

Subsingular vectors in Verma modules, and tensor product of weight modules over the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra and $W(2, 2)$ algebra

Gordan Radobolja

October 31, 2018

Abstract

We show that subsingular vectors may exist in Verma modules over $W(2, 2)$, and present the subquotient structure of these modules. We prove conditions for irreducibility of the tensor product of intermediate series module with a highest weight module. Relation to intertwining operators over vertex operator algebra associated to $W(2, 2)$ is discussed. Also, we study the tensor product of intermediate series and a highest weight module over the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, and present series of irreducible modules with infinite-dimensional weight spaces.

1 Introduction

Lie algebra $W(2, 2)$ was first introduced by W. Zhang and C. Dong in [16] as a part of classification of simple vertex operator algebras generated by two weight two vectors. It is an extension of the well known Virasoro algebra Vir , and its representation theory is somewhat similar to that of Vir . Criterion for irreducibility of Verma modules over $W(2, 2)$ was given in [16]. The structure of those modules was discussed by W. Jiang and Y. Pei in [10]. However, the authors overlooked an important fact - that a submodule generated by a singular vector is not necessarily isomorphic to some Verma module; and therefore missed an interesting possibility - a subsingular vector in Verma module. In Section 3 we present new results on structure of Verma modules (Theorem 3), and formulas for subsingular vector. Necessary condition for the existence of a subsingular vector is given, and many examples supporting a conjecture that this condition is sufficient are shown.

It was proved by D. Liu and L. Zhu in [13] that every irreducible weight module over $W(2, 2)$ with finite-dimensional subspaces is either the highest or lowest weight module, or a module belonging to an intermediate series. Modules with infinite-dimensional weight subspaces over the affine Kac-Moody algebra (see [7] and [1, 2, 3]) and over the Virasoro algebra ([17] and [15]) have been studied recently, motivated by their connection with theory of vertex operator

algebras (VOAs) and fusion rules in conformal field theory. Based on modules studied in these papers, we consider the tensor product of irreducible module from intermediate series, and irreducible highest weight module. We show in Section 4 how these modules can be obtained from intertwining operators for modules over VOA associated to $W(2, 2)$. In Section 5 we classify irreducible tensor products (Theorem 26). The existence of, and a formula for a subsingular vector is crucial in this analysis since generic singular vectors are of no use (Theorem 32). We show that a tensor product module contains an irreducible submodule with infinite-dimensional weight subspaces if and only if a subsingular vector exists in the corresponding Verma module (Corollary 34). Different highest weight modules occur as subquotients in reducible tensor product modules. Some of these subquotients are related to intertwining operators.

In section 7 we discuss irreducibility of tensor product module over the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra at level zero. This algebra was studied by Y. Billig in [5], as it appears in the construction of modules for the toroidal Lie algebras (see [6]). We present a rich series of irreducible tensor products.

2 Lie algebra $W(2, 2)$

$W(2, 2)$ is a complex Lie algebra with basis $\{W_n, L_n, C, : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and a Lie bracket

$$\begin{aligned} [L_n, L_m] &= (n - m) L_{n+m} + \delta_{n,-m} \frac{n^3 - n}{12} C, \\ [L_n, W_m] &= (n - m) W_{n+m} + \delta_{n,-m} \frac{n^3 - n}{12} C, \\ [W_n, W_m] &= [\mathcal{L}, C] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

In this paper we write $\mathcal{L} = W(2, 2)$, a notation used by Liu and Zhu in [13]. Obviously, $\{L_n, C : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ spans a copy of the Virasoro algebra. Triangular decomposition is given by $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_- \oplus \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_+$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_+ &= \bigoplus_{n>0} (\mathbb{C}L_n + \mathbb{C}W_n), \\ \mathcal{L}_- &= \bigoplus_{n>0} (\mathbb{C}L_{-n} + \mathbb{C}W_{-n}), \\ \mathcal{L}_0 &= \mathbb{C}L_0 + \mathbb{C}W_0 + \mathbb{C}C. \end{aligned}$$

However, W_0 does not act semisimply on the rest of \mathcal{L} . Algebra \mathcal{L} is \mathbb{Z} -graded by eigenvalues of L_0 , namely $\mathcal{L}_n = \mathbb{C}L_{-n} + \mathbb{C}W_{-n} + \delta_{n,0} \mathbb{C}C$.

Let $U(\mathcal{L})$ denote a universal enveloping algebra and \mathcal{I} a left ideal generated by $\{L_n, W_n, C - c\mathbf{1}, L_0 - h\mathbf{1}, W_0 - h_W\mathbf{1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for fixed $c, h, h_W \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $V(c, h, h_W) := U(\mathcal{L})/\mathcal{I}$ is called the Verma module with central charge c and highest weight (h, h_W) or simply with highest weight (c, h, h_W) . It is a free $U(\mathcal{L})$ -module generated by the highest weight vector $v := \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{I}$, and a standard

Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt (PBW) basis

$$\{W_{-m_s} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} v : m_s \geq \cdots \geq m_1 \geq 1, n_t \geq \cdots \geq n_1 \geq 1\}.$$

Throughout the rest of this paper v denotes the highest weight vector and we assume that a monomial

$$W_{-m_s} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} v$$

is given in PBW basis, unless otherwise noted. Central charge and weights should be clear from the context, as well as whether v comes from the Verma module or some quotient.

Module $V(c, h, h_W)$ admits a natural gradation by L_0 -eigenspaces i.e., weight subspaces: $V(c, h, h_W) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} V(c, h, h_W)_{h+k}$. PBW vectors such that $\sum m_i + \sum n_j = k$ form a basis for $V(c, h, h_W)_{h+k}$. Module $V(c, h, h_W)$ has a unique maximal submodule $J(c, h, h_W)$ and $L(c, h, h_W) = V(c, h, h_W)/J(c, h, h_W)$ is unique (up to isomorphism) irreducible highest weight module with highest weight (c, h, h_W) .

Theorem 1 ([16]) *Verma module $V(c, h, h_W)$ is irreducible if and only if $2h_W + \frac{m^2-1}{12}c \neq 0$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Intermediate series \mathcal{L} -modules are intermediate series Vir-modules with trivial action of W_n . For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, define $V_{\alpha, \beta, 0} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{v_m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with

$$L_n v_m = -(m + \alpha + \beta + n\beta)v_{m+n}, \quad C v_m = W_n v_m = 0,$$

for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $V_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \cong V_{\alpha+k, \beta, 0}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, so when $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ we may assume $\alpha = 0$. Module $V_{\alpha, \beta, 0}$ is reducible if and only if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta \in \{0, 1\}$. Define $V'_{0,0,0} := V_{0,0,0}/\mathbb{C}v_0$, $V'_{0,1,0} := \bigoplus_{m \neq -1} \mathbb{C}v_m \subseteq V_{0,1,0}$ and $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} = V_{\alpha, \beta, 0}$ otherwise.

Then $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0}$ are all irreducible modules from intermediate series. See [13].

Theorem 2 ([13]) *An irreducible weight \mathcal{L} -module with finite-dimensional weight spaces is isomorphic either to a highest (or lowest) weight module or to $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Tensor product $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$ carries an \mathcal{L} -module structure with action

$$a(v_n \otimes x) := av_n \otimes x + v_n \otimes ax, \text{ for any } a \in \mathcal{L}, x \in L(c, h, h_W).$$

Note that $W_m(v_n \otimes v) = v_n \otimes W_m v$. Like in Vir case $\{v_n \otimes v : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ generates $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$ (see (5) in Lemma 30). Moreover, all weight subspaces are infinite-dimensional:

$$(V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W))_{h+m-\alpha-\beta} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{C}v_{n-m} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)_{h+n}$$

3 Verma module structure

In this section we assume $2h_W + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Some results of this section are similar to those presented in [10] and motivated by [5]. However, since W_0 does not act semisimply in general (unlike to I_0 in the Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra), submodules generated by some singular vectors in Verma modules are not isomorphic to Verma modules so the maximal submodule $J(c, h, h_W)$ is not necessarily cyclic on a singular vector. In fact, we prove the existence of subsingular vectors in some Verma modules. Therefore, Corollary 3.6 and later results in [10] are not correct in general.

First introduce W -degree on \mathcal{L}_- :

$$\deg_W L_{-n} = 0, \quad \deg_W W_{-n} = 1,$$

which induces \mathbb{Z} -grading on $U(\mathcal{L})$ and on $V(c, h, h_W)$

$$\deg_W W_{-m_s} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} v = s.$$

Obviously, this grading depends on a basis. Denote with $V_{(k)}^W(c, h, h_W)$ the homogeneous components respective to W -degree in a PBW basis.

For a nonzero $x \in V(c, h, h_W)$ we denote by \bar{x} its lowest nonzero homogeneous component with respect to W -degree (in a standard PBW basis). If $x \in V_{(k)}^W(c, h, h_W)$ and $n > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} W_n x &\in V(c, h, h_W)_{(k)}^W \oplus V(c, h, h_W)_{(k+1)}^W \\ L_n x &\in V(c, h, h_W)_{(k-1)}^W \oplus V(c, h, h_W)_{(k)}^W. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We can define L -degree likewise:

$$\deg_L L_{-n} = 1, \quad \deg_L W_{-n} = 0.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{W} = V_{(0)}^L(c, h, h_W) = \{W_{-m_s} \cdots W_{-m_1} v : m_s \geq \cdots \geq m_1 > 0\}.$$

Now we state the main result of this section, a structure theorem for Verma modules over the Lie algebra $W(2, 2)$.

Theorem 3 *Let $2h_W + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a singular vector $u' \in V(c, h, h_W)_{h+p} \cap \mathcal{W}$ such that $\bar{u}' = W_{-p}v$ and $U(\mathcal{L})u' \cong V(c, h + p, h_W)$. Images of vectors $W_{-m_s} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} v$ such that $m_i \neq p$ form a PBW basis for $L'(c, h, h_W) := V(c, h, h_W)/U(\mathcal{L})u'$. Moreover:*

- (i) *Let $h \neq h_W + \frac{(13p+1)(p-1)}{12} + \frac{(1-r)p}{2}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $U(\mathcal{L})u' = J(c, h, h_W)$ is a maximal submodule in $V(c, h, h_W)$ and $L'(c, h, h_W) = L(c, h, h_W)$ is irreducible.*

- (ii) Suppose $L'(c, h, h_W)$ is reducible. Then there exists a subsingular vector $u \in V(c, h, h_W)$ such that $\bar{u} = L_{-p}^r v$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Vectors u and u' generate a maximal submodule $J(c, h, h_W)$ and the images of all vectors $W_{-m_s} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} v$ in which neither W_{-p} nor L_{-p}^k for $k \geq r$ occur as factors form a PBW basis for irreducible module $L(c, h, h_W) = V(c, h, h_W)/J(c, h, h_W)$.

We suspect $L'(c, h, h_W)$ is reducible if and only if $h = h_W + \frac{(13p+1)(p-1)}{12} + \frac{(1-r)p}{2}$. The proof of Theorem 3 will be presented in a series of lemmas and theorems in the rest of this section. We will follow the Billig's [5] idea, also used in [10].

The following lemma will often be used throughout this section (see also analogous result in [5]).

Lemma 4 ([10]) *Let $0 \neq x \in V(c, h, h_W)$ and $\deg_W \bar{x} = k$.*

- a) *If $\bar{x} \notin \mathcal{W}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the smallest, such that L_{-n} occurs as a factor in one of the terms in \bar{x} , then the part of $W_n x$ of the W -degree k is given by*

$$n \left(2h_W + \frac{n^2 - 1}{12} c \right) \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial L_{-n}}.$$

- b) *If $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{W}$, $\bar{x} \notin \mathbb{C}v$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is maximal, such that W_{-m} occurs as a factor in one of the terms of \bar{x} , then the part of $L_m x$ of the W -degree $k - 1$ is given by*

$$m \left(2h_W + \frac{m^2 - 1}{12} c \right) \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial W_{-m}}.$$

As a first application of this lemma we have the following result:

Lemma 5 ([10]) *Let $2h_W + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c = 0$. Then there is a singular vector $s \in V(c, h, h_W)_{h+p}$ such that $\bar{s} = W_{-p}v$ or $\bar{s} = L_{-p}v$.*

For $x \in V(c, h, h_W)$ we define x_n inductively. Let $x_0 = x$ and $x_n = x_{n-1} - \overline{x_{n-1}}$. Then

$$x = \sum_{n \geq 0} \overline{x_n}$$

is a decomposition of x by W -degree, i.e., $\deg_W \overline{x_i} < \deg_W \overline{x_j}$ if $i < j$. Moreover, $\overline{x_n}$ is homogeneous respective to W -degree (all components of $\overline{x_n}$ have the same W -degree). For example

$$x = \underbrace{L_{-3}v}_{\overline{x_0}} + \underbrace{W_{-3}v + W_{-1}L_{-2}v}_{\overline{x_1}} + \underbrace{W_{-1}^3 v}_{\overline{x_2}}.$$

Theorem 6 Let $2h_W + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c = 0$. Then there is a singular vector $u' \in V(c, h, h_W)_{h+p} \cap \mathcal{W}$ such that $\overline{u'} = W_{-p}v$. Moreover, $U(\mathcal{L})u'$ is isomorphic to the Verma module $V(c, h+p, h_W)$.

Proof. We know from Lemma 5, that there exists a singular vector s in $V(c, h, h_W)_{h+p}$. If $\overline{s} = W_{-p}v$ we set $u' = s$. Suppose that $\overline{s} = L_{-p}v$. Then we set $u' = \frac{1}{p}(W_0s - h_Ws)$. Obviously u' is a singular vector such that $\overline{u'} = W_{-p}v$. Factor $\frac{1}{p}$ is due to normalization by W_{-p} . Note that this means there are two linearly independent singular vectors in $V(c, h, h_W)_{h+p}$.

Next we show that $u' \in \mathcal{W}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the smallest such that $\deg_L \overline{u'_n} > 0$ and let $\deg_W \overline{u'_n} = k$. Moreover, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the smallest such that L_{-m} occurs as a factor in $\overline{u'_n}$. Obviously, $m < p$. Then by Lemma 4 a) the part of $W_m \overline{u'_n}$ of the W -degree k is nonzero. However, $W_m \overline{u'_n} = W_m u' - W_m \overline{u'_{n-1}} = 0$ because u' is a singular vector, and $\overline{u'_{n-1}} \in \mathcal{W}$ by minimality of n , so we got a contradiction and conclude that $\deg_L u' = 0$.

Finally, since $u' \in \mathcal{W}$ we have $W_0 u' = h_W u'$ so $U(\mathcal{L})u'$ is isomorphic to the Verma module with the highest weight $(c, h+p, h_W)$. ■

Example 7 $u' = W_{-1}v$ is a singular vector in the Verma module $V(c, h, 0)$;
 $u' = (W_{-2} - \frac{3}{4h_W}W_{-1}^2)v$ is a singular vector in the Verma module $V(c, h, -c/8)$;
 $u' = (W_{-3} - \frac{2}{h_W}W_{-2}W_{-1} + \frac{1}{h_W^2}W_{-1}^3)v$ is a singular vector in the Verma module $V(c, h, -c/3)$.

From now on u' denotes the singular vector from Theorem 6. We also use the following notation:

$$J'(c, h, h_W) := U(\mathcal{L})u',$$

$$L'(c, h, h_W) = V(c, h, h_W)/J'(c, h, h_W).$$

Let $P_2(n) = \sum_{i=0}^n P(n-i)P(i)$ where P is a partition function with $P(0) = 1$. Then

$$\text{char } V(c, h, h_W) = q^h \sum_{n \geq 0} P_2(n)q^n = q^h \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^k)^{-2}.$$

From Theorem 6 we get

$$\text{char } J'(c, h, h_W) = q^{h+p} \sum_{n \geq 0} P_2(n)q^n = q^{h+p} \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^k)^{-2},$$

$$\text{char } L'(c, h, h_W) = \text{char } V - \text{char } J' = q^h(1 - q^p) \sum_{n \geq 0} P_2(n)q^n =$$

$$= q^h(1 - q^p) \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^k)^{-2}.$$

Lemma 8 Let $0 \neq x \in J'(c, h, h_W)$. Then there exist terms in \overline{x} containing factor W_{-p} .

Proof. We may write $x = yu'$, where $y \in U(\mathcal{L}_-)$. Since $U(\mathcal{L}_-)$ has no zero divisors, we get $\bar{x} = \bar{y}\bar{u}'$. However, $\bar{u}' = W_{-p}v$ so every component in \bar{x} with maximal length contains W_{-p} . ■

Lemma 9 Denote by B' set of PBW vectors $W_{-m_s} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} v$ modulo $J'(c, h, h_W)$, such that $m_i \neq p$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Then B' is a basis for $L'(c, h, h_W)$.

Proof. Since W_{-p} cannot occur in a linear combination of vectors from B' it follows from Lemma 8 that B' is linearly independent. Simple combinatorics shows that a character of a vector space spanned by B' equals $q^h(1-q^p) \sum_{n \geq 0} P_2(n)q^n$, which proves B' is a basis for $L'(c, h, h_W)$. ■

If there is a singular vector $s \in V(c, h, h_W)$ such that $\bar{s} = L_{-p}v$ (recall Proposition 5), then $L'(c, h, h_W)$ is reducible and the image of s is a singular vector in $L'(c, h, h_W)$. Next we show that $L'(c, h, h_W)$ may contain a singular vector of weight $h+pr$ for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $V(c, h, h_W)$ may contain a subsingular vector. The singular vector s in Lemma 5 is just a special case $r = 1$.

Theorem 10 If $L'(c, h, h_W)$ is reducible then there is a singular vector $u \in L'(c, h, h_W)$ such that $\bar{u} = L_{-p}^r v$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. If the highest weight module $L'(c, h, h_W)$ is reducible, it must contain some singular vector not in $\mathbb{C}v$. Let $u \in L'(c, h, h_W) \setminus \mathbb{C}v$ be a homogeneous vector such that $\mathcal{L}_+ u = 0$ (i.e., $\mathcal{L}_+ u \in J'(c, h, h_W)$ if we consider u as a vector in $V(c, h, h_W)$). We may assume that u is a linear combination of vectors from B' . Note that B' is closed under partial derivations $\frac{\partial}{\partial L_{-n}}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial W_{-n}}$.

Suppose $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\bar{u} \notin \mathbb{C}v$. Applying Lemma 4 b) we find $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \neq p$, such that $\bar{L}_m u \neq 0$ and W_{-p} does not occur in $\bar{L}_m u$. By Lemma 8, we get $L_m u \notin J'(c, h, h_W)$, a contradiction.

Therefore we may assume $\bar{u} \notin \mathcal{W}$. Let $\deg_W \bar{u} = k$ and let n the smallest such that L_{-n} occurs in \bar{u} . By Lemma 4 a), component of $W_n u$ of the W -degree k is $n \left(2h_W + \frac{n^2-1}{12}c \right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial L_{-n}}$. If $n \neq p$ we get contradiction again so $n = p$. Let $n' > p$ the smallest such that $L_{-n'}$ occurs as a factor in \bar{u} . Since

$$W_{n'} u = \sum_{i=1}^t W_{-m_k} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots [W_{n'}, L_{-n_i}] \cdots L_{-n_1} v$$

and $n_1 = p$ or $n_1 \geq n'$ the only component of \bar{u} that produces part of W -degree k is one in which $L_{-n'}$ occurs. That component equals $n' \left(2h_W + \frac{n'^2-1}{12}c \right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial L_{-n'}}$. Since $n' \neq p$ and since W_{-p} does not occur as a factor in \bar{u} , we get $0 \neq W_{n'} u \in J'(c, h, h_W)$ such that W_{-p} does not occur in $\bar{W}_{n'} u$. Again, this is a contradiction with Lemma 8.

So far we have proven that if L_{-t} occurs as a factor in \bar{u} , then $t = p$. It is left to prove that $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{C}L_{-p}^r v$, i.e., that $\deg_W \bar{u} = 0$. Suppose that $\deg_W \bar{u} = k$,

and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the highest such that W_{-m} occurs as a factor in \bar{u} . It is easy to see that part of $L_m u$ of W -degree $k-1$ equals $m \left(2h_W + \frac{m^2-1}{12}c \right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial W_{-m}}$. Since by Lemma 8 $m \neq p$, we conclude that $0 \neq L_m u \in J'(c, h, h_W)$ such that W_{-p} does not occur as a factor in $\overline{L_m u}$, a contradiction. This proves that \bar{u} equals $L_{-p}^r v$ up to a scalar factor, so we set $\bar{u} = L_{-p}^r v$. ■

Let us show that such vectors actually exist.

Example 11 $u = L_{-1}v$ is a subsingular vector in the Verma module $V(c, 0, 0)$; $u = (L_{-1}^2 + \frac{6}{c}W_{-2})v$ is a subsingular vector in the Verma module $V(c, -\frac{1}{2}, 0)$.

Remark 12 If it exists, a singular vector u in $L'(c, h, h_W)_{h+rp}$ is obviously unique up to a scalar factor. When considered as a vector in $V(c, h, h_W)_{h+rp}$, we usually take the one representative of $u + U(\mathcal{L})u'$ in which W_{-p} does not occur. Therefore we may think of u as a unique subsingular vector in the Verma module $V(c, h, h_W)$ such that $\bar{u} = L_{-p}^r v$.

Our next goal is to find a necessary condition for the existence of a subsingular vector. We still assume that $2h_W + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c = 0$. Suppose that a subsingular vector u exists in $V(c, h, h_W)$, such that $\bar{u} = L_{-p}^r v$. Consider $L_p u \in J'(c, h, h_W)$. Obviously, a component

$$L_p L_{-p}^r v = \left(n \frac{p^3-p}{12} c + 2p \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (h+ip) \right) L_{-p}^{r-1} v = rp(2h-2h_W+(r-1)p)L_{-p}^{r-1} v$$

occurs in $L_p u$. Since $L_p u \in J'(c, h, h_W)$, by Lemma 8 the coefficient with $L_{-p}^{r-1} v$ in $L_p u$ has to be zero. The only components of u that contribute to $L_{-p}^{r-1} v$ in $L_p u$ are $\lambda_i W_{-i} L_{-p}^{r-1} L_{-(p-i)} v$ for $i = 1, \dots, p-1$, and their contribution is

$$\lambda_i (p+i)(p-i) \left(2h_W + \frac{(p-i)^2-1}{12}c \right) L_{-p}^{r-1} v = 2\lambda_i h_W i (2p-i) \frac{p^2-i^2}{p^2-1} L_{-p}^{r-1} v.$$

It is left to find λ_i . Consider $L_i u$ for $i = 1, \dots, p-1$. Vector $\lambda_i L_i W_{-i} L_{-p}^{r-1} L_{-(p-i)} v$ produces a component

$$2\lambda_i h_W i \frac{p^2-i^2}{p^2-1} L_{-p}^{r-1} L_{-(p-i)} v.$$

The only other component contributing to $L_{-p}^{r-1} L_{-(p-i)} v$ in $L_i u$ is $L_{-p}^r v$, with a coefficient $n(p+i)L_{-p}^{r-1} L_{-(p-i)} v$. Since $L_{-p}^{r-1} L_{-(p-i)} v$ can not occur in a vector from $J'(c, h, h_W)$, the associated coefficient needs to be zero, which gives $\lambda_i = -r \frac{p^2-1}{2h_W i (p-i)}$. Now we have a formula for the coefficient of L_{-p}^{r-1} :

$$rp(2h-2h_W+(r-1)p) - 2rh_W \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} i(2p-i) \frac{p^2-i^2}{p^2-1} \frac{p^2-1}{2h_W i (p-i)} = 0$$

leading to

$$h = h_W + \frac{(13p+1)(p-1)}{12} + \frac{(1-r)p}{2}.$$

This proves the following

Theorem 13 *Let $2h_W + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c = 0$. If $V(c, h, h_W)$ contains a subsingular vector u such that $\bar{u} = L_{-p}^r v$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ then $h = h_W + \frac{(13p+1)(p-1)}{12} + \frac{(1-r)p}{2}$.*

From Theorems 10 and 13 and Lemma 9 we get the following:

Corollary 14 *Suppose $2h_W + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c = 0$. If $h \neq h_W + \frac{(13p+1)(p-1)}{12} + \frac{(1-r)p}{2}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then $J'(c, h, h_W) = J(c, h, h_W)$ is a maximal submodule in $V(c, h, h_W)$ and module $L'(c, h, h_W) = L(c, h, h_W)$ is irreducible with PBW basis*

$$B' = \{W_{-m_s} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} v : m_j \neq p\}$$

and character

$$\text{char } L'(c, h, h_W) = q^h (1 - q^p) \sum_{n \geq 0} P_2(n) q^n = q^h (1 - q^p) \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^k)^{-2}.$$

Assume now that there is a subsingular vector $u \in V(c, h, h_W)$ such that $\bar{u} = L_{-p}^r v$. We show that $U(\mathcal{L})\{u, u'\} = J(c, h, h_W)$ is a maximal submodule in $V(c, h, h_W)$.

First we need a generalization of Lemma 4 to $L'(c, h, h_W)$.

Lemma 15 *Let $x = W_{-m_k} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} v \in L'(c, h, h_W)$ such that $m_j = p$ for some j , and $m_i \neq p$ for $i \neq j$. Then $\deg_W \bar{x} \geq k$, if x is considered in a basis B' .*

Proof. We make use of relation $u' = 0$ in $L'(c, h, h_W)$. This leads to $W_{-p}v = \sum_i z_i v$ for some $z_i \in U(\mathcal{L}_-)$, where $\deg_W z_i > 1$. Then $W_{-p}L_{-n}v = (n-p)W_{-p-n}v + \sum z'_i v$, where $\deg_W z'_i = \deg_W z_i > 1$. We continue by induction to prove that $W_{-p}L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1}v = \sum y_i v$, where $y_i v \in B'$ and $\deg_W y_i > 1$. Acting with W_{-m_i} for $i \neq j$ we get $W_{-m_k} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1}v = \sum W_{-m_k} \cdots W_{-m_1} y_i v$ what completes the proof. ■

Lemma 16 *Let $0 \neq x \in L'(c, h, h_W)$ and $\deg_W \bar{x} = k$. If $\bar{x} \notin \mathcal{W}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the smallest such that L_{-n} occurs as a factor in one of the terms in \bar{x} then the part of $W_n x$ of the W -degree k is given by*

$$n \left(2h_W + \frac{n^2-1}{12}c \right) \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial L_{-n}}.$$

Proof. We see from (2) that the part of W -degree k comes from $W_n \bar{x}$. Let $y = W_{-m_k} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} v$, $m_j \neq p$ a component of \bar{x} . Then

$$W_n y = \sum_{i=1}^t W_{-m_k} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots [W_n, L_{-n_i}] \cdots L_{-n_1} v$$

and $n_t \geq n$. If $n_i = n$ we have

$$[W_n, L_{-n}] = n \left(2W_0 + \frac{n^2 - 1}{12} C \right)$$

so this part contributes with $n(2h_W + \frac{n^2 - 1}{12} c) \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial L_{-n}}$.

If $n_i > n$ we get

$$[W_n, L_{-n_i}] = (n + n_i) W_{n-n_i}.$$

In case $n - n_i \neq p$ we get a component with W -degree $k + 1$. Suppose $n - n_i = p$. Then by Lemma 15, this component can be substituted by the sum of components with W -degree greater than k . This completes the proof. ■

Lemma 17 *If u is a subsingular vector such that $\bar{u} = L_{-p}^r v$, then $\deg_L u = r$.*

Proof. Consider u as a singular vector in $L'(c, h, h_W)$. We use notation $u = \sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{u}_n$, where $\bar{u}_0 = L_{-p}^r v$, and proceed by induction on n . Suppose $\deg_L \bar{u}_j \leq r$ for $j < n$. Suppose to the contrary, that $\deg_L \bar{u}_n > r$. Denote by x the sum of all components in u_n of L -degree at most r and $u_n = x + y$. Note that by definition \bar{u}_n is homogeneous respective to W -degree. Say $\deg_W \bar{u}_n = k$. Then $\deg_W y = k$. Let m the smallest such that L_{-m} occurs as a factor in y . Obviously, $m < p$. We have $y = u - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bar{u}_i - x$. By Lemma 16 the part of $W_m y$ of the W -degree k is $m(2h_W + \frac{m^2 - 1}{12} c) \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial L_{-m}} \neq 0$. Since $\deg_L y > r$, we have $\deg_L W_m y \geq r$. On the other hand $\deg_L (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bar{u}_i - x) \leq r$ so $\deg_L W_m (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bar{u}_i - x) < r$. Since $W_m u = 0$ in $L'(c, h, h_W)$, we have $W_m y = -W_m (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bar{u}_i - x)$ which is a contradiction. ■

Lemma 18 *Let $0 \neq x \in U(\mathcal{L}) \{u, u'\}$. Then W_{-p} or L_{-p}^k for some $k \geq r$ occur as a factor in some part of \bar{x} .*

Proof. Suppose x is homogeneous. Let $x = yu + zu'$. If $y \in U(\mathcal{L}_+)$ then $x \in U(\mathcal{L})u'$, so we may apply Lemma 8. Furthermore, vectors from $\mathcal{L}_0 u$ contain $L_{-p}^r v$ since

$$W_0 L_{-p}^r v = h_W L_{-p}^r + rp W_{-p} L_{-p}^{r-1} v,$$

so $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{C} L_{-p}^r$. Finally, for $y \in U(\mathcal{L}_-)$, x must contain L_{-p}^k for some $k \geq r$ as a factor in the longest component of \bar{x} . ■

For a PBW monomial $x = W_{-m_s} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} v$ define L_{-p} -degree as a number of factors $L_{-n_i} = L_{-p}$, i.e., $\deg_{L_{-p}} x = |\{i \in \{1, \dots, t\} : n_i = p\}|$.

Lemma 19 *Let B be the set of all PBW vectors $W_{-m_s} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} v$ with L_{-p} -degree strictly less than r and such that $m_j \neq p$. Then B is a basis for a quotient module $V(c, h, h_W)/U(\mathcal{L})\{u, u'\}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 18 follows linear independence of B . Next we show that $a \in V(c, h, h_W)/U(\mathcal{L})\{u, u'\}$ can be represented in B . Since $U(\mathcal{L})\{u, u'\}$ contains $J'(c, h, h_W)$, we can represent a in B' , i.e., without W_{-p} as a factor. Suppose a contains monomial $W_{-m_s} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} v$ with L_{-p} -degree greater than $r - 1$. We use relation

$$0 = u = L_{-p}^r v + \sum x_i, \quad \deg_L x_i \leq r, \deg_{L_{-p}} x_i < r \quad (3)$$

to eliminate every occurrence of a factor L_{-p}^r .

Step 1 Acting with $W_{-m_s} \cdots W_{-m_1}$ on (3) we immediately get

$$W_{-m_s} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-p}^r v = \sum W_{-m_s} \cdots W_{-m_1} x_i$$

and L_{-p}^r can not occur on the right side.

Step 2 Let $n_1 > p$. Acting with $L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1}$ on (3) we get

$$L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} L_{-p}^r v = \sum y_i, \quad \deg_{L_{-p}} y_i = \deg_{L_{-p}} x_i$$

because $[L_{-n}, L_{-m}]$ can not produce L_{-p} if $n > p$. Therefore L_{-p}^r does not occur on the right side.

Step 3 Let $n \leq p$. Acting with L_{-n} on (3) we get

$$L_{-p}^r L_{-n} v = \sum z_i, \quad \deg_L z_i \leq r + 1, \deg_{L_{-p}} z_i \leq r.$$

the only part that can produce L_{-p}^r comes from $L_{-n} w L_{-p}^{r-1} L_{-(p-n)} v$ for some $w \in \mathcal{W}$ (because $\deg_L u = r$). From this we get $(p - 2n)w L_{-p}^r v$ and we may apply Step 1 to eliminate this component.

Proceed by induction. Suppose that for every j_1, \dots, j_{n-1} , there exist u_i , such that $\deg_L u_i \leq r + n - 1$, $\deg_{L_{-p}} u_i < r$ and $L_{-p}^r L_{-j_{n-1}} \cdots L_{-j_1} v = \sum u_i$. Acting with L_{-j_n} we get

$$L_{-p}^r L_{-j_n} \cdots L_{-j_1} v = \sum w_i, \quad \deg_L w_i \leq r + n, \deg_{L_{-p}} w_i \leq r.$$

Part on the right side that can produce L_{-p}^r has to come from monomial $L_{-n} w L_{-p}^{r-1} L_{-k_l} \cdots L_{-k_1} v$ with $l \leq n$ for some $w \in U(\mathcal{L}_-)$. From this we get $w' L_{-p}^r L_{-k'_{l-1}} \cdots L_{-k'_1} v$ and by induction this component can be replaced with a vector from B . This completes the proof. ■

Theorem 20 *Let $2h_W + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c = 0$. If $V(c, h, h_W)$ contains a subsingular vector u such that $\bar{u} = L_{-p}^r v$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then $J(c, h, h_W) = U(\mathcal{L})\{u, u'\}$ is the maximal submodule and module $L(c, h, h_W) = V(c, h, h_W)/J(c, h, h_W)$ is irreducible with PBW basis*

$$B = \left\{ x = W_{-m_s} \cdots W_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} v : m_j \neq p, \deg_{L_{-p}} x < r \right\}$$

and a character

$$\begin{aligned} \text{char } L(c, h, h_W) &= \\ &= q^h(1 - q^p)(1 - q^{rp}) \sum_{n \geq 0} P_2(n)q^n = q^h(1 - q^p)(1 - q^{rp}) \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^k)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $0 \neq x \in V(c, h, h_W)$ such that $U(\mathcal{L}_+)x \subseteq J(c, h, h_W)$. We may view x as a homogeneous vector presented in B . Analogous to proof of Theorem 10, one can show that $\bar{x} = \mathbb{C}L_{-p}^s v$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. From Lemma 19 we conclude that $s < r$ so we have found $x \in V(c, h, h_W)$ such that $U(\mathcal{L}_+)x \in U(\mathcal{L})u'$ and $\bar{x} = L_{-p}^s v$ for $s < r$. This is a contradiction to Theorem 13, proving irreducibility of $L(v, h, h_W)$.

Simple combinatorics on B shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{char } L(c, h, h_W) &= \\ &= q^h \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} P_2(n)q^n - q^p \sum_{n \geq 0} P_2(n)q^n - q^{rp} \sum_{n \geq 0} P_2(n)q^n + q^{(r+1)p} \sum_{n \geq 0} P_2(n)q^n \right) \\ &= q^h(1 - q^p)(1 - q^{rp}) \sum_{n \geq 0} P_2(n)q^n = q^h(1 - q^p)(1 - q^{rp}) \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^k)^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

so all claims are proved. ■

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Note that $\text{char } J(c, h, h_W) = \text{char } V(c, h, h_W) - \text{char } L(c, h, h_W)$ so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{char } J(c, h, h_W) &= q^{h+p}(1 + q^{(r-1)p} - q^{rp}) \sum_{n \geq 0} P_2(n)q^n = \\ &= q^{h+p}(1 + q^{(r-1)p} - q^{rp}) \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^k)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

From there we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{char } J(c, h, h_W)/J'(c, h, h_W) &= q^{h+rp}(1 - q^p) \sum_{n \geq 0} P_2(n)q^n = \\ &= q^{h+rp}(1 - q^p) \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^k)^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$J(c, h, h_W)/J'(c, h, h_W) \cong L'(c, h + rp, h_W) = L(c, h + rp, h_W).$$

The equation above is due to

$$h + rp = h_W + \frac{(13p+1)(p-1)}{12} + \frac{(1+r)p}{2}, r \in \mathbb{N}$$

and Corollary 14.

Since $u \bmod J'(c, h, h_W)$ generates $J(c, h, h_W)/J'(c, h, h_W)$ we get $W_0 u \in h_W u + J'(c, h, h_W)$.

Likewise,

$$\text{char } L'(c, h, h_W)/L(c, h + rp, h_W) = \text{char } L(c, h, h_W).$$

Corollary 21 *If Verma module $V(c, h, h_W)$ contains a subsingular vector u such that $\bar{u} = L_{-p}^r v$ then the following short sequence is exact*

$$0 \rightarrow L(c, h + rp, h_W) \rightarrow L'(c, h, h_W) \rightarrow L(c, h, h_W) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the other two modules are irreducible, $L(c, h + rp, h_W)$ is the only nontrivial submodule in $L'(c, h, h_W)$.

Next we present examples of singular and subsingular vectors in simplest cases.

Singular vector $u' \in \mathcal{W}$:

$h_W = 0$	$W_{-1}v$
$c = -8h_W$	$\left(W_{-2} - \frac{3}{4h_W}W_{-1}^2\right)v$
$c = -3h_W$	$\left(W_{-3} - \frac{2}{h_W}W_{-2}W_{-1} + \frac{1}{h_W^2}W_{-1}^3\right)v$
$c = -\frac{8}{5}h_W$	$\left(W_{-4} - \frac{5}{2h_W}W_{-3}W_{-1} - \frac{15}{16h_W}W_{-2}^2 + \frac{125}{32h_W^2}W_{-2}W_{-1}^2 - \frac{375}{256h_W^3}W_{-1}^4\right)v$
$c = -h_W$	$\left(W_{-5} - \frac{3}{h_W}W_{-4}W_{-1} - \frac{2}{h_W}W_{-3}W_{-2} + \frac{21}{4h_W^2}W_{-3}W_{-1}^2 + \frac{3}{h_W^2}W_{-2}^2W_{-1} + \frac{13}{2h_W^3}W_{-2}W_{-1}^3 - \frac{39}{20h_W^3}W_{-1}^5\right)v$

Let $r = 1$. Then a subsingular vector u is actually a singular vector on level p . By Lemma 17 we can write $u = w_0v + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} w_i L_{-i}v + L_{-p}v$ where $w_i \in U(\mathcal{L}_{-})_{p-i}$, $\deg_L w_i = 0$. Acting with W_{p-n} we get recursive relation for w_n , $n = 1, \dots, p-1$. We use identity $[W_n, L_{-n}]v = 2h_W n \frac{n^2 - p^2}{1 - p^2}v$ since $2h_W + \frac{p^2 - 1}{12}c = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= W_{p-1}u = w_{p-1}[W_{p-1}, L_{-(p-1)}]v + [W_{p-1}, L_{-p}]v = \\ &= \frac{2p-1}{p+1}2h_W w_{p-1}v + (2p-1)W_{-1}v \end{aligned}$$

so $w_{p-1} = -\frac{p+1}{2h_W}W_{-1}$. Next we act with W_{p-2} to get w_{p-2} and so on. In general:

$$w_n = \frac{p^2 - 1}{2h_W n(n^2 - p^2)} \left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{p-1} (n+i) w_i W_{-(i-n)} + (n+p) W_{-(p-n)} \right).$$

We assume $W_{-p}v$ does not occur in u (see Remark 12).

(Sub)singular vector u when $r = 1$:

$h = h_W = 0$	$L_{-1}v$
$h = h_W + \frac{9}{4}$	$\left(L_{-2} - \frac{3}{2h_W}W_{-1}L_{-1} + \frac{12h_W+39}{16h_W^2}W_{-1}^2\right)v$
$h = h_W + \frac{20}{3}$	$\left(L_{-3} - \frac{2}{h_W}W_{-1}L_{-2} - \frac{2}{h_W}W_{-2}L_{-1} + \frac{3}{h_W^2}W_{-1}^2L_{-1} + \frac{58}{3h_W^2}W_{-2}W_{-1} - \frac{2h_W+52}{3h_W^3}W_{-1}^3\right)v$
$h = h_W + \frac{53}{4}$	$\left(L_{-4} - \frac{5}{2h_W}W_{-1}L_{-3} - \frac{15}{8h_W}W_{-2}L_{-2} + \frac{125}{32h_W^2}W_{-1}^2L_{-2} - \frac{5}{2h_W}W_{-3}L_{-1} + \frac{125}{16h_W^2}W_{-2}W_{-1}L_{-1} - \frac{375}{64h_W^3}W_{-1}^3L_{-1} + \frac{325+20h_W}{8h_W^2}W_{-3}W_{-1} + \frac{8125}{64h_W^3}W_{-2}W_{-1}^2 + \frac{975+60h_W}{64h_W^2}W_{-2}^2 + \frac{1125}{256h_W^3}\left(\frac{65}{4h_W} + 1\right)W_{-1}^4\right)v$

Using computer, we have found formulas for singular vectors u up to level 8.

Subsingular vectors u in $V(c, \frac{1-r}{2}, 0)$:

$h = h_W = 0$	$L_{-1}v$
$h = -\frac{1}{2}$	$\left(L_{-1}^2 + \frac{6}{c}W_{-2}\right)v$
$h = -1$	$\left(L_{-1}^3 + \frac{12}{c}W_{-3} + \frac{24}{c}W_{-2}L_{-1}\right)v$
$h = -\frac{3}{2}$	$\left(L_{-1}^4 + \frac{36}{c}W_{-4} + \frac{60}{c}W_{-3}L_{-1} + \frac{108}{c^2}W_{-2}^2 + \frac{60}{c}W_{-2}L_{-1}^2\right)v$
$h = -2$	$\left(L_{-1}^5 + \frac{144}{c}W_{-5} + \frac{48}{c}W_{-4}L_{-1} + \frac{2304}{c^2}W_{-3}W_{-2} + \frac{180}{c}W_{-3}L_{-1}^2 + \frac{3312}{c^2}W_{-2}^2L_{-1} + \frac{120}{c}W_{-2}L_{-1}^3\right)v$

Conjecture 22 Let $u \in V(c, \frac{1-r}{2}, 0)$ be a subsingular vector. Then L_{-k} for $k > 1$ does not occur as a factor in u . Specially, $W_0u, W_{-1}u \in J'(c, \frac{1-r}{2}, 0)$.

Subsingular vector u in $V(-8h_W, h_W + \frac{5}{4}, h_W)$ ($p = r = 2$ case):

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(L_{-2}^2 - \frac{3}{4h_W}W_{-4} - \left(\frac{3}{2h_W^2} + \frac{3}{2h_W}\right)W_{-3}W_{-1} + \frac{3}{2h_W}W_{-3}L_{-1} - \frac{3}{2h_W}W_{-1}L_{-3} \right. \\ & - \frac{3}{h_W}W_{-1}L_{-2}L_{-1} + \left(\frac{3}{2h_W} + \frac{39}{4h_W^2}\right)W_{-1}^2L_{-2} + \frac{9}{4h_W^2}W_{-1}^2L_{-1}^2 + \\ & \left. - \left(\frac{9}{4h_W^2} + \frac{117}{8h_W^3}\right)W_{-1}^3L_{-1} + \left(\frac{135}{32h_W^4} + \frac{153}{32h_W^3} + \frac{9}{8h_W^2}\right)W_{-1}^4\right)v \end{aligned}$$

Based on these examples, we state

Conjecture 23 Suppose $2h_W + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $L'(c, h, h_W)$ is reducible if and only if $h = h_W + \frac{(13p+1)(p-1)}{12} + \frac{(1-r)p}{2}$.

Remark 24 Let $2h_W + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c = 0$. Then $U(\mathcal{L})u' = V(c, h+p, h_W) \subseteq V(c, h, h_W)$. However, $V(c, h+p, h_W)$ also contains a singular vector $(u')^2$ such that $U(\mathcal{L})(u')^2 = V(c, h+2p, h_W)$ and so on. Therefore,

$$\cdots \supseteq V(c, h, h_W) \supseteq V(c, h+p, h_W) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq V(c, h+kp, h_W) \supseteq \cdots$$

If $h \neq h_W + \frac{(13p+1)(p-1)}{12} + \frac{(1-r)p}{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then all of the subquotients $V(c, h+kp, h_W)/V(c, h+(k+1)p, h_W)$ are irreducible modules $L(c, h+kp, h_W)$.

4 Vertex operator algebra associated to $W(2, 2)$ and intertwining operators

Vertex operator algebras (VOAs) are a fundamental class of algebraic structures which have arisen in mathematics and physics a few decades ago. The notion of VOA arose from the problem of realizing the monster sporadic group as a symmetry group of a certain infinite-dimensional vector space (see [9]). An interested reader should consult [8] or [11] for a detailed approach. Here we present only basic definitions which should suffice our needs.

For any algebraic expression z we set $\delta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n$ provided that this sum makes sense. This is the formal analogue of the δ -distribution at $z = 1$; in particular, $\delta(z)f(z) = \delta(z)f(1)$ for any f for which these expressions are defined.

Definition A vertex operator algebra $(V, Y, \mathbf{1})$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space (graded by *weights*) $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(n)}$ such that $\dim V_{(n)} < \infty$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $V_{(n)} = 0$ for n sufficiently small, equipped with a linear map $V \otimes V \rightarrow V[[z, z^{-1}]]$, or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} V &\rightarrow (\text{End } V)[[z, z^{-1}]] \\ v &\mapsto Y(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1} \quad (\text{where } v_n \in \text{End } V), \end{aligned}$$

$Y(v, z)$ denoting the *vertex operator associated* with v , and equipped with two distinguished homogeneous vectors $\mathbf{1}$ (the *vacuum*) and $\omega \in V$. The following conditions are assumed for $u, v \in V$:

$$u_n v = 0 \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large;}$$

$$Y(\mathbf{1}, z) = \mathbf{1} (= \text{id}_V);$$

the *creation property* holds:

$$Y(v, z)\mathbf{1} \in V[[z]] \text{ and } \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} Y(v, z)\mathbf{1} = 0$$

(that is, $Y(v, z) \mathbf{1}$ involves only nonnegative integral powers of z and the constant term is v); the *Jacobi identity*:

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) Y(u, z_1) Y(v, z_2) - z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0} \right) Y(v, z_2) Y(u, z_1) \\ &= z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2); \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

the Virasoro algebra relations:

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n) L_{m+n} + \frac{m^3 - m}{12} \delta_{m+n,0} (\text{rank } V)$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where

$$L_n = \omega_{n+1} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ i.e., } Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}$$

and $\text{rank } V \in \mathbb{C}$, $L_0 v = n v = (wt v) v$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v \in V_{(n)}$;

$$\frac{d}{dz} Y(v, z) = Y(L_{-1}v, z)$$

(the L_{-1} -derivative property).

Definition Given a VOA $(V, Y, \mathbf{1})$, a **module** (W, \mathcal{Y}) for V is a \mathbb{Q} -graded vector space $W = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} W_{(n)}$ such that $\dim W_{(n)} < \infty$ for $n \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $W_{(n)} = 0$

for n sufficiently small, equipped with a linear map $V \otimes W \rightarrow W[[z, z^{-1}]]$, or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} & V \rightarrow (\text{End } W)[[z, z^{-1}]] \\ & v \mapsto \mathcal{Y}(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1} \text{ (where } v_n \in \text{End } W), \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{Y}(v, z)$ denoting the *vertex operator associated* with v . The Virasoro algebra relations hold on W with scalar equal to $\text{rank } V$:

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n) L_{m+n} + \frac{m^3 - m}{12} \delta_{m+n,0} (\text{rank } V)$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where

$$L_n = \omega_{n+1} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ i.e., } \mathcal{Y}(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}$$

$L_0 w = n w$ for $n \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $w \in W_{(n)}$. For $u, v \in V$ and $w \in W$ the following properties hold:

(i) *Truncation property*: $v_n w = 0$ for n sufficiently large and $Y(\mathbf{1}, z) = 1$;

(ii) L_{-1} -derivative property

$$\frac{d}{dz}\mathcal{Y}(v, z) = \mathcal{Y}(L_{-1}v, z)$$

(iii) The Jacobi identity

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right)\mathcal{Y}(u, z_1)\mathcal{Y}(v, z_2) - z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0}\right)\mathcal{Y}(v, z_2)\mathcal{Y}(u, z_1) \\ &= z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right)\mathcal{Y}(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2); \end{aligned}$$

($Y(u, z_0)$ is the operator associated with V).

A VOA V is said to be **rational** if V has only finitely many irreducible modules and every finitely generated module is a direct sum of irreducibles.

Definition Let $V = (V, Y, \mathbf{1})$ be a vertex operator algebra, and (W_i, Y_i) , $i = 1, 2, 3$ three (not necessarily distinct) V -modules. **Intertwining operator** of type $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} W_3 \\ W_1 \quad W_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is a linear map $W_1 \otimes W_2 \rightarrow W_3\{z\} = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} u_n z^n : u_n \in W_k \right\}$, or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} & W_1 \rightarrow (\text{Hom}(W_2, W_3))\{z\} \\ & w \mapsto \mathcal{I}(w, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} w_n z^{-n-1}, \text{ with } w_n \in \text{Hom}(W_2, W_3). \end{aligned}$$

For any $v \in V$, $u \in W_1$, $w \in W_2$ the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) *Truncation property* - $u_n v = 0$ for n sufficiently large;

(ii) *L_{-1} -derivative property* - $\mathcal{I}(L_{-1}u, z) = \frac{d}{dz}\mathcal{I}(u, z)$;

(iii) The *Jacobi identity*

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right)Y_3(v, z_1)\mathcal{I}(u, z_2)w - z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0}\right)\mathcal{I}(u, z_2)Y_2(v, z_1)w \\ &= z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right)\mathcal{I}(Y_1(v, z_0)u, z_2)w. \end{aligned}$$

Let $c \neq 0$. It was shown in Zhang-Dong [16] that $L(c, 0, 0)$ is the only quotient of $V(c, 0, 0)$ with the structure of a vertex operator algebra (VOA). This algebra is always irrational and all of its irreducible representations are known:

Theorem 25 ([16]) *Let $c \neq 0$. Then*

1. *There is a unique VOA structure on $L(c, 0, 0)$ with the vacuum vector v , and the Virasoro element $\omega = L_{-2}v$. $L(c, 0, 0)$ is generated with ω and $x = W_{-2}v$ and $Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}$, $Y(W_{-2}v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_n z^{-n-2}$.*

2. Any quotient module of $V(c, h, h_W)$ is an $L(c, 0, 0)$ -module, and $\{L(c, h, h_W) : h, h_W \in \mathbb{C}\}$ gives a complete list of irreducible $L(c, 0, 0)$ -modules up to isomorphism.

Now we present a realization of intermediate series via intertwining operators for $L(c, 0, 0)$ -modules.

Let $M(c, h, h_W)$ denote a highest weight module. Suppose a nontrivial intertwining operator \mathcal{I} of type $\begin{pmatrix} M(c, h_3, h'_W) \\ L'(c, h_1, 0) & M(c, h_2, h_W) \end{pmatrix}$ exists. Let $h_1 \neq 0$ and $v \in L'(c, h_1, 0)$ the highest weight vector. Let $\mathcal{I}(v, z) = z^{-\alpha} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_{(n)} z^{-n-1}$ for $\alpha = h_1 + h_2 - h_3$. Recall that $W_0 v = W_{-1} v = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, v_{(n)}] &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{m+1}{i} (L_{i-1} v)_{(m+n-i+1)} = \\ &= (L_{-1} v)_{(m+n+1)} + (m+1) (L_0 v)_{(m+n)} = \\ &= -(\alpha + n + m + 1) v_{(m+n)} + (m+1) h_1 v_{(m+n)} = \\ &= -(n + \alpha + (1+m)(1-h_1)) v_{(m+n)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [W_m, v_{(n)}] &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{m+1}{i} (W_{i-1} v)_{(m+n-i+1)} = \\ &= (W_{-1} v)_{(m+n+1)} + (m+1) (W_0 v)_{(m+n)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so components $v_{(n)}$ span a module from intermediate series $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0}$ for $\beta = 1 - h_1$. Hence we have a nontrivial \mathcal{L} -operator

$$\Phi : V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes M(c, h_2, h_W) \rightarrow M(c, h_3, h'_W), \quad \Phi(v_{(n)} \otimes x) = v_{(n)} x.$$

Since dimensions of weight subspaces are infinite in $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes M(c, h_2, h_W)$ and finite in $M(c, h_3, h'_W)$, we conclude that $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes M(c, h_2, h_W)$ is reducible.

Let us mark some intertwining operators. Since $M(c, h, h_W)$ is $L(c, 0, 0)$ -module, there exists intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} M(c, h, h_W) \\ L(c, 0, 0) & M(c, h, h_W) \end{pmatrix}$ and the transposed operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} M(c, h, h_W) \\ M(c, h, h_W) & L(c, 0, 0) \end{pmatrix}$. In particular, operators of type

$$\begin{pmatrix} L(c, h, 0) \\ L(c, h, 0) & L(c, 0, 0) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} L'(c, h, 0) \\ L'(c, h, 0) & L(c, 0, 0) \end{pmatrix}$$

exist for all h .

5 Irreducibility of a module $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$

We state the main result of this section immediately:

Theorem 26 *Module $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$ is irreducible if and only if there is a subsingular vector u in $V(c, h, h_W)$ such that $\bar{u} = L_{-p}^r v$, and if $\alpha + (1-p)\beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$.*

We shall prove this theorem in several steps, using analogous approach as in the Virasoro case (see [15]). First we show that some relation in $L(c, h, h_W)$ is needed to obtain irreducibility.

Theorem 27 *Module $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes V(c, h, h_W)$ is reducible.*

Proof. Let v the highest weight vector in $V(c, h, h_W)$. We show $v_k \otimes v$ generates a proper submodule for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume otherwise. Then w exists in $U(\mathcal{L})$, such that $w(v_k \otimes v) = v_{k-1} \otimes v$. Since $U(\mathcal{L}_+)v = 0$, we have $v_{k-1} \otimes v = \sum_{i=0}^n w_{i+1}(v_{k+i} \otimes v)$ for some $0 \neq w_j \in U(\mathcal{L}_-)_{-j}$. But then $v_{k+n} \otimes w_{n+1}v = 0$ which means that $w_{n+1}v = 0$. This is impossible since Verma module is free over $U(\mathcal{L}_-)$. ■

Next we prove irreducibility criterion analogous to the one proven in [17] and generalized in [15].

Theorem 28 *Module $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$ is irreducible if and only if it is cyclic on every vector $v_k \otimes v$.*

Proof. We follow the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [15]. The only if part is trivial. Assume $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$ is cyclic on every $v_k \otimes v$. Let U be a submodule and $0 \neq x \in U$ homogeneous vector. Then

$$x = v_{m-n} \otimes x_0 + \cdots + v_m \otimes x_n$$

for some $x_j \in V(c, h, h_W)_j$. We use induction on n to show there is $v_k \otimes v \in U$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $n = 0$, $x_n \in \mathbb{C}v$ and we are done. Let $n > 0$. Our strategy is to act on x by $U(\mathcal{L})$ in order to 'shorten it' and apply induction. Recall $W_k v_i = 0$ for any k, i . If $W_k x_n \neq 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$W_k x = v_{m-n+k} \otimes y_0 + \cdots + v_m \otimes y_{n-k} \neq 0$$

where $y_j = W_k x_{j+k} \in V(c, h, h_W)_j$. By inductive hypothesis, now there must be some $v_k \otimes v \in U$. Assume, therefore, that $W_k x_n = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since W_1, W_2, L_1 and L_2 generate $U(\mathcal{L}_+)$, vectors $L_1 x_n$ and $L_2 x_n$ can not both equal zero, for otherwise x_n would be a singular vector in $L(c, h, h_W)$ other than v . But now we can follow the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [15]. This completes the proof. ■

Define $U_n := U(\mathcal{L})(v_n \otimes v)$. Proof of Theorem 28 actually shows

Corollary 29 *Let M a nontrivial submodule in $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$. Then M contains U_n for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Module $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$ is irreducible if and only if $U_n = U_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $L_1(v_n \otimes v) = -(n + \alpha + 2\beta)v_{n+1} \otimes v$, we get $U_n \supseteq U_{n+1}$ for $n \neq -\alpha - 2\beta$. To obtain the other inclusion, we need a subsingular vector.

Lemma 30 *Let $k = k_1 + \cdots + k_s$ for $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$L_{-k_s} \cdots L_{-k_1}(v_n \otimes v) = \sum_{i=0}^k u_i(v_{n-i} \otimes v)$$

for some $u_i \in U(\text{Vir}_-)$.

Proof. From (1) we get

$$L_{-k_s} \cdots L_{-k_1}(v_n \otimes v) = \sum_{i=0}^k v_{n-i} \otimes L_{-j_m} \cdots L_{-j_1} v$$

for $0 \leq j_1 + \cdots + j_m = k - i$. Next we show

$$v_{n-i} \otimes L_{-j_m} \cdots L_{-j_1} v = \sum_{j=0}^{k-i} x_j (v_{n-k+j} \otimes v) \quad (5)$$

for some $x_j \in U(\mathcal{L}_{-})_{-j}$. But since

$$\begin{aligned} v_{n-i} \otimes L_{-j_m} \cdots L_{-j_1} v &= L_{-j_m}(v_{n-i} \otimes L_{-j_{m-1}} \cdots L_{-j_1} v) + \\ &+ (n-i+\alpha+\beta-j_m\beta)v_{n-i-j_m} \otimes L_{-j_{m-1}} \cdots L_{-j_1} v \end{aligned}$$

we may use induction on m to complete the proof. ■

Theorem 31 *Let $u \in V(c, h, h_W)$ a subsingular vector such that $\bar{u} = L_{-p}^r$. If $\alpha + (1-p)\beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$, module $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$ is irreducible.*

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we need to find $x \in U(\mathcal{L})$ such that $x(v_n \otimes v) = v_{n-1} \otimes v$. Since $uv = L_{-p}^r v + \sum w_i L_{-k_s} \cdots L_{-k_1} v = 0$, we have

$$u(v_{n+rp-1} \otimes v) = L_{-p}^r(v_{n+rp-1} \otimes v) + \sum w_i L_{-k_s} \cdots L_{-k_1}(v_{n+rp-1} \otimes v)$$

where $w_i \in \mathcal{W}_{-i}$, $\deg_W w_i > 0$ and $0 < k < rp$ for $k = k_1 + \cdots + k_s$. From Lemma 30 and the fact that $w(v_k \otimes x) = v_k \otimes wx$ for $w \in \mathcal{W}$, we get $y_i \in U(\mathcal{L}_{-})_{rp-i}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{rp-1} y_i(v_{n+rp-1-i} \otimes v) = L_{-p}^r(v_{n+rp-1} \otimes v). \quad (6)$$

Note that $y_0 = u$. Set $\lambda_j := (n + (r-j)p - 1 + \alpha + (1-p)\beta)$, and $\Lambda_i = \prod_{j=0}^{r-i-1} \lambda_j$. Then (6) becomes

$$\sum_{i=0}^{rp-1} y_i(v_{n+rp-1-i} \otimes v) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (-1)^{r-i} \binom{r}{i} \Lambda_i v_{n+ip-1} \otimes L_{-p}^i v. \quad (7)$$

Next we want to eliminate components on the right side of (7), starting with $v_{n+(r-1)p-1} \otimes L_{-p}^{r-1} v$. Using induction one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \binom{r}{r-1} \lambda_0 L_{-p}^{r-1}(v_{n+(r-1)p-1} \otimes v) = \\ & = - \binom{r}{r-1} \lambda_0 \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (-1)^{r-1-i} \binom{r-1}{i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{r-1-i} \lambda_j \right) v_{n+ip-1} \otimes L_{-p}^i v = \\ & = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (-1)^{r-i} \binom{r}{r-1} \binom{r-1}{i} \Lambda_i v_{n+ip-1} \otimes L_{-p}^i v. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The right side of (7)-(8) equals

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-2} (-1)^{r-i} \left(\binom{r}{i} - \binom{r}{r-1} \binom{r-1}{i} \right) \Lambda_i v_{n+ip-1} \otimes L_{-p}^i v. \quad (9)$$

Coefficient with Λ_{r-2} is $\binom{r}{r-2} - \binom{r}{r-1} \binom{r-1}{r-2} = -\binom{r}{r-2}$ so we use

$$\begin{aligned} & -\binom{r}{r-2} \lambda_0 \lambda_1 L_{-p}^{r-2} (v_{n+(r-2)p-1} \otimes v) = \\ & = -\binom{r}{r-2} \lambda_0 \lambda_1 \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} (-1)^{r-2-i} \binom{r-2}{i} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{r-1-i} \lambda_j \right) v_{n+ip-1} \otimes L_{-p}^i v = \\ & = -\sum_{i=0}^{r-2} (-1)^{r-i} \binom{r}{r-2} \binom{r-2}{i} \Lambda_i v_{n-(r-i)p-1} \otimes L_{-p}^i v \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and the right side of (9)-(10) is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-3} (-1)^{r-i} \left(\binom{r}{i} - \binom{r}{r-1} \binom{r-1}{i} + \binom{r}{r-2} \binom{r-2}{i} \right) \Lambda_i v_{n+ip-1} \otimes L_{-p}^i v.$$

In $r-1$ steps we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^r \binom{r}{0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \binom{r}{r-j} \binom{r-j}{0} \right) \Lambda_0 v_{n-1} \otimes v = - \left(\prod_{j=0}^{r-1} \lambda_j \right) v_{n-1} \otimes v = \\ & = - \left(\prod_{j=0}^{r-1} (n + (r-j)p - 1 + \alpha + (1-p)\beta) \right) v_{n-1} \otimes v. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists $x_i \in U(\mathcal{L})$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{rp-1} x_i (v_{n+rp-1-i} \otimes v) = \left(\prod_{j=0}^{r-1} (n + (r-j)p - 1 + \alpha + (1-p)\beta) \right) v_{n-1} \otimes v.$$

From condition $\alpha + (1-p)\beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$, follows

$$U_{n-1} \subseteq U_n + \cdots + U_{n+rp-1}. \quad (11)$$

If $\alpha + 2\beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$ we have $U_n \supseteq U_{n+1}$ so (11) becomes $U_{n-1} = U_n$. Assume $\alpha + 2\beta = -k$. Then using L_1 and L_2 we get

$$\cdots \supseteq U_{k-1} \supseteq U_k, U_{k+1} \supseteq U_{k+2} \supseteq \cdots$$

and (11) shows $U_n \subseteq U_{n+1}$ for $n \neq k-1$, $U_{k-1} \subseteq U_k + U_{k+1}$. But then

$$U_{k+2} \subseteq U_k \subseteq U_{k+1} = U_{k+2}$$

shows $U_k = U_{k+1}$ and so $U_n = U_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This completes the proof. ■

Theorem 32 Suppose that a singular vector $u' \in \mathcal{W} \subseteq V(c, h, h_W)$ generates maximal submodule $J(c, h, h_W)$. Then the module $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$ is reducible.

Proof. Just like in Theorem 27, we show that $U_n \neq U_{n-1}$. If $x \in U(\mathcal{L})$ exists, such that $x(v_n \otimes v) = v_{n-1} \otimes v$, then we can find $x_i \in U(\mathcal{L})_{-i}$ such that $v_{n-1} \otimes v = \sum_{i=0}^k x_{i+1}(v_{n+i} \otimes v)$. Then $v_{n+k} \otimes x_{k+1}v = 0$ so $x_{k+1}v = 0$ meaning $x \in U(\mathcal{L})u'$. But since $u' \in \mathcal{W}$, we have $x_{k+1}(v_{n+k} \otimes v) = 0$ so this component of the sum is useless. Repeating the process we get a contradiction. ■

Theorem 33 Let $u \in V(c, h, h_W)$ a subsingular vector such that $\bar{u} = L_{-p}^r$. If $\alpha + (1-p)\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, module $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$ is reducible. There exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that U_k is irreducible.

Proof. Since α is invariant modulo \mathbb{Z} we may assume $\alpha + (1-p)\beta = 0$. From the proof of Theorem 31 we have

$$x(v_n \otimes v) = - \left(\prod_{j=0}^{r-1} (n-1 + (r-j)p) \right) v_{n-1} \otimes v$$

for some $x \in U(\mathcal{L})$, so for $n \notin \{1-p, 1-2p, \dots, 1-rp\}$ we get $U_n = U_{n+1}$. Now we prove $U_{1-jp} \neq U_{-jp}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. We use the same reasoning as in previous proofs. Assume otherwise. Then $y \in U(\mathcal{L})$ exists, such that $y(v_{1-jp} \otimes v) = v_{-jp} \otimes v$, i.e., there are $y_i \in U(\mathcal{L})_{-i}$ such that

$$v_{-jp} \otimes v = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} y_{i+1}(v_{1-jp+i} \otimes v). \quad (12)$$

But then $y_m v = 0$. We may assume $y_m \in U(\mathcal{L})u$ because $u'(v_{m-jp} \otimes v) = 0$. Let $y_m = zu$, $z \in U(\mathcal{L})_{-(m-rp)}$. Then

$$y_m(v_{m-jp} \otimes v) = z \left(L_{-p}^r + \sum w_i L_{-k_s} \cdots L_{-k_1} \right) (v_{m-jp} \otimes v).$$

On the right side we get sum of $z(v_{m-jp-i} \otimes x_i v)$ where $i = 0, 1, \dots, rp$. However, since $uv = 0$, all the components $v_{m-jp} \otimes x_0 v$ add to zero. Like in Lemma 30 we get $z_i \in U(\mathcal{L})$ such that

$$y_m(v_{m-jp} \otimes v) = \sum_{i=1}^{rp} z_i(v_{m-i-jp} \otimes v).$$

However, this means that $y_m(v_{m-jp} \otimes v)$ is unnecessary in (12) since it can be expressed with $v_{m-1-jp} \otimes v, \dots, v_{m-rp-jp} \otimes v$ (for some $m \geq rp$). Repeating the process we get to $y_{rp} = u$. However,

$$u(v_{1-jp} \otimes v) = \left(\sum w_i L_{-k_s} \cdots L_{-k_1} \right) (v_{1-jp} \otimes v) \quad (13)$$

because $L_{-p}^r(v_{1-jp} \otimes v) = 0$. Obviously, the right side of (13) can not produce $v_{-jp} \otimes v$, leading to contradiction. Therefore, $U_{1-jp} \subsetneq U_{-jp}$.

Module U_{1-p} is irreducible by Corollary 29. ■

Theorems 27, 32, 33 and 31 combined prove Theorem 26.

Note that U_{1-p} in Theorem 33 is irreducible module with infinite-dimensional weight subspaces.

Corollary 34 *Module $V'_{\alpha,\beta,0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$ contains an irreducible (not necessarily proper) submodule with infinite-dimensional weight subspaces if and only if there exists a subsingular vector $u \in V(c, h, h_W)$ such that $\bar{u} = L_{-p}^r v$.*

6 Subquotients of a module $V'_{\alpha,\beta,0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$

Remark 35 *Let U_n/U_{n+1} be a nontrivial subquotient in $V'_{\alpha,\beta,0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} L_k(v_n \otimes v) &= \lambda v_{n+k} \otimes v \in U_{n+k} \subseteq U_{n+1}, \\ W_k(v_n \otimes v) &= 0, \quad \text{for } k > 0; \\ L_0(v_n \otimes v) &= (h - n - \alpha - \beta)v_n \otimes v \\ W_0(v_n \otimes v) &= v_n \otimes W_0 v = h_W v_n \otimes v \end{aligned}$$

shows that U_n/U_{n+1} is highest weight module with the highest weight (c, h_n, h_W) , where $h_n = h - n - \alpha - \beta$. From Theorem 1 follows that $V(c, h_n, h_W)$ is reducible if and only if $V(c, h, h_W)$ is reducible. If that is the case, formula for a singular vector $u' \in \mathcal{W}$ is the same in both modules, so $u'(v_n \otimes v) = 0$. This shows U_n/U_{n+1} is isomorphic to either to $L'(c, h_n, h_W)$, or to $L(c, h_n, h_W)$ (see Corollary 21). Of course, if $h_n \neq h_W \frac{(13p+1)(p-1)}{12} + \frac{(1-r)p}{2}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then these two modules are the same. Otherwise, the question is whether $u(v_n \otimes v) \in U_{n+1}$.

Let us review subquotients found in Theorems 27, 32, and 33.

Theorem 36 *Verma modules $V(c, h - \alpha - \beta - n, h_W)$ occur as subquotients in $V'_{\alpha,\beta,0} \otimes V(c, h, h_W)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, with exception of $n = -\alpha$ if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta = 0$, and $n = -\alpha - 1$ if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta = 1$.*

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (with noted exceptions) U_n/U_{n+1} is the highest weight module with the weight $(c, h - \alpha - \beta - n, h_W)$. (If $\alpha + 2\beta + n = 0$ then consider U_n/U_{n+2} instead.) Let us prove that this module is free over $U(\mathcal{L}_-)$. Note that

$$U_{n+1} = \text{span} \{x(v_{n+k} \otimes v) : x \in U(\mathcal{L}_-), k > 0\}$$

and every $x(v_{n+k} \otimes v)$ has a component $v_{n+k} \otimes xv \neq 0$ since Verma module is free over $U(\mathcal{L}_-)$. Suppose U_n/U_{n+1} is not free. Then $y \in U(\mathcal{L}_-)$ exists, such that $y(v_n \otimes v) \in U_{n+1}$. But $y(v_n \otimes v)$ can not have a component $v_{n+k} \otimes xv$ for $k > 0$, proving a contradiction. ■

Theorem 37 *If a singular vector $u' \in \mathcal{W}$ generates $J(c, h, h_W)$, then modules $L(c, h - \alpha - \beta - n, h_W)$ occur as subquotients in $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, with exception of $n = -\alpha$ if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta = 0$, and $n = -\alpha - 1$ if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta = 1$.*

Proof. Follows from Theorem 32, Remark 35 and the fact that $L(c, h - \alpha - \beta - n, h_W)$ is a quotient of $L'(c, h - \alpha - \beta - n, h_W)$. ■

Theorem 38 *If there is a subsingular vector $u \in V(c, h, h_W)$ such that $\bar{u} = L_{-p}^r v$ and if $\alpha + (1 - p)\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $L(c, h + (j - \beta)p, h_W)$ occur as subquotients in $V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, h, h_W)$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$, with the exception of $L(c, h, 0)$ in $V'_{0, 1, 0} \otimes L(c, h, 0)$.*

Proof. Follows from Remark 35 and Theorem 33. ■

Remark 39 *We expect the existence of intertwining operators of type*

$$\begin{pmatrix} L(c, h + (r - \beta)p, h_W) \\ L(c, 1 - \beta, 0) \quad L(c, h, h_W) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This would result with an elegant proof of Theorems 33 and 38.

Corollary 40 *$V'_{\alpha, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, 0, 0)$ is irreducible if and only if $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$. If $2\beta - 1 \notin \mathbb{N}$ then*

$$(V'_{0, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, 0, 0)) / U_0 \cong L(c, 1 - \beta, 0).$$

Proof. (Sub)singular vector in $V(c, 0, 0)$ is $L_{-1}v$ so we apply Theorem 38. ■

Let $2\beta - 1 = r' \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(V'_{0, \beta, 0} \otimes L(c, 0, 0)) / U_0$ is the highest weight module with the highest weight $(c, \frac{1-r'}{2}, 0)$. Assume there is a subsingular vector $u \in V(c, \frac{1-r'}{2}, 0)$ (see Conjecture 23) such that $\bar{u} = L_{-1}^{r'} v$ and that L_k does not occur as a factor in u , for $k > 1$ (see Conjecture 22). Then $u = (L_{-1}^{r'} + \sum_{i=0}^{r'-1} w_i L_{-1}^i) v$ for some $w_i \in \mathcal{W}$ so

$$u(v_{-1} \otimes v) = \sum_{i=0}^{r'} (-1)^i i! v_{-1-i} \otimes w_i v.$$

Since $L_{-1}(v_0 \otimes v) = W_{-1}(v_0 \otimes v) = 0$, we get

$$U_0 = \text{span} \{x(v_k \otimes v) : k \in \mathbb{N}, x \in U(\mathcal{L}_- \setminus \{L_{-1}, W_{-1}\})\},$$

and every $x(v_k \otimes v)$ has a component $v_k \otimes xv \neq 0$. Then, obviously, $u(v_{-1} \otimes v) \notin U_0$ so

$$(V'_{0, \frac{1+r'}{2}, 0} \otimes L(c, 0, 0)) / U_0 \cong L'(c, \frac{1-r'}{2}, 0).$$

Remark 41 *Since intertwining operators of types*

$$\begin{pmatrix} L(c, 1 - \beta, 0) \\ L(c, 1 - \beta, 0) & L(c, 0, 0) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} L'(c, \frac{1-r'}{2}, 0) \\ L'(c, \frac{1-r'}{2}, 0) & L(c, 0, 0) \end{pmatrix}$$

exist, there are nontrivial \mathcal{L} -homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} V'_{0,\beta,0} \otimes L(c, 0, 0) &\rightarrow L(c, 1 - \beta, 0), \\ V'_{0, \frac{1+r'}{2}, 0} \otimes L(c, 0, 0) &\rightarrow L'(c, \frac{1-r'}{2}, 0). \end{aligned}$$

7 The twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra

The twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra is the universal central extension of the Lie algebra of differential operators on a circle of order at most one:

$$\left\{ f(t) \frac{d}{dt} + g(t) : f, g \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \right\}.$$

It has an infinite-dimensional Heisenberg subalgebra and a Virasoro subalgebra. Its highest weight representations have been studied by E. Arbarello et al. in [4] and Billig in [5]. In this paper we focus on zero level case (trivial action of central element of the Heisenberg subalgebra), studied in [5]. These representations occur in the construction of modules for the toroidal Lie algebras (see [6]). Our goal is to find irreducible representations with infinite-dimensional weight spaces. As is case with $W(2, 2)$ we study tensor product of an intermediate series and the highest weight module and use singular vectors in Verma modules to check irreducibility. However, there are no subsingular vectors in Verma modules over the Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra. Still, results are quite similar to those for $W(2, 2)$ algebra when Heisenberg subalgebra acts trivially on an intermediate series. We omit some details since most proofs are analogous to $W(2, 2)$ case.

The twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra \mathcal{H} is a complex Lie algebra with basis

$$\{L_n, I_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{C_L, C_{LI}, C_I\}$$

and Lie bracket

$$\begin{aligned} [L_n, L_m] &= (n - m)L_{n+m} + \delta_{n,-m} \frac{n^3 - n}{12} C_L, \\ [L_n, I_m] &= -mI_{n+m} - \delta_{n,-m}(n^2 + n)C_{LI}, \\ [I_n, I_m] &= n\delta_{n,-m}C_I, \\ [\mathcal{H}, C_L] &= [\mathcal{H}, C_{LI}] = [\mathcal{H}, C_I] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $\{L_n, C_L : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ spans a Virasoro subalgebra, and $\{I_n, C_I : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ spans a Heisenberg subalgebra. Center of \mathcal{H} is spanned by $\{I_0, C_L, C_I, C_{LI}\}$

and, unlike $W(0)$ in $W(2, 2)$, $I(0)$ acts semisimply on \mathcal{H} . Standard \mathbb{Z} -gradation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_n &= \mathbb{C}L_n \oplus \mathbb{C}I_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^*, \\ \mathcal{H}_0 &= \mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}I_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}C_L \oplus \mathbb{C}C_I \oplus \mathbb{C}C_{LI}\end{aligned}$$

induces a triangular decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_- \oplus \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_+$$

as usual.

Let $U(\mathcal{H})$ be a universal enveloping algebra. For arbitrary $h, h_I, c_L, c_I, c_{LI} \in \mathbb{C}$, let \mathcal{I} be a left ideal in $U(\mathcal{H})$ generated by $\{L_n, I_n, L_0 - h\mathbf{1}, I_0 - h_I\mathbf{1}, C_L - c_L\mathbf{1}, C_I - c_I\mathbf{1}, C_{LI} - c_{LI}\mathbf{1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then $U(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{I}$ is a Verma module denoted with $V(c_L, c_I, c_{LI}, h, h_I)$. As usual, it is a free $U(\mathcal{H}_-)$ -module generated by the highest weight vector $v := \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{I}$ and a standard PBW basis

$$\{I_{-m_s} \cdots I_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} v : m_s \geq \cdots \geq m_1 \geq 1, n_t \geq \cdots \geq n_1 \geq 1\}.$$

It contains a unique maximal submodule $J(c_L, c_I, c_{LI}, h, h_I)$, and respective quotient module $L(c_L, c_I, c_{LI}, h, h_I) = V(c_L, c_I, c_{LI}, h, h_I)/J(c_L, c_I, c_{LI}, h, h_I)$ is irreducible. In this paper we focus on zero level highest weight representations, i.e., $c_I = 0$ case.

Theorem 42 ([5]) *Let $c_I = 0$ and $c_{LI} \neq 0$.*

- (i) *If $\frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ or $\frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} = 1$, then Verma module $V(c_L, 0, c_{LI}, h, h_I)$ is irreducible.*
- (ii) *If $\frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1\}$ then $V(c_L, 0, c_{LI}, h, h_I)$ possesses a singular vector $u \in V_p$, where $p = |\frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} - 1|$. In this case, a quotient module $L(c_L, 0, c_{LI}, h, h_I) = V(c_L, 0, c_{LI}, h, h_I)/U(\mathcal{H}_-)u$ is irreducible.*

Define I -degree as follows:

$$\deg_I L_{-n} = 0, \quad \deg_I I_{-n} = 1,$$

which induces \mathbb{Z} -grading on $U(\mathcal{H})$ and on V

$$\deg_I I_{-m_s} \cdots I_{-m_1} L_{-n_t} \cdots L_{-n_1} v = s.$$

For a non-zero $x \in V$ given in standard PBW basis we denote by \bar{x} its lowest non-zero homogeneous component with respect to I -degree.

Also, let $\mathcal{I} = \{I_{-m_s} \cdots I_{-m_1} v : m_s \geq \cdots \geq m_1 \geq 1\}$. By abuse of notation, we will sometimes consider \mathcal{I} as a subalgebra of $U(\mathcal{H}_-)$. We write V short for $V(c_L, 0, c_{LI}, h, h_I)$.

Theorem 43 ([5]) *Let $u \in V_p$ a singular vector. If $1 - \frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} = p \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\bar{u} = L_{-p}v$. If $\frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} - 1 = p \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\bar{u} = I_{-p}v$ and $u \in V_p \cap \mathcal{I}$.*

Remark 44 If $\frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} - 1 = p \in \mathbb{N}$ one can show that

$$u = w_0 v + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} w_i L_{-i} v + L_{-p} v, \quad (14)$$

where $w_i \in U(\mathcal{H}_-)_{p-i} \cap \mathcal{I}$. (Set $r = 1$ in Lemma 17.)

For example $(L_{-1} + \frac{h}{c_{LI}} I_{-1})v$ is a singular vector in $V(c_L, 0, c_{LI}, h, 0)$, and $I_{-1}v$ in $V(c_L, 0, c_{LI}, h, 2c_{LI})$.

Next we define an intermediate series. We take an intermediate series Vir-module $V_{\alpha, \beta}$ and let I_n act by scalar. Let $\alpha, \beta, F \in \mathbb{C}$. $V_{\alpha, \beta, F}$ is \mathcal{H} -module with basis $\{v_m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and action

$$\begin{aligned} L_n v_m &= -(m + \alpha + \beta + n\beta)v_{m+n}, \\ I_n v_m &= F v_{m+n}, \\ C_L v_m &= C_I v_m = C_{LI} v_m = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As with other intermediate series, $V_{\alpha, \beta, F} \cong V_{\alpha+k, \beta, F}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also, $V_{\alpha, \beta, F}$ is reducible if and only if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\beta \in \{0, 1\}$ and $F = 0$. Define $V'_{0,0,0} := V_{0,0,0}/\mathbb{C}v_0$, $V'_{0,1,0} := \bigoplus_{k \neq -1} \mathbb{C}v_k$ and $V'_{\alpha, \beta, F} := V_{\alpha, \beta, F}$ otherwise. Then $V'_{\alpha, \beta, F}$ are irreducible modules.

It has been shown by R. Lu and K. Zhao in [12] that irreducible \mathcal{H} -module with finite-dimensional weight spaces is either the highest (or lowest) weight module, or isomorphic to some $V'_{\alpha, \beta, F}$.

Throughout this section we write short (\bar{c}, h, h_I) for $(c_L, 0, c_{LI}, h, h_I)$. For example, $L(\bar{c}, h, h_I)$ will denote the highest weight module $L(c_L, 0, c_{LI}, h, h_I)$.

Now consider module $V'_{\alpha, \beta, F} \otimes L(\bar{c}, h, h_I)$. It is generated by $\{v_m \otimes v : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ where v is the highest weight vector, and has infinite-dimensional weight subspaces.

Theorem 45 Let $\alpha, \beta, F \in \mathbb{C}$ arbitrary. $V'_{\alpha, \beta, F} \otimes L(\bar{c}, h, h_I)$ is irreducible if and only if it is cyclic on every $v_m \otimes v$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to $W(2, 2)$ case (Theorem 28). We take nontrivial submodule U and

$$x = v_{m-n} \otimes x_0 + \cdots + v_m \otimes x_n \in U$$

such that $x_i \in L(\bar{c}, h, h_I)_i$, and show by induction on n that $v_k \otimes v \in U$. If $L_1 x_n \neq 0$ or $L_2 x_n \neq 0$ we follow the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [15]. Otherwise it must be $I_1 x_n \neq 0$.

If $F = 0$, then

$$I_1 x = v_{m-n+1} \otimes I_1 x_1 + \cdots + v_m \otimes I_1 x_n \neq 0$$

and the proof is done. If $F \neq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I_1^2 - FI_2)x &= 2F \sum_{i=1}^n v_{m-n+i+1} \otimes I_1 x_i + \sum_{i=2}^n v_{m-n+i} \otimes (I_1^2 - FI_2)x_i = \\ &= Fv_{m+1} \otimes I_1 x_n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} v_{m-n+i+2} \otimes (2FI_1 x_{i+1} + (I_1^2 - FI_2)x_{i+2}) \end{aligned}$$

so again, there is a vector in U with less than $n+1$ components, and by induction, there is some $v_k \otimes v \in U$. ■

We denote by U_k submodule $U(\mathcal{H})(v_k \otimes v)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In order to prove irreducibility of $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L(\bar{c}, h, h_I)$, it suffices to show $U_n = U_{n+1}$ for all n .

Theorem 46 *Module $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes V(\bar{c}, h, h_I)$ is reducible. Modules $V(\bar{c}, h - \alpha - \beta - n, h_I + F)$ occur as subquotients, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, with exception of $n = -\alpha$ if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta = 0$, and $n = -\alpha - 1$ if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta = 1$.*

Proof. Analogous to Theorems 27 and 36. ■

First we consider case $F = 0$.

Theorem 47 *Let $1 - \frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} = p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $V'_{\alpha,\beta,0} \otimes L(\bar{c}, h, h_I)$ is reducible if and only if $\alpha + (1-p)\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. In that case $(V'_{\alpha,\beta,0} \otimes L(\bar{c}, h, h_I))/U_{1-p}$ is the highest weight module with the highest weight $(\bar{c}, h + p(1-\beta), h_I)$.*

Proof. The proof of irreducibility is analogous to that of Theorem 31 for $r = 1$, since we have a singular vector (14). Proof of reducibility is analogous to Theorem 38 for $r = 1$. ■

Theorem 48 *Let $\frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $V'_{\alpha,\beta,0} \otimes L(\bar{c}, h, h_I)$ is reducible. Subquotients U_n/U_{n+1} are isomorphic to $L(\bar{c}, h - \alpha - \beta - n, h_I)$.*

Proof. Analogous to Theorem 37. ■

Now we give a general result for arbitrary F , analogous to Theorem 5 in [17].

Theorem 49 *Let $\left|1 - \frac{h_I}{c_{LI}}\right| = p \in \mathbb{N}$, and $(h, h_I) \neq (0, 0)$. If F is transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta, c_L, c_{LI}, h, h_I)$ or F is algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta, c_L, c_{LI}, h, h_I)$ with degree greater than p , then $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L(\bar{c}, h, h_I)$ is irreducible.*

Proof. Since $F \neq 0$ we have

$$I_1(v_{n-1} \otimes v) = Fv_n \otimes v \neq 0$$

so $U_n \subseteq U_{n-1}$.

Let $p = \frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} - 1$. Then $u \in \mathcal{I}$. Since

$$I_{-j_t} \cdots I_{-j_1}(v_{n+p-1} \otimes v) = F^t v_{n-1} \otimes v + \cdots + v_{n+p-1} \otimes I_{-j_t} \cdots I_{-j_1} v$$

for $j_1 + \cdots + j_t = p$, we find $u' \in U(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$u'(v_n \otimes v) = F s(F) v_{n-1} \otimes v$$

for some $s(F) \in \mathbb{Q}(h_I, c_{LI})[F]$, $\deg s = p - 1$. By assumption, $F s(F) \neq 0$ so $U_{n-1} \subseteq U_n$.

Now let $p = 1 - \frac{h_I}{c_{LI}}$. Then we apply (14). Since

$$\begin{aligned} I_{-j_t} \cdots I_{-j_1} L_{-i}(v_{n+p} \otimes v) &= -F^t(n+p+\alpha+\beta-i\beta)v_n \otimes v + \\ &+ \sum_k F^k(n+p+\alpha+\beta-i\beta) \sum_j v_{n+p-j} \otimes x_j^{(t-k)} v + \\ &+ \sum_l F^l \sum_j v_{n+p-j-i} \otimes y_j^{(t-l)} L_{-i} v + v_{n+p} \otimes I_{-j_t} \cdots I_{-j_1} L_{-i} v \end{aligned}$$

where $j_1 + \cdots + j_t = p - i$ and $\deg_I x_j^{(r)} = \deg_I y_j^{(r)} = r$, we get

$$u(v_{n+p} \otimes v) = f(F)v_n \otimes v + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} v_{n+i} \otimes z_i v$$

for some $z_i \in U(\mathcal{H}_{-})_{-i}$ and polynomial $f(F)$. Then we find $u' \in U(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$u'(v_{n+1} \otimes v) = (q(F)n + r(F)) v_n \otimes v,$$

where $q(F), r(F) \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta, h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI})[F]$, $\deg q = p - 1$, $\deg r = p$. Again, this shows $U_{n-1} \subseteq U_n$. ■

Theorem 50 $V'_{\alpha, \beta, F} \otimes L(\bar{c}, 0, 0)$ is irreducible if and only if $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (V'_{0, \beta, F} \otimes L(\bar{c}, 0, 0))/U_0 &\cong V(\bar{c}, 1 - \beta, F), \text{ if } \beta \neq 1, \\ (V'_{0, 1, F} \otimes L(\bar{c}, 0, 0))/U_0 &\cong V(\bar{c}, 1, F). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Since $L_{-1}v = 0$ in $L(\bar{c}, 0, 0)$ we have

$$L_{-1}(v_n \otimes v) = -(n + \alpha)v_{n-1} \otimes v$$

which proves $U_n = U_{n-1}$ for all n , so $V'_{\alpha, \beta, F} \otimes L(\bar{c}, 0, 0)$ is irreducible.

Now let $\alpha = 0$. We may set $p = r = 1$ in the proof of Theorem 33 to show reducibility of $U_{-1} = V'_{\alpha, \beta, F} \otimes L(\bar{c}, 0, 0)$. In particular, U_0 is an irreducible submodule. U_{-1}/U_0 is the highest weight module with the highest weight $(\bar{c}, 1 - \beta, F)$ if $\beta \neq 1$, and U_{-2}/U_0 is the highest weight module of the highest weight $(\bar{c}, 1, F)$ if $\beta = 1$. It is left to prove that quotient module is free over $U(\mathcal{H}_{-})$.

Since U_0 is spanned with vectors $x(v_k \otimes v)$, where $x \in U(\mathcal{H}_- \setminus \{L_{-1}\})$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, it follows that every vector in U_0 has a component $v_k \otimes xv$ for some $k \geq 0$. Therefore, $y(v_{-1} \otimes v) \notin U_0$ for any $y \in U(\mathcal{H}_-)$, so U_{-1}/U_0 is free, i.e., a Verma module. ■

Acknowledgement I would like to thank my advisor Professor Dražen Adamović for his ideas, guidance and patience. Some of these results were presented at the conference Representation Theory XII in Dubrovnik in 2011. and are a part of author's Ph.D. dissertation [14] written under the direction of Professor D. Adamović.

References

- [1] Adamović, D., "New irreducible modules for affine Lie algebras at the critical level", International Math. Research Notices 6, 253-262 (1996).
- [2] Adamović, D., "Vertex operator algebras and irreducibility of certain modules for affine Lie algebras", Math. Research Letters 4, 809-821 (1997).
- [3] Adamović, D., "An application of $U(g)$ -bimodules to representation theory of affine Lie algebras", Algebras and Rep. theory 7, 4, 457-469 (2004).
- [4] Arbarello, E., De Concini, C., Kac, V.G. and Procesi, C., "Moduli spaces of curves and representation theory", Comm. Math. Phys. 117, 1-36 (1988).
- [5] Billig, Y., "Representations of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra at level zero", Canadian Math. Bulletin 46, 529-537 (2003).
- [6] Billig, Y., "Energy-momentum tensor for the toroidal Lie algebras", J. Algebra 308 1, 252-269 (2007).
- [7] Chari, V. and Pressley, A., "A New Family of Irreducible, Integrable Modules for Affine Lie Algebras", Math. Ann., 543-562 (1987).
- [8] Frenkel, I. B., Huang, Y. and Lepowsky, J., "On Axiomatic Approaches to Vertex Operator Algebras and Modules", Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1993).
- [9] Frenkel, I., Lepowsky, J. and Meurman, A., "Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster", Pure and Appl. Math., 134 (1988).
- [10] Jiang, W. and Pei, Y., "On the structure of Verma modules over the W -algebra $W(2,2)$ ", J. Math. Phys. 51, 022303 (2010).
- [11] Lepowsky, J. and Li, H., *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations* (Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004).

- [12] Lu, R. and Zhao, K., "Classification of irreducible weight modules over the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra", *Comm. Cont. Math.* 12, 2, 183-205 (2010).
- [13] Liu, D. and Zhu, L., "Classification of Harish Chandra modules over the W -algebra $W(2, 2)$ ", *J. Math. Phys.* 49, 012901 (2008).
- [14] Radobolja, G., Ph. D. thesis (in Croatian), University of Zagreb, 2012. <http://bib.irb.hr/prikazi-rad?&rad=605718>
- [15] Radobolja, G., "Application of vertex algebras to the structure theory of certain representations over Virasoro algebra", *Algebras and Represent. Theory* 16 (2013)
- [16] Zhang, W. and Dong, C., " W -algebra $W(2, 2)$ and the vertex operator algebra $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ ", *Commun. Math. Phys.* 285, 991-1004 (2009).
- [17] Zhang, H., "A class of representations over the Virasoro Algebra", *J. Algebra* 190, 1-10 (1997).