

Structures and waves in a nonlinear heat-conducting medium

Stefka Dimova,

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Sofia, Bulgaria

`dimova@fmi.uni-sofia.bg`

Milena Dimova, Daniela Vasileva,

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Acad. Sci.

`mkoleva,vasileva@math.bas.bg`

The final publication will appear in Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics (<http://www.springer.com/series/10533>), Numerical Methods for PDEs: Theory, Algorithms and their Applications.

Abstract

The paper is an overview of the main contributions of a Bulgarian team of researchers to the problem of finding the possible structures and waves in the open nonlinear heat conducting medium, described by a reaction-diffusion equation. Being posed and actively worked out by the Russian school of A. A. Samarskii and S.P. Kurdyumov since the seventies of the last century, this problem still contains open and challenging questions.

1 Introduction

A very general form of the model of heat structures reads as follows:

$$u_t = \sum_{i=1}^N (k_i(u)u_{x_i})_{x_i} + Q(u), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1)$$

where the heat conductivity coefficients $k_i(u) \geq 0$ and the heat source $Q(u) \geq 0$ are nonlinear functions of the temperature $u(t, x) \geq 0$.

Models such as (1) are studied by many researchers in various contexts. A part of this research is devoted to semilinear equations: $k_i(u) \equiv 1$, $Q(u) = \lambda e^u$ (Frank-Kamenetskii equation) and $Q(u) = u^\beta$, $\beta > 1$. After the pioneer work of Fujita [28], these equations and some generalizations of theirs are studied intensively by many authors, including J. Bebernes, A. Bressan, H. Brezis, D. Eberly, A. Friedman, V.A. Galaktionov, I.M. Gelfand, M.A. Herrero, R. Kohn, L.A. Lepin, S.A. Posashkov, A.A. Samarskii, J.L. Vázquez, J.J.L. Velázquez. The book [6] contains a part of these investigations and a large bibliography.

The quasilinear equation is studied by D.G. Aronson, A. Friedman, H.A. Levine, S. Kaplan, L.A. Peletier, J.L. Vázquez and others. The contributions of the Russian school are significant. The unusual localization effect of the blow-up boundary regimes is discovered by numerical experiment in the work

of A.A. Samarskii and M.I. Sobol in 1963 [51]. The problem of localization for quasilinear equations with a source is posed by S.P. Kurdyumov [42] in 1974. The works of I.M. Gelfand, A.S. Kalashnikov, the scientists of the school of A.A. Samarskii and S.P. Kurdyumov are devoted to the challenging physical and mathematical problems, related with this model and its generalizations. Among them are: localization in space of the process of burning, different types of blow-up, arising of structures - traveling and standing waves, complex structures with varying degrees of symmetry. The combination of the computational experiment with the progress in the qualitative and analytical methods of the theory of ordinary and partial differential equations, the Lie and the Lie-Bäcklund group theory, has been crucial for the success of these investigations. The book [50] contains many of these results, achieved to 1986, in the review [34] there are citations of later works.

A special part of these investigations is devoted to finding and studying different kinds of self-similar and invariant solutions of equation (1) with power nonlinearities :

$$k_i(u) = u^{\sigma_i}, \quad Q(u) = u^\beta. \quad (2)$$

This choice is suggested by the following reasoning.

First, such temperature dependencies are usual for many real processes [5], [54], [57]. For example, when $\sigma_i = \sigma = 2.5$, $\beta \leq 5.2$, equation (1) describes thermo-nuclear combustion in plasma in the case of electron heat-conductivity; the parameters $\sigma = 0$, $2 \leq \beta \leq 3$ correspond to the models of autocatalytic processes with diffusion in the chemical reactors; $\sigma \approx 6.5$ corresponds to the radiation heat-conductivity of the high-temperature plasma in the stars, and so on.

Second, it is shown in [25], that in the class of power functions the symmetry of equation (1) is maximal in some sense - the equation admits a rich variety of invariant solutions. In general, almost all of the dissipative structures known so far are invariant or partially invariant solutions of nonlinear equations. The investigations of the dissipative structures provide reasons to believe that the invariant solutions describe the attractors of the dissipative structures' evolution and thus they characterize important internal properties of the nonlinear dissipative medium.

Third, this rich set of invariant solutions of equation (1) with power nonlinearities is necessary for the successful application of the methods for investigating the same equation in the case of more general dependencies $k_i(u)$, $Q(u)$. By using the methods of operator comparison [29] and stationary states [32] it is possible to analyze the properties of the solutions (such as localization, blow-up, asymptotic behavior) of whole classes general nonlinear equations. The method of approximate self-similar solutions [31], developed in the works of A.A. Samarskii and V.A. Galaktionov, makes it possible to put in accordance with such general equations some other, basic equations. The latter could have invariant solutions even if the original equations do not have such. Moreover, the original equations may significantly differ from the basic equations, and nevertheless their solutions tend to the invariant solutions of the basic equations at the asymptotic stage.

Finally, in the case of power coefficients the dissipation and the source are coordinated so that complex structures arise, moreover, a spectrum of structures, burning consistently, occurs.

Below we report about the main contributions of the Bulgarian research team: S.N. Dimova, M.S. Kaschiev, M.G. Koleva, D.P. Vasileva, T.P. Chernogorova, to the problem of finding the possible evolution patterns in the heat-conducting medium, described by the reaction-diffusion equation (1), (2). The outline of the paper is as follows. The main notions, needed further, are introduced in Section 2 on the simplest and the most studied radially symmetric case. The specific peculiarities of the numerical methods, developed and applied to solve the described problems, are systematized in Section 3. A brief report on the main achievements of the team is made in Section 4. Section 5 contains some open problems.

2 The radially symmetric case, the main notions

Let us introduce the main notions to be used further on the Cauchy problem for equation (1) with initial data:

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \sup u_0(x) < \infty.$$

This problem could have global or blow-up solutions. The global in time solution is defined and bounded in \mathbb{R}^N for every t . The *unbounded (blow-up) solution* is defined in \mathbb{R}^N on a finite interval $[0, T_0)$, moreover

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow T_0^-} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} u(t, x) = +\infty.$$

The time T_0 is called *blow-up time*.

The unbounded solution of the Cauchy problem with finite support initial data $u_0(x)$ is called *localized (in a strong sense)*, if the set

$$\Omega_L = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : u(T_0^-, x) := \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow T_0^-} u(t, x) > 0\}$$

is bounded in \mathbb{R}^N . The set Ω_L is called *localization region*. The solution localized in a strong sense grows infinitely for $t \rightarrow T_0^-$ in a finite region

$$\omega_L = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : u(T_0^-, x) = \infty\}$$

in general different from Ω_L .

If for $k_i(u) \equiv 0$ the condition

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{du}{Q(u)} < +\infty \quad (3)$$

holds, then the solution of the Cauchy problem is unbounded [50]. The heating of the medium happens in a blow-up regime, moreover the blow-up time of every point of the medium is different, depending on its initial temperature.

If for $Q(u) \equiv 0$ the condition

$$\int_0^1 \frac{k_i(u)}{u} du < +\infty, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (4)$$

holds, then a **finite speed** of heat propagation takes place for a finite support initial perturbation in an absolutely cold medium [50].

In the case (2) of power nonlinearities it is sufficient to have $\sigma_i > 0, \beta > 1$ for the conditions (3) and (4) to be satisfied. Then $k_i(0) = 0$ and equation (1) degenerates. In general it has a generalized solution, which could have discontinuous derivatives on the surface of degeneration $\{u = 0\}$.

2.1 The basic blow-up regimes will be explained on the radially symmetric version of the Cauchy problem for equation (1):

$$u_t = \frac{1}{x^{N-1}} (x^{N-1} u^\sigma u_x)_x + u^\beta, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^1, \quad t > 0, \quad \sigma > 0, \quad \beta > 1, \quad (5)$$

$$u_x(t, 0) = 0, \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x) \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq x < l, \quad u_0(x) \equiv 0, \quad x \geq l. \quad (6)$$

If $u_0(x)$ satisfies the additional conditions $u_0(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^1)$, $(u_0^\sigma u_0')(0) = 0$, there exists unique local (in time) generalized solution $u = u(t, x)$ of problem (5)-(6), which is a nonnegative continuous function in $\mathbb{R}_+^1 \times (0, T)$, where $T \in (0, \infty]$ is the finite or infinite time of existence of the solution (see the

bibliography in the review [39]). Moreover $u(t, x)$ is a classical solution in a vicinity of every point (t, x) , where $u(t, x)$ is strictly positive. It could not have the necessary smoothness at the points of degeneracy, but the heat flux $-x^{N-1}u^\sigma u_x$ must be continuous. It means that $u^\sigma u_x = 0$ everywhere $u = 0$.

Equation (5) admits a *self-similar solution (s.-s.s.)* [50]:

$$u_s(t, x) = \varphi(t)\theta_s(\xi) = \left(1 - \frac{t}{T_0}\right)^{\frac{-1}{\beta-1}} \theta_s(\xi), \quad (7)$$

$$\xi = x/\psi(t) = x/\left(1 - \frac{t}{T_0}\right)^{\frac{m}{\beta-1}}, \quad m = \frac{\beta - \sigma - 1}{2}. \quad (8)$$

The s.-s.s. corresponds to initial data $u_s(0, x) = \theta_s(x)$. The function $\varphi(t)$ determines the amplitude of the solution. The *self-similar function (s.-s.f.)* $\theta_s(\xi) \geq 0$ determines the space-time structure of the s.-s.s. (7). This function satisfies the degenerate ordinary differential equation in \mathbb{R}_+^1 :

$$L(\theta_s) \equiv -\frac{1}{\xi^{N-1}}(\xi^{N-1}\theta_s^\sigma \theta_s')' + \frac{\beta - \sigma - 1}{2(\beta - 1)T_0}\xi\theta_s' + \frac{1}{(\beta - 1)T_0}\theta_s - \theta_s^\beta = 0 \quad (9)$$

and the boundary conditions:

$$\theta_s'(0) = 0, \quad \theta_s(\infty) = 0, \quad \theta_s^\sigma \theta_s'(\xi_0) = 0, \quad \text{if } \theta_s(\xi_0) = 0. \quad (10)$$

Equation (9) has two constant solutions: $\theta_s(\xi) \equiv \theta_H = (T_0(\beta - 1))^{\frac{-1}{\beta-1}}$ and $\theta_s(\xi) \equiv 0$. These two solutions play an important role in the analysis of the different solutions of equation (9). For blow-up regimes we assume $T_0 > 0$. Without loss of generality we set

$$T_0 = 1/(\beta - 1), \quad \text{then } \theta_H \equiv 1. \quad (11)$$

The analysis of the solutions of problem (9), (10), carried out in the works [49], [52], [26], [1], (see also [50], Chapter IV), gives the following results:

- For arbitrary $1 < \beta \leq \sigma + 1$ there exist a finite support solution $\theta_s(\xi) \geq 0$.
- For $\beta < \sigma + 1$, $N \geq 1$ and $\beta = \sigma + 1$, $N > 1$ the problem has no nonmonotone solutions. The uniqueness is proved only for $\beta < \sigma + 1$, $N = 1$.

The graphs of the s.-s.f. $\theta_s(\xi)$ for $\beta = \sigma + 1 = 3$, $N = 1, 2, 3$ are shown in Fig. 1, the graphs of the s.-s.f. $\theta_s(\xi)$ for $\beta = 2.4 < \sigma + 1 = 3$, $N = 1, 2, 3$ – in Fig. 2.

- For $\beta > \sigma + 1$, $N \geq 1$ the problem has no finite support solutions.
- If $\sigma + 1 < \beta < \beta_s = (\sigma + 1)(N + 2)/(N - 2)_+$, (β_s – the critical Sobolev exponent), the problem has at least one solution $\theta_s(\xi) > 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^1 , strictly monotone decreasing in ξ and having the asymptotics

$$\theta_s(\xi) = C_s \xi^{-2/(\beta - \sigma - 1)}[1 + \omega(\xi)], \quad \omega(\xi) \rightarrow 0, \quad \xi \rightarrow \infty, \quad (12)$$

$C_s = C_s(\sigma, \beta, N)$ is a constant. Later on in [33] the interval in β has been extended.

- For $N = 1$, $\beta > \sigma + 1$ the problem has at least

$$K = -[-a] - 1, \quad a = \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - \sigma - 1} > 1 \quad (13)$$

different solutions ([1], [26], [50]). Let us introduce the notations $\theta_{s,i}(\xi)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$ for them. On the basis of linear analysis and some numerical results in the works [43], [44] it has




Figure 1: $\beta = \sigma + 1$, S -regime




Figure 2: $\beta < \sigma + 1$, HS -regime

been supposed that the number of different solutions $\theta_{s,i}(\xi)$ for $\beta > \sigma + 1$ and $N \geq 1$ is $K + 1$. For $N = 1$ this result was refined [45] by using bifurcation analysis: the number of solutions is $K = [a]$, if a is not an integer, and $K = a - 1$, if a is an integer. For $N = 2, 3$ the bifurcation analysis gives the same estimate for the number of different solutions, but for $\beta \approx \sigma + 1$, $\beta > \sigma + 1$ it is violated (see 4.1).




Figure 3: $\beta > \sigma + 1$, LS -regime




Figure 4: $\beta > \sigma + 1$, LS -regime

The graphs of the four self-similar functions, existing for $\sigma = 2$, $\beta = 3.6 > \sigma + 1$ ($K = 4$) are shown in Fig. 3 ($N = 1$) and Fig. 4 ($N = 3$).

These results determine **the basic regimes of burning of the medium**, described by the s.-s.s. (7), (8). The following notions are useful for their characterization:

- *semi-width* $x_s = x_s(t)$, determined by the equation $u(t, x_s) = u(t, 0)/2$ for solutions, monotone in x and having a single maximum at the point $x = 0$;
- *front-point* x_f : $u(t, x_f) = 0$, $u^\sigma u_x(t, x_f) = 0$.

2.1.1 HS -evolution, total blow-up, $1 < \beta < \sigma + 1$

The heat diffusion is more intensive than the heat source. The semi-width and the front tend to

infinity; a *heat wave*, which covers the whole space for time T_0 , is formed. The process is not localized: $\text{mes } \Omega_L = \text{mes } \omega_L = \infty$, $x_s \rightarrow \infty$, $x_f \rightarrow \infty$, $t \rightarrow T_0^-$.

2.1.2 *S*-evolution, regional blow-up, $\beta = \sigma + 1$

The heat diffusion and the source are correlated in such a way, that leads to localization of the process in a region $\Omega_L = \omega_L = \{|x| < L_s/2\}$ of diameter L_s , called a *fundamental length* of the *S*-regime. The semi-width is constant, inside Ω_L the medium is heated to infinite temperature for time T_0 : $x_s = \text{const}$, $x_f = L_s/2$. In the case $N = 1$ the solution $\theta_s(\xi)$ (*Zmitrenko-Kurdyumov solution*) is found [52] explicitly:

$$\theta_s(\xi) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{2(\sigma+1)}{\sigma+2} \cos^2 \frac{\pi\xi}{L_s} \right)^{1/\sigma}, & |\xi| \leq \frac{L_s}{2} \\ 0 & |\xi| > \frac{L_s}{2}, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

$$L_s = \text{diam } \Omega_L = (2\pi\sqrt{\sigma+1})/\sigma, \quad x_s = L_s \arccos((2^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}})/\pi).$$

The solution (14) is called *elementary solution* of the *S*-regime for $N = 1$. In this case equation (9) is autonomous and every function, consisting of k elementary solutions, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, is a solution as well, i.e., equation (9) has a countable set of solutions.

2.1.3 *LS*-evolution, single point blow-up, $\sigma + 1 < \beta < \beta_f = \sigma + 1 + \frac{2}{N}$

Here β_f is the *critical Fujita exponent* [46]. The intensity of the source is bigger, than the diffusion. The front of the s.-s. is at infinity (12), the semi-width decreases and the medium is heated to infinite temperature in a single point:

$$\text{mes } \omega_L = 0, \quad x_s \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow T_0^-.$$

According to the different s.-s.f. $\theta_{s,i}(\xi)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, the medium burns as a *simple* structure ($i = 1$) and as *complex* structures ($i > 1$) with the same blow-up time.

2.2 Stability of the self-similar solutions

To show the important property of the s.-s. as attractors of wide classes of other solutions of the same equation, we will need of additional notions.

In the case of arbitrary finite support initial data $u_0(x)$ (6) the so called *self-similar representation* [26] of the solution $u(t, x)$ of problem (5), (6) is defined. It is determined at every time t according to the structure of the s.-s. (7), (8):

$$\Theta(t, \xi) = (1 - t/T_0)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}} u \left(t, \xi (1 - t/T_0)^{\frac{m}{\beta-1}} \right) = \varphi^{-1}(t) u(t, \xi \psi(t)). \quad (15)$$

The s.-s. $u_s(t, x)$ is called *asymptotically stable* [50], if there exists a sufficiently large class of solutions $u(t, x)$ of problem (5), (6) for initial data $u_0(x) \neq \theta_s(x)$, whose self-similar representations $\Theta(t, \xi)$ tend in some norm to $\theta_s(\xi)$ when $t \rightarrow T_0^-$:

$$\|\Theta(t, \xi) - \theta_s(\xi)\| \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow T_0^-. \quad (16)$$

The definition of the self-similar representation (15) contains the blow-up time T_0 . For theoretical investigations this is natural, but for numerical investigations definition (15) is unusable since for arbitrary initial data $u_0(x) \neq \theta_s(x)$ T_0 is not known. Therefor another approach has been proposed and numerically implemented (for $N = 1$) in [49], [26]. This approach gives a possibility to investigate **the structural stability** of the unbounded solutions in a special “**self-similar**” norm, consistent

for every t with the geometric form of the solution and not using explicitly the blow-up time T_0 . A new self-similar representation, consistent with the structure of the s.-s.s. (7), (8) is introduced:

$$\Theta(t, \xi) = u(t, \xi(\gamma(t))^{-m})/\gamma(t), \quad \gamma(t) = \frac{\max_x u(t, x)}{\max_\xi \theta_s(\xi)}. \quad (17)$$

If the limit (16) takes place for $\Theta(t, \xi)$, given in (17), then the self-similar solution $u_s(t, x)$ is called *structurally stable*.

The notion of structural stability, i.e., the preservation in time of some characteristics of the structures, such as geometric form, rate of growth, localization in space, is tightly connected with the notion invariance of the solutions with respect to the transformations, involving the time [30]. This determines its advisability for investigating the asymptotic behavior of the blow-up solutions.

In the case of complex structures another notion of stability is needed, namely **metastability**. The self-similar solution $u_s(t, x)$ is called *metastable*, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a class of initial data $u(0, x) \approx \theta_s(x)$ and a time T , $T_0 - T \ll T_0$ such that

$$\| \Theta(t, \xi) - \theta_s(\xi) \| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T$$

holds for the self-similar representations (17) of the corresponding solutions. This means, that the metastable s.-s.s. preserves its complex space-time structure during the evolution up to time T , very close to the blow-up time T_0 . After that time the complex structure could degenerate into one or several simple structures.

3 Numerical methods

To solve the reaction-diffusion problem (5), (6) and the corresponding self-similar problem (9), (10), as well as their generalizations both for systems of such equations and for the 2D case, appropriate numerical methods and algorithms were developed.

The difficulties, common for the nonstationary and for the self-similar problems were: the nonlinearity, the dependence on a number of parameters (not less than 3); insufficient smoothness of the solutions on the degeneration surface, where the solutions vanish. In the case of radial symmetry for $N > 1$ and polar coordinates in the 2D case additional singularity at $x = 0$ ($\xi = 0$) occurs.

The main challenge in solving the self-similar problems is the non-uniqueness of their solutions for some ranges of the parameters. The following problems arise: to find a “good” approximation to each of the solutions; to construct an iteration process, converging fast to the desired solution (corresponding to the initial approximation) and ensuring sufficient accuracy; to construct a computational process, which enables finding all different solutions for given parameters (σ, β, N) in one and the same way; to determine in advance where to translate the boundary conditions from infinity, for example (10), for the asymptotics (12) to be fulfilled.

The main difficulty in solving the nonstationary problems is the blow-up of their solutions: blow-up in a single point, in a finite region and in the whole space. And two others, related with it: the moving front of the solution, where it is often not sufficiently smooth; the instability of the blow-up solutions.

3.1 Initial approximations to the different s.-s.f. for a given set of parameters

To overcome the difficulty with the initial approximations to the different s.-s.f., we have used the approach, proposed and used in the works [43], [44]. Based on the hypothesis that in the region of their nonmonotonicity the s.-s.f. have small oscillations around the homogenous solution θ_H , this

approach consists of “linearization” of the self-similar equation around θ_H and followed by “sewing” the solutions of the resulting linear equation with the known asymptotics at infinity, e.g. (12).

Our experiments showed [21], that when $\beta \rightarrow \sigma + 1 + 0$ the hypothesis about small oscillations of the s.-s.f. around θ_H , is not fulfilled. The detailed analytical and numerical investigations [21], [38], [12] of the “linear approximations” in the radially symmetric case for $N = 1, 2, 3$, showed that even in the case these approximations take negative values in vicinity of the origin, they still give the true number of crossings with θ_H and thus, the character of nonmonotonicity of the s.-s. functions. Recommendations of how to use the linear approximations in these cases are made in [21].

Let us note, the “linear approximations” are expressed by different special functions: the confluent hypergeometric function ${}_1F_1(a, b; z)$ and the Bessel function $J_k(z)$ for different parameter ranges within the complex plane for a and b and different ranges of the variable z . To compute these special functions, various methods were used: Taylor series expansions, expansions in ascending series of Chebyshev polynomials, rational approximations, asymptotic series [22], [15].

3.2 Numerical method for the self-similar problems

To solve the self-similar problem (9), (10) and its generalization for systems of ODE and for the 2D-case, the Continuous Analog of the Newton’s Method (CANM) was used ([12]-[21], [38], [40], [41]). Proposed by Gavurin [35], this method was further developed in [56], [48] and used for solving many nonlinear problems. The idea behind it is to reduce the stationary problem $L(\theta) = 0$ to the evolution one:

$$L'(\theta) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = -L(\theta), \quad \theta(\xi, 0) = \theta_0(\xi), \quad (18)$$

by introducing a continuous parameter t , $0 < t < \infty$, on which the unknown solution depends: $\theta = \theta(\xi, t)$. By setting $v = \partial \theta / \partial t$ and applying the Euler’s method to the Cauchy problem (18), one comes to the iteration scheme:

$$L'(\theta_n) v_n = -L(\theta_n), \quad (19)$$

$$\theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + \tau_n v_n, \quad 0 < \tau_n \leq 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$\theta_n = \theta_n(\xi) = \theta(\xi, t_n), \quad v_n = v_n(\xi) = v(\xi, t_n), \quad (20)$$

$\theta_0(\xi)$ being the initial approximation.

The linear equations (19) (or the system of such equations in the case of a two-component medium) are solved by the Galerkin Finite Element Method (GFEM) at every iteration step. The combination of the CANM and the GFEM turned out to be very successful. The linear system of the FEM with nonsymmetric matrix is solved by using the LU decomposition. The iteration process (20) converges very fast – usually less than 15-16 iterations are sufficient for stop-criterium $\|L(\theta_n)\| < 10^{-7}$. The numerical investigation of the accuracy of the method being implemented shows errors (i) of order $O(h^4)$ when using quadratic elements in the radially symmetric case, and (ii) of optimal order $O(h^2)$ when using linear elements in the same case or bilinear ones in the 2D case. To achieve the same accuracy in vicinity of the origin in the radially symmetric case for $N \geq 3$ the nonsymmetric Galerkin method [27] was developed [38], [14].

The computing of the solutions of the linearized self-similar equation and their sewing with the known asymptotics is implemented in a software, so the process is fully automatized. The software enables the computing of the self-similar functions for all of the blow-up regimes, moreover in the case of LS -regime only the number k of the self-similar function $\theta_{s,k}(\xi)$ must be given.

3.3 Numerical method for the reaction-diffusion problems

The Galerkin Finite Element Method (GFEM), based on the Kirchhoff transformation of the nonlinear heat-conductivity coefficient:

$$G(u) = \int_0^u s^\sigma ds = u^{\sigma+1}/(\sigma + 1), \quad (21)$$

was used ([3], [4], [22], [12]-[16], [18]-[20], [23], [53]) for solving the reaction-diffusion problems. This transformation is crucial for the further interpolation of the nonlinear coefficients on the basis of the finite element space and for optimizing of the computational process.

Here below we point out the main steps of the method on the problem (5), (6) in a finite interval $[0, X(t)]$ under the boundary condition $u(X(t)) = 0$. Because of the finite speed of heat propagation we choose $X = X(t)$ so as to avoid the influence of the boundary condition on the solution. The discretization is made on the Galerkin form of the problem:

Find a function $u(t, x) \in D$,

$$D = \{u : x^{(N-1)/2}u, x^{(N-1)/2}\partial u^{(\sigma+1)/2}/\partial x \in L_2, u(X(t)) = 0\},$$

which for every fixed t satisfies the integral identity

$$(u_t, v) = A(t; u, v), \quad \forall v \in H^1(0, X(t)), \quad 0 < t < T_0, \quad (22)$$

and the initial condition (6).

Here

$$(u, v) = \int_0^{X(t)} x^{N-1}u(x)v(x)dx, \quad A(t; u, v) = \int_0^{X(t)} \left[x^{N-1} \frac{\partial G(u)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + xu^\beta v \right] dx,$$

$$H^1(0, X(t)) = \{v : x^{(N-1)/2}v, x^{(N-1)/2}v' \in L_2(0, X(t)), v(X(t)) = 0\}$$

The lumped mass finite element method [55] with interpolation of the nonlinear coefficients $G(u)$ (21) and $q(u) = u^\beta$:

$$G(u) \sim G_I = \sum_{i=1}^n G(u_i)\varphi_i(x), \quad q(u) \sim q_I = \sum_{i=1}^n q(u_i)\varphi_i(x)$$

on the basis $\{\varphi_i\}, i = 1, \dots, n$, of the finite element space is used for discretization of (22). The resulting system of ordinary differential equations with respect to the vector $U(t) = (u_1(t), u_2(t), \dots, u_n(t))^T$ of the nodal values of the solution $u(t, x)$ at time t is:

$$\dot{U} = \tilde{M}^{-1}(-KG(U)) + q(U), \quad U(0) = U_0. \quad (23)$$

Here the following denotations are used: $G(U) = (G(u_1), \dots, G(u_n))^T$, $q(U) = (q(u_1), \dots, q(u_n))^T$, \tilde{M} is the lumped mass matrix, K is the stiffness matrix. Let us mention, thanks to the Kirchhoff transformation and the interpolation of the nonlinear coefficients only the two vectors $G(U)$ and $q(U)$ contain the nonlinearity of the problem, while the matrix K does not depend on the unknown solution.

To solve the system (23) an explicit Runge-Kutta method [47] of second order of accuracy and an extended region of stability was used. A special algorithm for choosing the time-step τ ensures the validity of the weak maximum principle and, in the case of smooth solutions, the achievement of a given accuracy ϵ up to the end of the time interval. The stop criterion is $\tau < 10^{-16}$ and then \tilde{T}_0 is the approximate blow-up time, found in the computations.

It is worth mentioning, that the nonlinearity has changed the prevailing opinion about the explicit methods. Indeed, there are at least two reasons an explicit method to be preferred over the implicit one for solving the system (23):

- the condition for solvability of the nonlinear discrete system on the upper time level imposes the same restriction on the relation "time step - step in space", as does the condition for validity of the weak maximum principle for the explicit scheme (see [50], chapter VII, §5);
- the explicit method for solving large discrete systems has a significant advantage over the implicit one with respect to the computational complexity.

Let us also mention, that in the case of blow-up solutions the discrete system on the upper time level would connect solution values differing by 6-12 orders of magnitude, which causes additional difficulties to overcome. Finally the explicit methods allow easy parallelization.

The special achievement of the proposed methods are the **adaptive meshes** in the *LS*-regime (refinement of the mesh) and in the *HS*-regime (stretching meshes with constant number of mesh-points), consistent with the self-similar law. Let us briefly describe this adaptation idea on the differential problem

$$u_t = Lu, \quad u = u(t, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad t > 0, \quad (24)$$

which admits a self-similar solution of the kind

$$u_s(t, x) = \varphi(t)\theta_s(\xi), \quad \xi = x/\psi(t), \quad u_s(0, x) = \theta_s(x). \quad (25)$$

Since the invariant solution $u_s(x, t)$ is an attractor of the solutions of equation (24) for large classes of initial data different from $\theta_s(x)$, it is important to incorporate the structure (25) in the numerical method for solving equation (24). The relation (25) between ξ and x gives the idea how to adapt the mesh in space. Let $\Delta x^{(0)}$ be the initial step in space, $\Delta x^{(k)}$ – the step in space at $t = t^k$. Then $\Delta x^{(k)}$ must be chosen so that $\Delta \xi^{(k)}$ is bounded from below and from above

$$\Delta x^{(0)}/\lambda \leq \Delta \xi^{(k)} \leq \lambda \Delta x^{(0)}$$

for an appropriate λ (usually $\lambda = 2$).

Further, by using the relation between $\psi(t)$ and $\varphi(t)$, it is possible to incorporate the structure (25) of the s.-s.s. in the adaptation procedure. In the case of equation (5) we have

$$\xi = x\Gamma(t)^m, \quad \Delta \xi = \Delta x\Gamma(t)^m, \quad m = (\beta - \sigma - 1)/2, \quad \Gamma(t) = \frac{\max_x u(t, x)}{\max_x u_0(x)}. \quad (26)$$

On the basis of the relations (26) the following strategy is accepted.

In the case of a single point blow-up, $m > 0$, we choose the step $\Delta x^{(k)}$ so that the step $\Delta \xi^{(k)}$ be bounded from above:

$$\Delta \xi^{(k)} = \Delta x^{(k)}\Gamma(t)^m \leq \lambda \Delta x^{(0)}. \quad (27)$$

When condition (27) is violated, the following procedure is carried out: every element in the region, in which the solution is not established with a given accuracy δ_u (usually $\delta_u = 10^{-7}$), is divided into two equal elements and the values of the solution in the new mesh points are found by interpolating from the old values; the elements, in which the solution is established with a given accuracy δ_u , are neglected.

In the case of a total blow-up, $m < 0$, we choose the step $\Delta x^{(k)}$ so that the step $\Delta \xi^{(k)}$ be bounded from below:

$$\Delta \xi^{(k)} = \Delta x^{(k)}\Gamma(t)^m \geq \lambda \Delta x^{(0)}. \quad (28)$$

When condition (28) is violated, the lengths of the elements are doubled, and so is the interval in x : $X(t_{k+1}) = 2X(t_k)$, thus the number of mesh points remains constant.

This adaptation procedure makes it possible to compute efficiently the single point blow-up as well as the total blow-up solutions up to amplitudes $10^6 - 10^{12}$, depending on the medium parameters. It ensures the authenticity of the results of investigation of the structural stability and the metastability of the self-similar solutions. Let us note, this approach does not require an auxiliary differential problem for the mesh to be solved, unlike the moving mesh methods [9]. The idea to use the invariant properties of the differential equations and their solutions [7] and to incorporate the structural properties (e.g. geometry, different kind of symmetries, the conservation laws) of the continuous problems in the




Figure 5: Graphs of the s.-s.f. $\theta_{s,2}$ for $N = 3$, $\sigma = 2$, $\beta = \{3.6(1); 3.38(2); 3.2(3); 3.08(4); 3.03(5)\}$ (left) and $\theta_{s,3}$ for $N = 3$, $\sigma = 2$, $\beta = \{3.2(1); 3.1(2); 3.08(3); 3.06(4); 3.03(5)\}$ (right).

numerical method, lies at the basis of an important direction of the computational mathematics - geometric integration, to which many works and monographs are devoted - see [10], [24], [37] and the references therein.

The numerous computational experiments carried out with the exact self-similar initial data (14) for $N = 1, \beta = \sigma + 1$, as well as with the computed self-similar initial data, show a good blow-up time restoration (set into the self-similar problem) in the process of solving the reaction-diffusion problem. The preservation of the self-similarity and the restoration of the blow-up time demonstrate the high quality of the numerical methods for solving both the self-similar and the nonstationary problems.

4 Results and achievements

The developed numerical technique was used to analyze and solve a number of open problems. Below we present briefly some of them.

4.1 The transition *LS*- to *S*-regime in the radially symmetric case

The investigation of the limit case $\beta \rightarrow \sigma + 1 + 0$ resolved the following paradox for $N > 1$: there exists one simple-structure s.-s. function in *S*-regime ($\beta = \sigma + 1$), whereas in *LS*-regime for $\beta \rightarrow \sigma + 1 + 0$ their number tends to infinity according to formula (13). The detailed numerical experiment in [38], [21] yielded the following results. First, it was shown, that the structure of the s.-s.f. for $N > 1$ and $\beta \sim \sigma + 1$, $\beta > \sigma + 1$ is substantially different from the one for $N = 1$. Second, for $N = 1$ the transition $\beta \rightarrow \sigma + 1 + 0$ is “continuous” – the self-similar function $\theta_{s,k}(\xi)$ for the *LS*-regime tends to a s.-s.f. of the *S*-regime, consisting of k elementary solutions. For $N > 1$ the transition behaves very differently.

For σ fixed and $\beta \rightarrow \sigma + 1 + 0$ the central minimum of the “even” s.-s.f. $\theta_{2j}^{(N)}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, decreases and surprisingly becomes zero for some $\beta = \beta_j^*(\sigma, N)$ (Fig. 5, left). For $\beta < \beta_j^*(\sigma, N)$ all of the s.-s.f. have zero region around the center of symmetry, and the radius of this region tends to infinity for $\beta \rightarrow \sigma + 1 + 0$. All of the maxima of $\theta_{s,2j}^{(N)}(\xi)$ tend to the maximum of the s.-s.f. of the *S*-regime for the corresponding σ and for $N = 1$. Thus the s.-s.f. $\theta_{s,2j}^{(N)}(\xi)$, “going to infinity” when $\beta \rightarrow \sigma + 1 + 0$, tends to a s.-s.f. of the *S*-regime for $N = 1$ and the same σ , consisting of j elementary solutions.

For fixed σ there exists such a value $\beta_j^{**}(\sigma, N)$, that for $\sigma + 1 < \beta < \beta_j^{**}$ the “odd” s.-s.f. $\theta_{s,2j+1}^{(N)}(\xi)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$ split into two parts: a central one, tending to the s.-s.f. of the *S*-regime for the

same N , and second one, coinciding with the s.-s.f. $\theta_{s,2j}^{(N)}(\xi)$, “going to infinity” when $\beta \rightarrow \sigma + 1 + 0$ (Fig. 5, right).

According to the described “scenario”, when $\beta \rightarrow \sigma + 1 + 0$ only the first s.-s.f. of the LS -regime remains, and it tends to the unique s.-s.f. of the S -regime.

As a result of this investigation new-structure s.-s.f. were found – s.-s.f. with a **left front**. The existence of such s.-s.functions was confirmed by an asymptotic analysis, i.e., the asymptotics in the neighborhood of the left front-point was found analytically [12]. This new type of solutions initiated investigations of other authors [36], [45] by other methods (the method of dynamical analogy, bifurcation analysis). Their investigations confirmed our results.

4.2 The asymptotic behavior of the blow-up solutions of problem (5), (6) beyond the critical Fujita exponent

For $\beta > \beta_f = \sigma + 1 + 2/N$ the problem (5), (6) could have blow-up- or global solutions depending on the initial data. For the s.-s. blow-up solution (7), (8) it holds $u_s(t, r) \notin L_1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The qualitative theory of nonstationary averaging “amplitude-semi-width” predicts a self-similar behavior of the amplitude of the blow-up solutions and a possible non-self-similar behavior of the semi-width [50]. A question was posed there: what kind of invariant or approximate s.-s.s. describes the asymptotic stage ($t \rightarrow T_0^-$) of the blow-up process?

The detailed numerical experiment carried out in [23], [53] showed that the s.-s.s. (7), (8), corresponding to $\theta_{s,1}(\xi)$, is structurally stable: all of the numerical experiments with finite-support initial data (6), ensuring blow-up, yield solutions tending to the self-similar one on the asymptotic stage.

4.3 Asymptotically self-similar blow-up beyond some other critical exponents

The numerical investigation of the blow-up processes in the radially symmetric case for high space-dimensions N was carried out in [13], [14]. The aim was to check some hypotheses [33] about the solutions of the s.-s. problem (9), (10) and about the asymptotic stability of the corresponding s.-s. solutions for parameters beyond the following critical exponents:

$$\beta_s = (\sigma + 1)(N + 2)/(N - 2), \quad N \geq 3 \quad (\text{Sobolev's exponent});$$

$$\beta_u = (\sigma + 1)(1 + 4/(N - 4 - 2\sqrt{N - 1})), \quad N \geq 11;$$

$$\beta_p = 1 + 3(\sigma + 1) + (\sigma^2(N - 10)^2 + 2\sigma(5\sigma + 1)(N - 10) + 9(\sigma + 1)^2)^{1/2}/(N - 10), \quad N \geq 11.$$

Self-similar functions, monotone in space, were constructed numerically for all of these cases, thus confirming the hypotheses of their existence (not proved for $\beta > \beta_u$). It was also shown, that the corresponding s.-s.s. are structurally stable, thus confirming another hypothesis of [33]. Due to the strong singularity at the origin, the nonsymmetric Galerkin method and the special refinement of the finite element mesh [14] were crucial for the success of these investigations.

4.4 Two-component nonlinear medium

The methods, developed for the radially symmetric problems (5), (6) and (9), (10) were generalized in [19], [40], [53] for the case of two-component nonlinear medium, described by the system:

$$\begin{cases} u_{1t} = \frac{1}{x^{N-1}}(x^{N-1}u_1^{\sigma_1}u_{1x})_x + u_1^{\beta_1}u_2^{\gamma_2}, & x \in \mathbb{R}_+^1, \quad N = 1, 2, 3, \\ u_{2t} = \frac{1}{x^{N-1}}(x^{N-1}u_2^{\sigma_2}u_{2x})_x + u_1^{\gamma_1}u_2^{\beta_2}, & \sigma_i > 0, \quad \beta_i > 1, \quad \gamma_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

This system admits blow-up s.-s.s. of the form

$$\begin{aligned} u_{1s} &= (1 - t/T_0)^{m_1} \theta_{1s}(\xi), & \xi &= x/(1 - t/T_0)^n, \\ u_{2s} &= (1 - t/T_0)^{m_2} \theta_{2s}(\xi), & m_i &< 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where

$$m_i = \frac{\alpha_i}{p}, \quad \alpha_i = \gamma_i + 1 - \beta_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad p = (\beta_1 - 1)(\beta_2 - 1) - \gamma_1\gamma_2,$$

$$n = \frac{m_1\sigma_1 + 1}{2} = \frac{m_2\sigma_2 + 1}{2}, \quad \sigma_1(\gamma_2 + 1 - \beta_2) = \sigma_2(\gamma_1 + 1 - \beta_1).$$

The s.-s.f. satisfy the system of nonlinear ODE:

$$\begin{cases} L_1(\theta_{1s}, \theta_{2s}) \equiv -\frac{1}{\xi^{N-1}}(\xi^{N-1}\theta_{1s}^{\sigma_1}\theta'_{1s})' + n\xi\theta'_{1s} - m_1\theta_{1s} - \theta_{1s}^{\beta_1}\theta_{2s}^{\gamma_2} = 0, \\ L_2(\theta_{1s}, \theta_{2s}) \equiv -\frac{1}{\xi^{N-1}}(\xi^{N-1}\theta_{2s}^{\sigma_2}\theta'_{2s})' + n\xi\theta'_{2s} - m_2\theta_{2s} - \theta_{1s}^{\gamma_1}\theta_{2s}^{\beta_2} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \xi^{N-1}\theta_{is}^{\sigma_i}\theta'_{is} = 0, \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{is} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (32)$$

Superconvergence of the FEM (of order $O(h^4)$) for solving the s.-s. problem (31)–(32) by means of quadratic elements and optimal-order convergence ($O(h^2)$) by means of linear elements were achieved. The structural stability of the s.-s.s. (30) for parameters σ_i , β_i , γ_i , $i = 1, 2$, corresponding to the *LS*-regime ($n > 0$) was analyzed in [40], [53], [19]. It was shown that only the s.-s.s. of systems (29) with strong feedback ($p < 0$), corresponding to the s.-s.f. with two simple-structure components, were structurally stable. All the other s.-s.s. were metastable – self-similarity was preserved to times not less than 99.3% \tilde{T}_0 .

The proposed computational technique can be applied to investigating the self-organization processes in wide classes of nonlinear dissipative media described by nonlinear reaction-diffusion systems.

4.5 Directed heat diffusion in a nonlinear anisotropic medium

Historically the first Bulgarian contribution to the topic under consideration was the numerical realization of the self-similar solutions, describing directed heat diffusion and burning of a two-dimensional nonlinear anisotropic medium. It was shown in [25] that the model of heat structures in the anisotropic case

$$u_t = (u^{\sigma_1}u_{x_1})_{x_1} + (u^{\sigma_2}u_{x_2})_{x_2} + u^\beta, \quad x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \sigma_1 > 0, \quad \sigma_2 > 0, \quad \beta > 1 \quad (33)$$

admits invariant solutions of the kind

$$u_s(t, x_1, x_2) = \left(1 - \frac{t}{T_0}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\beta-1}} \theta_s(\xi), \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$\xi_i = x_i / \left(1 - \frac{t}{T_0}\right)^{\frac{m_i}{\beta-1}}, \quad m_i = \frac{\beta - \sigma_i - 1}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The self-similar function $\theta_s(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ satisfies the nonlinear elliptic problem

$$L(\theta_s) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \left(\theta_s^{\sigma_i} \frac{\partial \theta_s}{\partial \xi_i} \right) + \frac{\beta - \sigma_i - 1}{2} \xi_i \frac{\partial \theta_s}{\partial \xi_i} \right) + \theta_s - \theta_s^\beta = 0, \quad (34)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial \theta_s}{\partial \xi_i} \right|_{\xi_i=0} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2; \quad \theta_s(\xi) \rightarrow 0, \quad |\xi| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (35)$$

The Cauchy problem for equation (33) was investigated in the works [3], [4] for different parameters σ_1, σ_2 and β . Depending on the parameters, different mixed regimes: *S* – *HS*, *HS* – *LS*, *S* – *LS* of




Figure 6: S -regime: $\sigma_1 = 2$, $\sigma_2 = 2$, $\beta = 3$.




Figure 7: $HS - S$ -regime: $\sigma_1 = 3$, $\sigma_2 = 2$, $\beta = 3$.




Figure 8: $HS - LS$ -regime: $\sigma_1 = 3$, $\sigma_2 = 1$, $\beta = 3$.




Figure 9: Self-similar functions $E_j M_m$, $j = 1$, $m = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$, $\sigma = 2$, $\beta = 3.25$.

heat transfer and burning were implemented numerically. The evolution in time of one and the same initial perturbation is shown for the cases of the 2D radially symmetric S -regime (Fig. 6), the mixed $HS - S$ -regime (Fig. 7) and the mixed $HS - LS$ -regime (Fig. 8).

To solve the Cauchy problem for equation (33) a modification of the TERMO Package of Applied Programs [8], designed initially for solving isotropic problems with piecewise constant coefficients, was done. TERMO had been worked out by an IMI-BAS team, after the idea of Raytcho Lazarov and under his guidance, a merit worth mentioning here.

The s.-s.functions for the corresponding mixed regimes were found in [3] by self-similar processing of the solution of the Cauchy problem for equation (33). Later, in [17], they were found as solutions of the self-similar problem (34), (35). The self-similar functions of complex symmetry for the isotropic case in Cartesian coordinates (denoted in [44] as Ei/j) were found as a special case.

Later on, in [41], [40], the numerical methods were modified for the isotropic 2D self-similar problem in polar coordinates to construct numerically another class of self-similar functions of complex symmetry (denoted in [44] as $E_j M_m$) in LS -regime and to investigate their structural stability.

Graphical representations of the evolution of the anisotropic invariant solutions, as well as the s.-s. functions $E_j M_m$ for some different values of j, m, σ, β , are included in the Handbook [11]. We show some of the s.-s.f. $E_j M_m$ in Fig. 9.

4.6 Spiral waves in HS -regime

The numerical realization of the invariant solutions, describing “spiral” propagation of the nonhomogeneities in two-dimensional isotropic medium appears to be one of the most interesting contributions

of ours. The mathematical model in polar coordinates reads:

$$u_t = \frac{1}{r}(ru^\sigma u_r)_r + \frac{1}{r^2}(u^\sigma u_\varphi)_\varphi + u^\beta, \quad \sigma > 0, \quad \beta > 1. \quad (36)$$

It admits s.-s.s. of the kind [4], [30]:

$$u_s(t, r, \varphi) = \left(1 - \frac{t}{T_0}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\beta-1}} \theta_s(\xi, \phi), \quad (37)$$

$$\xi = r / \left(1 - \frac{t}{T_0}\right)^{\frac{m}{\beta-1}}, \quad \phi = \varphi + \frac{c_0}{\beta-1} \ln \left(1 - \frac{t}{T_0}\right), \quad m = \frac{\beta - \sigma - 1}{2}. \quad (38)$$

The self-similar function $\theta_s(\xi, \phi)$ satisfies the nonlinear elliptic equation

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta_s) \equiv & -\frac{1}{\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left(\xi \theta_s^\sigma \frac{\partial \theta_s}{\partial \xi} \right) - \frac{1}{\xi^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left(\theta_s^\sigma \frac{\partial \theta_s}{\partial \phi} \right) + \frac{\beta - \sigma - 1}{2} \xi \frac{\partial \theta_s}{\partial \xi} \\ & - c_0 \frac{\partial \theta_s}{\partial \phi} + \theta_s - \theta_s^\beta = 0, \quad T_0 = \frac{1}{\beta - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Here $c_0 \neq 0$ is the parameter of the family of solutions. From (38) it follows

$$\xi e^{s\phi} = r e^{s\varphi} = \text{const}, \quad s = \frac{\beta - \sigma - 1}{2c_0}.$$

This means, that the trajectories of the nonhomogeneities in the medium (say local maxima) are logarithmic spirals for $\beta \neq \sigma + 1$ or circles for $\beta = \sigma + 1$. The direction of movement for fixed c_0 , for example $c_0 > 0$, depends on the relation between σ and β : for $\beta > \sigma + 1$ – towards the center (twisting spirals), for $\beta < \sigma + 1$ – from the center (untwisting spirals).

The problem for the numerical realization of the spiral s.-s.s. (37), (38) was posed in 1984, when the possibility for their existence has been established by the method of invariant group analysis in the PhD Thesis of S.R. Svirshchevskii. As it was stated in [2], there were significant difficulties for finding such solutions. First, the linearization of the self-similar equation (39) was not expected to give the desired result, because it is not possible to separate the variables in the linearized equation. Second, the asymptotics at infinity of the solutions of the self-similar equation were not known.

The first successful step was the appropriate (complex) separation of variables in the linearized equation. Using the assumption for small oscillations of the s.-s.f. $\theta_s(\xi, \phi)$ around $\theta_H^1 \equiv 1$, i. e., $\theta_s(\xi, \phi) = 1 + \alpha y(\xi, \phi)$, $\alpha = \text{const}$, $|\alpha y| \ll 1$ and the idea of linearization around it, the following linear equation for $y(\xi, \phi)$ was found [22]:

$$-\frac{1}{\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left(\xi \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} \right) - \frac{1}{\xi^2} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{\beta - \sigma - 1}{2} \xi \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} - c_0 \frac{\partial y}{\partial \phi} + (1 - \beta)y = 0.$$

Seeking for particular solutions $Y_k(\xi, \phi) = R_k(\xi)e^{i\phi}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it was found: for $\beta = \sigma + 1$

$$R_k(\xi) = J_k(z), \quad z = (\sigma + c_0 k i)^{1/2} \xi,$$

where $J_k(z)$ is the first kind Bessel function of order k , and for $\beta \neq \sigma + 1$

$$R_k(\xi) = \xi^k {}_1F_1(a, b; z), \quad a = -\frac{\beta - 1 + c_0 k i}{\beta - \sigma - 1} + \frac{k}{2}, \quad b = 1 + k, \quad z = \frac{\beta - \sigma - 1}{4} \xi^2,$$




Figure 10: One-armed spiral solution ($k = 1$), two-armed spiral solution ($k = 2$), three-armed spiral solution ($k = 3$), $c_0 = 1$, $\sigma = 3$, $\beta = 3.6$.

where ${}_1F_1(a, b, z)$ is the confluent hypergeometric function. The detailed analytical and numerical investigation [22] of the functions $y_k(\xi, \phi) = \Re(Y_k(\xi, \phi))$ showed that their asymptotics at infinity are self-similar, as well as that the functions

$$\tilde{\theta}_{s,k}(\xi, \phi) = 1 + \alpha y_k(\xi, \phi), \quad |\alpha y_k| \ll 1 \quad (40)$$

are very close to the sought-after solutions $\theta_s(\xi, \phi)$. Moreover, the amplitude of the linear approximations $y_k(\xi, \phi)$ tends to zero for $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ in the case of *HS*-regime, and to infinity in the case of *LS*-regime. This gave the idea to seek for s.-s.s. of the *HS*-regime, tending to the nontrivial constant solution $\theta_s \equiv \theta_H$, i.e., to generalize the notion of s.-s. functions, and consequently, the notion of the structures and waves, which arise and preserve themselves in the absolutely cold medium. Although not exploited earlier, this change is reasonable and fully adequate to the real systems.

All stated above enabled us to predict the asymptotics of the solutions of equation (39), to derive a boundary condition by using this asymptotics and to close the s.-s. problem by the following boundary and periodic conditions [15], [18], [20]:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \xi \theta_{s,k}^\sigma \frac{\partial \theta_{s,k}}{\partial \xi} &= 0, \quad \phi \in \left[0, \frac{2\pi}{k}\right], \\ \frac{\partial \theta_{s,k}}{\partial \xi} &= \frac{\theta_{s,k} - 1}{\bar{m}\xi} - \frac{\gamma k}{s \xi^{1-\frac{1}{\bar{m}}}} \sin(k\phi + \frac{k}{s} \ln \xi + \mu), \quad \xi = l \gg 1, \quad \phi \in \left[0, \frac{2\pi}{k}\right], \\ \theta_{s,k}(\xi, 0) &= \theta_{s,k} \left(\xi, \frac{2\pi}{k}\right), \quad \frac{\partial \theta_{s,k}}{\partial \phi}(\xi, 0) = \frac{\partial \theta_{s,k}}{\partial \phi} \left(\xi, \frac{2\pi}{k}\right), \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq l, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where $\bar{m} = m/(\beta - 1)$, γ and μ are constants, depending on σ, β, c_0 . The numerical solving of the problem (39), (41) for $c_0 \neq 0$ with initial approximations (40) gives “the spiral” s.-s. functions of the *HS*-regime; some of them and their evolution in time by solving equation (36) were investigated in [18], [20], [15]. The graphs of the s.-s.f. for $k = 1$ (one-armed spiral), $k = 2$ (two-armed spiral), $k = 3$ (three-armed spiral) are shown in Fig. 10. The rest of the parameters are $\sigma = 3$, $\beta = 3.6$, $c_0 = 1$.

The evolution of three-armed s.-s.f. for parameters $\sigma = 2$, $\beta = 2.4$, $k = 3$, $c_0 = 1$ is shown in Fig. 11. The exact blow-up time is (11) $T_0 = 1/(\beta - 1) = 0.714285$. Similarly to all complex-symmetry s.-s.-s., the three-armed spiral one is metastable – at $T \rightarrow T_0$ it degenerates into the simplest radially symmetric s.-s.s. for the same parameters σ, β . The mesh adaptation in r -direction when solving equation (36) is realized again in consistency with the self-similar law, keeping the same number




Figure 11: Evolution of three-armed spiral wave: $\sigma = 2$, $\beta = 2.4$, $c_0 = 1$, $k = 3$, $T_0 = 0.(714285)$.

of mesh points during the whole process of evolution. Having to solve two nonlinear 2D problems (elliptic and reaction-diffusion), going through a number of approximations, it is astonishing that the restoration (with accuracy 10^{-6}) of the exact blow-up time is practically perfect (Fig. 11, right most bottom).

The existence of spiral structures in *LS*-regime is an open question by now. The “ridges” of the linear approximations of the s.-s.f. in the *LS*-regime tend also to the self-similar ones for $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ [22], but their amplitudes tend to infinity and it is not clear by what asymptotics to sew the linear approximations.

4.7 Complex symmetry waves in *HS*-regime and *S*-regime

In the process of solving the problem for the spiral s.-s.f. an idea arose to seek for complex non-monotone waves, tending to the nonzero homogeneous solution for $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ in *HS*-regime and $c_0 = 0$. Fig. 12 shows a complex-symmetry s.-s.f. and its evolution in time for the same parameters σ, β , as in Fig. 11, and $k = 2$, $c_0 = 0$. Note the same perfect restoration of the blow-up time. The results about the spiral and the complex-symmetry s.-s.f. are included in the book [54].

Later the problem of finding nonmonotone s.-s.f. in the *S*-regime, tending to the nontrivial homogeneous solution θ_H , was posed and successfully solved [16]. The self-similar equation was solved with boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial \theta_{s,k}}{\partial \xi} = \frac{1 - \theta_{s,k}}{2\xi} - \gamma \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \sqrt{\sigma} \xi}} \sin \left(\sqrt{\sigma} \xi - \frac{k\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \cos(k\phi), \quad \xi = l \gg 1, \phi \in \left[0, \frac{2\pi}{k} \right].$$

The s.-s.f. for $\beta = \sigma + 1 = 3$ and its evolution in time are shown in Fig. 13.




Figure 12: Evolution of a complex wave in *HS*-regime: $\sigma = 2$, $\beta = 2.4$, $c_0 = 0$, $k = 2$, $T_0 = 0.(714285)$.

Let us mention, that the existence of continuum of solutions to the radially symmetric s.-s. problem in *HS*- and *S*-regimes, which tend to the nontrivial homogenous solution θ_H for $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, was mentioned in [50], but this result has remained without attention. As it turned out, it is these solutions that determine the spiral structures and the complex structures in *HS*- and *S*-regimes.

5 Open problems

In spite of the numerous achievements related to the problems considered here, many interesting questions are still open: how many complex-symmetry s.-s.f. of the kind Ei/j and $EiMj$, tending to the trivial constant solution $\theta_s \equiv 0$, exist; how can the self-similar problem for the spiral s.-s.f. in the *LS*-regime be closed, and therefore how to construct these spiral s.-s.f. numerically; how wide are the different classes of spiral s.-s.f. for $\beta < \sigma + 1$ and the different classes of complex-symmetry s.-s.f. in *S*- and *HS*-regimes.

Although the method of invariant group analysis shows that the differential problem admits some kind of self-similar solutions and their numerical realization is a constructive "proof" of their existence, theoretical proofs are still missing in most of the cases.

The numerical investigation of the accuracy of the approximate solutions on embedded grids shows optimal-order- and even superconvergence results, but it would be interesting to find theoretical estimates as well.

All these questions pose challenging problems both from theoretical and computational points of view.




Figure 13: Evolution of a complex wave in S -regime: $\sigma = 2$, $\beta = 3$, $c_0 = 0$, $k = 2$, $T_0 = 0.5$.

Acknowledgements

The first author is partially supported by the Sofia University research grant No 181/2012, the second and the third authors are partially supported by the Bulgarian National Science Foundation under Grant DDVU02/71.

References

- [1] Adyutov, M.M., Klokov, Yu.A., Mikhailov, A.P.: Self-similar heat structures with reduced half width. *Differential Equations* **19**(7), 805–815 (1983)
- [2] Akhromeeva, T.S., Kurdyumov, S.P., Malinetskii, G.G., Samarskii, A.A.: *Nonstationary Structures and Diffusion-induced Chaos*, Moscow, Nauka (1992) (in Russian)
- [3] Bakirova, M.I., Borshukova, S.N., Dorodnicyn, V.A., Svirshchevskii, S.: Directed heat diffusion in a nonlinear anisotropic medium. Preprint IPM AN SSSR **182**, (1985)(in Russian)
- [4] Bakirova, M.I., Dimova, S.N., Dorodnicyn, V.A., Kurdyumov, S.P., Samarskii, A.A., Svirshchevskii, S.: Invariant solutions of heat-transfer equation, describing directed heat diffusion and spiral waves in nonlinear medium, *Soviet Phys. Dokl.* **33**(3), 187–189 (1988)
- [5] Barenblatt, I.G.: *Scaling, Self-similarity and Intermediate Asymptotics*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1996)
- [6] Bebernes, J., Eberly, D.: *Mathematical Problems from Combustion Theory*. Appl. Math. Sci. **83**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1989)

- [7] Berger, M., Kohn, R.: A rescaling algorithm for the numerical calculation of blowing up solutions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **41**, 841–863 (1988)
- [8] Borshukova, S.N., Yotova, A.I., Lazarov, R.D.: PAP TERMO for solving parabolic problems by the FEM. In: *Numerical Methods for Solving Problems of Mathematical Physics*, Comp. Center of the Siberian Branch of USSR Acad. Sci. 31–41 (1985) (in Russian)
- [9] Budd, C.J., Huang, W., Russell, R.D.: Addaptivity with moving grids. *Acta Numerica*, 1–131 (2009)
- [10] Budd, C.J., Piggott, M.D.: Geometric integration and its applications, In: *Handbook of Numerical Analysis* **11**, 35–139 (2003)
- [11] *CRC Handbook of Lie Group Analysis of Differential Equations, 1: Symmetrics, Exact Solutions and Conservation Laws*, Ed. by Ibragimov, CRC Press Inc. (1993)
- [12] Dimova S.: *Numerical Investigation of Nonstationary Heat Structures*. Dissertation for Doctor of Sciences, Dubna, Russia (2004) (in Russian)
- [13] Dimova, S.N., Chernogorova, T.P.: Asymptotically self-similar blow-up for a quasilinear parabolic equation byond some critical exponents. *C.R. Acad.Bulg.Sci.* **53**(12), 21–24 (2000)
- [14] Dimova, S.N., Chernogorova, T.P.: Nonsymmetric Galerkin finite element method with dynamic mesh refinement for singular nonlinear problems. *J. Comp. Appl. Math. (Kiev University)* **92**, 3–16 (2005)
- [15] Dimova, M., Dimova, S.: Numerical investigation of spiral structure solutions of a nonlinaer elliptic problem. In: I. Dimov, S. Dimova, N. Kolkovska (eds.) *NMA 2010, Lect. Notes Comp. Sci.* **6046**, 395–403 (2011)
- [16] Dimova, M., Dimova, S., Vasileva, D.: Numerical investigation of a new class of waves in an open nonlinear heat-conducting medium. Submitted to *Central European Journal of Mathematics*.
- [17] Dimova, S.N., Kaschiev, M.S.: Numerical analysis of two-dimensional eigen functions of burning of a nonlinear anysotropic medium. *Communications JINR, Dubna* 11-88-876 (1988) (in Russian)
- [18] Dimova, S.N., Kaschiev, M.S., Koleva, M.G., Vasileva, D.P.: Numerical analysis of radially nonsymmetric structures in a nonlinear heat-conducting medium. *Soviet Phys.-Dokl.* **39**(10) 673–676 (1994)
- [19] Dimova, S.N., Kaschiev, M.S., Koleva, M.G., Vasileva, D.P.: Numerical analysis of the blow-up regimes of combustion of two-component nonlinear heat-conducting medium. *Comput. Math. Math. Phys.* **35**(3), 303–319 (1995)
- [20] Dimova, S.N., Kaschiev, M.S., Koleva, M.G., Vasileva, D.P.: Numerical analysis of radially nonsymmetric blow-up solutions of a nonlinear parabolic problem. *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* **97**, 81–97 (1998)
- [21] Dimova, S.N., Kaschiev, M.S., Kurdyumov, S.P.: Numerical analysis of the eigenfunctions of combustion of a nonlinear medium in the radial-symmetric case, *USSR Comp. Math. Math. Phys.* **29**(6), 61–73 (1989)
- [22] Dimova, S.N., Vasileva, D.P.: Numerical realization of blow-up spiral wave solutions of a nonlinear heat-transfer equation. *Int. J. Num. Meth. Heat Fluid Flow* **4**(6), 497–511 (1994)
- [23] Dimova, S.N., Vasileva, D.P.: Lumped-mass finite element method with interpolation of the nonlinear coefficients for a quasilinear heat transfer equation. *Numerical Heat Transfer, Part B* **28**, 199–215 (1995)

- [24] Dorodnitsyn, V.A.: Application of Lie groups to difference equations. CRC Press, Chapman&Hall (2010)
- [25] Dorodnitsyn, V.A., Knyazeva, I.V., Svirshchevskii, S.R.: Group properties of the nonlinear heat equation with a source in 2D and 3D case. *Differential Equations* **19**(7), 901–908 (1983)
- [26] Elenin, G.G., Kurdyumov, S.P., Samarskii, A.A.: Nonstationary dissipative structures in a nonlinear heat conducting medium, *Zh. Vychisl. Mat. Mat. Fiz.* **23**, 380-390 (1983) (in Russian)
- [27] Eriksson, K., Thomee, V.: Galerkin methods for singular boundary value problems in one space dimension. *Math. Comp.* **42**(166), 345–367 (1984)
- [28] Fujita, H.: On the blowup of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. A, Math.* **16**, 105–113 (1966)
- [29] Galaktionov, V.A.: Two methods for comparing solutions of parabolic equations. *Soviet Phys. Dokl.* **25**, 250–251 (1980)
- [30] Galaktionov, V.A., Dorodnitsyn, V.A., Elenin, G.G., Kurdyumov, S.P., Samarskii, A.A.: A Quasilinear Equation of Heat Conduction with a Source: Peaking, Localization, Symmetry, Exact Solutions, Asymptotic Behavior, Structures. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **4**(5), 1222–1292 (1988)
- [31] Galaktionov, V.A., Kurdyumov S.P., Samarskii, A.A.: On approximate self-similar solutions of a class of quasilinear heat equations with a source. *Math. USSR Sbornik* **52**, 155–180 (1985)
- [32] Galaktionov, V.A., Kurdyumov S.P., Samarskii, A.A.: On the method of stationary states for quasilinear parabolic equations. *Math. USSR Sbornik* **67**, 449–471 (1990)
- [33] Galaktionov, V.A., Vazquez, J.L.: Continuation of blow-up solutions of nonlinear heat equation in several space dimensions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **50**(1), 1–67 (1997)
- [34] Galaktionov, V.A., Vazquez, J.L.: The problem of blow-up in nonlinear parabolic equations. *Discrete and Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **8**(2), 399–433 (2002)
- [35] Gavurin, M.K.: Nonlinear equations and continuous analogues of iteration methods. *Izvestia Vuzov, Mathematics* **5**, 18–31 (1958) (in Russian)
- [36] Gurevich, M.I., Tel'kovskaya, O.V.: Existence of self-similar solutions of a nonlinear heat-transfer equation with zero region in the vicinity of the origin. Preprint IAE, Moscow, 5565/1 (1992) (in Russian)
- [37] Hairer, E., Lubich, C., Wanner, G.: Geometric Numerical Integration. Structure-preserving Algorithms for ODE. Springer, Berlin (2002)
- [38] Ivanova, D.I., Dimova, S.N., Kaschiev, M.S.: Numerical analysis of the onedimensional eigen functions of combustion of a nonlinear medium. *Communications JINR, Dubna 11-90-11* (1990) (in Russian)
- [39] Kalashnikov, A.S.: Some problems of the qualitative theory of non-linear degenerate second order parabolic equations. *Russian Math. Surveys* **42**, 135–176 (1987) (in Russian)
- [40] Koleva M.G.: Numerical Analysis of the Eigen Functions of Combustion of a Nonlinear Heat-conducting Medium. PhD Thesis, Sofia, (2000) (in Bulgarian)
- [41] Koleva, M.G., Dimova, S.N., Kaschiev M.S.: Analisis of the eigen functions of combustion of a nonlinear medium in polar coordinates. *Math. Modeling* **3**, 76–83 (1992)
- [42] Kurdyumov, S.P.: Nonlinear processes in dense plasma. In: Proc. II Int. Conference on Plasma Theory, Kiev 1974. Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 278–287 (1976); Preprint IPM, Moscow **18** (1975) (in Russian)

- [43] Kurdyumov S.P., Kurkina E.S., Malinezkii G.G., Samarskii A.A.: Dissipative structures in a nonlinear nonhomogenous burning medium. *Soviet Phys. Dokl.* **251**, (3), 587–591 (1980) (in Russian)
- [44] Kurdyumov, S.P., Kurkina E.S., Potapov A.B., Samarskii A.A.: Complex multidimensional structures of burning of a nonlinear medium. *USSR Comp. Math. Math. Phys.* **26**(8), 1189–1205 (1986) (in Russian)
- [45] Kurkina, E.S., Kurdyumov, S.P.: The spectrum of dissipative structures developing in a peaking regime. *Dokl. Acad. Nauk* **395**(6), 743-748 (2004) (in Russian)
- [46] Levin, H.A.: The role of critical exponents in blowup theorems. *SIAM Rev.* **32**, 262–288 (1990)
- [47] Novikov, V.A., Novikov, E.A.: Stability control for explicit one-step integration methods for ODE. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **272**, 1058-1062 (1984) (in Russian)
- [48] Puzynin, I.V., Boyadzhiev, T.L., Vinitzkii, S.I., Zemlyanaya, E.V., Puzynina, T.P., Chuluunbaatar, O.: Methods of computational physics for investigation of models of complex physical systems. *Physics of Particals & Nucley* **38**, 70–116 (2007)
- [49] Samarskii, A.A., Elenin, G.G., Kurdyumov, S.P., Mikhailov, A.P.: The burning of nonlinear medium in the form of a complex structure. *Sov. Phys. Dokl.* **22**, 737-739 (1977)
- [50] Samarskii, A.A., Galaktionov, V.A., Kurdyumov, S.P., Mikhailov, A.P.: Blowup in Problems for Quasilinear Parabolic Equations. Nauka, Moscow (1987) (in Russian); Valter de Gruyter&Co., Berlin, New York (1995)
- [51] Samarskii, A.A., Sobol', I.M.: Examples of numerical computation of temperature waves. *USSR Comp. Math. and Math. Phys.* **3**, 945–970 (1963)
- [52] Samarskii, A.A., Zmitrenko, N.V., Kurdyumov, S.P., Mikhailov, A.P.: Thermal structures and fundamental length in a medium with nonlinear heat-conduction and volumetric heat source. *Sov. Phys. Dokl.* **21**, 141–143 (1976)
- [53] Vasileva D.P.: Numerical Analysis of Highly Nonstationary Processes in Nonlinear Heat-conducting Medium. PhD. Thesis, Sofia (1997) (in Bulgarian)
- [54] Wilhelmsson, H., Lazaro, E.: Reaction-diffusion Problems in the Physics of Hot Plasmas. IOP Publishing, Bristol and Philadelphia (2001)
- [55] Yi-Yong-Nie, Tomee, V.: A lumped mass FEM with quadrature for a nonlinear parabolic problem. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **5**, 371–396 (1985)
- [56] Zhidkov, E.P., Makarenko, G.I., Puzynin, I.V.: Continuous analog of Newton method for nonlinear problems of physics. *Particals & Nucley*, **4**(1), 127–166 (1973)(in Russian)
- [57] Zmitrenko, N., Kurdyumov, S.P., Mickhailov, A.P., Samarskii, A.A.: Localization of termo-nuclear combustion in plasma with electron heat-conductivity. *Letters JETP*, **26**(9), 620–624 (1977) (in Russian)