial^6 + 40x^5\partial^5 + 11x^4\partial^4 + 40x^3\partial^3 + 78x^2\partial^2 + 13x\partial + 19) \cdot (61x^{15}\partial^{15} + 50x^{14}\partial^{14} + 83x^{13}\partial^{13} + 11x^{12}\partial^{12} + 89x^{11}\partial^{11} + 55x^{10}\partial^{10} + 81x^9\partial^9 + 63x^8\partial^8 + 22x^7\partial^7 + 10x^6\partial^6 + 35x^5\partial^5 + 90x^4\partial^4 + 60x^3\partial^3 + 20x^2\partial^2 + 30x\partial + 43)$:		
0.08s; 2 factorizations	-NT -	- NT -
$(85x^{20}\partial^{20} + 80x^{19}\partial^{19} + 27x^{18}\partial^{18} + 74x^{17}\partial^{17} + 49x^{16}\partial^{16} + 95x^{15}\partial^{15} + 96x^{14}\partial^{14} + 37x^{13}\partial^{13} + 26x^{12}\partial^{12} + 93x^{11}\partial^{11} + 39x^{10}\partial^{10} + 19x^9\partial^9 + 48x^8\partial^8 + 82x^7\partial^7 + 26x^6\partial^6 + 26x^5\partial^5 + 7x^4\partial^4 + 61x^3\partial^3 + 8x^2\partial^2 + 81x\partial + 88)^2$:		
0.08s; 1 factorizations	- NT -	- NT -

The conclusion we can draw at this point is: Even if homogeneous polynomials seem to be easy objects to factorize according to the algorithm we propose, they seem to form a worst case class for the implementations in REDUCE and MAPLE.

Therefore, with our algorithm we are now able to factorize more polynomials using computer algebra systems: homogeneous polynomials in Q_1 in general, and for A_1 we have broadened the range of polynomials that can be factorized in a feasible amount of time or even sometimes at all.

Moreover, our approach can be used to enhance existing algorithms and their implementations. Namely, since checking a given polynomial for the homogeneity is a very cheap procedure as we have seen in Lemma 1.11, and since for the case of a homogeneous polynomial our proposed algorithm can be applied, the algorithm for the case of homogeneous polynomials – appearing, for instance, as factors of a bigger polynomial – can be eliminated from further computations.

3.2 Comparison to CP1F

As indicated before, we were provided a wrapper to the function implemented in MAPLE that represents CP1F, whose supported input polynomials are of the form $\mathbb{K}[x][\theta]$. Hence, there is a nontrivial intersection with homogeneous polynomials in A_1 . Comparing it to the implementation of our Algorithm 1 on homogeneous polynomials of θ -degree between 20 and 400, we obtain the following timings.

Example	Algorithm 1	CP1F
Degree 20	0.04s	0.17s
Degree 40	0.07s	0.61s
Degree 60	0.11s	1.66s
Degree 100	0.26s	6.39s
Degree 200	2.03s	296.78s
Degree 250	2.86s	454.17s
Degree 300	5.9s	370.49s
Degree 350	8.78s	1741.53s
Degree 400	14.62s	4355.32s


We can derive from this table that for small degrees, the timings are close to each other. With increasing degree though, the difference in performance becomes more visible, and one observes also different asymptotic behaviours, as Figure 1 visualizes.

4 Conclusion and Future Work

With this paper, we contributed an algorithm for the factorization problem considering $[-1, 1]$ -homogeneous polynomials in the first q -Weyl algebra over an arbitrary field \mathbb{K} . For computable fields, we discussed a complexity estimate for our approach. Our approach is implemented and distributed with the computer algebra system SINGULAR.

Furthermore, we also considered the special case of the first Weyl algebra and showed that our algorithm beats for the large class of $[-1, 1]$ -homogeneous polynomials current implementations in terms of speed and elegance of the solutions. Due to Theorem 2.14, we can even state that the factorizations that

Fig. 1. Visualization of asymptotic behaviour of CP1F and Algorithm 1



our algorithm finds cannot be further refined when factoring over the rational Weyl algebra. This result is interesting by itself and could play a role for future research on the question how to characterize arbitrary irreducible elements in the polynomial first Weyl algebra, that become reducible after localization.

We can construct a family of polynomials where the implementation in SINGULAR is the only one that is able to factorize those elements in a feasible amount of time and memory consumption. As our techniques are easy to implement, they can be used to extend existing implementations in order to broaden the range of polynomials in the first Weyl algebra that we are nowadays able to factorize using a computer algebra system.

The canonical next step would be to factor general polynomials in the first (q -)Weyl algebra. A first attempt to that was done in Heinle (2010). We made highly use of our knowledge about the grading of the first Weyl algebra. The approach has been almost completely of combinatorial nature. Its speed and quality of solutions was comparable in many cases to the other implementations, but there was still space for improvement. This improvement has been made in Heinle (2012). `ncfactor.lib` is distributed with SINGULAR since version 3-1-3, and the improved version is available since version 3-1-6. Recently, we generalized our ideas from this paper to the n th polynomial Weyl algebra, where we are now able to factorize general polynomials, as one can see in Giesbrecht et al. (2014).

As another future work, we plan to generalize Theorem 2.14 to homogeneous polynomials in the n th Weyl algebra. Moreover, a generalization of our complexity estimates for factoring homogeneous polynomials in the first (q -)Weyl algebra to the n th (q -)Weyl algebra is planned.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank to Dima Grigoriev for discussions on the subject, and Mark van Hoeij for his expert opinion. Many thanks to Mark Giesbrecht for his helpful suggestions and comments. We are grateful to Wolfram Koepf and Martin Lee for providing us with interesting examples, and to Daniel Rettstadt and Johannes Hoffmann for stimulating exchange of opinions.

We acknowledge the helpful suggestions and comments of the anonymous referees.

References

- J. A. Beachy and W. D. Blair. *Abstract algebra*. Waveland Press, 2006.
- J. P. Bell, A. Heinle and V. Levandovskyy. On Noncommutative Finite Factorization Domains. To appear in the *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*; *ArXiv preprint 1410.6178*, 2014. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.6178>
- R. Beals and E. Kartashova. Constructively factoring linear partial differential operators in two variables. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 145(2):1511–1524, 2005. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11232-005-0178-7>.
- J. Bueso, J. Gómez-Torrecillas, and A. Verschoren. *Algorithmic methods in non-commutative algebra. Applications to quantum groups*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.
- A. L. Chistov. Algorithm of polynomial complexity for factoring polynomials and finding the components of varieties in subexponential time. *Journal of Soviet Mathematics*, 34(4):1838–1882, 1986.
- W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann. SINGULAR 3-1-6 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. 2012. URL <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>.
- M. Foupouagnigni, W. Koepf, and A. Ronveaux. Factorization of fourth-order differential equations for perturbed classical orthogonal polynomials. *Journal of computational and applied mathematics*, 162(2):299–326, 2004.
- M. Giesbrecht. Factoring in skew-polynomial rings over finite fields. In *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 26.4, pages 463–486, 1998, Elsevier.
- M. Giesbrecht, A. Heinle, and V. Levandovskyy. Factoring linear differential operators in n variables. In *Proceedings of the 39th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, ISSAC '14, pages 194–201, New York, NY, USA, 2014. ACM. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2608628.2608667>. Note: The extended version of the paper has been accepted to publication in the *Journal of Symbolic Computation*.
- M. Giesbrecht and Y. Zhang. Factoring and Decomposing Ore Polynomials over $F_q(T)$. In *Proceedings of the 2003 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, ISSAC '03, pages 127–134, New York, NY, USA, 2003. ACM. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/860854.860888>.

- G.-M. Greuel and G. Pfister. *A Singular introduction to commutative algebra. With contributions by Olaf Bachmann, Christoph Lossen and Hans Schönemann. 2nd extended ed.* Berlin: Springer, 2007.
- G.-M. Greuel, V. Levandovskyy, A. Motsak, and H. Schönemann. PLURAL. A SINGULAR 3.1 Subsystem for Computations with Non-commutative Polynomial Algebras. Centre for Computer Algebra, TU Kaiserslautern, 2010. URL <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>.
- D. Grigoriev. Factoring polynomials over a finite field and solving systems of algebraic equations. *Zapiski Nauchnykh Seminarov POMI*, 137:20–79, 1984.
- D. Grigoriev and F. Schwarz. Factoring and solving linear partial differential equations. *Computing*, 73(2):179–197, 2004. DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00607-004-0073-3>.
- A. Heinle. Factorization of polynomials in a class of noncommutative algebras. Bachelor Thesis at RWTH Aachen University, April 2010. URL <https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/~aheinle/bachelorthesis.pdf>
- A. Heinle. Factorization, similarity and matrix normal forms over certain ore domains. Master’s Thesis at RWTH Aachen University, September 2012. <https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/~aheinle/masterthesis.pdf>
- A. Heinle, V. Levandovskyy and A. Nareike. SymbolicData:SDEval - Benchmarking for Everyone. *ArXiv preprint 1310.5551*, 2013. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.5551>
- V. Kac and P. Cheung. *Quantum calculus*. New York, NY: Springer, 2002.
- E. Kaltofen. *On the complexity of factoring polynomials with integer coefficients*. PhD thesis, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, 1982.
- M. Kashiwara. Vanishing cycle sheaves and holonomic systems of differential equations. In *Algebraic geometry*, pages 134–142. Springer, 1983.
- A. K. Lenstra, H. W. Lenstra, and L. Lovász. Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients. *Mathematische Annalen*, 261(4):515–534, 1982.
- A. Loewy. Über reduzible lineare homogene Differentialgleichungen. *Math. Ann.*, 56:549–584, 1903. DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01444307>.
- A. Loewy. Über vollständig reduzible lineare homogene Differentialgleichungen. *Math. Ann.*, 62:89–117, 1906. DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01448417>.
- B. Malgrange. Polynômes de Bernstein-Sato et cohomologie evanescente. *Astérisque*, 101-102:243–267, 1983.
- H. Melenk and J. Apel. *REDUCE package NCPOLY: Computation in non-commutative polynomial ideals*. Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum Berlin (ZIB), 1994.
- M. B. Monagan, K. O. Geddes, K. M. Heal, G. Labahn, S. M. Vorkoetter, J. McCarron, and P. DeMarco. *Maple Introductory Programming Guide*. Maplesoft, Waterloo ON, Canada, 2008.
- A. F. Nikiforov and V. B. Uvarov. Special functions of mathematical physics: a unified introduction with applications. 1988.
- M. Saito, B. Sturmfels, and N. Takayama. *Gröbner deformations of hypergeometric differential equations*. Berlin: Springer, 2000.
- F. Schwarz. Alltypes in the web. *ACM Commun. Comput. Algebra*, 42(3):185–187, February 2009. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1504347.1504379>.

- E. Shemyakova. Parametric factorizations of second-, third- and fourth-order linear partial differential operators with a completely factorable symbol on the plane. *Mathematics in Computer Science*, 1(2):225–237, 2007.
- E. Shemyakova. Multiple factorizations of bivariate linear partial differential operators. In *Proc. CASC 2009*, pages 299–309. Springer, 2009.
- E. Shemyakova. Refinement of two-factor factorizations of a linear partial differential operator of arbitrary order and dimension. *Mathematics in Computer Science*, 4:223–230, 2010. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11786-010-0052-3>.
- S. P. Tsarev. Problems that appear during factorization of ordinary linear differential operators. *Program. Comput. Softw.*, 20(1):27–29, 1994.
- S. P. Tsarev. An algorithm for complete enumeration of all factorizations of a linear ordinary differential operator. In *Proceedings of the 1996 international symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, ISSAC’96, pages 226–231. ACM Press, 1996.
- M. van Hoeij. *Factorization of linear differential operators*. Nijmegen, 1996. URL <http://books.google.de/books?id=rEmjPgAACAAJ>.
- M. van Hoeij. Factorization of differential operators with rational functions coefficients. *J. Symb. Comput.*, 24(5):537–561, 1997a. DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jsc.1997.0151>.
- M. van Hoeij. Formal solutions and factorization of differential operators with power series coefficients. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 24(1):1–30, 1997b. DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jsc.1997.0110>.
- M. van Hoeij and Q. Yuan. Finding all Bessel type solutions for linear differential equations with rational function coefficients. In *Proceedings of the 2010 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, ISSAC ’10, pages 37–44, New York, NY, USA. ACM Press, 2010. DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1837934.1837948>.
- J. von zur Gathen and J. Gerhard. *Modern computer algebra*. Cambridge University Press, 2013.