# CURVATURE PROPERTIES OF 3-QUASI-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

BENIAMINO CAPPELLETTI MONTANO, ANTONIO DE NICOLA, AND IVAN YUDIN

ABSTRACT. We find some curvature properties of 3-quasi-Sasakian manifolds which are similar to some well-known identities holding in the Sasakian case. As an application, we prove that any 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold of constant horizontal sectional curvature is necessarily either  $3-\alpha$ -Sasakian or 3-cosymplectic.

#### 1. Introduction

An important topic in contact Riemannian geometry is the study of curvature properties of almost contact metric manifolds (see [1] for details). In some cases it is in fact possible to characterize a manifold in terms of its curvature tensor field. The typical example is given by Sasakian manifolds, which are characterized by the well-known condition

$$(1.1) R_{XY}\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y.$$

A key role in this area is played by the interaction between the curvature and the structure tensors  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  of an almost contact metric manifold. For instance, in any Sasakian manifold one has

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = R(X, Y, \phi Z, \phi W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W) + g(X, W)g(Y, Z)$$

$$+ g(X, \phi Z)g(Y, \phi W) - g(X, \phi W)g(Y, \phi Z)$$
(1.2)

and in any cosymplectic manifold

$$(1.3) R(X,Y,Z,W) = R(X,Y,\phi Z,\phi W)$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z, W. The relations (1.2) and (1.3) turn out to be useful for studying the  $\phi$ -sectional curvature and the Ricci tensor and deriving other properties on the geometry of the manifold. A generalization of (1.2) and (1.3) was proposed by Janssens and Vanecke in [9]. They defined a  $C(\alpha)$ -manifold as a normal almost contact metric manifold whose curvature tensor satisfies the condition

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = R(X, Y, \phi Z, \phi W) + \alpha \left(-g(X, Z)g(Y, W) + g(X, W)g(Y, Z) + g(X, \phi Z)g(Y, \phi W) - g(X, \phi W)g(Y, \phi Z)\right),$$

for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $C(\alpha)$ -manifolds include Sasakian, cosymplectic and Kenmotsu manifolds. Another generalization, due to Blair, is given by the notion of quasi-Sasakian structure ([2]). By definition, a quasi-Sasakian manifold is a normal almost contact metric manifold whose fundamental 2-form  $\Phi := g(\cdot, \phi \cdot)$  is closed. This class includes Sasakian and cosymplectic manifolds and can be viewed as an odd-dimensional counterpart of Kähler structures. Although quasi-Sasakian manifolds were studied by several different authors and are considered a well-established

 $<sup>2000\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ {\it Primary}\ 53C12,\ Secondary\ 53C25,\ 57R30.$ 

Key~words~and~phrases.quasi-Sasakian, 3-quasi-Sasakian, 3-<br/>a-Sasakian, 3-Sasakian, 3-cosymplectic.

Research partially supported by CMUC and FCT (Portugal), through European program COMPETE/FEDER, grants PTDC/MAT/099880/2008 and PEst-C/MAT/UI0324/2011, MTM2009-13383 (A.D.N.), and SFRH/BPD/31788/2006 (I.Y.).

topic in contact Riemannian geometry, only little about their curvature properties is known. With this regard we mention the attempts of Olszak ([10]) and Rustanov ([12]). On the other hand, if a quasi-Sasakian manifold is endowed with two additional quasi-Sasakian structures defining a 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold then, as shown in [3] and [4], the quaternionic-like relations force the three structures to satisfy more restrictive geometric conditions.

Motivated by these considerations, in this paper we study the curvature properties of 3-quasi-Sasakian manifolds. We are able to find conditions similar to (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3) for the 3-quasi-Sasakian case. Moreover, we present one application of these properties by proving a formula relating the three  $\phi$ -sectional curvatures of a 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold. We then obtain that a 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold has constant horizontal sectional curvature if and only if it is either 3-c-Sasakian or 3-cosymplectic. In the first case it is a space of constant curvature  $c^2/4$  and in the latter case it is flat. The last result extends to the quasi-Sasakian setting a famous theorem of Konishi ([7]).

### 2. Preliminaries

A quasi-Sasakian manifold  $(M,\phi,\xi,\eta,g)$  of dimension 2n+1 is said to be of rank 2p (for some  $p\leq n$ ) if  $(d\eta)^p\neq 0$  and  $\eta\wedge (d\eta)^p=0$  on M, and to be of rank 2p+1 if  $\eta\wedge (d\eta)^p\neq 0$  and  $(d\eta)^{p+1}=0$  on M (cf. [2, 13]). It was proven in [2] that there are no quasi-Sasakian manifolds of (constant) even rank. Particular subclasses of quasi-Sasakian manifolds are c-Sasakian manifolds (usually called  $\alpha$ -Sasakian), which have rank 2n+1, and cosymplectic manifolds (rank 1) according to satisfy, in addition,  $d\eta=c\Phi$  ( $c\neq 0$ ) and  $d\eta=0$ , respectively. For c=2 we obtain the well-known Sasakian manifolds.

If on the same manifold M there are given three distinct almost contact structures  $(\phi_1, \xi_1, \eta_1)$ ,  $(\phi_2, \xi_2, \eta_2)$ ,  $(\phi_3, \xi_3, \eta_3)$  satisfying the following relations, for any even permutation  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ ,

(2.1) 
$$\phi_{\gamma} = \phi_{\alpha}\phi_{\beta} - \eta_{\beta} \otimes \xi_{\alpha} = -\phi_{\beta}\phi_{\alpha} + \eta_{\alpha} \otimes \xi_{\beta}, \\ \xi_{\gamma} = \phi_{\alpha}\xi_{\beta} = -\phi_{\beta}\xi_{\alpha}, \quad \eta_{\gamma} = \eta_{\alpha} \circ \phi_{\beta} = -\eta_{\beta} \circ \phi_{\alpha},$$

we say that  $(\phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha})$ ,  $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , is an almost contact 3-structure. Then the dimension of M is necessarily of the form 4n + 3. This notion was introduced independently by Kuo ([8]) and Udriste ([14]). An almost 3-contact manifold M is said to be *hyper-normal* if each almost contact structure  $(\phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha})$  is normal.

In [8] Kuo proved that given an almost contact 3-structure  $(\phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha})$ , there exists a Riemannian metric g compatible with each of the three almost contact structure and hence we can speak of almost contact metric 3-structure. It is well known that in any almost 3-contact metric manifold the Reeb vector fields  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$  are orthonormal with respect to the compatible metric g. Moreover, by putting  $\mathcal{H} = \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^3 \ker(\eta_{\alpha})$  we obtain a codimension 3 distribution on M and the tangent bundle splits as the orthogonal sum  $TM = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ , where  $\mathcal{V} = \langle \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \rangle$ . The distributions  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are called, respectively, horizontal and Reeb distribution.

A 3-quasi-Sasakian structure is an almost contact metric 3-structure such that each structure ( $\phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, g$ ) is quasi-Sasakian. Remarkable subclasses are 3-Sasakian and 3-cosymplectic manifolds. Another subclass of 3-quasi-Sasakian structures is given by almost contact metric 3-structures ( $\phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, g$ ) such that each structure ( $\phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, g$ ) is  $c_{\alpha}$ -Sasakian. It is proven in [6] that the non-zero constants  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  must coincide. Therefore we speak of 3-c-Sasakian manifolds. Many results on 3-quasi-Sasakian manifolds were obtained in [3] and [4]. We collect some of them in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** ([3, 4]). Let  $(M, \phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, g)$  be a 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold of dimension 4n + 3. Then, for any even permutation  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ , the Reeb vector fields satisfy

$$[\xi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\beta}] = c\xi_{\gamma},$$

for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, the 1-forms  $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$ ,  $\eta_3$  have the same rank, called the rank of the 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold M. The rank of M is 1 if and only if M is 3-cosymplectic and it is an integer of the form 4l+3, for some  $l \leq n$ , in the other cases. Furthermore, any 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold of rank 4n+3 is necessarily 3-c-Sasakian.

We point out that the constant c in (2.2) is zero if and only if the manifold is 3-cosymplectic. Moreover, for any 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold of rank 4l+3 one can consider the distribution

$$\mathcal{E}^{4m}:=\{X\in\mathcal{H}|i_X\eta_\alpha=0,i_Xd\eta_\alpha=0\text{ for any }\alpha=1,2,3\}\quad \ (l+m=n)$$

and its orthogonal complement  $\mathcal{E}^{4l+3} := (\mathcal{E}^{4m})^{\perp}$ . We will also consider the distribution  $\mathcal{E}^{4l}$  which is the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{V}$  in  $\mathcal{E}^{4l+3}$ . A remarkable property of 3-quasi-Sasakian manifolds, which in general does not hold for a single quasi-Sasakian structure, is that both  $\mathcal{E}^{4l+3}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{4m}$  are integrable and define Riemannian foliations with totally geodesic leaves. In particular it follows that  $\nabla \mathcal{E}^{4l+3} \subset \mathcal{E}^{4l+3}$  and  $\nabla \mathcal{E}^{4m} \subset \mathcal{E}^{4m}$ .

All manifolds considered in the paper are assumed to be connected. The Spivak's conventions for the differential, the wedge product and the interior product are adopted.

## 3. Main results

We recall that in any 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold of rank 4l+3 for each  $\alpha \in \{1,2,3\}$  one defines two tensors  $\psi_{\alpha}$  and  $\theta_{\alpha}$  by

$$\psi_{\alpha} := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \phi_{\alpha}, & \text{on } \mathcal{E}^{4l+3} \\ 0, & \text{on } \mathcal{E}^{4m} \end{array} \right. \quad \theta_{\alpha} := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0, & \text{on } \mathcal{E}^{4l+3} \\ \phi_{\alpha}, & \text{on } \mathcal{E}^{4m}. \end{array} \right.$$

Moreover we define  $\Psi_{\alpha}(X,Y):=g(X,\psi_{\alpha}Y)$  and  $\Theta_{\alpha}(X,Y):=g(X,\theta_{\alpha}Y)$  for all  $X,Y\in\Gamma(TM)$ . The tensors  $\psi_{\alpha}$  and  $\Psi_{\alpha}$  satisfy

(3.1) 
$$d\eta_{\alpha} = c\Psi_{\alpha}, \qquad \nabla \xi_{\alpha} = -\frac{c}{2}\psi_{\alpha}$$

(cf. [4, (4.8)] and [4, Theorem 4.3]). Since  $\phi_{\alpha} = \psi_{\alpha} + \theta_{\alpha}$  one has that  $\Phi_{\alpha} = \Psi_{\alpha} + \Theta_{\alpha}$ . Consequently, due to (3.1),  $\Psi_{\alpha}$  and  $\Theta_{\alpha}$  are closed 2-forms. We start with a few lemmas. The first is immediate.

**Lemma 3.1.** In any 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold of rank 4l + 3 one has,

(3.2) 
$$g(\psi_{\alpha}^2 X, Y) = g(X, \psi_{\alpha}^2 Y),$$

$$\psi_{\alpha}^{3} = -\psi_{\alpha},$$

(3.4) 
$$\nabla \eta_{\alpha} = \frac{c}{2} \Psi_{\alpha}.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** In any 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold of rank 4l + 3 one has

(3.5) 
$$(\nabla_X \psi_\alpha) Y = \frac{c}{2} \left( \eta_\alpha(Y) \psi_\alpha^2 X - g(\psi_\alpha^2 X, Y) \xi_\alpha \right).$$

Proof. Let  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . According to the orthogonal decomposition  $TM = \mathcal{E}^{4l+3} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{4m}$  we may distinguish the following two cases. (i) Assume  $Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{4l+3})$ . Then, since  $\nabla \mathcal{E}^{4l+3} \subset \mathcal{E}^{4l+3}$ , we have  $(\nabla_X \psi_\alpha)Y = \nabla_X (\psi_\alpha Y) - \psi_\alpha \nabla_X Y = \nabla_X (\phi_\alpha Y) - \phi_\alpha \nabla_X Y = (\nabla_X \phi_\alpha)Y$ . The assertion then follows from [4, (4.9)]. (ii) If  $Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{4m})$ ,

then, as  $\nabla \mathcal{E}^{4m} \subset \mathcal{E}^{4m}$ , one has  $(\nabla_X \psi_\alpha) Y = \nabla_X (\psi_\alpha Y) - \psi_\alpha \nabla_X Y = 0$ . On the other hand, by using (3.2) and  $Y \in \Gamma \left( \mathcal{E}^{4m} \right) \subset \ker \left( \eta_\alpha \right) \cap \ker \left( \psi_\alpha \right)$ , one has

$$\frac{c}{2} \left( \eta_{\alpha}(Y) \psi_{\alpha}^2 X - g(\psi_{\alpha}^2 X, Y) \xi_{\alpha} \right) = -\frac{c}{2} g\left( X, \psi_{\alpha}^2 Y \right) \xi_{\alpha} = 0.$$

By using (3.5) and (3.3) we get straightforwardly the following formula for  $\nabla \psi_{\alpha}^2$ 

**Lemma 3.3.** In any 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold of rank 4l + 3 one has

(3.6) 
$$(\nabla_X \psi_\alpha^2) Y = \frac{c}{2} (\Psi_\alpha(X, Y) \xi_\alpha - \eta_\alpha(Y) \psi_\alpha X).$$

Theorem 3.4. In any 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold the following formula holds

$$R_{XY}\xi_{\alpha} = \frac{c^2}{4} \left( \eta_{\alpha}(X) \psi_{\alpha}^2 Y - \eta_{\alpha}(Y) \psi_{\alpha}^2 X \right).$$

*Proof.* If the manifold is 3-cosymplectic, i.e. c = 0, the claim follows easily from the property that each  $\xi_{\alpha}$  is parallel. Thus we can assume that M has rank 4l + 3. By using (3.1), (3.5), and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{split} R_{XY}\xi_{\alpha} &= \frac{c}{2} \left( \nabla_{Y}(\psi_{\alpha}X) - \nabla_{X}(\psi_{\alpha}Y) + \psi_{\alpha}[X,Y] \right) \\ &= \frac{c}{2} \left( (\nabla_{Y}\psi_{\alpha})X - (\nabla_{X}\psi_{\alpha})Y \right) \\ &= \frac{c^{2}}{4} \left( \eta_{\alpha}(X)\psi_{\alpha}^{2}Y - g(\psi_{\alpha}^{2}Y,X)\xi_{\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha}(Y)\psi_{\alpha}^{2}X + g(\psi_{\alpha}^{2}X,Y)\xi_{\alpha} \right) \\ &= \frac{c^{2}}{4} \left( \eta_{\alpha}(X)\psi_{\alpha}^{2}Y - \eta_{\alpha}(Y)\psi_{\alpha}^{2}X \right). \end{split}$$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let M be a 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold of rank 4l + 3. Then,

$$R_{XY}\phi_{\alpha}Z - \phi_{\alpha}R_{XY}Z = \frac{c^2}{4} \left( (\Psi_{\alpha}(Y, \psi_{\alpha}Z) - \eta_{\alpha}(Y)\eta_{\alpha}(Z)) \psi_{\alpha}X - (\Psi_{\alpha}(X, \psi_{\alpha}Z) - \eta_{\alpha}(X)\eta_{\alpha}(Z)) \psi_{\alpha}Y - \Psi_{\alpha}(Y, Z)\psi_{\alpha}^2X + \Psi_{\alpha}(X, Z)\psi_{\alpha}^2Y + (\eta_{\alpha}(X)\Psi_{\alpha}(Y, Z) - \eta_{\alpha}(Y)\Psi_{\alpha}(X, Z)) \xi_{\alpha} \right).$$

*Proof.* The claim follows from a long computation using (3.5), (3.6) and (3.3).

**Corollary 3.6.** In any 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold of rank 4l + 3 one has

$$g(R_{XY}\phi_{\alpha}Z, W) + g(R_{XY}Z, \phi_{\alpha}W) = -P_{\alpha}(X, Y, Z, W),$$

where  $P_{\alpha}$  is the tensor defined by

$$P_{\alpha}(X,Y,Z,W) = \frac{c^{2}}{4} (\Psi_{\alpha}(Y,Z)\Psi_{\alpha}(X,\psi_{\alpha}W) - \Psi_{\alpha}(X,Z)\Psi_{\alpha}(Y,\psi_{\alpha}W) + \Psi_{\alpha}(Y,\psi_{\alpha}Z)\Psi_{\alpha}(X,W) - \Psi_{\alpha}(X,\psi_{\alpha}Z)\Psi_{\alpha}(Y,W) - \eta_{\alpha}(X)\eta_{\alpha}(W)\Psi_{\alpha}(Y,Z) - \eta_{\alpha}(Y)\eta_{\alpha}(Z)\Psi_{\alpha}(X,W) + \eta_{\alpha}(Y)\eta_{\alpha}(W)\Psi_{\alpha}(X,Z) + \eta_{\alpha}(X)\eta_{\alpha}(Z)\Psi_{\alpha}(Y,W)).$$

Corollary 3.7. In any 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold of rank 4l + 3 one has

$$g(R_{\phi_{\alpha}X\phi_{\alpha}Y}\phi_{\alpha}Z,\phi_{\alpha}W) = \frac{c^{2}}{4} (g(R_{XY}Z,W) + \Psi_{\alpha}(Z,X)\Psi_{\alpha}(W,\psi_{\alpha}\phi_{\alpha}Y) + \Psi_{\alpha}(Z,\psi_{\alpha}X)\Psi_{\alpha}(W,\phi_{\alpha}Y) + \Psi_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha}X,Z)\Psi_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha}Y,\psi_{\alpha}\phi_{\alpha}W) + \Psi_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha}X,\psi_{\alpha}Z)\Psi_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha}Y,\phi_{\alpha}W)),$$

for any  $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ .

*Proof.* By using Corollary 3.6 twice, one obtains

$$g(R_{\phi_{\alpha}X\phi_{\alpha}Y}\phi_{\alpha}Z,\phi_{\alpha}W) = g(R_{XY}Z,W) - P_{\alpha}(Z,W,X,\phi_{\alpha}Y) - P_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha}X,\phi_{\alpha}Y,Z,\phi_{\alpha}W).$$

Next, by using (3.2) and the property that  $\phi_{\alpha}$  and  $\psi_{\alpha}$  commute, we get that

$$\begin{split} P_{\alpha}(Z,W,X,\phi_{\alpha}Y) + P_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha}X,\phi_{\alpha}Y,Z,\phi_{\alpha}W) &= -\frac{c^2}{4} \big( \Psi_{\alpha}(Z,X) \Psi_{\alpha}(W,\psi_{\alpha}\phi_{\alpha}Y) \\ &+ \Psi_{\alpha}(Z,\psi_{\alpha}X) \Psi_{\alpha}(W,\psi_{\alpha}Y) \\ &+ \Psi_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha}X,Z) \Psi_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha}Y,\psi_{\alpha}\phi_{\alpha}W) \\ &+ \Psi_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha}X,\psi_{\alpha}Z) \Psi_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha}Y,\phi_{\alpha}W) \big). \end{split}$$

Thus the assertion follows.

We recall that on an almost contact metric manifold  $(M, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  one defines a  $\phi$ -section as the 2-plane spanned by X and  $\phi X$ , where X is a unit vector field orthogonal to  $\xi$ . Then the sectional curvature  $H(X) := K(X, \phi X) = g(R_{X\phi X}\phi X, X)$  is called  $\phi$ -sectional curvature. In a 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold M, we denote by  $H_{\alpha}$  the  $\phi_{\alpha}$ -sectional curvature.

**Theorem 3.8.** For any  $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$  the  $\phi_{\alpha}$ -sectional curvatures of a 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold of rank 4l+3 satisfy the following relation

(3.7) 
$$H_1(X) + H_2(X) + H_3(X) = \frac{3c^2}{4}g(X_{\mathcal{E}^{4l}}, X_{\mathcal{E}^{4l}})^2,$$

where  $X_{\mathcal{E}^{4l}}$  denotes the projection of X onto the distribution  $\mathcal{E}^{4l}$ . In particular,

(3.8) 
$$H_1(X) + H_2(X) + H_3(X) = \begin{cases} \frac{3c^2}{4}, & \text{for any } X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{4l}); \\ 0, & \text{for any } X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{4m}). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* From Corollary 3.6 it follows that, for any  $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ ,

$$g(R_{XY}\phi_{\alpha}Z,\phi_{\alpha}W) = g(R_{XY}Z,W) + \frac{c^{2}}{4} (\Psi_{\alpha}(Y,\psi_{\alpha}Z)g(\psi_{\alpha}X,\phi_{\alpha}W) - \Psi_{\alpha}(X,\psi_{\alpha}Z)g(\psi_{\alpha}Y,\phi_{\alpha}W) - \Psi_{\alpha}(Y,Z)g(\psi_{\alpha}^{2}X,\phi_{\alpha}W) + \Psi_{\alpha}(X,Z)g(\psi_{\alpha}^{2}Y,\phi_{\alpha}W)).$$

In (3.9) we put  $\alpha = 1$ , Z = X and  $Y = W = \phi_3 X$ , getting

$$-g(R_{X\phi_3X}\phi_1X,\phi_2X) = g(R_{X\phi_3X}X,\phi_3X) + \frac{c^2}{4} \left( -g(\phi_3X,\psi_1^2X)g(\psi_1X,\phi_2X) + g(X,\psi_1^2X)g(\psi_1\phi_3X,\phi_2X) + g(\phi_3X,\psi_1X)g(\psi_1^2X,\phi_2X) - g(X,\psi_1X)g(\psi_1^2\phi_3X,\phi_2X) \right).$$

By using the definition of the operators  $\psi_{\alpha}$  and the property that  $g(\phi_{\alpha}, \cdot) = -g(\cdot, \phi_{\alpha})$ , one proves that  $g(\psi_1 X, \phi_2 X)$ ,  $g(\phi_3 X, \psi_1 X)$ , and  $g(X, \psi_1 X)$  vanish. Hence the previous relation becomes

$$-g(R_{X\phi_3X}\phi_1X,\phi_2X) = g(R_{X\phi_3X}X,\phi_3X) + \frac{c^2}{4}g(X,\psi_1^2X)g(\psi_1\phi_3X,\phi_2X)$$

$$(3.10) = -H_3(X) + \frac{c^2}{4}g(X_{\mathcal{E}^{4l}}, X_{\mathcal{E}^{4l}})^2$$

since  $g(X, \psi_1^2 X)g(\psi_1\phi_3 X, \phi_2 X) = -g(X, \phi_1^2 X_{\mathcal{E}^{4l}})g(\phi_2 X_{\mathcal{E}^{4l}}, \phi_2 X) = g(X_{\mathcal{E}^{4l}}, X)^2 = g(X_{\mathcal{E}^{4l}}, X_{\mathcal{E}^{4l}})^2$ . Making cyclic permutations of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ , one gets

(3.11) 
$$-g(R_{X\phi_1 X}\phi_2 X, \phi_3 X) = -H_1(X) + \frac{c^2}{4}g(X_{\mathcal{E}^{4l}}, X_{\mathcal{E}^{4l}})^2$$

(3.12) 
$$-g(R_{X\phi_2X}\phi_3X,\phi_1X) = -H_2(X) + \frac{c^2}{4}g(X_{\mathcal{E}^{4l}}, X_{\mathcal{E}^{4l}})^2.$$

Then by summing (3.10), (3.11), (3.12), the claim follows from the Bianchi identity.

The notion of horizontal sectional curvature ([7]) plays in the context of 3-structures the same role played by the  $\phi$ -sectional curvature in contact metric geometry. Let  $(\phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, g)$  be an almost contact 3-structure on M. Let X be a horizontal vector at a point x. Then one can consider the 4-dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{H}_x(X)$  of  $T_xM$  defined by  $\mathcal{H}_x(X) = \langle X, \phi_1 X, \phi_2 X, \phi_3 X \rangle$ .  $\mathcal{H}_x(X)$  is called the horizontal section determined by X. If the sectional curvature for any two vectors belonging to  $\mathcal{H}_x(X)$  is a constant k(X) depending only upon the fixed horizontal vector X at x, then k(X) is said to be the horizontal sectional curvature with respect to X at X. Now let X be an arbitrary horizontal vector field on X. If the horizontal section X at X is independent of X, we say that the manifold X is of constant horizontal sectional curvature at X. It is known ([7]) that a 3-Sasakian manifold has constant horizontal sectional curvature if and only if it has constant curvature 1. We now consider the 3-quasi-Sasakian setting.

**Theorem 3.9.** A 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold has constant horizontal sectional curvature if and only if it is either 3-c-Sasakian or 3-cosymplectic. In the first case it is a space of constant curvature  $c^2/4$ , in the latter it is flat.

*Proof.* We distinguish the case when M is 3-cosymplectic and M is 3-quasi-Sasakian of rank 4l+3. Let M be a 3-cosymplectic manifold of constant horizontal sectional curvature k and let x be a point of M. There exists a local Riemannian submersion  $\pi$  defined on an open neighborhood of x with base space a hyper-Kähler manifold  $(M', J'_{\alpha}, g')$ . We recall the well-known O'Neill formula ([11]) relating the sectional curvatures of the total and base spaces

$$(3.13) K(Y,Z) = K'(Y,Z) - 3 ||A_YZ|| = K'(Y,Z),$$

A denoting the O'Neill tensor, which in this case vanishes identically since the distribution  $\mathcal{H}$  is integrable. As the value of k does not depend of the horizontal section  $\mathcal{H}_x(X)$  at x, we can choose X to be a basic vector field. Since for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} \phi_\beta = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_x(X)$  projects to a horizontal section  $\mathcal{H}_{x'}(X')$  on  $x' = \pi(x)$ . Then, (3.13) implies that M' has constant horizontal sectional curvature k. It is well known that a hyper-Kähler manifold of constant horizontal sectional curvature is flat, hence by using (3.13) again we get that M is horizontally flat. On the other hand, for any  $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have  $K(Z, \xi_\alpha) = 0$  (cf. [5, Lemma 2]). Thus M is flat. Let us now suppose that M is a 3-quasi-Sasakian manifold of rank 4l+3 with constant horizontal sectional curvature k. By definition of horizontal sectional curvature,  $k = k(X) = H_1(X) = H_2(X) = H_3(X)$ . Suppose the rank of M is not maximal, that is  $\mathcal{E}^{4l}$  does not coincide with  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then, from (3.8), we get that  $k(X) = \frac{c^2}{4}$  for  $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{4l})$  and k(X) = 0 for  $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{4m})$ . This is in contrast with the fact that the value of k does not depend of X. Thus M is necessarily of maximal rank and  $k = \frac{c^2}{4}$ . Hence, due to [4, Corollary 4.4], M is 3-c-Sasakian. Observe now that one can apply a homothety to the given structure, that is a change of the structure tensors of the following type

$$\bar{\phi}_{\alpha} := \phi_{\alpha}, \quad \bar{\xi}_{\alpha} := \frac{2}{c} \xi_{\alpha}, \quad \bar{\eta}_{\alpha} := \frac{c}{2} \eta_{\alpha}, \quad \bar{g} := \frac{c^2}{4} g,$$

Then it is easy to check that the resulting structure  $(\bar{\phi}_{\alpha}, \bar{\xi}_{\alpha}, \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}, \bar{g})$  is 3-Sasakian and its horizontal sectional curvature is proportional to that of  $(\phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, g)$ . Therefore, due to [7],  $(M, \bar{\phi}_{\alpha}, \bar{\xi}_{\alpha}, \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}, \bar{g})$  is a space of constant sectional curvature and therefore the same is true for  $(M, \phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, g)$ . Its sectional curvature is  $k = \frac{c^2}{4}$ .  $\square$ 

#### References

- [1] D. E. Blair, Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 203, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2010.
- [2] D. E. Blair, The theory of quasi-Sasakian structures, J. Diff. Geom. 1 (1967), 331–345.
- [3] B. Cappelletti Montano, A. De Nicola, G. Dileo, 3-quasi-Sasakian manifolds, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 33 (2008) 397-409.
- [4] B. Cappelletti Montano, A. De Nicola, G. Dileo, The geometry of 3-quasi-Sasakian manifolds, Internat. J. Math. 20 (2009), 1081–1105.
- [5] S. Goldberg, K. Yano, Integrability of almost cosymplectic manifolds, Pacific J. Math. 31 (1969), 373–382.
- [6] T. Kashiwada, F. Martin Cabrera, M. M. Tripathi, Non-existence of certain 3-structures, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 35 (2005), 1953–1979.
- [7] M. Konishi, S. Funabashi, On Riemannian manifolds with Sasakian 3-structure of constant horizontal sectional curvature, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 27 (1976), 362–366.
- [8] Y. Y. Kuo, On almost 3-structure, Tôhoku Math. J. 22 (1970), 325-332.
- [9] D. Janssens, L. Vanhecke, Almost contact structures and curvature tensors, Kodai Math. J. 4 (1981), 127.
- [10] Z. Olszak, Curvature properties of quasi-Sasakian manifolds, Tensor 38 (1982), 19–28.
- [11] B. O'Neill, The fundamental equations of a submersion, Mich. Math. J. 13 (1966), 459–469.
- [12] A. R. Rustanov, On the geometry of quasi-Sasakian manifolds, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. 49 (1994), 221–222; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 49 (1994), 243–244.
- [13] S. Tanno, Quasi-Sasakian structures of rank 2p + 1, J. Diff. Geom. 5 (1971), 317–324.
- [14] C. Udriste, Structures presque coquaternioniennes, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie 13 (1969), 487–507.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI CAGLIARI, VIA OSPEDALE 72, 09124 CAGLIARI, ITALY

E-mail address: b.cappellettimontano@gmail.com

CMUC, Department of Mathematics, University of Coimbra, 3001-454 Coimbra, Portugal

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: antondenicola@gmail.com}$ 

CMUC, Department of Mathematics, University of Coimbra, 3001-454 Coimbra, Portugal

E-mail address: yudin@mat.uc.pt