

Perturbation analysis for Moore-Penrose inverse of closed operators on Hilbert spaces

FAPENG DU *

School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Xuzhou Institute of Technology
Xuzhou 221008, Jiangsu Province, P.R. China

YIFENG XUE

Department of mathematics, East China Normal University
Shanghai 200241, P.R. China

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the perturbation for the Moore-Penrose inverse of closed operators on Hilbert spaces. By virtue of a new inner product defined on H , we give the expression of the Moore-Penrose inverse \bar{T}^\dagger and the upper bounds of $\|\bar{T}^\dagger\|$ and $\|\bar{T}^\dagger - T^\dagger\|$. These results obtained in this paper extend and improve many related results in this area.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 15A09, 47A55

Key words: generalized inverse, Moore-Penrose inverse, stable perturbation, closed operators

1 Introduction

An operator $\bar{T} = T + \delta T$ is called the stable perturbation of T if $R(\bar{T}) \cap N(T^+) = \{0\}$. This notation is introduced by Chen and the second author in [2, 3]. Later it is generalized to the Banach algebra by the second author in [15] and to Hilbert C^* -module by Xu, Wei and Gu in [17]. Using this notation the upper bounds for generalized inverse or Moore-Penrose inverse of bounded linear operators are discussed (See all references). A classical result about upper bounds is

$$\|\bar{T}^\dagger\| \leq \frac{\|T^\dagger\|}{1 - \|T^\dagger\| \|\delta T\|}, \quad \|\bar{T}^\dagger - T^\dagger\| \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \frac{\|T^\dagger\|}{1 - \|T^\dagger\| \|\delta T\|}.$$

In recent years, the perturbation analysis for generalized inverses of closed operators has been appeared. Some results similar to the perturbation analysis of bounded linear operators are obtained when δT is a T -bounded linear operator (see [9], [10], [13]).

But there are some unsolved questions. What is the result of the perturbation for closed operators $T \in C(X, Y)$ when δT is a linear operators? What is the expression of the Moore-Penrose inverse $(T + \delta T)^\dagger$ and how to estimate the upper bounds of $\|\bar{T}^\dagger\|$ and $\|\bar{T}^\dagger - T^\dagger\|$ when X, Y are Hilbert spaces? The first question has been solved in [7]. Now we discuss the second question in this paper.

*E-mail: jsdfp@163.com

Let H, K be Hilbert spaces, $T \in C(H, K)$ defined on $D(T)$, $\delta T \in L(H, K)$ be a linear operators. We introduce a new norm $\|\cdot\|_T$ on $D(T)$ such that $(D(T), \|\cdot\|_T)$ be a Hilbert spaces and give the expression of $(T + \delta T)^\dagger$ and the upper bounds of $\|\bar{T}^\dagger\|$ and $\|\bar{T}^\dagger - T^\dagger\|$ when δT is a bounded linear operators on $(D(T), \|\cdot\|_T)$.

2 Preliminaries

Let X, Y be Banach spaces, $L(X, Y)$, $C(X, Y)$ and $B(X, Y)$ denote the set of linear operators, densely-defined closed operators and bounded linear operators from X to Y , respectively. For an operator $T \in L(X, Y)$, $D(T)$, $R(T)$, $\ker T$ denoted by the domain, the range and the null spaces of T , respectively.

Let V be a closed subspace of X . Recall that V is complemented in X if there is a closed subspace U in X such that $V \cap U = \{0\}$ and $X = V + U$. In this case, we set $X = V \dot{+} U$ and $U = V^c$.

Definition 2.1 [7] Let $T \in C(X, Y)$. If there is $S \in C(Y, X)$ with $D(S) \supset R(T)$ and $R(S) \subset D(T)$ such that

$$TST = T \text{ on } D(T), \quad STS = S \text{ on } D(S),$$

then S is called a generalized inverse of T , which is also denoted by T^+ .

Clearly, $P = I - ST$ (resp. $Q = TS$) are idempotent operators on $D(T)$ (resp. $D(S)$) with $R(P) = \ker T$ (resp. $R(Q) = R(T)$).

Proposition 2.1 Let $T \in C(X, Y)$. Then $T^+ \in C(Y, X)$ exists if and only if

$$X = \ker T \oplus \overline{R(T^+)}, \quad Y = \overline{R(T)} \oplus \ker T^+.$$

In addition, T^+ is bounded if $R(T)$ closed.

Proof. (\Rightarrow). If $T^+ \in C(Y, X)$, then we have

$$D(T) = R(S) + \ker T, \quad D(S) = R(T) + \ker S.$$

So the assertion follows since $D(T)$ (resp. $D(S)$) are densely in X (resp. Y).

(\Leftarrow). See Proposition 2.2 in [7].

Lemma 2.1 [7] Let $T \in C(X, Y)$ such that T^+ exists. Let $\delta T: D(\delta T) \rightarrow D(T^+)$ be a linear operators. Assume that $I + \delta TT^+: D(T^+) \rightarrow D(T^+)$ is bijective. Put $\bar{T} = T + \delta T$ and $G = T^+(I + \delta TT^+)^{-1}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $R(\bar{T}) \cap \ker T^+ = \{0\}$;
- (2) $\bar{T}G\bar{T} = \bar{T}$, $G\bar{T}G = G$ and $R(\bar{T}^+) = R(T^+)$, $\ker \bar{T}^+ = \ker T^+$.
- (3) $(I + \delta TT^+)^{-1}\bar{T}$ maps $\ker T$ into $R(T)$;
- (4) $(I + \delta TT^+)^{-1}R(\bar{T}) = R(T)$;
- (5) $(I + T^+\delta T)^{-1}\ker T = \ker \bar{T}$.

Let H and K be Hilbert spaces. For $T \in C(H, K)$, let $P_{\overline{R(T)}}$ (resp. $P_{\ker T}$) denote the orthogonal projection from K (resp. H) to $\overline{R(T)}$ (resp. $\ker T$).

Definition 2.2 Let $T \in C(H, K)$. Then there is a unique $S \in C(K, H)$ with $D(S) = R(T) + R(T)^\perp$ and $R(S) = \ker T^\perp \cap D(T)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} TST &= T \text{ on } D(T), & STS &= S \text{ on } D(S), \\ TS &= P_{\overline{R(T)}} \text{ on } D(S), & ST &= I - P_{\ker T} \text{ on } D(T). \end{aligned}$$

The operator S is called the Moore–Penrose inverse of T , denoted by T^\dagger . Clearly, $\ker T^\dagger = R(T)^\perp$ and $R(T^\dagger) = \ker T^\perp \cap D(T)$. In addition, if $R(T)$ is closed, then S is bounded.

3 Perturbation analysis of M-P inverse on Hilbert spaces

In this section, we investigate the expression of M-P inverse \bar{T}^\dagger and the upper bound of $\|\bar{T}^\dagger\|$ and $\|\bar{T}^\dagger - T^\dagger\|$.

$\forall x \in H$, let

$$\|x\|_G = \|x\| + \|Tx\|,$$

then we know T is closed if and only if $(D(T), \|\cdot\|_G)$ is a Banach space ([11, P191]). Clearly T is a bounded linear operators on $(D(T), \|\cdot\|_G)$ since $\|Tx\| \leq \|x\|_G$.

Denote $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ be a inner product on H . $\forall x, y \in D(T)$, let

$$(x, y)_T = (x, y)_H + (Tx, Ty)_K.$$

It is easy to check that $(x, y)_T$ is a inner product on $D(T)$.

Let

$$\|x\|_T^2 = (x, x)_T,$$

then

$$\|x\|_T^2 = (x, x)_T = (x, x)_H + (Tx, Tx)_K = \|x\|^2 + \|Tx\|^2,$$

that is,

$$\|x\|_T = (\|x\|^2 + \|Tx\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \|x\|_G \leq \|x\|_T \leq \|x\|_G,$$

we know $\|\cdot\|_G$ equivalence to $\|\cdot\|_T$. So T is closed if and only if $(D(T), \|\cdot\|_T)$ is a Hilbert space. For convenience, we denote $(D(T), \|\cdot\|_T)$ by D_T in the context.

Consider a mapping as following:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : D(T) \subset H &\rightarrow D_T \\ \tau x &= x, \quad \forall x \in D(T) \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, τ is defined on $D(T)$ and $R(\tau) = D_T$.

Let $x_n \subset D(T)$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x$, $\tau x_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_T} y$, then

$$0 \leftarrow \|\tau x_n - y\|_T^2 = \|x_n - y\|^2 + \|T(x_n - y)\|^2.$$

So $\|x_n - y\| \rightarrow 0$. This indicate $y = \tau x = x \in D(T)$. Hence, $\tau \in C(H, D_T)$.

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^\dagger &= \rho \in B(D_T, H); \\ \rho x &= x, \quad x \in D_T. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1 [6] Let $A \in C(L, K), B \in C(H, L)$ with $R(A), R(B), R(AB)$ closed and $R(B) \subseteq D(A)$. Assume that $AB \in C(H, K)$. Then

$$(AB)^\dagger = P_{\ker(AB)^\perp} (B^\dagger (A^\dagger A B B^\dagger)^\dagger A^\dagger) \times \\ \{A(A^\dagger A B B^\dagger)(A^\dagger A B B^\dagger)^\dagger A^\dagger + (A^\dagger)^*(A^\dagger A B B^\dagger)(A^\dagger A B B^\dagger)^\dagger A^* - I\}^{-1}.$$

Lemma 3.2 Let $T \in C(H, K)$, then $T^+ \in B(K, H)$ if and only if $T^+ \in B(K, D_T)$, and in this case

$$\|T^+\|^2 \leq \|T^+\|_T^2 \leq \|T^+\|^2 + \|TT^+\|^2.$$

Proof.

If $T^+ \in B(K, H)$, then $TT^+ \in B(K)$. $\forall x \in K$,

$$\|T^+x\|_T^2 = \|T^+x\|^2 + \|T(T^+x)\|^2 \leq (\|T^+\|^2 + \|TT^+\|^2)\|x\|^2.$$

Hence, $T^+ \in B(K, D_T)$ and $\|T^+\|_T^2 \leq \|T^+\|^2 + \|TT^+\|^2$.

Conversely, if $T^+ \in B(K, D_T)$, then $\forall x \in K$,

$$\|T^+x\|^2 = \|T^+x\|_T^2 - \|TT^+x\|^2 \leq \|T^+x\|_T^2.$$

Hence, $T^+ \in B(K, H)$ and $\|T^+\| \leq \|T^+\|_T$.

From the above, we have

$$\|T^+\|^2 \leq \|T^+\|_T^2 \leq \|T^+\|^2 + \|TT^+\|^2.$$

Lemma 3.3 Let $T \in C(H, K)$ with $R(T)$ closed. If T has generalized inverse T^+ , then $T^\dagger \in B(K, H)$ and

$$T^\dagger = -P_{\ker T^\perp} (I + P(I - P - P^*)^{-1})T^+(I - Q - Q^*)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Since $R(T)$ closed, we have $T^+ \in B(K, H)$. So $T^+ \in B(K, D_T)$ by Lemma 3.2. Thus, $Q = TT^+ \in B(K)$, $P = I - T^+T \in B(D_T)$ are idempotent operators. Now we consider the Moore-Penrose inverse T^\dagger of T on D_T . From [4], we have $T^\dagger \in B(K, D_T)$ and

$$T^\dagger = -(I + P(I - P - P^*)^{-1})T^+(I - Q - Q^*)^{-1}.$$

Since $T^\dagger \in B(K, D_T)$, we have $T^\dagger \in B(K, H)$ by Lemma 3.2. Noting that $T \in C(H, K)$ is a compound operator by $T \in B(D_T, K)$ and $\tau \in C(H, D_T)$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$T^\dagger = -P_{\ker T^\perp} (I + P(I - P - P^*)^{-1})T^+(I - Q - Q^*)^{-1}.$$

Theorem 3.1 Let $T \in C(H, K)$ with $T^\dagger \in B(K, H)$, $\delta T \in B(D_T, K)$ such that $\bar{T} = T + \delta T$ closed, $D(T) \subseteq D(\delta T)$. If $I + \delta T T^\dagger$ is invertible and $R(\bar{T}) \cap N(T^\dagger) = \{0\}$, then $\bar{T}^\dagger \in B(K, H)$ and

$$\bar{T}^\dagger = -P_{\ker \bar{T}^\perp} (I + \bar{P}(I - \bar{P} - \bar{P}^*)^{-1})G(I - \bar{T}G - (\bar{T}G)^*)^{-1},$$

where $G = T^\dagger(I + \delta T T^\dagger)^{-1}$, $\bar{P} = I - G\bar{T}$.

Proof. $\forall x \in D(T)$, there is an M such that $\|\delta T x\| \leq M\|x\|_T$ since $\delta T \in B(D_T, K)$. Thus, $\forall y \in K$,

$$\|\delta T T^\dagger y\|^2 \leq \|\delta T\|_T^2 \|T^\dagger y\|_T^2 \leq \|\delta T\|_T^2 (\|T^\dagger\|^2 + 1) \|y\|^2.$$

Hence $G = T^\dagger(I + \delta T T^\dagger)^{-1} \in B(K, H)$ be the generalized inverse of \bar{T} by Lemma 2.1. By Lemma 3.3, $\bar{T}^\dagger \in B(K, H)$ and

$$\bar{T}^\dagger = -P_{\ker \bar{T}^\perp} (I + \bar{P}(I - \bar{P} - \bar{P}^*)^{-1}) G (I - \bar{T}G - (\bar{T}G)^*)^{-1}.$$

Remark 3.1 If δT is T -bounded, i.e., there are constants $a, b > 0$ such that

$$\|\delta T x\| \leq a\|x\| + b\|Tx\|, \quad \forall x \in D(T),$$

then $\delta T \in B(D_T, K)$. Indeed,

$$\|\delta T x\|^2 \leq (a\|x\| + b\|Tx\|)^2 \leq 2(\max(a, b))^2 (\|x\|^2 + \|Tx\|^2) = 2(\max(a, b))^2 \|x\|_T^2.$$

Let M, N are two closed subspaces of H . Set

$$\delta(M, N) = \sup\{\text{dist}(\mu, N) \mid \|\mu\| = 1, \mu \in M\}.$$

We call $\hat{\delta}(M, N) = \max\{\delta(M, N), \delta(N, M)\}$ the gap between subspaces M and N .

Proposition 3.1 [11]

- (1) $\delta(M, N) = 0$ if and only if $M \subset N$
- (2) $\hat{\delta}(M, N) = 0$ if and only if $M = N$
- (3) $\hat{\delta}(M, N) = \hat{\delta}(N, M)$
- (4) $0 \leq \delta(M, N) \leq 1, 0 \leq \hat{\delta}(M, N) \leq 1$
- (5) $\hat{\delta}(M, N) = \|P - Q\|$, where P, Q are orthogonal projection on M, N , respectively.

For convenience, we set $\|\delta T\|_T = \sup_{\|x\|_T \leq 1} \frac{\|\delta T x\|}{\|x\|_T}$ if $\delta T \in B(D_T, K)$.

Lemma 3.4 Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, we have

1. $\delta(R(T), R(\bar{T})) \leq \|\delta T\|_T (\|T^\dagger\|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
2. $\delta(\ker T, \ker(\bar{T})) \leq \|\bar{T}^\dagger\| \|\delta T\|_T$.

Proof. Noting that $\|\delta T x\| \leq \|\delta T\|_T \|x\|_T$ and $\bar{T}^\dagger \in B(K, H)$.

(1). Let $u \in R(T)$ with $\|u\| = 1$, then there is a $x \in D(T)$ such that $u = Tx$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(u, R(\bar{T})) &\leq \|u - \bar{T}(T^\dagger Tx)\| = \|\delta T T^\dagger Tx\| \\ &\leq \|\delta T\|_T \|T^\dagger Tx\|_T \\ &\leq \|\delta T\|_T (\|T^\dagger\|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\delta(R(T), R(\bar{T})) \leq \|\delta T\|_T (\|T^\dagger\|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

(2). Let $x \in \ker T$ with $\|x\| = 1$, then $Tx = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(x, \ker(\bar{T})) &\leq \|x - (I - \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{T})x\| = \|\bar{T}^\dagger \delta T x\| \\ &\leq \|\bar{T}^\dagger\| \|\delta T x\| \\ &\leq \|\bar{T}^\dagger\|_T \|\delta T\|_T \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\delta(\ker T, \ker(\bar{T})) \leq \|\bar{T}^\dagger\| \|\delta T\|_T$.

Lemma 3.5 Let M and N be closed subspaces of H . Suppose that $M \cap N^\perp = \{0\}$. Then

$$\delta(M, N) = \|P_M - P_N\|.$$

Proof. If $\delta(M, N) = 1$, then $1 = \hat{\delta}(M, N) = \|P_M - P_N\|$, Thus $\delta(M, N) = \|P_M - P_N\|$.

Assume that $\delta(M, N) = \delta < 1$, then $\forall x \in M$,

$$\|(I - P_N)P_M x\| = \text{dist}(P_M x, N) \leq \|P_M x\| \delta(M, N) \leq \delta \|x\|.$$

So by Lemma 3 of [14], we know $\delta(M, N) = \|P_M - P_N\|$.

Lemma 3.6 Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\|TT^\dagger - \bar{T}\bar{T}^\dagger\| = \delta(R(T), R(\bar{T})).$$

Proof. Since $T^\dagger \in B(K, H)$, we have $\ker(T^\dagger) = R(T)^\perp$. So $\ker(T^\dagger) = \ker(\bar{T}^\dagger)$ implies $R(T) \cap \ker(\bar{T}^\dagger) = \{0\}$. By Lemma 3.5, we know

$$\|TT^\dagger - \bar{T}\bar{T}^\dagger\| = \delta(R(T), R(\bar{T})).$$

Theorem 3.2 Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(1) \quad \|\bar{T}^\dagger\| \leq \|(1 + \delta TT^\dagger)^{-1}\| (\|T^\dagger\|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

$$(2) \quad \|\bar{T}^\dagger - T^\dagger\| \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \|\bar{T}^\dagger\| \|\delta T\|_T (\|T^\dagger\|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. (1). Since $\delta T \in B(D_T, K)$, we have

$$\|\delta TT^\dagger x\| \leq \|\delta T\|_T \|T^\dagger x\|_T \leq \|\delta T\|_T (\|T^\dagger\|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|x\|.$$

Hence, $\delta TT^\dagger \in B(K)$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$G = T^+(I + \delta TT^\dagger)^{-1} \in B(K, H), \quad P = I - GT \in B(D_T), \quad Q = \bar{T}G \in B(K)$$

and $\|(I + P(I - P - P^*)^{-1})x\|_T \leq \|x\|_T, x \in D(T)$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{T}^\dagger x\|^2 &\leq \|\bar{T}^\dagger x\|_T^2 = \|(I + P(I - P - P^*)^{-1})G(I - Q - Q^*)^{-1}x\|_T^2 \\ &\leq \|G(I - Q - Q^*)^{-1}x\|_T^2 \\ &\leq \|T^\dagger(1 + \delta TT^\dagger)^{-1}(I - Q - Q^*)^{-1}x\|^2 + \|TT^\dagger(1 + \delta TT^\dagger)^{-1}(I - Q - Q^*)^{-1}x\|^2 \\ &\leq (\|T^\dagger\|^2 + 1)\|(1 + \delta TT^\dagger)^{-1}\|^2 \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

(2). Since $D(T)$ dense in H , we can extend $I - T^\dagger T$ to the whole spaces H such that $P_{\ker T}|_{D(T)} = I - T^\dagger T$ and $P_{\ker T}$ is an orthogonal projection form H onto $\ker T$. Similarly, we extend $I - \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{T}$ to the whole spaces H such that $P_{\ker(\bar{T})}|_{D(\bar{T})} = I - \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{T}$ and $P_{\ker(\bar{T})}$ is an orthogonal projection form H onto $\ker(\bar{T})$.

Clearly, $\forall x \in D(T)$, $(T^\dagger T - \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{T})x = (P_{\ker(\bar{T})} - P_{\ker T})x$.

Noting that $\ker T \cap \ker(\bar{T})^\perp = \{0\}$, we have $\|P_{\ker T} - P_{\ker(\bar{T})}\| = \delta(\ker T, \ker(\bar{T}))$ by Lemma 3.5.

$\forall y \in K$ and $\|y\| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{T}^\dagger y - T^\dagger y &= -\bar{T}^\dagger \delta TT^\dagger T T^\dagger y + \bar{T}^\dagger (\bar{T} \bar{T}^\dagger - T T^\dagger) (I - T T^\dagger) y \\ &\quad + (P_{\ker(\bar{T})} - P_{\ker T}) T^\dagger y. \end{aligned}$$

Using the proof of Proposition 7 in [14], we have

$$\|\bar{T}^\dagger y - T^\dagger y\|_T^2 \leq \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \|\bar{T}^\dagger\|^2 \|\delta T\|_T^2 (\|T^\dagger\|^2 + 1).$$

Since $\|\bar{T}^\dagger y - T^\dagger y\| \leq \|\bar{T}^\dagger y - T^\dagger y\|_T$, we have

$$\|\bar{T}^\dagger - T^\dagger\| \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \|\bar{T}^\dagger\| \|\delta T\|_T (\|T^\dagger\|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

4 Perturbation analysis for $Tx = b$ in Hilbert spaces

In this section, we consider the perturbation of the least square solution of the following two equations

- (1) $Tx = b$,
- (2) $\bar{T}\bar{x} = \bar{b}$, ($\bar{b} = b + \delta b$)

As we know the solutions of

$$\|Tx - b\| = \min_{z \in D(T)} \|Tz - b\|$$

are $x = T^\dagger b + (I - T^\dagger T)z$, $\forall z \in D(T)$, denoted by $S(T, b)$, i.e.

$$S(T, b) = \{x : x = T^\dagger b + (I - T^\dagger T)z, \forall z \in D(T)\}$$

Similarly,

$$S(\bar{T}, \bar{b}) = \{\bar{x} : \bar{x} = \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{b} + (I - \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{T})z, \forall z \in D(\bar{T})\}$$

Theorem 4.1 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, we have*

- (1) *For any solution $x = T^\dagger b + (I - T^\dagger T)z$ in $S(T, b)$, there exist $\bar{x} \in S(\bar{T}, \bar{b})$ such that*

$$\|\bar{x} - x\| \leq \|\bar{T}^\dagger\| \|(b - Tx) + (\delta b - \delta Tx)\|.$$

- (2) *For any solution $\bar{x} = \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{b} + (I - \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{T})z$ in $S(\bar{T}, \bar{b})$, there exist $x \in S(T, b)$ such that*

$$\|\bar{x} - x\| \leq \|T^\dagger\| \|(\bar{b} - \bar{T}\bar{x}) - (\delta b - \delta T\bar{x})\|.$$

Proof. (1) Taking

$$\bar{x} = \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{b} + (I - \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{T})(T^\dagger b + (I - T^\dagger T)z).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{x} - x\| &= \|\bar{T}^\dagger \bar{b} + (I - \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{T})(T^\dagger b + (I - T^\dagger T)z) - (T^\dagger b + (I - T^\dagger T)z)\| \\ &= \|\bar{T}^\dagger \bar{b} - (\bar{T}^\dagger \bar{T})(T^\dagger b + (I - T^\dagger T)z)\| \\ &= \|\bar{T}^\dagger \delta b + (I - \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{T})T^\dagger b - \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{T}(I - T^\dagger T)z + (\bar{T}^\dagger - T^\dagger)b\| \\ &= \|\bar{T}^\dagger \delta b + (I - \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{T})T^\dagger b - \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{T}(I - T^\dagger T)z \\ &\quad + (-\bar{T}^\dagger \delta T T^\dagger b + \bar{T}^\dagger (I - T T^\dagger)b - (I - \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{T})T^\dagger b)\| \\ &= \|\bar{T}^\dagger (\bar{b} - \bar{T}\bar{x})\| \\ &\leq \|\bar{T}^\dagger\| \|(b - Tx) + (\delta b - \delta Tx)\|. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Taking

$$x = T^\dagger b + (I - T^\dagger T)(\bar{T}^\dagger \bar{b} + (I - \bar{T}^\dagger \bar{T})z).$$

By similarly computation to (1), we have

$$\|\bar{x} - x\| \leq \|T^\dagger\| \|(\bar{b} - \bar{T}\bar{x}) - (\delta b - \delta T\bar{x})\|.$$

Theorem 4.2 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{T}^\dagger \bar{b} - T^\dagger b\| &\leq \|(1 + \delta T T^\dagger)^{-1}\| (\|T^\dagger\|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\delta b\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \|(1 + \delta T T^\dagger)^{-1}\| \|\delta T\|_T (1 + \|T^\dagger\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|b\|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{T}^\dagger \bar{b} - T^\dagger b\| &= \|\bar{T}^\dagger \delta b + (\bar{T}^\dagger - T^\dagger)b\| \\ &\leq \|\bar{T}^\dagger \delta b\| + \|\bar{T}^\dagger - T^\dagger\| \|b\| \\ &\leq \|(1 + \delta T T^\dagger)^{-1}\| (\|T^\dagger\|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\delta b\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \|(1 + \delta T T^\dagger)^{-1}\| \|\delta T\|_T (1 + \|T^\dagger\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|b\|. \end{aligned}$$

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we extend the perturbation analysis of Moore-Penrose inverse for bounded linear operators to closed operators. By virtue of a new inner product, we give the expression of the Moore-Penrose inverse \bar{T}^\dagger and the upper bounds of $\|\bar{T}^\dagger\|$ and $\|\bar{T}^\dagger - T^\dagger\|$. As an application, we study the perturbation of the least square solution. These results enrich and improve the perturbation theory of Moore-Penrose inverse described in [16].

References

- [1] A. Ben-Israel, T.N.E. Greville, Generalized inverses: theory and applications. first edition., Wiley, New York, 1974 (second ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003).
- [2] G. Chen, Y. Xue, Perturbation analysis for the operator equation $Tx = b$ in Banach spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 212(1997), 107-125.
- [3] G. Chen, Y. Wei, Y. Xue, Perturbation analysis of the least square solution in Hilbert spaces. Linear Alebra Appl. 244(1996), 69-80.
- [4] G. Chen, Y. Xue, The expression of generalized inverse of the perturbed operators under type I perturbation in Hilbert spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 285(1998), 1-6.
- [5] J. Ding, On the expression of generalized inverses of perturbed bounded linear operators. Missouri J. Math. Sci. 15(2003), 40-47.
- [6] F. Du, Y. Xue, The reverse order law for generalized inverse of closed operators. Chinese Quart. J. Math. (2013).

- [7] F. Du, Y. Xue, The characterizations of the stable perturbation of a closed operator by a linear operator in Banach spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438(2013), 2046-2053.
- [8] C.W. Groetsch, Representations of generalized inverse. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 49(1975), 154-157.
- [9] Q. Huang, W. Zhai, Perturbation and expressions for generalized inverses in Banach spaces and Moore-penrose inverses in Hilbert spaces of closed operators. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 435(2011), 117-127.
- [10] Q. Huang, On perturbations for oblique projection generalized inverses of closed linear operators in Banach spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 434(12)(2011), 2368-2474.
- [11] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [12] M.Z. Nashed, *Generalized inverse and Applications*. Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [13] Y. Wang, H. Zhang, Perturbation analysis for oblique projection generalized inverses of closed operators in Banach spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 426(2007), 1-11.
- [14] Y. Xue, G. Chen, Some equivalent conditions of stable perturbation of operators in Hilbert spaces. *Applied Math. comput.* 147(2004), 65-772.
- [15] Y. Xue, Stable perturbation in Banach algebras. *J. Aust. Math. soc.* 83(2007), 1-14.
- [16] Y. Xue, *Stable Perturbations of Operators and Related Topics*, World Scientific, 2012.
- [17] Q. Xu, W. Wei, Y. Gu, Sharp norm-estimation for Moore-Penrose inverses of stable perturbations of Hilbert C^* -module operators. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 47(6)(2010), 4735-4758.