GENERALIZING THE CONNES MOSCOVICI HOPF ALGEBRA TO CONTAIN ALL ROOTED TREES

SUSAMA AGARWALA AND COLLEEN DELANEY

ABSTRACT. This paper defines a generalization of the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra, $\mathcal{H}(1)$ that contains the entire Hopf algebra of rooted trees. A relationship between the former, a much studied object in noncommutative geometry, and the latter, a much studied object in perturbative Quantum Field Theory, has been established by Connes and Kreimer. The results of this paper open the door to study the cohomology of the Hopf algebra of rooted trees.

Hopf algebra, renormalization, Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra [2010]16Y30, 81T75

Connes and Moscovici define a family of Hopf algebras, $\mathcal{H}(n)$, on *n*-dimensional flat manifolds in [7]. The action of these Hopf algebras on the group of smooth functions on the frame bundle, crossed with local diffeomorphism on M, is a well studied object in non-commutative geometry.

In [6], Connes and Kreimer delineate a remarkable set of similarities between the Hopf algebra of rooted trees, \mathcal{H}_{rt} , which is important to understanding the perturbative regularization of Quantum Field Theories (QFTs), and $\mathcal{H}(1)$. The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}(1)$ is defined by a Lie algebra generated by two vector fields on the orientation preserving frame bundle F^+M , X and Y, and a set of linear operators $\{\delta_i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The linear operators correspond to a sub Hopf algebra of \mathcal{H}_{rt} , the vector field Y corresponds to the grading operator on \mathcal{H}_{rt} and the vector field X to the natural growth operator on \mathcal{H}_{rt} .

While a lot is known about the cohomologies of $\mathcal{H}(n)$, little is explicitly known about the cohomology classes of \mathcal{H}_{rt} . In particular, since the Lie algebra associated to the Lie group Spec \mathcal{H}_{rt} is freely generated, the Hochschild cohomologies $HH^{\bullet}(\mathcal{H}_{rt}) = 0$ for $\bullet > 1$. There is a one to one correspondence between generators of $HH^{1}(\mathcal{H}_{rt})$ and primitive elements of \mathcal{H}_{rt} [1]. Many primitive elements are known, but only one element of $HH^{1}\mathcal{H}_{rt}$.

The one known Hochschild one co-cycle, the grafting operator B_+ , however, plays an important role in understanding the combinatorics underlying the Dyson Schwinger equations for perturbative QFTs [1, 9, 10]. Knowing more about cohomology of \mathcal{H}_{rt} will lead to a better understanding of the process of perturbative regularization of QFTs, as well as the deep connection between non-commutative geometry and renormalization of the same. Framing the full Hopf algebra of rooted trees in the context of $\mathcal{H}(1)$ is a first step in this direction.

This paper introduces a generalization of $\mathcal{H}(1)$, $\mathcal{H}_{rt}(1)$, that contains all of \mathcal{H}_{rt} as a sub Hopf algebra. Key to this construction is an observation by Cayley in 1881 relating rooted trees to a particular first order differential equation. We use this to generalize the natural growth operator on \mathcal{H}_{rt} , and thus to define a family of vector fields X_t on F^+M , corresponding to the generalized natural growth operators. The commutation of these vector fields X_t with the element δ_1 in $\mathcal{H}(1)$ gives a family of linear operators δ_t , each corresponding to a rooted tree $t \in \mathcal{H}_{rt}$. In order to build this Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{rt}(1)$, we have to relax the requirement that the manifold M is flat.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 1 recalls the construction of Cayley, and the relationship between differentials and rooted trees. Section 2 recalls the definition of \mathcal{H}_{rt} , and the natural growth operator. We generalize the natural growth operator, and show that all of \mathcal{H}_{rt} can be generated by repeated application of the generalized natural growth operator to the single vertex tree. Section 3 recalls the definition of $\mathcal{H}(1)$ and constructs the generalized Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{rt}(1)$.

1. A Relationship between rooted trees and differentials

A relationship between rooted trees and differentials has been known since Cayley's work in 1881 [5]. This relationship is fundamental to Butcher's work to solve differential equations using the Runge Kutta method

[4]. For a brief but clear exposition of the results in this section, see [3]. Let $\mathbf{x}(s)$ be a vector field and

(1)
$$\frac{d}{ds}\mathbf{x}(s) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \; .$$

the system of differential equation of interest to Butcher. The Butcher group for this system of differential equations is the Lie group defining the Hopf algebra of rooted trees. Specifically, let \mathcal{H}_{rt} be the Hopf algebra of rooted trees, defined in section 2 of this paper. Let G be the Butcher group for this differential equation. Then \mathcal{H}_{rt} is the graded dual of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of G

$$\mathcal{H}_{rt} = \mathcal{U}^{\vee}(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$$

The group G defines the combinatorics at the heart of \mathcal{H}_{rt} . For more details, see [8]. For a detailed exposition on the relationship between the Butcher group and the Hopf algebra of rooted trees, see [3].

For the rest of this paper, we consider differential equations of the form (1). For now, let $\mathbf{x}(s)$ be a smooth vector field

$$\mathbf{x}(s): \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

In section 3, we will generalize these arguments from \mathbb{R}^n to more general manifolds.

Definition 1. Write $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$. We use the shorthand $\partial_{i_1...i_k} f(\mathbf{x}) := \partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \dots \partial_{i_k} f(\mathbf{x})$.

In the context where $\mathbf{x}(s)$ is a vector field on \mathbb{R}^n , the following correspondence exists between derivations of $\mathbf{x}(s)$ and non-planar rooted trees.

Definition 2. Rooted trees are non-planar simply connected, oriented graphs with a marked vertex, called the root. All the edges of t are oriented away from the root.

In this case, rooted trees are drawn root vertex up, indicated by a circle around the root vertex. Cayley associates sums of rooted trees to the derivative $\frac{d^n}{ds^n} \mathbf{x}(s)$ as follows.

- (1) The expression $\frac{d^n}{ds^n} \mathbf{x}(s)$ is written in terms of sums of products of functions of the form $\partial_{i_1...i_k} f^j(\mathbf{x})$ by the chain rule and equation (1). Each such summand has a total of n-1 derivatives.
- (2) Each appearance of the term $f^{j}(\mathbf{x})$ corresponds to a vertex of the rooted tree.
- (3) Each appearance of a ∂_i corresponds to an edge flowing from its associated vertex, connecting the vertex the derivative acts upon to another vertex indexed by the same *i*.

The table below gives a few examples of this procedure.

$$\frac{d}{ds}\mathbf{x}(s) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\frac{d^{2}}{ds^{2}}\mathbf{x}(s) = \frac{d}{ds}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \frac{d}{ds}\mathbf{x}(t) =$$

$$\frac{d^{2}}{\partial_{i}f^{j}(\mathbf{x})\frac{d}{ds}x^{i}(s)}$$

$$\frac{d^{3}}{\partial_{i}f^{j}(\mathbf{x})\frac{d}{ds}x^{i}(s)$$

$$\frac{d^{3}}{ds^{3}}\mathbf{x}(s) = \frac{d}{ds}(\partial_{i}f^{j}(\mathbf{x}))f^{i} + \partial_{i}f^{j}\frac{d}{ds}f^{i} =$$

$$(\partial_{ik}f^{j})f^{k}f^{i} + (\partial_{i}f^{j})(\partial_{k}f^{i})f^{k}f^{k}$$

$$\frac{d^{4}}{ds^{4}}\mathbf{x}(s) = (\partial_{ikl}f^{j})f^{l}f^{k}f^{i} + 2(\partial_{ik}f^{j})(\partial_{l}f^{i})f^{l}f^{k} + (\partial_{i}f^{j})(\partial_{lk}f^{i})f^{l}f^{k} + (\partial_{i}f^{j})(\partial_{lk}f^{i})f^{l}f^{k} + (\partial_{i}f^{j})(\partial_{lk}f^{i})f^{l}f^{k} + (\partial_{i}f^{j})(\partial_{lk}f^{i})f^{l}f^{k} + (\partial_{i}f^{j})(\partial_{k}f^{i})f^{l}f^{k} + (\partial_{i}f^{j})(\partial_{lk}f^{i})f^{l}f^{k} + (\partial_{i}f^{j})(\partial_{lk}f^{j})f^{l}f^{k} + (\partial_{i}f^{j})(\partial_{lk}f^{j})f^{k} + (\partial_{i}f^{j})(\partial_{lk}f^{j})f^{k} + (\partial_{i}f^{j$$

Notice that the n^{th} derivative of $\mathbf{x}(s)$ with respect to s gives rise to a linear combination of trees with n vertices. In fact, it is a linear combination of all the trees with n vertices.

For a fixed differential equation as in (1) above, following Butcher [4], one can associate to any rooted tree t a function of f and its derivatives,

$$\phi: \mathcal{H}_{rt} \to C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$$
.

In order to make this map explicit, we define some notation.

Definition 3. (1) Let V(t) be the vertex set of a tree, and E(t) the set of edges.

- (2) The *fertility* of a vertex, v, is the number of edges for which v is the initial vertex. Write this *fert(v)*.
 (3) If there is an edge flowing from a vertex v to a vertex w, we say that w is the daughter of v, or that v is the parent of w.
- (4) The only vertex on a connected rooted tree without a parent is the root. Any vertex with fertility 0 are leaf vertices.

To fix notation, define $\frac{d}{ds}x^i = f^i = \phi^i(\bullet)$. The map ϕ is defined componentwise on rooted trees. To calculate $\phi^j(t)$, assign an index i_v to each vertex $v \in V(t)$. If r is the root vertex of t, then $i_r = j$. Then

(2)
$$\phi^{j}(t) = \prod_{v \in V(t)} \left(\prod_{w \text{ daughter of } v} \partial_{i_{w}} \right) \phi^{i_{v}}(\bullet)$$

For instance, given a tree



one has

$$\phi^k(t) = (\partial_{ij}\phi^k(\bullet))(\partial_l\phi^i(\bullet))\phi(\bullet)^j\phi(\bullet)^l$$

Given a fixed differential equation, one can view the map ϕ as assigning a differential operator to the tree t.

Definition 4. Let t be a rooted tree, with root vertex r. Write

$$\phi_t = \left[\prod_{v \in V(t) \setminus r} \left(\prod_{w \text{ daughter of } v} \partial_{i_w}\right) \phi^{i_v}(\bullet)\right] \prod_{w \text{ daughter of } r} \partial_{i_w}$$

This identifies a family of differential operators indexed by rooted trees. Each of these differential operators defines a linear map on smooth functions in the obvious way.

In particular, in this notation, $\phi(t)^i = \phi_t(\phi(\bullet)^i)$. Later in the paper, we replace the function f^j decorating the root vertex of $\phi(t)^j$ with a different function, and consider functions of the form

(3)
$$\phi_t(h) = \left[\prod_{v \in V(t) \setminus r} \left(\prod_{w \text{ daughter of } v} \partial_{i_w}\right) \phi^{i_v}(\bullet)\right] \prod_{w \text{ daughter of } r} \partial_{i_w} h ,$$

for $h \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular, $\phi_{\bullet}(h) = h$.

2. The Hopf Algebra of rooted trees

Let \mathcal{H}_{rt} be the Hopf algebra of rooted trees. This Hopf algebra is defined in detail in [6] and summarized here. Consider the vector field generated by all rooted trees t

$\mathbb{Q}\langle t|t \text{ rooted tree} \rangle$.

This is a unital algebra with the unit representing the empty tree, $1 = \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{rt}} = t_{\emptyset}$. Multiplication on this algebra is commutative, and corresponds to the disjoint union of trees. The product, or disjoint union, of non-trivial trees is called a forest.

The algebra \mathcal{H}_{rt} is graded by the number of vertices in the tree

$$\mathcal{H}_{rt} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{rt,i}; \quad \mathcal{H}_{rt,0} = \mathbb{Q}; \quad \mathcal{H}_{rt,i} = \mathbb{Q}\langle \{t | t \text{ tree or forest with } i \text{ vertices} \} \rangle.$$

There is a grading operator Y on \mathcal{H}_{rt} such that for a single tree with |V(t)| = n vertices, $t \in \mathcal{H}_{rt,n}$, Y(t) = nt. Before defining the coproduct structure on \mathcal{H}_{rt} , we define a few terms.

Definition 5. A proper admissible cut of a tree t is a collection of edges, $c \in E(t)$, such that any path from a root vertex to a leaf vertex contains at most one such edge.

Removing the edges corresponding to an admissible cut of t gives a set of subtrees of t.

Definition 6. The subtree of t associated to a proper admissible cut c that contains the root vertex of t is the root subtree defined by c, $R_c(t)$. The other set of subtrees is the pruned forest defined by c, $P_c(t) = \prod_i P_{c,i}(t)$. Each $P_{c,i}(t)$ is a single tree in this forest. The root vertex of each $P_{c,i}(t)$ is defined by the orientation of t.

The set of admissible cuts of a tree consists of the proper admissible cuts and two trivial cuts, one such that $R_c(t) = t$ (called the *empty cut*), and one such that $P_c(t) = t$ (called the *full cut*).

The bialgebra structure on \mathcal{H}_{rt} is given by a coproduct

$$\Delta(t) = \sum_{c \text{ admis. cut}} P_c(t) \otimes R_c(t) .$$

This coproduct is compatible with multiplication on \mathcal{H}_{rt} . Let c be an admissible cut of t and c' an admissible cut of t'. Then

$$\Delta(tt') = \sum_{c \text{ admis. cut } c'} \sum_{\text{admis. cut}} P_c(t) P_{c'}(t') \otimes R_c(t) R_{c'}(t)$$

This is a grading preserving coassociative coproduct. The co-unit is given by

$$\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} t & t \in \mathcal{H}_{rt,0} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Thus defined, \mathcal{H}_{rt} is a connected graded bialgebra over a commutative ring, \mathbb{Q} . Therefore, it is a Hopf algebra. The antipode is given by

$$S(t) = -t - \sum_{c \text{ proper admis. cut}} P_c(t)S(R_c(t))$$
.

The map ϕ defined in (2) is an algebra homomorphism. For a forest tt',

$$\phi^i(tt') = \phi^i(t)\phi^i(t') \,.$$

Furthermore

$$\Delta \phi^i(t) = (\phi^i \otimes \phi^i) \Delta t \; .$$

2.1. Two operators on \mathcal{H}_{rt} . In [6] the authors identify two operators on \mathcal{H}_{rt} of importance to the analysis in this paper. The first, called a natural growth operator, denoted N, is a derivation on \mathcal{H}_{rt} . The other, called a grafting operator, denoted B_+ , is linear operator on \mathcal{H}_{rt} , and a Hochschild one-cocycle [2]. In this section, we review these two operators.

In [6], the authors define a sub Hopf algebra of \mathcal{H}_{rt} generated by elements formed by repeated application of the natural growth operator on the single vertex tree.

Definition 7. The natural growth operator

$$N: \mathcal{H}_{rt,i} \to \mathcal{H}_{rt,i+1}$$

maps a tree, t, to a sum of trees, N(t), formed by increasing the fertility of each vertex of t by one. Each tree in the sum has one more vertex than t.

For instance,

This is a derivation on \mathcal{H}_{rt} . In particular, for t, s, two rooted tress,

$$N(t+s) = N(t) + N(s) .$$

It can be extended to act on forests: $N(t_1 \dots t_n)$ gives a sum of forests defined by increasing the valence each vertex in the *forest* by one. In particular, for t and s rooted trees,

$$N(ts) = N(t)s + tN(s) .$$

Natural growth is closely related to B_+ , the grafting operator. This linear operator maps a forest to a single tree formed by connecting each root vertex of the forest to a new root vertex. Write this operator

$$B_+:\mathcal{H}_{rt}\to\mathcal{H}_{rt}$$
.

On a forest t_1t_2 , this is defined

$$B_+(t_1t_2) = \underbrace{t_1}^{\bullet} t_2$$

Specifically, if $t_1 = t_2 = \bullet$, then

$$B_+(\bullet \bullet) = \bigwedge_{\bullet} \cdot \cdot$$

This can be extended by linearity to all of \mathcal{H}_{rt} .

Notice that any rooted tree $t \in \mathcal{H}_{rt,m}$ can be written as a grafting of a product of trees $B_+(t_1 \dots t_n)$, with $t_1 \dots t_n \in \mathcal{H}_{rt,m-1}$. There is a unique admissible cut, c, of t such that R_c is a one valent tree. The argument of B_+ is the pruned forest defined by this cut, $P_c = t_1 \dots t_n$.

Remark 1. The operator B_+ is a Hochschild one-cocycle on \mathcal{H}_{rt} [1]. This is seen from the coproduct of the B_+ operator on forests:

(4)
$$\Delta B_+(t_1 \dots t_n) = (\mathbb{I} \otimes B_+) \Delta(t_1 \dots t_n) + B_+(t_1 \dots t_n) \otimes 1$$

Using the notation of section 1, given an initial value problem of the form (1), we associate differential operators to the natural growth and grafting operators.

Lemma 1. Given a fixed ODE, applying natural growth to a rooted tree, t, corresponds to taking a derivative by the parameter s of the function $\phi^i(t)$:

$$\phi^i(N(t)) = \frac{d}{ds}\phi^i(t) \; .$$

Proof. From equation (2),

$$\phi(t)^{j} = \prod_{v \in V(t)} \left(\prod_{w \text{ daughter of } v} \partial_{i_{w}} \right) \phi(\bullet)^{i_{v}} .$$

Writing the operator $\frac{d}{ds} = \phi(\bullet)^i \partial_i$, we see that

(5)
$$\frac{d}{ds}\phi(t)^{j} = \phi(\bullet)^{i}\partial_{i} \left[\prod_{v \in V(t)} \left(\prod_{w \text{ daughter of } v} \partial_{i_{w}}\right)\phi^{i_{v}}(\bullet)\right] .$$

The natural growth operator gives an extra leaf daughter to each vertex. Give these new vertices the index u' if u is the parent vertx. Therefore, assign to each new leaf, the function $\phi^{i_{v'}}$. Under this notation,

(6)
$$\phi^{i}(N(t)) = \sum_{u \in V(t)} \phi^{i_{u'}}(\bullet) \prod_{v \in V(t)} \partial_{i_{u'}} \left(\prod_{w \text{ daughter of } v} \partial_{i_w} \right) \phi^{i_v}(\bullet)$$

Comparing equations (5) and (6) shows that the latter is exactly the former after the product rule has been applied.

As a result, write

(7)

$$\phi_N = \phi(\bullet)^i \partial_i \; .$$

In particular, this implies that

$$\phi(\delta_k)^i = \frac{d^k}{ds^k} x^i(s) \; .$$

Unlike the case for natural growth, which is a derivation on \mathcal{H}_{rt} , B_+ is not a derivation on that Hopf Algebra. Therefore, there is not a natural derivation on the vector fields $\phi(t)^i$ defined by B_+ . The linear operator, however, does define a simplification of Definition 4.

For t a rooted tree, write $t = B_+(t_1 \dots t_n)$,

(8)
$$\phi^{i}(t) = \phi^{i}(B_{+}(t_{1}\dots t_{n})) = (\prod_{j=1}^{n} \phi_{t_{j}})\phi^{i}(\bullet) .$$

Similarly, the operator ϕ_t from definition 4 can be written in terms of B_+ :

(9)
$$\phi_t = \prod_{j=1}^n \phi_{t_j}(\phi^{i_j}(\bullet)) \prod_{j=1}^n \partial_{i_j}$$

Finally, we note the differential operator associated to $N_t(t')$, for t and t' rooted trees.

Lemma 2. Composing the differential operators ϕ_{N_t} and $\phi_{t'}$ gives

$$\phi_{N_t}\phi_{t'}=\phi_{N_t(t')}.$$

Proof. Let $t' = B_+(t_1 \dots t_n)$. From equations (16) and (9),

$$\phi_{N_t}\phi_{t'} = \phi^k(t)\partial_k \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \phi_t(\phi^{i_j}(\bullet))(\prod_{j=1}^n \partial_{i_j})\right) = \phi^k(t)\partial_k(\prod_{j=1}^n \phi_t(\phi^{i_j}(\bullet)))(\prod_{j=1}^n \partial_{i_j}) + \phi^k(t)(\prod_{j=1}^n \phi_t(\phi^{i_j}(\bullet)))(\partial_k \prod_{j=1}^n \partial_{i_j}).$$

By equation (12) and Definition 4, the second line evaluates to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{B_{+}(t_{1}...N_{t}(t_{i})...t_{n})} + \phi_{B_{+}(tt_{1}...t_{n})} = \phi_{N_{t}(t')} .$$

2.2. Properties and generalizations of N and B_+ . On the level of trees, there is no reason to limit natural growth only to growth by one vertex. One can grow a tree by any other tree. This realization is key to the analysis in this paper.

In this section, we develop this generalization of the natural growth operator, and explore some of its properties.

Definition 8. For any tree $t \in \mathcal{H}_{rt}$, there is a generalized natural growth operator

$$N_t: \mathcal{H}_{rt,i} \to \mathcal{H}_{rt,i+Y(t)}$$

that maps a tree to a sum of trees, each formed by increasing the fertility of a vertex by one. This additional edge connects the vertex v of the original tree to the root vertex of t.

For instance, let



Then the tree



In the first summand, the tree t is hung off the root vertex of δ_2 . In the second summand, it is hung off the unique leaf vertex.

There are two special cases to be taken into consideration. Since $1 \in \mathcal{H}_{rt}$ corresponds to the empty tree with no vertices,

(10)
$$N_t(1) = 0$$
.

This is as one expects, the derivation of a constant is 0. Similarly, one may grow a tree t by the empty tree. If one adds a trivial tree to each vertex of t, this operation simply counts the number of vertices of t. In other words,

(11)
$$N_1(t) = Y(t)$$

The natural growth and the B_+ operator are related. For any tree t with root fertility n, there exists a product of trees $t_1 \ldots t_n$ such that

$$t = B_+(t_1 \dots t_n) \; .$$

Applying natural growth by s gives

(12)
$$N_s(t) = B_+(st_1...t_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n B_+(t_1...N_s(t_i)...t_n) .$$

The first summand corresponds to attaching s to the root vertex of t. In particular,

(13)
$$N_t(\bullet) = B_+(t) \; .$$

Finally, we calculate the composition of the coproduct with the generalized natural growth operator.

Theorem 1. Let t, s be rooted trees. The coproduct of the operator N_t is given by

$$\Delta N_s(t) = (N_s \otimes \mathbb{I}) \Delta t + \sum_{c \text{ admis. cut}} (P_c(s) \otimes N_{R_c(s)}) \Delta t \ .$$

Proof. Write $t = B_+(t_1 \dots t_n)$. By equation (12), write

$$N_s(t) = B_+(st_1...t_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n B_+(t_1...N_s(t_i)...t_n)$$

Then by (4),

$$\Delta N_s(t) = (\mathbb{I} \otimes B_+) \Delta(st_1 \dots t_n) + B_+(st_1 \dots t_n) \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{I} \otimes B_+) \Delta(t_1 \dots N_s(t_i) \dots t_n) + B_+(t_1 \dots N_s(t_i) \dots t_n) \otimes 1.$$

The first line comes from $\Delta B_+(st_1...t_n)$, the second line from $\Delta \sum_{i=1}^n B_+(t_1...N_s(t_i)...t_n)$. Collecting terms gives

(14)
$$\Delta N_s(t) = (\mathbb{I} \otimes B_+) \Delta(st_1 \dots t_n) + N_s(t) \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{I} \otimes B_+) \Delta(t_1 \dots N_s(t_i) \dots t_n) .$$

The rest of this proof proceeds by induction on the number of vertices of t.

If $t = \bullet$, by (13),

$$\Delta N_s(\bullet) = \Delta B_+(s) = (\mathbb{I} \otimes B_+)\Delta(s) + B_+(s) \otimes 1$$

Applying (13) again gives

$$\Delta N_s(\bullet) = \sum_{c \text{ admis. cut}} (P_c(s) \otimes N_{R_c(s)}) \Delta \bullet + (N_s \otimes \mathbb{I}) \Delta \bullet$$

This proves the theorem for graphs of valence 1.

Suppose the theorem holds for all trees with fewer than k vertices. Consider t to be a tree with k vertices. Then the equation (14) reads

$$\Delta N_s(t) = N_s(t) \otimes 1 + \sum_{c \text{ admis. cut}} P_c(s) P_c(t_1 \dots t_n) \otimes B_+(R_c(s) R_c(t_1 \dots t_n)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(P_c(t_1) \dots N_s(P_c(t_i)) \dots P_c(t_n) \otimes B_+(R_c(t_1 \dots t_n)) + P_c(s) P_c(t_1 \dots t_n) \otimes B_+(R_c(t_1) \dots N_{R_c(s)}(t_i) \dots \mathbb{R}_c(t_n)) \right)$$

Combining the first summand with the third, and the second summand with the fourth (using equation (12), one gets

$$\Delta N_s(t) = (N_s \otimes \mathbb{I}) \Delta t + \sum_{c \text{ admis. cut}} (P_c(s) \otimes N_{R_c(s)}) \Delta t .$$

This proves the theorem.

As with natural growth by a vertex, the generalize natural growth operator on trees corresponds to a derivation.

Lemma 3. In general, for t and t' rooted trees,

(15)
$$\phi^i(N_t(t')) = \phi^j(t)\partial_j(\phi^i(t')) .$$

Proof. This proof is a generalization of Lemma 1, when $t = \bullet$, and $\frac{d}{ds} = \phi^j(\bullet)\partial_j$. Natural growth by t increases the fertility of each vertex by one. This is represented in the right hand side of (15) by differentiation with respect to $x^{j}(s)$. Instead of growing by a single vertex, N_{t} grows by the tree t. This is represented by contraction with $\phi^{j}(t)$.

Corollary 1. Writing $Y(t) = N_1(t)$, as in (11), and $\phi^i(1) = x^i(s)$, Lemma 15 implies that

$$\phi^i(Y(t)) = x^j(s)\partial_j(\phi^i(t))$$

Just as in equation (3), we associate a differential operator to trees, in this paper, we also associate an operator to (general) natural growth. In particular:

(16)
$$\phi_{N_t} = \phi^j(t)\partial_j \,.$$

2.3. Generating \mathcal{H}_{rt} using generalized natural growth operators. In [6], the authors generated a sub Hopf algebra, $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}_{rt}$, of rooted trees using only natural growth by a single vertex. This Hopf algebra of rooted trees is defined by a set of generators

$$N^{k-1}(\bullet) = \delta_k$$

In loc. cit., the authors show that the algebra $\mathbb{Q}[\{\delta_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\}]$ is a Hopf algebra.

Below are the trees for the first few δ_k .

$$\delta_1 = \textcircled{\bullet}$$
$$\delta_2 = \textcircled{\bullet}$$



Definition 9. Let $\mathcal{H}_{CK} = \mathbb{Q}[\{\delta_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\}]$ be the Hopf algebra defined in [6], generated by the terms δ_k .

In this, and the following section, we generalize the work of [6], and show a general algorithm for generating sub Hopf algebras of \mathcal{H}_{rt} by applying the generalized natural growth operators. In particular, we show that all of \mathcal{H}_{rt} can be generated by generalized natural growth operators.

Theorem 2. The Hopf algebra of rooted trees, \mathcal{H}_{rt} , can be generated by elements formed by repeated application of operators of the form N_t to the tree with a single vertex.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that any tree $t \in \mathcal{H}_{rt}$ can be written as a finite linear combination of elements in the set

$$\{N_{t_n}(\ldots(N_{t_1}(\bullet))\ldots)|n\in\mathbb{N},t_i \text{ rooted tree}\}$$
.

We proceed by induction on the fertility of the root vertex of t. Any rooted tree t with root fertility one can be written $t = B_+(t_1)$,

$$t = B_+(t_1) = N_{t_1}(\bullet)$$
.

By induction, suppose all trees with root fertility m-1, that is of the form $B_+(t_1 \dots t_{m-1})$, can be written as a linear combination of trees formed by repeated application of general natural growth operators. By (12), the tree $t = B_+(t_1 \dots t_m)$ can be written

$$t = N_{t_m}(B_+(t_1 \dots t_{m-1})) - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} B_+(t_1 \dots N_{t_m}(t_i) \dots t_{m-1}) .$$

Since $B_+(t_1 \dots t_{m-1})$ and $B_+(t_1 \dots N_{t_m}(t_i) \dots t_{m-1})$ both have root fertility m-1, then t can be written as a linear combination of multiple natural growth operators on the single vertex tree.

2.4. Sub Hopf algebras generated by natural growth. One can generate sub Hopf algebras of \mathcal{H}_{rt} by careful selection of a family of trees by which to grow.

Definition 10. Let S be a set of rooted trees. This defines a set of natural growth operators

$$\mathcal{N}(S) = \{ N_t | t \in S \} .$$

Define \mathcal{A}_S as the sub algebra of \mathcal{H}_{rt} generated by the trees in S and the repeated application of operators in $\mathcal{N}(S)$. That is

$$\mathcal{A}_S = \mathbb{Q}[S][\{N_{t_n}(\ldots(N_{t_1}(s))\ldots)|n\in\mathbb{N}, t_i, s\in S\}].$$

By construction, if $u \in \mathcal{A}_S$ and $t \in S$, then $N_t(u) \in \mathcal{A}_S$.

Theorem 3. If $\mathbb{Q}[S] \in \mathcal{H}_{rt}$ is a Hopf algebra under Δ , then so is \mathcal{A}_S .

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\Delta: \mathbb{Q}[S] \to \mathbb{Q}[S] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[S] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta: \mathcal{A}_S \to \mathcal{A}_S \otimes \mathcal{A}_S$$

Since, $\mathbb{Q}[S]$ and \mathcal{A}_S are graded and connected, this implies that they are Hopf algebras.

Consider $s, t \in S, N_t(s) \in \mathcal{A}_S$. By Theorem 1,

(17)
$$\Delta N_t(s) = (N_t \otimes \mathbb{I})\Delta s + \sum_{\substack{c_t \text{ admis. cut} \\ \text{of } t}} (P_{c_t}(t) \otimes N_{R_{c_t}(t)})\Delta s .$$

If $\mathbb{Q}[S]$ is a Hopf algebra, $\Delta(s) \in \mathbb{Q}[S] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[S]$. The first term in (1) is

$$(N_t \otimes \mathbb{I})\Delta s = \sum_{\substack{c_s \text{ admis. cut} \\ \text{of } s}} N_t(P_{c_s}(s)) \otimes R_{c_s}(s) \in \mathcal{A}_S \otimes \mathcal{A}_S.$$

The term $N_t(P_{c_s}(s)) \in \mathcal{A}_S$ since $P_{c_s}(s) \in \mathbb{Q}[S]$. Similarly, the second term in equation (17) can be written

$$\sum_{\substack{c_s \text{ admis. cut } c_t \text{ admis. cut} \\ \text{ of } s}} \sum_{\substack{\text{cut } c_t \text{ admis. cut} \\ \text{ of } t}} P_{c_t}(t) P_{c_s}(s) \otimes N_{R_{c_t}(t)}(R_{c_s}(s)) \in \mathcal{A}_S \otimes \mathcal{A}_S }$$

Since the trees $t, s \in S$, the Hopf algebra structure of $\mathbb{Q}[S]$ implies that $R_{c_s}(s)$ and $R_{c_t}(t)$ are as well. Therefore $N_{R_{c_t}(t)}(R_{c_s}(s)) \in \mathcal{A}_S$.

For a set of trees $\{s, t_1 \dots t_n\}$ write $u_{s,t_1\dots t_n} \in \mathcal{A}_S$, defined

$$u_{s,t_1\ldots t_n} = (N_{t_n}(\ldots(N_{t_1}(s))\ldots))$$

To calculate the coproduct of u

$$\Delta(u_{s,t_1...t_n}) = (N_{t_n} \otimes \mathbb{I}) \Delta u_{s,t_1...t_{n-1}} + \sum_{\substack{c \text{ admis. cut} \\ \text{of } t_n}} (P_c(t) \otimes N_{R_c(t)}) \Delta(u_{s,t_1...t_{n-1}}) .$$

By induction, suppose that for all elements of the form $u_{s,t_1,..,t_k}$ for k < n, and $s, t_i \in S$,

$$\Delta u_{s,t_1,\ldots,t_k} \in \mathcal{A}_S \otimes \mathcal{A}_S$$

Then by similar arguments as above,

$$\Delta(u_{s,t_1...t_n}) \in \mathcal{A}_S \otimes \mathcal{A}_S .$$

Since the N_t are algebra homomorphisms, this extends to all $u \in \mathcal{A}_S$.

Example 1. Let $S = \{\bullet\}$. The polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Q}[S]$ is a Hopf algebra since

$$\Delta \bullet = 1 \otimes \bullet + \bullet \otimes 1 \; .$$

The Hopf algebra \mathcal{A}_{\bullet} is \mathcal{H}_{CK} .

The rest of this section is devoted to computing the sub Hopf algebras defined by corollas.

Definition 11. Let C_i be the tree with *i* vertices, i-1 of which have no daughters. These are called corollas.

For instance,

$$C_1 = \textcircled{o}$$
; $C_2 = \textcircled{o}$; $F_3 = \bigwedge$; and $C_i = \underbrace{}_{i-1 \text{ times}}$

The function associated to each corolla is

$$\phi^{j}(C_{i}) = \phi(\bullet)^{k_{1}} \cdots \phi(\bullet)^{k_{i-1}} (\partial_{k_{1} \dots k_{i-1}} \phi(\bullet)^{j})$$

Let $S_k = \{C_i | i \leq k\}$. Using this notation, $\mathcal{H}_{CK} = \mathcal{A}_{S_1}$.

Theorem 4. The algebras \mathcal{A}_{S_k} are Hopf algebras.

Proof. We need only check that $\mathbb{Q}[S_k]$ is a Hopf algebra. To see this, notice that for $n \leq k$,

$$\Delta C_n = 1 \otimes C_n + C_n \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \bullet^i \otimes C_{n-i-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[S_k] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[S_k].$$

 - 1

3. The Connes Moscovici Hopf algebras and their generalizations

In [7], the authors define a Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}(n)$ on vector fields over F^+M , for M *n*-dimensional manifolds. This section recalls their construction, and generalizes it. For a clear explanation of Connes and Moscovici's construction of $\mathcal{H}(n)$, see [13] and [11].

For a n-dimensional smooth manifold, M, let the coordinates

$$x^{\mu}: U \subset M \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

parametrize a coordinate patch $U \subset M$. For $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$, write $y_i^{\mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s_i} x^{\mu}$. Then x^{μ} , y_i^{μ} form a set of local coordinates of TU. In this paper, we consider only the case of 1 dimensional manifolds, though exploring the combinatorial properties of higher dimensions poses an interesting question for future work.

Connes and Moscovici's are only interested in orientation preserving diffeomorphisms on M, and thus only consider orientation preserving frames $F^+(M)$. In the one dimensional case, this means they write

(18)
$$y(s) = e^{z(s)}$$

for some $z : \mathbb{R} \to M$. Their analysis involves the study of the curvature of M and connections on $TF^+(U)$. However, curvature is a meaningless concept for one dimensional manifold. Instead of studying the standard Christoffel symbol on M, define a function

(19)
$$\Gamma(x) = -\frac{1}{(\partial_s x)^2} \partial_s^2 x$$

to take its role in the one dimensional case. If ψ is an orientation preserving local diffeomorphism on M with $\text{Dom}(\psi) \subset U$, then

$$\Gamma(\psi) = -\frac{1}{(\partial_s \psi)^2} \partial_s^2 \psi \; .$$

The Γ function transforms under coordinate change as Christoffel symbols do. Namely,

(20)
$$\Gamma(x)|_{x} = \partial_{x}\psi|_{x}\Gamma(\psi)|_{\psi(x)} + \frac{1}{\partial_{x}\psi}\partial_{x}^{2}\psi|_{x}.$$

The authors then define a connection $\mathfrak{g}(1)$ valued one form on $F^+(U)$,

$$\omega = (y^{-1})(\partial_y + \Gamma y \partial_x)$$

and a vector field over $F^+(U)$

$$(21) Y = ydy$$

which generates the $GL^+(1,\mathbb{R})$ action on $F^+(U)$. The connection defines a horizontal vector field over $F^+(U)$

$$X = y(\partial_x - \Gamma y \partial_y) \; .$$

For $g \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$, using (19), the action of the vector field X simplifies as

(22)
$$X(g) = \frac{d}{ds}g$$

Remark 2. Compare the vector fields (21) and (22) to the vector fields defined in (16). For $t = \bullet$, the vector field $X = \phi_{N_{\bullet}}$. For t = 1, $Y = \phi_{N_1} = \phi_Y$. It is this observation that motivates the analysis in the rest of this paper.

The vector fields X and Y act on the algebra generated by compactly supported functions on M crossed with the pseudo group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of M.

Definition 12. Let $\text{Diff}^+(M)$ be the pseudo group of orientation preserving local diffeomorphisms on M. Define a group

$$\mathcal{A} = C_c^{\infty}(F^+(M)) \rtimes \operatorname{Diff}^+(M)$$

that is the semi-direct product of compactly supported smooth functions on $F^+(M)$ with orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of M. In order to explore the action of X and Y on this algebra, and to generalize them, we first review the construction for \mathcal{A} and some Riemannian geometry, following [6] and [13]. While much of what follows can be generalized to a multi-dimensional manifold, M, we continue our exposition in the one dimensional case, as that is all we study in detail.

Any $\psi \in \text{Diff}^+(M)$ lifts to a diffeomorphism of the frame bundle $\tilde{\psi} \in \text{Diff}^+(F^+M)$

$$\tilde{\psi}: \{x, y\} \to \{\tilde{x}:=\psi(x), \tilde{y}:=y\partial_x\psi\}$$

The group \mathcal{A} is generated by the monomials

$$fU_{\psi}^* \in \mathcal{A} \quad f \in C_c^{\infty}(\text{Dom}\psi) \quad \psi \in \text{Diff}^+(U)$$

The * in the monomial corresponds to the contravariant multiplication

$$U_{\psi_1}^* U_{\psi_2}^* = U_{\psi_2 \circ \psi_1}^*$$

Multiplication in the group is given by

$$(f_1 U_{\psi_1}^*)(f_2 U_{\psi_2}^*) = f_1 U_{\psi_1}^* f_2 U_{\psi_1}^{*-1} U_{\psi_1}^* U_{\psi_2}^* = f_1 \cdot (f_2 \circ \tilde{\psi}_1) U_{\psi_1\psi}^*$$

where \cdot corresponds to point-wise multiplication. Writing $U_{\psi}^{*-1} = U_{\psi-1}^{*}$, commutation with U_{ψ}^{*}

(23)
$$U_{\psi}^* f U_{\psi^{-1}}^* = f(p) \circ \tilde{\psi}(p)$$

results in changing the point of evaluation from $p \in F^+(U)$ to $\tilde{\psi}(p)$. The domain of $f_1 \cdot (f_2 \circ \tilde{\psi}_2)$ is

$$\operatorname{Dom}(\tilde{\psi}_1) \cap \tilde{\psi}_1^{-1}(\operatorname{Dom}\tilde{\psi}_2)$$

The actions of X_i and Y on the monomials fU_{ψ}^* are given by

$$X_i(fU_{\psi}^*) = (X_i f)U_{\psi}^* \qquad Y(fU_{\psi}^*) = (Yf)U_{\psi}^*.$$

Before recalling their actions on products, we recall the pushforwards of these vector fields. For a point $p \in F^+(U)$, and $\tilde{p} = \tilde{\psi}(p)$. Then

(24)
$$\tilde{\psi}_* Y|_{\tilde{p}} f = \tilde{y} \frac{\partial f(\tilde{p})}{\partial \tilde{y}} = y|_{\tilde{p}} \frac{\partial f(p)}{\partial y}|_{\tilde{p}} = Y|_{\tilde{p}} f .$$

That is, the vector field Y is invariant under orientation preserving diffeomorphisms. On the other hand,

$$\tilde{\psi}_* X|_{\tilde{p}} f = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (f \circ \tilde{\psi}(p)) \neq \frac{\partial}{\partial s_i}|_{\tilde{p}} (f) .$$

Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be the Christoffel symbol under the change of coordinates on M induced by the orientation preserving diffeomorphism ψ . Then

(25)
$$\tilde{\psi}_* X|_{\tilde{p}} = \tilde{y}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}} - \tilde{\Gamma} \tilde{y} \partial \tilde{y}) \,.$$

Any vector field V acting on a product of monomials $(f_1 U_{\psi_1}^*)(f_2 U_{\psi_1}^*)$, gives [13]

$$(26) \quad V|_{p}(f_{1}U_{\psi_{1}}^{*})(f_{2}U_{\psi_{1}}^{*}) = V|_{p}(f_{1}) \cdot (f_{2} \circ \tilde{\psi}_{1}(p))U_{\psi_{2}\psi_{1}}^{*} + f_{1}(p) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{1*}V|_{\tilde{\psi}_{1}(p)}f_{2}U_{\psi_{2}\psi_{1}}^{*} = (V(f_{1})U_{\psi_{1}}^{*})(f_{2}U_{\psi_{2}}^{*}) + (f_{1}U_{\psi_{1}}^{*}) \cdot U_{\psi_{1}^{-1}}^{*}\tilde{\psi}_{1*}V|_{\tilde{\psi}_{1}(p)}f_{2}U_{\psi_{1}}^{*}U_{\psi_{2}}^{*} = (V(f_{1})U_{\psi_{1}}^{*})(f_{2}U_{\psi_{2}}^{*}) + (f_{1}U_{\psi_{1}}^{*})(\tilde{\psi}_{1*}V|_{p}f_{2}U_{\psi_{2}}^{*}).$$

By (24), since $\tilde{\psi}_{1*}Y|_p = Y|_p$,

(27)
$$Y|_{p}(f_{1}U_{\psi_{1}}^{*})(f_{2}U_{\psi_{2}}^{*}) = (Y|_{p}(f_{1}U_{\psi_{1}}^{*}))f_{2}U_{\psi_{2}}^{*} + (f_{1}U_{\psi_{1}}^{*})(Y|_{p}(f_{2}U_{\psi_{2}}^{*})).$$

Applying (26) to X_i gives

$$X|_{p}(f_{1}U_{\psi_{1}}^{*})(f_{2}U_{\psi_{1}}^{*}) = (X|_{p}(f_{1})U_{\psi_{1}}^{*})(f_{2}U_{\psi_{2}}^{*}) + (f_{1}U_{\psi_{1}}^{*})((\tilde{\psi}_{1*}X)|_{p}f_{2}U_{\psi_{2}}^{*})$$

Rewrite this

(28)
$$(X(f_1)U_{\psi_1}^*)(f_2U_{\psi_2}^*) + (f_1U_{\psi_1}^*)(X(f_2)U_{\psi_2}^*) + (f_1U_{\psi_1}^*)((\tilde{\psi}_{1*}X - X)(f_2)U_{\psi_2}^*) .$$

By (25),

$$(\tilde{\psi}_{1*}X - X)|_p = \Gamma|_p(yY)|_p - \tilde{\Gamma}|_p(\tilde{y}\tilde{Y})|_p .$$

Definition 13. Write $\gamma|_p(\psi_1)Y = (\tilde{\psi}_{1*}X - X)|_p$. That is,

$$\gamma|_p(\psi) = y\Gamma|_p - \tilde{y}\tilde{Y}|p$$
.

Define a linear operator on \mathcal{A} , δ_1 , such that

$$\delta_1(f_1 U_{\psi_1}^*) = (\gamma|_p(\psi_1) f_1 U_{\psi_1}^*) \, .$$

For $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, equation (28) gives

$$X(ab) = X(a)b + aX(b) + \delta_1(a)Y(b) .$$

Connes and Moscovici show that

$$\delta(ab) = \delta(a)\delta(b) \; .$$

Writing $\psi' = \partial_x \psi$, and using equation (20), at the point $q = \tilde{\psi}(p)$,

(29)
$$\gamma(\psi)|_q = y\Gamma(x)|_q - y\Gamma(x)|_p + \frac{1}{\psi'}\frac{d\psi'}{ds}|_p.$$

Definition 14. Let \mathcal{H}_{CK} be the algebra defined

$$\mathcal{H}_{CK} = \mathbb{Q}[\{\delta_i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}].$$

Let \mathfrak{g}_1 be the Lie algebra generated by X and Y.

In \mathfrak{g}_1 , there is the commutation relation

$$[Y,X] = X .$$

There is a right coaction in the bicrossed product $\mathcal{H}_{CK} \bowtie \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ given by

$$Y \to Y \otimes 1 \quad ; \quad X \to X \otimes 1 + \delta_1 \otimes Y ,$$

and a left coaction

$$X\delta_n = \delta_{n+1}, \quad ; \quad Y\delta_n = n\delta_n \; .$$

This algebra \mathcal{H}_{CK} is actually a Hopf algebra, as shown in [7]. The bicrossed product of these two Hopf algebras, gives the Hopf algebra of interest

$$\mathcal{H}(1) = \mathcal{H}_{CK} \bowtie \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$$
.

This has all been done in the one dimensional case. See [11] for a generalization of this construction to the n dimensional case.

In [6], the authors show that \mathcal{H}_{CK} is isomorphic to the sub Hopf algebra of rooted trees formed by applying the natural growth operator, N_{\bullet} , to the tree \bullet from example 1. The action of the vector field X on \mathcal{H}_{CK} corresponds to the natural growth by a single vertex. The action of the vector field Y on \mathcal{H}_{CK} corresponds to the grading function, or, by (11) natural growth by 1.

3.1. The new Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{rt}(1)$. In this section, we enlarge $\mathcal{H}(1)$ to a Hopf algebra

(30)
$$\mathcal{H}_{rt}(1) := \mathcal{H}_{rt} \bowtie \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{rt}) ,$$

where \mathfrak{g}_{rt} is a Lie algebra generated by vector fields of the form X_t , for t a rooted tree. We spend this section defining this Lie algebra, and showing that the left action of X_t on linear operators $\delta_{t'}$, for some $t' \in \mathcal{H}_{rt}$ corresponds to natural growth of t' by t.

Since the vector field X in $\mathcal{H}(1)$ acts on δ_i as natural growth by \bullet , and $Y(\delta_1) = \delta_1$, we rename these maps to indicate the trees they correspond to in \mathcal{H}_{rt} . Specifically, define

$$X_{\bullet} := X \quad ; \quad \delta_{\bullet} := \delta_1$$

By this notation, define the function $\gamma(\psi) = \gamma_{\bullet}(\psi)$. Using the coordinates introduced in (18) and equation (22) we rewrite X_{\bullet} in terms of the independent coordinates x, z. Specifically, $z = \log \frac{dx}{ds}$, $Y = \partial_z$, and

$$X_{\bullet} = e^z \partial_x - e^z \Gamma(x) \partial_z$$

Notice that

(31)
$$\frac{dx}{ds} = e^z \quad ; \quad \frac{dz}{ds} = -e^z \Gamma(x) \; .$$

For the remainder of this paper, this defines the system of differential equations of interest. We apply the notation developed in section 2.1 to the equations in (31), with the index $i \in \{x, z\}$. In this context, rewrite

$$X_{\bullet} = \phi(\bullet)^i \partial_i$$

The pushforward of X_{\bullet} under an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $\tilde{\psi} \in \text{Diff}^+(F^+M)$ gives the expression

$$\tilde{\psi}_* X_{\bullet} = \phi^x(\bullet)\partial_x + (\phi^z(\bullet) + \frac{d}{ds} \log \psi')\partial_z .$$

Similarly, for $q = \tilde{\psi}(p), \gamma_{\bullet}(\psi)$ becomes

$$\gamma_{\bullet}(\psi)|_q = \phi^z(\bullet)|_p + (\frac{d}{ds}\log\psi')|_p - \phi^z(\bullet)|_q .$$

Definition 15. Define a function

$$\gamma_t(\psi) = \phi_t(\gamma_{\bullet}(\psi)) ,$$

according to Definition 3. This defines a linear operator δ_t on \mathcal{A}

$$\delta_t(fU_\psi) = \gamma_t(\psi)fU_\psi$$
 .

For a forest tt' of rooted trees, we write

$$\delta_{tt'} := \delta_t \delta_{t'}$$

Lemma 4. Consider the operator

$$\phi_t: C_c^{\infty}(F^+M) \to C_c^{\infty}(F^+M)$$
.

Write $t = B_+(t_1 \dots t_n)$. The pushforward of ϕ_t by $\tilde{\psi} \in Diff^+(F^+M)$ is given by

$$\tilde{\psi}_*\phi_t = \prod_{j=1}^n \phi_{t_j}(\tilde{\psi}_*\phi^{i_j}(\bullet))\tilde{\psi}_*(\prod_{j=1}^n \partial_{i_j}) \ .$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\psi} \in \text{Diff}^+(F^+M)$. Recall that $\tilde{\psi} = (\psi, \log(\frac{d}{ds}\psi))$, for $\psi \in \text{Diff}^+(M)$. Write $\tilde{\phi}$ as the map from \mathcal{H}_{rt} to $C_c^{\infty}(F^+M)$ defined by coordinates defined by $\tilde{\psi}$. Define $\tilde{\phi}(t)$ accordingly. For $t = \bullet$, this is $\tilde{\psi}_*\phi^i(\bullet)$,

$$\tilde{\psi}_*\phi^i(\bullet) = \frac{d}{ds}\tilde{\psi}^i = \phi^j(\bullet)\partial_j\tilde{\psi}^i = \tilde{\phi}^i(\bullet) \;.$$

Since $\tilde{\phi}^i(\bullet)\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\psi}^i} = \phi^i(\bullet)\partial_i$, we get

$$\tilde{\phi}^i(t) = \prod_{j=1}^n \phi^{i_j}(t_j) \prod_{j=1}^n \partial_{i_j} \tilde{\psi}_* \phi^i(\bullet)$$

for $t = B_+(t_1 \dots t_n)$. In other words (32)

$$\tilde{\phi}^i(t) = \phi_t(\tilde{\psi}_*\phi^i(\bullet))$$
.

The pushforward of ϕ_t is

$$\tilde{\psi}_*\phi_t f = \phi_t(f \circ \tilde{\psi})$$

Applying this to equation (9) gives

$$\tilde{\psi}_*\phi_t = \prod_{j=1}^n \phi^{i_j}(t_j) \prod_{j=1}^n \partial_{i_j}(f \circ \tilde{\psi})$$

which can be rewritten using (32) as

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{\phi}(t_j) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\psi}^{i_j}} f = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \phi_{t_j}(\tilde{\psi}_* \phi^i(\bullet)) (\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\psi}^{i_j}}) f .$$

The pushforward of ϕ_t leads to a useful expression for calculating its action for a product of monomials in \mathcal{A} .

Lemma 5. The pushforward

$$\tilde{\psi}_* \phi_t = \sum_{\substack{c \text{ admis. } cut \\ c \neq full}} \gamma_{P_c(t)}(\psi) \phi_{R_c(t)}|_{\tilde{\psi}} \ .$$

For $R_c(t) = 1$, we write $\phi_1 = Y$.

Proof. Write $t = B_+(t_1 \dots t_n)$. By Lemma 4 write

$$\tilde{\psi}_*\phi_t = \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \phi_{t_j}(\tilde{\psi}_*\phi^{i_j}(\bullet)) \prod_{j=1}^k \tilde{\psi}_*\partial_{i_j}\right)$$

For subsets $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots k\}$,

(33)
$$\tilde{\psi}_*\phi_t = \sum_I \left(\prod_{j \notin I} \phi_{t_j} (\tilde{\psi}_*\phi(\bullet) - \phi(\bullet)|_{\tilde{\psi}})^{i_j} \prod_{l \in I} \phi_{t_l} (\phi(\bullet)^{i_l} \circ \tilde{\psi}) \right) \prod_{j \notin I} (\tilde{\psi}_*\partial - \partial|_{\tilde{\psi}})_{i_j} \prod_{l \in I} \partial_{i_j}|_{\tilde{\psi}} .$$

Making the substitution

$$(\tilde{\psi}_*\phi(\bullet) - \phi(\bullet))^{i_j}(\tilde{\psi}_*\partial - \partial)_{i_j} = \gamma_{\bullet}(\psi)Y$$

into any of the summands of (33) corresponds to making an admissible cut of t with pruned forest $\prod_{j \notin I} t_j$. In the expression for $\tilde{\psi}_* \phi_t$, these appear as $\prod_{j \notin I} \phi_{t_j}(\gamma_{\bullet}(\psi))$. For a fixed set I, and a fixed $n \in I$, write the tree t_n in (33) $t_n = B_+(t_{n_1} \dots t_{n_r})$. For subsets $I' \subseteq \{1 \dots r\}$, use the definition of a pushforward and equation (33) to write the function

$$(34) \qquad \sum_{I'} \left(\prod_{j \notin I'} \phi_{t_{n_j}} (\tilde{\psi}_* \phi(\bullet) - \phi(\bullet)|_{\tilde{\psi}})^{i_j} \prod_{l \in I'} \phi_{t_{n_l}} (\phi(\bullet)^{i_l} \circ \tilde{\psi}) \right) \prod_{j \notin I'} (\tilde{\psi}_* \partial - \partial|_{\tilde{\psi}})_{i_j} \prod_{l \in I'} \partial_{i_l}|_{\tilde{\psi}} (\phi^{i_n}(\bullet)) .$$

Substituting this expression into (33) corresponds to also taking an admissible cut of t_n . Therefore, the summands of $\tilde{\psi}_* \phi_t$, as given by equations (33) and (34) correspond to non-full admissible cuts of t. For each such cut, c, the function associated to the pruned forest by the map ϕ is of the form

$$\phi_{R_c(t)}\gamma_{\bullet}(\psi) = \gamma_{P_c(t)}(\psi) \; ;$$

and the root tree is

$$\phi_{R_c(t)}|_{\tilde{\psi}}$$

We use this result to calculate the coproduct of δ_t .

15

Theorem 5. The coproduct

$$\Delta \delta_t = \sum_{c \ admis. \ cut} \delta_{P_c(t)} \otimes \delta_{R_c(t)} \ .$$

Proof. By definition

$$\Delta \delta_t (fU_\psi)(gU_\eta) = \gamma_t (\eta \circ \psi) f \cdot (g \circ \psi) U_{\eta \circ \psi} ,$$

and

$$\gamma_t(\eta \circ \psi) = \phi_t(\gamma_{\bullet}(\eta \circ \psi))$$
.

From the coproduct of δ_{\bullet} ,

$$\gamma_{\bullet}(\eta \circ \psi) = \gamma_{\bullet}(\psi) + \gamma_{\bullet}(\eta) \circ \tilde{\psi}$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\gamma_t(\eta \circ \psi) = \phi_t(\gamma_{\bullet}(\psi) + \gamma_{\bullet}(\eta) \circ \tilde{\psi}) = \gamma_t(\psi) + \tilde{\psi}_* \gamma_t(\eta)$$

Rewriting $\tilde{\psi}_* \gamma_t(\eta) = \tilde{\psi}_* \phi_t(\gamma_{\bullet}(\eta))$, Lemma 5 gives

$$\gamma_t(\eta \circ \psi) = \gamma_t(\psi) + \sum_{\substack{c \text{ admis. cut} \\ c \neq \text{ full}}} \gamma_{P_c(t)}(\psi) \gamma_{R_c(t)}(\eta) \circ \tilde{\psi} .$$

Therefore

$$\delta_t(fU_{\psi})(gU_{\eta}) = \sum_{c \text{ admis. cut}} (\gamma_{P_c(t)}(\psi)fU_{\psi})(\gamma_{R_c(t)}(\eta)gU_{\eta}) .$$

We use these linear operators to define a family of vector fields corresponding to natural growth.

Definition 16. Define a family of vector fields

$$X_t = \phi(t)^i \partial_i \; .$$

These act on \mathcal{A} by $X_t(fU_\psi) = (X_t f)U_\psi$.

Remark 3. Notice that $X_t = \phi_{N_t}$ as defined in equation (16). By equation (13), one can write $X_t = \phi_{B_+(t)}$.

Theorem 6. The coproduct of the vector fields X_t is the same as the coproduct of the natural growth operator on \mathcal{H}_{rt} . Namely,

$$\Delta X_t = X_t \otimes 1 + \sum_{c \text{ admis. cut of } t} \delta_{P_c(t)} \otimes X_{R_c(t)} \ .$$

As with natural growth, we identify $Y = X_1$.

Proof. The coproduct of X_t is calculated by evaluating

$$X_t(fU_\psi)(gU_\eta) = (X_tf) \cdot (g \circ \psi)U_{\eta\psi} + f \cdot (\tilde{\psi}_*X_tg)U_{\eta\psi} .$$

Write $X_t = \phi_{B_+(t)}$, as in Remark 3. Lemma 5 gives

$$\tilde{\psi}_* X_t = \sum_{\substack{c \text{ admis. cuts of } B_+(t) \\ c \neq \text{ full}}} \gamma_{P_c(B_+(t))}(\psi) \phi_{R_c(B_+(t))}|_{\tilde{\psi}}$$

The edge set of $B_+(t)$ is given by $E(B_+(t)) = E(t) \cup e$. A non-full admissible cut of $B_+(t)$ is either an admissible cut of t, or c = e. For any non-full admissible cut of $B_+(t)$, c, $R_c(B_+(t)) = B_+(R_c(t))$. If c = e, it is the full cut of t, and $R_c(t) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\psi_* X_t = \sum_{c \text{ admis. cuts}} \gamma_{P_c(t)} X_{R_c(t)} |_{\tilde{\psi}} .$$

This is of the same form as the coproduct of the natural growth operator N_t from Theorem 1.

Definition 17. Let \mathfrak{g}_{rt} be the Lie algebra spanned by the element Y, $\{X_t | t \text{ rooted tree}\}$

It remains to check the commutation relations on \mathfrak{g}_{rt} .

Theorem 7. The following commutation relations hold. Let t and t' be rooted trees.

 $(1) \quad [Y, X_t] = Y(t)X_t$

(2) $[X_t, X_{t'}] = X_{N_t(B_+(t'))} - X_{N_{t'}(B_+(t))}$; $[\delta_t, \delta_{t'}] = 0$.

Proof. Recall that x and z are independent variables, and that $\Gamma(x)$ is only a function of x. Both $\phi^x(\bullet)$ and $\phi^z(\bullet)$ have a factor of e^z . Therefore, $Y(\phi^i(t)) = Y(t)\phi^i(t)$. Writing

$$[Y, X_t](f) = Y(\phi^i(t))\partial_i(fU_\psi) = |V(t)|\phi^i(t)\partial_i(fU_\psi) = Y(t)X_t(fU_\psi) .$$

To calculate the relation

 $[X_t, X_{t'}] = X_{N_t(B_+(t'))} - X_{N_{t'}(B_+(t))}$

use equation (9) to write $X_{t'} = \phi(B_+(t'))$. Then, by Lemma 2

$$X_t X'_t = \phi_{N_t(B_+(t'))} = X_{N_t(B_+(t'))}$$

Next, we show the left action of \mathfrak{g}_{rt} on \mathcal{H}_{rt} .

[.

Theorem 8. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_{rt} acts on the Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_{rt} by

$$X_t(\delta_{t'}) = \delta_{N_t(t')} \quad ; \quad [Y, \delta_t] = Y(t)\delta_t$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem is similar to that of the previous theorem.

$$Y(\delta_t)(fU_{\psi}) = Y(t)\phi_t(\gamma_t(\psi)) = Y(t)\delta_t(fU_{\psi}) .$$

To calculate

$$[X_t, \delta_{t'}](fU_{\psi}) = X_t(\phi_{t'}(\gamma_{\bullet}(\psi)))fU_{\psi}$$

use Lemma 2 to write

$$X_t, \delta_{t'}](fU_\psi) = \phi_{N_t(t')}(\gamma_{\bullet}(\psi))(fU_\psi) = \delta_{N_t(t')}(fU_\psi) .$$

Finally, it is worth noting that the operators δ_t can be written in terms of a series of commutators of operators of the form $X_{t'}$ with δ_{\bullet} .

Theorem 9. The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{rt}(1)$, defined in (30), is isomorphic to the Hopf algebra defined by the bi-crossed product

$$\mathcal{H}_{rt}(1) = \mathcal{H}_{CK} \bowtie \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{rt})$$
.

Proof. This is a corollary of Theorem 2. Following the arguments presented there, write $t = B_+(t_1 \dots t_n)$. Assume by induction that for all t' with root fertility $\langle n, \delta_{t'}$ can be expressed in terms of linear combinations of commutators of δ_{\bullet} with a set $\{X_{t_i}\}$. Then

$$\delta_t(fU_{\psi}) = X_{t_n}(\delta_{B_+(t_1\dots t_{n-1})})(fU_{\psi}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta_{B_+(t_1\dots N_{t_n}(t_i)\dots t_{n-1})}(fU_{\psi}) .$$

The crucial adjustment needed in order to generalize the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}(1)$ is that $\Gamma(x) \neq 0$. If $\Gamma(x) = 0$, then for any non-trivial rooted tree $t \neq \bullet$, $\phi^i(t) = 0$. Since $\phi^z(\bullet) = -e^z \Gamma(x)$, $\Gamma(x) = 0$ implies that the only non-zero term of the form $\partial_i \phi^j(\bullet)$ is $\partial_z \phi^x(\bullet)$. Therefore, $\Gamma(x) = 0$ implies that for any $t \neq \bullet$, only $\phi^x(t)$ is possibly non-zero. However, for $t = B_+(t_1 \dots t_n)$, one sees that

$$\phi^x(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \phi^z(t_i) \partial_z^n(\phi^x(\bullet)) = 0.$$

Therefore, any generalization of this type for the algebras $\mathcal{H}(n)$, for $n \geq 1$ must incorporate the curvature of the base manifold M, as the commutator $[X_i, X_j] = R_{lij}^k Y_k^l$, for R_{lij}^k the curvature of M, and a torsion free connection ω_j^i on M. Towards this goal, work by [12] shows that planar rooted trees arise naturally in the case of flat connections with constant torsion.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Karen Yeats for several useful discussions during the early stages of this project. We are also grateful to the Caltech SURF program for partial funding for this project.

References

- C. Bergbauer and D. Kreimer, Hopf algebras in renormalization theory: Locality and Dyson-Schwinger equations from Hochschild cohomology, IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics 10 (2006), 133 – 164, arXiv:hep-th/0506190v2.
- D. J. Broadhurst and D. Kreimer, Association of multiple zeta values with positive knots via Feynman diagrams up to 9 loops, Physics letters B 393 (1997), 403–412, arXiv:math-ph/9609128v3.
- 3. C. Brouder, *Runge-Kutta methods and renormalization*, European Physics Journal C **12** (2000), 521–534, arXiv:hep-th/9904014v1.
- 4. J. C. Butcher, The numerical analysis of ordinary dierential equations, Wiley-Blackwell, 1987.
- 5. A. Cayley, On the theory of the analytical forms called trees, American Journal of Mathematics 4 (1881), 266–268.
- A. Connes and D. Kreimer, Hopf algebras, renormalization and non-commutative geometry, Communications in Mathematical Physics 199 (1998), 203 – 242, arXiv:hep-th/9808042v1.
- A. Connes and H. Moscovici, Hopf algebras, cyclic cohomology and the transverse index theory, Communications in Mathematical Physics 198 (1998), 199–246, arXiv:math/9806109v1.
- 8. A. Dur, Mobius functions, incidence algebras and power series representations, Springer, 1986.
- D. Kreimer, Dyson-Schwinger equations: From Hopf algebras to number theory, In Universality and Renormalization (Ilia Binder and Dirk Kreimer, eds.), Field Institute Communications, vol. 50, American Mathematical society, 2006, arXiv:hepth/0609004v2, pp. 225–248.
- D. Kreimer and K. Yeats, An Etude in non-linear Dyson-Schwinger Equations, Nuclear Physics B. Proceedings Supplements 160 (2006), 116–121.
- H. Moscovici and B. Rangipour, Hopf algebras of primitive lie pseudogroups and hopf cyclic cohomology, Advances in Mathematics 220 (2009), no. 3, 706 – 790.
- H. Munthe-Kaas and A. Lundervold, On post-lie algebras, liebutcher series and moving frames, Foundations of Computational Mathematics 13 (2013), no. 4, 583–613, arXiv:math-ph/1203.4738.
- R. Wulkenhaar, On the Connes Moscovici Hopf algebra associated to the diffeomorphisms of a manifold, arXiv:mathph/9904009v1.

OXFORD UNIVERSITY, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, RADCLIFF OBSERVATORY QUARTER, WOODSTOCK ROAD, OXFORD, UK

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SANTA BARBARA, SOUTH HALL, ROOM 6607, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106, USA