

PARTIAL SYMMETRY BREAKING AND HETEROCLINIC TANGENCIES

ISABEL S. LABOURIAU AND ALEXANDRE A. P. RODRIGUES

ABSTRACT. We study some global aspects of the bifurcation of an equivariant family of volume-contracting vector fields on the three-dimensional sphere. When part of the symmetry is broken, the vector fields exhibit Bykov cycles. Close to the symmetry, we investigate the mechanism of the emergence of heteroclinic tangencies coexisting with transverse connections. We find persistent suspended horseshoes accompanied by attracting periodic trajectories with long periods.

1. INTRODUCTION

Heteroclinic cycles and networks associated to equilibria, periodic solutions and chaotic sets may be responsible for intermittent dynamics in nonlinear systems. Heteroclinic cycles may also be seen as the skeleton for the understanding of complicated switching between physical states – see Field [10], Golubitsky and Stewart [11] and Melbourne *et al* [19].

The homoclinic cycle associated to a saddle-focus [14] provides one of the main examples for the occurrence of chaos involving suspended hyperbolic horseshoes and strange attractors; the complexity of the dynamics near these cycles has been discovered by the pionner L. P. Shilnikov [27, 28, 30]. The simplest heteroclinic cycles between two saddle-foci of different Morse indices where one heteroclinic connection is structurally stable and the other is not have been first studied by Bykov [8] and are thus called *Bykov cycles*. Recently there has been a renewal of interest of this type of heteroclinic bifurcation in different contexts – see [14, 17, 24] and references therein. We also refer Lamb *et al* [18] who have studied Bykov cycles in the context of reversible systems.

Explicit examples of vector fields for which such cycles may be found are reported in Aguiar *et al* [3] and Rodrigues and Labouriau [25]. These examples start with a differential equation with symmetry, $\dot{x} = f_0(x)$ whose flow has a globally attracting three-dimensional sphere, containing an asymptotically stable heteroclinic network with two saddle-foci. When part of the symmetry is destroyed by a small non-equivariant perturbation, it may be shown by the Melnikov method that the two-dimensional invariant manifolds intersect transversely. When some symmetry remains, the connection of the one-dimensional manifolds is preserved, giving rise to Bykov cycles forming a network.

The main goal of this article is to describe and characterize the transition from the dynamics of the flow of the fully symmetric system $\dot{x} = f_0(x)$ and the perturbed system $\dot{x} = f_\lambda(x)$, for small $\lambda \neq 0$. For $\dot{x} = f_0(x)$, there is a heteroclinic network Σ^0 whose basin of attraction has positive Lebesgue measure. When $\lambda \neq 0$, the intersection of the invariant manifolds is transverse giving rise to a network Σ^* that cannot be removed by any small smooth perturbation. The transverse intersection implies that the set of all trajectories that lie for all time in a small neighbourhood of Σ^* has a locally-maximal hyperbolic set, admitting a complete description in terms of symbolic dynamics [29]. Labouriau and Rodrigues [17] proved that for the perturbed system $\dot{x} = f_\lambda(x)$, the flow contains a Bykov network Σ^* and uniformly hyperbolic horseshoes accumulating on it.

Suppose the fully symmetric network Σ^0 is asymptotically stable and let V^0 be a neighbourhood of Σ^0 whose closure is compact and positively flow-invariant; hence it contains the ω -limit sets of all its trajectories. The union of these limit sets is a maximal invariant set in V^0 . For $\dot{x} = f_0(x)$, this union is simply the network Σ_0 . For symmetry-breaking perturbations of f_0 it contains, but does not coincide with, a nonwandering set $\Omega_\lambda(\Sigma^*)$ of trajectories that remain close to Σ^* , the suspension of horseshoes

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 37C29; Secondary: 34C28, 37C27, 37C20.

Key words and phrases. Bykov cycle, symmetry breaking, bifurcation, tangencies, non-hyperbolic dynamics.

CMUP is supported by the European Regional Development Fund through the programme COMPETE and by the Portuguese Government through the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) under the project PEst-C/MAT/UI0144/2011. A.A.P. Rodrigues was supported by the grant SFRH/BPD/84709/2012 of FCT.

accumulating on Σ^* . The goal of this article is to investigate the larger limit set that contains nontrivial hyperbolic subsets and attracting limit cycles with long periods in V^0 . This is what Gonchenko *et al* [12] call a *strange attractor*: an attracting limit set containing nontrivial hyperbolic subsets as well as attracting periodic solutions of extremely long periods.

When $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the horseshoes in $\Omega_\lambda(\Sigma^*)$ lose hyperbolicity giving rise to *heteroclinic tangencies* with infinitely many sinks nearby. A classical problem in this context is the study of heteroclinic bifurcations that lead to the birth of stable periodic sinks – see Afraimovich and Shilnikov [1] and Newhouse [21, 22]. When we deal with a heteroclinic tangency of the invariant manifolds, the description of all solutions that lie near the cycle for all time becomes more difficult. The problem of a *complete description* is unsolvable: the source of the difficulty is that arbitrarily small perturbations of any differential equation with a quadratic homo/heteroclinic tangency (the simplest situation) may lead to the creation of new tangencies of higher order, and to the birth of degenerate periodic orbits — Gonchenko [13].

Large-scale invariant sets of planar Poincaré maps vary discontinuously in size under small perturbations. Global bifurcations of observable sets, such as the emergence of attractors or metamorphoses of their basin boundaries, are easily detected numerically and regularly described. However, in the example described in [25], the global bifurcation from a neighbourhood of Σ^* to V^0 is still a big mystery. The present paper contributes to a better understanding of the transition between uniform hyperbolicity (Smale horseshoes with infinitely many slabs) and the emergence of heteroclinic tangencies in a dissipative system close the symmetry.

Framework of the paper. This paper is organised as follows. In section 3 we state our main result and review some of our recent results related to the object of study, after some basic definitions given in section 2. The coordinates and other notation used in the rest of the article are presented in section 4, where we also obtain a geometrical description of the way the flow transforms a curve of initial conditions lying across the stable manifold of an equilibrium. In section 5, we investigate the limit set that contains nontrivial hyperbolic subsets and we explain how the horseshoes in $\Omega_\lambda(\Sigma^*)$ lose hyperbolicity, as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. The first obstacle towards hyperbolicity is the emergence of tangencies and the existence of thick suspended Cantor sets near the network. In section 6, we prove that there is a sequence of parameter values λ_i accumulating on 0 such that the flow of f_{λ_i} has heteroclinic tangencies and thus infinitely many attracting periodic trajectories. We include in section 7 a short conclusion about the results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let f be a C^1 vector field on \mathbf{R}^n with flow given by the unique solution $x(t) = \varphi(t, x_0) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ of $\dot{x} = f(x)$ and $x(0) = x_0$. Given two equilibria p and q , an m -dimensional *heteroclinic connection* from p to q , denoted $[p \rightarrow q]$, is an m -dimensional connected flow-invariant manifold contained in $W^u(p) \cap W^s(q)$. There may be more than one trajectory connecting p and q .

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{p_j : j \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}$ be a finite ordered set of mutually disjoint invariant saddles. Following Field [10], we say that there is a *heteroclinic cycle* associated to \mathcal{S} if

$$\forall j \in \{1, \dots, k\}, W^u(p_j) \cap W^s(p_{j+1}) \neq \emptyset \pmod{k}.$$

Sometimes we refer to the equilibria defining the heteroclinic cycle as *nodes*. A *heteroclinic network* is a finite connected union of heteroclinic cycles. Throughout this article, all nodes will be hyperbolic; the dimension of the local unstable manifold of an equilibria p will be called the *Morse index* of p .

In a three-dimensional manifold, a *Bykov cycle* is a heteroclinic cycle associated to two hyperbolic saddle-foci with different Morse indices, in which the one-dimensional manifolds coincide and the two-dimensional invariant manifolds have a transverse intersection. It arises as a bifurcation of codimension 2 and it is also called by *T-point*.

Let μ denote a measure on a smooth manifold M locally equivalent to the Lebesgue measure on charts. Given $x \in M$, let

$$\omega(x) = \bigcap_{T>0} \overline{\{\varphi(t, x) : t \geq T\}}$$

denote the ω -limit set of the solution through x . If $X \subset M$ is a compact and flow-invariant subset, we let $\mathcal{B}(X) = \{x \in M : \omega(x) \subset X\}$ denote the *basin of attraction* of X . A compact invariant subset X of M is a *Milnor attractor* if $\mu(\mathcal{B}(X)) > 0$ and for any proper compact invariant subset Y of X , $\mu(\mathcal{B}(X) \setminus \mathcal{B}(Y)) > 0$.




FIGURE 1. There are two different possibilities for the geometry of the flow around a Bykov cycle depending on the direction trajectories turn around the heteroclinic connection $[\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{w}]$. We assume here that all trajectories turn in the same direction near \mathbf{v} and near \mathbf{w} as drawn on the left (a). When the endpoints of the trajectory are joined, the closed curve is linked to the cycle. The case where trajectories turn in opposite directions around the connection is shown in (b). In this case, when the endpoints of the trajectory are joined, the closed curve may not be linked to the cycle.

3. THE OBJECT OF STUDY

3.1. The organising centre. The starting point of the analysis is a differential equation $\dot{x} = f_0(x)$ on the unit sphere $\mathbf{S}^3 = \{X = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbf{R}^4 : \|X\| = 1\}$ where $f_0 : \mathbf{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{TS}^3$ is a C^1 vector field with the following properties:

(P1) The vector field f_0 is equivariant under the action of $\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$ on \mathbf{S}^3 induced by the two linear maps on \mathbf{R}^4 :

$$\gamma_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (-x_1, -x_2, x_3, x_4) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (x_1, x_2, -x_3, x_4).$$

(P2) The set $\text{Fix}(\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2) = \{x \in \mathbf{S}^3 : \gamma_1 x = \gamma_2 x = x\}$ consists of two equilibria $\mathbf{v} = (0, 0, 0, 1)$ and $\mathbf{w} = (0, 0, 0, -1)$ that are hyperbolic saddle-foci, where:

- the eigenvalues of $df_0(\mathbf{v})$ are $-C_{\mathbf{v}} \pm \alpha_{\mathbf{v}}i$ and $E_{\mathbf{v}}$ with $\alpha_{\mathbf{v}} \neq 0$, $C_{\mathbf{v}} > E_{\mathbf{v}} > 0$
- the eigenvalues of $df_0(\mathbf{w})$ are $E_{\mathbf{w}} \pm \alpha_{\mathbf{w}}i$ and $-C_{\mathbf{w}}$ with $\alpha_{\mathbf{w}} \neq 0$, $C_{\mathbf{w}} > E_{\mathbf{w}} > 0$.

(P3) The flow-invariant circle $\text{Fix}(\langle \gamma_1 \rangle) = \{x \in \mathbf{S}^3 : \gamma_1 x = x\}$ consists of the two equilibria \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} , a source and a sink, respectively, and two heteroclinic trajectories from \mathbf{v} to \mathbf{w} that we denote by $[\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{w}]$.

(P4) The f_0 -invariant sphere $\text{Fix}(\langle \gamma_2 \rangle) = \{x \in \mathbf{S}^3 : \gamma_2 x = x\}$ consists of the two equilibria \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} , and a two-dimensional heteroclinic connection from \mathbf{w} to \mathbf{v} . Together with the connections in (P3) this forms a heteroclinic network that we denote by Σ^0 .

(P5) For sufficiently small open neighbourhoods V and W of \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} , respectively, given any trajectory φ going once from V to W , if one joins the starting point of φ in ∂V to the end point in ∂W by a line segment, one obtains a closed curve that is linked to Σ^0 (figure 1).

Condition (P5) means that the curve φ and the cycle Σ^0 cannot be separated by an isotopy. This property is persistent under perturbations: if it holds for the organising centre f_0 , then it is still valid for vector fields near it, as long as the heteroclinic connection remains. An explicit example of a family of differential equations where this assumption is valid is constructed in Rodrigues and Labouriau [25].

3.2. The heteroclinic network of the organising centre. The heteroclinic connections in the network Σ^0 are contained in fixed point subspaces satisfying the hypothesis (H1) of Krupa and Melbourne [16]. Since the inequality $C_{\mathbf{v}}C_{\mathbf{w}} > E_{\mathbf{v}}E_{\mathbf{w}}$ holds, the Krupa and Melbourne stability criterion [16] may be applied to Σ^0 and we have:

Proposition 1. *Under conditions (P1)–(P4) the heteroclinic network Σ^0 is asymptotically stable.*

In particular, we obtain:

Corollary 2. *The basin of attraction of the heteroclinic network Σ^0 whose vector field satisfies (P1)–(P4) has positive Lebesgue measure.*

Proposition 1 implies that there exists an open neighbourhood V^0 of the network Σ^0 such that every trajectory starting in V^0 remains in it for all positive time and is forward asymptotic to the network. The neighbourhood may be taken to have its boundary transverse to the vector field f_0 .

The fixed point hyperplane defined by $Fix(\langle\gamma_2\rangle) = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbf{S}^3 : x_3 = 0\}$ divides \mathbf{S}^3 in two flow-invariant connected components, preventing jumps between the two cycles in Σ^0 . Due to the $\langle\gamma_2\rangle$ -symmetry, trajectories whose initial condition lies outside the invariant subspaces will approach one of the cycles in positive time. Successive visits to both cycles require breaking this symmetry.

3.3. Bykov cycles. Since \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} are hyperbolic equilibria, then any vector field close to it in the C^1 topology still has two equilibria \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} with eigenvalues satisfying (P2). The dimensions of the local stable and unstable manifolds of \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} do not change. If we retain the symmetry γ_1 , then the one-dimensional connection of (P3) remains, as it takes place in the flow-invariant circle $Fix(\langle\gamma_1\rangle)$, but generically when the symmetry γ_2 is broken, the two dimensional heteroclinic connection is destroyed, since the fixed point subset $Fix(\mathbf{Z}_2(\langle\gamma_2\rangle))$ is no longer flow-invariant. Generically, the invariant two-dimensional manifolds meet transversely at two trajectories, for small $\langle\gamma_1\rangle$ -equivariant perturbations of the vector field. We denote these heteroclinic connections by $[\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}]$. This gives rise to a network Σ^* consisting of four copies of the simplest heteroclinic cycle between two saddle-foci of different Morse indices, where one heteroclinic connection is structurally stable and the other is not. This cycle is called a *Bykov cycle*. Note that the networks Σ^0 and Σ^* are not of the same nature.

Transversality ensures that the neighbourhood V^0 is still positively invariant for vector fields C^1 close to f_0 and contains the network Σ^* . Since the closure of V^0 is compact and positively invariant it contains the ω -limit sets of all its trajectories. The union of these limit sets is a maximal invariant set in V^0 . For f_0 , this is the cycle Σ^0 , by Proposition 1, whereas for symmetry-breaking perturbations of f_0 it contains Σ^* but does not coincide with it. From now on, our aim is to obtain information on this invariant set.

A systematic study of the dynamics in a neighbourhood of the Bykov cycles in Σ^* was carried out in Aguiar *et al* [2], Labouriau and Rodrigues [17] and Rodrigues [24]; we proceed to review these local results. In the next section we will discuss some global aspects of the dynamics.

Given a Bykov cycle Γ involving \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} , let $U_{\mathbf{v}}$ and $U_{\mathbf{w}} \subset V^0$ be disjoint neighbourhoods of these points. Let S_p and S_q be local cross-sections of f_λ at two points p and q in the connections $[\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{w}]$ and $[\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}]$, respectively, with $p, q \notin U_{\mathbf{v}} \cup U_{\mathbf{w}}$. Saturating the cross-sections by the flow, one obtains two flow-invariant tubes joining $U_{\mathbf{v}}$ and $U_{\mathbf{w}}$ containing the connections in their interior. We call the union of these tubes, $U_{\mathbf{v}}$ and $U_{\mathbf{w}}$ a *tubular neighbourhood* V^Γ of the Bykov cycle.

With these conventions we have:

Theorem 3 (Labouriau and Rodrigues[17], 2012). *If a vector field f_0 satisfies (P1)–(P5), then the following properties are satisfied generically by vector fields in an open neighbourhood of f_0 in the space of $\langle\gamma_1\rangle$ -equivariant vector fields of class C^1 on \mathbf{S}^3 :*

- (1) *there is a heteroclinic network Σ^* consisting of four Bykov cycles involving two equilibria \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} , two heteroclinic connections $[\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{w}]$ and two heteroclinic connections $[\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}]$;*
- (2) *the only heteroclinic connections from \mathbf{v} to \mathbf{w} are those in the Bykov cycles and there are no homoclinic connections;*
- (3) *any tubular neighbourhood V^Γ of a Bykov cycle Γ in Σ^* contains points not lying on Γ whose trajectories remain in V^Γ for all time;*
- (4) *any tubular neighbourhood V^Γ of a Bykov cycle Γ in Σ^* contains at least one n -pulse heteroclinic connection $[\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}]$;*
- (5) *given a cross-section $S_q \subset V^\Gamma$ at a point q in $[\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}]$, there exist sets of points such that the dynamics of the first return to S_q is uniformly hyperbolic and conjugate to a full shift over a finite number of symbols. These sets accumulate on the cycle.*

Notice that assertion (4) of Theorem 3 implies the existence of a bigger network: beyond the original transverse connections $[\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}]$, there exist infinitely many subsidiary heteroclinic connections turning around the original Bykov cycle. We will restrict our study to one cycle.

It is a folklore result that a hyperbolic invariant set of a C^2 -diffeomorphism has zero Lebesgue measure – see Bowen [7]. However, since the authors of [17] worked in the C^1 category, this chain of horseshoes

might have positive Lebesgue measure as the “fat Bowen horseshoe” described in [6]. Rodrigues [24] proved that this is not the case:

Theorem 4 (Rodrigues [24], 2013). *Let V^Γ be a tubular neighbourhood of one of the Bykov cycles Γ of Theorem 3. Then in any cross-section $S_q \subset V^\Gamma$ at a point q in $[\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}]$ the set of initial conditions in $S_q \cap V^\Gamma$ that do not leave V^Γ for all time has zero Lebesgue measure.*

It follows from Theorem 4 that the shift dynamics does not trap most trajectories in the neighbourhood of the cycle. In particular, the cycle cannot be Lyapunov stable (and therefore cannot be asymptotically stable).

One astonishing property of the heteroclinic network Σ^* is the possibility of shadowing Σ^* by the property called *switching*: any infinite sequence of pseudo-orbits defined by admissible heteroclinic connections can be shadowed, as we proceed to define.

A *path* on Σ^* is an infinite sequence $s^k = (c_j)_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$ of heteroclinic connections $c_j = [A_j \rightarrow B_j]$ in Σ^* such that $A_j, B_j \in \{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ and $B_j = A_{j+1}$. Let $U_{\mathbf{v}}, U_{\mathbf{w}} \subset V^0$ be neighbourhoods of \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} . For each heteroclinic connection in Σ^* , consider a point p on it and a small neighbourhood $U_p \subset V^0$ of p . We assume that all these neighbourhoods are pairwise disjoint.

The trajectory $\varphi(t, q)$ of $\dot{x} = f_\lambda(x)$, follows the path $s^k = (c_j)_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$ within a given set of neighbourhoods as above, if there exist two monotonically increasing sequences of times $(t_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ and $(z_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ such that for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$, we have $t_i < z_i < t_{i+1}$ and:

- $\varphi(t, q) \subset V^0$ for all $t \geq 0$;
- $\varphi(t_i, q) \in U_{A_i}$ and $\varphi(z_i, q) \in U_p$, $p \in c_i$ and
- for all $t \in (z_i, z_{i+1})$, $\varphi(t, q)$ does not visit the neighbourhood of any other node except A_{i+1} .

There is *switching* near Σ^* if for each path there is a trajectory that follows it within every set of neighbourhoods as above.

Theorem 5 (Aguiar *et al* [2], 2005). *There is switching on the network Σ^* .*

The solutions that realise switching lie for all positive time in the union of tubular neighbourhoods V^Γ of all cycles $\Gamma \subset \Sigma^*$. Hence we may adapt the proof of Theorem 4 to obtain:

Corollary 6. *The switching of Theorem 5 is realised by a set of initial conditions with zero Lebesgue measure.*

3.4. Non-hyperbolic dynamics. When the symmetry γ_2 is broken, the dynamics changes dramatically, as can be seen in the next result:

Theorem 7. *If a vector field f_0 satisfies (P1)–(P5), then in any open neighbourhood of f_0 in the space of $\langle \gamma_1 \rangle$ -equivariant vector fields of class C^1 on \mathbf{S}^3 , there are vector fields f_* whose flow has a heteroclinic tangency between $W^u(\mathbf{w})$ and $W^s(\mathbf{v})$ in V^0 .*

Theorem 7 is proved in section 6. The vector fields f_* will be obtained in a generic one-parameter unfolding f_λ of f_0 , for which we will find a sequence of λ_i converging to zero such that the flow of f_{λ_i} has the required property. When $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$, these tangencies accumulate on the transverse connections. Persistent tangencies in a dissipative diffeomorphism are related to the coexistence of infinitely many sinks and sources [21, 22]. Moreover, any parametrised family of diffeomorphisms going through a heteroclinic tangency associated to a dissipative cycle must contain a sequence of Hénon-like families [9]. Hence, for $\lambda \approx 0$, return maps to appropriate domains close to the tangency are conjugate to Hénon-like maps and thus:

Corollary 8. *Suppose a vector field f_0 satisfies (P1)–(P5). Then in the space of $\langle \gamma_1 \rangle$ -equivariant vector fields of class C^3 on \mathbf{S}^3 , there is a set \mathcal{C} of vector fields accumulating on f_0 such that all $f_\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ possess infinitely many strange (coexisting) attractors in V^0 .*

Proof. In Ovsyannikov and Shilnikov [23], it is shown that there are small perturbations of f_0 with a periodic solution as close as desired to the cycle whose stable and unstable manifolds are tangent. By Colli [9], the result follows. Note that although the statement in [9] asks for C^∞ perturbations, in [5] the coexistence of strange attractors only requires C^3 . \square




FIGURE 2. Cylindrical neighbourhoods of the saddle-foci \mathbf{w} (a) and \mathbf{v} (b).

4. LOCAL DYNAMICS NEAR THE NETWORK

In order to obtain results on $\langle \gamma_1 \rangle$ -equivariant vector fields C^1 close to f_0 we study the bifurcation of a generic one-parameter family of differential equations $\dot{x} = f_\lambda(x)$ on the unit sphere $\mathbf{S}^3 = \{X = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbf{R}^4 : \|X\| = 1\}$. The unfolding $f_\lambda : \mathbf{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{TS}^3$ of f_0 is a family of C^1 vector fields with the following properties:

(P6) For each λ the vector field f_λ is $\langle \gamma_1 \rangle$ -equivariant.

(P7) There are two equilibria \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} satisfying (P2) and (P3).

(P8) For $\lambda \neq 0$, the local two-dimensional manifolds $W^u(\mathbf{w})$ and $W^s(\mathbf{v})$ intersect transversely at two trajectories. Together with the connections in (P3) this forms a Bykov heteroclinic network that we denote by Σ^λ .

In order to describe the dynamics around the Bykov cycles, we start by introducing local coordinates near the saddle-foci \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} and we define some terminology that will be used in the rest of the paper. Since by assumption (P2) we have $C_{\mathbf{v}} \neq E_{\mathbf{v}}$ and $C_{\mathbf{w}} \neq E_{\mathbf{w}}$, then by Samovol's Theorem [26], the vector field f_λ is C^1 -conjugate to its linear part around each saddle-focus — see also Homburg and Sandstede [15] (section 3.1). In cylindrical coordinates (ρ, θ, z) the linearization at \mathbf{v} takes the form

$$\dot{\rho} = -C_{\mathbf{v}}\rho \quad \dot{\theta} = 1 \quad \dot{z} = E_{\mathbf{v}}z$$

and around \mathbf{w} it is given by:

$$\dot{\rho} = E_{\mathbf{w}}\rho \quad \dot{\theta} = 1 \quad \dot{z} = -C_{\mathbf{w}}z.$$

We consider cylindrical neighbourhoods V and W in \mathbf{S}^3 of \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} , respectively, of radius $\varepsilon > 0$ and height 2ε — see figure 2. After a linear rescaling of the local variables, we may take $\varepsilon = 1$. Their boundaries consist of three components: the cylinder wall parametrized by $x \in \mathbf{R} \pmod{2\pi}$ and $|y| \leq 1$ with the usual cover $(x, y) \mapsto (1, x, y) = (\rho, \theta, z)$ and two discs (top and bottom of the cylinder). We take polar coverings of these discs $(r, \varphi) \mapsto (r, \varphi, \pm 1) = (\rho, \theta, z)$ with $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and $\varphi \in \mathbf{R} \pmod{2\pi}$ and use the following terminology, as in figure 2:

- $In(\mathbf{v})$, the cylinder wall of V , consists of points that go inside V in positive time;
- $Out(\mathbf{v})$, the top and bottom of V , consists of points that go inside V in negative time;
- $In(\mathbf{w})$, the top and bottom of W , consists of points that go inside W in positive time;
- $Out(\mathbf{w})$, the cylinder wall of W , consists of points that go inside W in negative time.

The flow is transverse to these sets and moreover the boundaries of V and of W may be written as the closures of the disjoint unions $In(\mathbf{v}) \cup Out(\mathbf{v})$ and $In(\mathbf{w}) \cup Out(\mathbf{w})$, respectively. The trajectories of all




FIGURE 3. For λ close to zero, we are assuming that $W^s(\mathbf{v})$ intersects the wall $Out(\mathbf{w})$ of the cylinder W on a closed curve – this is the expected unfolding from the coincidence of the invariant manifolds of the equilibria.

points (x, y) in $In(\mathbf{v}) \setminus W^s(\mathbf{v})$, leave V at $Out(\mathbf{v})$ at

$$(1) \quad \Phi_{\mathbf{v}}(x, y) = \left(|y|^{\delta_{\mathbf{v}}}, -\frac{\ln |y|}{E_{\mathbf{v}}} + x \right) = (r, \phi) \quad \text{where} \quad \delta_{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{C_{\mathbf{v}}}{E_{\mathbf{v}}} > 1 .$$

Similarly, points (r, ϕ) in $In(\mathbf{w}) \setminus W^s(\mathbf{w})$, leave W at $Out(\mathbf{w})$ at

$$(2) \quad \Phi_{\mathbf{w}}(r, \phi) = \left(-\frac{\ln r}{E_{\mathbf{w}}} + \phi, r^{\delta_{\mathbf{w}}} \right) = (x, y) \quad \text{where} \quad \delta_{\mathbf{w}} = \frac{C_{\mathbf{w}}}{E_{\mathbf{w}}} > 1 .$$

We will denote by $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{v})$ the portion of $W^u(\mathbf{v})$ that goes from \mathbf{v} up to $In(\mathbf{w})$ not intersecting the interior of W . Similarly, $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v})$ is the portion of $W^s(\mathbf{v})$ outside W that goes directly from $Out(\mathbf{w})$ into \mathbf{v} , and $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w})$ and $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{w})$ connect \mathbf{w} to ∂V , not intersecting the interior of V .

The flow sends points in $Out(\mathbf{v})$ near $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{v})$ into $In(\mathbf{w})$ along the connection $[\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{w}]$. We will assume that this map $\Psi_{\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{w}}$ is the identity, this is compatible with hypothesis (P5); nevertheless all the results follow if $\Psi_{\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{w}}$ is either a uniform contraction or a uniform expansion. We make the convention that one of the connections $[\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{w}]$ links points with $y > 0$ in V to points with $y > 0$ in W . There is also a well defined transition map $\Psi_{\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}} : Out(\mathbf{w}) \rightarrow In(\mathbf{v})$ that will be discussed later.

By (P8), the manifolds $W^u(\mathbf{w})$ and $W^s(\mathbf{v})$ intersect transversely for $\lambda \neq 0$. For λ close to zero, we are assuming that $W^s(\mathbf{v})$ intersects the wall $Out(\mathbf{w})$ of the cylinder W on a closed curve represented in figure 3 by an ellipse – this is the expected unfolding from the coincidence of the invariant manifolds of the equilibria.

From the geometrical behaviour of the local transition maps (1) and (2), we need some definitions: a *segment* β on $In(\mathbf{v})$ is a smooth regular parametrized curve $\beta : [0, 1) \rightarrow In(\mathbf{v})$ that meets $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v})$ transversely at the point $\beta(1)$ only and such that, writing $\beta(s) = (x(s), y(s))$, both x and y are monotonic functions of s – see figure 9 (a).

A *spiral* on a disc D around a point $p \in D$ is a curve $\alpha : [0, 1) \rightarrow D$ satisfying $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^-} \alpha(s) = p$ and such that if $\alpha(s) = (r(s), \theta(s))$ is its expressions in polar coordinates around p then the maps r and θ are monotonic, and $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^-} |\theta(s)| = +\infty$.

Consider a cylinder C parametrized by a covering $(\theta, h) \in \mathbf{R} \times [a, b]$, with $a < b \in \mathbf{R}$ where θ is periodic. A *helix* on the cylinder C *accumulating on the circle* $h = h_0$ is a curve $\gamma : [0, 1) \rightarrow C$ such that its coordinates $(\theta(s), h(s))$ satisfy $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^-} h(s) = h_0$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^-} |\theta(s)| = +\infty$ and the maps θ and h are monotonic.

Using these definitions and the expressions (1) and (2) for $\Phi_{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ we get:

Proposition 9 (Aguiar *et al* [4], 2010). *When (P5) holds, then a segment on $In(\mathbf{v})$ is mapped by $\Phi_{\mathbf{v}}$ into a spiral on $Out(\mathbf{v})$ around $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{v}) \cap Out(\mathbf{v})$. This spiral is mapped by $\Psi_{\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{w}}$ into another spiral around $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{w}) \cap In(\mathbf{v})$, which is mapped by $\Phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ into a helix on $Out(\mathbf{w})$ accumulating on the circle $Out(\mathbf{w}) \cap W^u(\mathbf{w})$.*

5. HYPERBOLICITY

In this section we show that the hyperbolicity of Theorem 3 only holds when we restrict our attention to trajectories that remain near the cycles in the network. The construction also indicates how the geometrical content of Theorems 3, 4 and 5 is obtained.

Let $(P_{\mathbf{w}}^1, 0)$ and $(P_{\mathbf{w}}^2, 0)$ with $0 < P_{\mathbf{w}}^1 < P_{\mathbf{w}}^2 < 2\pi$ be the coordinates of the two points in $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w}) \cap W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v}) \cap Out(\mathbf{w})$ where the connections $[\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}]$ meet $Out(\mathbf{w})$, as in figure 3. Analogously, let $(P_{\mathbf{v}}^1, 0)$ and $(P_{\mathbf{v}}^2, 0)$ be the coordinates of the two corresponding points in $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w}) \cap W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v}) \cap In(\mathbf{v})$ where $[\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}]$ meets $In(\mathbf{v})$, with the convention that $(P_{\mathbf{w}}^j, 0)$ and $(P_{\mathbf{v}}^j, 0)$ are on the same trajectory for $j = 1, 2$.

For small $\lambda > 0$ we may write $W^s(\mathbf{v}) \cap Out(\mathbf{w})$ as the graph of a smooth function $y = g(x)$, with $g(P_{\mathbf{w}}^j) = 0$, $j = 1, 2$. Similarly, $W^u(\mathbf{w}) \cap In(\mathbf{v})$ is the graph of a smooth function $y = h(x)$, with $g(P_{\mathbf{v}}^j) = 0$, $j = 1, 2$. For definiteness, we number the points in the connections to have $g'(P_{\mathbf{w}}^1) > 0$. Hence $g'(P_{\mathbf{w}}^2) < 0$, and $h'(P_{\mathbf{v}}^1) < 0$, $h'(P_{\mathbf{v}}^2) > 0$.

Proposition 10. *For the first hit map $\eta = \Phi_{\mathbf{w}} \circ \Psi_{\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{w}} \circ \Phi_{\mathbf{v}} : In(\mathbf{v}) \rightarrow Out(\mathbf{w})$, we have:*

- i) *any horizontal line segment $[a, b] \times \{y_0\} \subset In(\mathbf{v})$ is mapped by η into a horizontal line segment $[c, d] \times \{y_0^\delta\} \subset Out(\mathbf{w})$, with $\delta > 1$;*
- ii) *any vertical line segment $\{x_0\} \times [0, y_0] \subset In(\mathbf{v})$ is mapped by η into a helix accumulating on the circle $Out(\mathbf{w}) \cap W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w})$;*
- iii) *given x_0 , there are positive constants $a < b \in \mathbf{R}$ and a sequence of intervals $\mathcal{I}_n = \{x_0\} \times [e^{-2n\pi/K} e^a, e^{-2n\pi/K} e^b]$ such that $\eta(\mathcal{I}_n)$ crosses $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v}) \cap Out(\mathbf{w})$ twice transversely;*
- iv) *if $K > 1$ then the intervals \mathcal{I}_n are disjoint.*

Proof. Assertion i) is immediate from the expression of $\eta = \Phi_{\mathbf{w}} \circ \Psi_{\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{w}} \circ \Phi_{\mathbf{v}}$ in coordinates:

$$\eta(x, y) = (x - K \ln y, y^\delta) \quad \text{where} \quad K = \frac{C_{\mathbf{v}} + E_{\mathbf{w}}}{E_{\mathbf{v}} E_{\mathbf{w}}} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \delta_{\mathbf{v}} \delta_{\mathbf{w}} > 1$$

and assertion ii) follows from Proposition 9.

For iii) let y_* be the maximum value of $g(x)$ and let $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $x_0 - P_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \leq \frac{K}{\delta} \ln y_* + 2m\pi$. We take $a = (x_0 - P_{\mathbf{w}}^1 - 2m\pi)/K$ and $b = (x_0 - P_{\mathbf{w}}^2 - 2m\pi)/K$. Then $a < b$, the second coordinate of $\eta(x_0, e^b)$ is less than y_* , its first coordinate is $P_{\mathbf{w}}^2 + 2m\pi$, and that of $\eta(x_0, e^a)$ is $P_{\mathbf{w}}^1 + 2m\pi$. Hence the curve $\eta(\mathcal{I}_0)$ goes across the graph of $g(x)$ that corresponds to $W^s(\mathbf{v})$ as in figure 4. The first coordinates of the end points of $\eta(\mathcal{I}_n)$ for the other intervals are $P_{\mathbf{w}}^1 + 2(m+n)\pi$ and $P_{\mathbf{w}}^2 + 2(m+n)\pi$ and their second coordinates are also less than y_* , so each curve $\eta(\mathcal{I}_n)$ also crosses the graph of $g(x)$ transversely.

If $K > 1$, and since $P_{\mathbf{w}}^1 - P_{\mathbf{w}}^2 < 2\pi < 2K\pi$, then $x_0 - P_{\mathbf{w}}^2 - 2m\pi - 2K\pi < x_0 - P_{\mathbf{w}}^1 - 2m\pi$ and hence $b - 2(n+1)\pi/K < a - 2n\pi/K$, implying that $\mathcal{I}_n \cap \mathcal{I}_{n+1} = \emptyset$. \square

We are interested in the images of rectangles in $In(\mathbf{v})$ under iteration by the first return map to $In(\mathbf{v}) \setminus W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v})$ given by $\zeta = \Psi_{\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}} \circ \eta$.




FIGURE 4. Proof of iii) in Proposition 10: the transition map η sends the intervals \mathcal{I}_n into curves that cross $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v})$ transversely.

Consider a rectangle $R_{\mathbf{v}} = [P_{\mathbf{v}}^1 - \tau, P_{\mathbf{v}}^1 + \tau] \times [\tilde{y}, \hat{y}] \subset In(\mathbf{v})$ with $\tau > 0$ small and $0 < \tilde{y} < \hat{y} < 1$. This is mapped by η into a strip whose boundary consists of two horizontal line segments and two pieces of helices. A calculation similar to that in Proposition 10 shows that there are many choices of \tilde{y} and \hat{y} for which the strip crosses $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v})$ transversely near $P_{\mathbf{w}}^1$. This strip is then mapped by $\Psi_{\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}}$ into $In(v)$ crossing $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v})$ transversely. The final strip $\zeta(R_{\mathbf{v}}) = \Psi_{\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}} \circ \eta(R_{\mathbf{v}})$ remains close to $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w})$. Hence the effect of ζ is to stretch $R_{\mathbf{v}}$ in the vertical direction and map it with the stretched direction approximately parallel to $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w})$. Since $R_{\mathbf{v}}$ has been chosen to contain a piece of $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w})$, then $\zeta(R_{\mathbf{v}})$ will cross $R_{\mathbf{v}}$. Repeating this for successive disjoint intervals $[\tilde{y}, \hat{y}]$ gives rise to horseshoes.

This is the idea of the proof of the local results of Theorem 3: in a neighbourhood of the connection point $(P_{\mathbf{v}}^1, 0)$, one finds infinitely many disjoint rectangles, each one containing a Cantor set of points whose orbits under ζ remain in the Cantor set, and hence return to a neighbourhood of $(P_{\mathbf{v}}^1, 0)$ for all future iterations. In the gaps between these rectangles one finds another set of disjoint rectangles that are first mapped by ζ into a neighbourhood of the other connection point $(P_{\mathbf{v}}^2, 0)$. Repeating the construction near the second connection one obtains the switching of Theorem 5.

It can also be shown that the first return map ζ is uniformly hyperbolic at the points in the rectangle $R_{\mathbf{v}}$, with the choices of \tilde{y}, \hat{y} above. This means that at each of these points there is a well defined contraction direction and this is the main tool in the proof of Theorem 4.

Since all this takes place inside a positively invariant neighbourhood V^0 , it would be natural to try to extend this reasoning to larger rectangles in $In(\mathbf{v})$. We finish this section explaining why this fails.

Consider a rectangle $R_{\mathbf{v}}$ as above, containing points of the Cantor set. Since η expands vertical lines, the local unstable manifolds of these points forms a lamination on $R_{\mathbf{v}}$ whose sheets are approximately vertical. Now, take a larger rectangle $\hat{R}_{\mathbf{v}}$ with $y \in [\tilde{y}, \hat{y}]$, $\hat{y} > \tilde{y}$, so as to have the maximum of the curve $y = g(x)$ lying in $\eta(\hat{R}_{\mathbf{v}})$, and increasing τ if necessary. The sheets of the lamination still follow the vertical direction in the enlarged rectangle, and their image by η is approximately a helix on $Out(\mathbf{w})$. Changing the value of the bifurcation parameter λ moves the graph $y = g(x)$ (the stable manifold of \mathbf{v}) but does not affect the map η . Hence, by varying λ we can get a sheet of the lamination tangent to $y = g(x)$, say, $W^u(x_0, y_0)$ for some point (x_0, y_0) in the Cantor set as in figure 5. This breaks the hyperbolicity, since it means that $W^u(x_0, y_0)$ is tangent to $W^s(\mathbf{v})$. This phenomenon has been studied by Gonchenko *et al.* [12] — it corresponds to a decrease in topological entropy as in figure 6. As the images of the rectangles move down, each time one of them crosses a rectangle a sequence of saddle-node bifurcations starts, together with a period-doubling cascade, as on the right hand side of figure 6.

A more rigorous construction will be made in the next section.




FIGURE 5. Near the point of maximum height of $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v}) \cap Out(\mathbf{w})$ the unstable manifold of some point is tangent to $W^s(\mathbf{v})$. By varying λ this point may be taken to be in the Cantor set of points that remain near the cycle.




FIGURE 6. When λ decreases, the Cantor set of points of the horseshoes that remain near the cycle is losing topological entropy, as the set loses hyperbolicity. This happens when the unstable manifold of some point in the Cantor set is tangent to $W^s(\mathbf{v})$.

6. HETEROCLINIC TANGENCY

In this section we show how to find values of the bifurcation parameter λ for which $W^u(\mathbf{w})$ is tangent to $W^s(\mathbf{v})$.

Proof of Theorem 7. With the notation of section 5, the two points $(P_w^1, 0)$ and $(P_w^2, 0)$ divide the closed curve $y = g(x)$ where $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v})$ intersects $Out(\mathbf{w})$ in two components, corresponding to different signs of the second coordinate. With the conventions of section 5, we get $g(x) > 0$ for $x \in (P_w^1, P_w^2)$. Then the region in $Out(\mathbf{w})$ delimited by $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v})$ and $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w})$ between P_w^1 and P_w^2 gets mapped by $\Psi_{\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}}$ into the $y < 0$ component of $In(\mathbf{v})$, while all other points in $Out(\mathbf{w})$ with positive second coordinates, are mapped into the $y > 0$ component of $In(\mathbf{v})$ as in figure 7. The maximum value of the coordinate y for the




FIGURE 7. The transition map $\Psi_{\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}}$ sends the shaded area in $Out(\mathbf{w})$, between $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v})$ and $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w})$ and with positive second coordinate, into the shaded area in $In(\mathbf{v})$ with negative second coordinate. Conventions: black line stands for $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w})$, grey line is $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v})$. The rest of the upper part of $Out(\mathbf{w})$ is mapped into the upper part of $In(\mathbf{v})$.

curve $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v}) \cap Out(\mathbf{w})$ is of the order of λ , attained at some point $(x, y) \approx (x_m, \lambda)$ with $P_{\mathbf{w}}^1 < x_m < P_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \pmod{2\pi}$.

Consider now the closed curve where $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w})$ intersects $In(\mathbf{v})$. For small values of λ this is approximately an ellipse, crossing $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v})$ at the two points $(P_{\mathbf{v}}^1, 0)$ and $(P_{\mathbf{v}}^2, 0)$, see figure 3. With the conventions of section 5, this is the graph $y = h(x)$ with $h(x) > 0$ for $x \in (P_{\mathbf{v}}^2, P_{\mathbf{v}}^1)$. In particular, the portion of this curve that lies in the upper half of $In(\mathbf{v})$, parametrised by (x, y) , $y > 0$, may be written as the union of two segments σ_1 and σ_2 that meet at the point where the coordinate y attains its maximum value on the curve. Without loss of generality, let (x_*, λ) be the coordinates in $In(\mathbf{v})$ of this point, with $P_{\mathbf{v}}^2 < x_* < P_{\mathbf{v}}^1 \pmod{2\pi}$.

By Proposition 9, the image of each segment σ_j by η is a helix on $Out(\mathbf{w})$ accumulating on $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w})$. Hence, the curve $\eta(\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2)$ is a double helix. The projection of this curve into $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w})$ is regular at all points, except for a fold at $\eta(x_*, \lambda) = (x_* - K \ln \lambda, \lambda^\delta) = (x(\lambda), y(\lambda))$, as in figure 8. As λ decreases to zero, the first coordinate $x(\lambda)$ of $\eta(x_*, \lambda)$ tends to infinity, hence the point $\eta(x_*, \lambda)$ makes infinitely many turns around the cylinder $Out(\mathbf{w})$.

On the other hand, $y(\lambda) = \lambda^\delta$ with $\delta > 1$, so $y(\lambda)$ decreases to zero faster than λ , the maximum height of the curve $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v}) \cap Out(\mathbf{w})$. Therefore, given any small $\lambda_0 > 0$, there exists a positive $\lambda_1 < \lambda_0$ such that $x(\lambda_1) = x_m$ and moreover $\eta(x_*, \lambda_1) = (x(\lambda_1), y(\lambda_1))$ lies in the region in $Out(\mathbf{w})$ between $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v})$ and $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w})$ that gets mapped into the lower part of $In(\mathbf{v})$. For $\lambda = \lambda_1$, the points on the curve $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w}) \cap In(\mathbf{v})$ close to (x_*, λ_1) are also mapped by η into the lower half of $Out(\mathbf{w})$.

Furthermore, there exists a positive $\lambda_2 < \lambda_1$ such that $P_{\mathbf{w}}^2 < x(\lambda_2) < P_{\mathbf{w}}^1 \pmod{2\pi}$ and hence $\eta(x_*, \lambda_2) = (x(\lambda_2), y(\lambda_2))$ is mapped by $\Psi_{\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}}$ into the upper part of $In(\mathbf{v})$. Again, for $\lambda = \lambda_2$, points on the curve $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w}) \cap In(\mathbf{v})$ close to (x_*, λ) return to the upper part of $Out(\mathbf{w})$.

Therefore, for some λ_* , with $\lambda_2 < \lambda_* < \lambda_1$, the image of the curve $W_{loc}^u(\mathbf{w}) \cap In(\mathbf{v})$ by the first return map to $In(\mathbf{v})$ is tangent to $W_{loc}^s(\mathbf{v}) \cap In(\mathbf{v})$, given in local coordinates by $y = 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 7 — given any $\lambda_0 > 0$ we have found a positive $\lambda_* < \lambda_0$ such that $W^u(\mathbf{w})$ is tangent to $W^w(\mathbf{v})$ for f_{λ_*} . \square

7. CONCLUSION

For the present study, we have used the symmetry γ_1 and its flow-invariant fixed-point subspace to ensure the persistence of the connections $[\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{w}]$ of one-dimensional manifolds. The symmetry is not essential for our exposition but its existence makes it more natural. In particular, the networks we describe are persistent within the class of differential equations with the prescribed symmetry.

As a global structure, the transition from in the dynamics from $\dot{x} = f_0(x)$ to $\dot{x} = f_\lambda(x)$, $\lambda \approx 0$ is intriguing and has not always attracted appropriate attention. There is a neighbourhood V^0 with positive Lebesgue measure that is positively invariant for the flow of $\dot{x} = f_\lambda(x)$. For $\lambda = 0$ all trajectories approach the network Σ^0 . For $\lambda > 0$ and for a sufficiently small tubular neighbourhood $V^\Gamma \subset V^0$ of any of the




FIGURE 8. In any open neighbourhood of f_0 in the space of $\langle \gamma_1 \rangle$ -equivariant vector fields of class C^1 on \mathbf{S}^3 , there is a sequence of vector fields f_{λ_i} accumulating of f_0 whose flow has a heteroclinic tangency between $W^u(\mathbf{w})$ and $W^s(\mathbf{v})$.

Bykov cycles in Σ^λ , almost all trajectories might return to V^Γ but they do not necessarily remain there for all future time. Trajectories that remain in V^Γ for all future time form an infinite set of suspended horseshoes with zero Lebesgue measure.

For a fixed $\lambda > 0$, when we take a larger tubular neighbourhood V^Γ of a Bykov cycle Γ , the suspended horseshoes lose hyperbolicity. While small symmetry-breaking terms generically destroy the attracting cycle Σ^0 , there will still be an attractor lying close to the original cycle. This is the main point of section 5: when local invariant manifolds are extended, they develop tangencies, which explain the attractivity. The existence of primary heteroclinic tangencies is proved in section 6.

Heteroclinic tangencies give rise to attracting periodic trajectories of large periods and small basins of attraction, appearing in large (possibly infinite) numbers. Heteroclinic tangencies also create new tangencies near them in phase space and for nearby parameter values. We know very little about the geometry of these strange attractors, we also do not know the size and the shape of their basins of attraction.

When $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the infinite number of periodic sinks lying close to the network of Bykov cycles will approach the ghost of Σ^0 . For the parameter values where we observe heteroclinic tangencies, each Bykov cycle possesses infinitely many sinks whose basins of attractions have positive three-dimensional Lebesgue measure. The attractors must lie near $\overline{W^s(\mathbf{v}) \cup W^u(\mathbf{w})}$ and they collapse into Σ^0 as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. A lot more needs to be done before the subject is well understood.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Maria Carvalho for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] V.S. Afraimovich, L.P. Shilnikov, *Strange attractors and quasiattractors*, in: G.I. Barenblatt, G. Iooss, D.D. Joseph (Eds.), *Nonlinear Dynamics and Turbulence*, Pitman, Boston, 1–51, 1983
- [2] M. Aguiar, S. B. Castro and I. S. Labouriau, *Dynamics near a heteroclinic network*, *Nonlinearity*, No. 18, 391–414, 2005
- [3] M. Aguiar, S. B. Castro, I. S. Labouriau, *Simple Vector Fields with Complex Behaviour*, *Int. Jour. of Bifurcation and Chaos*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 369–381, 2006
- [4] M. Aguiar, I. S. Labouriau, A. Rodrigues, *Switching near a heteroclinic network of rotating nodes*, *Dynamical Systems: an International Journal*, Vol. 25, 1, 75–95, 2010
- [5] C. Bonatti, L. Díaz, M. Viana, *Dynamics beyond uniform hyperbolicity*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2005
- [6] R. Bowen, *A horseshoe with positive measure*, *Invent. Math.* 29, 203–204, 1975
- [7] R. Bowen, *Equilibrium States and the Ergodic Theory of Anosov Diffeomorphisms*, *Lect. Notes in Math*, Springer, 1975
- [8] V. V. Bykov, *Orbit Structure in a Neighbourhood of a Separatrix Cycle Containing Two Saddle-Foci*, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, Vol. 200, 87–97, 2000
- [9] E. Colli, *Infinitely many coexisting strange attractors*, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire*, 15, 539–579, 1998
- [10] M. Field, *Lectures on bifurcations, dynamics and symmetry*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, Vol. 356, Longman, 1996
- [11] M. Golubitsky, I. Stewart, *The Symmetry Perspective*, Birkhauser, 2000

- [12] S. V. Gonchenko, L. P. Shilnikov, D. V. Turaev, *Quasiattractors and Homoclinic Tangencies*, Computers Math. Applic. Vol. 34, No. 2-4, 195–227, 1997
- [13] S.V. Gonchenko, I.I. Ovsyannikov, D. V. Turaev, *On the effect of invisibility of stable periodic orbits at homoclinic bifurcations*, Physica D, 241, 1115–1122, 2012
- [14] A. J. Homburg, *Periodic attractors, strange attractors and hyperbolic dynamics near homoclinic orbit to a saddle-focus equilibria*, Nonlinearity 15, 411–428, 2002
- [15] A. J. Homburg, B. Sandstede, *Homoclinic and Heteroclinic Bifurcations in Vector Fields*, Handbook of Dynamical Systems, Vol. 3, North Holland, Amsterdam, 379–524, 2010
- [16] M. Krupa, I. Melbourne, *Asymptotic Stability of Heteroclinic Cycles in Systems with Symmetry*, Ergodic Theory and Dynam. Sys., Vol. 15, 121–147, 1995
- [17] I. S. Labouriau, A. A. P. Rodrigues, *Global generic dynamics close to symmetry*, Journal of Differential Equations, Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 253 (8), 2527–2557, 2012
- [18] J. S. W. Lamb, M. A. Teixeira, K. N. Webster, *Heteroclinic bifurcations near Hopf-zero bifurcation in reversible vector fields in \mathbf{R}^3* , Journal of Differential Equations, 219, 78–115, 2005
- [19] I. Melbourne, M. R. E. Proctor and A. M. Rucklidge, *A heteroclinic model of geodynamo reversals and excursions*, Dynamo and Dynamics, a Mathematical Challenge (eds. P. Chossat, D. Armbruster and I. Oprea, Kluwer: Dordrecht, 363–370, 2001
- [20] L. Mora, M. Viana, *Abundance of strange attractors*, Acta Math. 171, 1–71, 1993
- [21] S.E. Newhouse, *Diffeomorphisms with infinitely many sinks*, Topology 13 9–18, 1974
- [22] S.E. Newhouse, *The abundance of wild hyperbolic sets and non-smooth stable sets for diffeomorphisms*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. 50, 101–151, 1979
- [23] I. M. Ovsyannikov, L. P. Shilnikov, *On systems with saddle-focus homoclinic curve*, Math. USSR, Sbornik, 58, 557–574, 1987
- [24] A. A. P. Rodrigues, *Repelling dynamics near a Bykov cycle*, Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations, (to appear), 2013
- [25] A. A. P. Rodrigues, I. S. Labouriau, *Spiraling sets near a heteroclinic network*, Preprint - CMUP n. 2011-22 available at <http://cmup.fc.up.pt/cmup/v2/view/publications.php?ano=2011&area=Preprints>
- [26] V. S. Samovol, *Linearization of a system of differential equations in the neighbourhood of a singular point*, Sov. Math. Dokl, Vol. 13, 1255–1959, 1972
- [27] L. P. Shilnikov, *Some cases of generation of periodic motion from singular trajectories*, Math. USSR Sbornik (61), 103. 443–466, 1963
- [28] L. P. Shilnikov, *A case of the existence of a denumerable set of periodic motions*, Sov. Math. Dokl, No. 6, 163–166, 1965
- [29] L.P. Shilnikov, *On a Poincaré–Birkhoff problem*, Math. USSR Sb. 3, 353–371, 1967
- [30] L. P. Shilnikov, *The existence of a denumerable set of periodic motions in four dimensional space in an extended neighbourhood of a saddle-focus*, Sovit Math. Dokl., 8(1), 54–58, 1967
- [31] S. Wiggins, *Introduction to Applied Nonlinear Dynamical Systems and Chaos*, Springer-Verlag, TAM 2, New York, 1990

CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA DA UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO, AND FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS DA UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO,
RUA DO CAMPO ALEGRE 687, 4169–007 PORTO, PORTUGAL

E-mail address, I.S.Labouriau: islabour@fc.up.pt

E-mail address, A.A.P.Rodrigues: alexandre.rodrigues@fc.up.pt