# On a Class of Singular Projectively Flat Finsler Metrics with Constant Flag Curvature

Guojun Yang

#### Abstract

Singular Finsler metrics, such as Kropina metrics and m-Kropina metrics, have a lot of applications in the real world. In this paper, we classify a class of singular  $(\alpha, \beta)$ metrics which are locally projectively flat with constant flag curvature in dimension  $n = 2$  and  $n \geq 3$  respectively. Further, we determine the local structure of m-Kropina metrics and particularly Kropina metrics which are projectively flat with constant flag curvature and prove that such metrics must be locally Minkowskian but are not necessarily flat-parallel.

Keywords:  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -Metric, m-Kropina Metric, Projectively Flat, Flag Curvature 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B40, 53A20

#### 1 Introduction

In Finsler geometry, the flag curvature is a natural extension of the sectional curvature in Riemannian geometry. The flag curvature of a Finsler metric on a manifold M is a scalar function  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}(x, y, P)$  of a tangent plane  $P \subset T_xM$  and a non-zero vector  $y \in P$ . It is said to be of constant flag curvature if  $\bf{K}$  is a constant, and isotropic flag curvature if  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}(x)$ . The Schur Theorem shows that  $\mathbf{K} = constant$  if  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}(x)$  and the dimension  $n \geq 3$ . The Beltrami Theorem says that a Riemannian metric is of constant sectional curvature if and only if it is locally projectively flat, that is, geodesics are straight lines locally. However, locally projectively flat Finsler metrics are not necessarily of constant flag curvature or isotropic flag curvature (generally are of scalar flag curvature, namely,  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}(x, y)$  independent of P). On the other hand, it is easy to prove that any twodimensional projectively flat Finsler metric with isotropic flag curvature is of constant flag curvature.

An  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric is defined by a Riemannian metric  $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j}$  and a 1-form  $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$  on a manifold M, which can be expressed in the following form:

$$
F = \alpha \phi(s), \quad s = \beta/\alpha,
$$

where  $\phi(s)$  is a  $C^{\infty}$  function on  $(-b_o, b_o)$ . It is known that F is a regular Finsler metric for any  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $\|\beta\|_{\alpha} < b_o$  if and only if

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
\phi(s) > 0, \quad \phi(s) - s\phi'(s) + (\rho^2 - s^2)\phi''(s) > 0, \quad (|s| \le \rho < b_o), \tag{1}
$$

where  $b<sub>o</sub>$  is a constant ([\[12\]](#page-13-0)). In this paper, we do not assume the regular condition, and we will study a class of singular  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics satisfying [\(4\)](#page-1-0) below. Singular Finsler metrics have a lot of applications in the real world  $([1] [2])$  $([1] [2])$  $([1] [2])$  $([1] [2])$ . Z. Shen also introduces singular Finsler metrics in [\[13\]](#page-13-1).

A Randers metric is in the form  $F = \alpha + \beta$ , which is a special  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric. It is proved in [\[10\]](#page-13-2) that a Randers metric  $F = \alpha + \beta$  is projectively flat with constant flag curvature if and only if F is locally Minkowskian (equivalently *flat-parallel*, that is,  $\alpha$  is flat and  $\beta$  is parallel with respect to  $\alpha$ ) or after scaling,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  can be locally written as

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{(1-|x|^2)|y|^2 + \langle x, y \rangle^2}}{1-|x|^2}, \quad \beta = \pm \Big\{ \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{1-|x|^2} + \frac{\langle a, y \rangle}{1-|x|^2} \Big\},\tag{2}
$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a constant vector. The Randers metric  $F = \alpha + \beta$  defined by [\(2\)](#page-1-1) is of constant flag curvature  $K = -1/4$ .

It is proved in [\[15\]](#page-13-3) that an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric in the form  $F = (\alpha + \beta)^2/\alpha$  is projectively flat with constant flag curvature if and only if  $F$  is locally Minkowskian (equivalently flatparallel) or after scaling,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  can be locally written as,

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\alpha = \lambda \frac{\sqrt{(1-|x|^2)|y|^2 + \langle x, y \rangle^2}}{1-|x|^2}, \quad \beta = \pm \lambda \left\{ \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{1-|x|^2} + \frac{\langle a, y \rangle}{1-|x|^2} \right\},\tag{3}
$$

where

$$
\lambda := \frac{(1 + \langle a, x \rangle)^2}{1 - |x|^2}.
$$

The metric  $F = (\alpha + \beta)^2/\alpha$  defined in [\(3\)](#page-1-2) is of zero flag curvature (see [\[8\]](#page-13-4)).

In [\[13\]](#page-13-1), Z. Shen gives the Taylor expansions for x-analytic projectively flat metrics  $F =$  $F(x, y)$  of constant flag curvature K. For some suitable choices of K,  $\psi(y) (= F|_{x=0})$  and  $\varphi(y) = F_{x^k} y^k / (2F)|_{x=0}$ , one can easily get the projectively flat Finsler metrics of constant flag curvature  $\mathbf{K} = K$  in [\(2\)](#page-1-1) and [\(3\)](#page-1-2).

In [\[5\]](#page-12-2), the authors classify projectively flat  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics of constant flag curvature in dimensions  $n \geq 3$  and  $\phi(0) = 1$ . They show that such  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics must be flat-parallel, or after a suitable scaling, isometric to the metrics in [\(2\)](#page-1-1) or [\(3\)](#page-1-2). When  $n = 2$  and  $\phi(0) = 1$ , the present author shows the essentially same conclusions (see [\[16\]](#page-13-5)).

For an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric  $F = \alpha \phi(\beta/\alpha)$ , if  $\phi(0) > 0$ , then generally F is regular. However, if  $\phi(0) = 0$  or  $\phi(0)$  is not defined, then  $\phi$  does not satisfy [\(1\)](#page-0-0) and in this case F is singular. In this paper, we assume  $\phi(s)$  is in the following form

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\phi(s) := cs + s^m \varphi(s),\tag{4}
$$

where c, m are constant with  $m \neq 0, 1$  and  $\varphi(s)$  is a  $C^{\infty}$  function on a neighborhood of  $s = 0$  with  $\varphi(0) = 1$ , and further for convenience we put  $c = 0$  if m is a negative integer. If  $m = 0$ , we have  $\phi(0) = 1$  and this case appears in a lot of literatures. When  $m \geq 2$  is an integer, [\(4\)](#page-1-0) is equivalent to the following condition

$$
\phi(0) = 0
$$
,  $\phi^{(k)}(0) = 0$   $(2 \le k \le m - 1)$ ,  $\phi^{(m)}(0) = m!$ .

Another interesting case is  $c = 0$  and  $\varphi(s) \equiv 1$  in [\(4\)](#page-1-0), and in this case,  $F = \alpha \phi(s)$  is called an m-Kropina metric, and in particular a Kropina metric when  $m = -1$ .

In [\[17\]](#page-13-6) [\[18\]](#page-13-7), the present author classifies the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric  $F = \alpha \phi(\beta/\alpha)$  which is Douglasian and locally projectively flat respectively, where  $\phi(s)$  satisfies [\(4\)](#page-1-0). In this paper we prove the following theorem:

<span id="page-1-3"></span>**Theorem 1.1** Let  $F = \alpha \phi(s)$ ,  $s = \beta/\alpha$ , be an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric on an open subset U of the n-dimensional Euclidean space  $R^n$ , where  $\phi(s)$  satisfies [\(4\)](#page-1-0). Then F is projectively flat with constant flag curvature  $K$  if and only if one of the following cases holds

- (i)  $(n \geq 2)$  F is flat-parallel, namely,  $\alpha$  is flat and  $\beta$  is parallel with respect to  $\alpha$ .
- (ii)  $(n \geq 2)$  F is given by

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
F = \beta^m (\alpha^2 + k\beta^2)^{\frac{1-m}{2}},\tag{5}
$$

which is projectively flat with  $K = 0$ , where k is a constant. In this case, F is locally Minkowskian, but generally are not flat-parallel.

(iii)  $(n=2)$  F is given by

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
F = \frac{2b}{1 - kb^2} \left\{ b\sqrt{\alpha^2 - k\beta^2} - \sqrt{b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2} \right\},
$$
 (6)

which is projectively flat with  $K < 0$ , where  $b = ||\beta||_{\alpha}$  and k are two constants with  $k \neq 1/b^2$ .

(iv)  $(n = 2)$  F is given by

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
F = \frac{4b^2}{(1 - kb^2)^2} \frac{\left(b\sqrt{\alpha^2 - k\beta^2} - \sqrt{b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2}\right)^2}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 - k\beta^2}},\tag{7}
$$

which is projectively flat with  $K = 0$ , where  $b = ||\beta||_{\alpha}$  and k are two constants with  $k \neq 1/b^2$ .

The metric F in Theorem [1.1\(](#page-1-3)i) is a special locally Minkowskian metric. Since F is never Riemannian, an easy proof shows that  $\alpha$  is flat if  $\beta$  is parallel with respect to  $\alpha$ .

Note that in dimension  $n = 2$ , the term  $\sqrt{b^2 \alpha^2 - \beta^2}$  is actually a 1-form. Therefore, the metric in [\(6\)](#page-2-0) is essentially a Randers metric  $\tilde{F} = \tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\beta}$  when  $k < 1/b^2$ , where

$$
\widetilde{\alpha} := \frac{2b^2}{1 - kb^2} \sqrt{\alpha^2 - k\beta^2}, \quad \widetilde{\beta} := -\frac{2b}{1 - kb^2} \sqrt{b^2 \alpha^2 - \beta^2}.
$$

The metric in [\(7\)](#page-2-1) is essentially the type  $\tilde{F} = (\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\beta})^2 / \tilde{\alpha}$  when  $k < 1/b^2$ , where

$$
\widetilde{\alpha} := \frac{4b^4}{(1 - kb^2)^2} \sqrt{\alpha^2 - k\beta^2}, \quad \widetilde{\beta} := -\frac{4b^3}{(1 - kb^2)^2} \sqrt{b^2 \alpha^2 - \beta^2}.
$$

Thus according to [\[8\]](#page-13-4), [\[10\]](#page-13-2) and [\[15\]](#page-13-3), the local structures of the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics in [\(6\)](#page-2-0) and [\(7\)](#page-2-1) can be determined.

As seen above,  $F = \alpha + \beta$  or  $F = (\alpha + \beta)^2/\alpha^2$  is locally Minkowskian if and only if F is flat-parallel. However, the metric in [\(5\)](#page-2-2) is not necessarily the case. When  $k > -1/b^2$ , the metric in  $(5)$  is essentially an *m*-Kropina metric,

$$
F = \bar{\beta}^m \bar{\alpha}^{1-m}, \quad (\bar{\alpha} := \sqrt{\alpha^2 + k\beta^2}, \ \bar{\beta} := \beta).
$$

<span id="page-2-3"></span>Now for an m-Kropina metric (we may put  $k = 0$  in [\(5\)](#page-2-2)), we can determine its local structure as follows.

**Theorem 1.2** Let  $F = \beta^m \alpha^{1-m}$  be an m-Kropina metric, where  $m \neq 0, 1$ . Suppose F is locally projectively flat with vanishing flag curvature. Then F can be written as  $F =$  $\tilde{\alpha}^{1-m}\tilde{\beta}^m$ , where  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is flat and  $\tilde{\beta}$  is parallel with respect to  $\tilde{\alpha}$ , and thus  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}$  can be locally written as

$$
\widetilde{\alpha} = |y|, \quad \widetilde{\beta} = y^1. \tag{8}
$$

Further  $\alpha, \beta$  are related with  $\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}$  by

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\alpha = \eta^{\frac{m}{m-1}} \widetilde{\alpha}, \quad \beta = \eta \widetilde{\beta}, \tag{9}
$$

where  $\eta = \eta(x) > 0$  is a scalar function. Obviously F is locally Minkowskian, but generally not flat-parallel.

In [\[9\]](#page-13-8), the authors claim that for a projectively flat Kropina metric  $F = \alpha^2/\beta$  with vanishing flag curvature,  $\alpha$  must be flat and  $\beta$  must be closed. However, Theorem [1.2](#page-2-3) shows a different conclusion. By [\(9\)](#page-3-0), generally  $\alpha$  is not flat and  $\beta$  is not closed since  $\eta$  can be arbitrary.

In Theorem [1.2,](#page-2-3) if  $m \neq -1$ , we can obtain the same conclusion under weaker conditions— F is only assumed to be Douglasian if  $n = 2$  (see [\[18\]](#page-13-7)), or F is only assumed to be locally projectively flat if  $n \geq 3$  (see [\[17\]](#page-13-6)), or F is only assumed to be of constant/scalar flag curvature for  $n \geq 3$  ([\[19\]](#page-13-9)).

The general characterization for the metric  $F$  in [\(5\)](#page-2-2) which is locally projectively flat with vanishing flag curvature is given by the equations [\(20\)](#page-4-0)–[\(23\)](#page-4-1) below with  $P = 0$ , where we should note that if we put  $m = -1$  in [\(20\)](#page-4-0)–[\(23\)](#page-4-1), then we get [\(13\)](#page-4-2), [\(14\)](#page-4-2), [\(36\)](#page-6-0) and [\(38\)](#page-6-1) with  $\mu = -2b^2\tau$ . But it seems difficult to obtain their local solutions.

## 2 Preliminaries

In local coordinates, the geodesics of a Finsler metric  $F = F(x, y)$  are characterized by

$$
\frac{d^2x^i}{dt^2} + 2G^i(x, \frac{dx^i}{dt}) = 0,
$$

where

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
G^i := \frac{1}{4} g^{il} \{ [F^2]_{x^k y^l} y^k - [F^2]_{x^l} \}.
$$
\n(10)

The local functions  $G^i$  are called the spray coefficients of F. A Finsler metric F is said to be projectively flat in U, if there is a local coordinate system  $(U, x^i)$  such that  $G^i = Py^i$ , where  $P = P(x, y)$  is called the projective factor. In this case, the scalar flag curvature K is given by

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
K = \frac{P^2 - P_{x^k} y^k}{F^2}.
$$
\n(11)

Consider an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric  $F = \alpha \phi(s)$ ,  $s = \beta/\alpha$ . Let  $\nabla \beta = b_{i,j} y^i dx^j$  denote the covariant derivatives of  $\beta$  with respect to  $\alpha$  and define

$$
r_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} + b_{j|i}), \quad s_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} - b_{j|i}), \quad s_j := b^i s_{ij}, \quad s^i := a^{ik} s_k,
$$

where  $b^i := a^{ij}b_j$  and  $(a^{ij})$  is the inverse of  $(a_{ij})$ . Then by [\(10\)](#page-3-1), the spray coefficients  $G^i$  of  $F$  are given by  $([3] [4] [6] [7] [11] [12])$  $([3] [4] [6] [7] [11] [12])$  $([3] [4] [6] [7] [11] [12])$  $([3] [4] [6] [7] [11] [12])$  $([3] [4] [6] [7] [11] [12])$  $([3] [4] [6] [7] [11] [12])$  $([3] [4] [6] [7] [11] [12])$  $([3] [4] [6] [7] [11] [12])$ :

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
G^i = G^i_{\alpha} + \alpha Q s^i_0 + \alpha^{-1} \Theta(-2\alpha Q s_0 + r_{00}) y^i + \Psi(-2\alpha Q s_0 + r_{00}) b^i, \qquad (12)
$$

where  $s_j^i = a^{ik} s_{kj}, s_0^i = s_k^i y^k, s_i = b^k s_{ki}, s_0 = s_i y^i$ , and

$$
Q := \frac{\phi'}{\phi - s\phi'}, \quad \Theta := \frac{Q - sQ'}{2\Delta}, \quad \Psi := \frac{Q'}{2\Delta}, \quad \Delta := 1 + sQ + (b^2 - s^2)Q'.
$$

By [\(12\)](#page-3-2), it is easy to see that if  $\alpha$  is projectively flat and  $\beta$  is parallel with respect to  $\alpha$  $(r_{ij} = 0, s_{ij} = 0)$ , then  $F = \alpha \phi(\beta/\alpha)$  is projectively flat.

<span id="page-4-3"></span>In this paper, our proof is based on the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** ([\[17\]](#page-13-6) [\[18\]](#page-13-7)) Let  $F = \alpha \phi(s)$ ,  $s = \beta/\alpha$ , be an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric on an open subset  $U \subset R^n$ . Suppose that  $\beta$  is not parallel with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $\phi$  satisfies [\(4\)](#page-1-0). Let  $G^i_{\alpha}$  be the spray coefficients of  $\alpha$ . Then F is projectively flat in U with  $G^i = P(x, y)y^i$  if and only if one of the following cases holds:

(i)  $(n \ge 2)$  For a 1-form  $\rho = \rho_i(x)y^i$ ,  $\phi(s)$ ,  $\beta$  and  $G^i_{\alpha}$  satisfy

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\phi(s) = ks + \frac{1}{s}, \quad s_{ij} = \frac{b_i s_j - b_j s_i}{b^2}, \tag{13}
$$

$$
G_{\alpha}^{i} = \rho y^{i} - \frac{r_{00}}{2b^{2}}b^{i} - \frac{\alpha^{2} - k\beta^{2}}{2b^{2}}s^{i}, \qquad (14)
$$

where  $k$  is a constant. In this case, the projective factor  $P$  is given by

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
P = \rho - \frac{1}{b^2(\alpha^2 + c\beta^2)} \left\{ (\alpha^2 - c\beta^2)s_0 + r_{00}\beta \right\}.
$$
 (15)

(ii)  $(n \ge 2)$  For a 1-form  $\rho = \rho_i(x)y^i$  and a scalar  $\tau = \tau(x)$ ,  $\phi(s)$ ,  $\beta$  and  $G^i_{\alpha}$  satisfy

<span id="page-4-5"></span>
$$
\phi(s) = a_1 s + s^m (1 + k s^2)^{\frac{1 - m}{2}}, \tag{16}
$$

$$
b_{i|j} = 2\tau \{mb^2 a_{ij} - (m+1+kb^2)b_i b_j\},\tag{17}
$$

$$
G^i_{\alpha} = \rho y^i - \tau (m\alpha^2 - k\beta^2) b^i, \qquad (18)
$$

where  $a_1$  and k are constant. In this case, the projective factor P is given by

<span id="page-4-6"></span>
$$
P = \rho + \tau \alpha \left\{ s(-m + ks^2) - s^2 (1 + ks^2) \frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right\}
$$
 (19)

(iii)  $(n \ge 2)$  For a 1-form  $\rho = \rho_i(x)y^i$  and a scalar  $\tau = \tau(x)$ ,  $\phi(s)$ ,  $\beta$  and  $G^i_{\alpha}$  satisfy

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\phi(s) = s^m (1 + ks^2)^{\frac{1-m}{2}}, \quad s_{ij} = \frac{b_i s_j - b_j s_i}{b^2}, \tag{20}
$$

$$
r_{ij} = 2\tau \{mb^2 a_{ij} - (m+1+kb^2)b_ib_j\} - \frac{m+1+2kb^2}{(m-1)b^2}(b_is_j + b_js_i), \quad (21)
$$

$$
G_{\alpha}^{i} = \rho y^{i} + \left\{ \frac{2k\beta s_{0}}{(m-1)b^{2}} - \tau (m\alpha^{2} - k\beta^{2}) \right\} b^{i} - \frac{m\alpha^{2} + k\beta^{2}}{(m-1)b^{2}} s^{i},
$$
(22)

where  $k$  is a constant. In this case, the projective factor  $P$  is given by

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
P = \rho - 2m\tau\beta - \frac{2m}{(m-1)b^2} s_0.
$$
 (23)

(iv)  $(n = 2)$  For a 1-form  $\rho = \rho_i(x)y^i$  and a scalar  $\tau = \tau(x)$ ,  $\phi(s)$ ,  $\beta$  and  $G^i_{\alpha}$  satisfy

<span id="page-4-7"></span>
$$
\phi(s) = mb^2 \sqrt{b^2 - s^2} \int_0^s \frac{1}{(b^2 - t^2)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{1 - kt^2}}\right)^{m-1} dt, \tag{24}
$$

$$
r_{ij} = -\frac{1}{b^2}(b_i s_j + b_j s_i), \tag{25}
$$

$$
G_{\alpha}^{i} = \rho y^{i} - \frac{(m-2)\alpha^{2} + k\beta^{2}}{(m-1)b^{2}}s^{i},
$$
\n(26)

where  $k$  is a constant. In this case, the projective factor  $P$  is given by

<span id="page-5-4"></span>
$$
P = \rho + \frac{1}{(m-1)b^2} \left\{ s(ks^2 - 1)\frac{\phi'}{\phi} - ks^2 - m + 2 \right\} s_0.
$$
 (27)

(v)  $(n = 2)$  For a 1-form  $\rho = \rho_i(x)y^i$  and a scalar  $\tau = \tau(x)$ ,  $\phi(s)$  and  $\beta$  satisfy

<span id="page-5-5"></span>
$$
\phi(s) = k_1 s + \frac{2k_2}{s} + \frac{1}{s^3},\tag{28}
$$

$$
r_{ij} = -2\tau \{3b^2 a_{ij} + (k_2 b^2 - 2)b_i b_j\} + \frac{(3k_1 + k_2^2)b^4 - 4}{8b^2(1 + k_2 b^2)}(b_i s_j + b_j s_i), \quad (29)
$$

$$
G_{\alpha}^{i} = \rho y^{i} + \tau (3\alpha^{2} + k_{2}\beta^{2})b^{i} + \left\{\frac{k_{1} - k_{2}^{2}}{8(1 + k_{2}b^{2})}(3b^{2}\alpha^{2} - \beta^{2}) + \left(\frac{k_{2}}{2} - \frac{3}{4b^{2}}\right)\alpha^{2} - \frac{k_{2}}{b^{2}}\beta^{2}\right\}s^{i},
$$
\n(30)

where  $k_1, k_2$  are constant satisfying  $1 + k_2 b^2 \neq 0$ . In this case, the projective factor P is given by

<span id="page-5-6"></span>
$$
P = \rho + 2\tau \beta \left\{ 3 - \frac{2c\beta^4}{\alpha^4 + c\beta^4 + k_2 \beta^2 (2\alpha^2 + k_2 \beta^2)} \right\} + (\frac{k_2 b^2 - 3}{2b^2} + T)s_0, \quad (31)
$$
  
\n
$$
T: = c \frac{4\beta^2 (2\beta^2 - b^2 \alpha^2) + 3b^4 (\alpha^4 + c\beta^4) + k_2 b^2 \beta^2 (6b^2 \alpha^2 + 4\beta^2 + 3k_2 b^2 \beta^2)}{8b^2 (1 + k_2 b^2) [\alpha^4 + c\beta^4 + k_2 \beta^2 (2\alpha^2 + k_2 \beta^2)]}.
$$
  
\n
$$
c: = k_1 - k_2^2.
$$

# <span id="page-5-7"></span>3 The first class in Theorem [2.1](#page-4-3)

In this section, we study the property of the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric determined by [\(13\)](#page-4-2) and [\(14\)](#page-4-2) in Theorem [2.1.](#page-4-3)

**Proposition 3.1** Let  $F = k\beta + \alpha^2/\beta$ , where k is a constant, be an n-dimensional  $(\alpha, \beta)$ metric which is projectively flat with constant flag curvature K. Then we have  $K = 0$ , and then F is locally Minkowskian.

**Proof.** We only need to assume that  $\beta$  is not parallel with respect to  $\alpha$ . The projective factor  $P$  is given by [\(15\)](#page-4-4). By [\(14\)](#page-4-2) we get

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\alpha_0 := \alpha_{x^k} y^k = a_{ir} y^r \frac{2}{\alpha} G^i_{\alpha} = 2\rho \alpha + \frac{(cs^2 - 1)s_0 \alpha - s r_{00}}{b^2}.
$$
 (32)

Now it follows from [\(14\)](#page-4-2) and [\(32\)](#page-5-0) that there holds

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
s_{x^k} y^k = -\frac{s\alpha_0}{\alpha} + \frac{r_{00} + 2b_i G^i_{\alpha}}{\alpha} = -\frac{s[(cs^2 - 1)s_0 \alpha - s r_{00}]}{b^2 \alpha}.
$$
 (33)

Then plug  $\phi(s) = ks + 1/s$ , [\(15\)](#page-4-4), [\(32\)](#page-5-0) and [\(33\)](#page-5-1) into [\(11\)](#page-3-3) and thus (11) can be written in the following form

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
A_1 \beta^2 + K b^4 \alpha^8 = 0,\t\t(34)
$$

where  $A_1$  is a homogeneous polynomial in  $(y^i)$  of degree six. Clearly by [\(34\)](#page-5-2) we get  $K = 0$ . By  $K = 0$ , [\(34\)](#page-5-2) has the following equivalent form

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
A_2(\alpha^2 + k\beta^2) + 3\beta^2 (r_{00} - 2k\beta s_0)^2 = 0,
$$
\n(35)

where  $A_2$  is a polynomial in  $(y<sup>i</sup>)$ . So [\(35\)](#page-5-3) implies

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
r_{00} = 2k\beta s_0 + \mu(\alpha^2 + k\beta^2),
$$
\n(36)

where  $\mu = \mu(x)$  is a scalar function. Now plug [\(36\)](#page-6-0) into [\(15\)](#page-4-4) and then we have

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
P = \rho - \frac{\mu \beta + s_0}{b^2}.\tag{37}
$$

So P is a 1-form and thus  $G^i = Py^i$  are quadratic, which shows F is Berwaldian. Plus  $K = 0$ , F is locally Minkowskian. So we have  $P = 0$  and thus again by [\(37\)](#page-6-2) we have

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\rho = \frac{\mu \beta + s_0}{b^2}.\tag{38}
$$

# <span id="page-6-7"></span>4 The second class in Theorem [2.1](#page-4-3)

In this section, we study the property of the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric determined by [\(16\)](#page-4-5), [\(17\)](#page-4-5) and [\(18\)](#page-4-5) in Theorem [2.1.](#page-4-3)

**Proposition 4.1** Let F be an n-dimensional  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric given by [\(16\)](#page-4-5) which is projectively flat with constant flag curvature K. Suppose  $\beta$  is not parallel with respect to  $\alpha$ . Then we have  $a_1 = 0, K = 0$ .

**Proof.** The projective factor  $P$  is given by [\(19\)](#page-4-6). By [\(17\)](#page-4-5) we get

<span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
r_{00} = 2\tau \left(m b^2 - (m + 1 + kb^2)s^2\right)\alpha^2.
$$
 (39)

By  $(18)$  we get

<span id="page-6-4"></span>
$$
\alpha_0 := \alpha_{x^k} y^k = a_{ir} y^r \frac{2}{\alpha} G^i_{\alpha} = 2\tau s (ks^2 - m)\alpha^2 + 2\rho \alpha.
$$
 (40)

Now it follows from [\(18\)](#page-4-5), [\(39\)](#page-6-3) and [\(40\)](#page-6-4) that there holds

$$
s_{x^k} y^k = -\frac{s\alpha_0}{\alpha} + \frac{r_{00} + 2b_i G^i_{\alpha}}{\alpha} = -2\tau \alpha s^2 (1 + k s^2). \tag{41}
$$

The function  $\phi(s)$  in [\(16\)](#page-4-5) satisfies

<span id="page-6-5"></span>
$$
\phi'' = \frac{(ks^2 - m)(\phi - s\phi')}{s^2(1 + ks^2)}.
$$
\n(42)

Then plug  $(19)$ ,  $(40)$ – $(42)$  into  $(11)$  and we obtain

<span id="page-6-6"></span>
$$
K\alpha^2 \phi^2 = \rho^2 - \rho_{00} + (m+1)(\tau_0 - (m+1)s\tau^2 \alpha)s\alpha + 3\frac{(\phi - s\phi')^2}{\phi^2} s^2 (1 + ks^2)^2 \tau^2 \alpha^2
$$
  
 
$$
+ \frac{\phi - s\phi'}{\phi} s(1 + ks^2) \left[2(m-1)\tau^2 s\alpha - \tau_0\right] \alpha,
$$
 (43)

where

$$
\tau_i := \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial x^i}, \quad \tau_0 := \tau_i y^i, \quad \rho_{ij} := \frac{1}{2} (\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial x^i}), \quad \rho_{00} := \rho_{ij} y^i y^j.
$$
(44)

To deal with [\(43\)](#page-6-6), we choose a special coordinate system at a point as that in [\[11\]](#page-13-12). At a fixed point  $x_o$ , make a change of coordinates:  $(s, y^A) \mapsto (y^1, y^A)$  by

$$
y^{1} = \frac{s}{\sqrt{b^{2} - s^{2}}}\bar{\alpha}, \ \ y^{A} = y^{A},
$$

where  $\bar{\alpha} = \sqrt{\sum_{A=2}^{n} (y^A)^2}$ . Then

$$
\alpha = \frac{b}{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}} \bar{\alpha}, \quad \beta = \frac{bs}{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}} \bar{\alpha}.
$$

We get

<span id="page-7-5"></span>
$$
\rho = \frac{s\rho_1}{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}}\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\rho}_0, \quad \rho_{00} = \frac{\rho_{11}s^2}{b^2 - s^2}\bar{\alpha}^2 + \frac{2s\bar{\rho}_{10}}{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}}\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\rho}_{00}, \quad \tau_0 = \frac{s\tau_1}{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}}\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\tau}_0, \quad (45)
$$

where  $\bar{\rho}_0 := \rho_A y^A$ ,  $\bar{\rho}_{10} := \rho_{1A} y^A$ ,  $\bar{\rho}_{00} := \rho_{AB} y^A y^B$ ,  $\bar{\tau}_0 := \tau_A y^A$ .

Under the local coordinate system  $(s, y^A)$ , [\(43\)](#page-6-6) can be written in the form  $A\bar{\alpha}^2 + B\bar{\alpha} + C =$ 0. So we have  $A\bar{\alpha}^2 + C = 0$  and  $B = 0$ . By  $A\bar{\alpha}^2 + C = 0$  we have

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\bar{\rho}_0^2 - \bar{\rho}_{00} = \delta \bar{\alpha}^2,
$$
\n
$$
3b^2 \tau^2 s^2 (1 + ks^2)^2 (\phi - s\phi')^2 + bs^2 (1 + ks^2) [2(m - 1)b\tau^2 - \tau_1] \phi(\phi - s\phi')
$$
\n
$$
-Kb^2 \phi^4 + \left\{ \left[ (m + 1)b\tau_1 - (m + 1)^2 b^2 \tau^2 + \mu - \delta \right] t^2 + b^2 \delta \right\} \phi^2 = 0,
$$
\n(47)

where  $\delta = \delta(x)$  and  $\mu = \mu(x) := \rho_1^2 - \rho_{11}$  are some scalar functions.

We consider [\(47\)](#page-7-0). Plug the Taylor expansion of [\(16\)](#page-4-5) into (47) and let  $p_i$  be the coefficients of  $s^i$  in [\(47\)](#page-7-0).

**Case I:** Assume  $a_1 \neq 0$ . We will show this is impossible. Firstly we have  $\delta = 0$  from  $p_2 = 0$ . Then  $p_4 = 0$  gives

<span id="page-7-1"></span> $\mu = (m+1)^2 b^2 \tau^2 - (m+1)b\tau_1 + b^2 a_1^2$ 

Plug  $\delta = 0$  and [\(48\)](#page-7-1) into  $p_{m+3} = 0$  and we obtain

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
\tau_1 = 2(m-1)b\tau^2 + \frac{2ba_1^2K}{m-1}.
$$
\n(49)

Plug [\(16\)](#page-4-5),  $\delta = 0$ , [\(48\)](#page-7-1) and [\(49\)](#page-7-2) into [\(47\)](#page-7-0) and then it is clear that  $p_{2m+2} = 0$  gives

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
K = \frac{(m-1)^2}{a_1^2} \tau^2,\tag{50}
$$

 $(48)$ 

and  $p_{3m+1} = 0$  gives  $K = 0$ . Thus we have  $\tau = 0$  from [\(50\)](#page-7-3). Then by [\(17\)](#page-4-5) we get a contradiction.

**Case II:** As shown above we have  $a_1 = 0$ . Then  $p_{2m} = 0$  gives  $\delta = 0$ . Plug  $\delta = 0$  into  $p_{2m+2} = 0$  and we have

<span id="page-7-4"></span>
$$
\mu = 4mb^2\tau^2 - 2mb\tau_1. \tag{51}
$$

Now plugging  $\delta = 0$  and [\(51\)](#page-7-4) into [\(47\)](#page-7-0) yields  $K = 0$ . Q.E.D.

#### 5 The third class in Theorem [2.1](#page-4-3)

In this section, we study the property of an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric determined by [\(20\)](#page-4-0), [\(21\)](#page-4-0) and [\(22\)](#page-4-0) in Theorem [2.1.](#page-4-3)

**Proposition 5.1** Let F be an n-dimensional  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric given by the  $\phi(s)$  in [\(20\)](#page-4-0) which is projectively flat with constant flag curvature K. Then we have  $K = 0$  and F is locally Minkowskian.

**Proof.** The projective factor  $P$  is given by  $(23)$ . By  $(21)$  we get

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
r_{00} = 2\tau \left(m b^2 - (m + 1 + kb^2)s^2\right)\alpha^2 - \frac{2(m + 1 + 2kb^2)}{(m - 1)b^2}s\alpha s_0.
$$
 (52)

By  $(22)$  we get

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\alpha_0 := \alpha_{x^k} y^k = a_{ir} y^r \frac{2}{\alpha} G_{\alpha}^i = 2\rho \alpha + \frac{2(k\beta^2 - m\alpha^2) [(m-1)\tau b^2 \beta + s_0]}{(m-1)b^2 \alpha}.
$$
 (53)

Now it follows from  $(22)$ ,  $(52)$  and  $(53)$  that

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
s_{x^k}y^k = -\frac{s\alpha_0}{\alpha} + \frac{r_{00} + 2b_iG^i_{\alpha}}{\alpha} = -\frac{2\beta(\alpha^2 + k\beta^2)\left[(m-1)\tau b^2\beta + s_0\right]}{(m-1)b^2\alpha^3}.
$$
 (54)

Then plug  $(20)$ ,  $(23)$ ,  $(53)$  and  $(54)$  into  $(11)$  and we obtain

<span id="page-8-3"></span>
$$
(m-1)^{2}b^{4}K\beta^{2m}(\alpha^{2}+k\beta^{2})^{1-m}+A_{0}=0,
$$
\n(55)

where  $A_0$  is a polynomial in  $(y<sup>i</sup>)$ . Since  $m \neq 0, 1$ , clearly we get  $K = 0$  from [\(55\)](#page-8-3). Further, we conclude that F is Berwaldian since by  $(23)$ , the projective factor P is a 1-form. Thus  $F$  is locally Minkowskian.  $Q.E.D.$ 

## 6 The fourth class in Theorem [2.1](#page-4-3)

<span id="page-8-4"></span>In this section, we study the property of the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric determined by [\(24\)](#page-4-7), [\(25\)](#page-4-7) and [\(26\)](#page-4-7) in Theorem [2.1.](#page-4-3)

**Proposition 6.1** Let  $F = \alpha \phi(s)$ ,  $s = \beta/\alpha$ , be an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric on an open subset U of the two-dimensional Euclidean space  $R^2$ . Suppose that  $\beta$  is not parallel with respect to  $\alpha$  and F satisfies  $(24)$ ,  $(25)$  and  $(26)$  with constant flag curvature K. Then we have one of the following cases:

(i)  $\phi$  is given by

$$
\phi(s) = s^m \left(1 - \frac{s^2}{b^2}\right)^{\frac{1-m}{2}}.\tag{56}
$$

In this case, we have  $K = 0$ .

(ii)  $\phi$  is given by

<span id="page-8-5"></span>
$$
\phi(s) = \frac{2b}{1 - kb^2} \left\{ b\sqrt{1 - ks^2} - \sqrt{b^2 - s^2} \right\},\tag{57}
$$

where  $k \neq 1/b^2$ .

(iii)  $\phi$  is given by

<span id="page-9-5"></span>
$$
\phi(s) = \frac{4b^2}{(1 - kb^2)^2} \frac{\left(b\sqrt{1 - ks^2} - \sqrt{b^2 - s^2}\right)^2}{\sqrt{1 - ks^2}},\tag{58}
$$

where  $k \neq 1/b^2$ . In this case, we have  $K = 0$ .

**Proof.** The projective factor  $P$  is given by [\(27\)](#page-5-4). By [\(25\)](#page-4-7) and [\(26\)](#page-4-7) we get

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
r_{00} = -\frac{2s}{b^2} \alpha s_0, \quad \alpha_{x^k} y^k = \left\{ 2\rho - \frac{2(m - 2 + kt^2)s_0}{(m - 1)b^2} \right\} \alpha, \quad s_{x^k} y^k = \frac{2s(ks^2 - 1)}{(m - 1)b^2} s_0. \tag{59}
$$

It follows from [\(24\)](#page-4-7) that  $\phi(s)$  satisfies

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\phi - s\phi' + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''}{s\phi + (b^2 - s^2)\phi'} = \frac{m - 1}{s(1 - ks^2)}.
$$
\n(60)

Now substitute [\(59\)](#page-9-0) and [\(60\)](#page-9-1) into [\(11\)](#page-3-3) and we obtain

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
K\alpha^2 \phi^2 = \rho^2 - \rho_{00} + \frac{1}{b^2} S_{00} - \frac{2\rho}{b^2} s_0 + \frac{3(ks^2 - 1)^2}{(m - 1)^2 b^4 \phi^2} (\phi - s\phi')^2 s_0^2 + \frac{k s^2 - 1}{(m - 1)b^2 \phi} \Big\{ S_{00} + \frac{2(kb^2 s^2 - 2s^2 + b^2)}{b^2 (b^2 - s^2)} s_0^2 - 2\rho s_0 \Big\} (\phi - s\phi') + \frac{(1 - m + 2kb^2)s^2 + (m - 3)b^2}{(m - 1)(b^2 - s^2)b^4} s_0^2,
$$
(61)

where  $\rho_{00}$  and  $S_{00}$  are defined by

$$
\rho_{ij} := \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial x^i} \right), \quad \rho_{00} := \rho_{ij} y^i y^j, \quad S_{ij} := \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial s_i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial x^i} \right), \quad S_{00} := S_{ij} y^i y^j.
$$

Since the dependence of  $\phi$  on s is not clear, we choose a special coordinate system  $(s, y^2)$  at a fixed point  $x<sub>o</sub>$  as that in Section [4.](#page-6-7)

Under the local coordinate system  $(s, y^2)$ , put  $\rho$  and  $\rho_{00}$  as in [\(45\)](#page-7-5) and

$$
S_{00} = \frac{S_{11}s^2}{b^2 - s^2}\bar{\alpha}^2 + \frac{2s\bar{S}_{10}}{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}}\bar{\alpha} + \bar{S}_{00}.
$$

Substitute them into [\(61\)](#page-9-2) and then [\(61\)](#page-9-2) can be written in the form

<span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
A\bar{\alpha}^2 + B\bar{\alpha} + C = 0,\tag{62}
$$

where A, B, C are some polynomials in  $(y^2)$ . By [\(62\)](#page-9-3) we have  $A\overline{\alpha}^2 + C = 0$  and  $B = 0$ . Since F is two-dimensional,  $A\bar{\alpha}^2 + C = 0$  can be written as

<span id="page-9-4"></span>
$$
3(b^2 - s^2)(1 - ks^2)^2(\phi - s\phi')^2s_2^2 - (1 - ks^2)\left\{ \left[ (2kb^2 + b^2 - mb^2 - 4)s_2^2 \right] \right\}+ (m - 1)b^2(2\rho_2s_2 + \eta + S_{11}) \left] s^2 + b^2(mb^2 - b^2 + 2)s_2^2 \right\}- (m - 1)b^4(2\rho_2s_2 + \eta) \left\{ \phi(\phi - s\phi') + (m - 1)\phi^2 \left\{ \left[ (2kb^2 - mb^2 + b^2 - m + 1)s_2^2 \right] \right. \\ \left. + (m - 1)b^2(2\rho_2s_2 + \eta + S_{11} + b^2\mu - b^2\delta) \right] s^2 + b^2(mb^2 - b^2 + m - 3)s_2^2 \right\}- (m - 1)b^4(2\rho_2s_2 + Kb^2\phi^2 + \eta - b^2\delta) \Big\} = 0,
$$
(63)

where

$$
\mu := \rho_1^2 - \rho_{11}, \quad \delta := \rho_2^2 - \rho_{22}, \quad \eta = s_2^2 - S_{22},
$$

Put

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\phi(s) = s^m + a_{m+2}s^{m+2} + a_{m+4}s^{m+4} + a_{m+6}s^{m+6} + a_{m+8}s^{m+8} + o(s^{m+8}), \qquad (64)
$$

and plug it into [\(60\)](#page-9-1). Then we obtain

<span id="page-10-3"></span>
$$
a_{m+2} = \frac{(m-1)(mkb^2+2)}{2(m+2)b^2}, \quad a_{m+4} = \frac{(m^2-1)[mkb^2(mkb^2+2kb^2+4)+8]}{8(m+2)(m+4)b^4}, \tag{65}
$$

$$
a_{m+6} = \frac{m+3}{6(m+6)b^2} \{ (mkb^2 + 4kb^2 + 6)a_{m+4} - (m+1)ka_{m+2} \},
$$
\n(66)

$$
a_{m+8} = \frac{m+5}{8(m+8)b^2} \{ (mkb^2 + 6kb^2 + 8)a_{m+6} - (m+3)ka_{m+4} \}.
$$
 (67)

Substitute [\(64\)](#page-10-0) into [\(63\)](#page-9-4) and let  $p_i$  be the coefficients of  $s^i$  in (63). Then we can easily get  $p_{2m}$  and  $p_{2m+2}$   $(m \geq 2)$ ,  $p_{2m+4}$   $(m \geq 3)$ ,  $p_{2m+6}$   $(m \geq 4)$  and  $p_{2m+8}$   $(m \geq 5)$ . Here we omit their expressions.

**Case I:** Assume  $k = 1/b^2$ . Plug  $k = 1/b^2$  into [\(60\)](#page-9-1) and then solving it gives

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\phi(s) = s^m (1 - \frac{s^2}{b^2})^{\frac{1-m}{2}}.
$$
\n(68)

Plug  $k = 1/b^2$  into  $p_{2m} = 0$  and  $p_{2m+2} = 0$  and we have

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
\mu = -\frac{2}{b^2} S_{11}, \quad \delta = \frac{2}{b^2} \left[ b^2 (\eta + 2\rho_2 s_2) - (2 + b^2) s_2^2 \right]. \tag{69}
$$

Substitute  $k = 1/b^2$ , [\(68\)](#page-10-1) and [\(69\)](#page-10-2) into [\(63\)](#page-9-4) and we easily get  $K = 0$ .

**Case II:** Assume  $k \neq 1/b^2$ . We will show  $m = 2$  or  $m = 4$ .

**Case II (A):** Suppose  $m \geq 5$ . This case will show a contradiction.

Solve the system  $p_{2m} = 0$ ,  $p_{2m+2} = 0$ ,  $p_{2m+4} = 0$ ,  $p_{2m+6} = 0$ , and by using [\(65\)](#page-10-3) and [\(66\)](#page-10-3) we get

<span id="page-10-4"></span>
$$
\mu = \frac{48(b^2k - 1)}{(m+2)^2b^4}s_2^2, \qquad \delta = \frac{(m-2)(5m^2 + 3m - 32)}{(m^2 - 1)(m+2)b^4}s_2^2,\tag{70}
$$

$$
S_{11} = \frac{(1 - kb^2)(m^3 + 41m^2 - 10m + 40)}{2(m^2 - 1)(m + 2)^2 b^2} s_2^2,
$$
\n<sup>(71)</sup>

<span id="page-10-5"></span>
$$
\eta = \left\{ 1 + \frac{9m^3 + m^2 - 42m + 56}{2(m^2 - 1)(m + 2)b^2} \right\} s_2^2 - 2\rho_2 s_2. \tag{72}
$$

Substitute  $(70)$ – $(72)$  into  $p_{2m+8} = 0$  and by using  $(65)$ – $(67)$  we obtain  $s_2 = 0$ , which contradicts with that  $\beta$  is not parallel.

**Case II (B):** Put  $m = 3$ . This case is also a contradiction. Likely, we can first get  $\mu$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\eta$  and  $S_{11}$  by solving the system

$$
p_6 = 0, p_8 = 0, p_{10} = 0, p_{12} = 0.
$$

Then plugging them into  $p_{14} = 0$  yields

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
s_2^2 = \frac{6125}{8(kb^2 - 1)^3} Kb^{10}.
$$
 (73)

Finally, we obtain  $K = 0$  by  $p_{16} = 0$ , where we need [\(65\)](#page-10-3)–[\(67\)](#page-10-3)  $(m = 3)$  and

$$
a_{m+10} = \frac{m+7}{10(m+10)b^2} \{ (mkb^2 + 8kb^2 + 10)a_{m+8} - (m+5)ka_{m+6} \}, (m=3).
$$

Thus we get a contradiction by [\(73\)](#page-11-0) and  $K = 0$ .

**Case II (C):** Put  $m = 2$ . This case gives Proposition [6.1\(](#page-8-4)ii) since the ODE [\(60\)](#page-9-1) with  $m = 2$  gives [\(57\)](#page-8-5).

**Case II (D):** Put  $m = 4$ . This case gives Proposition [6.1\(](#page-8-4)iii) since the ODE [\(60\)](#page-9-1) with  $m = 4$  gives [\(58\)](#page-9-5).

## 7 The fifth class in Theorem [2.1](#page-4-3)

In this section, we study the property of the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric determined by [\(28\)](#page-5-5), [\(29\)](#page-5-5) and [\(30\)](#page-5-5) in Theorem [2.1.](#page-4-3) Note that in Theorem [2.1\(](#page-4-3)v), if  $k_1 - k_2^2 = 0$ , then Theorem 2.1(v) is a special case of Theorem [2.1\(](#page-4-3)iii) with  $m = -3$ . So we only need to assume  $k_1 - k_2^2 \neq 0$  in the following proposition.

**Proposition 7.1** Let  $F = k_1 \beta + 2k_2 \alpha^2/\beta + \alpha^4/\beta^3$  with  $k_1 - k_2^2 \neq 0$  be a two-dimensional  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric which is projectively flat with constant flag curvature K. Then we have  $K = 0$ and F is locally Minkowskian. In this case,  $\alpha$  is flat and  $\beta$  is parallel with respect to  $\alpha$ .

**Proof.** The projective factor  $P$  is given by [\(31\)](#page-5-6). Similarly as the discussions in the above classes, by  $(29)$  we can get the expressions of  $r_{00}$  and  $r_{0}$ , and by  $(30)$  we can get the expressions of

$$
\alpha_0 := \alpha_{x^k} y^k = a_{ir} y^r \frac{2}{\alpha} G_{\alpha}^i, \quad s_{x^k} y^k = -\frac{s\alpha_0}{\alpha} + \frac{r_{00} + 2b_i G_{\alpha}^i}{\alpha}
$$

Then plug them together with  $(28)$  and  $(31)$  into  $(11)$  and thus  $(11)$  can be firstly written in the following form

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
A_1\beta^2 - 64Kb^4(1+k_2b^2)^3\alpha^{16} = 0,
$$
\n(74)

where  $A_1$  is a homogeneous polynomial in  $(y<sup>i</sup>)$ . Clearly by [\(74\)](#page-11-1) we get  $K = 0$ . By  $K = 0$ , [\(74\)](#page-11-1) has the following equivalent form

$$
A_2(\alpha^4 + 2k_2\alpha^2\beta^2 + k_1\beta^4) + 48(1 + k_2b^2)\beta^4(\alpha^2 + k_2\beta^2)^4T^2 = 0,
$$
\n(75)

where  $A_2$  is a homogeneous polynomial in  $(y^i)$  and T is defined by

$$
T := b^2 s_0 \alpha^2 + [8b^2 \tau (1 + k_2 b^2) \beta - (2 + k_2 b^2) s_0] \beta^2.
$$

Since  $k_1 \neq k_2^2$ , it is easy to show that  $(\alpha^2 + k_2\beta^2)^4$  and  $\alpha^4 + 2k_2\alpha^2\beta^2 + k_1\beta^4$  have no common factor. Thus  $\alpha^4 + 2k_2\alpha^2\beta^2 + k_1\beta^4$  must be divided by T and so we get  $T = 0$ . Now by  $T = 0$  and the definition of T, we easily show that

$$
s_0 = 0, \quad \tau = 0.
$$

Further, the second formula in [\(13\)](#page-4-2) always hold in two-dimensional case. So we have  $s_{ij} = 0$ by  $s_i = 0$ . Thus  $\beta$  is parallel with respect to  $\alpha$ . Q.E.D.

### 8 Proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-2-3)

Let  $F = \beta^m \alpha^{1-m}$  be an m-Kropina metric  $(m \neq 0, 1)$ . For the case  $m \neq -1$ , the conclusion has been proved under weaker condition that F is only assumed to be Douglasian if  $n = 2$ (see [\[18\]](#page-13-7)) and F is only assumed to be locally projectively flat if  $n \geq 3$  (see [\[17\]](#page-13-6)). Therefore, we only need to assume  $m = -1$ , that is, F is a Kropina metric.

By the proof in Section [3,](#page-5-7) we have [\(36\)](#page-6-0) and [\(38\)](#page-6-1) with  $k = 0$ . Then F is locally projectively flat with constant flag curvature if and only if

<span id="page-12-5"></span>
$$
r_{00} = \mu \alpha^2, \quad s_{ij} = \frac{b_i s_j - b_j s_i}{b^2}, \tag{76}
$$

$$
G_{\alpha}^{i} = \rho y^{i} - \frac{r_{00}}{2b^{2}}b^{i} - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{2b^{2}}s^{i}, \qquad (77)
$$

$$
\rho = \frac{\mu \beta + s_0}{b^2}.\tag{78}
$$

Next we apply a useful deformation on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to obtain the local expressions of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ based on  $(76)$ – $(78)$ . Define

<span id="page-12-6"></span>
$$
\widetilde{\alpha} := \frac{1}{b}\alpha, \quad \widetilde{\beta} := \frac{1}{b^2}\beta. \tag{79}
$$

In [\[17\]](#page-13-6) and [\[18\]](#page-13-7) we define a deformation applied to m-Kropina metric as follows

$$
\widetilde{\alpha} := b^m \alpha, \quad \widetilde{\beta} := b^{m-1} \beta.
$$

When  $m = -1$ , the above becomes [\(79\)](#page-12-6). Under the deformation (79), by [\(76\)](#page-12-5)–[\(78\)](#page-12-5), we obtain

<span id="page-12-7"></span>
$$
\widetilde{r}_{ij} = 0, \quad \widetilde{s}_{ij} = 0, \quad \widetilde{G}^i = 0. \tag{80}
$$

Therefore, by [\(80\)](#page-12-7) we conclude that  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is flat and  $\tilde{\beta}$  is parallel with respect to  $\tilde{\alpha}$ . Now put  $\eta := b^2$ , and then we get (9) with  $m = -1$ . Q.E.D.  $\eta := b^2$ , and then we get [\(9\)](#page-3-0) with  $m = -1$ . Q.E.D.

#### Acknowledgement:

The author expresses his sincere thanks to China Scholarship Council for its funding support. He did this job during the period (June 2012–June 2013) when he as a postdoctoral researcher visited Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis, USA.

## <span id="page-12-0"></span>References

- [1] P. Antonelli, R. Ingarden and M. Matsumoto, The theory of sprays and Finsler spaces with applications in physics and biology, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[2] P. Antonelli, B. Han and J. Modayil, New results on 2-dimensional constant sprays with an application to heterochrony, World Scientific Press, 1998.
- <span id="page-12-4"></span><span id="page-12-3"></span>[3] S. S. Chern and Z. Shen, Riemann-Finsler geometry, World Scientific, 2005.
- [4] B. Li, Y. B. Shen and Z. Shen, On a class of Douglas metrics in Finsler geometry, Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica, 46(3), (2009), 355-365.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[5] B. Li and Z. Shen, On a class of projectively fat Finsler metrics with constant flag *curvature*, Int. J. of Math.,  $18(7)$  (2007), 1-12.
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>[6] B. Li and Z. Shen, On a class of weakly Landsberg metrics, Science in China, Series A, 50(2007), 75-85.
- <span id="page-13-11"></span><span id="page-13-4"></span>[7] M. Matsumoto, Finsler spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric of Douglas type, Tensor, N. S. 60(1998), 123-134.
- [8] X. Mo, Z. Shen and C. Yang, Some constructions of projectively flat Finsler metrics, Science in China, Series A, 49(2006), 703-714.
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>[9] S. Narasimhamurthy, L. Kumari and C. Bagewadi, Projectively flat Kropina metric with  $K = 0$ , Applied Mathematical Sciences, 5 (12) (2011), 585-593.
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>[10] Z. Shen, Projectively flat Randers metrics of constant curvature, Math. Ann. 325(2003), 19-30.
- <span id="page-13-12"></span><span id="page-13-0"></span>[11] Z. Shen, On projectively flat  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics, Canadian Math. Bull., 52(1)(2009), 132-144.
- [12] Z. Shen, On a class of Landsberg metrics in Finsler geometry, Canadian J. of Math., 61(6) (2009), 1357-1374.
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>[13] Z. Shen, Projectively flag Finsler metrics of constant flag curvature, Trans. of Amer. Mtah. Soc. 355(4)(2003), 1713-1728.
- [14] Z. Shen and G. Yang, On a class of weakly Einstein Finsler metrics, Israel J. of Math. (To appear)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>[15] Z. Shen and G. C. Yildirim, On a class of projectively flat metrics with constant flag *curvature*, Canadian J. of Math.,  $60(2)(2008)$ , 443-456.
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>[16] G. Yang, On a class of two-dimensional projectively flat Finsler metrics with constant flag curvature, Acta. Math. Sin., 2013, (DOI)10.1007/s10114-013-0728-0.
- <span id="page-13-7"></span><span id="page-13-6"></span>[17] G. Yang, On a class of singular Douglas and projectively flat Finsler Metrics, preprint.
- [18] G. Yang, On a class of two-dimensional singular Douglas and projectively flat Finsler Metrics, preprint.
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>[19] G. Yang, On m-Kropina metrics of scalar flag curvature, preprint.

Guojun Yang Department of Mathematics Sichuan University Chengdu 610064, P. R. China ygjsl2000@yahoo.com.cn