

On Max-SINR Receiver for HMT System over Doubly Dispersive Channel

Kui Xu, Youyun Xu, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Dongmei Zhang and Wenfeng Ma

Abstract—In this paper, a novel receiver for Hexagonal Multicarrier Transmission (HMT) system based on the maximizing Signal-to-Interference-plus-Noise Ratio (Max-SINR) criterion is proposed. Theoretical analyses show that there is a timing offset between the prototype pulses of the proposed Max-SINR receiver and the traditional projection receiver. Meanwhile, the timing offset should be matched to the channel scattering factor of the doubly dispersive (DD) channel. The closed form timing offset expressions of the prototype pulse for Max-SINR HMT receiver over DD channel with different channel scattering functions are derived. Simulation results show that the proposed Max-SINR receiver outperforms traditional projection scheme and obtains an approximation to the theoretical upper bound SINR performance. Consistent with the SINR performance improvement, the bit error rate (BER) performance of HMT system has also been further improved by using the proposed Max-SINR receiver. Meanwhile, the SINR performance of the proposed Max-SINR receiver is robust to the channel delay spread estimation errors.

Index Terms—Hexagonal Multicarrier Transmission System; Maximizing Signal-to-Interference-plus-Noise-Ratio (Max-SINR) Receiver; Doubly Dispersive (DD) channel;

I. INTRODUCTION

ORTHOGONAL frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) systems with guard-time interval or cyclic prefix can prevent inter-symbol interference (ISI). OFDM has overlapping spectra and rectangular impulse responses. Consequently, each OFDM sub-channel exhibits a sinc-shape frequency response. Therefore, the time variations of the channel during one OFDM symbol duration destroy the orthogonality of different subcarriers, and result in power leakage among subcarriers, known as inter-carrier interference (ICI), which causes degradation in system performance. In order to overcome the above drawbacks of OFDM system, several pulse-shaping OFDM systems such as multiwavelets based OFDM system, OFDM based on offset quadrature amplitude modulation (OFDM/OQAM) system, et al., were proposed [1]–[8].

It is shown in [9]–[12] that signal transmission through a rectangular lattice is suboptimal for doubly dispersive (DD) channel. By using results from sphere covering theory [13], the

authors have demonstrated that lattice OFDM (LOFDM) system, which is OFDM system based on hexagonal-type lattice, providing better performance against ISI/ICI [9]. However, LOFDM confines the transmission pulses to a set of orthogonal ones. In [10]–[12], the authors abandoned the orthogonality condition of the modulated pulses and proposed a multicarrier transmission scheme named as hexagonal multicarrier transmission (HMT). In HMT system, there is no cyclic prefix and data symbols of HMT signal are transmitted at the hexagonal type lattice points in TF plane. In our previous work [14]–[17], we have analyzed the system SINR performance and presented that traditional HMT receiver proposed in [10]–[12] using zero timing offset prototype pulse is a suboptimal approach in the view of SINR. The receiver prototype pulse based on Max-SINR criterion for HMT system over DD channel with exponential power delay profile and U-shape Doppler spectrum was proposed.

In this paper, we will present the receiver prototype pulses based on Max-SINR criterion for HMT system over DD channel with different channel scattering functions. Theoretical analyses show that there is a timing offset between prototype pulses of the proposed Max-SINR HMT receiver and traditional HMT receiver in the SINR point of view. The closed form timing offset expressions of prototype pulse for Max-SINR HMT receiver over the DD channel with different channel scattering functions are derived. Simulation results show that the proposed Max-SINR receiver obtains an approximation to the theoretical upper bound SINR performance and outperforms traditional receiver [10]–[12] on bit error rate (BER) performance. Meanwhile, the SINR performance of the proposed scheme is robust to the channel delay spread estimation errors.

II. HEXAGONAL MULTICARRIER TRANSMISSION SYSTEM

In HMT systems, the transmitted baseband signal can be expressed as [10]–[12]

$$x(t) = \sum_m \sum_n c_{m,2n} g(t - mT) e^{j2\pi n F t} + \sum_m \sum_n c_{m,2n+1} g(t - mT - \frac{T}{2}) e^{j2\pi(nF + \frac{F}{2})t} \quad (1)$$

where T and F are the lattice parameters, as shown in Fig.1; $c_{m,n}$ denotes the transmitted data symbol, which is assumed to be taken from a specific signal constellation and independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) with zero mean and average power σ_c^2 ; $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and $n \in \mathcal{N}$ are the position indices in the TF plane; \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} denote the sets from which m, n can be




Fig. 1. Partition of the hexagonal lattice into a rectangular sublattice V_{rect1} (denoted by \circ) and its coset V_{rect2} (denoted by \bullet).

taken, with cardinalities M and N , respectively. The prototype pulse $g(t)$ is the Gaussian window¹ $g(t) = (2/\sigma)^{1/4} e^{-(\pi/\sigma)t^2}$. The ambiguity function of Gaussian pulse is defined by

$$A_g(\tau, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)g^*(t - \tau)e^{-j2\pi vt} dt \quad (2)$$

$$= e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(\frac{1}{\sigma}\tau^2 + v^2)} e^{-j\pi\tau v}$$

where $(\cdot)^*$ denotes the complex conjugate. The original hexagonal lattice can be expressed as the disjoint union of a rectangular sublattice V_{rect1} and its coset V_{rect2} , as shown in Fig. 1. The transmitted baseband signal in Eq. (1) can be rewritten as $x(t) = \sum_i \sum_m \sum_n c_{m,n}^i g_{m,n}^i(t)$, where $i=1, 2$, $c_{m,n}^1$ and $c_{m,n}^2$ represent symbols coming from V_{rect1} and V_{rect2} , respectively. $g_{m,n}^i(t) = g(t - mT - \frac{(i-1)T}{2})e^{j2\pi(nF + \frac{(i-1)F}{2})t}$ is the transmitted pulse corresponding to $c_{m,n}^i$. The baseband DD channel can be modeled as a random linear operator H [21]

$$H[x(t)] = \int_0^{\tau_{\max}} \int_{-f_d}^{f_d} H(\tau, v)x(t - \tau)e^{j2\pi vt} d\tau dv \quad (3)$$

where τ_{\max} and f_d are the maximum multipath delay spread and the maximum Doppler frequency, respectively. The product $\vartheta = \tau_{\max}f_d$ is referred to as the channel spread factor (CSF) [21], [22] and $H(\tau, v)$ is called the delay-Doppler spread function. In wide-sense stationary uncorrelated scattering (WSSUS) assumption the DD channel is characterized by the second-order statistics

$$E[H(\tau, v)H^*(\tau_1, v_1)] = S_H(\tau, v)\delta(\tau - \tau_1)\delta(v - v_1) \quad (4)$$

where $E[\cdot]$ denotes the expectation and $S_H(\tau, v)$ is called the scattering function. Without loss of generality, we use

¹LOFDM system confines the transmission pulses to a set of orthogonal ones. As pointed out in [10], these orthogonalized pulses destroy the TF concentration of the initial pulses, hence lower the robustness to the time and frequency dispersion caused by the DD channel. On the other hand, the orthogonalized pulses are constructed from a nonorthogonal set of Gaussian pulses. As shown in [9], the orthogonalization process needs to compute all the elements of the Gram matrix, which leads to high implementation complexity.

$\int_0^{\tau_{\max}} \int_{-f_d}^{f_d} S_H(\tau, v)d\tau dv = 1$, that is the channel has no overall path loss. The received signal can be expressed as $r(t) = H[x(t)] + w(t)$, where $w(t)$ is the AWGN with variance σ_w^2 .

III. THE MAX-SINR RECEIVER

To obtain the data symbol $\hat{c}_{m,n}^i$, the match filter receiver projects the received signal $r(t)$ on prototype pulse function $\psi_{m,n}^i(t)$, $i = 1, 2$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{c}_{m,n}^i &= \langle r(t), \psi_{m,n}^i(t) \rangle \\ &= \sum_j \sum_{m', n'} c_{m', n'}^j \langle H[g_{m', n'}^j(t)], \psi_{m,n}^i(t) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle w(t), \psi_{m,n}^i(t) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\psi_{m,n}^i(t) = \psi(t - mT - \frac{(i-1)T}{2})e^{j2\pi(nF + \frac{(i-1)F}{2})t}$, and $\psi(t)$ is the prototype pulse at the receiver. The energy of the received symbol $\hat{c}_{m,n}^i$, after projection on the filter function $\psi_{m,n}^i(t)$ over DD channel can be expressed as

$$E_s = E \left\{ \left| \sum_j \sum_{m', n'} c_{m', n'}^j \langle H[g_{m', n'}^j(t)], \psi_{m,n}^i(t) \rangle + \langle w(t), \psi_{m,n}^i(t) \rangle \right|^2 \right\} \quad (6)$$

Under the assumptions that WSSUS channel and source symbols $c_{m,n}^i$ are statistically independent, (6) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} E_s &= \sigma_c^2 \int_{\tau} \int_v S_H(\tau, v) \left[\sum_{m,n} |A_{g,\psi}(mT + \tau, nF + v)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{m,n} |A_{g,\psi}(mT + \frac{T}{2} + \tau, nF + \frac{F}{2} + v)|^2 \left. \right] d\tau dv \quad (7) \\ &\quad + \sigma_w^2 |A_{g,\psi}(0, 0)| \end{aligned}$$

We can see from Eq. (7) that E_s is composed of the expectation symbol energy, ISI/ICI and additive noise. The SINR of the desired symbol $c_{m_0, n_0}^{i_0}$ can be expressed as

$$R_{\text{SIN}} = \frac{\sigma_c^2}{E_{\text{IN}}} \int_{\tau} \int_v S_H(\tau, v) |A_{g,\psi}(\tau, v)|^2 d\tau dv \quad (8)$$

where the interference-plus-noise energy E_{IN} is the energy perturbation of the desired symbol $c_{m_0, n_0}^{i_0}$, from other symbols over time varying multipath fading channel $H(\tau, v)$. For presentation simplicity, the desired symbol $c_{m_0, n_0}^{i_0}$ is chosen as $c_{0,0}^1$. Hence, E_{IN} can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{IN}} &= \sigma_c^2 \int_{\tau} \int_v S_H(\tau, v) \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\sum_{[m,n,i] \neq [0,0,1]} |A_{g,\psi}((m + \frac{i}{2})T + \tau, nF + v)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{[m,n,i] \neq [0,0,1]} |A_{g,\psi}((m + \frac{i}{2})T + \frac{T}{2} + \tau, nF \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{F}{2} + v)|^2 \right] d\tau dv + \sigma_w^2 |A_{g,\psi}(0, 0)| \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

According to the form of channel scattering functions, we have the following two cases [10].

A. DD channel with uniform power delay profile and uniform Doppler spectrum

For the DD channel with uniform power delay profile and uniform Doppler spectrum (DD-UNI), the scattering function can be expressed as $S_H(\tau, v) = 1/(2\tau_{\max}f_d)$ [23], with $\tau_{\max} \geq \tau > 0, |v| < f_d$. We assume that² $\psi(t) = g(t - \Delta t)e^{j2\pi\Delta ft}$, $|\Delta f| < f_d$, the SINR of the received signal over the DD-UNI channel can be expressed as

$$R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{UNI}} = \frac{\sigma_c^2}{2\tau_{\max}f_d E_{\text{IN}}^{\text{UNI}}} \int_0^{\tau_{\max}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sigma}(\tau - \Delta t)^2} d\tau \cdot \int_{-f_d}^{f_d} e^{-\sigma\pi(v - \Delta f)^2} dv \quad (10)$$

The theoretical SINR upper bound of the received signal over the DD-UNI channel can be expressed as

$$R_{\text{UB}}^{\text{UNI}} = \arg \max_{\Delta t, \Delta f} R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{UNI}} \quad (11)$$

The Max-SINR prototype pulse can be expressed as (see Appendix A)

$$\psi(t) = g\left(t - \frac{\tau_{\max}}{2}\right) \quad (12)$$

B. DD channel with exponential power delay profile and U-shape Doppler spectrum

For the DD channel with exponential power delay profile and U-shape Doppler spectrum (DD-EXP), the scattering function can be expressed as [23]

$$S_H(\tau, v) = \frac{e^{-\frac{\tau}{\tau_{\text{rms}}}}}{\pi\tau_{\text{rms}}f_d\sqrt{1 - (v/f_d)^2}} \quad (13)$$

with $\tau > 0, |v| < f_d$, τ_{rms} denotes the channel root mean square (RMS) delay spread. We assume that $\psi(t) = g(t - \Delta t)e^{j2\pi\Delta ft}$, $|\Delta f| < f_d$, the theoretical SINR can be expressed as

$$R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{EXP}} = \frac{\sigma_c^2}{\pi\tau_{\text{rms}}f_d E_{\text{IN}}^{\text{EXP}}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\tau}{\tau_{\text{rms}}}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sigma}(\tau - \Delta t)^2} d\tau \cdot \int_{-f_d}^{f_d} \frac{e^{-\sigma\pi(v - \Delta f)^2}}{\sqrt{1 - (v/f_d)^2}} dv \quad (14)$$

The theoretical SINR upper bound of the received signal over the DD-EXP channel can be expressed as

$$R_{\text{UB}}^{\text{EXP}} = \arg \max_{\Delta t, \Delta f} R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{EXP}} \quad (15)$$

²It is shown in [18]–[20] that the optimum sampling time of wireless communication systems over DD channel is dependent on the power distribution of the channel profiles, and that zero timing offset does not always yield the best system performance. Moreover, it is shown in [2] that there is a delay between the transmitted Gaussian prototype pulse and the Max-SINR prototype pulse of multicarrier transmission system with rectangular TF lattice over DD channel. Meanwhile, the DD propagation channel causes transmission signal dispersion in both the time and frequency domains. Hence, we assume that there is a timing offset and a frequency offset between the prototype pulses at the transmitter and the receiver.

Plugging (13) in (15), the Max-SINR prototype pulse can be expressed as $\psi(t) = g(t - \Delta t)$ and (see Appendix B)³

$$\Delta t = \frac{\sigma}{2\pi\tau_{\text{rms}}} - \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{2\pi}} \left(\frac{3.28\sqrt{\sigma}}{\tau_{\text{rms}}} - \sqrt{\frac{3.28^2\sigma}{\tau_{\text{rms}}^2} - 3.52\left(\frac{\sigma}{\tau_{\text{rms}}^2} - 4\right)} \right) \frac{1}{1.76} \quad (16)$$

We can see from equation (12) and (16) that the prototype pulses of the proposed Max-SINR receiver over DD channel are functions of channel maximum delay spread and RMS delay spread, respectively. Recently, several channel estimation schemes for multicarrier modulation system with hexagonal TF lattice have been proposed in [25]–[27] and all these schemes are suitable for HMT system.

IV. SIMULATION AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we test the proposed Max-SINR receiver via computer simulations based on the discrete signal model. In the following simulations, the number of subcarriers for HMT system is chosen as $N=40$, and the length of prototype pulse is set to $N_g=600$. The center carrier frequency is $f_c=5\text{GHz}$ and the sampling interval is set to $T_s=10^{-6}\text{s}$. The system parameters of HMT system are $F=25\text{kHz}$, $T=1 \times 10^{-4}\text{s}$ and the signaling efficiency $\rho=0.8$. σ for prototype pulse $g(t)$ is set to $\sigma=T/\sqrt{3}F$. Traditional projection receiver proposed in [10]–[12] is named as Traditional Projection Receiver (TPR) in the following simulation results.

A. SINR Performance of the Proposed Max-SINR Receiver for HMT System

1) *SINR Performance of HMT System over DD-UNI Channel*: The SINR performance of different receivers with the variety of σ_c^2/σ_w^2 for HMT system over DD-UNI channel is depicted in Fig. 2. The CSF ϑ is set to 0.07 and 0.2, respectively. We can see from Fig. 2 that the SINR performance of Max-SINR receiver outperforms TPR scheme about 0.5~1.5dB at $\vartheta=0.07$ and 1~3dB at $\vartheta=0.2$, respectively. The SINR gap between Max-SINR receiver and theoretical SINR upper bound is smaller than 0.1dB at $\vartheta=0.07$ and 0.2, respectively. The SINR performance with the variety of channel spread factors ϑ at $\sigma_c^2/\sigma_w^2=20\text{dB}$ over DD-UNI channel is depicted in Fig. 3. It can be seen that there is a degradation of SINR with the increasing of channel spread factor. Max-SINR receiver obtains an approximation to the theoretical upper bound SINR performance within the full range of ϑ . Meanwhile, Max-SINR receiver obtains an about 3.5dB maximum SINR gain over TPR scheme at $\vartheta=0.35$.

³In [2], the Max-SINR prototype pulse \mathbf{g} of multicarrier transmission system with rectangular TF lattice over DD channel is obtained by maximizing the generalized Rayleigh quotient $\hat{\mathbf{g}} = \arg \max_{\mathbf{g}} \frac{\mathbf{g}^H \mathbf{B} \mathbf{g}}{\mathbf{g}^H \mathbf{A} \mathbf{g}}$. The solution is the generalized eigenvector of the matrix pair (\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{A}) corresponding to the largest generalized eigenvalue. It is shown that there is a delay between the transmitted Gaussian prototype pulse and the received Gaussian prototype pulse. In this paper, the close form time offset expressions between the transmitted and received prototype pulse of multicarrier transmission system with hexagonal TF lattice is derived.




Fig. 2. The SINR performance of different receivers with the variety of σ_c^2/σ_w^2 for HMT system over DD-UNI channel.




Fig. 3. The SINR performance of different receivers with the variety of channel spread factors ϑ for HMT system over DD-UNI channel, $\sigma_c^2/\sigma_w^2=20$ dB.

2) Performance of HMT System over DD-EXP Channel:

The SINR performance of different receivers with the variety of σ_c^2/σ_w^2 for HMT system over DD-EXP channel is depicted in Fig. 4. $\tau_{\text{rms}}f_d$ in Fig. 4 is set to 0.07 and 0.2, respectively. We can see from Fig. 4 that the SINR performance of Max-SINR receiver outperforms TPR scheme about 1~4dB at $\tau_{\text{rms}}f_d=0.07$ and 1.5~3.5dB at $\tau_{\text{rms}}f_d = 0.2$, respectively. The SINR gap between Max-SINR receiver and the theoretical SINR upper bound is smaller than 0.5dB and 0.1dB at $\tau_{\text{rms}}f_d = 0.07$ and 0.2, respectively. The SINR performance with the variety of $\tau_{\text{rms}}f_d$ at $\sigma_c^2/\sigma_w^2=20$ dB over DD-EXP channel is depicted in Fig. 5. It can be seen that there is a degradation of SINR with the increasing of $\tau_{\text{rms}}f_d$. Max-SINR receiver obtains an approximation to the theoretical upper bound SINR performance within the full range of $\tau_{\text{rms}}f_d$. Max-SINR receiver achieves an about 2.5dB maximum SINR gain over TPR scheme at $\tau_{\text{rms}}f_d=0.35$.




Fig. 4. The SINR performance of different receivers with the variety of σ_c^2/σ_w^2 for HMT system over DD-EXP channel.




Fig. 5. The SINR performance of different receivers with the variety of $\tau_{\text{rms}}f_d$ for HMT system over DD-EXP channel, $\sigma_c^2/\sigma_w^2=20$ dB.

B. BER Performance of the Proposed Max-SINR Receiver for HMT System

The BER performance of the proposed Max-SINR receiver for HMT system over the DD channel with different channel scattering functions is given in Fig. 6.

For the DD-UNI channel, channel spread factor $\tau_{\text{max}}f_d$ is set to 0.2. We can conclude from Fig. 6 that the BER performance of the proposed Max-SINR receiver for HMT system over DD-UNI channel outperforms TPR receiver about 2dB at $E_b/N_0 = 20$ dB. For the DD-EXP channel, $\tau_{\text{rms}}f_d$ is set to 0.1. We can see from Fig. 6 that the proposed Max-SINR receiver over DD-EXP channel outperforms TPR receiver on the BER performance and the performance gain is about 2.5dB at $E_b/N_0 = 20$ dB.

C. Robustness of the Proposed Max-SINR Receiver against Channel Delay Spread Estimation Errors

The robustness of the proposed Max-SINR receiver against channel delay spread estimation errors is depicted in Fig.




Fig. 6. The BER performance of the proposed Max-SINR receiver for HMT system over the DD channel with different channel scattering functions.




Fig. 7. The robustness of the proposed Max-SINR receiver against channel delay spread estimation errors. Estimation errors of τ_{rms} and τ_{max} for DD-EXP channel and DD-UNI channel are modeled as uniformly distributed random variables in the interval $[-\tau_{\text{rms}}/2, \tau_{\text{rms}}/2]$ and $[-\tau_{\text{max}}/2, \tau_{\text{max}}/2]$, respectively.

7. Estimation errors of τ_{rms} and τ_{max} for DD-EXP channel and DD-UNI channel are modeled as uniformly distributed random variables in the interval $[-\tau_{\text{rms}}/2, \tau_{\text{rms}}/2]$ and $[-\tau_{\text{max}}/2, \tau_{\text{max}}/2]$, respectively.

In Fig. 7, the channel spread factor ϑ of DD-UNI channel is set to 0.1. We can see from Fig. 7 that the SINR gap between the SINR upper bound and the proposed Max-SINR receiver with estimation error of τ_{max} is within 0.1dB and 0.5dB at $\sigma_c^2/\sigma_w^2=0\text{dB}$ and 30dB, respectively. The SINR performance of the proposed Max-SINR receiver over DD-EXP channel at $\tau_{\text{rms}}f_d=0.1$ is given in Fig. 7. The SINR gap between the SINR upper bound and the proposed Max-SINR receiver with estimation error of τ_{rms} is within 0.1dB and 0.7dB at $\sigma_c^2/\sigma_w^2=0\text{dB}$ and 30dB, respectively. SINR performance of the TPR receiver over DD-UNI and DD-EXP channel is also depicted in Fig. 7 for comparison. The proposed Max-SINR receiver outperforms TPR scheme when there exists estimation

errors of τ_{rms} and τ_{max} .

V. CONCLUSION

A novel receiver based on Max-SINR criterion for HMT system over DD channel with different channel scattering functions is proposed in this paper. Theoretical analyses show that there is a timing offset between the prototype pulses of the proposed Max-SINR receiver and the traditional projection receiver. The closed form timing offset expressions of prototype pulse for Max-SINR HMT receiver over DD channel with different channel scattering functions are derived. Simulation results show that the proposed Max-SINR receiver obtains an approximation to the theoretical upper bound SINR performance and outperforms traditional projection scheme on BER performance. Meanwhile, the SINR performance of the proposed prototype pulse is robust to the channel delay spread estimation errors.

APPENDIX A PROOF OF EQUATION (12)

The SINR of the received signal over DD-UNI channel can be expressed as

$$R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{UNI}} \approx \underbrace{\frac{\sigma_c^2}{2\sigma_w^2 \tau_{\text{max}} f_d} \int_0^{\tau_{\text{max}}} e^{-\frac{\pi(\tau-\Delta t)^2}{\sigma^2}} d\tau}_{R^{\text{UNI}}(\Delta t)} \underbrace{\int_{-f_d}^{f_d} e^{-\sigma\pi(v-\Delta f)^2} dv}_{R^{\text{UNI}}(\Delta f)} \quad (17)$$

We can see from equation (17) that $R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{UNI}}$ is the product of two functions $R^{\text{UNI}}(\Delta t)$ and $R^{\text{UNI}}(\Delta f)$ with respect to Δt and Δf , respectively. Hence, the optimal timing offset Δt and the optimal frequency offset Δf can be obtained independently.

The optimal timing offset Δt can be obtained by taking the partial derivative of $R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{UNI}}$ with respect to Δt and solving the partial derivative equal to zero for Δt ,

$$\frac{\partial R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{UNI}}}{\partial \Delta t} = 0 \quad (18)$$

Plugging (17) in (18) and ignoring the constant items with respect to Δt , the partial derivative can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^{\tau_{\text{max}}} \frac{2\pi(\tau - \Delta t)}{\sigma} e^{-\frac{\pi(\tau-\Delta t)^2}{\sigma^2}} d\tau = 0 \quad (19)$$

Under the assumption that $0 < \Delta t < \tau_{\text{max}}$, we can rewrite (19) as

$$\int_{\Delta t}^{\tau_{\text{max}}} \frac{2\pi(\tau - \Delta t)}{\sigma} e^{-\frac{\pi(\tau-\Delta t)^2}{\sigma^2}} d\tau + \int_0^{\Delta t} \frac{2\pi(\tau - \Delta t)}{\sigma} e^{-\frac{\pi(\tau-\Delta t)^2}{\sigma^2}} d\tau = 0 \quad (20)$$

Let $\tau' = \tau - \Delta t$, we can rewrite (20) as

$$\underbrace{\int_0^{\tau_{\text{max}}-\Delta t} \frac{2\pi\tau'}{\sigma} e^{-\frac{\pi(\tau')^2}{\sigma^2}} d\tau'}_{\alpha(\Delta t)} = \underbrace{\int_0^{\Delta t} \frac{2\pi\tau'}{\sigma} e^{-\frac{\pi(\tau')^2}{\sigma^2}} d\tau'}_{\beta(\Delta t)} \quad (21)$$

Hence, the solution of equation $\partial R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{UNI}}/\partial \Delta t = 0$ is $\tau_{\text{max}} - \Delta t = \Delta t$, that is $\Delta t = \tau_{\text{max}}/2$, and the SINR of the received symbols obtains the maximum value while $\Delta t = \tau_{\text{max}}/2$.

The optimal frequency offset Δf can be obtained by taking the partial derivative of $R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{UNI}}$ with respect to Δf and solving the partial derivative equal to zero for Δf ,

$$\frac{\partial R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{UNI}}}{\partial \Delta f} = 0 \quad (22)$$

Plugging (17) in (22) and let $v' = v - \Delta f$, we can rewrite (22) as

$$\underbrace{\int_0^{f_d - \Delta f} 2\sigma\pi v' e^{-\sigma\pi(v')^2} dv'}_{\kappa(\Delta f)} = \underbrace{\int_0^{f_d + \Delta f} 2\sigma\pi v' e^{-\sigma\pi(v')^2} dv'}_{\chi(\Delta f)} \quad (23)$$

Hence, the solution of partial derivative $\partial R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{UNI}}/\partial \Delta f = 0$ is $f_d - \Delta f = f_d + \Delta f$, that is $\Delta f = 0$.

APPENDIX B PROOF OF EQUATION(16)

The SINR of the received signal can be expressed as

$$R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{EXP}} \approx \frac{\sigma_c^2}{\sigma_w^2 \tau_{\text{rms}} f_d} \int_{-f_d}^{f_d} \frac{e^{-\sigma\pi(v-\Delta f)^2}}{\sqrt{1-(v/f_d)^2}} dv \cdot \left(\underbrace{e^{\frac{\sigma}{4\pi\tau_{\text{rms}}^2} + \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_{\text{rms}}}}}_{a(\Delta t)} \underbrace{\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sigma}(\tau - \Delta t + \frac{\sigma}{2\pi\tau_{\text{rms}}})^2} d\tau}_{b(\Delta t)} \right) \quad (24)$$

where

$$b(\Delta t) = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\sigma}(\frac{\sigma}{2\pi\tau_{\text{rms}}}-\Delta t)}}^\infty e^{-x^2} dx \quad (25)$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{2} \text{erfc} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\sigma}} \left(\frac{\sigma}{2\pi\tau_{\text{rms}}} - \Delta t \right) \right)$$

where $\text{erfc}(\cdot)$ is the complementary error function. If $x > 0$, we may obtain an approximate solution of the complementary error function $\text{erfc}(\cdot)$ by [24]

$$\text{erfc}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \simeq \frac{2e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{1.64x + \sqrt{0.76x^2 + 4}} \quad (26)$$

We can see from equation (24) that $R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{UNI}}$ is also the product of two functions with respect to Δt and Δf , respectively. Hence, the optimal timing offset Δt and the optimal frequency offset Δf can be obtained independently.

The optimal timing offset Δt can be obtained by solving the gradient $a(\Delta t)b(\Delta t)$ with respect to Δt to zero,

$$\frac{da(\Delta t)}{d\Delta t} b(\Delta t) + \frac{db(\Delta t)}{d\Delta t} a(\Delta t) = 0 \quad (27)$$

where $\frac{da(\Delta t)}{d\Delta t} = -\frac{a(\Delta t)}{\tau_{\text{rms}}}$ and $\frac{db(\Delta t)}{d\Delta t} = e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sigma}(\frac{\sigma}{2\pi\tau_{\text{rms}}}-\Delta t)^2}$.

Hence, equation (27) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b(\Delta t)}{\tau_{\text{rms}}} &= e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sigma}(\frac{\sigma}{2\pi\tau_{\text{rms}}}-\Delta t)^2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{2\tau_{\text{rms}}} \text{erfc} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\sigma}} \left(\frac{\sigma}{2\pi\tau_{\text{rms}}} - \Delta t \right) \right) \\ &\simeq \frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{\tau_{\text{rms}}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sigma}(\frac{\sigma}{2\pi\tau_{\text{rms}}}-\Delta t)^2} \left(1.64 \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\sigma}} \left(\frac{\sigma}{2\pi\tau_{\text{rms}}} - \Delta t \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{\frac{1.52\pi}{\sigma} \left(\frac{\sigma}{2\pi\tau_{\text{rms}}} - \Delta t \right)^2 + 4} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Equation (28) can be simplified to a quadratic equation. Under the constraint of $\Delta t > 0$, the solution of the quadratic equation can be expressed as

$$\Delta t = \frac{\sigma}{2\pi\tau_{\text{rms}}} - \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{2\pi}} \left(\frac{3.28\sqrt{\sigma}}{\tau_{\text{rms}}} - \sqrt{\frac{3.28^2\sigma}{\tau_{\text{rms}}^2} - 3.52\left(\frac{\sigma}{\tau_{\text{rms}}^2} - 4\right)} \right) \quad (29)$$

The optimal timing offset Δf can be obtained by solving the partial derivative $R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{EXP}}$ with respect to Δf to zero,

$$\frac{\partial R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{EXP}}}{\partial \Delta f} = 0 \quad (30)$$

Let $\Xi(\Delta f)$ denotes the partial derivative $\partial R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{EXP}}/\partial \Delta f$. Plugging (24) in (30) and ignoring the constant items with respect to Δf , $\Xi(\Delta f)$ can be rewritten as

$$\Xi(\Delta f) = \int_{-f_d}^{f_d} \frac{2\sigma\pi(v-\Delta f)e^{-\sigma\pi(v-\Delta f)^2}}{\sqrt{1-(v/f_d)^2}} dv \quad (31)$$

Both of the exponential function and $\sqrt{1-(v/f_d)^2}$ are non-negative, and $2\sigma\pi(v-\Delta f)$ is a monotonically increasing function. $\Xi(-\Delta f)$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(-\Delta f) &= \int_{-f_d}^{f_d} \frac{2\sigma\pi(v+\Delta f)e^{-\sigma\pi(v+\Delta f)^2}}{\sqrt{1-(v/f_d)^2}} dv \\ &= -\Xi(\Delta f) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Hence, $\Xi(\Delta f)$, $|\Delta f| < f_d$, is a continuous odd function. Meanwhile, $\partial^2 R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{EXP}}/\partial \Delta f^2 = \partial \Xi(\Delta f)/\partial \Delta f$ can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \Xi(\Delta f)}{\partial \Delta f} = \int_{-f_d}^{f_d} \frac{2\sigma\pi(2\sigma\pi(v-\Delta f)^2 - 1)e^{-\sigma\pi(v-\Delta f)^2}}{\sqrt{1-(v/f_d)^2}} dv \quad (33)$$

Practical wireless channels usually satisfy that $\vartheta = \tau_{\text{max}} f_d \ll 1$ [21] and the optimal system parameter for HMT over DD channels can be chosen as $\sigma = \tau_{\text{max}}/f_d$ [10]. Hence, σ in (33) satisfies $\sigma \ll 1/\Delta f^2$ and $\partial \Xi(\Delta f)/\partial \Delta f < 0$, $|\Delta f| < f_d$. We can conclude from equation (32) and (33) that $\Xi(\Delta f)$, $|\Delta f| < f_d$, is a continuous odd function and $\partial \Xi(\Delta f)/\partial \Delta f < 0$, therefore, the necessary and sufficient condition of $R_{\text{SIN}}^{\text{EXP}}$ to obtain its maximum value is $\Delta f = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kumbasar V., Kucur O. ICI reduction in OFDM systems by using improved sinc power pulse. *Digital Signal Processing*, vol.17, no.6, pp.997-1006, Nov. 2007.
- [2] Das S., Schniter P. Max-SINR ISI/ICI-Shaping multicarrier communication over the doubly dispersive channel. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol.55, no.12, pp.5782-5795, Dec. 2007.
- [3] Abbas H.K., Waleed A. M., Nihad S., The performance of multiwavelets based OFDM system under different channel conditions. *Digital Signal Processing*, vol.20, no.2, pp.472-482, Mar. 2010.
- [4] Gao X., Wang W., Xia X.G., et al. Cyclic prefixed OQAM-OFDM and its application to single-carrier FDMA. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol.59, no.5, pp.1467-1480, May 2011.
- [5] P. Jung, G. Wunder, The WSSUS pulse design problem in multicarrier transmission. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol.55, no. 10, pp.1918-1928, Oct. 2007.
- [6] M. Ma, B. Jiao, C. Y. Lee, A dual-window technique for enhancing robustness of OFDM against frequency offset, *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 17-19, Jan. 2008.
- [7] G. Lin, L. Lundheim, N. Holte, Optimal pulses robust to carrier frequency offset for OFDM/QAM systems, *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 161-163, Mar. 2008.
- [8] P. Siohan, C. Siclet, N. Lacaille, Analysis and design of OFDM/OQAM systems based on filterbank theory. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 1170-1183, May 2002.
- [9] Strohmer T, Beaver S., Optimal OFDM design for time-frequency dispersive channels. *IEEE Trans. Commun.* vol.51, no.7, pp.1111-1122, Jul. 2003.
- [10] Han F. M., Zhang X.D., Hexagonal multicarrier modulation: A robust transmission scheme for time-frequency dispersive channels. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol.55, no.5, pp.1955-1961, May 2007.
- [11] Han F.M., Zhang X.D., MLSF for hexagonal multicarrier transmission with time-frequency localized pulses, *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol.58, no.3, pp.1598-1604, Mar. 2009.
- [12] Han F. M., Zhang X.D. Asymptotic Equivalence of Two Multicarrier Transmission Schemes in Terms of Robustness Against Time-Frequency Dispersive Channels. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol.59, no.2, pp.1598-1604, Feb. 2010.
- [13] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups*, 3rd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [14] Xu K., Shen Y. H. Effects of carrier frequency offset, timing offset, and channel spread factor on the performance of hexagonal multicarrier modulation systems. *EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*, vol.2009, pp.1-8, Jan. 2009.
- [15] Xu K., Xu Y., Zhang D. SINR analysis of hexagonal multicarrier transmission systems in the presence of insufficient synchronization for doubly dispersive channel. *Frequenz*, vol.65, no.5, pp.149-157, Aug. 2011.
- [16] Xu K., Lv Z., Xu Y., Zhang D. Max-SINR Based Timing Synchronization Scheme in Hexagonal Multicarrier Transmission. *Wireless Personal Communications*, DOI: 10.1007/s11277-012-0550-5.
- [17] Xu Kui, Xu Y.Y., Xia X.C, Zhang D.M., On Max-SINR Receiver for Hexagonal Multicarrier Transmission Over Doubly Dispersive Channel. to appear in *Proc. 2012 IEEE Global Telecommun. Conf. (GLOBECOM12)*.
- [18] Jingxian Wu, Chengshan Xiao, Performance Analysis of Wireless Systems With Doubly Selective Rayleigh Fading, *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol.56, no.2, pp.721-730, Mar. 2007.
- [19] Jingxian Wu, Yahong Rosa Zheng, Khaled Ben Letaief, Chengshan Xiao, On the Error Performance of Wireless Systems with Frequency Selective Fading and Receiver Timing Phase Offset, *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol.6, no.2, pp.720-729, Feb. 2007.
- [20] Jingxian Wu, Yahong Rosa Zheng, Khaled Ben Letaief, Chengshan Xiao, Matched Filter Bound of Wireless Systems over Frequency Selective Channels with Receiver Timing Phase Offset. in *Proc. IEEE Global Telecommun. Conf. (GLOBECOM05)*, pp.3758-3762, Dec. 2005.
- [21] Bello P. A., Characterization of randomly time-variant linear channels, *IEEE Transactions on Communication System*, vol.11, no.4, pp.360-393, Dec. 1963.
- [22] L. Cohen, *Time-frequency analysis*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1995.
- [23] P. Matthias, *Mobile fading channels*. West Sussex, England: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2002.
- [24] Kingsbury, N. Approximation Formulae for the Gaussian Error Integral, $Q(x)$, Connexions Web site. <http://cnx.org/content/m11067/2.4/>, Jun 7, 2005.
- [25] Gao Meng, Shen Y.H., Yuan Z.G., Maximum Doppler spread estimation by tracking the delay-subspace for LOFDM systems in doubly dispersive fading channels. in *Proc. 2009 IEEE Int. Conf. Wireless Communications and Signal Processing (WCSP' 09)*.
- [26] Gao Meng, Shen Y.H., Xu K., A low complexity frequency domain pilot time domain doubly average channel estimation for LOFDM systems. *PRZEGLAD ELEKTROTECHNICZNY(Electrical Review)*. vol. 2011, no. 4, pp.270-274, Apr. 2011.
- [27] Gao Meng, Shen Y.H., Yuan Z.G., Cyclostationarity-Based and Super-Imposed Pilot-Aided Maximum Doppler Spread Estimation for LOFDM Systems in Doubly-Dispersive Channels. *Frequenz*, vol.65, no.3-4, pp.93-101, May 2011.