

# LUCAS TYPE THEOREM MODULO PRIME POWERS

ROMEO MEŠTROVIĆ

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove that

$$\binom{np^s}{mp^s+r} \equiv (-1)^{r-1} r^{-1} (m+1) \binom{n}{m+1} p^s \pmod{p^{s+1}}$$

where  $p$  is any prime,  $n$ ,  $m$ ,  $s$  and  $r$  are nonnegative integers such that  $n \geq m$ ,  $s \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq p^s - 1$  and  $r$  is not divisible by  $p$ . We derive a proof by induction using a multiple application of Lucas' theorem and two basic binomial coefficient identities. As an application, we prove that a similar congruence for a prime  $p \geq 5$  established in 1992 by D. F. Bailey holds for each prime  $p$ .

*Keywords and phrases:* binomial coefficient, Lucas' theorem, congruence modulo prime power.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

In 1878 E. Lucas [9] (also see [6]) proved a remarkable result which provides a simple way to compute the binomial coefficient  $\binom{n}{m}$  modulo a prime  $p$  in terms of the binomial coefficients of the base- $p$  digits of  $n$  and  $m$ : if  $n = n_0 + n_1p + \dots + n_sp^s$  and  $m = m_0 + m_1p + \dots + m_sp^s$  so that  $0 \leq m_i, n_i \leq p - 1$  for each  $i$ , then

$$\binom{n}{m} \equiv \prod_{i=0}^s \binom{n_i}{m_i} \pmod{p}. \quad (1.1)$$

(with the usual convention that  $\binom{0}{0} = 1$ , and  $\binom{l}{r} = 0$  if  $l < r$ ). *Lucas' theorem* is often formulated in the literature in the following equivalent form. If  $p$  is a prime, and  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are nonnegative integers with  $a, b \leq p - 1$ , then

$$\binom{cp+a}{dp+b} \equiv \binom{c}{d} \binom{a}{b} \pmod{p}. \quad (1.2)$$

In 1990 D. F. Bailey [1, Theorems 3 and 5] proved that under the same assumptions on  $a, b, c, d$  for each prime  $p \geq 5$

$$\binom{cp^f+a}{dp^f+b} \equiv \binom{c}{d} \binom{a}{b} \pmod{p^f}$$

with  $f \in \{2, 3\}$ . A generalization of this Lucas-like theorem to every prime powers  $p^f$  with  $p \geq 5$  and  $f = 2, 3, \dots$  was discovered in 1990 by K. S. Davis and W. A. Webb [4] and independently by A. Granville [7]. In 2001 H. Hu and Z.-W. Sun [8] proved a similar congruence to (1.2) for generalized binomial coefficients defined in terms of second order recurrent sequences with

initial values 0 and 1. In 2007 Z.-W. Sun and D. M. Davis [10] and in 2009 M. Chamberland and K. Dilcher [5] established analogues of Lucas' theorem for certain classes of binomial sums.

Some Lucas' type congruences were established also by Bailey. Namely, in 1991 Bailey [2, Theorem 4] proved by induction on  $n \geq 0$  that

$$\binom{np}{mp+i} \equiv (m+1) \binom{n}{m+1} \binom{p}{i} \pmod{p^2} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $p$  is a prime,  $n$ ,  $m$  and  $i$  are nonnegative integers with  $m \leq n$  and  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ .

Applying the congruence (1.3), in the same paper [2, Theorem 5] the author extended it to the congruence

$$\binom{np^2}{mp^2+kp+i} \equiv (m+1) \binom{n}{m+1} \binom{p^2}{kp+i} \pmod{p^3} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $p \geq 5$  is a prime,  $n$ ,  $m$ ,  $k$  and  $i$  are nonnegative integers with  $m \geq n$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq p-1$  and  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ .

In the next year, proceeding by induction on  $s \geq 1$ , Bailey [3, Theorem 2.1] generalized the congruence (1.4) modulo higher powers of a prime  $p \geq 5$ . This congruence, extended here for each prime  $p$  (Corollary 1.2 given below), is obtained as a consequence of the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $p$  be any prime, and let  $n$ ,  $m$ ,  $s$  and  $r$  be nonnegative integers such that  $n \leq m$ ,  $s \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq p^s - 1$  and  $r$  is not divisible by  $p$ . Then*

$$\binom{np^s}{mp^s+r} \equiv (-1)^{r-1} r^{-1} (m+1) \binom{n}{m+1} p^s \pmod{p^{s+1}}. \quad (1.5)$$

(Here  $r^{-1}$  denotes the inverse of  $r \pmod{p}$  in the field  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ ).

**Corollary 1.2.** ([3, Theorem 2.1]). *Let  $p \geq 5$  be a prime and let  $n$ ,  $m$  and  $s$  be nonnegative integers such that  $n \leq m$  and  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $r = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} a_j p^j$  with nonnegative integers  $a_j$  such that  $1 \leq a_0 \leq p-1$  and  $0 \leq a_j \leq p-1$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, s-1$ . Then*

$$\binom{np^s}{mp^s+r} \equiv (m+1) \binom{n}{m+1} \binom{p^s}{r} \pmod{p^{s+1}}. \quad (1.6)$$

**Remark 1.3.** *In the proof of Corollary 1.2, using Vandermonde's identity, Bailey proceed by induction on  $s$  assuming for the base of induction the cases  $s = 1$  and  $s = 2$ , that is, the congruences (1.3) and (1.4), respectively. Recall that his inductive proof of the congruence (1.4) [1, Theorem 5] is based on Vandermonde's identity and Ljunggren's congruence (see e.g., [1, Theorem 4] or [6]) which asserts that  $\binom{np}{mp} \equiv \binom{n}{m} \pmod{p^3}$  for all primes  $p \geq 5$  and nonnegative integers  $n$  and  $m$  with  $n \geq m$ . Bailey applied the same arguments (with  $\binom{np}{mp} \equiv \binom{n}{m} \pmod{p^2}$  instead of Ljunggren's congruence) in proof of the congruence (1.3) [1, Theorem 4].*

In the next section, using only Lucas' theorem and two basic binomial coefficient identities, we give an inductive proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 AND COROLLARY 1.2

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* First observe that if  $n = m$  then since  $r \geq 1$ , (1.5) reduces to the identity  $0 = 0$ . Thus, we can assume that  $p, n, m$  and  $s$  are arbitrary fixed integers satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and  $n \geq m+1 \geq 1$ . Since by the assumptions,  $1 \leq r \leq p^s - 1$

and  $r$  is not divisible by  $p$ , if  $s \geq 2$  we can write  $r = kp + i$  with  $0 \leq k \leq p^{s-1} - 1$  and  $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$ , and if  $s = 1$ , then  $k = 0$  and  $r = i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$ .

We will prove (1.5) by induction on  $i$  ( $= r \pmod{p}$ ) in the range  $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$ . For  $i = 1$ , using the identities  $\binom{a}{b+1} = \frac{a-b}{b+1} \binom{a}{b}$  and  $\binom{a}{b+1} = \frac{a}{b+1} \binom{a-1}{b}$  with  $0 \leq b \leq a - 1$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \binom{np^s}{mp^s + kp + 1} = \frac{(n-m)p^s - kp}{mp^s + kp + 1} \binom{np^s}{mp^s + kp} \\
& = p \cdot \frac{(n-m)p^{s-1} - k}{mp^s + kp + 1} \binom{np^s}{(mp^{s-1} + k)p} \\
& = p \cdot \frac{(n-m)p^{s-1} - k}{mp^s + kp + 1} \cdot \frac{np^s}{(mp^{s-1} + k)p} \binom{np^s - 1}{(mp^{s-1} + k)p - 1} \\
& = p^s \cdot \frac{((n-m)p^{s-1} - k)n}{(mp^s + kp + 1)(mp^{s-1} + k)} \binom{np^s - 1}{(mp^{s-1} + k)p - 1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Now we consider two cases.

**Case 1:**  $k = 0$ . Then  $r = 1$  and for  $m = 0$  (1.5) reduces to the identity  $np^s = np^s$ . If  $m \geq 1$ , then the right hand side of (2.1) with  $k = 0$  is equal to

$$p^s \cdot \frac{(n-m)n}{(mp^s + 1)m} \binom{np^s - 1}{mp^s - 1} = p^s \cdot \frac{(n-m)n}{(mp^s + 1)m} \binom{(np^{s-1} - 1)p + (p-1)}{(mp^{s-1} - 1)p + (p-1)}$$

which by iterating Lucas' theorem in the form (1.2)  $s$  times and using the identity  $\frac{(n-m)n}{m} \binom{n-1}{m-1} = (m+1) \binom{n}{m+1}$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \equiv p^s \cdot \frac{(n-m)n}{m} \binom{np^{s-1} - 1}{mp^{s-1} - 1} \pmod{p^{s+1}} \\
& = p^s \cdot \frac{(n-m)n}{m} \binom{(np^{s-2} - 1)p + (p-1)}{(mp^{s-2} - 1)p + (p-1)} \pmod{p^{s+1}} \\
& \equiv p^s \cdot \frac{(n-m)n}{m} \binom{np^{s-2} - 1}{mp^{s-2} - 1} \pmod{p^{s+1}} \equiv \dots \\
& \equiv p^s \cdot \frac{(n-m)n}{m} \binom{n-1}{m-1} = (m+1) \binom{n}{m+1} p^s \pmod{p^{s+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with (2.1) for  $k = 0$ , we find that

$$\binom{np^s}{mp^s + 1} \equiv (m+1) \binom{n}{m+1} p^s \pmod{p^{s+1}}.$$

This proves (1.5) with  $r = 1$  (that is, with  $i = 1$  and  $k = 0$ ).

**Case 2:**  $1 \leq k \leq p^{s-1} - 1$ . Then from  $r = kp + 1 \leq p^s - 1$  we see that must be  $s \geq 2$ . First notice that iterating Lucas' theorem it follows immediately that

$$\binom{ap^f + c}{bp^f + d} \equiv \binom{a}{b} \binom{c}{d} \pmod{p}, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $p$  is a prime,  $f, a, b, c$  and  $d$  are nonnegative integers such that  $f \geq 1$ ,  $c \leq p^e - 1$ ,  $d \leq p^e - 1$ , and  $b \leq a$ . In particular, (2.2) with  $c = d = 0$  yields

$$\binom{ap^e}{bc^e} \equiv \binom{a}{b} \pmod{p}. \tag{2.3}$$

Also notice that for each prime  $p$  and any integer  $j$  such that  $0 \leq j \leq p-1$  we have

$$\binom{p-1}{j} = \frac{(p-1)(p-2)\cdots(p-j)}{j!} \equiv \frac{(-1)^j j!}{j!} = (-1)^j \pmod{p}. \quad (2.4)$$

Take  $k = up^l$  where  $l \geq 0$  and  $u \geq 1$  are nonnegative integers such that  $u$  is not divisible by  $p$ . Then since  $r = kp+1 = up^{l+1}+1 \leq p^s-1$ , we see that must be  $s \geq 3$ ,  $l \leq s-2$  and  $u < p^{s-1-l}$ . Taking  $k = up^l$  for  $u = \sum_{j=0}^{s-l-2} u_j p^j$  with  $0 \leq u_j \leq p-1$  for each  $j = 0, 1, \dots, s-l-2$  and  $u_0 \geq 1$  into (2.1), using Lucas' theorem, (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{np^s}{mp^s + up^{l+1} + 1} \\ & \equiv p^s \cdot \frac{((n-m)p^{s-1-l} - u)n}{(mp^s + up^{l+1} + 1)(mp^{s-l-1} + u)} \binom{np^s - 1}{(mp^{s-l-1} + u)p^{l+1} - 1} \\ & \equiv p^s \cdot \frac{-un}{u} \binom{(np^{s-l-1} - 1)p^{l+1} + (p^{l+1} - 1)}{(mp^{s-l-1} + u - 1)p^{l+1} + (p^{l+1} - 1)} \pmod{p^{s+1}} \\ & \equiv -np^s \binom{(np^{s-l-1} - 1)p^{l+1}}{(mp^{s-l-1} + u - 1)p^{l+1}} \binom{p^{l+1} - 1}{p^{l+1} - 1} \pmod{p^{s+1}} \\ & \equiv -np^s \binom{(np^{s-l-1} - 1)p^l}{(mp^{s-l-1} + u - 1)p^l} \pmod{p^{s+1}} \dots \\ & \equiv -np^s \binom{np^{s-l-1} - 1}{mp^{s-l-1} + u - 1} \pmod{p^{s+1}} \dots \\ & = -np^s \binom{(n-1)p^{s-l-1} + p^{s-l-1} - 1}{mp^{s-l-1} - 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{s-l-2} u_j p^j} \quad (2.5) \\ & = -np^s \binom{(n-1)p^{s-l-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{s-l-2} (p-j)p^j}{mp^{s-l-1} + (u_0 - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{s-l-2} u_j p^j} \\ & \equiv -np^s \binom{(n-1)p^{s-l-1}}{mp^{s-l-1}} \binom{p-1}{u_0 - 1} \prod_{j=1}^{s-l-2} \binom{p-1}{u_j} \pmod{p^{s+1}} \equiv \dots \\ & \equiv -np^s \binom{n-1}{m} (-1)^{-1 + \sum_{j=0}^{s-l-2} u_j} \pmod{p^{s+1}} \\ & \equiv n \binom{n-1}{m} (-1)^u p^s \pmod{p^{s+1}} \\ & \equiv (m+1) \binom{n}{m+1} (-1)^{r-1} p^s \pmod{p^{s+1}} \end{aligned}$$

(the last two congruences are clearly satisfied since for odd prime  $p$ ,  $\sum_{j=0}^{s-l-2} u_j \equiv u \pmod{2}$ , and hence  $r-1 = up^{l+1} \equiv u \pmod{2}$ , while for  $p=2$  we have  $(-1)^t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  for each integer  $t$ ). The congruence (2.5) coincides with (1.5) for  $r = up^{l+1} + 1$ . This concludes the proof of the base of induction ( $i=1$ ).

Now suppose that the congruence (1.5) holds for each  $r = kp+i$  with  $0 \leq k \leq p^{s-1}-1$  and some fixed  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq p-2$ ; that is

$$\binom{np^s}{mp^s + kp + i} \equiv (-1)^{kp+i-1} (kp+i)^{-1} (m+1) \binom{n}{m+1} p^s \pmod{p^{s+1}}. \quad (2.6)$$

Then using the identity  $\binom{a}{b+1} = \frac{a-b}{b+1} \binom{a}{b}$  with  $0 \leq b \leq a$  and (2.6), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{np^s}{mp^s + kp + i + 1} = \frac{(n-m)p^s - kp - i}{mp^s + kp + i + 1} \binom{np^s}{mp^s + kp + i} \\ & \equiv \frac{(n-m)p^s - kp - i}{mp^s + kp + i + 1} (-1)^{kp+i-1} (kp+i)^{-1} (m+1) \binom{n}{m+1} p^s \pmod{p^{s+1}} \\ & \equiv \frac{-i}{kp+i+1} (-1)^{kp+i-1} i^{-1} (m+1) \binom{n}{m+1} p^s \pmod{p^{s+1}} \\ & = (-1)^{kp+i} (kp+i+1)^{-1} (m+1) \binom{n}{m+1} p^s \pmod{p^{s+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1.5) with  $r \equiv i+1 \pmod{p}$ , which completes proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* Taking  $n=1$  and  $m=0$  into the congruence (1.5) of Theorem 1.1, for all  $r$  such that  $1 \leq r \leq p^s - 1$  and  $r$  is not divisible by  $p$  we get

$$\binom{p^s}{r} \equiv (-1)^{r-1} r^{-1} p^s \pmod{p^{s+1}}.$$

Comparing this with (1.5), we immediately obtain (1.6).  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. F. Bailey, *Two  $p^3$  variations of Lucas' theorem*, J. Number Theory **35** (1990), 208–215.
- [2] D. F. Bailey, *Some binomial coefficient congruences*, Appl. Math. Lett. **4**, No. 4 (1991), 1–5.
- [3] D. F. Bailey, *More binomial coefficient congruences*, Fibonacci Quart. **30**, No. 2 (1992), 121–125.
- [4] K. S. Davis and W. A. Webb, *Lucas' theorem for prime powers*, European J. Combin. **11** (1990), 229–233.
- [5] M. Chamberland and K. Dilcher, *A binomial sum related to Wolstenholme's theorem*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), 2659–2672.
- [6] A. Granville, *Arithmetic properties of binomial coefficients. I. Binomial coefficients modulo prime powers*, in Organic mathematics (Burnaby, BC, 1995), CMS Conf. Proc., 20, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997, 253–276.
- [7] A. Granville, *Zaphod Beeblebrox's brain and the fifty-ninth row of Pascal's triangle*, Amer. Math. Monthly **99** (1992), 318–331.
- [8] H. Hu and Z.-W. Sun, *An extension of Lucas' theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 3471–3478.
- [9] É. Lucas, *Sur les congruences des nombres eulériens et des coefficients différentiels des fonctions trigonométriques, suivant un module premier*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **6** (1877–1878), 49–54.
- [10] Z.-W. Sun and D. M. Davis, *Combinatorial congruences modulo prime powers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 5525–5553.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MARITIME FACULTY KOTOR, UNIVERSITY OF MONTENEGRO, DOBROTA  
36, 85330 KOTOR, MONTENEGRO

*E-mail address:* romeo@ac.me