

ELECTROSTATIC FORCES ON CHARGED SURFACES OF BILAYER LIPID MEMBRANES *

MICHAEL MIKUCKI[†] AND Y. C. ZHOU[‡]

Abstract. Simulating protein-membrane interactions is an important and dynamic area of research. A proper definition of electrostatic forces on membrane surfaces is necessary for developing electromechanical models of protein-membrane interactions. Here we modeled the bilayer membrane as a continuum with general continuous distributions of lipids charges on membrane surfaces. A new electrostatic potential energy functional was then defined for this solvated protein-membrane system. We investigated the geometrical transformation properties of the membrane surfaces under a smooth velocity field. These properties allows us to apply the Hadamard-Zolésio structure theorem, and the electrostatic forces on membrane surfaces can be computed as the shape derivative of the electrostatic energy functional.

Key words. lipid bilayer membrane; electromechanics; dielectric interface; surface charge; dielectric boundary force; implicit-solvent; Poisson-Boltzmann; shape derivative

AMS subject classifications. 35J, 35Q, 49S, 82B, 82D, 92C

1. Introduction. This paper concerns the mathematically rigorous and physically justifiable definition of electrostatic forces on the surfaces of lipid bilayer membranes within the framework of implicit solvent and continuum models of charged lipids. Lipid bilayer membranes, as the boundaries of cells and many cell organelles, control the exchange of ions, nutrient particles and metabolic products between the enclosed structures and the surrounding aqueous environment. Bilayer membranes function by stretching, bending, merging and separating to control gate specific channels or to wrap/unwrap the particles. These deformations are precisely regulated by various proteins and other macromolecules, each with its own specific functions. Among all types of intermolecular interactions that drive the membrane deformation, the electrostatic interaction is ubiquitous because proteins and lipid bilayer membranes are always charged under physiological conditions. It is indeed one of the most important interactions if the membrane dynamics involve the lateral diffusion of charged lipids and electrostatic association of proteins. Computation of the electrostatic forces on the membrane is therefore of critical importance for quantitative study of membrane dynamics and related cellular activities. Interested readers are referred to [13, 21, 18, 3, 17] for more thorough discussions of the membrane dynamics and protein-membrane electrostatic interactions.

Computation of electrostatic forces on bilayer membrane by summing up pairwise Coulombic interactions has to consider full molecular details of the system and involves all particles in the computation. Thus, it is challenging to use this method to study membrane dynamics at biologically relevant spatial and time scales. Implicit solvent models have been introduced so that the averaged behavior of highly dynamic solvent molecules can be described as a structure-less continuum [9]. This simplification greatly reduces the degrees of freedom in simulations. A rigorous definition of the electrostatic force on biomolecules within the framework of implicit solvent models has been the subject of study for decades by computational biologists and applied

*This work was partially supported by the Simons Foundation.

[†]Department of Mathematics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, 80523-1874 (mikucki@math.colostate.edu).

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, 80523-1874 (yzhou@math.colostate.edu).

mathematicians [19, 11, 23, 16]. Recently, Li *et al.* obtained an elegant derivation of electrostatic forces on molecular surfaces by computing the shape derivative of the electrostatic potential energy [16]. That work assumes that the dielectric interface is uncharged. This assumption does not hold for bilayer membranes if they are modeled with continuous distribution of lipids on surfaces. Such a continuum membrane model is necessary for simulating membrane dynamics over a region larger than 100Å in space and longer than a microsecond in time [20, 4, 14, 24]. The variation of charge densities on dielectric interfaces has to be considered in deriving the electrostatic forces, and the model in [16] has to be modified before it can be applied to protein-membrane interactions. In this work, we propose an electrostatic energy functional for the Poisson-Boltzmann equation with a general variable charge density on bilayer membrane surfaces. Under a special condition this surface charge density follows a constraint Boltzmann distribution. We find that the time derivative of the Jacobian for surface transformation equals the surface divergence of the velocity field at membrane surfaces. Thanks to this essential geometric property, the Hadamard-Zolésio structure theorem holds true for our model and the shape derivative approach can be applied consequently to compute the electrostatic forces on bilayer membrane surfaces.

The rest of the article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the governing equation and free-energy functional for the protein-membrane electrostatic interactions. Section 3 is devoted to the computation of the shape derivative of the electrostatic energy functional, with an emphasis on the treatment of membrane surface charge distribution and surface transformation. Finally, we shall show in Section 4 that the electrostatic force obtained through shape derivative approach matches the divergence of the jump of Maxwell stress tensor (MST).

2. Motivating problem and mathematical model. The membrane deformation will be modeled under the following conditions. Define $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ as the region containing the entire membrane-protein system. Let Ω_p denote the volume of the protein and Ω_m be the volume of the membrane. The solvent will occupy Ω_s which includes both the surrounding environment and the volume enclosed by the membrane. The boundary separating the protein Ω_p and the solvent Ω_s will be given by the manifold $\Gamma_p \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. The membrane Ω_m has two boundaries to the solvent. The interior boundary is called the cytosolic face and is denoted $\Gamma_c \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. The exterior boundary is called the endoplasmic face and is denoted $\Gamma_e \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. The exterior boundary of the containment domain is called $\partial\Omega$. The atomic detail of the lipids that compose the membrane will be neglected for the continuum model. The unit outward normal to any boundary Γ will be denoted as n . A cross section of the protein-membrane model is illustrated in Figure 2.1.

The total potential energy of bilayer membrane is expressed in two components. First, the classical mechanical bending energy depends only on the curvature of the bilayer membrane, attributed to the work of Canham [2], Helfrich [12] and Evans [8]. Their calculation for the bending energy is given by

$$E[\Gamma] = \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K}_C (2H - C_0)^2 + \mathcal{K}_G K \right) dS, \quad (2.1)$$

where \mathcal{K}_C and \mathcal{K}_G are the bending modulus and Gaussian saddle-splay modulus, respectively, H is the mean curvature, C_0 is the spontaneous curvature, K is the Gaussian curvature, a is the determinant of the covariant metric tensor, and s_1 and s_2 are the intrinsic curvilinear coordinates of the membrane [10]. In addition to the




FIG. 2.1. *Mathematical description of protein-membrane system. The containment domain is denoted by Ω . The volume of the lipid bilayer is Ω_m , and the exoplasmic (exterior) and cytosolic (interior) faces of the membrane are Γ_e and Γ_c , respectively. The volume enclosed by the membrane and the aqueous surrounding environment are both denoted Ω_s . The protein is Ω_p with a surface Γ_p . The unit outward normal to any surface Γ is n . Lipids are drawn in the bottom left corner for illustration but their atomic details will be neglected in the model. Note that the protein may be located in the solvent region inside Γ_c in some cases.*

bending energy, the membrane is under an external potential force induced by the protein. The potential energy from this interaction is added to the total potential energy of the system:

$$\Pi[\Gamma] = E[\Gamma] + G[\Gamma], \quad (2.2)$$

where $E[\Gamma]$ is the bending energy (2.1) and $G[\Gamma]$ is the electrostatic potential energy from the protein-membrane interaction. The equilibrium position of the bilayer membrane is determined by the surfaces which minimize both the bending energy and the electrostatic potential energy. The minimization of (2.2) gives rise to the bending curvature equation of Γ ,

$$\delta_\Gamma \Pi[\Gamma] = \delta_\Gamma E[\Gamma] + \delta_\Gamma G[\Gamma]. \quad (2.3)$$

The variation of the classical bending energy has been calculated in [10] to be

$$\delta_\Gamma E[\Gamma] = \int_\Gamma \left[\mathcal{K}_C 2(2H - C_0)^2 \delta H \sqrt{a} + \mathcal{K}_C \frac{1}{2} (2H - C_0)^2 \delta \sqrt{a} \right] (1/\sqrt{a}) dS. \quad (2.4)$$

The main result of this article is the calculation of the external force induced by the protein, given by the variation of the electrostatic potential energy with respect to the boundary, $\delta_\Gamma G[\Gamma]$.

2.1. Poisson-Boltzmann Equation with surface charge distributions.

The electrostatic potential energy $G[\Gamma]$ for the lipid membrane boundaries Γ_e and

Γ_c is related to the weak form of the nonlinear Poisson-Boltzmann equation,

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \nabla \phi) + \chi_s B'(\phi) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ [\phi] = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_c, \Gamma_e, \Gamma_p, \\ \varepsilon_s \frac{\partial \phi^s}{\partial n} = \varepsilon_m \frac{\partial \phi^m}{\partial n} - \rho[\Gamma] q_l & \text{on } \Gamma_c, \Gamma_e, \\ \varepsilon_s \frac{\partial \phi^s}{\partial n} = \varepsilon_p \frac{\partial \phi^p}{\partial n} & \text{on } \Gamma_p, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where ε is the dielectric coefficient. Distinct dielectric permittivities are defined in Ω_m , Ω_s , and Ω_p . The electrostatic potential is given by ϕ . The subscripts of ε and ϕ denote the domain they are defined. The fixed charge density inside proteins is given by f , the charge of an individual lipid is given by q_l , and the concentration of lipids on membrane surfaces is $\rho[\Gamma]$. Here, we assume there is only one species of diffusive charged lipids in the membrane, but the model can easily be generalized to multiple lipid species. The distribution of charged lipids on the membrane surfaces $\rho[\Gamma]$ is governed by the surface electrodiffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \nabla_s \cdot (D \nabla_s \rho + D q_l \rho \nabla_s \phi), \quad (2.6)$$

where t is time, D is the diffusion coefficient, and $\nabla_s, \nabla_s \cdot$ are the surface gradient and surface divergence operators, respectively. In this model, two distributions for the lipids $\rho_e = \rho[\Gamma_e]$ and $\rho_c = \rho[\Gamma_c]$ will be considered, corresponding to the solutions of (2.6) on the two membrane surfaces Γ_e and Γ_c , respectively. The function B describes the electrostatic energy due to the mobile ions and is defined by

$$B(\phi) = \beta^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M c_j^\infty (e^{-\beta q_j \phi} - 1), \quad (2.7)$$

where $\beta = 1/(k_B T)$ is the inverse thermal energy, M is the number of ionic species in the solvent, and q_j and c_j^∞ are the charge and bulk concentration of the j^{th} ionic species, respectively [16].

One method of solving (2.6) utilizes a representation of the ion concentration ρ by a ‘Slotboom variable’

$$u = \rho e^{Q\phi} \quad (2.8)$$

This change of variable transforms (2.6) to an equivalent, symmetric form. At the steady state, (2.6) becomes

$$0 = \nabla_s \cdot (D e^{-Q\phi} \nabla_s u). \quad (2.9)$$

It is apparent that

$$u = \rho e^{Q\phi} = c \quad (2.10)$$

is a solution to (2.9) for some constant c . Then, $\rho = c e^{-Q\phi}$. If $Q = q_l \beta$, the lipids will follow a Boltzmann distribution under these assumptions, i.e.,

$$\rho = c e^{q_l \beta \phi}. \quad (2.11)$$

To solve for the constant c , we make use of the quantity

$$T = \int_{\Gamma} \rho \, dS = \int_{\Gamma} c e^{-q_1 \beta \phi} \, dS, \quad (2.12)$$

which is the total number of the charged lipids on the surface Γ . Since the lipids stay on the surface Γ , T is a conserved quantity, which gives a calculation for c as

$$c = \frac{T}{\int_{\Gamma} e^{-q_1 \beta \phi} \, dS}. \quad (2.13)$$

Plugging (2.13) back in for (2.11) gives a constraint Boltzmann distribution of lipids:

$$\rho[\Gamma] = \frac{T e^{-q_1 \beta \phi}}{\int_{\Gamma} e^{-q_1 \beta \phi} \, dS}. \quad (2.14)$$

This distribution depends on the position of Γ .

2.2. Electrostatic potential energy. The following theorem establishes the form of the electrostatic potential energy (sometimes called the electrostatic free energy) for the entire protein-membrane system.

THEOREM 2.1. *The form of the electrostatic potential energy G is*

$$G[\Gamma; \phi] = \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 - f \phi + \chi_s B(\phi) \right] d\Omega + \frac{T}{\beta} \left(\ln \int_{\Gamma} e^{-q_1 \beta \phi} \, dS - \ln \int_{\Gamma} dS \right). \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. The result will be established by showing that the variational form of (2.15) is equivalent to the Poisson-Boltzmann Equation (2.5).

The variational form of the Poisson-Boltzmann Equation (2.5), for an arbitrary test function $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

$$\int_{\Omega} -\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \nabla \phi) \psi \, dX + \int_{\Omega} \chi_s B'(\phi) \psi \, dX = \int_{\Omega} f \psi \, dX. \quad (2.16)$$

Splitting the first integral in the domains Ω_s , Ω_m , and Ω_p , and by the definition of χ_s ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_s} -\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_s \nabla \phi^s) \psi \, dX + \int_{\Omega_m} -\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_m \nabla \phi^m) \psi \, dX + \int_{\Omega_p} -\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_p \nabla \phi^p) \psi \, dX \\ & + \int_{\Omega_s} B'(\phi) \psi \, dX = \int_{\Omega} f \psi \, dX. \end{aligned}$$

By the product rule for divergence, keeping in mind that $\nabla \phi$ is a vector and ψ is a scalar,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_s} -\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_s \nabla \phi^s \psi) \, dX + \int_{\Omega_s} \varepsilon_s (\nabla \phi^s) \cdot (\nabla \psi) \, dX + \int_{\Omega_m} -\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_m \nabla \phi^m \psi) \, dX \\ & + \int_{\Omega_m} \varepsilon_m (\nabla \phi^m) \cdot (\nabla \psi) \, dX + \int_{\Omega_p} -\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_p \nabla \phi^p \psi) \, dX + \int_{\Omega_p} \varepsilon_p (\nabla \phi^p) \cdot (\nabla \psi) \, dX \\ & + \int_{\Omega_s} B'(\phi) \psi \, dX = \int_{\Omega} f \psi \, dX. \end{aligned}$$

Combine the second, fourth, and sixth integrals into a single integral over Ω and use the divergence theorem on the first, third, and fifth integrals, keeping in mind that the multiple boundaries of the domains gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon(\nabla\phi) \cdot (\nabla\psi) \, dX - \int_{\partial\Omega} \varepsilon_s \psi (\nabla\phi^s) \cdot n \, dS + \int_{\Gamma_c} \varepsilon_s \psi (\nabla\phi^s) \cdot n \, dS \\ & + \int_{\Gamma_e} \varepsilon_s \psi (\nabla\phi^s) \cdot n \, dS + \int_{\Gamma_p} \varepsilon_s \psi (\nabla\phi^s) \cdot n \, dS - \int_{\Gamma_e} \varepsilon_m \psi (\nabla\phi^m) \cdot n \, dS \\ & - \int_{\Gamma_c} \varepsilon_m \psi (\nabla\phi^m) \cdot n \, dS - \int_{\Gamma_p} \varepsilon_p \psi (\nabla\phi^p) \cdot n \, dS + \int_{\Omega_s} B'(\phi) \psi \, dX = \int_{\Omega} f \psi \, dX. \end{aligned}$$

But, the test function ψ is supported compactly over Ω , so the boundary integrals over $\partial\Omega$ are zero. Combine the boundary integrals over Γ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon(\nabla\phi) \cdot (\nabla\psi) \, dX + \int_{\Omega_s} B'(\phi) \psi \, dX - \int_{\Omega} f \psi \, dX \right) \\ & + \left(\int_{\Gamma_c} \psi (\varepsilon_s \nabla\phi^s - \varepsilon_m \nabla\phi^m) \cdot n \, dS \right) + \left(\int_{\Gamma_e} \psi (\varepsilon_s \nabla\phi^s - \varepsilon_m \nabla\phi^m) \cdot n \, dS \right) \\ & + \left(\int_{\Gamma_p} \psi (\varepsilon_s \nabla\phi^s - \varepsilon_p \nabla\phi^p) \cdot n \, dS \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, apply the boundary conditions to the last three integrals to obtain the variational form of the Poisson-Boltzmann Equation,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon(\nabla\phi) \cdot (\nabla\psi) \, dX + \int_{\Omega_s} B'(\phi) \psi \, dX - \int_{\Omega} f \psi \, dX \right) \\ & - \int_{\Gamma_c} \rho[\Gamma_c] q_l \psi \, dS - \int_{\Gamma_e} \rho[\Gamma_e] q_l \psi \, dS = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Next, we will see that the variation of the electrostatic potential energy is equiv-

alent to (2.17):

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{G[\phi + t\psi] - G[\phi]}{t} &= \left. \frac{dG[t; \phi, \psi]}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\nabla(\phi + t\psi)|^2 + \chi_s B(\phi + t\psi) - f(\phi + t\psi) \right] d\Omega \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{T}{\beta} \left(\ln \int_{\Gamma} e^{-q_l \beta(\phi + t\psi)} dS - \ln \int_{\Gamma} dS \right) \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \nabla(\phi + t\psi) \cdot (\nabla\psi) + \chi_s B'(\phi + t\psi)\psi - f(\phi + t\psi) d\Omega \\
&\quad + \frac{T}{\beta} \left(\frac{\int_{\Gamma} e^{-q_l \beta(\phi + t\psi)} (-q_l \beta \psi) dS}{\int_{\Gamma} e^{-q_l \beta(\phi + t\psi)} dS} \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon (\nabla\phi) \cdot (\nabla\psi) + \chi_s B'(\phi)\psi - f\psi d\Omega + \frac{T \int_{\Gamma} e^{-q_l \beta \phi} (-q_l \psi) dS}{\int_{\Gamma} e^{-q_l \beta \phi} dS} \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon (\nabla\phi) \cdot (\nabla\psi) + \chi_s B'(\phi)\psi - f\psi d\Omega - \int_{\Gamma} \rho[\Gamma] q_l \psi dS.
\end{aligned}$$

The computation utilizes the fact that $\frac{d}{dt}|x| = \frac{1}{|x|} \left(x \cdot \frac{dx}{dt} \right)$ for any vector x . The result of the computation matches the form of (2.17), which finishes the proof. \square

2.3. General lipid distributions. In the previous section, we assume the lipids distribution is governed by the surface electrodiffusion equation (2.6) so it follows the ideal Boltzmann distribution (2.14). The practical distribution of lipids is subject to various constraints such as finite sizes and entropy conditions, and will not follow this surface electrodiffusion equation [15, 14, 22]. The following theorem states that a more general distribution of lipids is allowed for our definition of electrostatic forces on membrane surfaces:

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose the lipids follow a positive distribution ρ of the form,*

$$\rho[\Gamma] = \frac{-C^* \gamma'(\phi)}{q_l \int_{\Gamma} \gamma(\phi) dS}. \quad (2.18)$$

for some distribution $\gamma(\phi)$. Then, the form of the electrostatic potential energy G is

$$G[\Gamma; \phi] = \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\nabla\phi|^2 - f\phi + \chi_s B(\phi) \right] d\Omega + C^* \left(\ln \int_{\Gamma} \gamma(\phi) dS - \ln \int_{\Gamma} dS \right). \quad (2.19)$$

Proof. Most of the details of this proof follow the logic of Theorem 2.1. The only differences arise from the second half of the electrostatic potential energy, which we shall call G_2 , i.e.,

$$G_2[\Gamma; \phi] = C^* \left(\ln \int_{\Gamma} \gamma(\phi) dS - \ln \int_{\Gamma} dS \right). \quad (2.20)$$

Computing the variation of G_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{G_2[\phi + t\psi] - G_2[\phi]}{t} &= \left. \frac{dG_2[t; \phi, \psi]}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \left(C^* \left(\ln \int_{\Gamma} \gamma(\phi) dS - \ln \int_{\Gamma} dS \right) \right) \right|_{t=0} \\ &= C^* \left(\left. \frac{\int_{\Gamma} \gamma'(\phi + t\psi) dS}{\int_{\Gamma} \gamma(\phi + t\psi) dS} - 0 \right) \right|_{t=0} = \frac{\int_{\Gamma} C^* \gamma'(\phi) \psi dS}{\int_{\Gamma} \gamma(\phi) dS} \\ &= - \int_{\Gamma} \rho[\Gamma] q_l \psi dS, \end{aligned}$$

which matches exactly the corresponding second half of (2.17), finishing the proof. Note that for lipids with a Poisson-Boltzmann distribution, $\gamma(\phi) = e^{-q_l \beta \phi}$ and $C^* = T/\beta$. \square

3. Shape derivative of electrostatic potential energy. In this section, the main calculation of $\delta_{\Gamma} G[\Gamma]$ will be established via the method of shape derivatives. For the shape derivative calculation, a velocity function of the membrane movement will be defined. According to the Hadamard-Zolésio Structure Theorem of shape calculus [7], the variation of the electrostatic potential energy (2.15) with respect to the position of the smooth boundary Γ , that is, the shape derivative, must be given by the inner product of the force with the normal component of the velocity of the deformation. Thus, the shape derivative $\delta_{\Gamma} G[\Gamma]$ will provide the force on a membrane Γ .

3.1. Velocity of the surface. Define the velocity function $V \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$ by the following dynamical system,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = V(x) & \forall t > 0, \\ x(0) = X, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where X is the original position of the membrane and x is the transformed position. Assume that V is compactly supported near the bilayer membrane surfaces, i.e., $V(x) = 0$ if $\text{dist}(x, \frac{\Gamma_e + \Gamma_c}{2}) > d$ for some $d > 0$ where

$$d < \frac{1}{2} \min [\text{dist}(\Gamma_e, \partial\Omega), \text{dist}(\Gamma_c, \text{supp}(f)), \text{dist}(\Gamma_c, \text{supp}(f))]. \quad (3.2)$$

This condition prevents the exterior membrane surface from stretching beyond the containment domain Ω and also prevents the either membrane surface from overlapping the center of an atom contributing to the charge density f within the protein.

The solution to (3.1) defines a diffeomorphism $T_t : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ where $T_t(X) = x(t, X)$ maps the old coordinates X into the transformed coordinates x . By a Taylor expansion, we can approximate the map by

$$\begin{aligned} T_t(X) &= x(t, X) \\ &= x(0, X) + t \partial_t x(0, X) + \mathcal{O}(t^2) \\ &= X + tV(x(0, X)) + \mathcal{O}(t^2) \\ &= X + tV(X) + \mathcal{O}(t^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

so that the map $T_t(X)$ agrees with the perturbation of the identity up to the leading term.

The configuration under the transformation $T_t(X)$ will result in a new electrostatic free energy,

$$G[\Gamma_t, \phi] = \int_{\Omega_t} \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 - f\phi + \chi_s B(\phi) \right] dx + C^* \left(\ln \int_{\Gamma_t} \gamma(\phi) dS - \ln \int_{\Gamma_t} dS \right), \quad (3.4)$$

where each of the functions are computed over the transformed regions $\Omega_t = T_t(\Omega)$, $\Gamma_t = T_t(\Gamma)$. Similar to the standard nonlinear Poisson-Boltzmann equation without surface charge distributions [16], there is a unique minimizer $\psi_t \in H_g^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ that minimizes (3.4) over $H_g^1(\Omega)$. The minimum is

$$G[\Gamma_t] = \min_{\phi \in H_g^1(\Omega)} G[\Gamma_t, \phi] = G[\Gamma_t, \psi_t]. \quad (3.5)$$

Following the ideas of Theorem 2.2, the same ψ_t is also the unique weak solution to the transformed boundary value problem of the Poisson-Boltzmann equation,

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \nabla \phi) + \chi_s B'(\phi) = f & \text{in } \Omega_t, \\ [\phi] = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_{c_t}, \Gamma_{e_t}, \Gamma_{p_t}, \\ \varepsilon_s \frac{\partial \phi^s}{\partial n} = \varepsilon_m \frac{\partial \phi^m}{\partial n} - \rho[\Gamma_t] q_l & \text{on } \Gamma_{c_t}, \Gamma_{e_t}, \\ \varepsilon_s \frac{\partial \phi^s}{\partial n} = \varepsilon_p \frac{\partial \phi^p}{\partial n} & \text{on } \Gamma_{p_t}. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

3.2. Transformation properties. The transformation $T_t(X)$ defined by (3.1) can act on volume and surface elements in Ω . Some useful properties of the transformation are outlined below, and are shown in details in [6].

3.2.1. Volume transformation properties. The following are assumed properties of the transformation $T_t(X)$ defined by (3.1) on a volume element $dX \in \mathbb{R}^3$. These properties will be used in the computation of the shape derivative and their justifications are found in [6], for example.

(T1) Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t \geq 0$. Let $\nabla T_t(X)$ be the Jacobian matrix of T_t at X defined by $(\nabla T_t(X))_{ij} = \partial_j T_t^i(X)$, where T_t^i is the i th component of T_t ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Let

$$J_t(X) = \det \nabla T_t(X). \quad (3.7)$$

For each X , the function $t \mapsto J_t(X)$ is in C^∞ and at X ,

$$\frac{dJ_t}{dt} = J_t(\nabla \cdot V) \circ T_t. \quad (3.8)$$

At $t = 0$, since no time has passed, $\nabla T_0 = I$ for any x and so $J_0(X) = 1$. The continuity of J_t at $t = 0$ implies $J_t > 0$ for $t > 0$ small enough.

(T2) Define $A(t) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $t \geq 0$ small enough by

$$A(t) = J_t(\nabla T_t)^{-1}(\nabla T_t)^{-T}. \quad (3.9)$$

At each point in Ω ,

$$\begin{aligned} A'(t) = & \left[((\nabla \cdot V) \circ T_t) - (\nabla T_t)^{-1} ((\nabla V) \circ T_t) \nabla T_t \right. \\ & \left. - (\nabla T_t)^{-1} ((\nabla V) \circ T_t)^T (\nabla T_t) \right] A(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

3.2.2. Surface transformation properties. In this section, we will compute a useful property of the transformation T_t on a surface element $dS \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Define

$$J_s(X, t) = (\det \nabla T_t(X)) |\nabla T_t^{-T} n(X)|. \quad (3.11)$$

as in [5]. Note that at time $t = 0$, $J_s(X, 0) = (\det I) |In_0| = |n_0(X)| = 1$, since the length of the unit normal is always 1. Analogous to the transformation on a volume element, the differential surface element is transformed by $ds = J_s dS$. The surface transformation property we wish to establish is the following:

(S1) The derivative of J_s in (3.11) is given by

$$\frac{dJ_s}{dt} = (J_t(\nabla \cdot V) \circ T_t) |\nabla T_t^{-T} n| + \frac{\det \nabla T_t}{|\nabla T_t^{-T} n|} (\nabla T_t^{-T} n) \cdot \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla T_t^{-T} n). \quad (3.12)$$

The above computation uses the product rule and (3.8). The remainder of this section will serve to complete the formulation of (3.12).

First, the derivative with respect to t of $(\nabla T_t^{-T} n)$ is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\nabla T_t^{-T} n) = \frac{d(\nabla T_t^{-T})}{dt} n + \nabla T_t^{-T} \frac{dn}{dt}. \quad (3.13)$$

There is an alternative expression to (3.13) that will prove easier for further calculations. Let $\vec{a} = \nabla T_t^{-T} n$ so that $n = \nabla T_t^T \vec{a}$. Then, computing the derivative of n ,

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = \frac{d(\nabla T_t^T \vec{a})}{dt} = \frac{d(\nabla T_t^T)}{dt} \vec{a} + \nabla T_t^T \frac{d\vec{a}}{dt}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d\vec{a}}{dt} = (\nabla T_t^{-T}) \left(\frac{dn}{dt} - \frac{d(\nabla T_t^T)}{dt} \vec{a} \right).$$

Substituting back in the definition of \vec{a} gives the alternative form of (3.13),

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\nabla T_t^{-T} n) = (\nabla T_t^{-T}) \left(\frac{dn}{dt} - \frac{d(\nabla T_t^T)}{dt} (\nabla T_t^{-T} n) \right). \quad (3.14)$$

This equation is more advantageous than (3.13) because it only requires computing the derivative of ∇T_t^T , whereas (3.13) requires computing the derivative of ∇T_t^{-T} .

The derivative of ∇T_t^T with respect to time t is next. It is important to keep in mind which variable, x or X , is the variable of differentiation when writing $\nabla(\cdot)$. Sometimes the variable will be emphasized by writing $\nabla_x(\cdot)$ or $\nabla_X(\cdot)$ depending on the context. Write $T_t(X) = T(t, X)$ to emphasize T is a function of X and t (but not x). This will allow for the subscript notation of partial derivatives. Computing the derivative with respect to time of $\nabla_X T(t, X)$ yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \nabla_X T(t, X) = \frac{d}{dt} \partial_{X_j} T_i = \partial_{X_j} \frac{dT_i}{dt} = \partial_{X_j} V_i(X) = \nabla_X V(X).$$

Now the formula $\nabla_X V(X) = (\nabla_x V(x))(\nabla_X T(t, X))$ will be verified:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X V(X) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial X_1} & \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial X_2} & \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial X_3} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial V_3}{\partial X_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial V_3}{\partial X_3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x_3} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial V_3}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial V_3}{\partial x_3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial X_1} & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial X_2} & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial X_3} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial X_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial X_3} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\nabla_x V(x))(\nabla_X T(t, X)). \end{aligned}$$

This establishes

$$\frac{d}{dt}\nabla_X T(t, X) = (\nabla_x V(x))(\nabla_X T(t, X)). \quad (3.15)$$

From (3.15) and the fact that the derivative of the transpose of a matrix is the transpose of the derivative,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\nabla T_t^T = ((\nabla V)(\nabla T_t))^T. \quad (3.16)$$

The equation (3.16) may be substituted in (3.14), which may in turn be substituted in to (3.12), but we choose to substitute everything at the end of the section for neatness.

Next, we will establish a formula for the normal derivative $\frac{dn}{dt}$ at time $t = 0$. Let u and v parametrize a surface in \mathbb{R}^3 and define the surface by

$$\vec{r}(u, v) = (x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v)). \quad (3.17)$$

With this parameterization of the surface, the transformation T_t acting on the surface can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} T_t(x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v)) &= (x(u, v) + \alpha(u, v, t), y(u, v) + \beta(u, v, t), z(u, v) + \gamma(u, v, t)) \\ &= \vec{r}(u, v) + \vec{s}(u, v, t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where $\vec{s}(u, v, t) = (\alpha(u, v, t), \beta(u, v, t), \gamma(u, v, t))$. Note that \vec{s} depends in t but \vec{r} is independent of t . Next, define the (initial) normal vector to the surface in the reference coordinates by

$$n(X, 0) = \frac{r_u \times r_v}{|r_u \times r_v|}, \quad (3.19)$$

where the subscripts denote partial derivatives. Then, at any time $t > 0$, the transformed normal vector is calculated by applying (3.18) to the initial normal vector (3.19),

$$n(X, t) = \frac{(r_u + s_u) \times (r_v + s_v)}{|(r_u + s_u) \times (r_v + s_v)|}. \quad (3.20)$$

For simplicity, let $\zeta = (r_u + s_u) \times (r_v + s_v)$. Next, the initial time rate of change of (3.20) will be computed:

$$\left. \frac{dn(X, t)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|} \right) \right|_{t=0} = \left[\frac{1}{|\zeta|} \frac{d\zeta}{dt} + \zeta \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{|\zeta|} \right) \right] \Big|_{t=0}. \quad (3.21)$$

Define

$$P = \frac{1}{|\zeta|} \frac{d\zeta}{dt} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \zeta \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{|\zeta|} \right).$$

The derivative $\frac{d\zeta}{dt}$ can be computed using the product rule for cross products. Notice

that \vec{r} does not depend on t , the simplified form of P is

$$\begin{aligned}
P|_{t=0} &= \frac{1}{|\zeta|} \frac{d}{dt} ((r_u + s_u) \times (r_v + s_v)) \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= \frac{1}{|\zeta|} \left[\left(\frac{d}{dt} (r_u + s_u) \right) \times (r_v + s_v) + (r_u + s_u) \times \left(\frac{d}{dt} (r_v + s_v) \right) \right] \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= \frac{1}{|(r_u + s_u) \times (r_v + s_v)|} \left[\frac{ds_u}{dt} \times (r_v + s_v) + (r_u + s_u) \times \frac{ds_v}{dt} \right] \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= \frac{1}{|r_u \times r_v|} \left[\frac{ds_u}{dt} \times r_v + r_u \times \frac{ds_v}{dt} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The simplified form of Q is

$$\begin{aligned}
Q|_{t=0} &= \zeta \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{|\zeta|} \right) \Big|_{t=0} = ((r_u + s_u) \times (r_v + s_v)) \frac{-1}{|\zeta|^3} \left(\zeta \cdot \frac{d\zeta}{dt} \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= (r_u \times r_v) \frac{-1}{|r_u \times r_v|^3} \left[(r_u \times r_v) \cdot \left(\frac{ds_u}{dt} \times r_v + r_u \times \frac{ds_v}{dt} \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

This gives the desired result:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dn(X, 0)}{dt} &= \frac{1}{|r_u \times r_v|} \left(\frac{ds_u}{dt} \times r_v + r_u \times \frac{ds_v}{dt} \right) \\
&\quad + (r_u \times r_v) \frac{-1}{|r_u \times r_v|^3} \left[(r_u \times r_v) \cdot \left(\frac{ds_u}{dt} \times r_v + r_u \times \frac{ds_v}{dt} \right) \right]. \quad (3.22)
\end{aligned}$$

To simplify, define

$$R = \frac{ds_u}{dt} \times r_v + r_u \times \frac{ds_v}{dt}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dn(X, 0)}{dt} &= \frac{1}{|r_u \times r_v|} R + (r_u \times r_v) \frac{-1}{|r_u \times r_v|^3} ((r_u \times r_v) \cdot R) \\
&= \frac{1}{|r_u \times r_v|} \left[R - \frac{r_u \times r_v}{|r_u \times r_v|} \left(\frac{r_u \times r_v}{|r_u \times r_v|} \cdot R \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{|r_u \times r_v|} [R - n(X, 0) (n(X, 0) \cdot R)].
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\frac{dn(X, 0)}{dt} = \frac{1}{|r_u \times r_v|} [R - n(X, 0) (n(X, 0) \cdot R)]. \quad (3.23)$$

To finish the formula (3.12) requires substituting (3.16) and an expression lengthier than (3.22) into (3.14) and substituting the result into (3.12). The final expression for $\frac{dJ_s}{dt}$ at arbitrary $t > 0$ would be exceedingly long, and the expression is unnecessary for the calculations of the shape derivative. Indeed, only the derivative of J_s at $t = 0$ will be used. To compute this quantity we notice that by (3.16) it follows

$$\frac{d}{dt} \nabla T_t^T \Big|_{t=0} = ((\nabla V)(\nabla T_0))^T = (\nabla V)^T, \quad (3.24)$$

where the ∇ operator acting on $T_t^T(X)$ is with respect to X and the one acting on $V(x)$ is with respect to x . Now, using (3.12), (3.14), (3.24), and (3.23) gives the result

$$\begin{aligned}
\left. \frac{dJ_s}{dt} \right|_{t=0} &= (J_0(\nabla \cdot V) \circ T_0) |\nabla T_0^{-T} n| \\
&\quad + (\det \nabla T_0) \left(\frac{1}{|\nabla T_0^{-T} n|} (\nabla T_0^{-T} n) \cdot \left. \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla T_t^{-T} n) \right|_{t=0} \right) \\
&= \nabla \cdot V + \left(n \cdot \left. \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla T_t^{-T} n) \right|_{t=0} \right) \\
&= \nabla \cdot V + \left(n \cdot \left[(\nabla T_t^{-T}) \left(\frac{dn}{dt} - \frac{d(\nabla T_t^T)}{dt} (\nabla T_t^{-T} n) \right) \right] \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= \nabla \cdot V + n \cdot \left(\frac{dn(X, 0)}{dt} - (\nabla V)^T n \right) \\
&= \nabla \cdot V + n \cdot \frac{1}{|r_u \times r_v|} (R - n(n \cdot R)) - n \cdot (\nabla V)^T n,
\end{aligned}$$

where $n = n(X, 0)$ is the initial unit normal, and hence $|n| = 1$. Notice that $n(n \cdot R)$ is the component of R in the direction of n . Then, $R - n(n \cdot R)$ is the component of R perpendicular to n . Thus, $n \cdot (R - n(n \cdot R)) = 0$. This leads to

$$\left. \frac{dJ_s}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \nabla \cdot V - n \cdot (\nabla V)^T n. \quad (3.25)$$

Next, notice that for any matrix A and vector \vec{x} , $x \cdot A^T x = x \cdot Ax$. This reduces (3.25) to

$$\left. \frac{dJ_s}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \nabla \cdot V - n \cdot (\nabla V)n. \quad (3.26)$$

The right-hand side of (3.26) is exactly the surface divergence of V . This leads to the final expression of the initial time derivative of J_s ,

$$\left. \frac{dJ_s}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \nabla_s \cdot V. \quad (3.27)$$

3.3. Shape derivative calculation. The main theorem of the paper establishes the shape derivative of the electrostatic free energy to the boundary, which corresponds to F_n , the normal component of the dielectric boundary force.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\Gamma G[\Gamma] &= -\frac{\varepsilon_s}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^s|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon_m}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^m|^2 - \varepsilon_m |\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n|^2 + \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) \\
&\quad - B(\psi_0) - q_l \rho[\Gamma] (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n).
\end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Let $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$ be a smooth map that vanishes outside a small neighborhood of the membrane surface Γ . That is, $V(X) = 0$ if $\text{dist}(X, \Gamma) > d$ for some $d > 0$ satisfying (3.2). Let the transformations $T_t(t \geq 0)$ be defined by (3.1). For $t > 0$ the electrostatic free energy is given by (3.5), where the functional $G[\Gamma_t, \cdot]$ is given in (3.4) and ψ_t is the weak solution to (3.6). For $t = 0$, the electrostatic free energy is given by (2.15) and ψ_0 is the weak solution to (2.5).

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume $f \in H^1(\Omega)$. Then the shape derivative of the electrostatic*

free energy $G[\Gamma]$ in the direction of V is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\Gamma, V} G[\Gamma] = \int_{\Gamma} \left(-\frac{\varepsilon_s}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^s|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon_m}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^m|^2 - \varepsilon_m |\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n|^2 + \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) \right. \\ \left. - B(\psi_0) - q_l \rho[\Gamma] (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) \right) (V \cdot n) dS. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Proof. The proof is divided into four steps.

- i. First, the energy functional will be computed in the transformed coordinates through a new function $z(t, \phi)$. A change of variables will bring z back to the reference coordinates, and then z will be differentiated with respect to time.
- ii. Second, the difference quotient corresponding to the shape derivative will be squeezed between two realizations of $\partial_t z$.
- iii. Third, the inequality will be passed to the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$ and it will be shown that the two realizations of $\partial_t z$ are identical in the limit, and hence equal to the shape derivative.
- iv. Fourth and finally, the result will be simplified to match the final form.

The computations to determine the shape derivative of (2.15) will be completed in two calculations. Splitting (2.15) into two components,

$$G_1[\Gamma; \phi] = \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 - f \phi + \chi_s B(\phi) \right] d\Omega \quad (3.30)$$

and

$$G_2[\Gamma; \phi] = \frac{T}{\beta} \left(\ln \int_{\Gamma} e^{-q_l \beta \phi} dS - \ln \int_{\Gamma} dS \right) \quad (3.31)$$

where $G[\Gamma; \phi] = G_1[\Gamma; \phi] + G_2[\Gamma; \phi]$. The details of the computations for $G_1[\Gamma; \phi]$ are omitted as they appear in [16] with slight sign modifications.

Step 1. Let $t \geq 0$.

Since each $\phi \in H_g^1(\Omega)$ corresponds uniquely to $\phi \circ T_t^{-1} \in H_g^1(\Omega)$, by (3.5),

$$G[\Gamma_t] = \min_{\phi \in H_g^1(\Omega)} G[\Gamma_t, \phi \circ T_t^{-1}].$$

The purpose of this is to take the transformed coordinates $x = T_t(X)$ back into the original coordinates, for which information is known. Let $\phi \in H^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $t \geq 0$ and denote

$$z(t, \phi) = G[\Gamma_t, \phi \circ T_t^{-1}]. \quad (3.32)$$

The function z will be split into two components, z_1 , corresponding to G_1 , and z_2 corresponding to G_2 .

$$z_1(t, \phi) = -G_1[\Gamma_t, \phi \circ T_t^{-1}], \quad (3.33)$$

$$z_2(t, \phi) = G_2[\Gamma_t, \phi \circ T_t^{-1}], \quad (3.34)$$

so that $z(t, \phi) = -z_1(t, \phi) + z_2(t, \phi)$. The reason for the sign change on (3.33) is to match the analysis in [16], which uses energy maximization, and here we consider energy minimization. Since the details of $-z_1(t, \phi)$ appear exactly in [16], we will

focus on only $z_2(t, \phi)$ here. Using (3.34), (3.31), and a transformation of coordinates $x = T_t(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} z_2(t, \phi) &= G_2[\Gamma_t, \phi \circ T_t^{-1}] \\ &= C^* \left(\ln \int_{\Gamma_t} \gamma(\phi \circ T_t^{-1})(x) dS(x) - \ln \int_{\Gamma_t} dS(x) \right) \\ &= C^* \left(\ln \int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\phi(X)) J_s(X, t) dS(X) - \ln \int_{\Gamma_0} J_s(X, t) dS(X) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

where the formula for J_s is given by (3.11). Note that $\phi(X)$ as a function of X does not depend on t . Differentiating with respect to t ,

$$\partial_t z_2(t, \phi) = C^* \left(\frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\phi) \frac{dJ_s(X, t)}{dt} dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\phi) J_s(X, t) dS(X)} - \frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{dJ_s(X, t)}{dt} dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} J_s(X, t) dS(X)} \right). \quad (3.36)$$

Then, the full form of $\partial_t z(t, \phi)$ is given by combining the calculation in [16] and (3.36),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t z(t, \phi) &= \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} A'(t) \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \phi - ((\nabla \cdot (fV)) \circ T_t) \phi J_t + \chi_s B(\phi) ((\nabla \cdot V) \circ T_t) J_t \right] dX \\ &+ C^* \left(\frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\phi) \frac{dJ_s(X, t)}{dt} dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\phi) J_s(X, t) dS(X)} - \frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{dJ_s(X, t)}{dt} dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} J_s(X, t) dS(X)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

where $A'(t)$ is given by (3.10).

Step 2. Let $t \in (0, \tau]$. Since $\psi_t \in H_g^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\psi_0 \in H_g^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ minimize $G[\Gamma_t, \cdot]$ and $G[\Gamma, \cdot]$, respectively, over $H_g^1(\Omega)$ we have

$$G[\Gamma_t, \psi_0 \circ T_t^{-1}] \geq G[\Gamma_t, \psi_t] = G[\Gamma_t], \quad (3.38)$$

$$G[\Gamma, \psi_t] \geq G[\Gamma, \psi_0] = G[\Gamma], \quad (3.39)$$

$$G[\Gamma, \psi_t \circ T_t] \geq G[\Gamma, \psi_0] = G[\Gamma]. \quad (3.40)$$

By (3.38),

$$\frac{G[\Gamma_t, \psi_0 \circ T_t^{-1}] - G[\Gamma, \psi_0]}{t} \geq \frac{G[\Gamma_t] - G[\Gamma]}{t}.$$

By (3.40),

$$\frac{G[\Gamma_t] - G[\Gamma]}{t} \geq \frac{G[\Gamma_t, \psi_t] - G[\Gamma, \psi_t \circ T_t]}{t}.$$

Putting the two inequalities together with the definition in (3.32) gives

$$\frac{z(t, \psi_0) - z(0, \psi_0)}{t} \geq \frac{G[\Gamma_t] - G[\Gamma]}{t} \geq \frac{z(t, \psi_t \circ T_t) - z(0, \psi_t \circ T_t)}{t}. \quad (3.41)$$

Notice that the far left expression of the inequality is the secant line of $z(\cdot, \psi_0)$ from 0 to $t \leq \tau$, and the far right expression is the secant line of $z(\cdot, \psi_t \circ T_t)$ from 0 to $t \leq \tau$. Since z is differentiable on $t \geq 0$, we can apply the Mean Value Theorem to each expression. That is, there exists $\xi(t), \eta(t) \in [0, t]$ for each $t \in (0, \tau]$ such that

$$\partial_t z(\xi(t), \psi_0) \geq \frac{G[\Gamma_t] - G[\Gamma]}{t} \geq \partial_t z(\eta(t), \psi_t \circ T_t) \quad \forall t \in (0, \tau]. \quad (3.42)$$

Step 3. Claim:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \partial_t z(\xi(t), \psi_0) = \partial_t z(0, \psi_0), \quad (3.43)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \partial_t z(\eta(t), \psi_t \circ T_t) = \partial_t z(0, \psi_0). \quad (3.44)$$

As in Step 1, the proofs for (3.43) and (3.44) will be split into the corresponding z_1 and z_2 components,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \partial_t z_1(\xi(t), \psi_0) = \partial_t z_1(0, \psi_0), \quad (3.45)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \partial_t z_1(\eta(t), \psi_t \circ T_t) = \partial_t z_1(0, \psi_0), \quad (3.46)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \partial_t z_2(\xi(t), \psi_0) = \partial_t z_2(0, \psi_0), \quad (3.47)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \partial_t z_2(\eta(t), \psi_t \circ T_t) = \partial_t z_2(0, \psi_0). \quad (3.48)$$

The justification of (3.45) and (3.46) are found in [16], so (3.48) will be proven here, noting that (3.47) is similar. Let $\eta(t) \in [0, t]$ and do consider passing $\partial_t z_2(\eta(t), \psi_t \circ T_t)$ to the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t z_2(\eta(t), \psi_t \circ T_t) &= C^* \left(\frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\psi_t \circ T_t) \frac{dJ_s(X, \eta(t))}{dt} dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\psi_t \circ T_t) J_s(X, \eta(t)) dS(X)} - \frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{dJ_s(X, \eta(t))}{dt} dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} J_s(X, \eta(t)) dS(X)} \right) \\ &\rightarrow C^* \left(\frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\psi_0) \frac{dJ_s(X, 0)}{dt} dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\psi_0) J_s(X, 0) dS(X)} - \frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{dJ_s(X, 0)}{dt} dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} J_s(X, 0) dS(X)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By passing (3.42) to the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$ and using (3.43) and (3.44) through the computation (3.37) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\Gamma, V} G[\Gamma] &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{G[\Gamma_t] - G[\Gamma]}{t} = \partial_t z(0, \psi_0) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} A'(0) \nabla \psi_0 \cdot \nabla \psi_0 - (\nabla \cdot (fV)) \psi_0 + \chi_s B(\psi_0) (\nabla \cdot V) \right] dX \\ &\quad + C^* \left(\frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\psi_0) \frac{dJ_s(X, 0)}{dt} dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\psi_0) J_s(X, 0) dS(X)} - \frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{dJ_s(X, 0)}{dt} dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} J_s(X, 0) dS(X)} \right). \quad (3.49) \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. The final step is to simplify the form of (3.49) so that it matches the right-hand side of (3.29). The simplifications will begin with z_1 and then in z_2 . The combined results will be the shape derivative. In [16], $\partial_t z_1(0, \psi_0)$ is simplified to

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t z_1(0, \psi_0) &= \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\varepsilon_s}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^s|^2 (V \cdot n) \, dS - \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\varepsilon_m}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^m|^2 (V \cdot n) \, dS \\ &\quad - \int_{\Gamma} \varepsilon_s (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) (V \cdot \nabla \psi_0^s) \, dS + \int_{\Gamma} \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) (V \cdot \nabla \psi_0^m) \, dS \\ &\quad + \int_{\Gamma} B(\psi_0) (V \cdot n) \, dS. \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

The tangential components of ψ_0^s and ψ_0^m are equal on any interface Γ , but the normal components of course are not, so any difference occurs only in the direction of n ,

$$\nabla(\psi_0^s - \psi_0^m) = (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n - \nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) n \quad \text{on } \Gamma. \quad (3.51)$$

Now apply the new interface condition:

$$\varepsilon_s \nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n = \varepsilon_m \nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n - q_l \rho[\Gamma], \quad (3.52)$$

where $\rho[\Gamma]$ follows (2.18). There are two equivalent approaches from here. Consider the third and fourth terms of (3.50). Substituting (3.52) into the third term and using (3.51) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\Gamma} \varepsilon_s (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) (V \cdot \nabla \psi_0^s) \, dS + \int_{\Gamma} \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) (V \cdot \nabla \psi_0^m) \, dS \\ &= - \int_{\Gamma} (\varepsilon_m \nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n - q_l \rho[\Gamma]) (V \cdot \nabla \psi_0^s) \, dS + \int_{\Gamma} \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) (V \cdot \nabla \psi_0^m) \, dS \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} -(\varepsilon_m \nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) V \cdot \nabla(\psi_0^s - \psi_0^m) + q_l \rho[\Gamma] (V \cdot \nabla \psi_0^s) \, dS \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} -(\varepsilon_m \nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) V \cdot (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n - \nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) n + q_l \rho[\Gamma] (V \cdot \nabla \psi_0^s) \, dS \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \varepsilon_m |\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n|^2 (V \cdot n) - \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) (V \cdot n) + q_l \rho[\Gamma] (V \cdot \nabla \psi_0^s) \, dS. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this term back into (3.50) and combining terms will lead to the final result for $\partial_t z_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t z_1(0, \psi_0) &= \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\varepsilon_s}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^s|^2 (V \cdot n) \, dS - \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\varepsilon_m}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^m|^2 (V \cdot n) \, dS \\ &\quad + \int_{\Gamma} \varepsilon_m |\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n|^2 (V \cdot n) - \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) (V \cdot n) + q_l \rho[\Gamma] (V \cdot \nabla \psi_0^s) \, dS \\ &\quad + \int_{\Gamma} B(\psi_0) (V \cdot n) \, dS \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon_s}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^s|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon_m}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^m|^2 + \varepsilon_m |\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n|^2 - \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + B(\psi_0) \right) (V \cdot n) + q_l \rho[\Gamma] (V \cdot \nabla \psi_0^s) \right] \, dS \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

An alternative form of (3.53) can be obtained by substituting (3.52) and keeping $(\varepsilon_s \nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n)$ instead.

Next, the shape derivative from $\partial_t z_2$ will be simplified. Evaluating (3.36) at $t = 0$ and $\phi = \psi_0$ gives,

$$\partial_t z_2(0, \psi_0) = C^* \left(\frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\psi_0) \frac{dJ_s(X, 0)}{dt} dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\psi_0) J_s(X, 0) dS(X)} - \frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{dJ_s(X, 0)}{dt} dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} J_s(X, 0) dS(X)} \right).$$

The time derivative of J_s at $t = 0$ is the surface divergence of the velocity, as calculated in (3.27). Recall that $J_s(X, 0) = 1$. Using these two facts, the above equation is equivalent to

$$\partial_t z_2(0, \psi_0) = C^* \left(\frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\psi_0) (\nabla_s \cdot V) dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\psi_0) dS(X)} - \frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} (\nabla_s \cdot V) dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} dS(X)} \right). \quad (3.54)$$

Next it will be shown that the surface divergence of any continuous function on an enclosed surface is 0. Let F be an arbitrary function and consider the following integral on the arbitrary enclosed surface Γ_0 ,

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} (\nabla \cdot F) dS_2(X). \quad (3.55)$$

The notation is suggestive of application to the problem in context, but is for now treated as arbitrary for the purpose of generalizing. The notation $S_i(X)$ indicates a surface in \mathbb{R}^i . Applying the divergence theorem,

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} (\nabla \cdot F) dS_2(X) = \int_{\partial\Gamma_0} F \cdot n_1 dS_1(X) + \int_{\partial\Gamma_0} F \cdot n_2 dS_1(X). \quad (3.56)$$

Since Γ_0 is an enclosed surface, the outward normal directions to the boundary $\partial\Gamma_0$ are opposite in sign. Therefore, the two integrals in (3.56) cancel,

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} (\nabla_s \cdot F) dS_2(X) = 0. \quad (3.57)$$

By applying (3.57) to (3.54), the second term is zero, as the velocity V is continuous. Applying the product rule to the numerator of the remaining term,

$$\partial_t z_2(0, \psi_0) = C^* \left(\frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} \nabla_s \cdot (V\gamma(\psi_0)) - V \cdot (\nabla_s \gamma(\psi_0)) dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\psi_0) dS(X)} \right). \quad (3.58)$$

By applying again (3.57) to (3.58) to remove the surface divergence of the continuous function $V\gamma(\psi_0)$, and by expanding the surface gradient in the remaining term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t z_2(0, \psi_0) &= C^* \left(\frac{\int_{\Gamma_0} -V \cdot (\gamma'(\psi_0) \nabla_s \psi_0) dS(X)}{\int_{\Gamma_0} \gamma(\psi_0) dS(X)} \right) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_0} q_l \rho[\Gamma] (V \cdot \nabla_s \psi_0) dS(X). \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

where the last step uses the definition of $\rho[\Gamma]$ in (2.18) at $\phi = \psi_0$.

Finally, combine (3.53) and (3.59) for the full form of the shape derivative,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\Gamma, V} G[\Gamma] &= -\partial_t z_1 + \partial_t z_2 \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_0} \left[\left(-\frac{\varepsilon_s}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^s|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon_m}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^m|^2 - \varepsilon_m |\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n|^2 + \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - B(\psi_0) \right) (V \cdot n) - q_l \rho[\Gamma] (V \cdot \nabla \psi_0^s) \right] dS + \int_{\Gamma_0} q_l \rho[\Gamma] (V \cdot \nabla_s \psi_0) dS. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\psi_0^s = \psi_0^m$ on Γ by the first boundary condition of (2.5), and hence the last two terms reduce:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Gamma_0} -q_l \rho[\Gamma] (V \cdot \nabla \psi_0^s) dS(X) + \int_{\Gamma_0} q_l \rho[\Gamma] (V \cdot \nabla_s \psi_0) dS \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_0} q_l \rho[\Gamma] V \cdot (\nabla_s \psi_0^s - \nabla \psi_0^s) dS \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_0} q_l \rho[\Gamma] V \cdot ([\nabla \psi_0^s - (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n)n] - \nabla \psi_0^s) dS \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_0} -q_l \rho[\Gamma] (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) (V \cdot n) dS. \end{aligned}$$

Using this result, the shape derivative is simplified to the final result,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\Gamma, V} G[\Gamma] &= \int_{\Gamma_0} \left(-\frac{\varepsilon_s}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^s|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon_m}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^m|^2 - \varepsilon_m |\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n|^2 + \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - B(\psi_0) - q_l \rho[\Gamma] (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) \right) (V \cdot n) dS. \end{aligned} \quad (3.60)$$

The alternative form of $\delta_{\Gamma, V} G[\Gamma]$ based on the alternative form of (3.53) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\Gamma, V}^{(\text{alt})} G[\Gamma] &= \int_{\Gamma_0} \left(-\frac{\varepsilon_s}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^s|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon_m}{2} |\nabla \psi_0^m|^2 + \varepsilon_s |\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n|^2 - \varepsilon_s (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - B(\psi_0) - q_l \rho[\Gamma] (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) \right) (V \cdot n) dS. \end{aligned} \quad (3.61)$$

Notice that the two forms are equivalent, since subtracting (3.61) from (3.60) using

the second interface condition in (2.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\Gamma, V} G[\Gamma] - \delta_{\Gamma, V}^{(\text{alt})} G[\Gamma] = \\
& = \left(\left(-\varepsilon_m |\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n|^2 + \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) - q_l \rho[\Gamma] (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \left(\varepsilon_s |\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n|^2 - \varepsilon_s (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) - q_l \rho[\Gamma] (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) \right) \right) (V \cdot n) \\
& = \left(-\varepsilon_m |\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n|^2 + \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) + \left(\varepsilon_s (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) - \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) \right) (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \varepsilon_s |\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n|^2 + \varepsilon_s (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) + \left(\varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) - \varepsilon_s (\nabla \psi_0^s \cdot n) \right) (\nabla \psi_0^m \cdot n) \right) (V \cdot n) \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Equivalence to the Maxwell Stress Tensor. Define $E = -\nabla \psi$. Then the Maxwell Stress Tensor is defined by

$$T = \varepsilon E \otimes E - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} |E|^2 I - \chi_s B(\psi) I. \quad (4.1)$$

We shall verify that the force F_n given by (3.29) can also be expressed as the jump in the Maxwell Stress Tensor [1],

$$\begin{aligned}
F_n &= n \cdot T^+ n - n \cdot T^- n \\
&= \left(\varepsilon_s |\nabla \psi^s \cdot n|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon_s}{2} |\nabla \psi^s|^2 - \chi_s B(\psi^s) \right) - \left(\varepsilon_m |\nabla \psi^m \cdot n|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon_m}{2} |\nabla \psi^m|^2 \right) \\
&= -\frac{\varepsilon_s}{2} |\nabla \psi^s|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon_m}{2} |\nabla \psi^m|^2 + \varepsilon_s |\nabla \psi^s \cdot n|^2 - \varepsilon_m |\nabla \psi^m \cdot n|^2 - B(\psi) \\
&= -\frac{\varepsilon_s}{2} |\nabla \psi^s|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon_m}{2} |\nabla \psi^m|^2 + \varepsilon_s (\nabla \psi^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi^s \cdot n) - \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi^m \cdot n) (\nabla \psi^s \cdot n) \\
& \quad + \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi^m \cdot n) - \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi^m \cdot n) (\nabla \psi^m \cdot n) - B(\psi) \\
&= -\frac{\varepsilon_s}{2} |\nabla \psi^s|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon_m}{2} |\nabla \psi^m|^2 - \varepsilon_m |\nabla \psi^m \cdot n|^2 + \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi^m \cdot n) \\
& \quad - B(\psi) + \left(\varepsilon_s (\nabla \psi^s \cdot n) - \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi^m \cdot n) \right) (\nabla \psi^s \cdot n) \\
&= -\frac{\varepsilon_s}{2} |\nabla \psi^s|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon_m}{2} |\nabla \psi^m|^2 - \varepsilon_m |\nabla \psi^m \cdot n|^2 + \varepsilon_m (\nabla \psi^s \cdot n) (\nabla \psi^m \cdot n) \\
& \quad - B(\psi) - q_l \rho[\Gamma] (\nabla \psi^s \cdot n). \quad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

This matches (3.28) exactly, suggesting that our definition of the electrostatic forces on charged dielectric interfaces is physical.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Benzhuo Lu for many helpful discussions.

- [1] Q. CAI, X. YE, J. WANG, AND R. LUO, *Dielectric boundary force in numerical Poisson-Boltzmann methods: Theory and numerical strategies*, Chem. Phys. Lett., 514 (2011), pp. 368 – 373.
- [2] P. CANHAM, *The minimum energy of bending as a possible explanation of the biconcave shape of the human red blood cell*, J. Theor. Biol., 26 (1970), pp. 61 – 81.
- [3] W. CHO AND R. V. STAHELIN, *Membrane-protein interactions in cell signaling and membrane trafficking*, Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct., 34 (2005), pp. 119–151.
- [4] S. CHOE, K. A. HECHT, AND M. GRABE, *A continuum method for determining membrane protein insertion energies and the problem of charged residues*, J. Gen. Physiol., 131 (2008), pp. 563–573.
- [5] P. CIARLET, *Mathematical Elasticity: Three-Dimensional Elasticity*, Studies in Mathematics and Its Applications, North-Holland, 1994.
- [6] M. DELFOUR AND J. ZOLÉSIO, *Shapes and Geometries: Metrics, Analysis, Differential Calculus, and Optimization*, Advances in Design and Control, SIAM, 2011.
- [7] K. G. V. DER ZEE, *Goal-Adaptive Discretization of Fluid-Structure Interaction*, PhD thesis, Delft University of Technology, 2009.
- [8] E. EVANS, *Bending resistance and chemically induced moments in membrane bilayers*, Biophys. J., 14 (1974), pp. 923–931.
- [9] M. FEIG AND C. L. B. III, *Recent advances in the development and application of implicit solvent models in biomolecule simulations*, Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol., 14 (2004), pp. 217 – 224.
- [10] F. FENG AND W. S. KLUG, *Finite element modeling of lipid bilayer membranes*, J. Comput. Phys., 220 (2006), pp. 394 – 408.
- [11] M. K. GILSON, M. E. DAVIS, B. A. LUTY, AND J. A. MCCAMMON, *Computation of electrostatic forces on solvated molecules using the Poisson-Boltzmann equation*, J. Phys. Chem., 97 (1993), pp. 3591–3600.
- [12] W. HELFRICH ET AL., *Elastic properties of lipid bilayers: theory and possible experiments*, Z. Naturforsch. C, 28 (1973), pp. 693–703.
- [13] B. H. HONIG, W. L. HUBBELL, AND R. F. FLEWELLING, *Electrostatic interactions in membranes and proteins*, Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biophys. Chem., 15 (1986), p. 163.
- [14] G. KHELASHVILI, D. HARRIES, AND H. WEINSTEIN, *Modeling membrane deformations and lipid demixing upon protein-membrane interaction: The BAR dimer adsorption*, Biophys. J., 97 (2009), pp. 1626 – 1635.
- [15] V. Y. KISELEV, M. LEDA, A. I. LOBANOV, D. MARENDUZZO, AND A. B. GORYACHEV, *Lateral dynamics of charged lipids and peripheral proteins in spatially heterogeneous membranes: Comparison of continuous and monte carlo approaches*, J. Chem. Phys., 135 (2011), p. 155103.
- [16] B. LI, X. CHENG, AND Z. ZHOU, *Dielectric boundary force in molecular solvation with the poisson-boltzmann free energy: A shape derivative approach*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 71 (2011), pp. 2093–2111.
- [17] S. MCLAUGHLIN AND D. MURRAY, *Plasma membrane phosphoinositide organization by protein electrostatics*, Nature, 438 (2005), pp. 605–611.
- [18] D. MURRAY, S. MCLAUGHLIN, AND B. HONIG, *The role of electrostatic interactions in the regulation of the membrane association of G protein beta gamma heterodimers*, J. Biol. Chem., 276 (2001), pp. 45153–45159.
- [19] K. A. SHARP AND B. HONIG, *Calculating total electrostatic energies with the nonlinear Poisson-Boltzmann equation*, J. Phys. Chem., 94 (1990), pp. 7684–7692.
- [20] Y. TANG, J. YOO, A. YETHIRAJ, Q. CUI, AND X. CHEN, *Gating Mechanisms of Mechanosensitive Channels of Large Conductance, II: Systematic Study of Conformational Transitions*, Biophys. J., 95 (2008), pp. 581–596.
- [21] S. H. WHITE AND W. C. WIMLEY, *Membrane protein folding and stability: physical principles*, Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct., 28 (1999), pp. 319–365.
- [22] Y. C. ZHOU, *Electrodiffusion of lipids on membrane surfaces*, J. Chem. Phys., 136 (2012), p. 205103.
- [23] Y. C. ZHOU, M. HOLST, AND J. A. MCCAMMON, *A nonlinear elasticity model of macromolecular conformational change induced by electrostatic forces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 340 (2008), pp. 135–164.
- [24] Y. C. ZHOU, B. LU, AND A. A. GORFE, *Continuum electromechanical modeling of protein-membrane interactions*, Phys. Rev. E, 82 (2010), p. 041923.