

On the Cauchy problem for a model equation for shallow water waves of moderate amplitude

Nilay Duruk Mutlubas
University of Vienna, Faculty of Mathematics,
Nordbergstrasse 14, 1090 Vienna, Austria
nilay.duruk.mutlubas@univie.ac.at

May 2, 2018

Abstract

We prove the local well-posedness for a nonlinear equation modeling the evolution of the free surface for waves of moderate amplitude in the shallow water regime.

AMS Subject Classification: 35Q35

Keywords: quasilinear hyperbolic equation, well-posedness.

1 Introduction

Water waves and their model equations have drawn attention all the time due to their familiar nature. Nevertheless, most of the studies were restricted to linear models. Since linearization failed to explain some important aspects, several nonlinear models have been proposed, explaining nonlinear behaviours such as breaking waves and solitary waves. A typical example is the Korteweg-de-Vries (KdV) equation [13] which models shallow water wave propagation:

$$u_t + u_x + uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0.$$

Physically, the steeping effect of the nonlinearity, represented by uu_x , and the smoothing effect of dispersion, represented by u_{xxx} , are in balance with each other. This leads to a remarkable property of the solitary wave solutions

of KdV: they are solitons, recovering their shape and speed after collisions with other waves of this type.

Since KdV does not model breaking waves, several model equations were proposed to capture this phenomenon, in the sense that they should have classical solutions such that the wave profile remains bounded but its slope becomes unbounded (see the discussions in [15] and [4]). The proposed equations were mostly mathematical modifications of KdV, with a quite remote connection to the modeling of water waves. In recent years, many studies were devoted to the Camassa-Holm (CH) equation [2]

$$u_t + u_x + 3uu_x - u_{xxt} = 2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}.$$

This equation has a rich structure, being an integrable infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian system (see the discussion in [3]), and it has bounded classical solutions whose slope becomes unbounded in finite time. In [10] and in [7] it was shown that both CH arises as a model describing the evolution of the horizontal fluid velocity at a certain depth within the regime of shallow water waves of moderate amplitude. In terms of the two fundamental parameters μ (shallowness parameter) and ε (amplitude parameter), the shallow water regime of waves of small amplitude (proper to KdV) is characterized by $\mu \ll 1$ and $\varepsilon = O(\mu)$, while the regime of shallow water waves of moderate amplitude (proper to CH) corresponds to $\mu \ll 1$ and $\varepsilon = O(\sqrt{\mu})$; see [1] and [7]. Since quantities of order $O(\sqrt{\mu})$ are also of order $O(\mu)$ for $\mu \ll 1$, the regime of moderate amplitude captures a wider range of wave profiles. In particular, within this regime one expects to obtain equations that model surface water wave profiles that develop singularities in finite time in the form of breaking waves. The model equation for the evolution of the surface elevation $\eta(x, t)$ is

$$\eta_t + \eta_x + \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon\eta\eta_x - \frac{3}{8}\varepsilon^2\eta^2\eta_x + \frac{3}{16}\varepsilon^3\eta^3\eta_x + \mu(\alpha\eta_{xxx} + \beta\eta_{xxt}) = \varepsilon\mu(\gamma\eta\eta_{xxx} + \delta\eta_x\eta_{xx}). \quad (1)$$

Here α , γ , δ and $\beta < 0$ are parameters. The local well-posedness of (1) for any initial data $\eta_0 \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > \frac{3}{2}$ was proved in [7], and the existence of solitary waves was recently obtained in [9]. Note that, unlike KdV or CH, the equation (1) does not have a bi-Hamiltonian integrable structure (see [3]). Nevertheless, the equation possesses solitary wave profiles that resemble those of CH, analyzed in [8], and present similarities with the shape of the solitary waves for the governing equations for water waves discussed in [5, 6], as proved in [9].

In this paper, we will prove the local well-posedness of the equation (1) for initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 3/2$ by using Kato's semigroup approach for quasilinear equations as it was used in [14] where the well-posedness of CH equation was investigated. The motivation for proving well-posedness for less regular initial data stems from the fact that by enlarging the class of initial data, one facilitates the task of finding initial profiles that develop singularities in finite time in the form of breaking waves. This important aspect of (1) will be addressed in a subsequent publication.

Since we will use Kato's theory, in Section 2 we give a brief summary of this approach. In Section 3, we present the well-posedness results for (1). Throughout this paper, subscripts denote partial derivatives; $\partial_x = \partial/\partial x$; $\|\cdot\|_X$ denotes norm in the Banach space X , in particular, $\|\cdot\|$ is L^2 norm; H^s is the classical Sobolev space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^s} = \|\cdot\|_s$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s$ is its inner product; $\Lambda^s = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{s/2}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$; $[A, B]$ denotes the commutator of the linear operators A and B .

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we state Kato's theorem in a suitable form for our purpose.

Consider the abstract quasi-linear evolution equation in the Hilbert space X :

$$u_t = A(u)u + f(u), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = u_0. \quad (2)$$

Let Y be a second Hilbert space such that Y is continuously and densely injected into X and let $S : Y \rightarrow X$ be a topological isomorphism. Assume that

(A1) Given $C > 0$, for every $y \in Y$ with $\|y\|_Y \leq C$, $A(y)$ is quasi-m-accretive on X , i.e. $A(y)$ is the generator of a C_0 semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in X satisfying $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$ with $M = 1$.

(A2) For every $y \in Y$, $A(y)$ is bounded linear operator from Y to X and

$$\|(A(y) - A(z))\omega\|_X \leq c_1\|y - z\|_X\|\omega\|_Y, \quad y, z, \omega \in Y.$$

(A3) For every $C > 0$, there is a constant $c_2(C)$ such that $SA(y)S^{-1} = A(y) + B(y)$, for some bounded linear operator $B(y)$ on X satisfying

$$\|(B(y) - B(z))\omega\|_X \leq c_2(C)\|\omega\|_X, \quad \omega \in X.$$

(A4) The function f is bounded in Y and Lipschitz in X and Y , i.e.

$$\|f(y)\|_Y \leq M$$

for some constant $M > 0$. Moreover,

$$\|f(y) - f(z)\|_X \leq c_3 \|y - z\|_X, \quad \forall y, z \in X$$

and

$$\|f(y) - f(z)\|_Y \leq c_4 \|y - z\|_Y, \quad \forall y, z \in Y. \quad (3)$$

Here c_1, c_2, c_3 and c_4 are non-negative constants.

Theorem 2.1. [11, 12] Assume (A1), (A2), (A3), (A4) hold. Given $u_0 \in Y$, there is a maximal $T > 0$, depending on u_0 , and a unique solution u to (2) such that

$$u = (u_0, \cdot) \in C([0, T], Y) \cap C^1([0, T], X).$$

Moreover, the map $u_0 \rightarrow u(u_0, \cdot)$ is continuous from Y to $C([0, T], Y) \cap C^1([0, T], X)$.

3 Local Theory

Consider the initial value problem for the general class of equations

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + u_x + \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon u u_x + \varepsilon^2 \iota u^2 u_x + \varepsilon^3 \kappa u^3 u_x + \mu(\alpha u_{xxx} + \beta u_{xxt}) \\ = \varepsilon \mu(\gamma u u_{xxx} + \delta u_x u_{xx}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (5)$$

where $\iota, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta < 0$, and $u(x, t)$ denotes the free surface. Our purpose is to prove local existence of the solution for the Cauchy problem (4)-(5). Rewriting (4) in the form of quasi-linear evolution equation (2), the initial value problem which is equivalent to (4)-(5) will be as follows:

$$u_t = -\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\partial_x - \frac{\varepsilon\gamma}{\beta}u\partial_x\right)u + f(u) \quad (6)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad (7)$$

where

$$f(u) = -(1 + \mu\beta\partial_x^2)^{-1}\partial_x\left[\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)u + \left(\frac{3\varepsilon - 2}{4} - \frac{1}{2\mu\beta}\right)u^2\right. \quad (8)$$

$$\left. + \frac{\varepsilon^2\iota}{3}u^3 + \frac{\varepsilon^3\kappa}{4}u^4 + \frac{3\varepsilon\mu\gamma - \varepsilon\mu\delta - \mu\beta}{2}u_x^2\right]. \quad (9)$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{3}{2}$ be given. Then there exists $T > 0$, depending on u_0 , such that there is a unique solution u to (6)-(7) satisfying*

$$u = u(u_0, \cdot) \in C([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R})).$$

Moreover, the map $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow u(u_0, \cdot)$ is continuous from $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ to $C([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}))$.

We will apply Kato's theorem with $X = L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $Y = H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 3/2$, $S = \Lambda^s$ with $\Lambda = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{1/2}$. For convenience, we neglect the exact value of the various constants, since the only significant feature is that $\beta < 0$. The following lemmas are needed to prove Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *The operator $A(u) = u\partial_x + \partial_x$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(A) = \{\omega \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : (1+u)\omega \in H^1(\mathbb{R})\} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is quasi-m-accretive if $u \in H^s$, $s > 3/2$.*

Proof. We have an operator of the form $(u(x)+1)\partial_x$ where $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $u' \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. We refer to Appendix 6.3.1 (E1) in [3] to conclude that $A(u)$ is a quasi-m-accretive operator. \square

Lemma 3.3. *For every $\omega \in H^s$ with $s > 3/2$, $A(u)$ is bounded linear operator from $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and*

$$\|(A(u) - A(v))\omega\| \leq c_1 \|u - v\| \|\omega\|_{H^s}.$$

Proof. Given $\omega \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 3/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u\partial_x + \partial_x)\omega\| &\leq \|u\partial_x\omega\| + \|\partial_x\omega\| \leq \|u\| \|\partial_x\omega\|_{s-1} + \|\partial_x\omega\| \\ &\leq \|u\| \|\partial_x\omega\|_{s-1} + \|\partial_x\omega\|_{s-1} \leq c_1 \|u\| \|\omega\|_s, \end{aligned}$$

in view of Lemma 5.1. Assumption (A2) follows from replacing u by $u - v$ in the inequality. \square

Lemma 3.4. *The operator*

$$B(u) = \Lambda^s(u\partial_x + \partial_x)\Lambda^{-s} - (u\partial_x + \partial_x) = [\Lambda^s, u\partial_x + \partial_x]\Lambda^{-s}$$

is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 3/2$.

Proof. Note that

$$\Lambda^s(u\partial_x + \partial_x)\Lambda^{-s} - (u\partial_x + \partial_x) = \Lambda^s u \partial_x \Lambda^{-s} + \Lambda^s \partial_x \Lambda^{-s} - (u\partial_x + \partial_x) = [\Lambda^s, u\partial_x]\Lambda^{-s}$$

since ∂_x and Λ commute. Moreover, we have $[\Lambda^s, u\partial_x]\Lambda^{-s} = [\Lambda^s, u]\Lambda^{-s}\partial_x$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(u)\omega\| &= \|[\Lambda^s, u]\Lambda^{-s}\partial_x\omega\| = \|[\Lambda^s, u]\Lambda^{1-s}\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x\omega\| \\ &\leq \|u\|_s \|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x\omega\| = \|u\|_s \|\omega\|. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

in view of Lemma 5.2 for $\tilde{s} = 0$ and $\tilde{t} = s - 1$. \square

Remark 3.5. *If we replace u with $u - v$ in (10), it can be easily observed that*

$$\|B(u) - B(v)\omega\| \leq \|\omega\| \|u - v\|_s,$$

thus proving (A3).

Lemma 3.6. *Let $f(u)$ be given by (9). Then:*

- (i) $\|f(u)\|_s \leq M$ for some constant M depending on $\|u\|_s$.
- (ii) $\|f(u) - f(v)\| \leq c_3 \|u - v\|$.
- (iii) $\|f(u) - f(v)\|_s \leq c_4 \|u - v\|_s$, $s > 3/2$.

Proof. Observe that

$$f(u) = -(1 + \mu\beta\partial_x^2)^{-1}\partial_x g(u)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u) - f(v)\| &= \|(1 + \mu\beta\partial_x^2)^{-1}\partial_x(g(u) - g(v))\| \\ &= \|(1 - \mu\beta\xi^2)^{-1}(i\xi)(\mathcal{F}g(u) - \mathcal{F}g(v))\| \end{aligned}$$

by using Fourier transform representation. Recall that $\beta < 0$. Since μ is so small, we also assume $|\mu\beta| < 1$. It gives

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \mu\beta\xi^2)^{-1}\xi &= (1 + |\mu\beta|\xi^2)^{-1}(\xi^2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (1 + |\mu\beta|\xi^2)^{-1}(1 + \xi^2)^{1/2} \\ &= |\mu\beta|^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{|\mu\beta|} + \xi^2 \right)^{-1} (1 + \xi^2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq |\mu\beta|^{-1} (1 + \xi^2)^{-1} (1 + \xi^2)^{1/2} \\ &= |\mu\beta|^{-1} (1 + \xi^2)^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(u) - f(v)\| &\leq |\mu\beta|^{-1} \|(1 + \xi^2)^{-1/2} (\mathcal{F}g(u) - \mathcal{F}g(v))\| \\
&= |\mu\beta|^{-1} \|(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1/2} (g(u) - g(v))\| \\
&= |\mu\beta|^{-1} \|\Lambda^{-1}(g(u) - g(v))\| \\
&\leq |\mu\beta|^{-1} (\|\Lambda^{-1}(u - v)\| + \|\Lambda^{-1}(u^2 - v^2)\| + \|\Lambda^{-1}(u^3 - v^3)\| \\
&\quad + \|\Lambda^{-1}(u^4 - v^4)\| + \|\Lambda^{-1}(u_x^2 - v_x^2)\|). \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mu\beta|(11) &= \|\Lambda^{-1}(u - v)\| + \|\Lambda^{-1}(u - v)(u + v)\| + \|\Lambda^{-1}(u - v)(u^2 + uv + v^2)\| \\
&\quad + \|\Lambda^{-1}(u - v)(u + v)(u^2 + v^2)\| + \|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u - v)\partial_x(u + v)\|,
\end{aligned}$$

using the imbedding property of Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. if $s_1 \leq s_2$, then $\|\cdot\|_{s_1} \leq \|\cdot\|_{s_2}$; Cauchy-Schwartz inequality; and Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(u) - f(v)\| &\leq |\mu\beta|^{-1} (\|(u - v)\| + \|(u - v)(u + v)\| \\
&\quad + \|(u - v)(u^2 + uv + v^2)\| + \|(u - v)(u + v)(u^2 + v^2)\| \\
&\quad + \|\partial_x(u - v)\partial_x(u + v)\|_{-1}) \\
&\leq |\mu\beta|^{-1} (\|(u - v)\| + \|(u + v)\|_{L^\infty} \|(u - v)\| \\
&\quad + \|(u^2 + uv + v^2)\|_{L^\infty} \|(u - v)\| + \|(u + v)(u^2 + v^2)\|_{L^\infty} \|(u - v)\| \\
&\quad + \|\partial_x(u + v)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x(u - v)\|_{-1}) \\
&\leq |\mu\beta|^{-1} (\|(u - v)\| + \|(u + v)\|_s \|(u - v)\| \\
&\quad + \|(u^2 + uv + v^2)\|_s \|(u - v)\| + \|(u + v)(u^2 + v^2)\|_s \|(u - v)\| \\
&\quad + \|(u + v)\|_s \|(u - v)\|) \\
&\leq c_3 \|u - v\|
\end{aligned}$$

where c_3 is a constant depending on μ , β , $\|u\|_s$ and $\|v\|_s$. This proves (ii).

Now, we will prove (iii):

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(u) - f(v)\|_s &= \|(1 + \mu\beta\partial_x^2)^{-1} \partial_x(g(u) - g(v))\|_s \\
&\leq \|(u - v)\|_{s-1} + \|(u - v)(u + v)\|_{s-1} \\
&\quad + \|(u - v)(u^2 + uv + v^2)\|_{s-1} + \|(u - v)(u + v)(u^2 + v^2)\|_{s-1} \\
&\quad + \|\partial_x(u - v)\partial_x(u + v)\|_{s-1} \\
&\leq \|(u - v)\|_s + \|(u - v)\|_s \|(u + v)\|_s \\
&\quad + \|(u - v)\|_s \|(u^2 + uv + v^2)\|_s + \|(u - v)\|_s \|(u + v)(u^2 + v^2)\|_s \\
&\quad + \|\partial_x(u - v)\|_{s-1} \|\partial_x(u + v)\|_{s-1} \\
&\leq c_4 \|u - v\|_s
\end{aligned}$$

where c_4 is also a constant depending on $\|u\|_s$ and $\|v\|_s$. Note that (i) can be obtained from (iii) by choosing $v = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1 The proof follows from the lemmas above since the assumptions needed for Kato's semigroup approach are satisfied.

4 Acknowledgement

The support of the The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) is gratefully acknowledged. The author also thanks Prof.Dr.Adrian Constantin for his helpful comments and suggestions.

5 Appendix

Lemma 5.1. *Let s, t be real numbers such that $-s < t \leq s$. Then*

$$\|f.g\|_t \leq c\|f\|_s\|g\|_t \quad \text{if } s > 1/2.$$

Lemma 5.2. *[12] Let $f \in H^s$, $s > 3/2$ and M_f be the multiplication operator by f . Then, for $|\tilde{t}|, |\tilde{s}| \leq s - 1$,*

$$\|\Lambda^{-\tilde{s}}[\Lambda^{\tilde{s}+\tilde{t}+1}, M_f]\Lambda^{-\tilde{t}}\omega\| \leq c\|f\|_s\|\omega\|.$$

References

- [1] B. Alvarez-Samaniego, D. Lannes, Large time existence for 3D water-waves and asymptotics, *Invent. math.* 171 (2008) 485–541.
- [2] R. Camassa, D. Holm, An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 71 (1993) 1661–1664.
- [3] A. Constantin, Nonlinear water waves with applications to wave-current interactions and tsunamis, *CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics*, 81, SIAM (Philadelphia), 2011.
- [4] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Wave breaking for nonlinear nonlocal shallow water equations, *Acta Mathematica* 181 (1998) 229–243.

- [5] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Particle trajectories in solitary water waves, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 44 (2007) 423–431.
- [6] A. Constantin, J. Escher, H.C. Hsu, Pressure beneath a solitary water wave: mathematical theory and experiments, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 201 (2011) 251–269.
- [7] A. Constantin, D. Lannes, The hydrodynamical relevance of the Camassa-Holm and Degasperis-Procesi Equations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 192 (2009) 165–186.
- [8] A. Constantin, W. Strauss, Stability of the Camassa-Holm solitons, *J. Nonlinear Sci.* 12 (2002) 415–422.
- [9] A. Geyer, Solitary traveling waves of moderate amplitude, *J. Nonl. Math. Phys.* 19 (2012) Art. 1240010.
- [10] R.S. Johnson, Camassa-Holm, Korteweg-de Vries and related models for water waves, *J. Fluid Mech.* 455 (2002) 63–82.
- [11] T. Kato, Quasi-linear equations of evolution, with applications to partial differential equations, in: *Spectral theory and differential equations*, Lecture Notes in Math. 448, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975, pp. 25–70.
- [12] T. Kato, On the Cauchy problem for the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 8 (1983) 93–126.
- [13] D.J. Korteweg, G. de Vries, On the change of form of long waves advancing in a rectangular canal and on a new type of long stationary waves, *Philos. Mag.* 39 (1895) 422.
- [14] G. Rodriguez-Blanco, On the Cauchy problem for the Camassa-Holm equation, *Nonlinear Analysis* 46 (2001) 309–327.
- [15] G.B. Whitham, *Linear and nonlinear waves*, Wiley, New York, 1980.