HOMOTOPY OF AREA DECREASING MAPS BY MEAN CURVATURE FLOW

ANDREAS SAVAS-HALILAJ AND KNUT SMOCZYK

ABSTRACT. Let $f: M \to N$ be a smooth area decreasing map between two Riemannian manifolds (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) . Under weak and natural assumptions on the curvatures of (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) , we prove that the mean curvature flow provides a smooth homotopy of f to a constant map.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a continuous map $f: M \to N$ between two smooth manifolds Mand N, it is an interesting problem to find canonical representatives in the homotopy class of f. One possible approach is the *harmonic map heat flow* that was defined by Eells and Sampson in [ES64]. Provided that M and N both carry appropriate Riemannian metrics, they proved long-time existence and convergence of the heat flow, showing that under these assumptions one finds harmonic representatives in a given homotopy class. This approach is applicable usually when the target space is negatively curved. However, in general one can neither expect long-time existence nor convergence of the flow, in particular for maps between spheres, since the flow usually develops singularities.

Another way to deform a smooth map $f : M \to N$ between Riemannian manifolds (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) is by deforming its corresponding graph

$$\Gamma(f) := \{ (x, f(x)) \in M \times N : x \in M \},\$$

in the product space $M \times N$ via the mean curvature flow. A graphical solution of the mean curvature flow can be described completely in terms of a smooth family of maps $f_t : M \to N, t \in [0, T), f_0 = f$, where $0 < T \leq \infty$ is the maximal time for which the smooth graphical solution exists.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C44, 53C42, 57R52, 35K55.

Key words and phrases. Mean curvature flow, homotopy, area decreasing, graphs, maximum principle.

The first author is supported by the grant of $E\Sigma\Pi A$: PE1-417.

In case of long-time existence of a graphical solution and convergence we would thus obtain a smooth homotopy from f to a minimal map $f_{\infty}: M \to N$ as time t tends to infinity. Recall that a map is called minimal, if and only if its graph is a minimal submanifold of $M \times N$.

The first result in this direction is due to Ecker and Huisken [EH89]. They proved long-time existence of entire graphical hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Moreover, they proved convergence to a flat subspace, if the growth rate at infinity of the initial graph is linear. The crucial observation in their paper was that the scalar product of the unit normal with a height vector satisfies a nice evolution equation that can be used to bound the second fundamental form appropriately.

The complexity of the normal bundle in higher codimensions makes the situation much more complicated. Results analogous to that of Ecker and Huisken are not available any more without further assumptions. However, the ideas developed in the paper of Ecker and Huisken opened a new era for the study of the mean curvature flow of submanifolds in Riemannian manifolds of arbitrary dimension and codimension (see for example [Wan01], [Wan01b], [Wan02], [CLT02], [SW02], [Sm002], [TW04], [Sm004], [MW11], [LL11], [CCH12], [CCY13] and the references therein).

A map $f: M \to N$ is called *weakly length decreasing* if $f^*g_N \leq g_M$ and strictly length decreasing, if $f^*g_N < g_M$. Hence a length decreasing map has the property that its differential shortens the lengths of tangent vectors. A smooth map $f: M \to N$ is called *weakly area decreasing* if its differential df decreases the area of two dimensional tangent planes, that is if

$$\| \mathrm{d}f(v) \wedge \mathrm{d}f(w) \|_{\mathrm{g}_N} \le \| v \wedge w \|_{\mathrm{g}_M},$$

for all $v, w \in TM$. If the differential df is strictly decreasing the area of two dimensional tangent planes, then f is called *strictly area decreasing*. Analogously, we may introduce the notion of *weakly* and *strictly k-volume decreasing maps*.

In [Wan02, TW04], Wang and Tsui studied deformations of smooth maps $f : M \to N$ between Riemannian manifolds under the mean curvature flow. Under the assumption that the initial map is strictly area decreasing, M and N are compact space forms with dim $M \ge 2$, whose corresponding sectional curvatures sec_M and sec_N satisfy

$$\operatorname{sec}_M \ge |\operatorname{sec}_N|, \quad \operatorname{sec}_M + \operatorname{sec}_N > 0,$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

they proved long-time existence of the mean curvature flow of the graph and convergence of f to a constant map. Recently, Lee and Lee [LL11] generalized the result of Wang and Tsui by showing that the same result holds true provided that M and N are compact Riemannian manifolds whose sectional curvatures are bounded by

$$\sec_M \geq \sigma \geq \sec_N$$

for some strictly positive number $\sigma > 0$.

The goal of this paper is to show that the curvature assumptions can be relaxed even much further. In particular, we show that the deformation of area decreasing maps under its mean curvature gives the following result:

Theorem A. Let M and N be two compact Riemannian manifolds. Assume that $m = \dim M \ge 2$ and that there exists a positive constant σ such that the sectional curvatures \sec_M of M and \sec_N of N and the Ricci curvature Ric_M of M satisfy

 $\sec_M > -\sigma$, $\operatorname{Ric}_M \ge (m-1)\sigma \ge (m-1) \sec_N$.

If $f : M \to N$ is a strictly area decreasing smooth map, then the mean curvature flow of the graph of f remains the graph of a strictly area decreasing map and exists for all time. Moreover, under the mean curvature flow the area decreasing map converges to a constant map.

Remark 1.1. The above theorem generalizes the results in [TW04] and [LL11] since the curvature assumption is more general.

Remark 1.2. In [SHS12] we studied minimal graphs generated by length and area decreasing maps between two Riemannian manifolds. From the examples presented in [SHS12, Subsection 3.6], it follows that the imposed curvature assumptions in Theorem A are optimal.

Remark 1.3. According to Theorem A, any strictly area decreasing map between two compact Riemannian manifolds (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) satisfying these curvature assumptions must be null-homotopic. Such a result fails to hold for 3-volume decreasing maps since Guth [Gut07] showed that there are infinitely many non null-homotopic 3-volume decreasing maps between unit euclidean spheres.

At this point let us say some words about the proof of Theorem A. Since the manifold M is assumed to be compact, short time existence of the mean curvature flow is guaranteed. Moreover, it follows by continuity that there is an interval where the solution remains a graph. The first step in our proof is to show that the assumption of being area

decreasing is preserved by the mean curvature flow. As a consequence of this fact, it follows that the norm of the differential of the initial map remains bounded in time. This fact implies that the deformation of the graph via the mean curvature flow remains a graph as long as the solution exists. The second step is to prove that the flow exists for long time. In general, this can be achieved by showing that the norm of the second fundamental form remains bounded in time. However, such a bound is not available. Following ideas developed by Wang and by Tsui and Wang in [Wan02], [TW04] we introduce an angle-type function on M. We show then that under our curvature assumptions this function satisfies a nice differential inequality involving also the squared norm of the second fundamental form. The idea now is to compare the norm of the second fundamental form with this angle-type function. Following the same strategy as in [Wan02] one can verify that there are no finite time singularities of the flow. At this point a deep regularity theorem of White [Whi05] is needed. Hence in this way it is shown that the flow exists for all time. Going back to the evolution equation of the special angle-type function we conclude that under our assumptions the solution converges smoothly to a constant map at infinity.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic facts from the geometry of graphs. In Section 3 we provide the evolution equations and the basic estimates which are used in the proof of our result. In Section 3 we give the proof of Theorem A.

2. Geometry of graphs

The purpose of this section is to set up the notation and to give some basic definitions. We shall follow closely the notations in [SHS12].

2.1. **Basic facts.** Let (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) be Riemannian manifolds of dimension m and n, respectively. The induced metric on the ambient space $M \times N$ will be denoted by $g_{M \times N}$ or by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, that is

$$g_{M \times N} = \langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle := g_M \times g_N$$

The graph of a map $f: M \to N$ is defined to be the submanifold

$$\Gamma(f) := \{ (x, f(x)) \in M \times N : x \in M \}$$

of $M \times N$. The graph $\Gamma(f)$ can be parametrized via the embedding $F: M \to M \times N, F := I_M \times f$, where I_M is the identity map of M. The Riemannian metric induced by F on M will be denoted by

$$\mathbf{g} := F^* \mathbf{g}_{M \times N}.$$

The two natural projections $\pi_M : M \times N \to M$ and $\pi_N : M \times N \to N$ are submersions, that is they are smooth and have maximal rank. The tangent bundle of the product manifold $M \times N$, splits as a direct sum

$$T(M \times N) = TM \oplus TN.$$

The four metrics $g_M, g_N, g_{M \times N}$ and g are related by

$$\mathbf{g}_{M \times N} = \pi_M^* \mathbf{g}_M + \pi_N^* \mathbf{g}_N, \qquad (2.1)$$

$$g = F^* g_{M \times N} = g_M + f^* g_N.$$
 (2.2)

Additionally, we define the symmetric 2-tensors

$$\mathbf{s}_{M \times N} := \pi_M^* \mathbf{g}_M - \pi_N^* \mathbf{g}_N \,, \qquad (2.3)$$

$$\mathbf{s} := F^* \mathbf{s}_{M \times N} = \mathbf{g}_M - f^* \mathbf{g}_N \,. \tag{2.4}$$

The Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{\mathbf{g}_{M \times N}}$ associated to the Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}_{M \times N}$ on $M \times N$ is related to the Levi-Civita connections $\nabla^{\mathbf{g}_M}$ on (M, \mathbf{g}_M) and $\nabla^{\mathbf{g}_N}$ on (N, \mathbf{g}_N) by

$$\nabla^{\mathbf{g}_{M\times N}} = \pi_M^* \nabla^{\mathbf{g}_M} \oplus \pi_N^* \nabla^{\mathbf{g}_N}$$

The corresponding curvature tensor $\mathbf{R}_{M \times N}$ on $M \times N$ with respect to the metric $\mathbf{g}_{M \times N}$ is related to the curvature tensors \mathbf{R}_M on (M, \mathbf{g}_M) and \mathbf{R}_N on (N, \mathbf{g}_N) by

$$\mathbf{R}_{M\times N} = \pi_M^* \mathbf{R}_M \oplus \pi_N^* \mathbf{R}_N.$$

The Levi-Civita connection on M with respect to the induced metric $g = F^* g_{M \times N}$ is denoted by ∇ , the curvature tensor by R and the Ricci curvature by Ric.

2.2. The second fundamental form. Let F^*TN denote the tangent bundle of N along M. Note that by definition the fibers of F^*TN at $x \in M$ coincide with $T_{F(x)}N$. The differential dF of F is then a section in the bundle $F^*TN \otimes T^*M$. In the sequel we will denote all full connections on bundles over M that are induced by the Levi-Civita connection on N via the immersion $F: M \to N$ by the same letter ∇ . The covariant derivative of dF is called the *second fundamental form* of the immersion F and it will be denoted by A. That is

$$A(v,w) := (\nabla dF)(v,w) = \nabla^{g_{M\times N}}_{dF(v)} dF(w) - dF(\nabla_v w),$$

for any vector fields $v, w \in TM$. The second fundamental form A is a symmetric tensor and takes values in the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}M$ of the graph $\Gamma(f)$. Since $\mathcal{N}M$ is a subbundle of F^*TN , the full connection ∇ can be used on $\mathcal{N}M$. By projecting to the normal bundle again, we obtain the connection on the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}M$ of the graph, which will be denoted by the symbol ∇^{\perp} . If ξ is a normal vector of the graph, then the symmetric tensor A_{ξ} given by

$$A_{\xi}(v,w) := \langle A(v,w), \xi \rangle$$

is called the second fundamental form with respect to the direction ξ .

The trace of A with respect to the metric g is called the *mean curvature* vector field of $\Gamma(f)$ and it will be denoted by

$$H := \operatorname{trace}_{\mathbf{g}} A.$$

Note that the mean curvature vector field H is a section of the normal bundle of $\Gamma(f)$. In the case where H vanishes identically, the graph $\Gamma(f)$ is called *minimal*.

By $Gau\beta'$ equation the curvature tensors R and $R_{M\times N}$ are related by the formula

$$R(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) = (F^* R_{M \times N})(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) + g_{M \times N} (A(v_1, v_2), A(w_1, w_2)) - g_{M \times N} (A(v_1, w_2), A(w_1, v_2)), \qquad (2.5)$$

for any $v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2 \in TM$. Moreover, the second fundamental form satisfies the *Codazzi equation*

$$(\nabla_u A)(v,w) - (\nabla_v A)(u,w) = \mathcal{R}_{M \times N} (dF(u), dF(v)) dF(w) - dF (\mathcal{R}(u,v)w), \qquad (2.6)$$

for any u, v, w on TM.

2.3. Singular decomposition. As in [SHS12], for any fixed point $x \in M$, let

$$\lambda_1^2(x) \le \dots \le \lambda_m^2(x)$$

be the eigenvalues of f^*g_N with respect to g_M . The corresponding values $\lambda_i \geq 0, i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, are usually called *singular values* of the differential df of f and give rise to continuous functions on M. Let

$$r = r(x) = \operatorname{rank} df(x).$$

Obviously, $r \leq \min\{m, n\}$ and $\lambda_1(x) = \cdots = \lambda_{m-r}(x) = 0$. It is well known that the singular values can be used to define the so called *singular decomposition* of df. At the point x consider an orthonormal basis

$$\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{m-r};\alpha_{m-r+1},\ldots,\alpha_m\}$$

with respect to g_M which diagonalizes f^*g_N . Moreover, at the point f(x) consider an orthonormal basis

$$\{\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_{n-r};\beta_{n-r+1},\ldots,\beta_n\}$$

with respect to g_N such that

$$\mathrm{d}f(\alpha_i) = \lambda_i(x)\beta_{n-m+i},$$

for any $i \in \{m - r + 1, \dots, m\}$.

Then one may define a special basis for the tangent and the normal space of the graph in terms of the singular values. The vectors

$$e_{i} := \begin{cases} \alpha_{i} & , 1 \leq i \leq m - r, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_{i}^{2}(x)}} \left(\alpha_{i} \oplus \lambda_{i} \left(x \right) \beta_{n-m+i} \right) & , m - r + 1 \leq i \leq m, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.7)$$

form an orthonormal basis with respect to the metric $g_{M\times N}$ of the tangent space $dF(T_xM)$ of the graph $\Gamma(f)$ at x. Moreover, the vectors

$$\xi_i := \begin{cases} \beta_i & , 1 \le i \le n-r, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_{i+m-n}^2(x)}} \left(-\lambda_{i+m-n}(x)\alpha_{i+m-n} \oplus \beta_i\right) & , n-r+1 \le i \le n, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.8)$$

give an orthonormal basis with respect to $g_{M \times N}$ of the normal space $\mathcal{N}_x M$ of the graph $\Gamma(f)$ at the point F(x). From the formulas above, we deduce that

$$\mathbf{s}_{M \times N}(e_i, e_j) = \frac{1 - \lambda_i^2}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \le i, j \le m.$$

$$(2.9)$$

Consequently, the eigenvalues $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_m$ of the symmetric 2-tensor s with respect to g, are

$$\mu_1 := \frac{1 - \lambda_m^2}{1 + \lambda_m^2} \le \dots \le \mu_m := \frac{1 - \lambda_1^2}{1 + \lambda_1^2}.$$

As it was observed in [TW04, LL11], for any pair of indices i, j we have

$$\mu_i + \mu_j = \frac{2(1 - \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2)}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)}.$$

Hence, the graph is strictly area decreasing, if and only if the tensor s is strictly 2-positive.

Moreover we get,

$$s_{M \times N}(\xi_i, \xi_j) = \begin{cases} -\delta_{ij} & , \ 1 \le i \le n - r, \\ -\frac{1 - \lambda_{i+m-n}^2}{1 + \lambda_{i+m-n}^2} \delta_{ij} & , \ n - r + 1 \le i \le n. \end{cases}$$
(2.10)

and

$$s_{M \times N}(e_{m-r+i}, \xi_{n-r+j}) = -\frac{2\lambda_{m-r+i}}{1+\lambda_{m-r+i}^2} \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \le i, j \le r.$$
 (2.11)

2.4. Area decreasing maps. For any smooth map $f : M \to N$ its differential df induces the natural map $\Lambda^2 df : \Lambda^2 TM \to \Lambda^2 TM$,

$$\Lambda^2 \,\mathrm{d} f(v, w) := \mathrm{d} f(v) \wedge \mathrm{d} f(w),$$

for any $v, w \in TM$. The map $\Lambda^2 df$ is called the 2-Jacobian of f. The supremum norm of the 2-Jacobian is defined as the supremum of

$$\sqrt{f^* \mathbf{g}_N(v_i, v_i) f^* \mathbf{g}_N(v_j, v_j) - f^* \mathbf{g}_N(v_i, v_j)^2},$$

where $\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ runs over all orthonormal bases of TM. A smooth map $f: M \to N$ is called *weakly area decreasing* if $\|\Lambda^2 df\| \leq 1$ and *strictly area decreasing* if $\|\Lambda^2 df\| < 1$. The above notions are expressed in terms of the singular values by the inequalities

$$\lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2 \le 1$$
 and $\lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2 < 1$

for any $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, respectively. On the other hand, as already noted in the previous section, the sum of two eigenvalues of the tensor s with respect to g equals

$$\frac{1-\lambda_i^2}{1+\lambda_i^2} + \frac{1-\lambda_j^2}{1+\lambda_i^2} = \frac{2(1-\lambda_i^2\lambda_j^2)}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_i^2)}$$

Hence, the strictly area-decreasing property of f is equivalent to the 2-positivity of s.

The 2-positivity of a tensor $T \in \text{Sym}(T^*M \otimes T^*M)$ can be expressed as the positivity of another tensor $T^{[2]} \in \text{Sym}(\Lambda^2 T^*M \otimes \Lambda^2 T^*M)$. Indeed, let P and Q be two symmetric 2-tensors. Then, the Kulkarni-Nomizu product $P \odot Q$ given by

$$(P \odot Q)(v_1 \land w_1, v_2 \land w_2) = P(v_1, v_2) Q(w_1, w_2) + P(w_1, w_2) Q(v_1, v_2) - P(w_1, v_2) Q(v_1, w_2) - P(v_1, w_2) Q(w_1, v_2)$$

is an element of $\operatorname{Sym}(\Lambda^2 T^* M \otimes \Lambda^2 T^* M)$. Now, to every element $T \in \operatorname{Sym}(T^* M \otimes T^* M)$ let us assign an element $T^{[2]}$ of the bundle $\operatorname{Sym}(\Lambda^2 T^* M \otimes \Lambda^2 T^* M)$, by setting

$$\mathbf{T}^{[2]} := \mathbf{T} \odot \mathbf{g} \,.$$

We point out that the Riemannian metric G of $\Lambda^2 TM$ is given by

$$\mathbf{G} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g} \odot \mathbf{g} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}^{[2]}$$

The relation between the eigenvalues of T and the eigenvalues of $T^{[2]}$ is explained in the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that T is a symmetric 2-tensor with eigenvalues $\mu_1 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_m$ and corresponding eigenvectors $\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ with respect to g. Then the eigenvalues of the symmetric 2-tensor $T^{[2]}$ with respect to G are

$$\mu_i + \mu_j, \quad 1 \le i < j \le m,$$

with corresponding eigenvectors

$$v_i \wedge v_j, \quad 1 \le i < j \le m.$$

3. Evolution of Graphs Under The Mean Curvature Flow

In the present section we shall derive the evolution equations of some important quantities. We mainly follow the setup and presentation used in [Smo12, SHS12].

3.1. Mean curvature flow. Let M and N be Riemannian manifolds, $f_0: M \to N$ a smooth map and $F_0 := (I_M, f_0): M \to M \times N$. Then, by a classical result, there exists a maximal positive time T for which a smooth solution $F: M \times [0, T) \to M \times N$ of the mean curvature flow

$$\frac{dF}{dt}(x,t) = H(x,t)$$

with initial condition

$$F(x,0) := F_0(x)$$

exists. Here H(x,t) denotes the mean curvature vector field at the point $x \in M$ of the immersion $F_t: M \to M \times N$, given by

$$F_t(x) := F(x, t).$$

In this case we say that the graph $\Gamma(f_0)$ evolves by mean curvature flow in $M \times N$. Let Ω_M be the volume form on the Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) and extend it to a parallel *m*-form on the product manifold $M \times N$ by pulling it back via the natural projection $\pi_M : M \times N \to M$, that is consider the *m*-form $\pi_M^* \Omega_M$. Define now the time dependent smooth function $u : M \times [0, T) \to \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$u := *\Omega_t,$$

where here * is the Hodge star operator with respect to the induced Riemannian metric g and

$$\Omega_t := F_t^*(\pi_M^*\Omega_M) = (\pi_M \circ F_t)^*\Omega_M.$$

Note that the function u is the Jacobian of the projection map from $F_t(M)$ to M. From the implicit map theorem it follows that u > 0 if and only if there exists a diffeomorphism $\phi_t : M \to M$ and a map $f_t : M \to N$ such that

$$F_t \circ \phi_t = (I_M, f_t).$$

In other words the function u is positive if and only if the solution of the mean curvature flow remains a graph. From the compactness of M and the continuity of u, it follows that F_t will stay a graph at least in an interval $[0, T_g)$ with $T_g \leq T$. In general T_g can be strictly less than T. However, as we shall see in the sequel, under our curvature assumptions $T_g = T$.

3.2. Evolution equations. In this subsection we shall compute the evolution equations and estimate various geometric quantities that we will need in the proof of our main result. In order to control the smallest eigenvalue of s, let us define the symmetric 2-tensor

$$\Phi := \mathbf{s} - \frac{1-c}{1+c} \, \mathbf{g},$$

where c is a time-dependent function.

The evolution of the symmetric 2-tensor Φ under the mean curvature flow is given in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. The evolution equation of the tensor Φ for $t \in [0, T_g)$ is given by the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\nabla_{\partial_t} \Phi - \Delta \Phi\right)(v, w) &= -\Phi(\operatorname{Ric} v, w) - \Phi(\operatorname{Ric} w, v) \\ &- 2\sum_{k=1}^m (\mathbf{s}_{M \times N} - \frac{1-c}{1+c} \mathbf{g}_{M \times N})(A(e_k, v), A(e_k, w)) \\ &- \frac{4}{1+c} \sum_{k=1}^m \left(f_t^* \mathbf{R}_N - c \mathbf{R}_M\right)(e_k, v, e_k, w) \\ &+ \frac{c'}{(1+c)^2} \mathbf{g}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ is any orthonormal frame with respect to g.

Proof. The proof is straightforward and similar to [SHS12, Lemma 3.2]. What we just need to take into account here, is that

$$\left(\nabla_{\partial_t} \mathbf{g}\right)(v, w) = -2\mathbf{g}_{M \times N}\left(H, A(v, w)\right)$$

and

$$(\nabla_{\partial_t} \mathbf{s})(v, w) = \mathbf{s}_{M \times N} (\nabla_v H, \mathrm{d}F(w)) + \mathbf{s}_{M \times N} (\nabla_w H, \mathrm{d}F(v)).$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.2. Under the assumptions made in Theorem A, the strictly area decreasing property is preserved under the mean curvature flow for any time $t \in [0, T_g)$.

Proof. The proof follows steps in our previous paper [SHS12, Subsection 3.5, Proof of Theorem D]. For the sake of completeness let us briefly describe the idea of the proof. Since the initial map is strictly area decreasing, there exists a positive number ρ_0 such that

$$s^{[2]} - \rho_0 G \ge 0.$$

Here ρ_0 is just the minimum of the smallest eigenvalue of $s^{[2]}$ at time 0. We claim now that the above inequality is preserved under the mean curvature flow. In order to show this, let us introduce the symmetric 2-tensor

$$M_{\varepsilon} := \mathbf{s}^{[2]} - \rho_0 \,\mathbf{G} + \varepsilon \, t \,\mathbf{G},$$

where ε is a positive number. Consider any $T_1 < T_g$. It suffices to show that $M_{\varepsilon} > 0$ on the interval $[0, T_1]$ for all $\varepsilon < \rho_0/T_1$. Assume in contrary that this is not true. Then, there will be a first time $t_0 \in (0, T_1)$ such that M_{ε} is non-negatively definite in $[0, t_0]$ and there is a null-eigenvector v for M_{ε} at some point (x_0, t_0) . Then, according

to the second derivative criterion (see [Ham82, Theorem 9.1]), at this point it holds

$$M_{\varepsilon}(v,v) = 0, \ (\nabla M_{\varepsilon})(v,v) = 0 \text{ and } (\nabla_{\partial_t} M_{\varepsilon} - \Delta M_{\varepsilon})(v,v) \le 0.$$

From the first condition we get that at (x_0, t_0) it holds

$$\lambda_m^2 \lambda_{m-1}^2 < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i^2 < 1,$$

for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}$. Carrying out the same estimates as in [SHS12, Subsection 3.5, Proof of Theorem D], we get that at (x_0, t_0) it holds

$$(\nabla_{\partial_t} M_{\varepsilon} - \Delta M_{\varepsilon})(v, v) \ge \varepsilon > 0$$

which is a contradiction. Thus the strictly area decreasing property is preserved under the mean curvature flow. This completes the proof of our claim. $\hfill \Box$

Proposition 3.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem A, the solution of the mean curvature flow remains a graph as long as the flow exists.

Proof. As we mentioned before, there exists a time T_g such that F_t is graphical for any t in the interval $[0, T_g)$. We claim that $T_g = T$. Arguing indirectly, let us assume that $T_g < T$ and that F_{T_g} is not graphical. Since s stays positive in time, there exists a positive universal constant ε such that

$$\frac{1 - \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)} \ge \varepsilon > 0,$$

for any $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. In particular, we have

$$\varepsilon(1+\lambda_i^2) \leq \frac{1-\lambda_i^2\lambda_j^2}{1+\lambda_j^2} \leq 1,$$

for any $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. From the above inequality we can see that

$$1 + \lambda_i^2 \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon},$$

for every index $1 \leq i \leq m$. Hence under the curvature conditions of Theorem A, the singular values of df_t are bounded by a timeindependent universal constant for every $t \in [0, T_g)$. For any fixed arbitrary $x \in M$, the continuity of u implies that

$$\begin{aligned} u(x,T_g) &= \lim_{t \nearrow T_g} u(x,t) \\ &= \lim_{t \nearrow T_g} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+\lambda_1^2(x,t))\cdots(1+\lambda_m^2(x,t))}} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$u(x, T_q) > 0$$

for any $x \in M$. This implies that the map F_{T_g} must be graphical, contradicting our initial assumption on T_g . Therefore $T_g = T$. This completes the proof.

In the next lemma we give the evolution equation of the function u. The proof can be found in [Wan02] and for this reason will be omitted.

Lemma 3.4. The function $\log u$ evolves under the mean curvature flow for $t \in [0, T)$ according to

$$\nabla_{\partial_{t}} \log u - \Delta \log u = ||A||^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{m-r+i}^{2} A_{\xi_{n-r+i}}^{2} (e_{i}, e_{k}) + 2 \sum_{1 \le k \le m} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le r} \lambda_{m-r+i} \lambda_{m-r+j} A_{\xi_{n-r+j}} (e_{i}, e_{k}) A_{\xi_{n-r+i}} (e_{j}, e_{k}) + \sum_{l,k=1}^{m} (\lambda_{l}^{2} \mathbf{R}_{M} - f^{*} \mathbf{R}_{N}) (e_{l}, e_{k}, e_{l}, e_{k}),$$

where here $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ and $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$ are the special bases defined in Subsection 2.3.

Lemma 3.5. Under the assumptions made in Theorem A, for any $t \in [0,T)$ there exists a positive number δ such that

$$\mathcal{A}: = \|A\|^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{m-r+i}^{2} A_{\xi_{n-r+i}}^{2}(e_{i}, e_{k}) + 2 \sum_{1 \le k \le m} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le r} \lambda_{m-r+i} \lambda_{m-r+j} A_{\xi_{n-r+j}}(e_{i}, e_{k}) A_{\xi_{n-r+i}}(e_{j}, e_{k}) \ge \delta \|A\|^{2}.$$

Proof. Because the strictly area decreasing property is preserved under the mean curvature flow, there exists a positive number δ such that

$$\lambda_i \lambda_j \leq 1 - \delta$$

for any $t \in [0,T)$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq r$. Thus, for any $1 \leq k \leq m$, we obtain that

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le r} |\lambda_{m-r+i}\lambda_{n-r+j}A_{\xi_{n-r+j}}(e_i, e_k)A_{\xi_{n-r+i}}(e_j, e_k)|$$

$$\le (1-\delta)\sum_{1 \le i < j \le r} |A_{\xi_{n-r+j}}(e_i, e_k)A_{\xi_{n-r+i}}(e_j, e_k)|.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &\geq \delta \|A\|^{2} + (1-\delta) \|A\|^{2} \\ &-2(1-\delta) \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} |A_{\xi_{n-r+j}}(e_{i},e_{k})A_{\xi_{n-r+i}}(e_{j},e_{k})| \\ &\geq \delta \|A\|^{2} + (1-\delta) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} A_{\xi_{n-r+i}}^{2}(e_{j},e_{k}) \\ &-2(1-\delta) \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} |A_{\xi_{n-r+j}}(e_{i},e_{k})A_{\xi_{n-r+i}}(e_{j},e_{k})| \\ &\geq \delta \|A\|^{2} \\ &+ (1-\delta) \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \left(|A_{\xi_{n-r+j}}(e_{i},e_{k})| - |A_{\xi_{n-r+i}}(e_{j},e_{k})| \right)^{2} \\ &\geq \delta \|A\|^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

The next estimate will be crucial in the proof of Theorem A. This estimate exploits a decomposition formula for the curvature components that we obtained in [SHS12]. It makes it possible to relax the curvature assumptions used in the paper by Lee and Lee [LL11] to those stated in our main theorem.

Lemma 3.6. Under the assumptions made in Theorem A we have

$$\mathcal{B} := \sum_{l,k=1}^{m} \left(\lambda_l^2 \mathbf{R}_M - f^* \mathbf{R}_N \right) (e_l, e_k, e_l, e_k) \ge 0.$$

Proof. In terms of the singular values, we get

$$\mathbf{s}(e_k, e_k) = \mathbf{g}_M(e_k, e_k) - f^* \mathbf{g}_N(e_k, e_k) = \frac{1 - \lambda_k^2}{1 + \lambda_k^2}$$

Since

$$1 = g(e_k, e_k) = g_M(e_k, e_k) + f^* g_N(e_k, e_k)$$

we derive

$$g_M(e_k, e_k) = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k^2}, \quad f^* g_N(e_k, e_k) = \frac{\lambda_k^2}{1 + \lambda_k^2}$$

and

$$2g_M(e_k, e_k) = \frac{1 - \lambda_k^2}{1 + \lambda_k^2} + 1, \quad -2f^*g_N(e_k, e_k) = \frac{1 - \lambda_k^2}{1 + \lambda_k^2} - 1.$$

Note also that for any $k \neq l$ we have

$$g_M(e_k, e_l) = f^* g_N(e_k, e_l) = g(e_k, e_l) = 0.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(l) : &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left(\lambda_{l}^{2} \mathbf{R}_{M}(e_{k}, e_{l}, e_{k}, e_{l}) - f^{*} \mathbf{R}_{N}(e_{k}, e_{l}, e_{k}, e_{l}) \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^{m} \lambda_{l}^{2} \sec_{M}(e_{k} \wedge e_{l}) \mathbf{g}_{M}(e_{k}, e_{k}) \mathbf{g}_{M}(e_{l}, e_{l}) \\ &- 2 \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^{m} \sigma_{N}(\mathrm{d}f(e_{k}) \wedge \mathrm{d}f(e_{l})) f^{*} \mathbf{g}_{N}(e_{k}, e_{k}) f^{*} \mathbf{g}_{N}(e_{l}, e_{l}). \end{aligned}$$

Here the terms $\sigma_N(df(e_k) \wedge df(e_l))$ are zero if $df(e_k)$, $df(e_l)$ are collinear and otherwise they denote the sectional curvatures on (N, g_N) of the planes $df(e_k) \wedge df(e_l)$. Now the formula for $g_M(e_k, e_k)$ implies

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(l) &= \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^{m} \left(1 + \frac{1 - \lambda_{k}^{2}}{1 + \lambda_{k}^{2}} \right) \lambda_{l}^{2} \sec_{M}(e_{k} \wedge e_{l}) g_{M}(e_{l}, e_{l}) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^{m} f^{*} g_{N}(e_{k}, e_{k}) \Big\{ \left(\lambda_{l}^{2} \sigma - \sigma_{N}(\mathrm{d}f(e_{k}) \wedge \mathrm{d}f(e_{l})) \right) f^{*} g_{N}(e_{l}, e_{l}) \\ &+ \lambda_{l}^{2} \sigma \Big(g_{M}(e_{l}, e_{l}) - f^{*} g_{N}(e_{l}, e_{l}) \Big) \Big\} \\ &- 2 \lambda_{l}^{2} \sigma \sum_{k \neq l} f^{*} g_{N}(e_{k}, e_{k}) g_{M}(e_{l}, e_{l}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^{m} \left(1 + \frac{1 - \lambda_{k}^{2}}{1 + \lambda_{k}^{2}} \right) \lambda_{l}^{2} \sec_{M}(e_{k} \wedge e_{l}) g_{M}(e_{l}, e_{l}) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^{m} \lambda_{l}^{2} f^{*} g_{N}(e_{k}, e_{k}) g_{M}(e_{l}, e_{l}) \Big(\sigma - \sigma_{N}(\mathrm{d}f(e_{k}) \wedge \mathrm{d}f(e_{l})) \Big) \\ &+ \lambda_{l}^{2} \sigma \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^{m} \left(\frac{1 - \lambda_{k}^{2}}{1 + \lambda_{k}^{2}} - 1 \right) g_{M}(e_{l}, e_{l}). \end{split}$$

We may then continue to get

$$\mathcal{B}(l) = 2\sum_{k=1, k\neq l}^{m} \lambda_l^2 f^* g_N(e_k, e_k) g_M(e_l, e_l) \Big(\sigma - \sigma_N(\mathrm{d}f(e_k) \wedge \mathrm{d}f(e_l)) \Big) + \lambda_l^2 \Big(\mathrm{Ric}_M(e_l, e_l) - (m-1)\sigma g_M(e_l, e_l) \Big) + \sum_{k=1, k\neq l}^{m} \frac{\lambda_l^2}{1 + \lambda_l^2} \frac{1 - \lambda_k^2}{1 + \lambda_k^2} \Big(\sigma_M(e_k \wedge e_l) + \sigma \Big).$$

Now we can see that

$$2\mathcal{B} = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \mathcal{B}(l)$$

$$= 2\sum_{l,k=1, k\neq l}^{m} \lambda_{l}^{2} f^{*} g_{N}(e_{k}, e_{k}) g_{M}(e_{l}, e_{l}) \Big(\sigma - \sigma_{N}(\mathrm{d}f(e_{k}) \wedge \mathrm{d}f(e_{l})) \Big)$$

$$+ \sum_{l=1}^{m} \lambda_{l}^{2} \Big(\mathrm{Ric}_{M}(e_{l}, e_{l}) - (m-1)\sigma g_{M}(e_{l}, e_{l}) \Big)$$

$$+ \sum_{1\leq k< l\leq m} \frac{(\lambda_{k} - \lambda_{l})^{2} + 2\lambda_{l}\lambda_{k}(1 - \lambda_{l}\lambda_{k})}{(1 + \lambda_{k}^{2})(1 + \lambda_{l}^{2})} \Big(\mathrm{sec}_{M}(e_{k} \wedge e_{l}) + \sigma \Big).$$

This completes the proof.

$$\nabla_{\partial_t} \log u \ge \Delta \log u + \delta \|A\|^2,$$

for some positive real number δ .

Proof. The proof follows by combining the estimates obtained in Lemma 3.5 and 3.6 with the evolution equation of $\log u$ in Lemma 3.4.

4. Proof of Theorem A

Since the area decreasing property is preserved, the solution F stays in particular graphical for any $t \in [0, T)$. Recall that from Lemma 3.7, we obtain the estimate

$$\nabla_{\partial_t} \log u \ge \Delta \log u + \delta \|A\|^2$$

for some positive number $\delta > 0$. Once this estimate is available, we may proceed exactly as in the paper by Wang and Tsui [TW04] to exclude finite time singularities. Note, that this step requires N to be

compact since both Nash's embedding theorem [Nas56] and White's regularity theorem [Whi05] for the mean curvature flow with controlled error terms (by the compactness of $M \times N$) are applied to the mean curvature flow of

$$F(M) \subset M \times N \stackrel{\text{Nash}}{\subset} \mathbb{R}^p.$$

Following the same arguments developed in the papers [Wan02, Section 4] or [LL11, Section 3], we can prove the long-time existence and the convergence of the mean curvature flow to a constant map.

References

- [CCH12] A. Chau, J. Chen, and W. He, Lagrangian mean curvature flow for entire Lipschitz graphs, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 44 (2012), no. 1-2, 199–220.
- [CCY13] A. Chau, J. Chen, and Y. Yuan, Lagrangian mean curvature flow for entire Lipschitz graphs II, Math. Ann. online first (2013).
- [CLT02] J. Chen, J. Li, and G. Tian, Two-dimensional graphs moving by mean curvature flow, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 18 (2002), no. 2, 209–224.
- [ES64] J. Eells and J.H. Sampson, Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds, Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964), 109–160.
- [EH89] K. Ecker and G. Huisken, Mean curvature evolution of entire graphs, Ann. of Math. (2) 130 (1989), no. 3, 453–471.
- [Gut07] L. Guth, Homotopy non-trivial maps with small k-dilation, arXiv:0709.1241v1 (2007), 1–7.
- [Ham82] R. Hamilton, Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, J. Differential Geom. 17 (1982), no. 2, 255–306.
- [LL11] K.-W. Lee and Y.-I. Lee, Mean curvature flow of the graphs of maps between compact manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), no. 11, 5745–5759.
- [MW11] I. Medoš and M.-T. Wang, Deforming symplectomorphisms of complex projective spaces by the mean curvature flow, J. Differential Geom. 87 (2011), no. 2, 309–341.
- [Nas56] J. Nash, The imbedding problem for Riemannian manifolds, Ann. of Math. (2) 63 (1956), 20–63.
- [SHS12] A. Savas-Halilaj and K. Smoczyk, The strong elliptic maximum principle for vector bundles and applications to minimal maps, arXiv:1205.2379v1 (2012), 1–39.
- [Smo12] K. Smoczyk, Mean curvature flow in higher codimension-Introduction and survey, Global Differential Geometry, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics 12 (2012), 231–274.
- [Smo04] _____, Longtime existence of the Lagrangian mean curvature flow, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **20** (2004), no. 1, 25–46.
- [Smo02] _____, Angle theorems for the Lagrangian mean curvature flow, Math. Z. 240 (2002), no. 4, 849–883.

- [SW02] K. Smoczyk and M.-T. Wang, Mean curvature flows of Lagrangians submanifolds with convex potentials, J. Differential Geom. 62 (2002), no. 2, 243–257.
- [TW04] M.-P. Tsui and M.-T. Wang, Mean curvature flows and isotopy of maps between spheres, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 57 (2004), no. 8, 1110–1126.
- [Wan02] M.-T. Wang, Long-time existence and convergence of graphic mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension, Invent. Math. 148 (2002), no. 3, 525–543.
- [Wan01a] _____, Mean curvature flow of surfaces in Einstein four-manifolds, J. Differential Geom. 57 (2001), no. 2, 301–338.
- [Wan01b] _____, Deforming area preserving diffeomorphism of surfaces by mean curvature flow, Math. Res. Lett. 8 (2001), no. 5-6, 651–661.
- [Whi05] B. White, A local regularity theorem for mean curvature flow, Ann. of Math. (2) 161 (2005), no. 3, 1487–1519.

Andreas Savas-Halilaj Institut für Differentialgeometrie Leibniz Universität Hannover

Welfengarten 1

30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

E-mail address: savasha@math.uni-hannover.de

KNUT SMOCZYK INSTITUT FÜR DIFFERENTIALGEOMETRIE AND RIEMANN CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER WELFENGARTEN 1 30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY *E-mail address:* smoczyk@math.uni-hannover.de