Total variation distance between two double Wiener-Itô integrals

Rola Zintout* Université de Lorraine

Abstract: Using an approach recently developed by Nourdin and Poly [5], we improve the rate in an inequality for the total variation distance between two double Wiener-Itô integrals originally due to Davydov and Martynova [2]. An application to the rate of convergence of a functional of a correlated two-dimensional fractional Brownian motion towards the Rosenblatt random variable is then given, following a previous study by Maejima and Tudor [3].

Keywords: Convergence in total variation; Malliavin calculus; double Wiener-Itô integral; Rosenblatt process.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60F05, 60G15, 60H05, 60H07.

1 Introduction

Suppose that $X = \{X(h), h \in \mathfrak{H}\}$ is an isonormal Gaussian process on a real separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . For any integer $p \ge 1$, let $\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes p}$ be the *p*th tensor product of \mathfrak{H} . Also, denote by $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot p}$ the *p*th symmetric tensor product.

The following statement is due to Davydov and Martynova [2], see also [5, Theorem 4.4].

Theorem 1.1. Fix an integer $p \ge 2$, and let (f_n) be a sequence of $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot p}$ that converges to f_{∞} in $\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes p}$. Assume moreover that f_{∞} is not identically zero. let $I_p(f_n), n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, denote the pth Wiener-Itô integral of f_n with respect to X. Then, there exists c > 0 such that, for all n,

$$\sup_{C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \left| P(I_p(f_n) \in C) - P(I_p(f_\infty) \in C) \right| \leq c \left\| f_n - f_\infty \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes p}}^{1/p},\tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ stands for the set of Borelian sets of \mathbb{R} .

^{*}Université de Lorraine, Institut Élie Cartan de Lorraine, UMR 7502, Vandoeuvrelès-Nancy, F-54506, France and CNRS, Institut Élie Cartan de Lorraine, UMR 7502, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, F-54506, France; rola.zintout@hotmail.com

In this paper, p = 2 and the inequality (1.1) becomes:

$$\sup_{C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \left| P(I_2(f_n) \in C) - P(I_2(f_\infty) \in C) \right| \leq c \sqrt{\|f_n - f_\infty\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}}.$$
 (1.2)

To each $f_{\infty} \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot 2}$, one may associate the following Hilbert-Schmidt operator:

$$A_{f_{\infty}}: \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H}, \quad g \mapsto \langle f_{\infty}, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}.$$
 (1.3)

Let $\lambda_{\infty,k}$, $k \ge 1$, indicate the eigenvalues of $A_{f_{\infty}}$. In many situations of interest (see below for an explicit example), it happens that the following property, that we label for further use, is satisfied for f_{∞} :

the cardinality of
$$\{k : \lambda_{\infty,k} \neq 0\}$$
 is at least 5. (1.4)

The aim of this paper is to take advantage of (1.4) in order to improve (1.2) by a factor 2. More precisely, relying on an approach recently developed by Nourdin and Poly in [5], we shall prove the following result, compare with (1.2):

Theorem 1.2. Let f_{∞} be an element of $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot 2}$ satisfying (1.4) (in particular, f_{∞} is not identically zero). Let (f_n) be a sequence of $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot 2}$ that converges to f_{∞} in $\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}$. Then, there exists c > 0 (depending only on f_{∞}) such that, for all n,

$$\sup_{C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \left| P(I_2(f_n) \in C) - P(I_2(f_\infty) \in C) \right| \leq c \|f_n - f_\infty\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}.$$
 (1.5)

In some sense, the inequality (1.5) appears to be optimal. Indeed, consider $F_{\infty} = I_2(f_{\infty})$ with f_{∞} satisfying (1.4) and set $F_n = I_2(f_n)$ with $f_n = (1 + c_n)f_{\infty}$, where (c_n) is a sequence of nonzero real numbers converging to zero. Let ϕ_{∞} (resp. ϕ_n) denote the density of F_{∞} (resp. F_n), which exists thanks to Shigekawa's theorem (see [7]). Assume furthermore that ϕ_{∞} is differentiable and is such that $0 < \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x\phi'_{\infty}(x) + \phi_{\infty}(x)| dx < \infty$. According to Scheffé's theorem, one has

$$\sup_{C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \left| P(I_2(f_n) \in C) - P(I_2(f_\infty) \in C) \right| = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_n(x)| - \phi_\infty(x) | dx.$$

We deduce, after some easy calculations, that

$$\sup_{C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \left| P(I_2(f_n) \in C) - P(I_2(f_\infty) \in C) \right| \sim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} |c_n| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x\phi'_{\infty}(x) + \phi_{\infty}(x)| dx.$$

On the other hand, $||f_n - f_\infty||_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} = |c_n| ||f_\infty||_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}$. Thus,

$$\sup_{C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \left| P(I_2(f_n) \in C) - P(I_2(f_\infty) \in C) \right| \sim_{n \to \infty} c \, \|f_n - f_\infty\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}.$$

with $c = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x\phi'_{\infty}(x) + \phi_{\infty}(x)| dx/(2 \|f_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}).$

To illustrate the use of Theorem 1.2 in a concrete situation, we consider the following example taken from Maejima and Tudor [3]. Let B^{H_1} , B^{H_2} be two fractional Brownian motions with Hurst parameters $H_1, H_2 \in (0, 1)$, respectively. We assume that both H_1 and H_2 are strictly bigger than $\frac{1}{2}$. We further assume that the two fractional Brownian motions B^{H_1} and B^{H_2} can be expressed as Wiener integrals with respect to the same two-sided Brownian motion W, meaning in particular that B^{H_1} and B^{H_2} are not independent. Precisely, we set

$$B_t^{H_1} = c(H_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} dW_y \int_0^t (u-y)_+^{H_1 - \frac{3}{2}} du, \quad t \ge 0,$$
(1.6)

$$B_t^{H_2} = c(H_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} dW_y \int_0^t (u - y)_+^{H_2 - \frac{3}{2}} du, \quad t \ge 0,$$
(1.7)

where the constants $c(H_1)$ and $c(H_2)$ are chosen so that $E[(B_1^{H_1})^2] = E[(B_1^{H_2})^2] = 1$. Define

$$Z_n = n^{1-H_1-H_2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[\frac{(B_{\frac{k+1}{n}}^{H_1} - B_{\frac{k}{n}}^{H_1})(B_{\frac{k+1}{n}}^{H_2} - B_{\frac{k}{n}}^{H_2})}{E\left[(B_{\frac{k+1}{n}}^{H_1} - B_{\frac{k}{n}}^{H_1})(B_{\frac{k+1}{n}}^{H_2} - B_{\frac{k}{n}}^{H_2}) \right]} - 1 \right].$$
(1.8)

When $H_1 = H_2 = H$, observe that (1.8) is related to the quadratic variation of B^H . In [3], the following extension of a classical result by Taqqu [8] is shown:

Proposition 1.3. Assume that $H_1 > \frac{1}{2}$, $H_2 > \frac{1}{2}$ and $H_1 + H_2 > \frac{3}{2}$. Then, Z_n converges as $n \to \infty$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ to the non-symmetric Rosenblatt random variable Z_{∞} , given by

$$Z_{\infty} = b(H_1, H_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dW_x dW_y \int_0^1 (s - x)_+^{H_1 - 3/2} (s - y)_+^{H_2 - 3/2} ds.$$
(1.9)

Here $b(H_1, H_2)$ is a normalizing explicit constant whose precise value does not matter in the sequel.

In the present paper, by relying on (1.5) we are able to associate an explicit rate to the convergence $Z_n \xrightarrow{L^2} Z_{\infty}$ of Proposition 1.3, namely,

$$\sup_{C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \left| P(Z_n \in C) - P(Z_\infty \in C) \right| = O(n^{\frac{3}{2} - H_1 - H_2}).$$
(1.10)

When $H_1 = H_2 = H$, the rate $\frac{3}{2} - 2H$ we have obtained in (1.10) is better (by a power 2) than the one computed by Breton and Nourdin in [1], precisely because our inequality (1.5) improves the inequality (1.2) of Davydov and Martynova by a power 2.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminary material on Malliavin calculus. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.2. Finally, Section 4 contains our proof of (1.10).

2 Preliminaries

Let \mathfrak{H} be a real separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. For any integer $p \ge 1$, let $\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes p}$ be the *p*th tensor product of \mathfrak{H} . Also, we denote by $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot p}$ the *p*th symmetric tensor product.

Suppose that $X = \{X(h), h \in \mathfrak{H}\}$ is an isonormal Gaussian process on \mathfrak{H} , defined on some probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Assume from now on that \mathcal{F} is generated by X. For every integer $p \ge 1$, let \mathcal{H}_p be the *p*th Wiener chaos of X, that is, the closed linear subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$ generated by the random variables $\{H_p(X(h)), h \in \mathfrak{H}, \|h\|_{\mathfrak{H}} = 1\}$, where H_p is the *p*th Hermite polynomial defined by

$$H_p(x) = \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^p}{dx^p} \left(e^{-x^2/2} \right).$$

We denote by \mathcal{H}_0 the space of constant random variables. For any $p \ge 1$, the mapping $I_p(h^{\otimes p}) = p!H_p(X(h)), h \in \mathfrak{H}, ||h||_{\mathfrak{H}} = 1$, provides a linear isometry between $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot p}$ (equipped with the modified norm $\sqrt{p!} ||\cdot||_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes p}}$) and \mathcal{H}_p (equipped with the $L^2(\Omega)$ norm). We call $I_p(f)$ the *p*th multiple Wiener-Itô integral of kernel f. For p = 0, by convention $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{R}$, and I_0 is the identity map. In particular, when $f, g \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot p}$, observe that

$$E\left[\left(I_p(f) - I_p(g)\right)^2\right] = p! \|f - g\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes p}}^2.$$
(2.1)

It is well-known (Wiener chaos expansion) that $L^2(\Omega)$ can be decomposed into the infinite orthogonal sum of the spaces \mathcal{H}_p . That is, any square integrable random variable $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ admits the following chaotic expansion:

$$F = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} I_p(f_p), \qquad (2.2)$$

where $f_0 = E[F]$, and the $f_p \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot p}$, $p \ge 1$, are uniquely determined by F. For every $p \ge 0$, we denote by J_p the orthogonal projection operator on the pth Wiener chaos. In particular, if $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ is as in (2.2), then $J_pF = I_p(f_p)$ for every $p \ge 0$.

Let us now introduce some basic elements of the Malliavin calculus with respect to the isonormal Gaussian process X. We refer the reader to Nourdin and Peccati [4] or Nualart [6] for a more detailed presentation of these notions. Let S be the set of all smooth and cylindrical random variables of the form

$$F = g\left(X(\phi_1), \dots, X(\phi_n)\right),\tag{2.3}$$

where $n \ge 1$, $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is an infinitely differentiable function with compact support, and $\phi_i \in \mathfrak{H}$. The Malliavin derivative of F with respect to X is the element of $L^2(\Omega, \mathfrak{H})$ defined as

$$DF = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} (X(\phi_1), \dots, X(\phi_n)) \phi_i.$$

By iteration, one can define the kth derivative $D^k F$ for every $k \ge 2$, which is an element of $L^2(\Omega, \mathfrak{H}^{\odot k})$.

For $k \ge 1$ and $p \ge 1$, $\mathbb{D}^{k,p}$ denotes the closure of \mathcal{S} with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{D}^{k,p}}$, defined by the relation

$$\|F\|_{\mathbb{D}^{k,p}}^{p} = E[|F|^{p}] + \sum_{i=1}^{k} E\left(\|D^{i}F\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes i}}^{p}\right).$$

The Malliavin derivative D verifies the following chain rule. If $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable with bounded partial derivatives and if $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ is a vector of elements of $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, then $\varphi(F) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and

$$D\varphi(F) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i}(F) DF_i.$$
(2.4)

Observe that (2.4) still holds when φ is Lipschitz and the law of F has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n (see, e.g., Proposition 1.2.3 in [6]).

We denote by δ the adjoint of the operator D, also called the divergence operator. A random element $u \in L^2(\Omega, \mathfrak{H})$ belongs to the domain of δ , noted Dom δ , if and only if it verifies

$$\left|E\left(\langle DF, u\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}\right)\right| \leqslant c_u \sqrt{E(F^2)}$$

for any $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, where c_u is a constant depending only on u. If $u \in \text{Dom}\delta$, then the random variable $\delta(u)$ is defined by the duality relationship:

$$E(F\delta(u)) = E(\langle DF, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}), \qquad (2.5)$$

which holds for every $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$. We will also make use of the following relationships, valid for $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and $u \in \text{Dom}\delta$ such that $Fu \in L^2(\Omega, \mathfrak{H})$:

$$F\delta(u) = \delta(Fu) + \langle DF, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$$

$$(2.6)$$

$$E\left(\delta(u)^{2}\right) = E \|Du\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^{2} + E \|u\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2}.$$
(2.7)

The operator L is defined on the Wiener chaos expansion as

$$L = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} -qJ_q,$$

and is called the infinitesimal generator of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. The domain of this operator in $L^2(\Omega)$ is the set

Dom
$$L = \{F \in L^2(\Omega) : \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q^2 \|J_q F\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 < \infty\} = \mathbb{D}^{2,2}.$$

There is an important relationship between the operators D, δ and L. A random variable F belongs to the domain of L if and only if $F \in \text{Dom}(\delta D)$ (i.e. $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and $DF \in \text{Dom}\delta$), and in this case

$$\delta DF = -LF. \tag{2.8}$$

If $\mathfrak{H} = L^2(A, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ (with μ non-atomic), then the derivative of a random variable F as in (2.2) can be identified with the element of $L^2(A \times \Omega)$ given by

$$D_a F = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q I_{q-1} \left(f_q(\cdot, a) \right), \quad a \in A.$$
(2.9)

At this stage, we observe that an easy calculation leads to the following identity for $F = I_p(f)$ and $G = I_p(g)$ (with $f, g \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot p}$), that we label for further use:

$$E\left(\|DF - DG\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2}\right) = pp! \|f - g\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes p}}^{2}.$$
(2.10)

Finally, the following lemma will play a crucial role in our forthcoming calculations.

Lemma 2.1. Let $F_{\infty} = I_2(f_{\infty})$, with $f_{\infty} \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot 2}$ satisfying (1.4). Then, for all $r \ge 1$, we have

$$E[|F_{\infty}|^{2r}] < \infty, \quad E\left[\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2r}\right] < \infty, \tag{2.11}$$

as well as

$$E\left[\frac{1}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{9/2}}\right] < \infty.$$
(2.12)

Proof. The proof of (2.11) is classical and follows directly from the hypercontractivity property of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals. So, let us only focus on (2.12). Let $e_k, k \ge 1$, be the eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalues $\lambda_{f_{\infty},k}$ of $A_{f_{\infty}}$, see (1.3). Observe that they form an orthonormal system in \mathfrak{H} and that f_{∞} may be expanded as

$$f_{\infty} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{f_{\infty},k} \, e_k \otimes e_k, \tag{2.13}$$

implying in turn that

$$F_{\infty} = I_2(f_{\infty}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{f_{\infty},k} (X(e_k)^2 - 1).$$

We have

$$\begin{split} E\left[\frac{1}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{9/2}}\right] &= \int_{0}^{\infty} P\left(\frac{1}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{9/2}} \ge x\right) dx \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} P\left(\frac{1}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{9/2}} \ge x\right) dx + \int_{1}^{\infty} P\left(\frac{1}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{9/2}} \ge x\right) dx \\ &\leqslant 1 + \int_{1}^{\infty} P\left(\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2} \le x^{-4/9}\right) dx \\ &\leqslant 1 + \frac{9}{4} \int_{0}^{1} P\left(\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2} \le u\right) \frac{du}{u^{13/4}}. \end{split}$$

To achieve the desired conclusion (2.12), let us check that

$$P\left(\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2} \leqslant u\right) = O(u^{5/2}) \quad \text{as } u \downarrow 0.$$

$$(2.14)$$

An immediate calculation leads to

$$\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2} = 4\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{f_{\infty},k}^{2} X(e_{k})^{2}, \qquad (2.15)$$

where the $X(e_k)$ are independent N(0, 1) random variables. Therefore, for any u > 0,

$$P\left(\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2} \leqslant u\right) \leqslant P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{5} \{4\lambda_{f_{\infty},i}^{2}X(e_{i})^{2} \leqslant u\}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{5} P\left(|X(e_{i})| \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{u}}{2|\lambda_{f_{\infty},i}|}\right)$$
$$\leqslant \frac{u^{5/2}}{(2\pi)^{5/2} \prod_{i=1}^{5} |\lambda_{f_{\infty},i}|}$$

and (2.14) is checked, thus concluding the proof.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $f_{\infty} \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot 2}$ satisfying (1.4) (in particular, f_{∞} is not identically zero). Let (f_n) be a sequence of $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot 2}$ that converges to f_{∞} in $\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}$. Write $F_n = I_2(f_n)$ and $F_{\infty} = I_2(f_{\infty})$. Our aim in this Section 3 is to show that there exists c > 0 (depending only on f_{∞}) such that, for all Borelian set C and all n,

$$\left| P(F_n \in C) - P(F_\infty \in C) \right| \leqslant c \| f_n - f_\infty \|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}.$$
(3.16)

First of all, relying on the Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem, we notice that it is not a loss of generality to assume that the Borel set C is bounded in (3.16).

Now, we split the proof of Theorem 1.2 into several steps and we stress that, in what follows, the constant c shall denote a generic constant only depending on f_{∞} (not on n !) and whose value may change from one line to another.

<u>Step 1</u>. Thanks to (2.15), we have $\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \ge 4\lambda_{f_{\infty},k}^2 X(e_k)^2$ for some k with $\lambda_{f_{\infty},k} \neq 0$ (assumption (1.4)). Since $X(e_k) \neq 0$ a.s., one has that $\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}} > 0$ a.s. As a result, one can write

$$|P(F_n \in C) - P(F_{\infty} \in C)| = \left| E\left[(1_{F_n \in C} - 1_{F_{\infty} \in C}) \frac{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2} \right] \right|.$$
(3.17)

The chain rule for Lipschitz function (for n large enough, note that F_n has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure by Shigekawa theorem [7]) leads to

$$D(\int_{-\infty}^{F_n} 1_C(x) dx) = 1_C(F_n) DF_n$$
 and $D(\int_{-\infty}^{F_\infty} 1_C(x) dx) = 1_C(F_\infty) DF_\infty.$

We then have

$$\left|P(F_n \in C) - P(F_\infty \in C)\right| \leqslant |A_n| + |B_n|,\tag{3.18}$$

with

$$A_n = E\left[\frac{\left\langle D\left(\int_{F_{\infty}}^{F_n} 1_C(x)dx\right), DF_{\infty}\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2}\right]$$
(3.19)

$$B_n = E\left[\frac{1_C(F_n) \langle D(F_\infty - F_n), DF_\infty \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}}{\|DF_\infty\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2}\right].$$
(3.20)

Step 2 (a bound for B_n). Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality twice, one obtains

$$|B_n| \leqslant E\left[\frac{\|D(F_{\infty} - F_n)\|_{\mathfrak{H}}}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}}\right] \leqslant \sqrt{E\|D(F_{\infty} - F_n)\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2} \sqrt{E\left[\frac{1}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2}\right]}.$$

By (2.10), one has $E \|D(F_{\infty} - F_n)\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \leq 4 \|f_{\infty} - f_n\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2$, whereas $E \left[\frac{1}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{2}}^2}\right]$ is finite by Lemma 2.1. Thus,

$$|B_n| \leqslant c \|f_{\infty} - f_n\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} \tag{3.21}$$

with c only depending on f_{∞} .

<u>Step 3</u> (a bound for A_n). Using (2.6), (2.8) and then Cauchy-Schwarz, one can write

$$A_{n} = E\left[\int_{F_{\infty}}^{F_{n}} 1_{C}(x)dx \,\delta\left(\frac{DF_{\infty}}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2}}\right)\right]$$

$$= E\left[\int_{F_{\infty}}^{F_{n}} 1_{C}(x)dx \,\left\{\frac{2F_{\infty}}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2}} - \left\langle DF_{\infty}, D\frac{1}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}}\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}\right\}\right]$$

$$\leqslant \sqrt{E[(F_{n} - F_{\infty})^{2}]} \times \sqrt{8E\left[\left(\frac{F_{\infty}}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2}}\right)^{2}\right] + 2E\left(\left\langle DF_{\infty}, D\left(\frac{1}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2}}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2}\right)}$$

By Lemma 2.1, it is clear that $E\left[\left(\frac{F_{\infty}}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2}\right)^2\right] < \infty$. On the other hand, one has

$$E\left(\left\langle DF_{\infty}, D\left(\frac{1}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2}}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2}\right) = 64 E\left(\left\langle DF_{\infty}, \frac{\langle f_{\infty}, DF_{\infty} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{4}}\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2}\right)$$
$$= 64 E\left(\frac{\langle f_{\infty}, DF_{\infty} \otimes DF_{\infty} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}^{2}}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{8}}\right) \leqslant 64 \|f_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^{2} E\left(\frac{1}{\|DF_{\infty}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^{4}}\right),$$

which is also finite by Lemma 2.1. Thus, see also (2.1), one has

$$|A_n| \leqslant c \|f_{\infty} - f_n\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}},\tag{3.22}$$

with c only depending on f_{∞} .

<u>Step 4</u> (conclusion). Taking into account (3.18), (3.21) and (3.22), we obtain that (3.16) holds true, thus concluding the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4 Proof of (1.10)

To prove (1.10), we shall apply our Theorem 1.2. The isonormal Gaussian process $X = \{X(h) : h \in \mathfrak{H}\}$ we consider here is a two-sided Brownian motion $W = \{W(h) : h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$. We divide the proof of (1.10) into several steps.

<u>Step 1</u>. Recall from (1.8) and (1.9) the definitions of Z_n and Z_∞ respectively. In Maejima and Tudor [3], the authors represent Z_n and Z_∞ as

$$Z_n = b(H_1, H_2) \times I_2(f_n)$$
 and $Z_\infty = b(H_1, H_2) \times I_2(f_\infty)$,

with $b(H_1, H_2)$ a suitable constant and

$$f_n(x,y) = n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{i/n}^{(i+1)/n} \int_{i/n}^{(i+1)/n} (s-x)_+^{H_1-3/2} (s-y)_+^{H_2-3/2} ds$$

$$f_{\infty}(x,y) = \int_0^1 (s-x)_+^{H_1-3/2} (s-y)_+^{H_2-3/2} ds.$$

We have moreover, see indeed [3, page 180],

$$||f_n - f_\infty||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = O(n^{\frac{3}{2} - H_1 - H_2}) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (4.23)

<u>Step 2</u>. Let us check that f_{∞} satisfies (1.4). To do so, recall from (2.13) that f_{∞} may be expanded, with e_k the eigenvectors associated to $\lambda_{f_{\infty},k}$, as

$$f_{\infty}(x,y) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \lambda_{\infty,k} e_k(x) e_k(y).$$
(4.24)

Let us first show that e_k is bounded on [0,1] when $\lambda_{\infty,k} \neq 0$. Indeed, using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality as well as the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (t-x)^{\alpha}_{+} (s-x)^{\alpha}_{+} dx = c_{\alpha} |t-s|^{2\alpha+1}$$

valid for any $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ (with $c_{\alpha} > 0$ a constant depending only on α), one can write

$$\begin{aligned} e_k(y)^2 &= \frac{1}{\lambda_k^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e_k(x) dx \int_0^1 ds (s-x)_+^{H_1 - 3/2} (s-y)_+^{H_2 - 3/2} \right)^2 \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{\lambda_k^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_k(x)^2 dx \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \left(\int_0^1 ds (s-x)_+^{H_1 - 3/2} (s-y)_+^{H_2 - 3/2} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_k^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_{[0,1]^2} dt ds (s-x)_+^{H_1 - 3/2} (s-y)_+^{H_2 - 3/2} (t-x)_+^{H_1 - 3/2} (t-y)_+^{H_2 - 3/2} \\ &= \frac{c_{H_1}}{\lambda_k^2} \int_{[0,1]^2} dt ds (s-y)_+^{H_2 - 3/2} (t-y)_+^{H_2 - 3/2} |t-s|^{2H_1 - 2}, \end{aligned}$$

with c_{H_1} a constant depending only on H_1 . Thus, for any $0 \leq y \leq 1$,

$$\begin{split} e_k(y)^2 &\leqslant \ \frac{c_{H_1}}{\lambda_k^2} \int_{[y,1]^2} dt ds (s-y)^{H_2 - 3/2} (t-y)^{H_2 - 3/2} |t-s|^{2H_1 - 2} \\ &= \ \frac{c_{H_1}}{\lambda_k^2} \int_{[0,1-y]^2} dt ds \ s^{H_2 - 3/2} t^{H_2 - 3/2} |t-s|^{2H_1 - 2} \\ &\leqslant \ \frac{c_{H_1}}{\lambda_k^2} \int_{[0,1]^2} dt ds \ s^{H_2 - 3/2} t^{H_2 - 3/2} |t-s|^{2H_1 - 2} \\ &= \ \frac{2c_{H_1}}{\lambda_k^2} \int_0^1 dt \, t^{2H_1 + 2H_2 - 4} \int_0^1 du \, u^{H_2 - 3/2} (1-u)^{2H_1 - 2} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Let us now show that f_{∞} is not bounded on $[0,1]^2$. If $x, y \in [0,\frac{1}{2}]$, then

$$\int_{0}^{1} (s-x)_{+}^{H_{1}-3/2} (s-y)_{+}^{H_{2}-3/2} ds = \int_{x\vee y}^{1} (s-x)^{H_{1}-3/2} (s-y)^{H_{2}-3/2} ds$$

$$\geqslant \int_{x\vee y}^{1} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{(s-x)(s-y)}} = \int_{x\vee y}^{1} \left[\left(s - \frac{x+y}{2} \right)^{2} - \left(\frac{x-y}{2} \right)^{2} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{(1-x)(1-y)} \frac{du}{\sqrt{u\left(u + (\frac{x-y}{2})^{2}\right)}} \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{u\left(u + (\frac{x-y}{2})^{2}\right)}}.$$

Using Fatou's lemma, we conclude that

$$\liminf_{y \to x} \int_0^1 (s-x)_+^{H_1 - 3/2} (s-y)_+^{H_2 - 3/2} ds \geq \liminf_{y \to x} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{u \left(u + \left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)^2\right)}}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{du}{u} = +\infty.$$

The fact that f_{∞} is not bounded together with the fact that e_k is bounded when $\lambda_{\infty,k} \neq 0$ imply, thanks to (4.24), that f_{∞} satisfies (1.4).

<u>Step 3</u> (conclusion). Due to the conclusion of Step 2, the proof of (1.10) now follows from Theorem 1.2 and (4.23). \Box

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank sincerely my thesis advisor Ivan Nourdin, who led all the directions of my work. Also, I am particularly grateful to two anonymous referees for a careful reading and a number of helpful suggestions, which led to significant improvements in the presentation of my results.

References

- J.-C. Breton and I. Nourdin (2008): Error Bounds On The Non-Normal Approximation of Hermite Power Variations of Fractionnal Brownian Motion. *Elect. Comm. in Prob* 13, 482-493.
- [2] Y. A. Davydov and G. V. Martynova (1987). Limit behavior of multiple stochastic integral. *Statistics and control of random process*. Preila, Nauka, Moscow, 55-57 (in Russian).
- [3] M. Maejima and C. A. Tudor (2012): Selfsimilar processes with stationary increments in the second wiener chaos. *Probab. Math. Statist.* 32, no. 1, 167-186.

- [4] I. Nourdin and G. Peccati (2012). Normal Approximations Using Malliavin Calculus: from Stein's Method to Universality. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press.
- [5] I. Nourdin and G. Poly (2013): Convergence in total variation on Wiener chaos. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 123, 651-674.
- [6] D. Nualart (2006). The Malliavin calculus and related topics of Probability and Its Applications. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition.
- [7] I. Shigekawa (1980): Derivatives of Wiener functionals and absolute continuity of induced measures. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **20** (2), 263-289.
- [8] M.S. Taqqu (1975): Weak convergence to fractional Brownian motion and to Rosenblatt process. Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete 31, 287-302.