

Quantitative description of ion transport via plasma membrane of yeast and small cells

Vadim Volkov

School of Human Sciences, Faculty of Life Sciences, London Metropolitan University, 166-220 Holloway Road, London N7 8DB, UK

For correspondence: v.volkov@londonmet.ac.uk and vadim.s.volkov@gmail.com

Key words: ion transport - yeast cell - erythrocyte - excitable membrane - lipid rafts - cell wall - organelles

Modelling of ion transport via plasma membrane needs identification and quantitative understanding of the involved processes. Brief characterisation of ion transport systems of a yeast cell (Pma1, Ena1, TOK1, Nha1, Trk1, Trk2, non-selective cation conductance) and estimates concerning the number of molecules of each transporter per a cell allow predicting the corresponding ion flows. Comparison of ion transport in small yeast cell and several animal cell types is provided and importance of cell volume to surface ratio is stressed. Role of cell wall and lipid rafts is discussed in aspect of required increase in spatial and temporary resolution of measurements. Conclusions are formulated to describe specific features of ion transport in a yeast cell. Potential directions of future research are outlined based on the assumptions.

Fungus *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Phylum Ascomycota) is a well-known baker's yeast. It is a small unicellular organism (Figure 1), which can grow under a wide range of pH, osmolality and various ion compositions of surrounding media. Ion homeostasis is important for yeast growth (cell volume increases mainly by water uptake according to osmotic gradient against cell wall mechanical pressure) and also for the functioning of enzymes: some proteins

(e.g. yeast *HAL2* nucleotidase) may change conformation and loose activity under increased concentrations of Na^+ (Murguía, Bellés, Serrano, 1996; Albert et al., 2000). Yeast is widely used in biotechnology, so understanding, description and modelling of ion transport is important for optimising and improving growth conditions for yeast culture. Small size of yeast cells (several μm or around ten wavelengths of red light) breaks trivial everyday experience about the world, similar to observed in cell biology (Albrecht-Buehler, 1990) and requires special knowledge.

Initial assumptions for modelling seem oversimplified for a biologist; however, they are essential to the basic biophysics of the processes. The cell is considered to be a homogeneous spherical body consisting of a water solution containing several ion species and surrounded by a lipid membrane. The lipid membrane contains a large number of incorporated proteins (ion channels and transporters), which make pathways for selective and non-selective transport of ions. The cell is further surrounded by the cell wall. Further details of cell structure are evident for a biologist (e.g. ATP producing mitochondria, clusters of so called lipid rafts within the plasma membrane, possible vacuolisation and existing intracellular compartments etc.) and will be mentioned if necessary.




Figure 1. Microscope image of mature yeast culture at high magnification.

Yeast culture (BY4741) was grown for a day after reaching stationary phase. Scale bar is 12.5 μm .

The numeric parameters are: cell volume, membrane surface area, ion concentrations within a cell and outside of, yeast cell membrane potential, characteristics and number of ion transport systems of a yeast cell, mechanical properties (elastic and plastic elasticity) of the cell wall.

Assuming an average diameter of a yeast cell of about 6 μm , one can calculate the **volume of the cell**:

$$\frac{4}{3} * \pi * 27 * 10^{-15} \text{ L} \approx 0.1 \text{ pL} = 100 \text{ fL.} \quad (1)$$

For comparison, the volume of a human erythrocyte is also about 100 fL (Jay, 1975), but the volume of typical myocytes is 300 times larger (Sato et al., 1996), the volume of giant squid axon is 10^8 times larger (5 cm long and 500 μm in diameter) (Lecar et al., 1967), while the volume of barley leaf protoplasts is at least 100 times larger (Volkov et al., 2009).

It is important to mention that the basics of membrane ion transport were formulated in the outstanding works of Hodgkin and Huxley for large cells (squid axon) (Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952), so scaling the principles for small cells with much higher surface/volume ratio needs amendments. The surface/volume ratio shows the surface per unit of volume and consequently determines ion fluxes for the unit of volume and *vice versa* the potential metabolic activity per unit of surface. The surface/volume ratio is about 500 times higher for a yeast cell than squid axon has; this implies potential principal differences in ion transport systems.

Cell surface area for a yeast cell is:

$$4 * \pi * 9 \mu\text{m}^2 \approx 100 \mu\text{m}^2. \quad (2)$$

This value is equivalent to 1 pF, since 100 μm^2 make up about 1 pF (Hille, 1992); similar results for capacitance are found in patch clamp experiments (0.5-0.7 pF for yeast spheroplasts with diameters of 4 to 5 μm) (Roberts et al., 1999). This means that the charge q transfer of 600,000 monovalent cations (eg. potassium, proton or sodium) out of cell is

equivalent to a change of voltage V from 0 to -100 mV according to capacitance C definition $q = C \cdot V$ and taking into account the elementary charge $1.6 \cdot 10^{-19}$ C, then $N = (10^{-12} \text{ pF} \cdot 0.1 \text{ V}) / 1.6 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ C} \approx 6 \cdot 10^5$. (3)

This value is less than 0.001% of 100 mM K^+ ($60 \cdot 10^9$ ions per cell, see below), however 100 times higher than the estimated number of free protons in the yeast cell (without a vacuole and without considering any pH buffering in the cell).

pH of the cytoplasm is about 7.0, which means 10^{-7} M H^+ /L, cell volume is 100 fL, thus

$$10^{-7} \cdot 100 \cdot 10^{-15} \cdot N_a \approx 10^{-20} \cdot 6.02 \cdot 10^{23} \approx 6000 \text{ protons/cell}, \quad (4)$$

where N_a is the Avogadro constant.

Similar assumptions and calculations for number of ions and voltage changes could be found in e.g. (Kahm, 2011), where several differential equations were proposed and based on theoretical considerations to build a model of potassium homeostasis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.

Ion concentrations in yeast cells are measured by several methods and give about 100-150 mM for K^+ (Mulet, Serrano, 2002; Jennings, Cui, 2008; Zahradka, Sychrova, 2012), though concentrations of 50 to 300 mM of K^+ were reported (depending on growth phase, external potassium etc., reviewed in Ariño, Ramos, Sychrová, 2010; Kahm, 2011). Na^+ depends more on the external concentration and may vary from a few mM to over 100 mM (García et al., 1997; Kolacna et al., 2005). For example, addition of 1 M NaCl increased internal Na^+ concentration nearly linearly from 0 to 150 mM after 100 minutes (García et al., 1997). Chloride concentration is relatively low and stable, about 0.1-1 mM, making chloride also the regulated abundant ion in the cell (Jennings, Cui, 2008). Calcium concentration in yeast and eukaryotic cells is very low, 50–200 nM, the ion is essential for signalling, so specific pumps and transporters exist for calcium homeostasis and signalling (e.g. Cui, Kaandorp, 2006).

The concentrations correspond to relatively small numbers of ions per a cell. For example, the number of K^+ ions is comparable to the existing human population (number of K^+ ions is one order of magnitude higher) and so amenable for simple modelling using modern computers:

$$100 \text{ mM } K^+ \approx 6 \cdot 10^{23} \cdot 100 \cdot 10^{-15} = 60 \cdot 10^9 \text{ ions of } K^+/\text{cell}. \quad (5)$$

It is equivalent to an electric current of $1.6 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ C} \cdot 60 \cdot 10^9 \text{ ions} \approx 10^{-8} \text{ A} \cdot \text{s} = 100 \text{ s} \cdot 100 \text{ pA}$ (will be discussed later when considering ion currents via ion channels and transporters).

Membrane potential

Direct measurements using glass microelectrodes (the only direct method to measure membrane potential) for small cells of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* may be underestimated and not correct considering that 1) membrane potential could be changed by the proton pump Pma1 within seconds (see discussion below) and 2) microelectrodes may have an effect on the measured values, for example due to KCl leak and mechanical stress (e.g. Blatt, Slayman, 1983). So indirect methods and comparative results for different yeast species and under several conditions are very important.

Microelectrode technique gave membrane potentials around -70 mV - -45 mV for *S. cerevisiae* (reviewed in Borst-Pauwels, 1981) and similar or lower values for another yeast species (summarised in Table 1).

Table 1. Membrane potential values of yeast and several small fungal and bacterial cells recorded by microelectrodes.

Species	Membrane potential values, mV	Reference	Notes
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	around -70 to -45	reviewed in Borst-Pauwels, 1981	
<i>Endomyces magnusii</i>	around -40	Vacata et al., 1981	
<i>Pichia humberboldtii</i>	around -90 to -50	Höfer and Novacky, 1986; Lichtenberg, Giebeler and	100 mM KCl depolarised membrane of <i>Pichia humberboldtii</i> from -48 mV to -21 mV,

		Höfer, 1988	while 100 mM NaCl to -37 mV and 100 mM LiCl by 3 mV only; membrane potential depended on external pH, increasing from -60 mV to -30 mV under external pH change from 6 to 8 or from 6 to 4 (Höfer and Novacky, 1986)
<i>Neurospora. crassa</i>	below -200	Slayman and Slayman, 1962; Blatt and Slayman, 1983, 1987	
giant <i>E. coli</i> cells (about 5 µm in diameter)	below -140	Felle et al., 1980	

Indirect methods of membrane potential measurements are based on steady-state distribution of lipophilic cations and imply further simple calculations; tetra^[3H] - phenylphosphonium (TPP⁺) and tri^[3H]phenylmethylphosphonium (TPMP⁺) are used for yeast cells, membrane potentials around -120 mV to -50 mV were estimated (Vacata et al., 1981). Measurements using 3,3'-dipropylthiacarbocyanine gave estimates around -160 mV to -150 mV for *S. cerevisiae*, membrane potential depolarised within nearly 10 seconds by 25-30 mV in response to added 10 mM KCl (Peña, Sánchez and Calahorra, 2010). The effect of depolarisation by KCl was observed in the presence of 20 mM glucose suggesting the implication of proton pump Pma1 in membrane energisation (Peña, Sánchez and Calahorra, 2010).

Indirect membrane potential values are similar (Felle et al., 1980; Lichtenberg, Giebeler and Höfer, 1988) or lower (more negative) (Vacata et al., 1981) than measured directly by

microelectrodes due to numerous reasons (impalement problems and leak from microelectrodes, nonspecific absorption of cations etc.).

Ion **transport systems** are well studied for yeast cells within the last 30-40 year following the rise of molecular biology, electrophysiology and complete sequencing of yeast genome. The new methods complemented traditional experiments with yeast mutants and ion accumulation by yeast cells. The ion transport systems include several transporters, one potassium channel and non-selective cation current, which was recorded in electrophysiological experiments (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Simplified scheme of transport systems in plasma membrane of a yeast cell.

ION PUMPS

The most abundant protein in the yeast plasma membrane is the **proton pump Pma1** (P-type H⁺-ATPase), which pumps protons out of the cytoplasm and shifts the membrane potential to more negative values. Pma1 transports one H⁺ per ATP molecule (Ambesi et al., 2000) and makes up about 15-20% of membrane proteins (Eraso, Cid, Serrano, 1987). Protein expression analysis (Ghaemmaghani et al., 2003) estimated the amount of Pma1 by the huge number of 1,260,000 Pma1 molecules/cell. It is 12,600 molecules/ μm^2 and equates to one Pma1 molecule per $10 \times 10 \text{ nm}^2$ of membrane surface. The value is above the reasonable limits, since the linear size of proton ATPases is large and, for example, the cross-section of similar H⁺-ATPase from the plasma membrane of *Neurospora crassa* is nearly $10 \times 10 \text{ nm}^2$ (Auer, Scarborough, Kühlbrandt, 1998). Assumption that only 10% of expressed Pma1 are present in the plasma membrane (e.g. many molecules could be in the secretory vesicles in cytoplasm) leads to 130,000 molecules per plasma membrane, the number coincides better with electron microphotographs of yeast plasma membranes labelled by antibodies against Pma1 (Permyakov, Suzina, Valiakhmetov, 2012).

One Pma1 molecule can transport 20-100 H⁺ per second (Serrano, 1988; and also estimates from specific ATP hydrolysis activities from e.g. Perlin et al., 1989: assuming transport of one H⁺ per one ATP hydrolysis and knowing ATPase activities for reconstituted mutant enzymes in $\mu\text{mol P}_i \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$). The value is similar to animal N⁺/K⁺-ATPase with a turnover of 160 (Skou, 1998). Taking the lower values it is simple to estimate the possible number of protons extruded by Pma1 within a second per yeast cell:

$$20 \times 130,000 = 2,600,000 \text{ (protons)}. \quad (6)$$

This value is four times the number of positive charges required to shift the membrane potential of the cell by -100 mV within a second (3). Obviously, the situation is more complicated since one must also consider thermodynamics of transport and ATP hydrolysis. Under pH of cytoplasm similar to ambient medium Pma1 will not be able to pump protons against membrane potential lower than -400 - -450 mV due to limitation by energy of ATP hydrolysis (-30 kJ/mole to be translated into the energy of transporting an elementary charge against the voltage) (e.g. Bond, Russel, 1998). Indeed, the minimal membrane potentials

recorded in fungal/autotrophic cells are around -300 - -350 mV for *Neurospora crassa* with equilibrium potential up to -450 mV (Blatt and Slayman, 1987).

These simple considerations show that the most important factors for membrane potential and driving force for translocation of protons ($\Delta\mu_{H^+}$) in yeast cell are:

- 1) ATP availability and cell metabolism;
- 2) futile cycles of ion transport and inward electrogenic ion transport;
- 3) Pma1 regulation, not the potential maximal Pma1 activity.

ATP concentration in yeast cells is about 2 mM (measured by aptamer-based ATP nanosensor) (Ozalp et al., 2010), similar to ATP concentration in bacteria, for example 3 mM ATP was registered in *Streptococcus bovis* (Bond and Russel, 1998). The total number of ATP molecules will be then:

$$2 \text{ mM ATP} \approx 6 \cdot 10^{23} \cdot 2 \cdot 10^{-15} = 1.2 \cdot 10^9 \text{ ATP molecules/cell.}$$

This is at least 500 times higher than the potential minimal requirement of Pma1 per a second (6), hence ATP is likely not a limiting factor directly linking metabolic activity of yeast cell with fast membrane transport processes (timescale of seconds). If the highest (not likely due to above mentioned steric calculations for the molecules) number of Pma1 in the membrane ($1.3 \cdot 10^6$) is assumed and the turnover of 100 is taken, still the amount of ATP is sufficient for 10 seconds. There exists a reasonable time lapse of 10-500 seconds (buffered by ATP) between the proton transport and plasma membrane energisation by Pma1 from one side and cell metabolism and ATP synthesis from the other side.

The electrogenic activity of Pma1 is used for the transport of ions and small molecules by ion channels and transporters, dissipating the possible very negative membrane potential and proton gradient. Futile cycles of ion transport were studied in bacteria (e.g. Bond, Russel, 1998); over a third of the energy could be dissipated by cycling ions through the cell membrane. In yeast it's assumed that 20 to 60% of cell ATP is used by Pma1 (reviewed in Kahm, 2011). There are over 250 predicted transporters in the yeast genome (Paulsen et al., 1998) and almost 100 plasma membrane transporters of known function (Van Belle and André, 2001). Many of them transport small organic molecules, not ions, they also can use

the proton gradients and membrane potential created by Pma1. The “leak” current via membrane (current via non-selective cation channels and the other routes) and activity of transporters are regulated by membrane potential, calcium, ion concentrations etc. (Bihler, Slayman, Bertl, 1998; Roberts et al., 1999; reviewed in Ariño, Ramos, Sychrová, 2010) and so may be linked to the activity of Pma1 making a feedback loop. There are still many questions as to which parameter or parameters are controlled and which mechanisms are involved. Voltage sensors and ligand- or ion-binding sites of ion channels are the simplest structures to regulate ion currents depending on membrane potential, ion and ligand concentrations (eg Hille, 2001), making up regulatory networks. Numerous mutants are helpful to elucidate the interactions. Mutants with reduced (by about 80%) expression of plasma membrane H^+ -ATPase decreased rates of proton efflux and uptake of amino acids, while keeping a stable membrane potential (measured by gradient of tetraphenylphosphonium) (Vallejo, Serrano, 1989); mutants lacking outward potassium channel TOK1 had depolarised membrane potential (Maresova et al., 2006), while deletion of Trk1 and Trk2 potassium transporters hyperpolarised the membrane (Zahradka and Sychrova, 2012).

Regulation of Pma1 involves numerous proteins and networks of events and is the subject of special reviews (e.g. discussed in Ariño, Ramos, Sychrová, 2010 and references therein; Babu et al., 2012), so it is not discussed here in detail.

It is important to stress the large difference between small yeast and excitable animal cells, the latter have higher volume per unit of surface (500 times higher for squid axon), can use ion channels with higher conductance for fast changes in membrane potential instead of immediate activity of electrogenic ion pump. However, the reasons for the difference in ion transport systems are of dual nature: 1) pure biophysical constraints determine the ion transport fluxes and also 2) the history of species and their evolution is involved.

As a comparison, human erythrocytes have a similar volume to yeast cells, possess an electrogenic Na^+/K^+ -pump, have low membrane potential (about -10 mV when measured by glass electrode) (Jay, Burton, 1969) and several ion channels, but do not use the channels under usual conditions, relying on ion pump and transporters to keep ion homeostasis (reviewed in Thomas et al., 2011). Changing the membrane potential from -10 to -90 mV by sodium ionophore had no effect on swelling-activated potassium transport in erythrocytes (Kaji, 1993).

Evolutionary aspects are much more complicated. Excitable cells usually have sodium channels; the channels evolved from calcium channels and predated the origin of the nervous system in animals (Liebeskind, Hillis, Zakon, 2011). Interestingly, action potentials were found in some marine algae, e.g. sodium/calcium-based action potential in *Odontella sinensis* (Taylor, 2009), which has volume about 300 times larger than yeast. Sodium channels and action potentials are not known in fungi so far, though sodium channels were surprisingly found in the bacterium *Bacillus halodurans* (Ren et al., 2001). The bacterium, which is about 200 times smaller than the yeast cell by volume, can grow under a saline environment (2M NaCl) (Takami, Horikoshi, 1999). Hence opening of just one channel with 12 pS conductance (measured in 140 mM NaCl using expression in CHO-K1 cells) would carry large ion (sodium) currents and may essentially change sodium concentration in cytoplasm. The biological function of the channel is not known, but it may be related to driving the flagellar motor (Ren et al., 2001).

Ena1 is another ion pump in the yeast plasma membrane recognised as a putative Na⁺ pump (Haro, Garcíadeblas, Rodríguez-Navarro, 1991). Around 688 molecules per cell were estimated by protein expression analysis (Ghaemmaghami, 2003), which means that the potential maximal efflux is extremely low:

$$700 \times 100 \text{ Na}^+ \text{ ions/second} = 70 \times 10^3 \text{ ions, } 100 \text{ nM}/(\text{cell} \cdot \text{s}) \text{ or } 0.36 \text{ mM}/(\text{cell} \cdot \text{h}), \quad (7)$$

Thus, Ena1 can hardly have any effect on sodium concentration. However, the transcription of Ena1 is activated by NaCl (Wieland et al., 1995) and microarray experiments revealed the complex pattern of activation (Posas et al., 2000 and <http://transcriptome.ens.fr/ymgv/index.php> for YDR040C), about 10-fold at most. Interestingly, deletion of Ena1 in yeast strain G19 resulted in essentially retarded growth of yeast colonies on plates with added 0.5 M – 1.3 M NaCl and even with added 1.8 M KCl especially at neutral pH 7.0 when compared with pH 3.6 and 5.5 (Bañuelos et al., 1998), so expression and interactions of Ena1 are expected to change under long term salt stress.

ION CHANNELS AND TRANSPORTERS

Major channels and transporters of yeast plasma membrane include: 1) potassium-selective outward rectifying ion channel TOK1, 2) non-selective cation channel (registered in electrophysiological experiments, gene sequence(s) and protein structure(s) responsible for the current had not been identified so far), 3) potassium transporters Trk1 and Trk2, 4) sodium/potassium-proton antiporter Nha1 and 5) sodium-phosphate symporter Pho89 (reviewed in Ariño, Ramos, Sychrová, 2010). Brief description concerning the number of molecules per cell and estimated ion currents carried by them may help to understand their role in membrane transport properties. As a first approximation: sodium/potassium-proton antiporter Nha1 was visualized in experiments on protein expression in yeast using both GFP and TAP tags with estimated 1480 molecules/cell (about 15 molecules/ μm^2), while Trk1, Trk2, TOK1 and Pho89 were not visualized (Ghaemmaghami et al., 2003).

Though **TOK1** was not visualised in the study on protein expression (Ghaemmaghami et al., 2003), earlier electrophysiological experiments predicted about 50-100 active ion channels per a cell (Bertl and Slayman, 1992; Bertl et al., 1998). Potassium-selective outward rectifying ion channel TOK1 is the best characterised ion channel in yeast cells. First single channels recordings with yeast protoplasts revealed a highly selective for potassium over sodium ion channel, it has a unitary conductance of about 13 pS (122 mM K^+ in pipette and outside medium), was inhibited by 20 mM TEA^+ and 10 mM Mg^{2+} (Gustin et al., 1986). 17 pS potassium-selective and ATP-sensitive conductance was registered in H^+ -ATPase mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (150 KCl inside and outside configuration) (Ramirez et al., 1989). Several large (64 pS and 116 pS) potassium-selective conductances were revealed in yeast plasma membrane vesicles fused with planar bilayers, the conductances were inhibited by 10 mM TEA^+ and 10 mM BaCl_2 and presumably correspond to TOK1 (Gómez-Lagunas et al., 1989). Single channel recordings were studied in detail (Bertl and Slayman, 1992; Bertl, Slayman and Gradman, 1993). Flickering behaviour was found for the channel, it demonstrated high selectivity for potassium over sodium and was inhibited by increasing cytoplasmic calcium and by cytoplasmic sodium; a kinetic model with several closed and open states was proposed for gating (regulation) of the channel (Bertl and Slayman, 1992; Bertl, Slayman and Gradman, 1993).

Whole cell recordings with yeast protoplasts provided more information about TOK1. Outward current via TOK1 was about 500 pA at +100 mV (175 mM pipette = cytoplasmic KCl and 150 mM external KCl) and demonstrated ATP-dependence (the current exhibited

strong rundown within 60 minutes without ATP in pipette medium); there was no effect of external protons within pH 5.0 – 8.0, but inhibition by cytosolic acidification; inhibition by TEA⁺ and Ba²⁺ and gating by external potassium were also discovered (Bertl et al., 1998). Gating of yeast potassium outward rectifier by external potassium was found for whole cell currents and confirmed for single channels, it was proposed (based on previous model for the channel) that TOK1 has binding sites for potassium and plays a central role in osmoregulation and K⁺-homeostasis in yeast (Bertl et al., 1998). Effects of TOK1 gating by external potassium and also amino acid residues responsible for the gating were studied in detail using heterologous expression system, oocytes of *Xenopus laevis* (Ketchum et al., 1995; Lesage et al., 1996; Loukin et al., 1997; Loukin and Saimi, 1999; Johansson and Blatt, 2006).

It was an unexpected finding that TOK1 was slightly activated by volatile anesthetic agents isoflurane, halothane and desflurane (Gray et al., 1998).

Simple estimates translate the current via the channel to ion concentrations:

$$\text{e.g. } 10 \text{ pS, } 1.0 \text{ pA/100 mV, } \approx 1 \cdot 10^{-12} \cdot 6 \cdot 10^{18} = 6 \cdot 10^6 \text{ ions/}(\text{second} \cdot 100 \text{ mV}) \quad (8)$$

Assuming a change in concentration from 100 mM to 50 mM within 30 minutes, 1800 seconds, it may be considered that only one protein TOK1 molecule (with extremely low conductivity, at the level of patch clamp resolution) is sufficient to ensure the ion fluxes.

Questions about the physiological role of TOK1 rose together with electrophysiological characterisation of the channel and were partially solved in experiments with yeast mutants. A yeast mutant with a deleted TOK1 gene had no potassium-selective outward current (Bertl et al., 2003). Deletion of TOK1 depolarised cell membrane, while overexpression hyperpolarised it, the results were obtained in an assay with 3,3'-dipropylthiobarbituric acid iodide fluorescence (Maresova et al., 2006). Potential participation of TOK1 in potassium uptake by yeast cells was demonstrated in yeast mutants with overexpressed TOK1 and deleted Trk1 and Trk2 genes (Fairman, Zhou and Kung, 1999). Overexpression of TOK1 restored growth on plates with low (1 mM) potassium concentration and increased potassium contents more than twice in cells cultured at 5.0 mM K⁺ (Fairman, Zhou and Kung, 1999). The results seem unusual at a first glance: overexpression of outward rectifying ion channel has a positive effect on ion accumulation. A possible explanation is due to small inward current below E reversal for K⁺, which was recorded in TOK1-overexpressing cells (Fairman,

Zhou and Kung, 1999). However, *tok1Δ* mutant also had slightly higher potassium contents and did not differ in growth (cited according to Kahm, 2011). It is proposed that TOK1 can help in controlling membrane potential around reversal potential for potassium (Fairman, Zhou and Kung, 1999): the number of ions via TOK1 (equation (8) for 100 ion channels but multiplied by smaller voltage and open probability for channels) is comparable to number of ions pumped by Pma1 per a second (equation (6)); so both Pma1 and TOK1 may interplay in changing the membrane potential.

Non-selective cation current in yeast cells is well studied electrophysiologically. Surprisingly high inward currents often exceeding 1 nA at -200 mV were registered in yeast protoplasts (from larger tetraploid cells) when external divalent ions were reduced below 10 μM (Bihler, Slayman and Bertl, 1998; Bihler, Slayman and Bertl, 2002). Slightly lower currents (about 300 pA at -140 mV) were recorded with 100 μM of external calcium and magnesium from spheroplasts of 4-5 μm in diameter (Roberts et al., 1999). The current was carried by monovalent cations and had similar selectivities for K⁺ and Rb⁺. Selectivity for Na⁺ was about 50% less, while choline and TEA⁺ were nearly impermeable cations (Roberts et al., 1999). Lowering intracellular potassium increased the magnitude of the current and regulation by intracellular potassium was suggested (Roberts et al., 1999). While external pH had no effect on the current within the range from 5.5 to 7.0 (Roberts et al., 1999), pH 4.0 was found inhibitory (Bihler, Slayman and Bertl, 2002). Similar large inward current was recorded in another yeast, *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*; the conductance was not permeable to TEA⁺ and was slightly inhibited by external 10 mM Ca²⁺ or pH 4.0 (Demidchik, Macpherson and Davies, 2005). Non-selective cation channels are better studied in plants, where they have essential role in salt tolerance and development. Cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channels and amino acid-gated channels are making up the total cation non-selective currents in plants (Demidchik, Maathuis, 2007), while no candidate genes or proteins responsible for the current have been identified so far in yeast.

Obviously, regulation of yeast non-selective cation current and changes in membrane potential will essentially change the influx of ions by the pathway, since 1 nA current carries:

$$1.0 \text{ nA}/200 \text{ mV}, \approx 1 * 10^{-9} * 6 * 10^{18} = 6 * 10^9 \text{ ions}/(\text{second} * 200 \text{ mV}) \quad (9)$$

that is about 10% of cell potassium concentration per second for 6 μm yeast cells or 2.5 % for 10 μm tetraploid cells.

Nha1

Usually ion transporters have much lower (10^4 and more) transport rates compared to ion channels, about 100 – 10,000 ions per a second with the estimated theoretical limit of 100,000, due to the speed of protein conformational changes (e.g. Levitan and Kaczmarek, 2001, p. 72; Chakrapani, Auerbach, 2005). Similar to Nha1 transporter is NhaA from *E.coli*, it has a turnover number of about 1,500 per second at pH 8.5 (Taglicht, Padan, Schuldiner, 1991), so the estimate of 1,000 seems reasonable.

Then ion transport by Nha1 would be about

$$1500 \cdot 1000 = 1.5 \cdot 10^6 \text{ ions per a second or about } 2.5 \mu\text{M}/(\text{second} \cdot \text{cell}) = 9 \text{ mM}/(\text{hour} \cdot \text{cell})$$

(10)

or from 0.9 to 90 mM/(hour*cell), assuming the potential possible transport rates. This value is not very high compared to sodium uptake via non-selective cation current (see above), Ena1 also have low sodium efflux capacity (7). Indeed, the fast increase of intracellular sodium to 150 mM within 100 minutes was observed in yeast cells subjected to 1 M NaCl stress (García et al., 1997).

Several possible scenarios of cell behaviour under high sodium stress may include then:

- to shift membrane potential to more positive values and essentially drop the non-selective cation conductance, it will reduce sodium accumulation in cells below the toxic levels; however, increasing the membrane potential to more positive values will have negative effect on potassium uptake via non-selective cation conductance and consequently on growth;
- to induce expression of Ena1 and Nha1 increasing sodium efflux from yeast cell in the way;
- to use other channels and transporters (which are not discovered so far) for excluding sodium from the cells while keeping high potassium uptake rate.

Experiments with yeast mutants with deleted Nha1 demonstrated, however, a more complex pattern. Deletion of Nha1 had no effect on membrane potential assayed by fluorescent dye

3,3'-dipropylthiacarbocyanine iodide and no effect on growth on plates in the presence of 200 mM NaCl (Kinclova-Zimmermannova, Gaskova and Sychrova, 2006). Higher concentrations of sodium chloride (0.5 M) and especially potassium chloride (1.8 M) inhibited growth of a yeast strain without *nha1* gene on plates at pH 3.6 (Bañuelos et al., 1998). Overexpression of Nha1 increased NaCl tolerance and decreased intracellular sodium concentration by 150 mM within 20 minutes in yeast cell preloaded with sodium. However the number of Nha1 transporters per cell was not known (Bañuelos et al., 1998). Overexpression of Nha1 also hyperpolarised the plasma membrane (Kinclova-Zimmermannova, Gaskova and Sychrova, 2006).

Trk1 and Trk2 were isolated by drop in potassium uptake by yeast cells from the external medium (Gaber, Styles and Fink, 1988; Ko and Gaber, 1991) and some controversy existed about their transport properties (see below).

Both Trk1 and Trk2 potassium transporters were studied using *trk1Δ trk2Δ* mutants in patch clamp experiments. Deletion of Trk1 and Trk2 nearly abolished inward current at -200 mV, so the transporters were responsible for about -40 pA in whole cell configuration with 150 mM KCl + 10 CaCl₂ outside/175 mM KCl inside. Deletion of Trk1 alone reduced the inward current by 20 pA from -40 pA to -20 pA, while deletion of Trk2 abolished the current (Bertl et al., 2003). However, in support of earlier electrophysiological experiments (Bihler et al., 1999) the current was initially attributed to H⁺, not to K⁺.

Simple estimates show that 40 pA will be then equivalent to:

$$40 \text{ pA}/200 \text{ mV}, \approx 40 \cdot 10^{-12} \cdot 6 \cdot 10^{18} = 2.4 \cdot 10^8 \text{ protons}/(\text{second} \cdot 200 \text{ mV}) \quad (11)$$

It is 10⁵ times above the estimated number of 6,000 protons per yeast cell at pH 7 and will need robust and fast buffering system to keep pH homeostasis. Complex dynamics for proton transport from the liquid layers adjacent to membrane (including diffusion, kinetics of transport etc.) will also be required, since at pH 7.5 (Trk1/Trk2 currents measured in Bertl et al., 2003) the 1000 times larger volume (100 nL, 10 diameters of the yeast cell) around the cell would include

$$\approx 6 \cdot 10^{23} \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot 3 \cdot 10^{-8} = 18 \cdot 10^8 \text{ protons}, \quad (12)$$

just 7-8 times above the measured current per a second. Fast transport of protons would need high speed diffusion rates and better understanding of the processes from the point of physical chemistry/biophysics of transport.

Further patch clamp study with yeast protoplasts revealed pH-dependent chloride conductance for both Trk1 and Trk2 rather than H⁺ fluxes via the transporters (Kuroda et al., 2004; Rivetta, Slayman and Kuroda, 2005; Rivetta, Kuroda and Slayman, 2011). The inward ion current in the experiments (Kuroda et al., 2004) did not depend on cation, but on chloride (or the other anion) concentrations in buffers for electrophysiological experiments. Small, below 5 pA ion currents were also assumed to be associated with K⁺-currents, however, the current would correspond to:

$$5 \text{ pA}/200 \text{ mV}, \approx 5 \cdot 10^{-12} \cdot 6 \cdot 10^{18} = 3 \cdot 10^7 \text{ ions}/(\text{second} \cdot 200 \text{ mV}) \quad (13)$$

and 1) need 10,000 Trk1 and Trk2 transporters per a cell while they were not found in protein expression essays so far (e.g. Ghaemmaghami et al., 2003);

2) more experiments are needed since small anion currents can not be completely excluded when measuring the small whole cell currents (within the range of few pA).

Chloride conductance by Trk1-type transporters was shown for another yeast species, *Candida albicans*, where it was strongly inhibited by chloride currents blocker DIDS (4,4'-diisothiocyanatostilbene-2,2'-disulfonic acid) (Baev et al., 2004). The question remains open whether Trk1 and Trk2 alone make up proteins with potassium-selective pore or they are acting in cooperation with the other proteins forming potassium uptake pathways (Ariño, Ramos and Sychrová, 2010). Crystal structure of similar type transporter TrkH from *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* demonstrated selectivity filter for K⁺ and Rb⁺ over Na⁺ and Li⁺ (Cao et al., 2011).

Heterologous expression systems may also be useful for resolving the puzzle. It's possible that the chloride conductance of Trk1 and Trk2 is masking the tiny potassium conductance which therefore can not be evidently measured in yeast cells electrophysiologically in whole cell configuration.

Yeast mutants with deleted Trk1 and Trk2 transporters had hyperpolarized membrane potential assayed by fluorescent dyes 3,3'-dihexyloxycarbocyanin (Madrid et al., 1998) and 3,3'-dipropylthiacarbocyanide iodide and more alkaline intracellular pH (by about 0.3 units) under high (50 mM) and low (15 μM) potassium in the liquid growth medium (Navarrete et al., 2010). Proton efflux from the *trk1Δ trk2Δ* mutants was higher under 50 mM potassium conditions (Navarrete et al., 2010). It is interesting to mention that the effect of

hyperpolarisation was linked with K^+ and Na^+ , but not with Cl^- ions (according to results of their substitution by MES) (Madrid et al., 1998).

A simple sketch of participating elements, measured parameters and interactions between them is given at Figure 3.




Figure 3. Simplified scheme of several feedbacks for ion transport regulation in yeast cell.

Cell wall and lipid rafts.

More complexity for ion transport is added by the presence of a cell wall and non-homogeneous structure of cell plasma membrane.

The yeast cell wall consists mainly of polysaccharides (reviewed in Cabib et al., 2001), has a thickness of about $0.1 \mu m$ (90-250 nm according to different sources, 9-25-fold thickness of plasma membrane) (Bowen, Sabuni and Ventham, 1992; Smith et al., 2000; Stenson, 2009; Dupres, Dufrene, Heinisch, 2010) and keeps cell volume stable under sudden fluctuations of ambient medium. Under usual low osmotic pressure of medium and high osmotic pressure of

cell solution the cell wall is stretched and balances the turgor pressure (positive hydrostatic pressure of several hundred kPa inside cell to equilibrate chemical potentials of water caused by the difference of osmotic pressures).

The cell wall consists of glucans, mannose polysaccharides, a few proteins and several per cents of chitin (Lipke and Ovalle, 1998; Cabib et al., 2001). The surface electrical charge of the cell is determined by the polymers of the cell wall (chitin has charged amino groups, proteins have several charged chemical groups), it changes depending on pH and ion composition of ambient medium. Titration curves of surface charges of yeast cell wall indicated wave around pH 7.1, value of pK_{a2} for phosphate (Bowen, Sabuni and Ventham, 1992). Much attention was given then to phosphomannans of the cell wall; they contain phosphate groups (Jayatissa and Rose, 1976).

Presumably proton pump Pma1 and ion transporters may have an effect on the charge distribution within the cell wall and so ion transport is also influenced by the adjacent charged cell wall. The surface charge of a yeast cell can be measured by electrophoresis. For example, a suspension of yeast cells in distilled water was used for the experiments and voltages 5-20 V of alternating current with frequencies in the range 0.03-5 Hz were applied. Cell of 5.7 μm in diameter was charged positively by about 10,000 elementary charges (personal communication of Ioan-Costin Stan in 2012: <http://upcommons.upc.edu/pfc/bitstream/2099.1/10187/2/Master%20Thesis%20-%20Ioan.doc>), means about 100 positive elementary charges/ μm^2 . Earlier results in buffered solutions, however, estimated much higher surface charge (about 100 times larger sometimes) and demonstrated that electrophoretic mobility strongly depended on pH (negative charge at above pH 4), age of culture and phosphate in the growth medium (Eddy and Rudin, 1958). Isolated cell walls in the experiments behaved similarly to yeast cells (Eddy and Rudin, 1958). Similar to the latter electrophoretic mobility was measured in the other experiments in buffered solutions; it dropped several times upon treatment with 60% hydrofluoric acid (HF) removing phosphate groups (Jayatissa and Rose, 1976).

The surface charge is expressed in the electric potential between the cell surface and the surrounding liquid medium. Zeta potential was measured for yeast cells, values varied between 0 mV and -40 mV being more negative in distilled water and at higher pH values (range 3 to 8 was studied); usually zeta potential was not below -10 mV for cells in culture medium (Thonart et al., 1982; Bowen, Sabuni and Ventham, 1992; Tálos et al., 2012).

Apart from slightly changing the measured membrane potential, the cell walls have ion exchange properties, which can influence/buffer ion concentrations in the vicinity of plasma membrane and make local gradients of ions. More is known for plants, where for example four types of ionogenic groups were found in cell walls isolated from lupine roots, maximal cation-exchange capacity exceeded one mmole per gram of dry weight of cell walls (at pH over 10.8) and anion-exchange capacity was about 20 times lower (at pH below 2.8) (Meychik, Yermakov, 2001c).

Assuming similar range of cation-exchange capacity for yeast and then taking into account that cell wall makes up 15 to 30% of the dry weight of the cell and 25 to 50% of the volume based on calculations from electron micrographs (cited according to Lipke and Ovalle, 1998), it's simple to assess:

about 1 mM per gram of dry weight is 1 M per kg of dry weight and about 200 mM per kg of fresh weight (1 to 5 is a reasonable fresh to dry ratio for yeast cell walls), similar to potassium concentration in yeast cell. Taking the volume of yeast cell wall about 20 fL (20% of 100 fL), presumed maximal amount of cations bound by cell wall can be:

$$20 \text{ fL} * 200 \text{ mM} = 4 \text{ fmole.} \quad (14)$$

The amount may be released depending on pH of medium within the cell wall (so proton pump Pma1 activity may be involved) and can buffer ion transport fluxes.

It's worth to mention that again in plants (roots of lupine, wheat and pea) cell walls are shrinking at higher ion concentrations and at lower pH values (for example, dry cell walls of lupin roots bound up to 10 times more water at pH 9 compared to pH 4 at 0.3 mM ionic strength and the same amount at 1 M ionic strength) (Meychik, Yermakov, 2001a, b). Moreover, cation-exchange capacity of plant roots (spinach) increased (by about 30%) under growth at high salt conditions (250 mM NaCl) (Meychik, Yermakov, 2006). High cation-exchange capacity of cell walls of plant roots was proved in experiments with small organic cation methylene blue, it resulted in 100–700-fold higher concentration of methylene blue in cell walls than in ambient solution (Meychik, Honarmand and Yermakov, 2007). Moreover, diffusion coefficients of methylene blue into cell walls differed between species (10 times higher in mungbean compared to wheat) and positively correlated with the number of carboxyl groups in cell wall structure and swelling of cell walls (amount of water absorbed by dried cell walls) (Meychik, Honarmand and Yermakov, 2007).

Deeper knowledge of yeast cell walls and their variation between yeast strains and under different growth conditions may have an effect on better understanding of high affinity cation (especially potassium) transport in yeast cells. Cell-wall mutants of yeast (eg described in

Soltanian et al., 2007) could be useful in the study. Yeast cell wall could be inhomogeneous (Rösch et al., 2005) and potentially may have electrically rectifying properties. Assuming yeast cell wall as charged porous medium one may consider essential influence on ion fluxes via plasma membrane (Lemaire et al., 2010); the effects have to be studied in more detail experimentally.

The approach of considering together cell wall and cell plasma membrane may have implications to high affinity potassium transport in plants also, where inward rectifying potassium channels are important for the pathway of transport. For example, in *Arabidopsis thaliana* potassium channel AKT1 is the pathway for uptake of potassium from medium with concentrations as low as 10 μM while root cells had recorded membrane potentials below -200 mV, sufficient for ensuring the ion transport electrochemically (Hirsch et al., 1998). Recent interesting observations showed essential step of iron binding to cell wall in several species of algae (though not in *S. cerevisiae*) before it is taken up (Sutak et al., 2012).

Mechanical properties of yeast cells and turgor pressure in the cells were measured by compression (Smith et al., 2000; Stenton, 2009; Stenton et al., 2011) and gave results around 100-180 MPa for mean Young elastic modulus. Turgor pressure in fission yeast was estimated around 8 bars (Minc, Boudaoud and Chang, 2009). Elastic modulus of yeast cells looks higher at a first glance than usually measured for plant cells and their cell walls. For example, average volumetric elastic modulus of barley leaf cells was within 2-10 MPa and below 25 MPa, while turgor pressure was around 4-8 bars (e.g. Volkov et al., 2007). However, methods of measurement are different for Young elastic modulus and for volumetric elastic modulus though both are expressed in units of pressure. Measurements of mechanical properties for oak leaf cells demonstrated strong correlation between the two, value of volumetric elastic modulus around 10 MPa corresponded to 100-200 MPa of Young elastic modulus (Saito et al., 2006). More detailed results concerning turgor pressure and elastic modulus of different plant cells (where water relations and mechanical properties of cell walls are studied better so far) could be found in reviews and numerous publications (e.g. Hüskens, Steudle and Zimmermann, 1978; Steudle, 1993; Fricke and Peters, 2002).

Fast changes in turgor pressure of yeast cells can activate mechanosensitive ion channels and induce ion flows via them, changing ion concentrations in different cell compartments. So far the only studied yeast mechanosensitive ion channel corresponds to large (320 pS in 150 KCl

in bath and 180 KCl in pipette solution) yeast vacuolar conductance resembling TRP-channels (Palmer et al., 2001; Zhou et al., 2003) with yet unknown functions (role of the large currents under small changes in pressure is difficult to understand).

Lipid rafts are found in yeast plasma membrane and the distribution of ion channels and transporters is not even within the membrane. Nha1 transporter was found to be associated with lipid rafts and required sphingolipid for stable localization to the plasma membrane (Mitsui et al, 2009). Proton pump Pma1 was located to network structures while proton transporters were in 300 nm patches, which changed upon membrane depolarisation (Grossman et al., 2007). More complex patchy pattern of plasma membrane was discovered in yeast cells using total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy and following the location of 46 membrane proteins tagged by green fluorescent protein (GFP) (Spira et al., 2012). Another approach was to use atomic force microscope (AFM) and His-tagged transmembrane protein Wsc1; scanning the cell surface with an AFM tip bearing Ni²⁺-nitriloacetate revealed clusters with diameter around 200 nm (Heinisch et al, 2010). Membrane protein Wsc1 was located within the clusters; stress conditions (deionised water or heat shock) increased the number of clusters and their size (Heinisch et al, 2010).

Lipid rafts with patchy localisation of transporters are interacting with membrane skeleton, regulatory proteins and cell cytoskeleton and make up local temporary ion gradients at the scale of several nanometers. The local non-equilibrium ion states depend on activity of transport systems and diffusion coefficients, it finally creates dynamic and live structure with fast fluctuations of ion currents and their complex regulation (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Potential effects of cell wall and uneven distribution of ion channels and transporters in membrane on ion transport. Lipid rafts are enriched with transporters and shown with black colour.

Conclusions.

- 1) The yeast plasma membrane is different from the plasma membrane of excitable cells by lacking abundant cation channels with high conductance at negative voltages.
- 2) The membrane potential of yeast cells can be easily controlled by plasma membrane ATPase Pma1, potentially it can change the membrane potential of the small cells by several hundred mV within a few seconds. Transporters are also involved in membrane potential regulation.
- 3) Non-selective cation current and outward rectifying potassium selective channels may serve for safety valves against sharp changes in membrane potential.

- 4) Small volume of yeast cells, their relatively large surface/volume ratio and high transport capacities make proton pump, not ion channels and ion transporters, the main element for ion transport.
- 5) Regulation of proton pump and ion transporters is vital for ion homeostasis in yeast cells.
- 6) Basic principles of ion transport in yeast cells may be applicable for small cells and organelles.
- 7) Fast processes, within scale of seconds, of ion transport at the background of small cells can essentially change ion concentrations in the cells. Long-term scale of minutes and hours needs another mechanisms and sensors for regulation and homeostasis.
- 8) High cation-exchange capacity of cells walls, location of ion channels and transporters within lipid rafts and changes in turgor pressure may essentially influence ion transport fluxes and make the situation much more complex and unpredictable at smaller spatial scales.

Author expresses sincere thanks to his colleagues Gareth Williams, Christopher Palmer and Leonid Krivitsky for careful reading and comments on the manuscript.

Literature

Albert A., Yenush L., Gil-Mascarell M.R., Rodriguez P.L., Patel S., Martínez-Ripoll M., Blundell T.L., Serrano R. X-ray structure of yeast Hal2p, a major target of lithium and sodium toxicity, and identification of framework interactions determining cation sensitivity. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2000. 295(4):927-938.

Ambesi A., Miranda M., Petrov V.V., Slayman C.W. Biogenesis and function of the yeast plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase. 2000. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 203: 155–160.

Albrecht-Buehler G. In defense of "nonmolecular" cell biology. *International Review of Cytology*. 1990. 120:191-241.

Ariño J., Ramos J., Sychrová H. Alkali metal cation transport and homeostasis in yeasts. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*. 2010. 74:95-120.

Auer M., Scarborough G.A., Kühlbrandt W. Three-dimensional map of the plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase in the open conformation. *Nature*. 1998. 392(6678):840-843.

Babu M., Vlasblom J., Pu S., Guo X., Graham C., Bean B.D., Burston H.E., Vizeacoumar F.J., Snider J., Phanse S., Fong V., Tam Y.Y., Davey M., Hnatshak O., Bajaj N., Chandran S., Punna T., Christopolous C., Wong V., Yu A., Zhong G., Li J., Stagljar I., Conibear E., Wodak S.J., Emili A., Greenblatt J.F. Interaction landscape of membrane-protein complexes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nature*. 2012. 489(7417):585-589. doi: 10.1038/nature11354

Baev D., Rivetta A., Vylkova S., Sun J.N., Zeng G.F., Slayman C.L., Edgerton M. The TRK1 potassium transporter is the critical effector for killing of *Candida albicans* by the cationic protein, Histatin 5. *J Biol Chem*. 2004. 279(53):55060-55072.

Bañuelos M.A., Sychrová H., Bleykasten-Grosshans C., Souciet J.L., Potier S. The Nha1 antiporter of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mediates sodium and potassium efflux. *Microbiology*. 1998. 144 (Pt 10):2749-2758.

Bertl A., Bihler H., Reid J.D., Kettner C., Slayman C.L. Physiological Characterization of the Yeast Plasma Membrane Outward Rectifying K⁺ Channel, DUK1 (TOK1), *In Situ* J. *Membrane Biol*. 1998. 162:67-80.

Bertl A., Slayman C.L. Complex modulation of cation channels in the tonoplast and plasma membrane of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: single-channel studies. *J Exp Biol.* 1992. 172:271-287.

Bertl A., Slayman C.L., Gradmann D. Gating and conductance in an outward-rectifying K⁺ channel from the plasma membrane of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J Membr Biol.* 1993. 132(3):183-199.

Bertl A., Ramos J., Ludwig J., Lichtenberg-Fraté H., Reid J., Bihler H., Calero F., Martínez P., Ljungdahl P.O. Characterization of potassium transport in wild-type and isogenic yeast strains carrying all combinations of *trk1*, *trk2* and *tok1* null mutations. *Mol Microbiol.* 2003. 47(3):767-780.

Bihler H., Gaber R.F., Slayman C.L., Bertl A. The presumed potassium carrier Trk2p in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* determines an H⁺-dependent, K⁺-independent current. *FEBS Lett.* 1999. 447(1):115-120.

Bihler H., Slayman C.L., Bertl A. NSC1: a novel high-current inward rectifier for cations in the plasma membrane of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEBS Lett.* 1998. 432(1-2):59-64.

Bihler H., Slayman C.L., Bertl A. Low-affinity potassium uptake by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is mediated by NSC1, a calcium-blocked non-specific cation channel. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2002. 1558(2):109-118.

Blatt M.R., Slayman C.L. KCl leakage from microelectrodes and its impact on the membrane parameters of a nonexcitable cell. *J. Membrane Biol.* 1983. 72(3):223-34.

Blatt M.R., Slayman C.L. Role of "active" potassium transport in the regulation of cytoplasmic pH by nonanimal cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1987. 84(9): 2737-2741.

Bond D.R., Russel J.B. Relationship between Intracellular Phosphate, Proton Motive Force, and Rate of Nongrowth Energy Dissipation (Energy Spilling) in *Streptococcus bovis* JB1. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 1998. 64(3): 976–981.

Borst-Pauwels G.W. Ion transport in yeast. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1981. 650(2-3):88-127.

Bowen W.R, Sabuni H.A., Ventham T.J. Studies of the cell-wall properties of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* during fermentation. *Biotechnol Bioeng.* 1992. 40(11):1309-1318.

Cabib E., Roh D.H., Schmidt M., Crotti L.B., Varma A. The yeast cell wall and septum as paradigms of cell growth and morphogenesis. *J Biol Chem.* 2001. 276(23):19679-19682.

Cao Y., Jin X., Huang H., Derebe M.G., Levin E.J., Kabaleeswaran V., Pan Y., Punta M., Love J., Weng J., Quick M., Ye S., Kloss B., Bruni R., Martinez-Hackert E., Hendrickson W.A., Rost B., Javitch J.A., Rajashankar K.R., Jiang Y., Zhou M. Crystal structure of a potassium ion transporter, TrkH. *Nature.* 2011. 471(7338):336-340.

Chakrapani S., Auerbach A. A speed limit for conformational change of an allosteric membrane protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2005. 102(1):87-92.

Cui J., Kaandorp J.A. Mathematical modeling of calcium homeostasis in yeast cells. *Cell Calcium.* 2006. 39(4):337-48.

Demidchik V., Maathuis F.J. Physiological roles of nonselective cation channels in plants: from salt stress to signalling and development. *New Phytol.* 2007. 175(3):387-404.

Demidchik V., Macpherson N., Davies J.M. Potassium transport at the plasma membrane of the food spoilage yeast *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*. *Yeast.* 2005. 22(1):21-29.

Dupres V., Dufrêne Y.F., Heinisch J.J. Measuring cell wall thickness in living yeast cells using single molecular rulers. *ACS Nano.* 2010. 4(9):5498-5504.

Eddy A.A., Rudin A.D. The Structure of the Yeast Cell Wall. I. Identification of Charged Groups at the Surface. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences.* 1958. 148(932):419-432.

Eraso P., Cid A., Serrano R. Tight control of the amount of yeast plasma membrane ATPase during changes in growth conditions and gene dosage. *FEBS Lett.* 1987. 224(1):193-197.

Fairman C., Zhou X., Kung C. Potassium uptake through the TOK1 K⁺ channel in the budding yeast. *J Membr Biol.* 1999. 168(2):149-57.

Felle H., Porter J.S., Slayman C.L., Kaback H.R. Quantitative measurements of membrane potential in *Escherichia coli*. *Biochemistry.* 1980. 19(15):3585-3590.

Fricke W., Peters W.S. The biophysics of leaf growth in salt-stressed barley. A study at the cell level. *Plant Physiol.* 2002. 129(1):374-388.

Gómez-Lagunas F., Peña A., Liévano A., Darszon A. Incorporation of ionic channels from yeast plasma membranes into black lipid membranes. *Biophys J.* 1989. 56(1):115-119.

Grossmann G., Opekarova M., Malinsky J., Weig-Meckl I., Tanner W. Membrane potential governs lateral segregation of plasma membrane proteins and lipids in yeast. *EMBO J.* 2007. 26(1):1-8.

Jay A.W. Geometry of the human erythrocyte. I. Effect of albumin on cell geometry. *Biophys J.* 1975. 15(3): 205–222.

Jennings M.L., Cui J. Chloride homeostasis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: high affinity influx, V-ATPase-dependent sequestration, and identification of a candidate Cl⁻ sensor. *J Gen Physiol.* 2008. 131(4):379-391.

Gaber R.F., Styles C.A., Fink G.R. *TRK1* encodes a plasma membrane protein required for high-affinity potassium transport in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Cell Biol.* 1988. 8(7):2848-2859.

García M.J., Ríos G., Ali R., Bellés J.M., Serrano R. Comparative physiology of salt tolerance in *Candida tropicalis* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Microbiology.* 1997. 143 (Pt 4):1125-1131.

Ghaemmaghami S., Huh W.K., Bower K., Howson R.W., Belle A., Dephoure N., O'Shea E.K., Weissman J.S. Global analysis of protein expression in yeast. *Nature.* 2003. 425(6959):737-741.

Gray A.T., Winegar B.D., Leonoudakis D.J., Forsayeth J.R., Yost C.S. TOK1 is a volatile anesthetic stimulated K⁺ channel. *Anesthesiology.* 1998. 88(4):1076-1084.

Gustin M.C., Martinac B., Saimi Y., Culbertson M.R., Kung C. Ion channels in yeast. *Science*. 1986. 233(4769):1195-1197.

Haro R., Garciadeblas B., Rodríguez-Navarro A. A novel P-type ATPase from yeast involved in sodium transport. *FEBS Lett*. 1991. 291(2):189-191.

Heinisch J.J., Dupres V., Wilk S., Jendretzki A., Dufrêne Y.F. Single-molecule atomic force microscopy reveals clustering of the yeast plasma-membrane sensor Wsc1. *PLoS One*. 2010. 5(6):e11104.

Hille, B. 2001. *Ionic Channels of Excitable Membranes*. 3rd Edition. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA. 814 p.

Hirsch R.E., Lewis B.D., Spalding E.P., Sussman M.R. A role for the AKT1 potassium channel in plant nutrition. *Science*. 1998. 280(5365):918-921.

Hodgkin A.L., Huxley A.F. A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve. *J Physiol*. 1952. 117(4):500–544.

Höfer M., Novacky A. Measurement of plasma membrane potentials of yeast cells with glass microelectrodes. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes* 1986. 862 (2): 372–378.

Hüsken D., Steudle E., Zimmermann U. Pressure probe technique for measuring water relations of cells in higher plants. *Plant Physiol*. 1978. 61:158-163.

Jayatissa P.M., Rose A.H. Role of wall phosphomannan in flocculation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Gen Microbiol. 1976. 96(1):165-174.

Jay A.W.L., Burton A.C. Direct Measurement of Potential Difference across the Human Red Blood Cell Membrane. Biophys J. 1969. 9(2): 115–121.

Johansson I., Blatt M.R. Interactive domains between pore loops of the yeast K⁺ channel TOK1 associate with extracellular K⁺ sensitivity. Biochem J. 2006. 393(Pt 3):645-655.

Kahm M. A Mathematical Model of the Potassium Homeostasis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Dissertation zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades (Dr. rer. nat.) der Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät der Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn. 2011. 94 p. <http://hss.ulb.uni-bonn.de/2012/2903/2903.pdf>

Kaji D.M. Effect of membrane potential on K-Cl transport in human erythrocytes. Am J Physiol. 1993. 264(2 Pt 1):C376-382.

Ketchum K.A., Joiner W.J., Sellers A.J., Kaczmarek L.K., Goldstein S.A. A new family of outwardly rectifying potassium channel proteins with two pore domains in tandem. Nature. 1995. 376(6542):690-695.

Kinclova-Zimmermannova O., Gaskova D., Sychrova H. The Na⁺,K⁺/H⁺ -antiporter Nha1 influences the plasma membrane potential of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. FEMS Yeast Res. 2006. 6(5):792-800.

Ko C.H., Gaber R.F. *TRK1* and *TRK2* encode structurally related K⁺ transporters in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol Cell Biol. 1991. 11(8):4266-4273.

Kolacna L., Zimmermannova O., Hasenbrink G., Schwarzer S., Ludwig J., Lichtenberg-Fraté H., Sychrova H. New phenotypes of functional expression of the mKir2.1 channel in potassium efflux-deficient *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. *Yeast*. 2005. 22(16):1315-1323.

Kuroda T., Bihler H., Bashi E., Slayman C.L., Rivetta A. Chloride channel function in the yeast TRK-potassium transporters. *J Membr Biol*. 2004. 198(3):177-192.

Liebeskind B.J., Hillis D.M., Zakon H.H. Evolution of sodium channels predates the origin of nervous systems in animals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2011. 108(22):9154-9159.

Lipke P.N., Ovalle R. Cell wall architecture in yeast: new structure and new challenges. *J Bacteriol*. 1998. 180(15):3735-3740.

Lecar H., Ehrenstein G., Binstock L., Taylor R.E. Removal of Potassium Negative Resistance in Perfused Squid Giant Axons. *J Gen Physiol*. 1967. 50(6): 1499–1515.

Lemaire T., Kaiser J., Naili S., Sansalone V. Modelling of the transport in electrically charged porous media including ionic exchanges. *Mechanics Research Communications*. 2010. 37 (5): 495-499.

Lesage F., Guillemare E., Fink M., Duprat F., Lazdunski M., Romey G., Barhanin J. A pH-sensitive yeast outward rectifier K⁺ channel with two pore domains and novel gating properties. *J Biol Chem*. 1996. 271(8):4183-4187.

Levitan I.B. and Kaczmarek L.K. *The Neuron Cell and Molecular Biology*. Third Edition

Oxford University Press. 2001. ISBN13: 9780195145236, 632 p.

Lichtenberg H.C., H. Giebeler H. and Höfer M. Measurements of electrical potential differences across yeast plasma membranes with microelectrodes are consistent with values from steady-state distribution of tetraphenylphosphonium in *Pichia humberboldtii*. Journal of Membrane Biology. 1988. 103 (3):255-261.

Loukin S.H., Vaillant B., Zhou X.L., Spalding E.P., Kung C., Saimi Y. Random mutagenesis reveals a region important for gating of the yeast K⁺ channel Ykc1. EMBO J. 1997. 16(16):4817-4825.

Loukin S.H., Saimi Y. K⁺-dependent composite gating of the yeast K⁺ channel, Tok1. Biophys J. 1999. 77(6):3060-3070.

Madrid R., Gómez M.J., Ramos J., Rodríguez-Navarro A. Ectopic potassium uptake in *trk1 trk2* mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* correlates with a highly hyperpolarized membrane potential. J Biol Chem. 1998. 273(24):14838-14844.

Maresova L., Urbankova E., Gaskova D., Sychrova H. Measurements of plasma membrane potential changes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells reveal the importance of the Tok1 channel in membrane potential maintenance. FEMS Yeast Res. 2006. 6(7):1039-1046.

Meychik N.R., Yermakov I.P. Swelling of root cell walls as an indicator of their functional state. Biochemistry (Mosc). 2001a. 66(2):178-187.

Meychik N.R., Yermakov I.P. Ion exchange properties of plant root cell walls. Plant and Soil. 2001b. 234 (2):181-193.

Meychik N.R., Yermakov I.P. Ion-exchange properties of cell walls isolated from lupine roots. Biochemistry (Mosc). 2001c. 66(5):556-563.

Meychik N.R., Nikolaeva Y.I., Yermakov I.P. Ion-exchange properties of cell walls of *Spinacia oleracea* L. roots under different environmental salt conditions. *Biochemistry (Mosc)*. 2006. 71(7):781-789.

Meychik N.R., Honarmand S.J., Yermakov I.P. Organic cation diffusion in root cell walls of different plants. *Biologija*. 2007. 18 (1):60–63.

Minc N., Boudaoud A. and Chang F. Mechanical forces of fission yeast growth. *Curr. Biol*. 2009. 19:1096-1101.

Mitsui K., Hatakeyama K., Matsushita M., Kanazawa H. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter Nha1p associates with lipid rafts and requires sphingolipid for stable localization to the plasma membrane. *J Biochem*. 2009. 145(6):709-720.

Mulet J.M., Serrano R. Simultaneous determination of potassium and rubidium content in yeast. *Yeast* 2002. 19: 1295–1298.

Murguía J.R., Bellés J.M., Serrano R. The yeast *HAL2* nucleotidase is an *in vivo* target of salt toxicity. *J Biol Chem*. 1996. 271(46):29029-29033.

Navarrete C., Petrežsélyová S., Barreto L., Martínez J.L., Zahradka J., Arino J., Sychrová., Ramos J.: Lack of main K⁺ uptake systems in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells affects yeast cell physiological parameters both in potassium sufficient and limiting conditions. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2010. 10:508-517.

Ozalp V.C., Pedersen T.R., Nielsen L.J., Olsen L.F. Time-resolved measurements of intracellular ATP in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* using a new type of nanobiosensor. *J. Biol. Chem*. 2010. 285(48):37579-37588.

Palmer C., Zhou X-L., Lin J., Loukin S., Kung C. and Saimi Y. (2001) A TRP homolog in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* forms an intracellular Ca^{2+} permeable channel in the yeast vacuolar membrane. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001. 98:7801-7805.

Paulsen I.T., Sliwinski M.K., Nelissen B., Goffeau A., Saier M.H. Jr. Unified inventory of established and putative transporters encoded within the complete genome of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. FEBS Lett. 1998. 430(1-2):116-125.

Peña A, Sánchez N.S., Calahorra M. Estimation of the electric plasma membrane potential difference in yeast with fluorescent dyes: comparative study of methods. J Bioenerg Biomembr. 2010. 42(5):419-432.

Perlin D.S., Harris S.L., Seto-Young D., Haber J.E. Defective H^+ -ATPase of hygromycin B-resistant *pma1* mutants from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Biol Chem. 1989. 264(36):21857-21864.

Permyakov S., Suzina N., Valiakhmetov A. Activation of H^+ -ATPase of the Plasma Membrane of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by Glucose: The Role of Sphingolipid and Lateral Enzyme Mobility. PLoS ONE 2012. 7(2): e30966. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030966

Posas F., Chambers J.R., Heyman J.A., Hoeffler J.P., de Nadal E., Ariño J. The transcriptional response of yeast to saline stress. J Biol Chem. 2000. 275(23):17249-17255.

Ramirez J.A., Vacata V., McCusker J.H., Haber J.E., Mortimer R.K., Owen W.G., Lecar H. ATP-sensitive K^+ channels in a plasma membrane H^+ -ATPase mutant of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1989. 86(20):7866-7870.

Ren D., Navarro B., Xu H, Yue L., Shi Q., Clapham D.E. A prokaryotic voltage-gated sodium channel. Science. 2001. 294(5550):2372-2375.

Rivetta A., Slayman C., Kuroda T. Quantitative modeling of chloride conductance in yeast TRK potassium transporters. Biophys J. 2005. 89(4):2412-2426.

Rivetta A., Kuroda T., Slayman C. Anion currents in yeast K^+ transporters (TRK) characterize a structural homologue of ligand-gated ion channels. Pflugers Arch. 2011. 462(2):315-330.

Roberts S.K., Fischer M., Dixon G.K, Sanders D. Divalent Cation Block of Inward Currents and Low-Affinity K^+ Uptake in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* J Bacteriol. 1999. 181(1):291–297.

Rösch P., Harz M., Schmitt M., Popp J. Raman spectroscopic identification of single yeast cells. Journal of Raman Spectroscopy. 2005. 36 (5): 377–379.

Saito T., Soga K., Hoson T. & Terashima I. The bulk elastic modulus and the reversible properties of cell walls in developing *Quercus* leaves. Plant & Cell Physiology. 2006. 47(6):715-725.

Satoh H., Delbridge L.M., Blatter L.A., Bers D.M. Surface:volume relationship in cardiac myocytes studied with confocal microscopy and membrane capacitance measurements: species-dependence and developmental effects. *Biophys J.* 1996. 70(3):1494–1504.

Serrano R. Structure and function of proton translocating ATPase in plasma membranes of plants and fungi. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta.* 1988. 947(1):1-28.

Skou J.C. Nobel Lecture. The identification of the sodium pump. *Biosci Rep.* 1998. 18(4):155-169.

Slayman C.L., Slayman C.W. Measurement of membrane potentials in *Neurospora*. *Science.* 1962. 136(3519):876-877.

Smith A.E., Zhang Z., Thomas C.R., Kenneth E. Moxham K.E., Middelberg A.P.J. The mechanical properties of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000. 97(18):9871–9874.

Soltanian S., Dhont J., Sorgeloos P., Bossier P. Influence of different yeast cell-wall mutants on performance and protection against pathogenic bacteria (*Vibrio campbellii*) in gnotobiotically-grown *Artemia*. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2007. 23(1):141-153.

Spira F., Mueller N.S., Beck G., von Olshausen P., Beig J., Wedlich-Söldner R. Patchwork organization of the yeast plasma membrane into numerous coexisting domains. *Nature Cell Biol.* 2012. 14(6):640-648.

Stenson J.D. Investigating the mechanical properties of yeast cells. Ph.D. thesis, University of Birmingham. 2009. 253 p. <http://etheses.bham.ac.uk/304/1/Stenson09PhD.pdf>

Stenson J.D., Hartley P., Wang C., Thomas C.R. Determining the Mechanical Properties of Yeast Cell Walls. *Biotechnol. Prog.* 2011. 27 (2): 505-512.

Steudle E. Pressure probe techniques: basic principles and application to studies of water and solute relations at the cell, tissue and organ level. In.: *Water deficits: plant responses from cell to community*. 1993. Eds.: J.A.C. Smith and H. Griffiths, Bios Scientific Publishers, Oxford, UK, pp. 5-36.

Sutak R., Botebol H., Blaiseau P.L., Léger T., Bouget F.Y., Camadro J.M., Lesuisse E. A comparative study of iron uptake mechanisms in marine micro-algae: iron binding at the cell surface is a critical step. *Plant Physiol.* 2012. 160 (4):2271-2284.

Taglicht D., Padan E., Schuldiner S. Overproduction and purification of a functional Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter coded by *nhaA* (*ant*) from *Escherichia coli*. *J Biol Chem.* 1991. 266(17):11289-11294.

Takami H., Horikoshi K. Reidentification of facultatively alkaliphilic *Bacillus* sp. C-125 to *Bacillus halodurans*. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 1999. 63 (5):943-945.

Tálos K., Pernyeszi T., Majdik C., Hegedúsova A., Páger C. Cadmium biosorption by baker's yeast in aqueous suspension. *J. Serb. Chem. Soc.* 2012. 77 (4):549–561.

Taylor A.R. A fast Na⁺/Ca²⁺-based action potential in a marine diatom. *PLoS One.* 2009. 4(3):e4966.

Thomas S.L., Bouyer G., Cueff A., Egée S., Glogowska E., Ollivaux C. Ion channels in human red blood cell membrane: actors or relics? *Blood Cells Mol Dis.* 2011. 46(4):261-265.

Thonart P., Custinne M., Paquot M. Zeta potential of yeast cells: Application in cell immobilization. *Enzyme Microb Technol.* 1982. 4:191–194.

Vacata V., Kotyk A., Sigler K. Membrane potentials in yeast cells measured by direct and indirect methods. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1981. 643(1):265-268.

Vallejo C.G., Serrano R. Physiology of mutants with reduced expression of plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase. *Yeast.* 1989. 5(4):307-319.

Van Belle D., André B. A genomic view of yeast membrane transporters. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* 2001. 13(4):389-398.

Volkov V., Hachez C., Moshelion M., Draye X., Chaumont F., Fricke W. Osmotic water permeability differs between growing and non-growing barley leaf tissues. *Journal of Experimental Botany.* 2007. 58:377-390.

Volkov V., Boscari A., Clement M., Miller A.J., Amtmann A., Fricke W. Electrophysiological characterization of pathways for K⁺ uptake into growing and non-growing leaf cells of barley. *Plant, Cell and Environment.* 2009. 32:1778 - 1790.

Wieland J., Nitsche A.M., Strayle J., Steiner H., Rudolph H.K. The PMR2 gene cluster encodes functionally distinct isoforms of a putative Na⁺ pump in the yeast plasma membrane. *EMBO J.* 1995. 14(16):3870-3882.

Zahradka J., Sychrova H. Plasma-membrane hyperpolarization diminishes the cation efflux via Nha1 antiporter and Ena ATPase under potassium-limiting Conditions. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 2012. 12:439–446.

Zhou X.L., Batiza A.F., Loukin S.H., Palmer C.P., Kung C., Saimi Y. The transient receptor potential channel on the yeast vacuole is mechanosensitive. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2003. 100:7105-7110.