

# Partial regularity for elliptic systems with VMO-coefficients

Taku Kanazawa  
 Graduate School of Mathematics  
 Nagoya University, JAPAN

**Abstract.** We establish partial Hölder continuity for vector-valued solutions  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  to inhomogeneous elliptic systems of the type:

$$-\operatorname{div}(A(x, u, Du)) = f(x, u, Du) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where the coefficients  $A : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$  are possibly discontinuous with respect to  $x$ . More precisely, we assume a VMO-condition with respect to the  $x$  and continuity with respect to  $u$  and prove Hölder continuity of the solutions outside of singular sets.

**Keywords.** Nonlinear elliptic systems, Partial regularity, VMO-coefficients,  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic approximation.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 35J60, 35B65.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the second order nonlinear elliptic systems in divergence form of the following type:

$$-\operatorname{div}(A(x, u, Du)) = f(x, u, Du) \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $\Omega$  is bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u$  takes values in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with coefficients  $A : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

The aim of this paper is to obtain a partial regularity result of weak solutions to (1.1) with discontinuous coefficients. More precisely, we assume that the partial mapping  $x \mapsto A(x, u, \xi)/(1 + |\xi|)^{p-1}$  has vanishing mean oscillation (VMO), uniformly in  $(u, \xi)$ . This means that  $A$  satisfies an estimate

$$|A(x, u, \xi) - (A(\cdot, u, \xi))_{x_0, \rho}| \leq V_{x_0}(x, \rho)(1 + |\xi|)^{p-1},$$

where  $V_{x_0} : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \rho_0] \rightarrow [0, 2L]$  are bounded functions with

$$\lim_{\rho \searrow 0} V(\rho) = 0, \quad V(\rho) := \sup_{x_0 \in \Omega} \sup_{0 < r \leq \rho} \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap \Omega} V_{x_0}(x, r) dx.$$

We also assume that  $u \mapsto A(x, u, \xi)/(1 + |\xi|)^{p-1}$  is continuous, that is, there exists a modulus of continuity  $\omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that an estimate

$$|A(x, u, \xi) - A(x, u_0, \xi)| \leq L\omega(|u - u_0|^2)(1 + |\xi|)^{p-1}$$

holds.

Regularity results under a VMO-condition have been established by Zheng [15] for quasi-linear elliptic systems or integral functionals. General functionals with VMO-coefficients were considered by Ragusa and Tachikawa [14], who generalized the low-dimensional results from problems with continuous coefficients

to the case of VMO-coefficients. In particular, these results require that the dimension of domain is small, for example,  $n \leq p + 2$  is required to obtain the Hölder continuity of the minimizers in [14]. In contrast, Bögelein, Duzaar, Habermann and Scheven [1] give the regularity result for homogeneous nonlinear elliptic system without dimension conditions.

Stronger assumptions such as the Hölder continuity with respect to  $(x, u)$  or a Dini-type condition lead to partial  $C^1$ -regularity with a quantitative modulus of continuity for  $Du$ ; the modulus of continuity can be determined in dependence on the modulus of continuity of the coefficients (cf. Giaquinta and Modica [10], Duzaar and Grotowski [7], Duzaar and Gastel [6], Chen and Tan [5], Qiu [13] and the references therein).

As we knew, we could not expect continuity (and not even boundedness) of the gradient  $Du$  under continuous coefficients (or even more relaxed condition). The regularity result with continuous coefficients was already proved in eighties by Campanato [3, 4]. He proves that we could still expect local Hölder continuity of the solution  $u$  in special cases, for instance, in lower dimension  $n \leq p + 2$ . The result with arbitrary dimension was given by Foss and Mingione [8].

Our aim is to extend the homogeneous system result in [1] to inhomogeneous system. Therefore we assume the same structure conditions to coefficients  $A$  as in [1]. Under a suitable assumption to inhomogeneous term, we obtain Hölder continuity of weak solution (see Theorem 2.2).

Our proof is based on so-called  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic approximation (cf. [7, Lemma 2.1]; see also Lemma 3.2), introduced by Duzaar and Grotowski. They give a simplified (direct) proof of regularity results to the systems with Hölder continuous coefficients and a natural growth condition, without  $L^p$ - $L^2$ -estimates for  $Du$ .

We close this section by briefly summarizing the notation used in this paper. As mentioned above, we consider a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and maps from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , where we take  $n \geq 2$ ,  $N \geq 1$ . For a given set  $X$  we denote by  $\mathcal{L}^n(X)$  the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure. We write  $B_\rho(x_0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < \rho\}$ . For bounded set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mathcal{L}^n(X) > 0$ , we denote the average of a given function  $g \in L^1(X, \mathbb{R}^N)$  by  $f_X g dx$ , that is,  $f_X g dx = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^n(X)} \int_X g dx$ . In particular, we write  $g_{x_0, \rho} = f_{B_\rho(x_0) \cap \Omega} g dx$ . We write  $\text{Bil}(\text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N))$  for the space of bilinear forms on the space  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$  of linear maps from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We denote  $c$  a positive constant, possibly varying from line by line. Special occurrences will be denoted by capital letters  $K$ ,  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  or the like.

## 2 Statement of the results

**Definition 2.1.** We say  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $p \geq 2$  is a weak solution of (1.1) if  $u$  satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle A(x, u, Du), D\varphi \rangle dx = \int_{\Omega} \langle f, \varphi \rangle dx \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the standard Euclidean inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  or  $\mathbb{R}^{nN}$ .

We assume following structure conditions.

**(H1)**  $A(x, u, \xi)$  is differentiable in  $\xi$  with continuous derivatives, that is, there exists  $L \geq 1$  such that

$$|A(x, u, \xi)| + (1 + |\xi|) |D_\xi A(x, u, \xi)| \leq L(1 + |\xi|)^{p-1} \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Moreover, from this we deduce the modulus of continuity function  $\mu : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\mu$  is bounded, concave, non-decreasing and we have

$$|D_\xi A(x, u, \xi) - D_\xi A(x, u, \xi_0)| \leq L\mu \left( \frac{|\xi - \xi_0|}{1 + |\xi| + |\xi_0|} \right) (1 + |\xi| + |\xi_0|)^{p-2} \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\xi, \xi_0 \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $\mu \leq 1$ .

(H2)  $A(x, u, \xi)$  is uniformly strongly elliptic, that is, for some  $\lambda > 0$  we have

$$\left\langle D_\xi A(x, u, \xi) \nu, \nu \right\rangle := \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, \beta \leq N \\ 1 \leq j, \alpha \leq n}} D_{\xi_\beta^j} A_\alpha^i(x, u, \xi) \nu_i^\alpha \nu_j^\beta \geq \lambda |\nu|^2 (1 + |\xi|)^{p-2} \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\xi, \nu \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

(H3)  $A(x, u, \xi)$  is continuous with respect to  $u$ . There exists a bounded, concave and non-decreasing function  $\omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying

$$|A(x, u, \xi) - A(x, u_0, \xi)| \leq L\omega(|u - u_0|^2) (1 + |\xi|)^{p-1} \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $u, u_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $\omega \leq 1$ .

(H4)  $x \mapsto A(x, u, \xi)/(1 + |\xi|)^{p-1}$  fulfils the following VMO-conditions uniformly in  $u$  and  $\xi$ :

$$|A(x, u, \xi) - (A(\cdot, u, \xi))_{x_0, \rho}| \leq V_{x_0}(x, \rho)(1 + |\xi|)^{p-1}, \quad \text{for all } x \in B_\rho(x_0)$$

whenever  $x_0 \in \Omega$ ,  $0 < \rho < \rho_0$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $\rho_0 > 0$  and  $V_{x_0} : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \rho_0] \rightarrow [0, 2L]$  are bounded functions satisfying

$$\lim_{\rho \searrow 0} V(\rho) = 0, \quad V(\rho) := \sup_{x_0 \in \Omega} \sup_{0 < r \leq \rho} \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap \Omega} V_{x_0}(x, r) dx. \quad (2.6)$$

(H5)  $f(x, u, \xi)$  has  $p$ -growth, that is, there exist constants  $a, b \geq 0$ , with  $a$  possibly depending on  $M > 0$ , such that

$$|f(x, u, \xi)| \leq a(M)|\xi|^p + b \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|u| \leq M$  and  $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Now, we are ready to state our main theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  be a bounded weak solution of (1.1) under the structure conditions (H1), (H2), (H3), (H4) and (H5) satisfying  $\|u\|_\infty \leq M$  and  $2^{(10-9p)/2} \lambda > a(M)M$ . Then there exists an open set  $\Omega_u \subseteq \Omega$  with  $\mathcal{L}^n(\Omega \setminus \Omega_u) = 0$  such that  $u \in C_{\text{loc}}^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_u, \mathbb{R}^N)$  for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Moreover, we have  $\Omega \setminus \Omega_u \subseteq \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$ , where*

$$\Sigma_1 := \left\{ x_0 \in \Omega : \liminf_{\rho \searrow 0} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |Du - (Du)_{x_0, \rho}|^p dx > 0 \right\},$$

$$\Sigma_2 := \left\{ x_0 \in \Omega : \limsup_{\rho \searrow 0} |(Du)_{x_0, \rho}| = \infty \right\}.$$

### 3 Preliminaries

In this section we present  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic approximation lemma and some standard estimates for the proof of the regularity theorem.

First we state the definition of  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic function and recall  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic approximation lemma as below.

**Definition 3.1** ([7, Section 1]). For a given  $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Bil}(\text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N))$ , we say that  $h \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic function, if  $h$  satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(Dh, D\varphi) dx = 0$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

**Lemma 3.2** ([1, Lemma 2.3]). Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $L > 0$ ,  $p \geq 2$  and  $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq 2$  given. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta = \delta(n, N, L, \lambda, \varepsilon) \in (0, 1]$  such that the following holds: assume that  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$  and  $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Bil}(\text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N))$  with the property

$$\mathcal{A}(\nu, \nu) \geq \lambda |\nu|^2, \quad \text{for all } \nu \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mathcal{A}(\nu, \tilde{\nu}) \leq L |\nu| |\tilde{\nu}|, \quad \text{for all } \nu, \tilde{\nu} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N). \quad (3.2)$$

Furthermore, let  $g \in W^{1,2}(B_\rho(x_0), \mathbb{R}^N)$  be an approximately  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic map in sense that there holds

$$\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \{|Dg|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} |Dg|^p\} dx \leq 1, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\left| \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \mathcal{A}(Dg, D\varphi) dx \right| \leq \delta \sup_{B_\rho(x_0)} |D\varphi|, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^1(B_\rho(x_0), \mathbb{R}^N). \quad (3.4)$$

Then there exists an  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic function  $h$  that satisfies

$$\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \left\{ \left| \frac{h-g}{\rho} \right|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} \left| \frac{h-g}{\rho} \right|^p \right\} dx \leq \varepsilon, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \{|Dh|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} |Dh|^p\} dx \leq c(n, p). \quad (3.6)$$

Next is a standard estimates for the solutions to homogeneous second order elliptic systems with constant coefficients, due originally to Campanato [2, Teorema 9.2]. For convenience, we state the estimate in a slightly general form than the original one.

**Theorem 3.3** ([7, Theorem 2.3]). Consider  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $L$  as in Lemma 3.2. Then there exists  $C_0 \geq 1$  depending only on  $n, N, \lambda$  and  $L$  such that any  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic function  $h$  on  $B_{\rho/2}(x_0)$  satisfies

$$\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^2 \sup_{B_{\rho/4}(x_0)} |Dh|^2 + \left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^4 \sup_{B_{\rho/4}(x_0)} |D^2h|^2 \leq C_0 \left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^2 \int_{B_{\rho/2}(x_0)} |Dh|^2 dx. \quad (3.7)$$

We state the Poincaré inequality (Lemma 3.4) in a convenient form. The proof can be founded in several literature, for example [9, Proposition 3.10].

**Lemma 3.4.** There exists  $C_P \geq 1$  depending only on  $n$  such that every  $u \in W^{1,p}(B_\rho(x_0), \mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfies

$$\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |u - u_{x_0, \rho}|^p dx \leq C_P \rho^p \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |Du|^p dx. \quad (3.8)$$

Given a function  $u \in L^2(B_\rho(x_0), \mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\rho > 0$ . We write  $\ell_{x_0, \rho}$  for the minimizer of the functional

$$\ell \mapsto \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |u - \ell|^2 dx \quad (3.9)$$

among all affine functions  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ . Let write  $\ell_{x_0, \rho}(x) := \ell_{x_0, \rho}(x_0) + D\ell_{x_0, \rho}(x - x_0)$ . It is easy to check that  $\ell_{x_0, \rho}(x_0) = u_{x_0, \rho}$  and

$$D\ell_{x_0, \rho} = \frac{n+2}{\rho^2} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} u \otimes (x - x_0) dx, \quad (3.10)$$

where  $\xi \otimes \zeta = \xi_i \zeta^\alpha$ . Based on this formula, elementary calculations yield the following estimates.

**Lemma 3.5** ([12, Lemma 2]). *Assume  $u \in L^2(B_\rho(x_0), \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\rho > 0$  and  $0 < \theta \leq 1$ . With  $\ell_{x_0, \rho}$  and  $\ell_{x_0, \theta\rho}$ , we denote the affine functions from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^N$  defined as above for the radii  $\rho$  and  $\theta\rho$  respectively. Then we have*

$$|D\ell_{x_0, \rho} - D\ell_{x_0, \theta\rho}|^2 \leq \frac{n(n+2)}{(\theta\rho)^2} \int_{B_{\theta\rho}(x_0)} |u - \ell_{x_0, \rho}|^2 dx, \quad (3.11)$$

and more generally,

$$|D\ell_{x_0, \rho} - D\ell|^2 \leq \frac{n(n+2)}{\rho^2} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |u - \ell|^2 dx, \quad (3.12)$$

for all affine functions  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ .

The estimate (3.12) implies, in particular, that  $\ell_{x_0, \rho}$  has the following quasi-minimizing property for the  $L^p$ -norm. The proof can be founded in [1, Section 2].

**Lemma 3.6.** *Consider the minimizer of (3.9), that is,  $\ell_{x_0, \rho}$ . For any affine functions  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $p \geq 2$  we have*

$$\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |u - \ell_{x_0, \rho}|^p dx \leq c(n, p) \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |u - \ell|^p dx. \quad (3.13)$$

Using Young's inequality, we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Consider fixed  $a, b \geq 0$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $K = K(p, \varepsilon) \geq 0$  satisfying*

$$(a + b)^p \leq (1 + \varepsilon)a^p + Kb^p. \quad (3.14)$$

**Proof.** We first consider the case  $p = 2k - 1$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By binomial theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b)^{2k-1} &= \sum_{m=0}^{2k-1} \binom{2k-1}{m} a^{2k-1-m} b^m \\ &= a^{2k-1} + b^{2k-1} + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{2k-1}{m} (a^{2k-1-m} b^m + a^m b^{2k-1-m}). \end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{2k-1}{m} (a^{2k-1-m} b^m + a^m b^{2k-1-m}) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{2k-1}{m} (\varepsilon' a^{2k-1} + c(k, m, \varepsilon') b^{2k-1}),$$

where  $\varepsilon' > 0$  will be fixed later. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b)^{2k-1} &\leq a^{2k-1} + b^{2k-1} + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{2k-1}{m} (\varepsilon' a^{2k-1} + c(k, m, \varepsilon') b^{2k-1}) \\ &= \left\{ 1 + \varepsilon' \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{2k-1}{m} \right\} a^{2k-1} + \left\{ 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{2k-1}{m} c(k, m, \varepsilon') \right\} b^{2k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we conclude (3.7) by taking  $\varepsilon'$  as  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{2k-1}{m}$ .

In case of  $p = 2k$ , we may estimate similarly as above, hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(a+b)^{2k} &= \sum_{m=0}^{2k} \binom{2k}{m} a^{2k-m} b^m \\
&= a^{2k} + b^{2k} + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{2k}{m} (a^{2k-m} b^m + a^m b^{2k-m}) + \binom{2k}{k} a^k b^k \\
&\leq a^{2k} + b^{2k} + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{2k}{m} (\varepsilon' a^{2k} + c(k, m, \varepsilon') b^{2k}) + \binom{2k}{k} \left( \varepsilon' a^{2k} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon'} b^{2k} \right) \\
&= \left\{ 1 + \varepsilon' \sum_{m=1}^k \binom{2k}{m} \right\} a^{2k} + \left\{ 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{2k}{m} c(k, m, \varepsilon') + \frac{1}{\varepsilon'} \right\} b^{2k}.
\end{aligned}$$

This conclude that we have (3.7) for  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For general  $p \geq 1$ , let  $[p]$  be the greatest integer not greater than  $p$ . We write

$$(a+b)^p = (a+b)^{[p]} (a+b)^{p-[p]}.$$

By  $0 \leq p - [p] < 1$ , we have

$$(a+b)^{p-[p]} \leq a^{p-[p]} + b^{p-[p]}.$$

For  $\varepsilon' > 0$  to be fixed later, we get

$$(a+b)^{[p]} \leq (1 + \varepsilon') a^{[p]} + K(p, \varepsilon') b^{[p]},$$

since  $[p] \in \mathbb{N}$ . Combining two estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(a+b)^p &\leq \left\{ (1 + \varepsilon') a^{[p]} + K(p, \varepsilon') b^{[p]} \right\} (a^{p-[p]} + b^{p-[p]}) \\
&= (1 + \varepsilon') a^p + K(p, \varepsilon') b^p + (1 + \varepsilon') a^{[p]} b^{p-[p]} + K(p, \varepsilon') a^{p-[p]} b^{[p]} \\
&\leq (1 + \varepsilon') a^p + K(p, \varepsilon') b^p + (1 + \varepsilon' + K(p, \varepsilon')) (a^{[p]} b^{p-[p]} + a^{p-[p]} b^{[p]}).
\end{aligned}$$

Again for  $\varepsilon'' > 0$  to be fixed later, by using Young's inequality, we conclude

$$(a+b)^p \leq (1 + \varepsilon') a^p + K(p, \varepsilon') b^p + (1 + \varepsilon' + K(p, \varepsilon')) (\varepsilon'' a^p + c(p, \varepsilon'') b^p).$$

Take  $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon/2$  and  $\varepsilon'' = \varepsilon' / (1 + \varepsilon' + K(p, \varepsilon'))$ , and this complete the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8** ([11, Lemma 2.1]). *For  $\delta \geq 0$ , and for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^k$  we have*

$$4^{-(1+2\delta)} \leq \frac{\int_0^1 (1 + |sa + (1-s)b|^2)^{\delta/2} ds}{(1 + |a|^2 + |b-a|^2)^{\delta/2}} \leq 4^\delta. \quad (3.15)$$

## 4 Proof of the main theorem

To obtain the regularity result (Theorem 2.2), we first prove Caccioppoli-type inequality. In the followings, we define  $q > 0$  as the dual exponent of  $p \geq 2$ , that is,  $q = p/(p-1)$ . Here we note that  $q \leq 2$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  be a bounded weak solution of the elliptic system (1.1) under the structure condition **(H1)**, **(H2)**, **(H3)**, **(H4)** and **(H5)** with satisfying  $\|u\|_\infty \leq M$  and  $2^{(10-9p)/2}\lambda > a(M)M$ . For any  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and  $\rho \leq 1$  with  $B_\rho(x_0) \Subset \Omega$ , and any affine functions  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|\ell(x_0)| \leq M$ , we have the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_0)} \left\{ \frac{|Du - D\ell|^2}{(1 + |D\ell|)^2} + \frac{|Du - D\ell|^p}{(1 + |D\ell|)^p} \right\} dx \\ & \leq C_1 \left[ \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \left\{ \frac{|u - \ell|^2}{\rho^2(1 + |D\ell|)^2} + \frac{|u - \ell|^p}{\rho^p(1 + |D\ell|)^p} \right\} dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \omega \left( \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |u - \ell(x_0)|^2 dx \right) + V(\rho) + (a^q |D\ell|^q + b^q) \rho^q \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

with the constant  $C_1 = C_1(\lambda, p, L, a(M), M) \geq 1$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and  $\rho \leq 1$  satisfy  $B_\rho(x_0) \Subset \Omega$ . We take a standard cut-off function  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_\rho(x_0))$  satisfying  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ,  $|D\eta| \leq 4/\rho$ ,  $\eta \equiv 1$  on  $B_{\rho/2}(x_0)$ . Then  $\varphi := \eta^p(u - \ell)$  is admissible as a test function in (2.1), and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \eta^p \langle A(x, u, Du), Du - D\ell \rangle dx \\ & = - \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \langle A(x, u, Du), p\eta^{p-1} D\eta \otimes (u - \ell) \rangle dx + \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \langle f, \varphi \rangle dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \eta^p \langle A(x, u, D\ell), Du - D\ell \rangle dx \\ & = \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \langle A(x, u, D\ell), p\eta^{p-1} D\eta \otimes (u - \ell) \rangle dx - \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \langle A(x, u, D\ell), D\varphi \rangle dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \langle (A(\cdot, \ell(x_0), D\ell))_{x_0, \rho}, D\varphi \rangle dx = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Adding (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \eta^p \langle A(x, u, Du) - A(x, u, D\ell), Du - D\ell \rangle dx \\ & = - \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \langle A(x, u, Du) - A(x, u, D\ell), p\eta^{p-1} D\eta \otimes (u - \ell) \rangle dx \\ & \quad - \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \langle A(x, u, D\ell) - A(x, \ell(x_0), D\ell), D\varphi \rangle dx \\ & \quad - \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \langle A(x, \ell(x_0), D\ell) - (A(\cdot, \ell(x_0), D\ell))_{x_0, \rho}, D\varphi \rangle dx \\ & \quad + \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \langle f, \varphi \rangle dx \\ & =: \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III} + \text{IV}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

The terms I, II, III, IV are defined above. Using the ellipticity condition **(H2)** to the left-hand side of (4.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle A(x, u, Du) - A(x, u, D\ell), Du - D\ell \rangle \\
&= \int_0^1 \langle D_\xi A(x, u, sDu + (1-s)D\ell)(Du - D\ell), Du - D\ell \rangle ds \\
&\geq \lambda |Du - D\ell|^2 \int_0^1 (1 + |sDu + (1-s)D\ell|)^{p-2} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Then by using (3.15) in Lemma 3.8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle A(x, u, Du) - A(x, u, D\ell), Du - D\ell \rangle \\
&\geq \lambda |Du - D\ell|^2 \int_0^1 (1 + |sDu + (1-s)D\ell|)^{(p-2)/2} ds \\
&\geq 2^{(12-9p)/2} \lambda \{ (1 + |D\ell|)^{p-2} |Du - D\ell|^2 + |Du - D\ell|^p \}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  to be fixed later, using **(H1)** and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I| &\leq \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} p\eta^{p-1} \left| \int_0^1 D_\xi A(x, u, D\ell + s(Du - D\ell))(Du - D\ell) ds \right| |D\eta| |u - \ell| dx \\
&\leq \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} c(p, L) \eta^{p-1} \{ (1 + |D\ell|)^{p-2} + |Du - D\ell|^{p-2} \} |Du - D\ell| |D\eta| |u - \ell| dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \eta^p \{ (1 + |D\ell|)^{p-2} |Du - D\ell|^2 + |Du - D\ell|^p \} dx \\
&\quad + c(p, L, \varepsilon) \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \left\{ (1 + |D\ell|)^{p-2} \left| \frac{u - \ell}{\rho} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{u - \ell}{\rho} \right|^p \right\} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

In order to estimate II, we use **(H3)**,  $D\varphi = \eta^p(Du - D\ell) + p\eta^{p-1}D\eta \otimes (u - \ell)$ , and again Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|II| &\leq \varepsilon \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \eta^p |Du - D\ell|^p dx + \varepsilon^{-q/p} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} L^q \omega^q (|u - \ell(x_0)|^2) (1 + |D\ell|)^p dx \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \left| \frac{u - \ell}{\rho} \right|^p dx + \varepsilon^{-q/p} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} (4Lp)^q \omega^q (|u - \ell(x_0)|^2) (1 + |D\ell|)^p dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \eta^p |Du - D\ell|^p dx + \varepsilon \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \left| \frac{u - \ell}{\rho} \right|^p dx \\
&\quad + c(p, L, \varepsilon) (1 + |D\ell|)^p \omega \left( \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |u - \ell(x_0)|^2 dx \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where we use Jensen's inequality in the last inequality. We next estimate III by using the VMO-condition **(H4)** and Young's inequality, we have

$$|III| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{p-1}} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \left\{ \eta^p |Du - D\ell| + \frac{4p|u - \ell|}{\rho} \right\}^p dx + \left( \frac{2^{p-1}}{\varepsilon} \right)^{q/p} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} V_{x_0}^q(x, \rho) (1 + |D\ell|)^p dx.$$

Then using the fact that  $V_{x_0}^q = V_{x_0}^{q-1} \cdot V_{x_0} \leq (2L)^{q-1} V_{x_0} \leq 2LV_{x_0}$ , we infer

$$|III| \leq \varepsilon \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \eta^p |Du - D\ell|^p dx + c(p, \varepsilon) \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \left| \frac{u - \ell}{\rho} \right|^p dx + c(p, L, \varepsilon) (1 + |D\ell|)^p V(\rho). \tag{4.10}$$



For  $\varepsilon' > 0$  to be fixed later, using **(H5)**, Lemma 3.7 and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\text{IV}| \\
& \leq \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} a(|Du - D\ell| + |D\ell|)^p \eta^p |u - \ell| dx + \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} (b\eta\rho) \left| \frac{u - \ell}{\rho} \right| dx \\
& \leq \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} a\eta^p \{(1 + \varepsilon')|Du - D\ell|^p + K(p, \varepsilon')|D\ell|^p\} |u - \ell| dx + \varepsilon b^q \rho^q + \varepsilon^{-p/q} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \left| \frac{u - \ell}{\rho} \right|^p dx \\
& \leq a(1 + \varepsilon')(2M + |D\ell|\rho) \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \eta^p |Du - D\ell|^p dx + c(p, \varepsilon) \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \left| \frac{u - \ell}{\rho} \right|^p dx \\
& \quad + \varepsilon(1 + |D\ell|)^p \rho^q \{a^q K^q |D\ell|^q + b^q\}. \tag{4.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.5), (4.7), (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11), and set  $\lambda' = 2^{(12-9p)/2} \lambda C$   $\Lambda := \lambda' - 3\varepsilon - a(1 + \varepsilon')(2M + |D\ell|\rho)$ , this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \eta^p \left\{ \frac{|Du - D\ell|^2}{(1 + |D\ell|)^2} + \frac{|Du - D\ell|^p}{(1 + |D\ell|)^p} \right\} dx \\
& \leq c(p, L, \varepsilon) \left[ \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \left\{ \left| \frac{u - \ell}{\rho(1 + |D\ell|)} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{u - \ell}{\rho(1 + |D\ell|)} \right|^p \right\} dx + \omega \left( \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |u - \ell(x_0)|^2 dx \right) + V(\rho) \right] \\
& \quad + \varepsilon \{a^q(1 + K(p, \varepsilon'))^q |D\ell|^q + b^q\} \rho^q. \tag{4.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Now choose  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\lambda, p, a(M), M) > 0$  and  $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon'(\lambda, p, a(M), M) > 0$  in a right way (for more precise way of choosing  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon'$ , we refer to [7, Lemma 4.1]), we obtain (4.1).  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** *If we insert  $p = 2$  to the “smallness condition”  $2^{(10-9p)/2} \lambda > a(M)M$ , we obtain  $\lambda/16 > a(M)M$ . On the other hand, we only need  $\lambda/2 > a(M)M$  to prove the Caccioppoli-type inequality (Lemma 4.1) since the term  $(1 + |sDu + (1-s)\nu|)^{p-2}$  in (4.6) vanishes when  $p = 2$ . This gap happens because the left-hand side inequality of (3.15) in Lemma 3.8, which we used to estimate  $(1 + |sDu + (1-s)\nu|)^{p-2}$  from below, could not take equal when  $\delta = p - 2 = 0$ .*

To use the  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic approximation lemma, we need to estimate  $\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \mathcal{A}(D(u - \ell), D\varphi) dx$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume the same assumption in Lemma 4.1. Then for any  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and  $\rho \leq \rho_0$  satisfy  $B_{2\rho}(x_0) \Subset \Omega$ , and any affine functions  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|\ell(x_0)| \leq M$ , the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \mathcal{A}(Dv, D\varphi) dx \leq C_2(1 + |D\ell|) \left[ \mu^{1/2} \left( \sqrt{\Psi_*(x_0, 2\rho, \ell)} \right) \sqrt{\Psi_*(x_0, 2\rho, \ell)} \right. \\
\left. + \Psi_*(x_0, 2\rho, \ell) + \rho(a|D\ell|^p + b) \right] \sup_{B_\rho(x_0)} |D\varphi| \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

holds for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_\rho(x_0), \mathbb{R}^N)$  and a constant  $C_2 = C_2(n, \lambda, L, p, a(M)) \geq 1$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(Dv, D\varphi) &:= \frac{1}{(1 + |D\ell|)^{p-1}} \left\langle (D_\xi A(\cdot, \ell(x_0), D\ell))_{x_0, \rho} Dv, D\varphi \right\rangle, \\ \Phi(x_0, \rho, \ell) &:= \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \left\{ \frac{|Du - D\ell|^2}{(1 + |D\ell|)^2} + \frac{|Du - D\ell|^p}{(1 + |D\ell|)^p} \right\} dx, \\ \Psi(x_0, \rho, \ell) &:= \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \left\{ \frac{|u - \ell|^2}{\rho^2(1 + |D\ell|)^2} + \frac{|u - \ell|^p}{\rho^p(1 + |D\ell|)^p} \right\} dx, \\ \Psi_*(x_0, \rho, \ell) &:= \Psi(x_0, \rho, \ell) + \omega \left( \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |u - \ell(x_0)|^2 dx \right) + V(\rho) + (a^q |D\ell|^q + b^q) \rho^q, \\ v &:= u - \ell = u - \ell(x_0) - D\ell(x - x_0).\end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Assume  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and  $\rho \leq 1$  satisfy  $B_{2\rho}(x_0) \Subset \Omega$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $\sup_{B_\rho(x_0)} |D\varphi| \leq 1$ . Note  $\sup_{B_\rho(x_0)} |\varphi| \leq \rho \leq 1$ . Using the fact that  $\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} A(x_0, \xi, \nu) D\varphi dx = 0$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}(1 + |D\ell|)^{p-1} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \mathcal{A}(Dv, D\varphi) dx &= \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \int_0^1 \left\langle \left[ (D_\xi A(\cdot, \ell(x_0), D\ell))_{x_0, \rho} - (D_\xi A(\cdot, \ell(x_0), D\ell + sDv))_{x_0, \rho} \right] Dv, D\varphi \right\rangle ds dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \left\langle (A(\cdot, \ell(x_0), Du))_{x_0, \rho} - A(x, \ell(x_0), Du), D\varphi \right\rangle dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \left\langle A(x, \ell(x_0), Du) - A(x, u, Du), D\varphi \right\rangle dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \langle f, \varphi \rangle dx \\ &=: \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III} + \text{IV}\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

where terms I, II, III, IV are define above.

Using the modulus of continuity  $\mu$  from **(H1)**, Jensen's inequality and Hölder's inequality, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}|\text{I}| &\leq c(p, L)(1 + |D\ell|)^{p-1} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \mu \left( \frac{|Du - D\ell|}{1 + |D\ell|} \right) \left\{ \frac{|Du - D\ell|}{1 + |D\ell|} + \frac{|Du - D\ell|^{p-1}}{(1 + |D\ell|)^{p-1}} \right\} dx \\ &\leq c(1 + |D\ell|)^{p-1} \left[ \mu^{1/2} \left( \sqrt{\Phi(x_0, \rho, \ell)} \right) \sqrt{\Phi(x_0, \rho, \ell)} + \mu^{1/p} \left( \Phi^{1/2}(x_0, \rho, \ell) \right) \Phi^{1/q}(x_0, \rho, \ell) \right] \\ &\leq c(1 + |D\ell|)^{p-1} \left[ \mu^{1/2} \left( \sqrt{\Phi(x_0, \rho, \ell)} \right) \sqrt{\Phi(x_0, \rho, \ell)} + \Phi(x_0, \rho, \ell) \right].\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that  $a^{1/p} b^{1/q} = a^{1/p} b^{1/p} b^{(p-2)/p} \leq a^{1/2} b^{1/2} + b$  holds by Young's inequality.

By using the VMO-condition, Young's inequality and the bound  $V_{x_0}(x, \rho) \leq 2L$ , the term II can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}|\text{II}| &\leq c(p)(1 + |D\ell|)^{p-1} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \left\{ V_{x_0}(x, \rho) + V_{x_0}(x, \rho) \frac{|Du - D\ell|^{p-1}}{(1 + |D\ell|)^{p-1}} \right\} dx \\ &\leq c(1 + |D\ell|)^{p-1} \left[ (1 + (2L)^{p-1}) V(\rho) + \Phi(x_0, \rho, \ell) \right].\end{aligned}\tag{4.16}$$

Similarly, we estimate the term III by using the continuity condition **(H3)**, Young's inequality, the bound  $\omega \leq 1$  and Jensen's inequality. This leads us to

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{III}| &\leq L \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} (1 + |D\ell| + |Du - D\ell|)^{p-1} \omega (|u - \ell(x_0)|^2) dx \\ &\leq c(p, L)(1 + |D\ell|)^{p-1} \left[ \omega \left( \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |u - \ell(x_0)|^2 dx \right) + \Phi(x_0, \rho, \ell) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

By using the growth condition **(H5)** and  $\sup_{B_\rho(x_0)} |\varphi| \leq \rho \leq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{IV}| &\leq \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \rho(a|Du|^p + b) dx \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} a(1 + |D\ell|)^p \Phi(x_0, \rho, \ell) + 2^{p-1} \rho(1 + |D\ell|)^{p-1} (a|D\ell|^p + b). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Combining (4.14) with the estimates (4.15), (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18), we finally arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \mathcal{A}(Dv, D\varphi) dx \\ &\leq c(p, L, a(M))(1 + |D\ell|) \\ &\quad \times \left[ \mu^{1/2} \left( \sqrt{\Phi(x_0, \rho, \ell)} \right) \sqrt{\Phi(x_0, \rho, \ell)} + \Phi(x_0, \rho, \ell) + \Psi_*(x_0, \rho, \ell) + \rho(a|D\ell|^p + b) \right] \\ &\leq C_2(1 + |D\ell|) \left[ \mu^{1/2} \left( \sqrt{\Psi_*(x_0, 2\rho, \ell)} \right) \sqrt{\Psi_*(x_0, 2\rho, \ell)} + \Psi_*(x_0, 2\rho, \ell) + \rho(a|D\ell|^p + b) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we use Caccioppoli-type inequality (Lemma 4.1),  $\Phi(x_0, \rho, \ell) \leq C_1 \Psi_*(x_0, 2\rho, \ell)$  and the concavity of  $\mu$  to have  $\mu(cs) \leq c\mu(s)$  for  $c \geq 1$  at the last step.  $\square$

From now on, we write  $\Phi(\rho) = \Phi(x_0, \rho, \ell_{x_0, \rho})$ ,  $\Psi(\rho) = \Psi(x_0, \rho, \ell_{x_0, \rho})$ ,  $\Psi_*(\rho) = \Psi_*(x_0, \rho, \ell_{x_0, \rho})$  for  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and  $0 < \rho \leq 1$ . Here  $\ell_{x_0, \rho}$  is a minimizer of (3.9).

Now we are in the position to establish the excess improvement.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Assume the same assumptions with Lemma 4.3. Let  $\theta \in (0, 1/4]$  be arbitrary and impose the following smallness conditions on the excess:*

$$(i) \quad \mu^{1/2} \left( \sqrt{\Psi_*(\rho)} \right) + \sqrt{\Psi_*(\rho)} \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \text{ with the constant } \delta = \delta(n, N, p, \lambda, L, \theta^{n+p+2}) \text{ from Lemma 3.2,}$$

$$(ii) \quad \Psi(\rho) \leq \frac{\theta^{n+2}}{4n(n+2)},$$

$$(iii) \quad \gamma(\rho) := \left[ \Psi_*^{q/2}(\rho) + \delta^{-q} \rho^q (a|D\ell_{x_0, \rho}| + b)^q \right]^{1/q} \leq 1.$$

Then there holds the excess improvement estimate

$$\Psi(\theta\rho) \leq C_3 \theta^2 \Psi_*(\rho) \quad (4.19)$$

with a constant  $C_3 \geq 1$  that depends only on  $n, N, \lambda, L, p, a(M), M$  and  $\theta$ .

**Proof.** We first rescale  $u$  and set

$$w := \frac{u - \ell_{x_0, \rho}}{C_2(1 + |D\ell_{x_0, \rho}|)\gamma}.$$

We claim that  $w$  satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.2. By Lemma 4.3, with  $\rho/2$  and  $\ell_{x_0, \rho}$  instead of  $\rho$  and  $\ell$ , and assumption (i), the map  $w$  is approximately  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\rho/2}(x_0)} \mathcal{A}(Dw, D\varphi) dx &\leq \left[ \mu^{1/2} \left( \sqrt{\Psi_*(\rho)} \right) + \sqrt{\Psi_*(\rho)} + \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \sup_{B_{\rho/2}(x_0)} |D\varphi| \\ &\leq \delta \sup_{B_{\rho/2}(x_0)} |D\varphi|, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_{\rho/2}(x_0), \mathbb{R}^N)$ , with the constant  $\delta$  determined by Lemma 3.2 for the choice  $\varepsilon = \theta^{n+p+2}$ . Moreover, the choice of  $C_2$ , which implies  $C_2 \geq C_1$ , and the Caccioppoli-type inequality (Lemma 4.1) infer

$$\int_{B_{\rho/2}(x_0)} \{ |Dw|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} |Dw|^p \} dx \leq \frac{C_1 \Psi_*(\rho)}{C_2^2 \gamma^2} \leq \frac{C_1}{C_2^2} \leq 1.$$

Thus, Lemma 3.2 ensures the existence of an  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic map  $h$  with the properties

$$\int_{B_{\rho/2}(x_0)} \left\{ \left| \frac{w-h}{\rho/2} \right|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} \left| \frac{w-h}{\rho/2} \right|^p \right\} dx \leq \theta^{n+p+2}, \quad (4.20)$$

$$\int_{B_{\rho/2}(x_0)} \{ |Dh|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} |Dh|^p \} dx \leq c(n, p). \quad (4.21)$$

Since  $h$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic, Theorem 3.3 yields the estimate for  $s = 2$  as well as for  $s = p$

$$\sup_{B_{\rho/4}(x_0)} |D^2 h|^s \leq c(s, n, N, p, \lambda, L) \left( \frac{\rho}{2} \right)^{-s}.$$

Therefore, using Taylor's theorem, we have the decay estimate, where  $\theta \in (0, 1/4]$  can be chosen arbitrarily:

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma^{s-2} (\theta\rho)^{-s} \int_{B_{\theta\rho}(x_0)} |w - h(x_0) - Dh(x_0)(x - x_0)|^s dx \\ &\leq 2^{s-1} \gamma^{s-2} (\theta\rho)^{-s} \left[ \int_{B_{\theta\rho}(x_0)} |w - h|^s dx + \int_{B_{\theta\rho}(x_0)} |h(x) - h(x_0) - Dh(x_0)(x - x_0)|^s dx \right] \\ &\leq c(s, n, N, p, \lambda, L) \theta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here we applied the energy bound (4.20) for the last estimate. Scaling back to  $u$  and using Lemma 3.6, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} &(\theta\rho)^{-s} \int_{B_{\theta\rho}(x_0)} |u - \ell_{x_0, \theta\rho}|^s dx \\ &\leq c(n, s) (\theta\rho)^{-s} \int_{B_{\theta\rho}(x_0)} |u - \ell_{x_0, \rho} - C_2\gamma(1 + |D\ell_{x_0, \rho}|) (h(x_0) + Dh(x_0)(x - x_0))|^s dx \\ &= c(s, n, N, p, \lambda, L, a(M)) (\theta\rho)^{-s} \gamma^s (1 + |D\ell_{x_0, \rho}|)^s \int_{B_{\theta\rho}(x_0)} |w - h(x_0) - Dh(x_0)(x - x_0)|^s dx \\ &\leq c \gamma^2 (1 + |D\ell_{x_0, \rho}|)^s \theta^2 \\ &\leq c (1 + |D\ell_{x_0, \rho}|)^s \theta^2 \left[ \Psi_*^{q/2}(\rho) + 2^{q/p} \delta^{-q} \Psi_*(\rho) \right]^{2/q} \\ &\leq c (1 + |D\ell_{x_0, \rho}|)^s \theta^2 \Psi_*(\rho). \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Here we would like to replace the term  $|D\ell_{x_0,\rho}|$  on the right-hand side by  $|D\ell_{x_0,\theta\rho}|$ . For this, we use (3.11) and the assumption (ii) in order to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |D\ell_{x_0,\rho} - D\ell_{x_0,\theta\rho}|^2 &\leq \frac{n(n+2)}{(\theta\rho)^2} \int_{B_{\theta\rho}(x_0)} |u - \ell_{x_0,\rho}|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{n(n+2)}{\theta^{n+2}\rho^2} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |u - \ell_{x_0,\rho}|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{n(n+2)}{\theta^{n+2}} (1 + |D\ell_{x_0,\rho}|)^2 \Psi(\rho) \leq \frac{1}{4} (1 + |D\ell_{x_0,\rho}|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$1 + |D\ell_{x_0,\rho}| \leq 1 + |D\ell_{x_0,\theta\rho}| + |D\ell_{x_0,\rho} - D\ell_{x_0,\theta\rho}| \leq 1 + |D\ell_{x_0,\theta\rho}| + \frac{1}{2}(1 + |D\ell_{x_0,\rho}|),$$

and after reabsorbing the last term from the right-hand side on the left, we also obtain

$$1 + |D\ell_{x_0,\rho}| \leq 2(1 + |D\ell_{x_0,\theta\rho}|).$$

Plugging this into (4.22), we deduce

$$(\theta\rho)^{-s} \int_{B_{\theta\rho}(x_0)} |u - \ell_{x_0,\theta\rho}|^s dx \leq c(s, n, N, p, \lambda, L, a(M))(1 + |D\ell_{x_0,\theta\rho}|)^s \theta^2 \Psi_*(\rho)$$

for  $s = 2$  and  $s = p$ . Dividing by  $(1 + |D\ell_{x_0,\theta\rho}|)^s$ , then adding the corresponding terms for  $s = 2$  and  $s = p$ , we deduce the claim.  $\square$

We fix an arbitrarily Hölder exponent  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and define the Campanato-type excess

$$C_\alpha(x_0, \rho) := \rho^{-2\alpha} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |u - u_{x_0,\rho}|^2 dx.$$

In the following lemma, we iterate the excess improvement estimate (4.19) from Lemma 4.4 and obtain the boundedness of the two excess functionals,  $C_\alpha$  and  $\Psi$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *Under the same assumption with Lemma 4.4, for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , there exists constants  $\varepsilon_*, \kappa_*, \rho_* > 0$  and  $\theta \in (0, 1/8]$ , all depending at most on  $n, N, \lambda, p, L, \alpha, \rho_0, \mu(\cdot), \omega(\cdot), V(\cdot), a(M), b$  and  $M$ , such that the conditions*

$$\Psi(\rho) < \varepsilon_*, \quad \text{and} \quad C_\alpha(x_0, \rho) < \kappa_* \tag{A_0}$$

for all  $\rho \in (0, \rho_*)$  with  $B_\rho(x_0) \Subset \Omega$ , imply

$$\Psi(\theta^k \rho) < \varepsilon_*, \quad \text{and} \quad C_\alpha(x_0, \theta^k \rho) < \kappa_* \tag{A_k}$$

respectively, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** We begin by choosing the constants. First, let

$$\theta := \min \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{16n(n+2)} \right)^{1/(2-2\alpha)}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{4C_3}} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{8},$$

with the constant  $C_3$  determined in Lemma 4.3. In particular, the choice of  $\theta = \theta(n, N, \lambda, L, a, M, \alpha) > 0$  fixes the constant  $\delta = \delta(n, N, \lambda, L, a, M, \alpha) > 0$  from Lemma 3.2. Next, we fix an  $\varepsilon_* = \varepsilon_*(n, N, \lambda, L, a, M, \alpha, \mu(\cdot)) > 0$  sufficiently small to ensure

$$\varepsilon_* \leq \frac{\theta^{n+2}}{16n(n+2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu^{1/2}(\sqrt{4\varepsilon_*}) + \sqrt{4\varepsilon_*} \leq \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Then, we choose  $\kappa_* = \kappa_*(n, N, \lambda, L, a, M, \alpha, \mu(\cdot), \omega(\cdot)) > 0$  so small that

$$\omega(\kappa_*) < \varepsilon_*.$$

Finally, we fix  $\rho_* = \rho_*(n, N, \lambda, p, L, \alpha, \rho_0, \mu(\cdot), \omega(\cdot), V(\cdot), a, b, M) > 0$  small enough to guarantee

$$\rho_* \leq \min\{\rho_0, \kappa_*^{1/(2-2\alpha)}, 1\}, \quad V(\rho_*) < \varepsilon_* \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \left( a\sqrt{n(n+2)\kappa_*} \right)^q + b^q \right\} \rho_*^{q\alpha} < \varepsilon_*.$$

Now we prove the assertion  $(A_k)$  by induction. We assume that we have already established  $(A_k)$  up to some  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . We begin with proving the first part of the assertion  $(A_{k+1})$ , that is, the one concerning  $\Psi(\theta^{k+1}\rho)$ . First, using (3.12) with  $\ell \equiv u_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |D\ell_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}|^2 &\leq \frac{n(n+2)}{(\theta^k \rho)^2} \int_{B_{\theta^k \rho}(x_0)} |u - u_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}|^2 dx \\ &= n(n+2)(\theta^k \rho)^{2\alpha-2} C_\alpha(x_0, \theta^k \rho) \\ &\leq n(n+2)\rho_*^{2\alpha-2} \kappa_*. \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Thus, the assumption  $(A_k)$ , the choice of  $\kappa_*$  and  $\rho_*$ , and the above estimate infer

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_*(\theta^k \rho) &\leq \Psi(\theta^k \rho) + \omega(C_\alpha(x_0, \theta^k \rho)) + V(\theta^k \rho) + (a^q |D\ell_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}|^q + b^q)(\theta^k \rho)^q \\ &\leq \varepsilon_* + \omega(\kappa_*) + V(\rho_*) + \left( \left( a\sqrt{n(n+2)\kappa_*} \right)^q + b^q \right) \rho_*^{q\alpha} < 4\varepsilon_*. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Now it is easy to check that our choice of  $\varepsilon_*$  implies that the smallness condition assumptions (i) and (ii) in Lemma 4.4 are satisfied on the level  $\theta^k \rho$ , that is, we have

$$\mu^{1/2} \left( \sqrt{\Psi_*(\theta^k \rho)} \right) + \sqrt{\Psi_*(\theta^k \rho)} < \mu^{1/2}(\sqrt{4\varepsilon_*}) + \sqrt{4\varepsilon_*} \leq \frac{\delta}{2}, \tag{4.25}$$

and

$$\Psi(\theta^k \rho) < \varepsilon_* < \frac{\theta^{n+2}}{4n(n+2)}. \tag{4.26}$$

Furthermore, we have the smallness condition assumption (iii), that is,

$$\gamma(\theta^k \rho) = \left[ \Psi_*^{q/2}(\theta^k \rho) + \delta^{-q}(\theta^k \rho)^q (a|D\ell_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}| + b)^q \right]^{1/q} \leq 1. \tag{4.27}$$

To check (4.27), first, note that  $\Psi_*(\theta^k \rho) < 1$  holds by the estimate (4.24) and the choice of  $\varepsilon_*$ . This implies

$$\Psi_*^{q/2}(\theta^k \rho) \leq \Psi_*^{1/2}(\theta^k \rho) < \sqrt{4\varepsilon_*} \leq \frac{\delta}{4}. \tag{4.28}$$

Next, using (4.23) and  $\rho_*^{\alpha-1} \geq 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{-q}(\theta^k \rho)^q (a|D\ell_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}| + b)^q &\leq \delta^{-q} \rho_*^q (a\sqrt{n(n+2)\kappa_*} \rho_*^{\alpha-1} + b)^q \\ &\leq \delta^{-q} \rho_*^{q\alpha} (a\sqrt{n(n+2)\kappa_*} + b)^q \\ &\leq \delta^{-q} \rho_*^{q\alpha} 2^{q/p} \left\{ \left( a\sqrt{n(n+2)\kappa_*} \right)^q + b^q \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the choice of  $\rho_*$  and  $\varepsilon_*$  imply

$$\delta^{-q}(\theta^k \rho)^q (a|D\ell|_{x_0, \theta^k \rho} + b)^q \leq \delta^{-q} 2^{q/p} \varepsilon_* \leq 2^{-4+q/p} \delta^{2-q} \leq \frac{\delta}{8}. \quad (4.29)$$

Therefore combining (4.28) and (4.29), we have (4.27). We may thus apply Lemma 4.4 with the radius  $\theta^k \rho$  instead of  $\rho$ , which yields

$$\Psi(\theta^{k+1} \rho) \leq C_3 \theta^2 \Psi_*(\theta^k \rho) < 4C_3 \theta^2 \varepsilon_* \leq \varepsilon_*,$$

by the choice of  $\theta$ . We have thus established the first part of the assertion ( $A_{k+1}$ ) and it remains to prove the second one, that is, the one concerning  $C_\alpha(x_0, \theta^{k+1} \rho)$ . For this aim, we first compute

$$\frac{1}{(\theta^k \rho)^2} \int_{B_{\theta^k \rho}(x_0)} |u - \ell_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}|^2 dx \leq (1 + |D\ell_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}|)^2 \Psi(\theta^k \rho) \leq 2\varepsilon_* + 2\varepsilon_* |D\ell_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}|^2$$

where we used the assumption ( $A_k$ ) in the last step. Since  $\ell_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}(x) = u_{x_0, \theta^k \rho} + D\ell_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}(x - x_0)$ , we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} C_\alpha(x_0, \theta^{k+1} \rho) &\leq (\theta^{k+1} \rho)^{-2\alpha} \int_{B_{\theta^{k+1} \rho}(x_0)} |u - u_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}|^2 dx \\ &\leq 2(\theta^{k+1} \rho)^{-2\alpha} \left[ \int_{B_{\theta^{k+1} \rho}(x_0)} |u - \ell_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}|^2 dx + |D\ell_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}|^2 (\theta^{k+1} \rho)^2 \right] \\ &\leq 2(\theta^{k+1} \rho)^{-2\alpha} \left[ \theta^{-n} \int_{B_{\theta^k \rho}(x_0)} |u - \ell_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}|^2 dx + |D\ell_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}|^2 (\theta^{k+1} \rho)^2 \right] \\ &\leq 4(\theta^k \rho)^{2-2\alpha} [\varepsilon_* \theta^{-n-2\alpha} + |D\ell_{x_0, \theta^k \rho}|^2 (\varepsilon_* \theta^{-n-2\alpha} + \theta^{2-2\alpha})]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.23) and recalling the choice of  $\rho_*$ ,  $\varepsilon_*$  and  $\theta$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} C_\alpha(x_0, \theta^{k+1} \rho) &\leq 4\rho_*^{2-2\alpha} [\varepsilon_* \theta^{-n-2\alpha} + n(n+2)\kappa_* \rho_*^{2-2\alpha} (\varepsilon_* \theta^{-n-2\alpha} + \theta^{2-2\alpha})] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \rho_*^{2-2\alpha} \theta^{2-2\alpha} + 8n(n+2)\kappa_* \theta^{2-2\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \kappa_* + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_* < \kappa_*. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the second part of the assertion ( $A_{k+1}$ ) and finally we conclude the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Now, to obtain the regularity result (Theorem 2.2), it is similar arguments as in [1, Section 3.5] by using Lemma 4.5.

### Acknowledgments

This results are obtained as the Master thesis in Tokyo University of Science under advising by Professor Atsushi Tachikawa. The author thanks Professor Atsushi Tachikawa for helpful discussions.

### References

- [1] V. Bögelein, F. Duzaar, J. Habermann, and C. Scheven, *Partial Hölder continuity for discontinuous elliptic problems with VMO-coefficients*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **103** (2011), 371–404.
- [2] S. Campanato, *Equazioni ellittiche del II° ordine e spazi  $\mathfrak{L}^{(2,\lambda)}$* , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **69** (1965), 321–381.

- [3] S. Campanato, *Hölder continuity and partial Hölder continuity results for  $H^{1,q}$ -solutions of nonlinear elliptic systems with controlled growth*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano **52** (1982), 435–472 (1985).
- [4] S. Campanato, *Hölder continuity of the solutions of some nonlinear elliptic systems*, Adv. in Math. **48** (1983), 16–43.
- [5] S. Chen and Z. Tan, *Optimal interior partial regularity for nonlinear elliptic systems under the natural growth condition: the method of  $A$ -harmonic approximation*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. **27** (2007), 491–508.
- [6] F. Duzaar and A. Gastel, *Nonlinear elliptic systems with Dini continuous coefficients*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **78** (2002), 58–73.
- [7] F. Duzaar and J. F. Grotowski, *Optimal interior partial regularity for nonlinear elliptic systems: the method of  $A$ -harmonic approximation*, Manuscripta Math. **103** (2000), 267–298.
- [8] M. Foss and G. Mingione, *Partial continuity for elliptic problems*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **25** (2008), 471–503.
- [9] M. Giaquinta and L. Martinazzi, *An introduction to the regularity theory for elliptic systems, harmonic maps and minimal graphs*, Appunti. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (Nuova Serie) [Lecture Notes. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (New Series)], vol. 2, Edizioni della Normale, Pisa, 2005.
- [10] M. Giaquinta and G. Modica, *Almost-everywhere regularity results for solutions of nonlinear elliptic systems*, Manuscripta Math. **28** (1979), 109–158.
- [11] M. Giaquinta and G. Modica, *Partial regularity of minimizers of quasiconvex integrals*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **3** (1986), 185–208.
- [12] M. Kronz, *Partial regularity results for minimizers of quasiconvex functionals of higher order*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **19** (2002), 81–112.
- [13] Y. Qiu, *Optimal partial regularity of second order nonlinear elliptic systems with Dini continuous coefficients for the superquadratic case*, Nonlinear Anal. **75** (2012), 3574–3590.
- [14] M. A. Ragusa and A. Tachikawa, *Regularity of minimizers of some variational integrals with discontinuity*, Z. Anal. Anwend. **27** (2008), 469–482.
- [15] S. Z. Zheng, *Partial regularity for quasi-linear elliptic systems with VMO coefficients under the natural growth*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A **29** (2008), 49–58.

Taku Kanazawa  
 Graduate School of Mathematics  
 Nagoya University  
 Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8602, JAPAN  
 taku.kanazawa@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp