

Long properly colored cycles in edge colored complete graphs

Guanghui Wang^a, Tao Wang^{b, c, *}, Guizhen Liu^a

^aSchool of Mathematics,
Shandong University, Jinan, 250100, Shandong, P. R. China

^bInstitute of Applied Mathematics

Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, P. R. China

^cCollege of Mathematics and Information Science
Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, P. R. China

Abstract

Let K_n^c denote a complete graph on n vertices whose edges are colored in an arbitrary way. Let $\Delta^{\text{mon}}(K_n^c)$ denote the maximum number of edges of the same color incident with a vertex of K_n^c . A properly colored cycle (path) in K_n^c is a cycle (path) in which adjacent edges have distinct colors. B. Bollobás and P. Erdős (1976) proposed the following conjecture: if $\Delta^{\text{mon}}(K_n^c) < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, then K_n^c contains a properly colored Hamiltonian cycle. Li, Wang and Zhou proved that if $\Delta^{\text{mon}}(K_n^c) < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, then K_n^c contains a properly colored cycle of length at least $\lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil + 1$. In this paper, we improve the bound to $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$.

1 Introduction

All graphs considered here are finite, undirected, and simple. Let G be a graph with vertex set V and edge set E .

An *edge coloring* of a graph is an assignment of “colors” to the edges of the graph. An *edge colored graph* is a graph with an edge coloring. A cycle (path) in an edge colored graph is *properly colored* if no two adjacent edges in it have the same color.

Grossman and Häggkvist [9] gave a sufficient condition on the existence of a properly colored cycles in edge colored graphs with two colors, and Yeo [17] extended the result to edge colored graph with any number of colors. Given an edge colored graph G , let $\deg^c(v)$, named the color degree of a vertex v , be defined as the maximum number of edges incident to v that have distinct colors. The minimum color degree $\delta^c(G)$ is the minimum $\deg^c(v)$ over all vertices of G . In [12], some minimum color degree conditions for the existence of properly colored cycles are obtained. In particular, they proved that if G is an edge colored graph of order n satisfying $\delta^c(G) \geq d \geq 2$, then either G has a properly colored path of length at least $2d$, or G has a properly colored cycle of length at least $\lceil \frac{2d}{3} \rceil + 1$. In [13], Lo improved the bound $\lceil \frac{2d}{3} \rceil + 1$ to the best possible value $d + 1$.

Let K_n^c be an edge colored complete graph on n vertices, and let $c(u, v)$ be the color assigned to edge uv . In [4], Barr gave a simple sufficient condition for the existence of a properly colored Hamiltonian path in K_n^c : K_n^c has no monochromatic triangles. Bang-Jensen, Gutin and Yeo [3] proved that if K_n^c contains a properly colored 2-factor, then it has a properly colored Hamiltonian path. In [7], Feng et al. proved that K_n^c has a properly colored Hamilton path iff K_n^c has a properly colored almost 2-factor (an almost 2-factor is a spanning subgraph consisting of disjoint cycles and a path).

Bollobás and Erdős [5] proved that if $n \geq 3$ and $\delta^c(K_n^c) \geq \frac{7n}{8}$, then there exists a properly colored Hamiltonian cycle. They also proposed a question: whether the bound $\frac{7n}{8}$ can be improved to $\frac{n+5}{3}$. Fujita and Magnant [8] constructed an edge colored complete graph K_{2m} with $\delta^c(K_{2m}) = m$, which has no

*Corresponding author: wangtao@henu.edu.cn

properly colored Hamiltonian cycles. So $\delta^c(K_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ is not enough. Let $\Delta^{\text{mon}}(K_n^c)$ be the maximum number of edges of the same color incident with a vertex of K_n^c . In the same paper, Bollobás and Erdős proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1 (Bollobás and Erdős [5]). If $\Delta^{\text{mon}}(K_n^c) < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, then K_n^c has a properly colored Hamiltonian cycle.

Bollobás and Erdős proved that if $\Delta^{\text{mon}}(K_n^c) \leq \frac{n}{69}$ then K_n^c contains a properly colored Hamiltonian cycle. This result was improved by Chen and Daykin [6] and Shearer [15].

As far as we know, the best asymptotic estimate was obtained by Alon and Gutin using the probabilistic method.

Theorem 1.1 (Alon and Gutin [1]). For every positive real number ϵ , there exists $n_0 = n_0(\epsilon)$ so that for every $n > n_0$, if K_n^c satisfies

$$\Delta^{\text{mon}}(K_n^c) \leq (1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \epsilon)n, \quad (1)$$

then K_n^c contains a properly colored Hamiltonian cycle.

Li, Wang and Zhou [12] studied long properly colored cycles in edge colored complete graphs and proved that if $\Delta^{\text{mon}}(K_n^c) < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, then K_n^c contains a properly colored cycle of length at least $\lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil + 1$. For more details concerning properly colored cycles and paths, we refer the reader to [2, 10, 11, 16]. In this paper, we improve the bound on the length of the properly colored cycles and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. If $\Delta^{\text{mon}}(K_n^c) < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, then K_n^c contains a properly colored cycle of length at least $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$.

The main idea is the rotation–extension technique of Pósa [14], which was used on general edge colored graphs in [13].

When a and b are integers, the notation $[a, b]$ is used to indicate the interval of all integers between a and b , including both. In particular, $[a, a - 1]$ is an empty set. A properly colored path P of length ℓ is viewed as an ℓ -tuple $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_\ell)$, which is different from $(v_\ell, v_{\ell-1}, \dots, v_0)$. For such a properly colored path $P = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\ell)$, denote $\{v \mid c(v_1, v_2) \neq c(v_1, v)\}$ by $N^c(v_1, P)$ and $\{v \mid c(v_\ell, v_{\ell-1}) \neq c(v, v_\ell)\}$ by $N^c(v_\ell, P)$.

2 The Proof of Theorem 1.2

If $3 \leq n \leq 5$, then K_n^c is a properly colored complete graph, and hence each Hamiltonian cycle is properly colored. Our conclusion holds clearly. So we may assume that $n \geq 6$.

We will prove Theorem 1.2 by contradiction. Suppose that each properly colored cycle is of length at most $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$. For simplicity, let the vertices of K_n^c be labeled with integers from 1 to n . Let P_0 be a longest properly colored path. Without loss of generality, assume that $P_0 = (1, 2, \dots, \ell)$.

Put

$$X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p\} = \{x_i \mid c(1, x_i) \neq c(1, 2)\} = N^c(1, P_0)$$

and

$$Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_q\} = \{y_i \mid c(y_i, \ell) \neq c(\ell - 1, \ell)\} = N^c(\ell, P_0).$$

Moreover, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_q are increasing sequences. Since $\Delta^{\text{mon}}(K_n^c) < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, we have $\min\{|X|, |Y|\} \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$; consequently, $x_1 < x_p$. Note that $X, Y \subset V(P_0)$ since P_0 is a longest properly colored path. Thus $\ell \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$. Note that either $\ell \notin X$ or $1 \notin Y$; otherwise $(1, 2, \dots, \ell, 1)$ is a properly colored cycle of length at least $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\ell \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 3$.

Let $y_s \in Y$ be the maximum such that $c(\ell, y_i) = c(y_i, y_i + 1)$ for all $y_i \in [y_1, y_s] \cap Y$. Note that y_s is well defined, since $c(\ell, y_1) = c(y_1, y_1 + 1)$; otherwise, $(y_1, y_1 + 1, \dots, \ell, y_1)$ is a properly colored cycle of length at least $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$. Clearly, $y_s \leq \ell - 2$.

Note that $c(1, x_p) = c(x_p - 1, x_p)$ or else $(1, 2, \dots, x_p, 1)$ is a properly colored cycle of length at least $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$, a contradiction.

Claim 1. $y_s \leq x_p - 3$.

Proof of Claim 1. If $x_p = \ell$, then $y_s \leq \ell - 3$; otherwise, $(1, 2, \dots, \ell - 2, \ell, 1)$ is a properly colored cycle of length $\ell - 1 \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$, a contradiction. So we may assume that $x_p \leq \ell - 1$. Let $y_i \in Y$ be the maximum such that $y_i < x_p$. If $c(y_i, y_i + 1) = c(y_i, \ell)$, then $(1, \dots, y_i, \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, x_p, 1)$ is a properly colored cycle containing $Y \cup \{\ell, \ell - 1\}$, a contradiction. Hence, $c(y_i, y_i + 1) \neq c(y_i, \ell)$, by the definition of y_s , we have $y_s \leq x_p - 2$. If $y_s = x_p - 2$, then $(1, \dots, x_p - 2, \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, x_p, 1)$ is a properly colored cycle of length $\ell - 1 \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $y_s \leq x_p - 3$. \square

Claim 2. There exist $u, w \in X$ such that $1 \leq y_1 \leq y_s < u \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$, $u < w$ and the following proposition holds:

- (a) $c(y_i, \ell) = c(y_i, y_i + 1)$ for all $y_i \in [y_1, y_s] \cap Y$;
- (b) $c(1, x_i) = c(x_i, x_i + 1)$ for all $x_i \in [y_1 + 1, u] \cap X$;
- (c) $c(1, w) \neq c(w, w + 1)$ or $w = \ell$;
- (d) if $x_i \in X$ and $x_i < w$, then $x_i \leq u$.

Proof of Claim 2. (a) By the definition of y_s , the assertion (a) holds.

(b) First, we show that $c(1, x_i) = c(x_i, x_i + 1)$ for all $x_i \in [y_1 + 1, y_s + 1] \cap X$. Otherwise, if there exists $x_i \in [y_1 + 1, y_s + 1] \cap X$ such that $c(1, x_i) \neq c(x_i, x_i + 1)$, let y_j be the maximum such that $y_j \in [y_1, y_s] \cap Y$ and $y_j < x_i$, then $(1, 2, \dots, y_j, \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, x_i, 1)$ is a properly colored cycle containing $Y \cup \{\ell - 1, \ell\}$, a contradiction.

Next, we show that there exists u such that $c(1, x_i) = c(x_i, x_i + 1)$ for all $x_i \in [y_s + 1, u] \cap X$. Let $x \in X$ be the minimum such that $x > y_s$. Note that x must exist since $x_p > y_s$ by Claim 1. If $x = \ell$, then $y_s \geq y_1 \geq 2$; hence $(1, \dots, y_s, \ell, 1)$ is a properly colored cycle containing $X \cup \{1, 2\}$, a contradiction, so $x < \ell$. Suppose that $c(1, x) \neq c(x, x + 1)$. If $y_s = 1$, then $(1, \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, x, 1)$ is a properly colored cycle containing $X \cup \{1, \ell\}$, a contradiction; if $y_s > 1$, then $(1, \dots, y_s, \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, x, 1)$ is a properly colored cycle containing $X \cup \{1, 2\}$, a contradiction. So, we have $x < \ell$ and $c(1, x) = c(x, x + 1)$.

Let u be the maximum such that $c(1, x_i) = c(x_i, x_i + 1)$ for all $x_i \in [y_s + 1, u] \cap X$ and $y_s < u < \ell$. By the above argument, u is well defined. In particular, $c(1, u) = c(u, u + 1)$, it follows that $(1, 2, \dots, u, 1)$ is a properly colored cycle, and hence $u \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$.

Let $w \in X$ be the minimum such that $w > u$. Since $c(1, x_p) = c(x_p - 1, x_p) \neq c(x_p, x_p + 1)$, it follows that the vertex w must exist, and then (c) and (d) hold. \square

Let $S(P_0) = Y \cap [y_1, y_s]$. Note that y_1, y_s, u, w, S are viewed as functions of longest properly colored paths.

By Claims 2 (a) and 2 (c), $C_0 = (1, \dots, y_s, \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, w, 1)$ is a properly colored cycle; see Fig. 1.




Fig. 1: Cycle $C_0 = (1, \dots, y_s, \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, w, 1)$

Without loss of generality, assume that P_0 is a longest properly colored path satisfying that $|S(P_0)|$ is maximum over all the longest properly colored paths.

Clearly, $P^* = (y_1 + 1, y_1 + 2, \dots, \ell, y_1, \dots, 1)$ is a longest properly colored path. Note that

$$N^c(1, P^*) = X.$$

Since $c(y_1 + 1, y_1 + 2) \neq c(y_1 + 1, y_1)$, it follows that $y_1 \in N^c(y_1 + 1, P^*)$. Hence P^* is a longest properly colored path.

Claim 3. $|X| = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ and $|C_0| = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$. Moreover, $S(P_0) = [y_1, y_s]$, i.e., it is an integer interval, and

$$X = \begin{cases} [3, y_1] \cup [t, u] \cup [w, \ell] & \text{if } y_1 > 1; \\ [t, u] \cup [w, \ell - 1] & \text{if } y_1 = 1, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$(3)$$

where $|[t, u]| = |[y_1, y_s]|$, $t \geq \max\{y_1 + 1, 3\}$ and $S(P^*) = [t, u]$.

Proof of Claim 3. By Claim 2 (b), $[y_1 + 1, u] \cap X \subseteq S(P^*)$. Since $c(1, u) = c(u, u + 1)$, it yields that $u \in S(P^*)$. If $w < \ell$, then $c(1, w) \neq c(w, w + 1)$, and then $w \notin S(P^*)$; if $w = \ell$, then $c(1, \ell) \neq c(\ell, y_1)$, and then $w \notin S(P^*)$. Therefore, $S(P^*) = [y_1 + 1, u] \cap X$ and $|[y_1, y_s] \cap Y| \geq |[y_1 + 1, u] \cap X|$ by the maximality of $|S(P_0)|$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1 &\geq |C_0| \\ &= |[1, y_s] \cap X| + |[w, \ell] \cap X| + |[1, y_s] \setminus X| + |[w, \ell] \setminus X| \\ &= |X| - |[y_s + 1, u] \cap X| + |[1, y_s] \setminus X| + |[w, \ell] \setminus X| \\ &= |X| - |[y_1 + 1, u] \cap X| + |[y_1 + 1, y_s] \cap X| + |[1, y_s] \setminus X| + |[w, \ell] \setminus X| \\ &\geq |X| - |[y_1, y_s] \cap Y| + |[y_1 + 1, y_s]| + |[1, y_1] \setminus X| + |[w, \ell] \setminus X| \\ &= |X| - |[y_1, y_s] \cap Y| + |[y_1, y_s]| + |[2, y_1] \setminus X| + |[w, \ell] \setminus X| \\ &\geq |X| + |[2, y_1] \setminus X| + |[w, \ell] \setminus X| \\ &\geq |X| + 1 \\ &\geq \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, all the inequalities become equalities. Then $|C_0| = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$, $|X| = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, $|S(P_0)| = |S(P^*)|$, $S(P_0) = [y_1, y_s]$ and

$$|[2, y_1] \setminus X| + |[w, \ell] \setminus X| = 1. \quad (4)$$

(4) implies that

$$\begin{cases} [3, y_1] \subseteq X \text{ and } [w, \ell] \subseteq X & \text{if } y_1 > 1; \\ [w, \ell] \cap X = [w, \ell - 1] & \text{if } y_1 = 1. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

$$(6)$$

Since $|S(P_0)| = |S(P^*)|$, by the above argument, $S(P^*)$ must be an integer interval, so $S(P^*) = [y_1 + 1, u] \cap X = [t, u]$, clearly $t \geq \max\{y_1 + 1, 3\}$. \square

Note that t is also viewed as a function of the longest properly colored path.

Claim 4.

(a) For any $y \in Y \cap [y_s + 1, w - 1]$, we have $c(y, y - 1) = c(y, \ell)$; $|S(P_0)| \geq |Y \cap [y_s + 1, w - 1]|$.

(b) If $w = x_p$, then $X = [3, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1] \cup \{x_p\}$.

Proof of Claim 4. (a) Since $|C_0| = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$, we have $c(y, y - 1) = c(y, \ell)$ for any $y \in Y \cap [y_s + 1, w - 1]$; otherwise, $(1, 2, \dots, y, \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, w, 1)$ is a properly colored cycle of length greater than $|C_0|$, a contradiction.

Obviously, $Q = (w - 1, w - 2, \dots, 1, w, w + 1, \dots, \ell)$ is a longest properly colored path. Note that $N^c(\ell, Q) = Y$. We have just proved $Y \cap [y_s + 1, w - 1] \subseteq S(Q)$, and hence $|S(P_0)| \geq |S(Q)| \geq |Y \cap [y_s + 1, w - 1]|$.

(b) Since $w = x_p$, it follows that $u = x_{p-1}$ and $(1, 2, \dots, x_{p-1}, 1)$ is a properly colored cycle containing $\{1, 2, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}\}$, so $x_{p-1} = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$. Hence $X = [3, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1] \cup \{x_p\}$. \square

Claim 5. $|S(P_0)| \geq 3$.

Proof of Claim 5. By way of contradiction, we assume that $|S(P_0)| \leq 2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $y_1 \neq 1$, otherwise consider the properly colored path $(2, 3, \dots, \ell, 1)$ instead.

Case 1: $w \leq \ell - 1$.

Let $P' = (\ell, \ell - 1, \dots, 1)$, it is a longest properly colored path. If $w \leq \ell - 1$, then $c(1, \ell) = c(\ell, \ell - 1)$ and $c(1, \ell - 1) = c(\ell - 1, \ell - 2)$, so $\ell, \ell - 1 \in S(P')$. Hence, $|S(P_0)| = |S(P')| = 2$ and $c(1, \ell - 2) \neq c(\ell - 2, \ell - 3)$. Applying Claim 3 with P' , we have $c(\ell, \ell - 1) \neq c(\ell, 2)$. Notice that $c(\ell, 2) = c(2, 3)$, for otherwise $(2, 3, \dots, \ell, 2)$ is a properly colored cycle of length at least $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$, a contradiction. So we have that $y_1 = 2$ and $y_s = 3$.

Case 1.1: $w \leq \ell - 2$. Then $C_1 = (1, 2, \dots, \ell - 2, 1)$ is a properly colored cycle of length at most $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$, i.e., $\ell - 2 \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$, or $\ell \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 3$. On the other hand, $\ell \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 3$, and then $\ell = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 3$. Moreover, $Y = [2, \ell - 2]$. Since the properly colored cycle $C_0 = (1, 2, 3, \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, w, 1)$ has length $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$ by Claim 3, we conclude that $w = 6$. Note that $|X| = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil = \lceil [t, u] \rceil + \lceil [w, \ell] \rceil \geq 5$ implying $n \geq 9$.

If $\ell = n$, then $n - 2 = \ell - 2 = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$ and $n \in \{6, 7\}$, a contradiction. Then we may assume that there exists a vertex z such that it is not on P_0 . The properly colored path $(1, 2, 3, \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, 5, 4)$ is longest, it follows that $c(4, 5) = c(4, z)$; otherwise, $(1, 2, 3, \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, 5, 4, z)$ is a longer properly colored path. By Claim 4(a), we have $c(\ell, 5) = c(5, 4) \neq c(5, 6)$. Therefore, $(\ell - 1, \ell, 5, 6, \dots, \ell - 2, 1, 2, 3, 4, z)$ is a longer properly colored path than P_0 , which is a contradiction.

Case 1.2: $w = \ell - 1$. Then $|X| = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil = \lceil [t, u] \rceil + \lceil [w, \ell] \rceil = 4$, i.e., $n \in \{7, 8\}$. Since $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 3 \leq \ell \leq n$, $\ell = 7$ or $\ell = 8$.

If $t = 3$, then $c(1, 3) = c(3, 4)$. Since $y_s = 3$, $c(3, 4) = c(\ell, 3)$. Consider the properly colored path $P'' = (3, 4, \dots, \ell, 2, 1)$. By Claim 3, we have $S(P'') = \{3, 4\}$ and $N^c(3, P'') = \{t', t' + 1\} \cup \{\ell, 2\}$, so $c(\ell, 3) \neq c(3, 4)$, a contradiction.

If $t = 4$, then $X = \{4, 5, \ell - 1, \ell\}$. Define ϕ be the permutation on $[1, \ell]$ such that $(\phi(1), \phi(2), \dots, \phi(\ell)) = (3, 4, \dots, \ell, 2, 1)$. We will show the following propositions for $i \geq 0$ by induction on i .

- (a) $P_i = (\phi^i(1), \phi^i(2), \dots, \phi^i(\ell))$ is a longest properly colored path;
- (b) $S(P_i) = \{\phi^i(2), \phi^i(3)\}$;
- (c) $N^c(\phi^i(1), P_i) = \{\phi^i(j) \mid j \in [4, 5] \cup [\ell - 1, \ell]\}$.
- (d) $c(\phi^i(1), \phi^i(j)) = c(\phi^i(j), \phi^i(j + 1))$ for $j \in [4, 5]$.

Clearly, the propositions (a)–(d) hold for $i = 0$. Assume that $i \geq 1$ and they are true for $i - 1$. Let j' denote $\phi^{i-1}(j)$. Since $2' \in S(P_{i-1})$, $c(1', 2') \neq c(2', 3') = c(2', \ell')$. Hence, $P_i = (\phi(1'), \phi(2'), \dots, \phi(\ell'))$ is a longest properly colored path. Also, by the definition of $N^c(\phi^{i-1}(1), P_{i-1})$ and $|S(P_i)| = |S(P_0)| = 2$, (b) holds. By Claim 3 and $|X| = 4$,

$$N^c(\phi^i(1), P_i) = \{\phi^i(j) \mid j \in [t_i, t_i + 1] \cup [\ell - 1, \ell]\},$$

for some $t_i \in \{3, 4\}$. If $t_i = 3$, we can also get a contradiction as above by taking $P_0 = P_i$. So $t_i = 4$, and thus (c) holds. By Claim 3, (d) also holds.

If $\ell = 7$, then $X = \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ and $Y = \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$. For the path P_0 , we have $c(7, 2) = c(2, 3)$ and $c(7, 3) = c(3, 4)$. Taking $i = 1$ in the proposition, consider the longest properly colored path P_1 , i.e., $(3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 2, 1)$. By proposition (c), $c(\phi^1(1), \phi^1(5)) = c(\phi^1(5), \phi^1(6))$, i.e., $c(3, 7) = c(7, 2)$. Therefore, $c(2, 3) = c(7, 2) = c(3, 7) = c(3, 4)$, a contradiction.

If $\ell = 8$, then $X = \{4, 5, 7, 8\}$. Since $u = 5$, it follows that $c(1, 5) = c(5, 6) \neq c(4, 5)$. Consider the properly colored path $P_5 = (4, 3, 6, 5, 8, 7, 1, 2)$. Since $8 = \phi^5(5)$, by proposition (c) and Claim 2 (b), $c(4, 8) = c(8, 7) \neq c(5, 8)$; moreover, $5 \in N^c(8, P_0)$. Thus $c(8, 5) = c(4, 5)$ by Claim 4. Hence $c(1, 5) = c(5, 6) \neq c(4, 5) = c(5, 8)$. Now, we have a properly colored cycle $(1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 5, 1)$ of length 6, a contradiction.

Case 2: $w = \ell$. Since $|Y \cap [y_s + 1, w - 1]| \leq |S(P_0)|$ by Claim 4 (a), it follows that $|Y| = \lceil [y_1, y_s] \rceil + |Y \cap [y_s + 1, w - 1]| \leq 2|S(P_0)|$. If $|S(P_0)| = 1$, then $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \leq |Y| \leq 2$, and thus $n \leq 4$, a contradiction. Hence, $|S(P_0)| = 2$, and $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \leq |Y| \leq 4$, so $n \leq 8$. By Claim 3, $X = [3, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1] \cup \{\ell\}$, $u = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$, $t = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$,

$y_1 = t-1 = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1$. So $Y \subseteq [\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1, \ell - 2]$; hence, $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor = n - 2 - (\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1) + 1 \geq \ell - 2 - (\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1) + 1 \geq |Y| \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, and then $n = \ell$ is even, $Y = [\frac{n}{2} - 1, n - 2]$ and $|Y| = \frac{n}{2}$. Now, we know that $n \in \{6, 8\}$.

If $n = 6$, then $X = \{3, 4, 6\}$, $Y = \{2, 3, 4\}$, $y_1 = 2$, $y_s = t = 3$ and $u = 4$. By Claim 2 (a) and 2 (b), $c(1, 3) = c(3, 4) = c(3, 6)$, which contradicts the fact that $\Delta^{\text{mon}}(K_6^c) < 3$.

If $n = 8$, then $X = \{3, 4, 5, 8\}$, $Y = \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$, $y_1 = 3$, $t = 4$ and $u = 5$. Since $4 \in [y_1 + 1, u] \cap X$, $c(1, 4) = c(4, 5) \neq c(3, 4)$ by Claim 2 (b); by Claim 2 (a), $c(8, 3) = c(3, 4) \neq c(2, 3)$ and $c(8, 4) = c(4, 5) \neq c(3, 4)$. Hence, $c(4, 1) = c(4, 5) = c(4, 8)$. Since $\Delta^{\text{mon}}(K_8^c) < 4$, it follows that $c(4, 7) \neq c(4, 1)$. If $c(4, 7) \neq c(7, 8)$, then $(1, 2, 3, 8, 7, 4, 1)$ is a properly colored cycle of length 6, a contradiction. So $c(4, 7) = c(7, 8) \neq c(7, 6)$. By Claim 4 (a), we have $c(8, 5) = c(4, 5) \neq c(5, 6)$, so $c(8, 5) \neq c(8, 3)$. Now we have a properly colored Hamiltonian cycle $(1, 2, 3, 8, 5, 6, 7, 4, 1)$, a contradiction. \square

Claim 6. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $t \geq y_1 + 3$.

Proof of the Claim 6. Suppose to the contrary that $t \leq y_1 + 2$. Then $t = y_1 + 1$ or $t = y_1 + 2$. Since $|S(P_0)| \geq 3$, we have $t - 1 \in S(P_0)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $y_1 = 1$; otherwise, consider the longest properly colored path $(t, t + 1, \dots, \ell, t - 1, t - 2, \dots, 1)$ instead. By Claim 3, $t = 3$. Moreover, $X = [3, y_s + 2] \cup [w, \ell - 1]$.

Let ϕ be a permutation on $[1, \ell]$ such that $(\phi(1), \phi(2), \dots, \phi(\ell - 2), \phi(\ell - 1), \phi(\ell)) = (3, 4, \dots, \ell, 2, 1)$. We will show the following statements for $i \geq 0$ by induction.

- (a) $P_i = (\phi^i(1), \phi^i(2), \dots, \phi^i(\ell))$ is a longest properly colored path;
- (b) $S(P_i) = \{\phi^i(j) \mid j \in [1, y_s]\}$;
- (c) $N^c(\phi^i(1), P_i) = \{\phi^i(j) \mid j \in [3, y_s + 2] \cup [w, \ell - 1]\}$;
- (d) for all $j \in [3, y_s + 2]$, we have $c(\phi^i(1), \phi^i(j)) = c(\phi^i(j), \phi^i(j + 1))$;
- (e) for all $j \in [4, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2]$, we have $c(\phi^i(2), \phi^i(j)) = c(\phi^i(j), \phi^i(j + 1))$.

First, the statements are true for $i = 0$. Assume that the statements are true for $i - 1$, where $i \geq 1$. Since $\phi^{i-1}(2) \in S(P_{i-1})$, it follows that $P_i = (\phi^{i-1}(3), \phi^{i-1}(4), \dots, \phi^{i-1}(\ell), \phi^{i-1}(2), \phi^{i-1}(1)) = (\phi^i(1), \phi^i(2), \dots, \phi^i(\ell))$ is a longest properly colored path. Since $|S(P_{i-1})| = |S(P_0)| = |[1, y_s]|$, we have $t(P_{i-1}) = \phi^{i-1}(3)$; by Claim 3, it yields that $S(P_i) = [t(P_{i-1}), u(P_{i-1})] = [\phi^i(1), \phi^i(y_s)]$, and thus $|S(P_i)| = |S(P_0)|$. Hence, by the assumption, we have $N^c(\phi^i(1), P_i) = \{\phi^i(j) \mid j \in [3, y_s + 2] \cup [w, \ell - 1]\}$. By Claim 2 (b), for all $j \in [3, y_s + 2]$, $c(\phi^i(1), \phi^i(j)) = c(\phi^i(j), \phi^i(j + 1))$.

Since $S(P_i) = \{\phi^i(j) \mid j \in [1, y_s]\}$, it follows that $P'_i = (\phi^i(2), \phi^i(3), \dots, \phi^i(\ell), \phi^i(1))$ is a longest properly colored path. By Claim 3, $S(P'_i) = \{\phi^i(j) \mid j \in [3, y_s + 2]\}$. By Claim 2 (a), $c(\phi^i(2), \phi^i(3)) = c(\phi^i(2), \phi^i(\ell))$, so $\phi^i(\ell) \notin N^c(\phi^i(2), P'_i)$; by Claim 3, $N^c(\phi^i(2), P'_i) = \{\phi^i(j) \mid j \in [4, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2] \cup \{1\}\}$. By Claim 2 (b), $c(\phi^i(2), \phi^i(j)) = c(\phi^i(j), \phi^i(j + 1))$ for all $j \in [4, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2]$. This completes the proof of the statements.

Consider the properly colored path P_0 . Since $|S(P_0)| \geq 3$, it follows that $y_s \geq 3$. Thus $5 \in [3, y_s + 2]$. By statement (d), $c(1, 5) = c(5, 6)$.

If ℓ is odd, take $i = \frac{\ell+1}{2}$. It is easy to check that $P_{\frac{\ell+1}{2}} = (1, 4, 3, 6, 5, \dots)$. By statement (d), $c(1, 5) \neq c(6, 5)$, a contradiction.

If ℓ is even, take $i = \frac{\ell}{2}$. It is easy to check that $P_{\frac{\ell}{2}} = (2, 1, 4, 3, 6, 5, \dots)$. By statement (e), if $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2 \geq 6$, then $c(1, 5) = c(5, 8) \neq c(5, 6)$, a contradiction. So $n = 6$. Since $3 \in [1, y_s]$, it yields that $c(3, 4) = c(3, 6)$ by Claim 2 (a); by Claim 2 (b), $c(1, 3) = c(3, 4)$. Therefore, $c(1, 3) = c(3, 4) = c(3, 6)$, which contradicts the fact that $\Delta^{\text{mon}}(K_6^c) < \lfloor \frac{6}{2} \rfloor$. \square

Claim 7. $c(y_1 + 1, y_1 + 3) \notin \{c(y_1 + 1, y_1 + 2), c(y_1 + 3, y_1 + 4)\}$.

Proof of Claim 7. By Claim 3, $S(P^*) = [t, u]$ and $y_1 + 3 \in N^c(y_1 + 1, P^*)$, so $c(y_1 + 1, y_1 + 2) \neq c(y_1 + 1, y_1 + 3)$. For the longest properly colored path $Q^* = (y_1 + 3, y_1 + 4, \dots, \ell, y_1 + 2, y_1 + 1, \dots, 1) =$

$(\psi(1), \psi(2), \dots, \psi(\ell))$, we know that $S(Q^*) = [t, u]$ and $N^c(y_1 + 3, Q^*) = \{\psi(j) \mid j \in A\}$, where

$$A = \begin{cases} [3, y_1''] \cup [t'', u''] \cup [w'', \ell] & \text{if } t > y_1 + 3; \\ [t'', u''] \cup [w'', \ell - 1] & \text{if } t = y_1 + 3, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

for some $2 \leq y_1'' < t'' < u'' < w'' \leq \ell$. Since $y_1 + 2 \in S(P_0)$, $c(y_1 + 2, y_1 + 3) = c(y_1 + 2, \ell)$. Thus $y_1 + 2 \in \{\psi(j) \mid j \in [w'', \ell - 1]\}$, so $[2, y_1 + 2] \subseteq \{\psi(j) \mid j \in [w'', \ell - 1]\}$. In particular, $y_1 + 1 \in N^c(y_1 + 3, Q^*)$, so $c(y_1 + 1, y_1 + 3) \neq c(y_1 + 3, y_1 + 4)$, which completes the proof of Claim 7. \square




Fig. 2: Cycle $(y_1 + 1, y_1 + 2, \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, y_1 + 3, y_1 + 1)$

By Claim 7, note that $(y_1 + 1, y_1 + 2, \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, y_1 + 3, y_1 + 1)$ is a properly colored cycle (see Fig. 2) containing $Y \cup \{\ell - 1, \ell\} \setminus \{y_1\}$, and then its length is exactly $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$. Moreover, $Y = [\ell - \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1, \ell - 2]$.

If $\ell = n$, then $Y = [\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1, n - 2]$ and $y_1 = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$. By Claim 3 and Claim 6, $u \geq y_s + 3$. Now

$$\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1 \geq u \geq y_s + 3 = y_1 + |S(P_0)| + 2 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 4, \quad (9)$$

a contradiction.

Then we may assume that $\ell < n$, i.e., there exists a vertex z which is not on P_0 . We know that $c(\ell - 1, \ell) = c(\ell, z)$; otherwise $(1, 2, \dots, \ell, z)$ is a properly colored path which is longer than P_0 . We have known that $(1, 2, \dots, y_1 + 1, \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, y_1 + 2)$ is a longest properly colored path; it yields that $c(y_1 + 2, y_1 + 3) = c(y_1 + 2, z)$ for the same reason. Since $y_1 + 2 \in S(P_0)$, we have $c(y_1 + 2, y_1 + 3) = c(y_1 + 2, \ell) \neq c(\ell - 1, \ell) = c(\ell, z)$, and hence $c(y_1 + 2, z) \neq c(\ell, z)$. Therefore, $(1, \dots, y_1, \ell, z, y_1 + 2, y_1 + 1, y_1 + 3, \dots, \ell - 1)$ is a properly colored path which is longer than P_0 , a contradiction.

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by NSFC Grants (61373027, 11101243, 11101125), NSF of Shandong Province (ZR2012FM023) and the Scientific Research Foundation for the Excellent Middle-Aged and Young Scientists of Shandong Province of China (BS2012SF016). In addition, the authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and assistance on earlier drafts.

References

- [1] N. Alon and G. Gutin, Properly colored Hamilton cycles in edge-colored complete graphs, *Random Structures and Algorithms* 11 (1997) (2) 179–186.
- [2] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin, Alternating cycles and paths in edge-coloured multigraphs: A survey, *Discrete Math.* 165-166 (1997) 39–60.
- [3] J. Bang-Jensen, G. Gutin and A. Yeo, Properly coloured Hamiltonian paths in edge-coloured complete graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 82 (1998) (1-3) 247–250.
- [4] O. Barr, Properly coloured Hamiltonian paths in edge-coloured complete graphs without monochromatic triangles, *Ars Combin.* 50 (1998) 316–318.
- [5] B. Bollobás and P. Erdős, Alternating hamiltonian cycles, *Israel J. Math.* 23 (1976) (2) 126–131.
- [6] C. C. Chen and D. E. Daykin, Graphs with Hamiltonian cycles having adjacent lines different colors, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 21 (1976) (2) 135–139.

- [7] J. Feng, H.-E. Giesen, Y. Guo, G. Gutin, T. Jensen and A. Rafiey, Characterization of edge-colored complete graphs with properly colored Hamilton paths, *J. Graph Theory* 53 (2006) (4) 333–346.
- [8] S. Fujita and C. Magnant, Properly colored paths and cycles, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 159 (2011) (14) 1391–1397.
- [9] J. W. Grossman and R. Häggkvist, Alternating cycles in edge-partitioned graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 34 (1983) (1) 77–81.
- [10] G. Gutin and E. J. Kim, Properly coloured cycles and paths: results and open problems, *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.* 5420 (2009) 200–208.
- [11] M. Kano and X. Li, Monochromatic and heterochromatic subgraphs in edge-colored graphs - a survey, *Graphs Combin.* 24 (2008) (4) 237–263.
- [12] H. Li, G. Wang and S. Zhou, Long alternating cycles in edge-colored complete graphs, *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.* 4613 (2007) 305–309.
- [13] A. Lo, A Dirac type condition for properly coloured paths and cycles, *J. Graph Theory* (2013) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jgt.21751>.
- [14] L. Pósa, Hamiltonian circuits in random graphs, *Discrete Math.* 14 (1976) (4) 359–364.
- [15] J. Shearer, A property of the colored complete graph, *Discrete Math.* 25 (1979) (2) 175–178.
- [16] G. Wang and H. Li, Color degree and alternating cycles in edge-colored graphs, *Discrete Math.* 309 (2009) (13) 4349–4354.
- [17] A. Yeo, A note on alternating cycles in edge-coloured graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 69 (1997) (2) 222–225.