

Multi-command Tactile and Auditory Brain Computer Interface based on Head Position Stimulation

H. Mori¹, Y. Matsumoto¹, Z.R. Struzik^{2,3}, K. Mori⁴, S. Makino¹, D. Mandic⁵, and T.M. Rutkowski^{1,2}

¹Life Science Center of TARA, University of Tsukuba, Japan; ²RIKEN Brain Science Institute, Wako-shi, Japan;

³The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan; ⁴Research Institute of National Rehabilitation Center for Persons with Disabilities, Tokorozawa, Japan; ⁵Imperial College London, London, UK

Correspondence: T.M. Rutkowski, Life Science Center of TARA, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan.
E-mail: tomek@tara.tsukuba.ac.jp

Abstract. We study the extent to which vibrotactile stimuli delivered to the head of a subject can serve as a platform for a brain computer interface (BCI) paradigm. Six head positions are used to evoke combined somatosensory and auditory (via bone-conduction effect) brain responses, in order to define a multimodal tactile and auditory brain computer interface (taBCI). Experimental results on subjects performing online taBCI, using stimuli with a moderately fast inter-stimulus-interval (ISI), validate the taBCI paradigm, while the feasibility of the concept is illuminated through information-transfer rate case studies.

Keywords: EEG, P300, somatosensory evoked potentials, auditory evoked potentials, tactile BCI

1. Introduction

The state of the art BCI relies mostly on mental visual and motor imagery paradigms, which require long training and non-disabled vision of the subjects. Recently alternative solutions have been proposed to utilize spatial auditory [Halder et al., 2010; Schreuder et al., 2010] or tactile (somatosensory) modalities [Muller-Putz et al., 2006; van der Waal et al., 2012] in order to enhance brain-computer interface comfort or to boost the information-transfer-rate (ITR) achieved by users. The concept described in this abstract of utilizing brain somatosensory (tactile) modality opens up the attractive possibility of targeting the tactile sensory domain, which is not as demanding as vision during operation of robotic interfaces (wheelchair, prosthesis arm, etc.) or visual computer applications. The first successful trial to utilize steady-state somatosensory responses (SSSR) to create a BCI [Muller-Putz et al., 2006] targeted a very low stimulus frequency in a range of 20-31 Hz to elucidate the subject's attentional modulation, which was then used to create BCI commands. A very recent report [van der Waal et al., 2012] proposed using a Braille stimulator with a 100 ms long static push stimuli delivered to six fingers to evoke a somatosensory response related P300. Very encouraging results were obtained with 7.8bit/min on average and 27 bit/min for the best subject. Here we propose to combine the two above-mentioned modalities in the taBCI paradigm, which relies on P300 response evoked by the audio and tactile stimuli delivered simultaneously via the vibrotactile exciters attached to the head positions, thus benefiting from the bone-conduction effect for audio. This offers a viable alternative for individuals lacking somatosensory responses from the fingers.

2. Material and Methods

In the experiments reported in this paper eleven BCI-naïve subjects took part (mean age 21.82 with standard deviation of 0.87). All the experiments were performed at the Life Science Center of TARA, University of Tsukuba, Japan. The psychophysical and online EEG tBCI paradigm experiments were conducted in accordance with WMA Declaration of Helsinki - Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects. The subjects performed the experiments with monetary gratification. The 100 ms long stimuli in the form of sinusoidal waves were delivered to subject head areas via the tactile exciters HiWave HIAX19C01-8 working in the range of 300-20,000 Hz. The vibrotactile stimulators were arranged as follows. The pairs of exciters were attached on both sides of the forehead, chin, and behind the ears respectively. During the online tBCI experiments the EEG signals were captured with eight dry electrodes portable wireless EEG amplifier system g.MOBllab+ & g.SAHARA by g.tec. The electrodes were attached to the following head locations Cz, CPz, P3, P4, C3, C4, CP5, and CP6, as in the 10/10 extended international system (see topographic plot in Fig. 1). The ground and reference electrodes were attached behind the left and right ears respectively. In order to limit electromagnetic interference the subject's hands were additionally grounded with armbands connected to the amplifier ground. No electromagnetic interference was observed from head attached vibrotactile exciters. The recorded EEG signals were processed by the in-house enhanced BCI2000 application using an LDA classifier with features drawn from 0-600 ms ERP intervals. The

sampling rate was set to 256 Hz, high pass filter at 0.1 Hz, the low pass filter at 40 Hz. The ISI was 400 ms and each stimuli length was 100ms. The subject was instructed to spell six digit random sequences of numbers 1-6, which were represented by the exciters in each session. Each target was presented five times in a single spelling trial, and the averages of five ERPs were later used for the classification.




Figure 1. The averaged ERP responses of the eleven BCI-naïve subjects taking part in the experiment. The left panel presents a topographical plot with the target vs. non-target ERP correlations plotted at the maximum value at 500 ms. The right panel presents the averaged ERPs (11 subjects; three experimental sessions each with six targets repeated five times) for targets in the top panel; non-targets in the middle; and correlation coefficients at the bottom.

3. Results and Discussion

The averaged ERP responses from the eleven BCI-naïve subjects are presented in Fig. 1 for the target and non-target digits separately, together with correlation coefficient plots marking the most discriminable latencies. A topographic plot of the correlation coefficient distributions is also presented in Fig. 1, supporting the choice of the eight dry EEG electrodes covering the parietal cortex. The results of online BCI interfacing sessions are summarized in Table 1 in the form of mean accuracies above the theoretical chance level of 16.6%. In our experiments only a single BCI-naïve subject obtained 100% and also one obtained 0% for the six digit sequence spelling accuracy with 5-trials averaging procedure. The presented preliminary, yet encouraging results, are a step forward in the search for new BCI paradigms.

Table 1. Summary of the online taBCI interfacing results with the 11 naïve subjects (one subject scored 100% accuracy reaching 12.9 bit/min and one 0% with 0 bit/min).

ERP averages	Mean accuracy	Accuracy standard deviation	Mean ITR	ITR standard deviation
5	50%	27%	3.22 bit/min	3.6 bit/min

Acknowledgements

This research was supported in part by the Strategic Information and Communications R&D Promotion Programme no. 121803027 of The Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communication in Japan, and by KAKENHI, the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science grant no. 12010738. We also acknowledge the technical support from YAMAHA Sound & IT Development Division in Hamamatsu, Japan.

References

- [Muller-Putz et al., 2006] Muller-Putz, G., Scherer, R., Neuper, C., and Pfurtscheller, G. (2006). Steady-state somatosensory evoked potentials: suitable brain signals for brain-computer interfaces? *Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering, IEEE Transactions on*, 14(1):30–37.
- [Halder et al., 2010] Halder, S., Rea, M., Andreoni, R., Nijboer, F., Hammer, E., Kleih, S., Birbaumer, N., and Kübler, A. (2010). An auditory oddball brain-computer interface for binary choices. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 121(4):516 – 523.
- [Schreuder et al., 2010] Schreuder, M., Blankertz, B., and Tangermann, M. (2010). A new auditory multi-class brain-computer interface paradigm: Spatial hearing as an informative cue. *PLoS ONE*, 5(4):e9813.
- [van der Waal et al., 2012] van der Waal, M., Severens, M., Geuze, J., and Desain, P. (2012). Introducing the tactile speller: an ERP-based brain-computer interface for communication. *Journal of Neural Engineering*, 9(4):045002.