

A class of infinite dimensional stochastic processes with unbounded diffusion

John Karlsson and Jörg-Uwe Löbus

Matematiska institutionen
Linköpings universitet
SE-581 83 Linköping
Sverige

Abstract The paper studies Dirichlet forms on the classical Wiener space and the Wiener space over non-compact complete Riemannian manifolds. The diffusion operator is almost everywhere an unbounded operator on the Cameron–Martin space. In particular, it is shown that under a class of changes of the reference measure, quasi-regularity of the form is preserved. We also show that under these changes of the reference measure, derivative and divergence are closable with certain closable inverses. We first treat the case of the classical Wiener space and then we transfer the results to the Wiener space over a Riemannian manifold.

AMS subject classification (2010) 60J60, 58J65

Keywords Dirichlet form on Wiener space, Dirichlet form on Wiener space over non-compact manifold, closability, weighted Wiener measure, quasi-regularity.

1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with Dirichlet forms of type

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(F, G) &= \int \langle DF, ADG \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi d\nu \\ &= \int \left\langle DF, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle S_i, DG \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} S_i \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi d\nu, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where the diffusion operator A is in general ν -a.e. unbounded, cf. [10]. We are interested in weight functions φ of the form

$$\varphi(\gamma) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 \langle b(\gamma_s), d\gamma_s \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |b(\gamma_s)|^2 ds \right\}.$$

This choice of weight functions is motivated by the geometric setting in the papers [16, 17] by F.-Y. Wang and B. Wu. Accordingly the weight function φ will be specified in Section 6,

where we consider the above bilinear form in a geometric framework. In addition we study a weight function of similar type in the flat case. We present our ideas in terms of infinite dimensional processes on the classical Wiener space using a coordinate representation. This makes the subject comprehensible, in particular, to readers familiar with the finite dimensional theory. The form is studied on the set of smooth cylindrical functions of type

$$F, G \in Y = \{F(\gamma) = f(\gamma(s_1), \dots, \gamma(s_k)) : s_j \text{ is a dyadic point}\},$$

where γ is a Wiener trajectory. Simultaneously will also study the form on the more common set of cylindrical functions

$$F, G \in Z = \{F(\gamma) = f(\gamma(s_1), \dots, \gamma(s_k)) : s_j \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Well-definiteness of \mathcal{E} on Y is a consequence of the fact that the sum in (1.1) is finite. Well-definiteness of \mathcal{E} on Z requires the convergence of the sum in (1.1). These two different initial situations result in possibly different closures of (\mathcal{E}, Y) and (\mathcal{E}, Z) on $L^2(\varphi\nu)$. Using the coordinate representation in (1.1) we give conditions for closability. It turns out that in the classical case i.e. $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = 1$, the condition $\varphi^{-1} \in L^1(\nu)$ is sufficient for closability. However, the paper investigates forms of the structure (1.1) with an in general ν -a.e. unbounded diffusion operator. We give necessary and sufficient conditions on $\lambda_1(\gamma), \lambda_2(\gamma), \dots$ that guarantee closability of (\mathcal{E}, Y) and (\mathcal{E}, Z) on $L^2(\varphi\nu)$ in terms of the Schauder functions $S_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, the coordinate functions in the Cameron–Martin space. Locality, Dirichlet property, and quasi-regularity of the closure (\mathcal{E}, Z) on $L^2(\varphi\nu)$ is then obtained by using methods of [1, 3, 10, 11, 14].

In the paper [3] the classical quasi-regular Dirichlet form on a compact Riemannian manifold has been constructed and associated with a diffusion process.

The paper [10] extends the same idea in particular to the case of unbounded diffusion. There the form is

$$\int \langle DF, ADG \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} d\nu \tag{1.2}$$

where the operator A acts in $L^2(\nu; \mathbb{H})$, has a representation

$$A\Phi(\gamma) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle S_i, \Phi(\gamma) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} S_i,$$

and the constant diffusion coefficients λ_n are assumed to be bounded from below and possibly unbounded. It is shown that under the assumption $\lambda_n \leq cn^{1-\varepsilon}$, the form is a quasi-regular Dirichlet form and thus has an associated process.

The approach in the present paper is similar to that in [16]. Again, infinite dimensional

diffusion processes are investigated, where the diffusion is related to possibly unbounded operators. The present paper and [16] refer to the ideas of [10], however study forms of type (1.2) with non-constant diffusion coefficients. The theory in [16] is presented in a geometric framework, i.e. on the Wiener trajectories over a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold while here we first consider the form on the classical Wiener space and later turn to a geometric setting. In addition we also take particular consideration to the special case when the form is defined pointwise, constant over the trajectory space as in [10].

The operator $(A, D(A))$ in [16] is introduced as a densely defined self-adjoint operator such that

$$Af\Phi = fA\Phi \text{ for } f \in L^\infty(\nu) \text{ and } \Phi \in D(A) \text{ such that } f\Phi \in D(A) \quad (\text{A0})$$

and $A \geq \varepsilon \text{Id}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Here ν is the Wiener measure on the flat trajectory space, or trajectory space over a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold. Furthermore Id denotes the identity operator. Under (A0) the following is obtained. For f, Φ as above and $\Psi \in D(A)$ such that $f\Psi \in D(A)$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int f \int_0^1 (A\Phi)^\bullet \cdot \dot{\Psi} \, ds \, d\nu &= \int \int_0^1 \dot{\Phi}(A(f\Psi))^\bullet \, ds \, d\nu \\ &= \int f \int_0^1 \dot{\Phi}(A\Psi)^\bullet \, ds \, d\nu, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\langle A\Phi(\gamma), \Psi(\gamma) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \langle \Phi(\gamma), A\Psi(\gamma) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}},$$

for ν -a.e. $\gamma \in \Omega$. This observation suggests that

$$(A\Phi)(\gamma) = A(\gamma)\Phi(\gamma),$$

cf. assumption (2) in Remark 1.1 of [16], that is $(A\Phi)^{1/2}(\gamma) = A(\gamma)^{1/2}\Phi(\gamma)$ for ν -a.e. $\gamma \in \Omega$.

Below we specify

$$D(A) := \left\{ \Phi \in L^2(\nu; \mathbb{H}) : \int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(\gamma)^2 \langle S_i, \Phi(\gamma) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \, d\nu < \infty \right\},$$

$$A\Phi(\gamma) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(\gamma) \langle S_i, \Phi(\gamma) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} S_i, \quad \gamma \in \Omega, \quad \Phi \in D(A).$$

In [16] the form

$$\mathcal{E}_A(F, G) = \int_{W_0} \langle A^{1/2}DF, A^{1/2}DG \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \, d\mu,$$

is defined where $F, G \in \{f \in \mathcal{FC}_b^\infty : Df \in D(A^{1/2})\}$ and \mathcal{FC}_b^∞ denotes the set of cylindrical functions $\{F(\gamma) = f(\gamma(s_1), \dots, \gamma(s_k)) : s_j \in [0, 1], f \in C_b^\infty\}$. We take over this concept however in the flat case we use the cylindrical functions in Y or Z for the initial definition.

We give a necessary and sufficient condition for well-definiteness and closability of the bilinear form. In the particular case of non-decreasing eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1(\gamma) < \lambda_2(\gamma), \dots$ it reads as

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\int \lambda_{d2^p} \varphi d\nu}{2^p} < \infty.$$

In order to handle weights, φ , with respect to the Wiener measure, the paper [16] introduces geometric conditions. We use

$$\frac{f}{\varphi} \in L^1(\nu), \quad f \in Z,$$

to verify closability of the classical form, which was first treated in [3]. To show quasi-regularity this paper and [16] both use the method of [3], [10], and [14]. The proof in [16] involves a geometric result of [15]. We use alternative conditions on the manifold.

2 Definitions

We study the form on the space $L^2(\varphi\nu) \equiv L^2(\Omega, \varphi\nu)$ where $\Omega := C_0([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^d) := \{f \in C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^d), f(0) = 0\}$, ν is the Wiener measure on Ω and φ is a density function specified below. As stated earlier, the form is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(F, G) = \int \langle DF, ADG \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi d\nu, \quad F, G \in D(\mathcal{E}), \quad (2.1)$$

where \mathbb{H} is the Cameron-Martin space, i.e. the space of all absolutely continuous \mathbb{R}^d -valued functions f on $[0, 1]$, with $f(0) = 0$ and equipped with inner product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} := \int_{[0,1]} \langle \varphi'(x), \psi'(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx.$$

Motivated by [16], we suppose for proving quasi-regularity of the form that $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ has the form

$$\varphi(\gamma) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 \langle b(\gamma_s), d\gamma_s \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |b(\gamma_s)|^2 ds \right\} \quad (2.2)$$

where we choose b from two different points of view. On the one hand we are interested in closability, see Section 3. On the other hand in Section 6, these coefficients correspond to a change of the Wiener measure to a certain diffusion measure on the manifold. We define the set of all cylindrical functions

$$Z := \left\{ F(\gamma) = f(\gamma(s_1), \dots, \gamma(s_k)), \gamma \in \Omega : \right. \\ \left. 0 < s_1 < \dots < s_k = 1, f \in C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{dk}), k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

where $f \in C_p^\infty$ means that f and all its partial derivatives are smooth with polynomial growth. We also define

$$Y := \left\{ F(\gamma) = f(\gamma(s_1), \dots, \gamma(s_k)), \gamma \in \Omega : \right. \\ \left. F \in Z, s_1, \dots, s_k \in \left\{ \frac{l}{2^n} : l \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\} \right\}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

For $F \in Z$ and $\gamma \in \Omega$ the gradient operator D is defined by

$$D_s F(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^k (s_i \wedge s) (\nabla_{s_i} f)(\gamma), \quad s \in [0, 1], \quad (2.3)$$

where $(\nabla_{s_i} f)(\gamma) = (\nabla_{s_i} f)(\gamma(s_1), \dots, \gamma(s_k))$ denotes the gradient of the function f relative to the i th variable while holding the other variables fixed. We let $(e_j)_{j=1, \dots, d}$ denote the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^d and

$$H_1(t) = 1, \\ H_{2^m+k}(t) = \begin{cases} 2^{m/2} & \text{if } t \in \left[\frac{k-1}{2^m}, \frac{2k-1}{2^{m+1}} \right) \\ -2^{m/2} & \text{if } t \in \left[\frac{2k-1}{2^{m+1}}, \frac{k}{2^m} \right) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad k = 1, \dots, 2^m, m = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (2.4)$$

denote the system of the Haar functions on $[0, 1]$. We also define

$$g_{d(r-1)+j} := H_r \cdot e_j, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}, \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$S_n(s) := \int_0^s g_n(u) du, \quad s \in [0, 1], n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let

$$D(A) := \left\{ \Phi \in L^2(\nu; \mathbb{H}) : \int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(\gamma)^2 \langle S_i, \Phi(\gamma) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}^2 d\nu < \infty \right\}, \\ A\Phi(\gamma) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(\gamma) \langle S_i, \Phi(\gamma) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} S_i, \quad \gamma \in \Omega, \quad \Phi \in D(A).$$

We can then conclude that

$$\mathcal{E}(F, F) = \int \langle DF, ADF \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi d\nu = \int \left\langle DF, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle S_i, DF \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} S_i \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi d\nu \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int \lambda_i(\gamma) \langle S_i, DF(\gamma) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \varphi(\gamma) d\nu < \infty, \quad F \in Y, \quad (2.6)$$

is well defined since, for $F \in Y$, this is just a finite sum.

3 Closability of derivative, divergence, and their inverses

In this section we are interested in the closability of the derivative, the divergence, and their inverses with respect to a weighted Wiener measure. We use the standard definition of $(\delta, \text{Dom } \delta)$ found in e.g. [12]. For well-definiteness we assume that $\varphi \in L^1(\nu)$. The following lemma provides a general density result when using a weighted measure.

Lemma 3.1. Let m be a finite Borel measure over a separable metric space E and \mathbb{H} be a separable Hilbert space.

(a) Let $F \subset L^\infty(E, m)$ be dense in $L^2(E, m/\varphi)$. If $\varphi \in L^1(E, m)$ such that $1/\varphi \in L^1(E, m)$, then $G := \{f/\varphi : f \in F\}$ is dense in $L^2(E, \varphi m)$.

(b) If $F \subset L^2(E, m)$ is dense in $L^2(E, m)$ then $F_{\mathbb{H}} := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k f \cdot h_i : f \in F, h_i \in \mathbb{H}, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ is dense in $L^2(E, m; \mathbb{H})$.

(c) Let F be as in (a) and $F_{\mathbb{H}}$ be defined as in (b). Then $G_{\mathbb{H}} := \left\{ \frac{\tilde{f}}{\varphi} : \tilde{f} \in F_{\mathbb{H}} \right\}$ is dense in $L^2(E, \varphi m; \mathbb{H})$.

Proof. (a) The statement is equivalent to that $\{f/\sqrt{\varphi} : f \in F\}$ is dense in $L^2(m)$. Approximating any $\Psi \in L^2(m)$ by $f_n/\sqrt{\varphi}$ in $L^2(m)$ is equivalent to approximation of $\Psi\sqrt{\varphi} \in L^2(m/\varphi)$ by f_n in $L^2(m/\varphi)$. The statement now follows from the density of F .

(b) This is a standard result and the proof is therefore omitted.

(c) By (a), $G \subset L^2(E, \varphi m)$ is dense in $L^2(E, \varphi m)$. The statement now follows from (b) with m replaced by φm and F replaced by G . \square

Remark 3.2. We note that in Lemma 3.1 the condition $F \subset L^\infty(E, m)$ dense in $L^2(E, m/\varphi)$ can be replaced by the condition $F \subset L^\infty(E, m)$ dense in $L^2(E, m)$.

Proof. We assume $F \subset L^\infty(E, m)$ is dense in $L^2(E, m)$. Let $g \in L^2(E, m/\varphi)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ let

$$E^n := \left\{ x : \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} < n, x \in E \right\}$$

and $g_n := g \cdot \chi_{\{E^n\}}$. It follows $g_n \rightarrow g$ in $L^2(m/\varphi)$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ and thus there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|g_n - g\|_{L^2(m/\varphi)} < \varepsilon/2$ when $n \geq N$. Since $g_n \in L^2(m)$ we can find $f_n \in F$, with support on E_n , such that $\|f_n - g_n\|_{L^2(m)} < \varepsilon/2n$ and thus $\|f_n - g_n\|_{L^2(m/\varphi)} < \varepsilon/2$. It follows that $\|f_n - g\|_{L^2(m/\varphi)} < \varepsilon$, $n \geq N$ and the statement is proved. \square

We now proceed by defining $Z_{\mathbb{H}}$ as the set of all cylindrical functions with values in \mathbb{H} of the form $\sum_{i=1}^k F_j h_j$ where $F_j \in Z$, $h_j \in \mathbb{H}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We also let Z^b and $Z_{\mathbb{H}}^b$ denote the bounded counterparts to Z and $Z_{\mathbb{H}}$ respectively. We define $Z_{\mathbb{H}}^p$ as the set of \mathbb{H} -valued

cylindrical functions of form $\sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{\phi}_j h_j$ where $h_j \in \mathbb{H}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{\phi}_j$ are polynomials in $\int_0^1 H_i d\gamma$. Here H_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, are defined as in (2.4).

Lemma 3.3. If $x_n \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}$, $\mathbb{H} = L^2(T)$, $T = [0, 1]$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \psi \delta(x_n) d\nu = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

for all ψ in Z . Then

$$\langle x_n, \tilde{\phi} \rangle_{L^2(\nu; \mathbb{H})} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

for all $\tilde{\phi} \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}^p$.

Proof. We have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$x_n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} I_j(f_j^{(n)}(\cdot, t)), \quad (3.2)$$

where $f_j^{(n)} \in L^2(T^{j+1})$ where for $j \geq 1$, $f_j^{(n)}$ is symmetric in the first j variables. Thus

$$\delta(x_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} I_{j+1}(\tilde{f}_j^{(n)}),$$

where $\tilde{f}_j^{(n)}$ denotes the symmetrization of $f_j^{(n)}$. For any $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{m+1}$, we have $\phi = I_{m+1}(g)$ for some symmetric $g \in L^2(T^{m+1})$. We even assume that $\phi \in Z$. By hypothesis (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (m+1)! \langle g, \tilde{f}_m^{(n)} \rangle_{L^2(T^{m+1})} &= \int I_{m+1}(g) I_{m+1}(\tilde{f}_m^{(n)}) d\nu \\ &= \int \phi \delta(x_n) d\nu \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $g \in L^2(T^{m+1})$ is symmetric we even have

$$\langle g, f_m^{(n)} \rangle_{L^2(T^{m+1})} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For all symmetric polynomials $h \in L^2(T^m; \mathbb{H})$ we obtain

$$\langle h, f_m^{(n)}(\cdot, t) \rangle_{L^2(T^m; \mathbb{H})} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the letter t indicates the variable for the function in \mathbb{H} . Using the fact that the m th Wiener chaos is isometric to the space of symmetric functions $L_s^2(T^m)$ we get for any $\psi \in L^2(\nu; \mathbb{H})$ such that $\psi(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{H}_m$ for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$, $\psi = I_m(h)$

$$\left\langle I_m(h), I_m(f_m^{(n)}(\cdot, t)) \right\rangle_{L^2(\nu; \mathbb{H})} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

With (3.2) we obtain

$$\langle I_m(h), x_n \rangle_{L^2(\nu; \mathbb{H})} \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that

$$\langle x_n, \tilde{\phi} \rangle_{L^2(\nu; \mathbb{H})} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

for all finite linear combinations $\tilde{\phi} = \alpha_1 \psi_1 + \dots + \alpha_k \psi_k$, and some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where the functions $\psi_i \in L^2(\nu; \mathbb{H})$ are in a way that $\psi_i(\cdot, t)$ is from the i th Wiener chaos for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$, and $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. In particular we restrict ourselves to the particular case when $\psi_i, i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, have a representation of the form

$$\psi_i = \sum_{j=1}^l \tilde{\phi}_j(\gamma) \cdot h_j(t), \quad (3.3)$$

with $\tilde{\phi}_j \in \mathcal{H}_m$, $h_j \in \mathbb{H}$ and some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, since polynomials in $L^2([0, 1]^m)$ correspond to polynomials in \mathcal{H}_m we even assume $\tilde{\phi}_i$ to be polynomials in $\int_0^1 H_1 d\gamma, \dots, \int_0^1 H_m d\gamma$ where H_1, \dots, H_m are defined in (2.4). The statement now follows. \square

Corollary 3.4. Let $x_n \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ in $L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H})$ for some $x \in L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H})$, $\mathbb{H} = L^2(T)$, $T = [0, 1]$. Assume

$$\frac{f}{\varphi} \in L^1(\nu), \quad f \in Z, \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \psi \delta(x_n) d\nu = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

for all ψ in Z . Then $x = 0$.

Proof. By (3.4) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \left\langle x - x_n, \frac{\tilde{\psi}}{\varphi} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi d\nu = 0,$$

for all $\tilde{\psi} \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}$, thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \langle x_n, \tilde{\psi} \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} d\nu = \int \langle x, \tilde{\psi} \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} d\nu, \quad \tilde{\psi} \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}.$$

By Lemma 3.3 the right hand side is 0 for all $\tilde{\psi} \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}^p$. Since $Z_{\mathbb{H}}^p$ is dense in $L^2(\nu; \mathbb{H})$ the statement follows. \square

We emphasize that Corollary 3.4, which is based on Lemma 3.3, is crucial for the proof of Proposition 3.8 below. In addition we get the following proposition as an independent result.

Proposition 3.5. If (3.4) holds then $\{\frac{1}{\varphi} D\psi : \psi \in Z\}$ is a dense subset of $L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H})$.

Proof. We assume the contrary. Then we can find $x \in L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H})$ and $x_n \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with values in \mathbb{H} , such that $x \neq 0$ and $x_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} x$ in $L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H})$ and

$$\int \frac{1}{\varphi} \langle D\psi, x \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi \, d\nu = 0$$

for all $\psi \in Z$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int \left\langle \frac{D\psi}{\varphi}, x \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi \, d\nu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \left\langle \frac{D\psi}{\varphi}, x_n \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi \, d\nu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \langle D\psi, x_n \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \, d\nu \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \psi \delta(x_n) \, d\nu \end{aligned}$$

for all $\psi \in Z$. From this we get $x = 0$ by Corollary 3.4, and we have a contradiction. \square

Proposition 3.6. Assume $\varphi \in L^1(\nu)$ and (3.4) holds, i.e.

$$\frac{f}{\varphi} \in L^1(\nu), \quad f \in Z.$$

Then (\mathcal{D}, Z) defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(f, g) := \frac{1}{2} \int \langle Df, Dg \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi \, d\nu, \quad f, g \in Z,$$

is closable on $L^2(\varphi\nu)$ and we denote this closure by \mathbb{D}^1 . We also note that (D, Z) is closable as an operator $L^2(\varphi\nu) \supset Z \rightarrow L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H})$.

Proof. We observe that under (3.4), $\delta(\psi)/\varphi \in L^2(\varphi\nu)$ for $\psi \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}^b := \{\sum_{i=1}^k \phi_i h_i : k \in \mathbb{N}, \phi_i \in Z \cap L^\infty(\nu), h_i \in \mathbb{H}\}$. Now for $u_n \in Z$, $\psi \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}$ and

$$u_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\varphi\nu), \quad Du_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} f \quad \text{in } L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H}),$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int \left\langle Du_n, \frac{\psi}{\varphi} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi \, d\nu = \frac{1}{2} \int u_n \frac{\delta(\psi)}{\varphi} \varphi \, d\nu. \quad (3.6)$$

By the earlier observation the right hand side of (3.6) tends to 0. By Lemma Lemma 3.1(c), test functions of form ψ/φ , $\psi \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}^b$ are dense in $L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H})$. It follows that $f = 0$ and thus \mathcal{D} is closable on $L^2(\varphi\nu)$. \square

Proposition 3.7. If (3.4) holds then $(\delta, Z_{\mathbb{H}})$ is a closable operator in $L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H}) \supset Z_{\mathbb{H}} \rightarrow L^2(\varphi\nu)$.

Proof. Let $x_n \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}$ such that

$$x_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\varphi\nu), \quad \delta(x_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} f \quad \text{in } L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H}).$$

Then for all $y \in \{z/\varphi : z \in Z^b\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, y \rangle_{L^2(\varphi\nu)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \delta(x_n), y \rangle_{L^2(\varphi\nu)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle x_n, \frac{D(y\varphi)}{\varphi} \right\rangle_{L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H})} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

We proceed by defining equivalence classes in $L^2(\varphi\nu)$. Let $x \in L^2(\varphi\nu)$ be the representative of $\mathbf{x}(x) := \{x + c\mathbb{1} : c \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $x \in L^2(\varphi\nu)$ where $\mathbb{1}$ is the constant function taking the value 1 on Ω . We also define

$$L^2(\varphi\nu) \ominus \mathbb{1} := \{\mathbf{x}(x) : x \in L^2(\varphi\nu)\}$$

as well as $\boldsymbol{\delta}(z)$ which denotes the equivalence class of $\delta(z)$, $z \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}$. We remark that every $\mathbf{x} \in L^2(\varphi\nu) \ominus \mathbb{1}$ has a unique representative $x_0 \equiv x_0(\mathbf{x})$ with $\int x_0 \varphi d\nu = 0$. $L^2(\varphi\nu) \ominus \mathbb{1}$ becomes a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \rangle_{L^2(\varphi\nu) \ominus \mathbb{1}} := \langle x_0(\mathbf{x}_1), x_0(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle_{L^2(\varphi\nu)}, \quad \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in L^2(\varphi\nu) \ominus \mathbb{1}.$$

Proposition 3.8. Assume that (3.4) holds. Then δ^{-1} defined on $Z_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1} := \{\boldsymbol{\delta}(z) : z \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}\}$ as an operator $L^2(\varphi\nu) \ominus \mathbb{1} \supset Z_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1} \rightarrow L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H})$ is closable.

Proof. Let $y_n \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1}$ such that

$$y_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\varphi\nu) \ominus \mathbb{1}, \quad \delta^{-1}(y_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} f \quad \text{in } L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H}).$$

Let $y_n = \boldsymbol{\delta}(z_n)$ for some $z_n \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}$. Then

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}(z_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\varphi\nu) \ominus \mathbb{1}$$

which is equivalent to $x_0(\boldsymbol{\delta}(z_n)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ in $L^2(\varphi\nu)$. Noting that $x_0(\boldsymbol{\delta}(z_n)) = \delta(z_n) + c_n\mathbb{1}$ for some $c_n \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &= \langle \delta(z_n) + c_n\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1} \rangle_{L^2(\nu)} = \left\langle \delta(z_n) + c_n\mathbb{1}, \frac{\mathbb{1}}{\varphi} \right\rangle_{L^2(\varphi\nu)} \\ &= \left\langle x_0(\boldsymbol{\delta}(z_n)), \frac{\mathbb{1}}{\varphi} \right\rangle_{L^2(\varphi\nu)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing we get

$$\delta(z_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\varphi\nu) \quad \text{and} \quad z_n = \delta^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\delta}(z_n)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} f \quad \text{in } L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H}),$$

and conclude

$$\int \psi \delta(z_n) = \left\langle \frac{\psi}{\varphi}, \delta(z_n) \right\rangle_{L^2(\varphi\nu)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$$

for all $\psi \in Z$. By Corollary 3.4 we have $f = 0$. The statement follows. □

In order to have a well defined inverse of the gradient operator, we define equivalence classes in Z ,

$$Z^e := \{\mathbf{x}(x) : x \in Z\},$$

and

$$D^e \mathbf{x} \equiv D^e \mathbf{x}(x) := Dx, \quad \mathbf{x} \in Z^e.$$

D^e is invertible on Z^e . We denote the inverse by D^{e-1} and the corresponding domain by $Z^{e-1} := \{D^e(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in Z^e\}$.

Proposition 3.9. Assume (3.4). The operator (D^{e-1}, Z^{e-1}) as an operator $L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H}) \supset Z^{e-1} \rightarrow L^2(\varphi\nu) \ominus \mathbf{1}$ is closable.

Proof. Let $x_n \in Z^{e-1}$ such that

$$x_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H}), \quad D^{e-1}(x_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} f \quad \text{in } L^2(\varphi\nu) \ominus \mathbf{1}.$$

For $x_n = D^e \mathbf{x}_n$, $\mathbf{x}_n \in Z^e$, and all $\mathbf{y} \in \tilde{Y} := \{\mathbf{x}(y) : y\varphi = \delta(z), \text{ for some } z \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}^b\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{L^2(\varphi\nu) \ominus \mathbf{1}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_0(D^{e-1}x_n), x_0(\mathbf{y}) \rangle_{L^2(\varphi\nu)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_0(\mathbf{x}_n), x_0(\mathbf{y})\varphi \rangle_{L^2(\nu)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_0(\mathbf{x}_n), \delta(z) \rangle_{L^2(\nu)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle D^e(\mathbf{x}_n), z \rangle_{L^2(\nu; \mathbb{H})} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle x_n, \frac{z}{\varphi} \right\rangle_{L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H})} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

We now show that \tilde{Y} is dense in $L^2(\varphi\nu) \ominus \mathbf{1}$. Since $0 = E \left[\frac{f}{\varphi} \delta(z) \right]$, $z \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}^b$ implies $f = c \cdot \varphi$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain that $\{\delta(z) + c\mathbf{1} : c \in \mathbb{R}, z \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}^b\}$ is dense in $L^2(\nu)$. Now Lemma 3.1 says that $\left\{ \frac{\delta(z) + c\mathbf{1}}{\varphi} : c \in \mathbb{R}, z \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}^b \right\}$ is dense in $L^2(\varphi\nu)$. Using the fact that

$$\int \frac{\delta(z) + c\mathbf{1}}{\varphi} \varphi d\nu = 0, \quad z \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}^b$$

yields that $c = 0$, it follows that $\left\{ \frac{\delta(z)}{\varphi} : z \in Z_{\mathbb{H}}^b \right\}$ is dense in $L^2(\varphi\nu) \ominus \{c\mathbf{1} : c \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Thus \tilde{Y} is dense in $L^2(\varphi\nu) \ominus \mathbf{1}$. (3.7) shows that $f = 0$ and the claim follows. \square

Remark 3.10. Under the condition (3.4), i.e.

$$\frac{f}{\varphi} \in L^1(\nu), \quad f \in Z,$$

the operators D , δ , D^{e-1} and δ^{-1} are closable in the sense of Propositions 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, and 3.9.

4 Closability of the bilinear form

We formulate the following lemma that serves an important role in proving and formulating the closability conditions of the form.

Lemma 4.1. If $s_k = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \cdot 2^{-i}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and

$$i_{p,j} \equiv i_{p,j}(c_0, c_1, \dots) := \begin{cases} 2^{p-1}(d+j-1) + 1 + \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} c_q 2^{p-q-1}, & p \geq 1, \\ j, & p = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_{r-1} \in \{0, 1\}$, $c_r = 1$, $c_0 = c_{r+1} = 0$ and $r \geq 2$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle S_i(s_k), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 = \lambda_j s_k^2 + \sum_{p=1}^r \lambda_{i_{p,j}} 2^{p-1} \left(c_p 2^{-p} + (-1)^{c_p} \sum_{q=p+1}^{r+1} 2^{-q} c_q \right)^2.$$

(b) The relation

$$\sup_{\substack{c_1, \dots, c_{r-1} \in \{0,1\}, \\ c_r=1, c_{r+1}=0, \\ r \geq 2}} \int \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^r \lambda_{i_{p,j}} 2^{p-1} \left(c_p 2^{-p} + (-1)^{c_p} \sum_{q=p+1}^{r+1} 2^{-q} c_q \right)^2 \varphi d\nu < \infty \quad (4.1)$$

is equivalent to

$$\sup_{c_1, c_2, \dots \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{\int \lambda_{i_{p,j}} \varphi d\nu}{2^p} < \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Since $e_j \perp S_i$ for $i \bmod d \neq j$ and $S_i(s_k) = 0$ for $i > d2^r$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle S_i(s_k), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 = \lambda_j \langle S_j(s_k), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2^r} \lambda_{di+j} \langle S_{di+j}(s_k), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2.$$

Now since

$$\lambda_j \langle S_j(s_k), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 = \lambda_j s_k^2,$$

and

$$S_{di+j}(s_k) = 0$$

unless $di + j = 2^{p-1}(d + j - 1) + 1 + \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} c_q 2^{p-q-1}$ for some $p \geq 1$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{2^r} \lambda_{di+j} \langle S_{di+j}(s_k), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^r \lambda_{i_{p,j}} \langle S_{i_{p,j}}(s_k), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_{i_{p,j}}(s_k), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} &= \begin{cases} 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{q=p+1}^{r+1} 2^{-q} c_q & \text{if } c_p = 0 \\ 2^{-\frac{p+1}{2}} - 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{q=p+1}^{r+1} 2^{-q} c_q & \text{if } c_p = 1 \end{cases} \\ &= 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(c_p 2^{-p} + (-1)^{c_p} \sum_{q=p+1}^{r+1} 2^{-q} c_q \right), \end{aligned}$$

and thus the statement follows.

(b) Assuming (4.1) and recalling that $\lambda > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\substack{c_1, \dots, c_{r-1} \in \{0,1\}, \\ c_r = 1, c_{r+1} = 0, \\ j \in \{1, \dots, d\}, r \geq 2}} \int \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^r \lambda_{i_{p,j}} 2^{p-1} \left(c_p 2^{-p} + (-1)^{c_p} \sum_{q=p+1}^{r+1} 2^{-q} c_q \right)^2 \varphi d\nu \\ & \geq \sup_{c_1, c_2, \dots \in \{0,1\}} \int \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i_{p,j}} 2^{p-1} (2^{-p-1})^2 \varphi d\nu \\ & = \sup_{c_1, c_2, \dots \in \{0,1\}} \frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{\int \lambda_{i_{p,j}} \varphi d\nu}{2^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming (4.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\substack{c_1, \dots, c_{r-1} \in \{0,1\}, \\ c_r = 1, c_{r+1} = 0, \\ r \geq 2}} \int \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^r \lambda_{i_{p,j}} 2^{p-1} \left(c_p 2^{-p} + (-1)^{c_p} \sum_{q=p+1}^{r+1} 2^{-q} c_q \right)^2 \varphi d\nu \\ & \leq \sup_{c_1, c_2, \dots \in \{0,1\}} \int \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i_{p,j}} 2^{p-1} (2^{-p})^2 \varphi d\nu \\ & = \sup_{c_1, c_2, \dots \in \{0,1\}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{\int \lambda_{i_{p,j}} \varphi d\nu}{2^p}. \end{aligned}$$

The statement now follows. \square

Remark 4.2. We are most interested in investigating the degree of increase for the eigenvalues. Keeping this in mind, it makes sense to assume that the sequence of eigenvalues is non-decreasing $0 < \lambda_1(\gamma) < \lambda_2(\gamma), \dots$. Under this condition, relation (4.2) simplifies to

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\int \lambda_{d2^p} \varphi d\nu}{2^p} < \infty.$$

Proposition 4.3. Let φ satisfy (3.4) and assume that $A \geq \varepsilon \text{Id}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

(a) The form (\mathcal{E}, Y) is closable in $L^2(\varphi\nu)$. Let $(\mathcal{E}, D_Y(\mathcal{E}))$ denote the closure of (\mathcal{E}, Y) in

$L^2(\varphi\nu)$.

(b) If

$$\sup_{c_1, c_2, \dots \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\int \lambda_{i_{p,j}} \varphi d\nu}{2^p} < \infty, \quad (4.3)$$

where $i_{p,v}$ and c_1, c_2, \dots are constructed as in Lemma 4.1, then (\mathcal{E}, Z) is closable and we let $(\mathcal{E}, D_Z(\mathcal{E}))$ denote the closure of (\mathcal{E}, Z) on $L^2(\varphi\nu)$. Furthermore $D_Z(\mathcal{E}) = D_Y(\mathcal{E})$ under (4.3).

(c) $Z \subset D_Y(\mathcal{E})$ if and only if (4.3).

(d) If (\mathcal{E}, Z) is closable in $L^2(\varphi\nu)$ then (4.3) holds.

Proof. (a) We have

$$\mathcal{E}(F, F) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int \lambda_i \langle S_i, DF \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \varphi d\nu = \int \langle A^{1/2} DF, A^{1/2} DF \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi d\nu.$$

Suppose $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset Y$ such that $F_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ in $L^2(\varphi\nu)$ and $\mathcal{E}(F_n - F_m, F_n - F_m) \rightarrow 0$. Since it follows that $A^{1/2} DF_n$ is Cauchy in $L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H})$ we may define

$$\psi := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^{1/2} DF_n.$$

We also define

$$JG := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-1/2} \langle S_i, G \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} S_i \quad G \in L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H}). \quad (4.4)$$

The operator J is bounded on $L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H})$ and it follows

$$DF_n = JA^{1/2} DF_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} J\psi \text{ in } L^2(\varphi\nu; \mathbb{H}).$$

From Proposition 3.6, it is known that (\mathcal{D}, Z) is closable on $L^2(\varphi\nu)$. It follows that $DF_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ and thus $J\psi = 0$. Since $\lambda_i > 0$ and $\lambda_i^{-1/2} > 0$, (4.4) gives $\psi = 0$. Now $A^{1/2} DF_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ and thus $\mathcal{E}(F_n, F_n) = \int \langle A^{1/2} DF_n, A^{1/2} DF_n \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi d\nu \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(b) We show that (4.3) implies $Z \subset D_Y(\mathcal{E})$. Then $Y \subset Z \subset D_Y(\mathcal{E})$. Since (\mathcal{E}, Y) is closable with closure $(\mathcal{E}, D_Y(\mathcal{E}))$, cf. (a), (\mathcal{E}, Z) is then also closable and has $(\mathcal{E}, D_Y(\mathcal{E}))$ as its closure, i.e. $D_Y(\mathcal{E}) = D_Z(\mathcal{E})$.

Let $x^v(p)$ denote the v th coordinate of $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $v \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Let us demonstrate that $F(\gamma) = x^v(\gamma(s)) \in D_Y(\mathcal{E})$ for all $v \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and all $s \in [0, 1]$. Fix $s \in [0, 1]$, $v \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, and let $s_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} s$ where s_k is a sequence of dyadic numbers. Now let

$$F_{v,k}(\gamma) := x^v(\gamma(s_k)) \in Y \subset D_Y(\mathcal{E}), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We aim to apply Lemma 4.1. By (4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(\gamma) \langle S_i, DF(\gamma) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \varphi d\nu &= \int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(\gamma) \langle S_i(s), e_v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 \varphi d\nu \\
&\leq \sup_k \int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(\gamma) \langle S_i(s_k), e_v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 \varphi d\nu \\
&\leq \sum_{v=1}^d \int \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{i_{p,v}}}{2^p} \varphi d\nu < \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Furthermore $F_{v,k} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} F$ in $L^2(\varphi\nu)$ and

$$\int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(\gamma) \langle S_i, D(F - F_{v,k})(\gamma) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \varphi d\nu \leq \int \sum_{i=n_k}^{\infty} \lambda_i(\gamma) \langle S_i, DF(\gamma) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \varphi d\nu$$

for some sequence $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This says $F_{v,k} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} F$ in \mathcal{E}_1 -norm and $F \in D_Y(\mathcal{E})$, where we recall that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}_1}^2 = \mathcal{E}(\cdot, \cdot) + \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\varphi\nu)}^2$. Indeed, the same conclusion applies to an arbitrary $F \in Z$ with $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma(s_1), \dots, \gamma(s_k))$, $s_1, \dots, s_k \in [0, 1]$ since because of (2.3) we have

$$\langle S_i, DF(\gamma) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i'=1}^k \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i',j}}(\gamma(s_1), \dots, \gamma(s_k)) \langle S_i(s_{i'}), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}.$$

We then apply (2.6) and (4.5).

(c) Recalling (b), we still have to show that $Z \subset D_Y(\mathcal{E})$ implies (4.3).

We suppose $Z \subset D_Y(\mathcal{E})$. Therefore $x_v(\gamma(s)) \in D_Y(\mathcal{E})$ for all $v \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and all $s \in [0, 1]$.

First we check the expression of Lemma 4.1 for all dyadic points s_k . To get a bound on

$$\int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle S_i(s), e_v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 \varphi d\nu,$$

when $s \in [0, 1]$ is no longer dyadic, we need (4.3). The statement follows.

(d) (Z, \mathcal{E}) closable in $L^2(\varphi\nu)$ implies $\mathcal{E}_1(F, F) < \infty$ for all $F \in Z$, in particular for $F(\gamma) = x^v(\gamma(s))$ for all $v \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and all $s \in [0, 1]$. We have

$$\mathcal{E}_1(F, F) = \|F\|_{L^2(\varphi\nu)}^2 + \int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle S_i(s), e_v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 \varphi d\nu < \infty.$$

Thus $\int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle S_i(s), e_v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 \varphi d\nu < \infty$ for all $v \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and all $s \in [0, 1]$. Now Lemma 4.1(a) and (b) implies (4.3). \square

Proposition 4.4. Let φ satisfy (3.4) and (4.3), and assume $A \geq \varepsilon \text{Id}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the form $(\mathcal{E}, D_Z(\mathcal{E}))$ is a Dirichlet form on $L^2(\varphi\nu)$.

Proof. We use Proposition I.4.10 from [11]. It follows that we must show $\mathcal{E}(1 \wedge F^+, 1 \wedge F^+) \leq \mathcal{E}(F, F)$. We know that for $F \in Y$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(F, F) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int \lambda_i \langle S_i, DF \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \varphi d\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int \lambda_i (\partial_{S_i}, F)^2 \varphi d\nu \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int \lambda_i(\gamma) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} F(\gamma + tS_i) \right)^2 \varphi d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\xi_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon]$ be non-decreasing such that $\xi_\varepsilon(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $0 \leq \xi'_\varepsilon \leq 1$. It follows that $\xi_\varepsilon \circ F \rightarrow 1 \wedge F^+$. An application of the chain rule gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\xi_\varepsilon \circ F, \xi_\varepsilon \circ F) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int \lambda_i(\gamma) \cdot \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \xi_\varepsilon \circ F(\gamma + tS_i) \right)^2 \varphi d\nu \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int \lambda_i(\gamma) \cdot \xi'_\varepsilon(F(\gamma)) \cdot \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} F(\gamma + tS_i) \right)^2 \varphi d\nu \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int \lambda_i(\gamma) \cdot 1 \cdot \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} F(\gamma + tS_i) \right)^2 \varphi d\nu \\ &= \mathcal{E}(F, F), \end{aligned}$$

from which we derive $\mathcal{E}(1 \wedge F^+, 1 \wedge F^+) \leq \mathcal{E}(F, F)$. Thus \mathcal{E} is a Dirichlet form. \square

Proposition 4.5. Let φ satisfy (3.4) and (4.3), and assume $A \geq \varepsilon \text{Id}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the form $(\mathcal{E}, D_Z(\mathcal{E}))$ is local.

Proof. This is shown in the same manner as in Proposition 3.4 of [10] using the fact that ν -a.e. implies $\varphi\nu$ -a.e. \square

5 Quasi-regularity

In this section we consider weight functions φ^+ and φ^- of the form

$$\varphi^+(\gamma) := \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 \langle b_s(\gamma), d\gamma_s \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |b_s(\gamma)|^2 ds \right\}, \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\varphi^-(\gamma) := \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 \langle -b_s(\gamma), d\gamma_s \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |b_s(\gamma)|^2 ds \right\}, \quad (5.2)$$

where $b_s(\gamma)$ is adapted to the natural filtration of the Wiener process. Under the condition

$$\frac{f}{\varphi^+} \in L^1(\nu), \text{ and } \frac{f}{\varphi^-} \in L^1(\nu), \quad (5.3)$$

for all $f \in Z$, the closability results of Sections 3 and 4 hold for φ^+ as well as for φ^- .

Lemma 5.1. Let φ^+ , and φ^- be defined as in (5.1), and (5.2). If (5.3) holds then we have the Novikov condition

$$E \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |b_s(\gamma)|^2 ds \right\} \right] < \infty.$$

In particular, $E[\varphi^+] = E[\varphi^-] = 1$.

Proof. We denote

$$\alpha := \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 \langle b_s(\gamma), d\gamma_s \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} \right\} \text{ and } \beta := \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |b_s(\gamma)|^2 ds \right\}.$$

By (5.3) we have $E[\alpha/\beta] < \infty$ and $E[1/\alpha\beta] < \infty$. Thus

$$\infty > E \left[\left(\chi_{\{\alpha < 1\}} \frac{1}{\alpha} + \chi_{\{\alpha \geq 1\}} \alpha \right) / \beta \right] \geq E[1/\beta].$$

The claim follows. □

To simplify notation φ^+ will be hereafter be denoted by φ .

Proposition 5.2. Suppose (5.3) and the hypotheses of Proposition 4.3, namely (3.4), (4.3), and $A > \varepsilon I$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. For $\varphi = \varphi^+$ and for $\varphi = \varphi^-$, the closure of

$$\mathcal{E}(F, F) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int \lambda_i \langle S_i, DF \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \varphi d\nu, \quad F \in Z,$$

in $L^2(\varphi\nu)$, is quasi-regular.

Proof. We prove the claim for $\varphi = \varphi^+$. The case $\varphi = \varphi^-$ is similar. To simplify notation we write φ for φ^+ . We follow [10], see also [3, 14].

Step 1: For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $l \in \{0, \dots, 2^{r-1} - 1\}$, and $k = 2^{r-1} + l$, set $s_k := (2l + 1)2^{-r}$. Let $x^v(p)$ denote the v th coordinate of $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $v \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Fix $\tau \in \Omega$, $k = 2^{r-1} + l$, and $v \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Consider the functions $f_{v,k,\tau}(p) := x^v(p) - x^v(\tau(s_k))$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and

$$F_{v,k,\tau}(\gamma) := f_{v,k,\tau}(\gamma(s_k)) = x^v(\gamma(s_k)) - x^v(\tau(s_k)), \quad \gamma \in \Omega;$$

we have $F_{v,k,\tau} \in Y$. Using the procedure of Proposition 4.3(b) we get

$$\mathcal{E}(F_{v,k,\tau}, F_{v,k,\tau}) \leq C_1 < \infty \tag{5.4}$$

where C_1 the bound obtained from (4.5).

Step 2: Let

$$G_{n,\tau}(\gamma) \equiv G_{n,\tau} := \sup_{\substack{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ v \in \{1, \dots, d\}}} |F_{v,k,\tau}|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(G_{n,\tau}, G_{n,\tau}) &\leq \sup_{\substack{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ v \in \{1, \dots, d\}}} \int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(\gamma) \langle S_i, DF_{v,k,\tau}(\gamma) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \varphi d\nu \\ &\leq C_1 < \infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

as in [10], Step 2 of the proof of Proposition 3.3 together with Lemma 3.2 of [10]. We show $G_{n,\tau} \in L^2(\varphi\nu)$. Define

$$(T\gamma)_t := \gamma_t - \int_0^t \left(b_s^v(\gamma) \right)_{v=1, \dots, d} ds,$$

where b is from (5.1) such that (5.3) is satisfied and b^v denotes the v th coordinate. By Lemma 5.1 and the Girsanov theorem $(T\gamma)_t$ is a Brownian motion under $\varphi\nu$, cf. Theorem 3.5.1 and Corollary 3.5.13 in [9]. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (T^{-1}\gamma)_t^v &= \gamma_t^v + \int_0^t b_s^v(T^{-1}\gamma) ds = \left(\frac{1}{2} \gamma_t^v + \int_0^t \chi_{\{b_s^v(T^{-1}\gamma) > 0\}} b_s^v(T^{-1}\gamma) ds \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} \gamma_t^v + \int_0^t \chi_{\{b_s^v(T^{-1}\gamma) \leq 0\}} b_s^v(T^{-1}\gamma) ds \right) =: M_1^v(t) - M_2^v(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $M_1^v \equiv M_1^v(\gamma)$ and $M_2^v \equiv M_2^v(\gamma)$ are submartingales. For the next calculation we remind of the fact that γ is a random element while τ is non-random and fixed. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E [G_{n,\tau}^2 \varphi] &= E \left[\left(\sup_{\substack{v \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\ s \in [0,1]}} |x^v(\gamma_s) - x^v(\tau_s)| \right)^2 \varphi \right] \\ &\leq 2E \left[\left(\sup_{\substack{v \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\ s \in [0,1]}} |x^v(\gamma_s)| \right)^2 \varphi \right] + 2 \sup_{\substack{v \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\ s \in [0,1]}} |x^v(\tau_s)|^2 \\ &= 2E \left[\sup_{\substack{v \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\ s \in [0,1]}} |x^v(T^{-1}\gamma_s)|^2 \right] + C_2(\tau) \\ &\leq 4E \left[\sum_{v=1}^d \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |M_1^v(s)|^2 + \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |M_2^v(s)|^2 \right] + C_2(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Using Doob's inequality we get

$$E [G_{n,\tau}^2 \varphi] \leq 16 \sum_{v=1}^d E [|M_1^v(1)|^2] + 16 \sum_{v=1}^d E [|M_2^v(1)|^2] + C_2(\tau). \tag{5.6}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
E [|M_1^v(1)|^2] &= E \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \gamma_1^v + \int_0^1 \chi_{\{b_s^v(T^{-1}\gamma) > 0\}} b_s^v(T^{-1}\gamma) ds \right)^2 \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} E[(\gamma_1^v)^2] + 2E \left[\left(\int_0^1 |b_s^v(T^{-1}\gamma)| ds \right)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + 2E \left[\left(\int_0^1 |b_s^v(\gamma)| ds \right)^2 \varphi(\gamma) \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} + 2E \left[\int_0^1 |b_s^v(\gamma)|^2 ds \varphi(\gamma) \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} + 2E \left[\exp \left\{ \int_0^1 |b_s^v(\gamma)|^2 ds \right\} \varphi(\gamma) \right] = \frac{1}{2} + 2E \left[\frac{1}{\varphi^-} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By (5.3) this implies $E [|M_1^v(1)|^2] < \infty$. The same calculation holds for $E [|M_2^v(1)|^2]$ which gives by (5.6)

$$E [G_{n,\tau}^2 \varphi] \leq C_3(\tau), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (5.7)$$

for some positive number C_3 which depends on τ . By (5.5) and (5.7) we have

$$\mathcal{E}_1(G_{n,\tau}, G_{n,\tau}) < C_1 + C_3(\tau) < \infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Step 3: We recall again that for all $\tau \in \Omega$ the sequence $(G_{n,\tau})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $G_{n,\tau} \leq G_{n+1,\tau}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By using Lemma I.2.12 of [11] we observe that the function

$$H_\tau(\gamma) := \sup_{\substack{s \in [0,1] \\ v \in \{1, \dots, d\}}} |x^v(\gamma(s)) - x^v(\tau(s))|, \quad \gamma \in \Omega,$$

belongs to $D(\mathcal{E})$, and that $\mathcal{E}(H_\tau, H_\tau) \leq C_1$ as well as

$$\mathcal{E}_1(H_\tau, H_\tau) < C_1 + C_3(\tau) < \infty.$$

Let $\{\tau_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a dense set in Ω where we have chosen $\tau_1 = \tau$. Set

$$K_n := \inf_{1 \leq k \leq n} H_{\tau_k}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.8)$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\mathcal{E}(K_n, K_n) \leq C_1$ using [10], Lemma 3.2, or [11], Lemma IV.4.1. Thus

$$\mathcal{E}_1(K_n, K_n) \leq C_1 + C_3(\tau), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.9)$$

Using Lemma I.2.12 of [11] we obtain $K_n \in D(\mathcal{E})$. Furthermore K_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is bounded in $(D(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{E}_1^{1/2})$ by (5.9). We apply the Banach-Saks theorem in the form of Lemma I.2.12 of

[11], which states that every bounded sequence in $(D(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{E}_1^{1/2})$, has a subsequence whose Cesaro means, \bar{K}_{n_k} , converge strongly. Because $\|K_n\|_{L^2(\nu)}$ decreases in $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_1(\bar{K}_{n_k}, \bar{K}_{n_k}) = 0$$

since $\{\tau_k\}$ is dense and $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ is closed. As K_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is continuous, we may use Proposition 3.5 of [11] Chapter III, from which it follows that there exists a subsequence \bar{K}_{n_l} , $l \in \mathbb{N}$ of \bar{K}_{n_k} , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and an \mathcal{E} -nest F_m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that \bar{K}_{n_l} converges uniformly to zero on each F_m as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Since K_n is decreasing this holds even on the whole original sequence K_n . Let us follow an idea of [14], proof of Proposition 3.1. Given $\delta > 0$ we can find n such that $K_n < \delta$. We have

$$F_m \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(\tau_i, \delta),$$

by (5.8), where $B(x, \delta)$ denotes the ball of radius δ centered at x . Now it follows that each F_m is totally bounded. F_m closed and totally bounded implies F_m compact. Thus F_m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$ forms an \mathcal{E} -nest consisting of compact sets.

Step 4: For fixed $\tau \in \Omega$, the system of functions $F_{v,k,\tau}$, $v \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ separates the points in Ω , Step 3 showed that there is an \mathcal{E} -nest consisting of compact sets and the form is a Dirichlet form by Proposition 4.5. Quasi-regularity now follows from its definition. \square

Remark 5.3. We observe that to obtain (5.4) we need the condition (4.3). We recall that by Proposition 4.3(b) we have $(\mathcal{E}, D_Y(\mathcal{E})) = (\mathcal{E}, D_Z(\mathcal{E}))$.

Corollary 5.4. There exists a diffusion process properly associated with $(\mathcal{E}, D_Z(\mathcal{E}))$.

Proof. The result is an immediate consequence of Propositions 4.5 and 5.2 using Theorem IV.3.5 of [11]. \square

6 Transferring the results to a geometric setting

Let M be a connected geometrically and stochastically complete manifold in the sense of [7, 8]. As in our main reference, paper [16], we assume that the manifold is torsion free. We study the form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\hat{F}, \hat{G}) &= \int \left\langle \hat{D}\hat{F}, A\hat{D}\hat{G} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \hat{\varphi} d\hat{\nu} \\ &= \int \left\langle \hat{D}\hat{F}, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \left\langle S_i, \hat{D}\hat{G} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} S_i \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \hat{\varphi} d\hat{\nu}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where $\hat{\nu}$ is the Wiener measure on the path space $P_{m_0}(M) := \{\hat{\gamma} \in C([0, 1]; M) : \hat{\gamma}(0) = m_0\}$, $m_0 \in M$ and $\hat{\varphi}$ is a weight function we wish to specify. We mention that similar forms and operators have been considered in [2, 16, 7].

More precisely let $P_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv \Omega := \{\gamma \in C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^d) : \gamma(0) = 0\}$, $I : P_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow P_{m_0}(M)$ be the Itô map and $\hat{\nu}$ be the image measure of the Wiener measure on $P_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ under I . In order to recall the construction of the Itô map let $O(M)$ denote the orthonormal frame bundle with respect to M , π be the canonical projection $O(M) \rightarrow M$ and H_1, \dots, H_d be the canonical horizontal vector fields. Choose $r_0 \in O(M)$ such that $\pi(r_0) = m_0$. We introduce r_γ as the solution to the Stratonovich SDE

$$\begin{cases} \partial r_\gamma(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^d H_i(r_\gamma(t)) \partial x_i, & t \in [0, 1], \\ r_\gamma(0) &= r_0, \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

$\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d) \in P_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This defines a.e. a mapping $I : P_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow P_{m_0}(M)$ by $I(\gamma)(t) := \pi(r_\gamma(t))$, $\gamma \in P_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, the Itô map. We also denote by $\mathbf{T}_x M$ as the tangent space of M at the point $x \in M$.

The following paragraph provides compatability with [16]. Let $K \in C([0, \infty))$ such that

$$\text{Ric}(X, X) \geq -K(r)|X|^2, \quad X \in \mathbf{T}_x M, \quad x \in B(m_0, r), \quad r > 0, \quad (6.3)$$

where $B(m_0, r)$ is the geodesic ball at m_0 with radius r . Let ρ denote the Riemannian distance on M and $\rho_{m_0}(x) := \rho(x, m_0)$, $x \in M$. We assume that there are constants $c_1, c_2, r_1 > 0$ such that the following conditions hold,

$$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(d-1)K(r)} \leq c_1 r, \quad r \geq r_1,$$

and

$$|\text{Ric}(X, Y)|^p \leq c_2 \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} e^{-1-2c_1} \rho_{m_0}(x) \right\} \quad (6.4)$$

for some $p \geq 2$ and $x \in M$, $X, Y \in \mathbf{T}_x M$, $|X| = |Y| = 1$. Following the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [16] word for word we obtain

$$E \left[\int_0^1 \|\text{Ric}_{r_\gamma(t)}\|^p dt \right] < \infty \quad (6.5)$$

where, as in [16], $\text{Ric}_{r_\gamma(t)} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined by

$$\langle \text{Ric}_{r_\gamma(t)}(a), b \rangle := \text{Ric}(r_\gamma(t)a, r_\gamma(t)b), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

In particular this provides the well-definiteness in $L^2(\hat{\nu})$ of the adjoint directional derivative \hat{D}_h^* below. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Z} := & \left\{ \hat{F}(\hat{\gamma}) = \hat{f}(\hat{\gamma}(s_1), \dots, \hat{\gamma}(s_k)), \hat{\gamma} \in P_{m_0}(M) : \right. \\ & \left. 0 < s_1 < \dots < s_k = 1, \hat{f} \in C_b^\infty(M^k), k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Y} := & \left\{ \hat{F}(\hat{\gamma}) = \hat{f}(\hat{\gamma}(s_1), \dots, \hat{\gamma}(s_k)), \hat{\gamma} \in P_{m_0}(M) : \right. \\ & \left. \hat{F} \in \hat{Z}, s_1, \dots, s_k \in \left\{ \frac{l}{2^n} : l \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\} \right\}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

For $\hat{F} \in \hat{Y}$ and \hat{f} as in (6.7) we introduce $(\nabla_{s_i} \hat{f})(\bar{\gamma}) := \nabla_{s_i} \hat{f}(\hat{\gamma}(s_1), \dots, \hat{\gamma}(s_k))$ as the gradient of the function \hat{f} relative to the i th variable while keeping the other variables fixed. We note that $(\nabla_{s_i} \hat{f})(\bar{\gamma})$ is an element of the tangent space $\mathbf{T}_{\hat{\gamma}(s_i)}$ at $\hat{\gamma}(s_i)$. We now define

$$\hat{D}_s \hat{F}(\hat{\gamma}) := \sum_{i=1}^k s \wedge s_i \cdot r_{I^{-1}(\hat{\gamma})}^{-1}(s_i) (\nabla_{s_i} \hat{f})(\bar{\gamma}), \quad s \in [0, 1], \hat{\gamma} \in P_{m_0}(M),$$

where r is defined in (6.2). We also introduce

$$\hat{D}_s \hat{F}(\gamma) := \sum_{i=1}^k \chi_{[0, s_i]}(s) \left(T_{0 \leftarrow s_i}^\gamma (\nabla_{s_i} \hat{f})(\bar{\gamma}) \right), \quad s \in [0, 1], \hat{\gamma} \in P_{m_0}(M).$$

In addition as in [6] we define the damped version

$$\tilde{D}_s \hat{F}(\gamma) := \sum_{i=1}^k \chi_{[0, s_i]}(s) \left(Q_{s_i, s}^* T_{0 \leftarrow s_i}^\gamma (\nabla_{s_i} \hat{f})(\bar{\gamma}) \right), \quad s \in [0, 1], \hat{\gamma} \in P_{m_0}(M), \quad (6.8)$$

where Q^* denotes the adjoint of $Q_{s,t} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, which is in [6] defined by

$$\frac{dQ_{s,t}}{ds} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}_{r_\gamma(s)} Q_{s,t}, \quad Q_{t,t} = \text{Id}_{\mathbf{T}_{m_0}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq s,$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{dQ_{s,t}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} Q_{s,t} \text{Ric}_{r_\gamma(t)}, \quad Q_{s,s} = \text{Id}_{\mathbf{T}_{m_0}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq s, \quad (6.9)$$

cf. also [4, 5]. Finally for $h \in \mathbb{H}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \in [0, 1]$, $\hat{\gamma} \in P_{m_0}$, and σ being the solution to the geometric flow equation (see [3],[8],[7])

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(t, s) = T_{s \leftarrow 0}^{\sigma^h(t, \cdot)(\hat{\gamma})} r_0 h(s), \\ \sigma^h(0, s)(\hat{\gamma}) = \hat{\gamma}(s), \end{cases}$$

we define the directional derivative

$$\hat{D}_h \hat{F} := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\hat{F}(\sigma^h(t)) - \hat{F}}{t}, \quad \hat{F} \in \hat{Y}.$$

It is known that $\hat{D}_h \hat{F} = \langle \hat{D}\hat{F}, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$ $\hat{\nu}$ -a.e. In addition we know from [2] that the adjoint \hat{D}_h^* of \hat{D}_h is given by

$$\hat{D}_h^* = -\hat{D}_h + l_h,$$

where

$$l_h(\hat{\gamma}) = \int_0^1 \left\langle \dot{h}_t + \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}_{r_\gamma(t)} h_t, d\gamma_t \right\rangle$$

and $\hat{\gamma} = I(\gamma)$. Having defined the geometric counterparts to the objects previously studied in this paper, analogous results hold in this new setting. We define $\hat{Z}_{\mathbb{H}}$ to be the set of test functions of form $\sum_{i=1}^k \hat{\phi}_i(\hat{\gamma}) \cdot h_i(t)$ where $\hat{\phi}_i \in \hat{Z}$, $h_i \in \mathbb{H}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 6.1. Let M be specified as above. If for p specified in (6.4) we have

$$\frac{\hat{g}}{\hat{\varphi}} \in L^1(\hat{\nu}), \quad \hat{g} \in L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\hat{\nu}), \quad (6.10)$$

then $(\hat{\mathcal{D}}, \hat{Z})$ defined by

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{F}, \hat{G}) := \int \langle \hat{D}\hat{F}, \hat{D}\hat{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \hat{\varphi} d\hat{\nu}, \quad \hat{F}, \hat{G} \in \hat{Z},$$

is closable on $L^2(\hat{\varphi}\hat{\nu})$.

Proof. Let $\hat{u}_n \in \hat{Z}$,

$$\hat{u}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\hat{\varphi}\hat{\nu}), \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{D}\hat{u}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \hat{f} \quad \text{in } L^2(\hat{\varphi}\hat{\nu}; \mathbb{H}).$$

Choose an arbitrary $\hat{Z}_{\mathbb{H}} \ni \hat{\psi} = \sum_{i=1}^k \hat{\phi}_i \cdot h_i$. We observe that under (6.10) $\hat{\psi}/\hat{\varphi} \in L^2(\hat{\varphi}\hat{\nu}; \mathbb{H})$.

Below we use the relation $\gamma = I^{-1}(\hat{\gamma})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \left\langle \hat{D}\hat{u}_n, \frac{\hat{\psi}}{\hat{\varphi}} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \hat{\varphi} d\hat{\nu} &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int \left\langle \hat{D}\hat{u}_n, h_i \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \hat{\phi}_i d\hat{\nu} = \sum_{i=1}^k \int (\hat{D}_{h_i} \hat{u}_n) \hat{\phi}_i d\hat{\nu} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int \hat{u}_n \hat{D}_{h_i}^* \hat{\phi}_i d\hat{\nu} = \sum_{i=1}^k \int \hat{u}_n \frac{\hat{D}_{h_i}^* \hat{\phi}_i}{\hat{\varphi}} \hat{\varphi} d\hat{\nu} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int -\hat{u}_n \frac{1}{\hat{\varphi}} \left(\hat{D}_{h_i} \hat{\phi}_i - \int_0^1 \left\langle \dot{h}_i(t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}_{r_\gamma(t)} h_i(t), d\gamma_t \right\rangle \cdot \hat{\phi}_i \right) \hat{\varphi} d\hat{\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

This tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ provided that

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\varphi}} \left(\hat{D}_{h_i} \hat{\phi}_i - \int_0^1 \left\langle \dot{h}_i(t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}_{r_\gamma(t)} h_i(t), d\gamma_t \right\rangle \cdot \hat{\phi}_i \right) \in L^2(\hat{\varphi}\hat{\nu}) \quad (6.11)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$. The statement will follow then. To show (6.11) we first observe that $(\hat{D}_{h_i} \hat{\phi}_i - \int_0^1 \langle \dot{h}_i(t), d\gamma_t \rangle \hat{\phi}_i) / \hat{\varphi} \in L^2(\hat{\varphi}\hat{\nu})$ by (6.10). We denote

$$M_s \equiv M_s(\gamma) := \int_0^s \langle \text{Ric}_{r_\gamma(t)} h_i(t), d\gamma_t \rangle$$

and note that M is a local martingale since $P(\int_0^1 |\text{Ric}_{r_\gamma(t)} h_i(t)|^2 dt < \infty) = 1$ by (6.5). Using the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality in the form of [13] Chapter IV, Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E[|M_1|^p] &\leq C_p E\left[\langle M_1, M_1 \rangle^{p/2}\right] = C_p E\left[\left(\int_0^1 |\text{Ric}_{r_\gamma(t)} h_i(t)|^2 dt\right)^{p/2}\right] \\ &\leq C_p E\left[\int_0^1 |\text{Ric}_{r_\gamma(t)} h_i(t)|^p dt\right] \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C_p . With (6.5) we get $E[|M_1|^p] < \infty$ and from (6.10) we obtain $M_1/\hat{\varphi} \in L^2(\hat{\varphi}\hat{\nu})$. We have verified (6.11). From [2] we know that \hat{Z} is dense in $L^2(\hat{\nu})$ and thus we note that $\{\hat{\psi}/\hat{\varphi} : \hat{\psi} \in \hat{Z}_{\mathbb{H}}\}$ is dense in $L^2(\hat{\varphi}\hat{\nu}; \mathbb{H})$ cf. Lemma 3.1. The statement now follows. \square

Proposition 6.2. Let M be specified as above, let $\hat{\varphi}$ satisfy (6.10), and assume that $A \geq \varepsilon \text{Id}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then Proposition 4.3 (a)-(d) holds for \mathcal{E} , Y , Z , φ , ν replaced by $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$, \hat{Y} , \hat{Z} , $\hat{\varphi}$, $\hat{\nu}$ respectively and where $(\mathcal{E}, D_Y(\mathcal{E}))$ and $(\mathcal{E}, D_Z(\mathcal{E}))$ are replaced by the respective closures of $(\hat{\mathcal{E}}, \hat{Y})$ and $(\hat{\mathcal{E}}, \hat{Z})$ on $L^2(\hat{\varphi}\hat{\nu})$. In particular we mention that the counterpart to (4.3) reads now as (6.19). \square

We are interested in $\hat{\varphi}(\hat{\gamma})$ of the form

$$\hat{\varphi}(\hat{\gamma}) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 \left\langle \hat{V}(\hat{\gamma}_t), d\hat{\gamma}_t \right\rangle_{\mathbf{T}_{\hat{\gamma}(t)}} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left| \hat{V}(\hat{\gamma}_t) \right|_{\mathbf{T}_{\hat{\gamma}(t)}}^2 dt \right\}, \quad \hat{\gamma} \in P_{m_0}(M).$$

as $\hat{\varphi}$ is then the Radon–Nikodym derivative of the diffusion measure corresponding to the general diffusion process on M with generator $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_M + \hat{V}$, see [2], with respect to $\hat{\nu}$. We observe that

$$V := r^{-1}\hat{V} \circ \pi \circ r \equiv r^{-1}\hat{V} \circ I, \quad (6.12)$$

which says that $V \equiv V(\gamma)$ is an adapted \mathbb{R}^d -valued process. It turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}(\hat{\gamma}) &= \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 \left\langle \hat{V}(\hat{\gamma}_t), d\hat{\gamma}_t \right\rangle_{\mathbf{T}_{\hat{\gamma}(t)}} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left| \hat{V}(\hat{\gamma}_t) \right|_{\mathbf{T}_{\hat{\gamma}(t)}}^2 dt \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 \langle (V(\gamma))_t, d\gamma_t \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |(V(\gamma))_t|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 dt \right\} \\ &=: \varphi(\gamma), \quad \hat{\gamma} = \pi \circ r(\gamma) = I(\gamma), \gamma \in P_0(\mathbb{R}^d). \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

In other words $\varphi(\gamma) = \hat{\varphi}(\hat{\gamma}) = \hat{\varphi} \circ I(\gamma)$, $\gamma \in P_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. As in Section 5, having the corresponding conditions to (5.1), (5.2), i.e.

$$\frac{\hat{f}}{\hat{\varphi}^+} \in L^1(\hat{\nu}) \text{ and } \frac{\hat{f}}{\hat{\varphi}^-} \in L^1(\hat{\nu}) \quad (6.14)$$

for all $\hat{f} \in \hat{Z}$, we get $\hat{E} \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\hat{V}(\hat{\gamma}_t)|_{\mathbf{T}_{\hat{\gamma}(t)}}^2 dt \right\} \right] < \infty$ where \hat{E} denotes expectation taken with respect to $\hat{\nu}$. Thus we have the Novikov condition

$$E \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |(V(\gamma))_t|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 dt \right\} \right] < \infty. \quad (6.15)$$

In order to obtain quasi-regularity we sharpen the condition (6.3) and instead assume the Ricci curvature to be bounded from below, i.e. there exists some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, not necessarily non-negative, such that

$$\text{Ric}(X, X) \geq c \|X\|^2 \quad X \in \mathbf{T}_x M, x \in M. \quad (6.16)$$

We note that (6.16), the symmetry of the Ricci tensor, and the definition of the matrix valued function $(s, 1] \ni t \rightarrow Q_{s,t}$, as the solution of (6.9), implies that there exists $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sup_{s,t \in [0,1]} \|Q_{s,t}\| \leq C. \quad (6.17)$$

We also sharpen (6.5) and assume in addition

$$E \left[\int_0^1 \|\text{Ric}_{r_\gamma(t)}\|^p dt \varphi \right] < \infty \quad (6.18)$$

for some $p > 2$. Since $\varphi \in L^1(\nu)$, (6.18) is for example satisfied if Ric is bounded on M .

Proposition 6.3. Suppose all the above specifications on M . Assume the following conditions on $\hat{\varphi}$ resp. φ , (6.10), (6.14), and (6.18). Let $A \geq \varepsilon \text{Id}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and

$$\sup_{c_1, c_2, \dots \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\int \lambda_{i_{p,j}} \hat{\varphi} d\hat{\nu}}{2^p} < \infty, \quad (6.19)$$

where $i_{p,j}$ is as in Lemma 4.1. Then

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\hat{F}, \hat{F}) = \int \left\langle \hat{D}\hat{F}, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \left\langle S_i, \hat{D}\hat{F} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} S_i \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \hat{\varphi} d\hat{\nu}, \quad \hat{F} \in \hat{Z}, \quad (6.20)$$

is quasi-regular in $L^2(\hat{\varphi}\hat{\nu})$.

Proof. We proceed as we did for Proposition 5.2. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $l \in \{0, \dots, 2^{r-1} - 1\}$, and $k = 2^{r-1} + l$, set $s_k := (2l+1)2^{-r}$. Let $x^v(p)$ denote the v th coordinate of $p \in M$, embedded in \mathbb{R}^N , $v \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. We also write $x_s^v(\gamma) \equiv x^v(\gamma_s)$. Fix $\hat{\tau} \in P_{m_0}(M)$, $k = 2^{r-1} + l$, and $v \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Consider the functions $\hat{f}_{v,k,\hat{\tau}}(p) := x^v(p) - x^v(\hat{\tau}(s_k))$, $p \in M$, and

$$\hat{F}_{v,k,\hat{\tau}}(\hat{\gamma}) := \hat{f}_{v,k,\hat{\tau}}(\hat{\gamma}(s_k)) = x^v(\hat{\gamma}(s_k)) - x^v(\hat{\tau}(s_k)), \quad \hat{\gamma} \in P_{m_0}(M);$$

we note $\hat{F}_{v,k,\hat{\tau}} \in D(\hat{\mathcal{E}})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle S_i, \hat{D}\hat{F}_{v,k,\hat{\tau}}(\hat{\gamma}) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}| &= |\langle \nabla_{s_k} x^v(\hat{\gamma}(s_k)), r_{I^{-1}(\hat{\gamma})} S_i(s_k) \rangle_{\mathbf{T}_{\hat{\gamma}(s_k)}}| \\ &= |\langle r_{I^{-1}(\hat{\gamma})}^{-1} \nabla_{s_k} x^v(\hat{\gamma}(s_k)), S_i(s_k) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}|. \end{aligned}$$

Now applying the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality and using the fact that we can isometrically embed M in \mathbb{R}^N , cf. [16], we obtain

$$|\langle S_i, \hat{D}\hat{F}_{v,k,\hat{\tau}}(\hat{\gamma}) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}| \leq |\nabla_{s_k}^N x^v(\hat{\gamma}(s_k))| \cdot |S_i(s_k)^v| \leq |S_i(s_k)^v|,$$

where ∇^N denotes the gradient in \mathbb{R}^N . Thus by Proposition 6.2 we get

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\hat{F}_{v,k,\hat{\tau}}, \hat{F}_{v,k,\hat{\tau}}) = \int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(\hat{\gamma}) \left\langle \hat{D}\hat{F}_{v,k,\hat{\tau}}(\hat{\gamma}), S_i \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \hat{\varphi} d\hat{\nu} \leq C_1 < \infty$$

for some $C_1 > 0$ not depending on $v, k, \hat{\tau}$, cf. Lemma 4.1 and (6.19). Let

$$\hat{G}_{n,\hat{\tau}} = \sup_{\substack{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ v \in \{1, \dots, N\}}} |\hat{F}_{v,k,\hat{\tau}}|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\hat{G}_{n,\hat{\tau}}, \hat{G}_{n,\hat{\tau}}) \leq C_1 < \infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

as in Proposition 5.2. Our objective is to show $\hat{G}_{n,\hat{\tau}} \in L^2(\hat{\varphi}\hat{\nu})$. In this way we obtain a bound on $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_1(\hat{G}_{n,\hat{\tau}}, \hat{G}_{n,\hat{\tau}})$ depending only on $\hat{\tau}$. Then we apply the method of Proposition 5.2. Define

$$(T\gamma)_t := \gamma_t - \int_0^t \left((V^w(\gamma))_s \right)_{w=1, \dots, d} ds,$$

where V is from (6.12) such that (6.14) is satisfied and V^w denotes the w th coordinate. By Lemma 5.1 and the Girsanov theorem $T\gamma_t$ is a Brownian motion under $\varphi\nu$, cf. Theorem 3.5.1 in [9] together with (6.15) and Corollary 3.5.13 in [9]. We have

$$(T^{-1}\gamma)_t^w = \gamma_t^w + \int_0^t (V^w(T^{-1}\gamma))_s ds = \gamma_t^w + B_t^w,$$

where B is of bounded variation. Using Proposition 2.2 of [6] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{E} \left[\hat{G}_{n,\hat{\tau}}^2 \hat{\varphi} \right] &\leq \hat{E} \left[\left(\sup_{\substack{v \in \{1, \dots, N\} \\ s \in [0,1]}} |x_s^v(\hat{\gamma}) - x_s^v(\hat{\tau})| \right)^2 \hat{\varphi} \right] \\ &\leq 2E \left[\left(\sup_{\substack{v \in \{1, \dots, N\} \\ s \in [0,1]}} \left| \int_0^1 E \left[\tilde{D}_t \{x_s^v(I(\gamma))\} | \mathcal{F}_t \right] d\gamma_t \right| \right)^2 \varphi \right] + C_2(\hat{\tau}), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_2(\hat{\tau}) := 2 \left(\sup_{s \in [0,1]} \hat{E}[|x_s^v|] + \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |x_s^v(\hat{\tau})| \right)^2 < \infty$ and as before $\gamma = I^{-1}(\hat{\gamma})$. We have used $x^v \in L^1(\hat{\nu})$, see e.g. [16]. We write

$$\alpha_s^v(t)(\gamma) := E \left[\tilde{D}_t \{x_s^v(I(\gamma))\} | \mathcal{F}_t \right]. \quad (6.21)$$

Taking into consideration that $\alpha_s(t)(\gamma) = 0$ for $t > s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{E} \left[\hat{G}_{n,\hat{\tau}}^2 \hat{\varphi} \right] &\leq 2E \left[\left(\sup_{\substack{v \in \{1, \dots, N\} \\ s \in [0,1]}} \left| \int_0^s \alpha_s^v(t)(\gamma) d\gamma_t \right| \right)^2 \varphi \right] + C_2(\hat{\tau}) \\ &= 2E \left[\left(\sup_{\substack{v \in \{1, \dots, N\} \\ s \in [0,1]}} \left| \int_0^s \alpha_s^v(t)(T^{-1}\gamma) d(\gamma + B)_t \right| \right)^2 \right] + C_2(\hat{\tau}) \\ &\leq 4E \left[\left(\sup_{\substack{v \in \{1, \dots, N\} \\ s \in [0,1]}} \left| \int_0^s \alpha_s^v(t)(T^{-1}\gamma) d\gamma_t \right| \right)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 4E \left[\left(\sup_{\substack{v \in \{1, \dots, N\} \\ s \in [0,1]}} \left| \int_0^s \alpha_s^v(t)(T^{-1}\gamma) dB_t \right| \right)^2 \right] + C_2(\hat{\tau}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.22)$$

By (6.8), (6.17) and (6.21) we observe that the integrand in $\int_0^s \alpha_s^v(t)(T^{-1}\gamma) d\gamma_t$ is continuously differentiable according to the construction via (6.9). Therefore the integral can path wise be treated as a Riemann–Stieltjes integral. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4E \left[\left(\sup_{\substack{v \in \{1, \dots, N\} \\ s \in [0,1]}} \left| \int_0^s \alpha_s^v(t)(T^{-1}\gamma) d\gamma_t \right| \right)^2 \right] &\leq 4 \sum_{v=1}^N E \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0,1]} \left| \int_0^s \alpha_s^v(t)(T^{-1}\gamma) d\gamma_t \right| \right)^2 \right] \\ &= 4 \sum_{v=1}^N E \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0,1]} \left| \gamma_s \alpha_s^v(s)(T^{-1}\gamma) - \int_0^s \gamma_t \frac{d}{dt} \alpha_s^v(t)(T^{-1}\gamma) dt \right| \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq 4 \sum_{v=1}^N E \left[2 \sup_{s \in [0,1]} (\gamma_s \alpha_s^v(s)(T^{-1}\gamma))^2 + 2 \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \left(\int_0^s \gamma_t \frac{d}{dt} \alpha_s^v(t)(T^{-1}\gamma) dt \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq 8 \sum_{v=1}^N E \left[\sup_{s \in [0,1]} (\gamma_s)^2 \right] \cdot \left\| \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \alpha_s^v(s)(T^{-1}\gamma) \right\|_{L^\infty(\nu)} \\ &\quad + 8 \sum_{v=1}^N E \left[\sup_{s \in [0,1]} \left(\int_0^s \gamma_t^2 dt \cdot \int_0^s \left(\frac{d}{dt} \alpha_s^v(t)(T^{-1}\gamma) \right)^2 dt \right) \right] \\ &\leq 8 \sum_{v=1}^N 4C_3 + 8 \sum_{v=1}^N E \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0,1]} \int_0^s \left(\frac{d}{dt} \alpha_s^v(t)(T^{-1}\gamma) \right)^2 dt \right)^q \right]^{1/q} \cdot E \left[\left(\int_0^1 \gamma_t^2 dt \right)^p \right]^{1/p}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

where $C_3 := \left\| \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \alpha_s^v(s)(T^{-1}\gamma) \right\|_{L^\infty(\nu)} < \infty$ according to (6.17). Using (6.9), (6.17), and $|(T_{0 \leftarrow s} \nabla_s x^v)|_{\mathbf{T}_{m_0}} \leq 1$ since M is isometrically embedded, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0,1]} \int_0^s \left(\frac{d}{dt} \alpha_s^v(t)(T^{-1}\gamma) \right)^2 dt \right)^q \right]^{1/q} \\
& \leq E \left[\sup_{s \in [0,1]} \int_0^s \left| E \left[\text{Ric}_{r_{T^{-1}\gamma(t)}} Q_{s,t}^* \circ (T_{0 \leftarrow s} \nabla_s x^v) (I \circ T^{-1}\gamma) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right|^{2q} dt \right]^{1/q} \\
& \leq C^2 E \left[\sup_{s \in [0,1]} \int_0^s \left| E \left[\|\text{Ric}_{r_{T^{-1}\gamma(t)}}\|_{\mathbf{T}_{m_0}} | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right|^{2q} dt \right]^{1/q} \\
& \leq C^2 E \left[\int_0^1 \|\text{Ric}_{r_{T^{-1}\gamma(t)}}\|_{\mathbf{T}_{m_0}}^{2q} dt \right]^{1/q} = E \left[\int_0^1 \|\text{Ric}_{r_\gamma(t)}\|^{2q} dt \varphi \right]^{1/q} < \infty, \tag{6.24}
\end{aligned}$$

where, for the last line, we have taken into consideration (6.18). For the second term in (6.22) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[\left(\sup_{\substack{v \in \{1, \dots, N\} \\ s \in [0,1]}} \left| \int_0^s \alpha_s^v(t)(T^{-1}\gamma) dB_t \right| \right)^2 \right] \\
& \leq \sum_{v=1}^N E \left[\sup_{s \in [0,1]} \left| \int_0^s \langle \alpha_s^v(t)(T^{-1}\gamma), V(T^{-1}\gamma)_t \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} dt \right|^2 \right] \\
& \leq \sum_{v=1}^N E \left[\int_0^1 \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |\alpha_s^v(t)(T^{-1}\gamma)|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 dt \right] \cdot E \left[\int_0^1 |V(T^{-1}\gamma)_t|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 dt \right]. \tag{6.25}
\end{aligned}$$

From (6.17) we get

$$E \left[\int_0^1 \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |\alpha_s^v(t)(T^{-1}\gamma)|^2 dt \right] < \infty, \tag{6.26}$$

and by (6.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[\int_0^1 |V(T^{-1}\gamma)_t|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 dt \right] = E \left[\int_0^1 |V(\gamma)_t|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 dt \varphi \right] \\
& \leq E \left[\exp \left\{ \int_0^1 |V(\gamma)_t|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 dt \right\} \varphi \right] = E \left[\frac{1}{\varphi^-} \right] < \infty. \tag{6.27}
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging (6.26) and (6.27) in (6.25), this together with (6.24) and (6.22), shows $\hat{G}_{n,\hat{\tau}} \in L^2(\hat{\varphi}\hat{\nu})$, the above formulated goal. Now having verified

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_1(\hat{G}_{n,\hat{\tau}}, \hat{G}_{n,\hat{\tau}}) \leq C_1 + \|\hat{G}_{n,\hat{\tau}}\|_{L^2(\hat{\varphi}\hat{\nu})}^2 < \infty,$$

the rest of the proof can be carried out in the same way as the proof of Proposition 5.2, Step 3-4. The claim follows. \square

References

- [1] N. Bouleau and F. Hirsch. *Dirichlet Forms and Analysis on Wiener Space*. De Gruyter, 1991.
- [2] M. Capitaine, E. P. Hsu, and M. Ledoux. Martingale representation and a simple proof of logarithmic sobolev inequalities on path spaces. *Electron. Comm. Probab.*, 2:71–81, 1997.
- [3] B. Driver and M. Röckner. Construction of diffusions on path and loop spaces of compact riemannian manifolds. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 315:603–608, 1992.
- [4] S. Fang. Stochastic anticipative integrals on a riemannian manifold. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 131:228–253, 1995.
- [5] S. Fang and P. Malliavin. Stochastic analysis on the path space of a riemannian manifold. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 118:249–274, 1993.
- [6] C. Houdré and N. Privault. A concentration inequality on Riemannian path space. In *Stochastic inequalities and applications*, volume 56 of *Progr. Probab.*, pages 15–21. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.
- [7] E. P. Hsu. Quasi-invariance of the wiener measure on path spaces: noncompact case. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 193(2):278–290, 2002.
- [8] E. P. Hsu and C. Ouyang. Quasi-invariance of the wiener measure on the path space over a complete riemannian manifold. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 257(5):1379–1395, 2009.
- [9] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve. *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. Springer, Berlin, 2nd edition, 2000.
- [10] J.-U. Löbus. A class of processes on the path space over a compact riemannian manifold with unbounded diffusion. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 356, 2004.
- [11] Z.-M. Ma and M. Röckner. *Introduction to the Theory of (Non-symmetric) Dirichlet Forms*. Springer, 1992.
- [12] D. Nualart. *The Malliavin Calculus and Its Applications*. AMS, 2009.
- [13] D. Revuz and M. Yor. *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*. Springer, 1999.
- [14] M. Röckner and B. Schmulland. Tightness of general $c_{1,p}$ capacities on banach space. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 108:1–12, 1992.

- [15] F.-Y. Wang. Weak poincar inequalities on path spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, pages 90–108, 2004.
- [16] F.-Y. Wang and B. Wu. Quasi-regular dirichlet forms on riemannian path and loop spaces. *Forum Math. Volume*, 20(6):1085–1096, 2008.
- [17] F.-Y. Wang and B. Wu. Quasi-regular dirichlet forms on free riemannian path spaces. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 12(2):251–267, 2009.