

# NICHOLS ALGEBRAS WITH MANY CUBIC RELATIONS

I. HECKENBERGER, A. LOCHMANN, AND L. VENDRAMIN

ABSTRACT. Nichols algebras of group type with many cubic relations are classified under a technical assumption on the structure of Hurwitz orbits of the third power of the underlying indecomposable rack. All such Nichols algebras are finite-dimensional and their Hilbert series have a factorization into quantum integers. Also, all known finite-dimensional elementary Nichols algebras turn out to have many cubic relations. The technical assumption of our theorem can be removed if a conjecture in the theory of cellular automata can be proven.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Racks	4
3. On the cycle structure of racks	6
4. Quotients of Hurwitz orbits	8
5. Cellular automata over groups	12
6. Plagues on Hurwitz orbits	14
7. Proof of Theorem 6.2	15
8. Nichols algebras with many cubic relations	37
9. Computation of the 2-cocycles and the main theorem	40
References	42

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(V, c)$  be a braided vector space. A fundamental question in the theory of Hopf algebras is whether the Nichols algebra  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  (which heavily depends on  $c$ ) is finite-dimensional. If  $V$  is of diagonal type, then  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  is known to have a restricted PBW basis and the PBW generators are parametrized by Lyndon words. The multidegrees of these Lyndon words can be regarded as positive roots in a generalized root system, which has the symmetry of a Weyl groupoid. Moreover, the existence of the restricted PBW basis implies that the Hilbert series of  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  is rational.

If the braiding  $c$  is of group type, then the structure of  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  is much less understood. Moreover, only a few finite-dimensional examples are known

which are not of diagonal type. One common feature of them is the factorization of the Hilbert series of  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}(V)}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} (a_i)_t \prod_{i=1}^{k_2} (b_i)_{t^2}$$

for some  $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_{k_1}, b_1, \dots, b_{k_2} \geq 2$ , where  $(a)_{t^b} = 1 + t^b + t^{2b} + \dots + t^{(a-1)b}$  for all  $a, b \geq 1$ . We say that  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}(V)}(t)$  is *t-integral of depth two*. The *t-integrality* of all known Hilbert series of Nichols algebras of group type was the starting point of a classification program in [5] and [6]. It turned out that under additional technical restrictions the *t-integrality* of the Hilbert series is equivalent to an inequality on the dimensions of the homogeneous components of low degree of the Nichols algebra, and that a complete list can be given.

Let  $G$  be a group,  $\mathbb{k}$  be a field and  $V \in {}_{\mathbb{k}G}^G \mathcal{YD}$ . Then the Nichols algebra  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  is called of group type. We say that the Nichols algebra  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  is *elementary* if  $V$  is finite-dimensional, absolutely irreducible and if its support

$$\text{supp } V = \{x \in G \mid V_x \neq 0\}$$

generates the group  $G$ . A fundamental problem in the theory of Nichols algebras is the classification of finite-dimensional elementary Nichols algebras. In fact, often it is not important to know  $G$ . Let  $H$  be a group. We say that two Yetter-Drinfeld modules  $V \in {}_{\mathbb{k}G}^G \mathcal{YD}$ ,  $W \in {}_{\mathbb{k}H}^H \mathcal{YD}$  are *bg-equivalent*<sup>1</sup> if there exists a bijection  $\varphi : \text{supp } V \rightarrow \text{supp } W$  and a linear isomorphism  $\psi : V \rightarrow W$  such that

$$\varphi(gxg^{-1}) = \varphi(g)\varphi(x)\varphi(g)^{-1}, \quad \psi(gv) = \varphi(g)\psi(v)$$

for all  $g, x \in \text{supp } V$ ,  $v \in V$ . Two Nichols algebras of group type are called *bg-equivalent* if their degree one parts are bg-equivalent. Then it is more convenient to ask for all finite-dimensional elementary Nichols algebras up to bg-equivalence. The answer to this problem is unknown to a large extent. However, there are indications that the following conjecture is possibly true:

**Conjecture 1.1.**<sup>2</sup> *All finite-dimensional elementary Nichols algebras are t-integral of depth two. In particular, any such Nichols algebra is bg-equivalent to one of those listed in Table 9.1.*

In this paper we extend the results in [5] and [6]. More precisely, we reduce the classification problem of elementary Nichols algebras with *t-integral* Hilbert series of depth two to a problem for cellular automata on braid group orbits.

Let us discuss some details of our approach. Assume first that the Hilbert series of  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  is *t-integral* of depth two. In [6, Section 2.1] it was shown

<sup>1</sup> $g$  refers to the grading by the group and  $b$  refers to the braiding

<sup>2</sup>This conjecture was posed in the Oberwolfach mini-workshop “Nichols algebras and Weyl groupoids” in October 2012.

that then the inequality

$$\dim \ker (1 + c_{12} + c_{12}c_{23}) \geq \frac{1}{3} \dim V((\dim V)^2 - 1)$$

holds. Now, if this inequality holds for  $V$ , we say that  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  has many cubic relations. Our intention is to classify all Nichols algebras with many cubic relations and to prove that their Hilbert series are  $t$ -integral of depth two. If  $\text{supp } V$  is a braided rack, then this claim was proven in [6]. Here we attack the general problem.

Recall that the braid group  $\mathbb{B}_3$  can be presented by generators  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  and relation  $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 = \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2$ . The group  $\mathbb{B}_3$  acts on  $(\text{supp } V)^3$  by  $\sigma_1 \cdot (x, y, z) = (x \triangleright y, x, z)$  and  $\sigma_2 \cdot (x, y, z) = (x, y \triangleright z, y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in \text{supp } V$ , where  $\triangleright$  means conjugation. The orbits of the action of  $\mathbb{B}_3$  are called Hurwitz orbits. We assume that the quotients of the Hurwitz orbits of  $(\text{supp } V)^3$  by the action of the center of the braid group have only  $\sigma_1$ -cycles of length  $\leq 4$ . (The braidedness condition on racks means that the Hurwitz orbits of  $(\text{supp } V)^3$  themselves have only  $\sigma_1$ -cycles of length  $\leq 3$ .) This way we cover the braided case and the cases  $\text{supp } V = \text{Aff}(5, i)$  with  $i = 2, 3$ , for which finite-dimensional Nichols algebras are known to exist. On the other hand, we have to deal with infinitely many Hurwitz orbits in contrast to [6].

Looking at homogeneous components,  $\dim \ker (1 + c_{12} + c_{12}c_{23})$  can be estimated from above by a purely combinatorial way. Choose a subset  $Y$  of  $(\text{supp } V)^3$  and take an element  $\bar{\alpha} \in \bigoplus_{(x,y,z) \in Y} V_x \otimes V_y \otimes V_z$ . Let  $k(Y, \bar{\alpha})$  be the set of all  $\alpha \in \ker (1 + c_{12} + c_{12}c_{23})$  with projection  $\bar{\alpha}$  to its homogeneous parts with degree in  $Y$ . If  $x, y, z \in \text{supp } V$  and two of

$$\{(x, y, z), \sigma_2 \cdot (x, y, z), \sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdot (x, y, z)\}$$

are in  $Y$  then for any  $\alpha \in k(Y, \bar{\alpha})$  the summand with the third degree is uniquely determined. Thus  $k(Y, \bar{\alpha}) \subseteq k(Y', \bar{\alpha}')$ , where  $Y'$  is the union of  $Y$  and the third degree and  $\bar{\alpha}'$  is the extension of  $\bar{\alpha}$ . This procedure of enlarging  $Y$  can be regarded as a cellular automaton on  $(\text{supp } V)^3$ . If  $Y = (\text{supp } V)^3$  then  $k(Y, \bar{\alpha}) = \bar{\alpha}$  or  $k(Y, \bar{\alpha}) = \emptyset$ . Hence, if a given subset  $Y \subseteq (\text{supp } V)^3$  evolves to  $(\text{supp } V)^3$ , then the projection of  $\ker (1 + c_{12} + c_{12}c_{23})$  to the sum of homogeneous parts of degree  $(x, y, z) \in Y$  is injective. Thus an important question is the following: for a given Hurwitz orbit  $\mathcal{O}$ , provide (the size of) a smallest subset  $Y$  such that the cellular automaton evolves to  $\mathcal{O}$ . The size of such a  $Y$  yields surprisingly often a sharp upper bound for  $\dim \ker (1 + c_{12} + c_{12}c_{23})$ . We call the quotient  $|Y|/|\mathcal{O}|$  the *immunity* of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Our classification of finite-dimensional elementary Nichols algebras with many cubic relations has three steps. First we solve the evolution problem on the Hurwitz orbits in  $X^3$  for all indecomposable racks  $X$  such that the quotient by the center has only  $\sigma_1$ -cycles of length  $\leq 4$ . This is one of our main results. Then we conclude a small upper bound for the size of possible racks. Using additional information on the cycle structure of racks and the classification of indecomposable racks of size  $\leq 35$ , we finally obtain all racks satisfying the inequality on the immunity of  $X^3$ . For those racks

we then study the possible cocycles coming from Yetter-Drinfeld structures of the enveloping group  $G_X$  and find all elementary Nichols algebras with many cubic relations, under the standing assumption on the Hurwitz orbit structure. This finishes our classification.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the basic definitions concerning racks and we prove our first main result about the structure of indecomposable racks. In Section 3 we provide an obstruction on the cycle structure of indecomposable crossed sets. Section 4 is devoted to the theory of coverings of Hurwitz orbits. In Section 5 we define cellular automata on  $G$ -spaces for arbitrary groups  $G$  and study particular examples. In Section 7 we prove our second main result formulated in Section 6: an explicit upper bound for the immunity of a class of Hurwitz orbits in terms of local data. Finally, in Sections 8 and 9 we classify elementary Nichols algebras with many cubic relations under our standing assumption on Hurwitz orbits.

## 2. RACKS

We recall basic notions and facts about racks. For additional information we refer to [1]. A *rack* is a pair  $(X, \triangleright)$ , where  $X$  is a non-empty set and  $\triangleright : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is a map (considered as a binary operation on  $X$ ) such that

- (1) the map  $\varphi_i : X \rightarrow X$ , where  $x \mapsto i \triangleright x$ , is bijective for all  $i \in X$ , and
- (2)  $i \triangleright (j \triangleright k) = (i \triangleright j) \triangleright (i \triangleright k)$  for all  $i, j, k \in X$  (i.e.  $\triangleright$  is *self-distributive*).

A rack  $(X, \triangleright)$ , or shortly  $X$ , is a *quandle* if  $i \triangleright i = i$  for all  $i \in X$ . A *crossed set*  $X$  is a quandle such that  $x \triangleright y = y$  if and only if  $y \triangleright x = x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . A *subrack* of a rack  $X$  is a non-empty subset  $Y \subseteq X$  such that  $(Y, \triangleright)$  is also a rack. The *inner group* of a rack  $X$  is the group generated by the permutations  $\varphi_i$  of  $X$ , where  $i \in X$ . We write  $\text{Inn}(X)$  for the inner group of  $X$ . For any rack  $X$ , the *enveloping group of  $X$*  is the group  $G_X$  given by the generating set  $X$  and the relations  $xy = (x \triangleright y)x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . A rack  $X$  is called *injective* if the canonical map  $X \rightarrow G_X$  is injective.

We say that a rack  $X$  is *indecomposable* if the inner group  $\text{Inn}(X)$  acts transitively on  $X$ . Also,  $X$  is *decomposable* if it is not indecomposable. The *profile* of an indecomposable rack  $X$  is the cycle structure of the permutation  $\varphi_x$  for some  $x \in X$ . For example, a profile  $1^a 2^b 3^c$  means that for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\varphi_x$  is a product of  $b$  disjoint transpositions,  $c$  disjoint 3-cycles and  $|X| = a + b + c$ .

**Example 2.1.** A group  $G$  is a rack with  $x \triangleright y = xyx^{-1}$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . If a subset  $X \subseteq G$  is stable under conjugation by the elements of  $X$ , then it is a subrack of  $G$ . In particular, the conjugacy class  $g^G$  of any  $g \in G$  is a rack.

*Remark 2.2.* For a union  $X$  of conjugacy classes of a group  $G$ , the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $X$  is a quotient of the enveloping group of  $X$ . This implies that a rack is injective if and only if it is isomorphic to a union of conjugacy classes of a group.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $A$  be an abelian group, and  $X = A$ . For any  $g \in \text{Aut}(A)$  we have a rack structure on  $X$  given by

$$x \triangleright y = (1 - g)x + gy$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . This rack is called the affine rack associated to the pair  $(A, g)$  and will be denoted by  $\text{Aff}(A, g)$ . In particular, let  $p$  be a prime number,  $q$  a power of  $p$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . We write  $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{F}_q, \alpha)$ , or simply  $\text{Aff}(q, \alpha)$ , for the affine rack  $\text{Aff}(A, g)$ , where  $A = \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $g$  is the automorphism given by  $x \mapsto \alpha x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Example 2.4.** Let  $p$  be a prime number. The affine rack  $\text{Aff}(p, -1)$  is called dihedral rack and it will be denoted by  $\mathbb{D}_p$ .

Let  $X$  be a finite indecomposable injective rack and let  $x \in X$ . In [5, Section 2.3] integers  $k_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$  were defined by

$$k_n = |\{y \in X \mid \underbrace{x \triangleright (y \triangleright (x \triangleright (y \triangleright \cdots)))}_{n \text{ elements}} = y, \\ \underbrace{x \triangleright (y \triangleright (x \triangleright (y \triangleright \cdots)))}_{j \text{ elements}} \neq y \text{ for all } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}\}|.$$

Since  $X$  is indecomposable, the numbers  $k_n$  do not depend on  $x$ . Recall that for all  $x \in X$  the permutation  $\varphi_x$  has precisely  $1 + k_2$  fixed points. Furthermore,  $1 + k_2 + k_3 + \cdots = d$ . We frequently use the notation

$$k'_m = k_{m+1} + k_{m+2} + k_{m+3} + \cdots$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The number of points moved by  $\varphi_x$  is then  $k'_2 = k_3 + k'_3$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $X$  be a crossed set and let  $u \in X$ . Then the subset  $S = \{x \in X \mid u \triangleright x = x, x \neq u\}$  is a subquandle of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  with  $u \triangleright x = x, u \triangleright y = y$ . Then

$$u \triangleright (x \triangleright y) = (u \triangleright x) \triangleright (u \triangleright y) = x \triangleright y.$$

Further,  $x \triangleright u = u$  since  $X$  is a crossed set and hence  $\varphi_x(S) \subseteq S$ . Clearly,  $\varphi_x|_S$  is injective. Finally,  $\varphi_x^{-1}(S \cup \{u\}) \subseteq S \cup \{u\}$  since

$$u \triangleright (\varphi_x^{-1}(y)) = \varphi_{u \triangleright x}^{-1}(u \triangleright y) = \varphi_x^{-1}(y)$$

and  $\varphi_x^{-1}(u) = u$ . Thus  $\varphi_x|_S$  is invertible with inverse  $\varphi_x^{-1}|_S$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $X$  be an indecomposable crossed set and let  $u \in X$ . Let  $T = \{x \in X \mid u \triangleright x \neq x\}$ . Then for all  $t \in T$  there exists  $t' \in T$  with  $t \triangleright t' \neq t'$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S = X \setminus (T \cup \{u\})$  and

$$T_0 = \{t \in T \mid \text{supp } \varphi_t \subseteq S \cup \{u\}\}.$$

We have to show that  $T_0 = \emptyset$ .

Assume that  $T_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $X$  is indecomposable, there exist  $x \in T_0, y \in X \setminus T_0, z \in X$  such that  $z \triangleright x = y$ . As  $x \triangleright z \neq z$  and  $x \in T_0$ , we conclude

that  $z \in S \cup \{u\}$ . As  $S \cup \{u\}$  is a subquandle of  $X$  by Lemma 2.5 and  $x = \varphi_z^{-1}(y)$ , it follows that  $y \in T \setminus T_0$ . But  $\text{supp } \varphi_{z \triangleright x} = \varphi_z(\text{supp } \varphi_x) \subseteq S \cup \{u\}$ , a contradiction to  $z \triangleright x = y \in T \setminus T_0$ . This proves the proposition.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $X$  be an indecomposable crossed set. Assume that the profile of  $X$  is  $1^{a_1} 2^{a_2} \dots k^{a_k}$ . Then*

$$k_2 \leq \sum_{j \geq 2} a_j(k'_2 - 2) \quad \text{and} \quad |X| \leq \sum_{j \geq 2} a_j(k'_2 - 2) + k'_2 + 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $u \in X$ ,  $T = \{x \in X \mid u \triangleright x \neq x\}$  and  $S = X \setminus (T \cup \{u\})$ . Then  $S$  is a subquandle of  $X$  by Lemma 2.5. Let  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  be the  $\text{Inn}(S)$  orbits of  $S$ . Then  $S \triangleright S_j = S_j$  for all  $j$ , and  $S \triangleright T = T$  because  $S$  is a subquandle and  $S \triangleright \{u\} = \{u\}$ . Since  $X$  is indecomposable, there can only be elements of  $T$  to connect the  $\text{Inn}(S)$  orbits  $S_j$  with each other, with  $\{u\}$  and with  $T$ .

Let  $x \in T$ ,  $s \in S_j$  and  $y \in X \setminus S_j$  be such that  $x \triangleright y = s$ . Applying  $\text{Inn}(S)$  one can see that for all  $s' \in S_j$  there must be elements  $x' \in T$ ,  $y' \in X \setminus S_j$  with  $x' \triangleright y' = s'$ . Hence, if one element of  $S_j$  is connected to  $X \setminus S_j$  via a single element of  $T$ , then every element of  $S_j$  is. We conclude that each of the  $k_2$  elements of  $S$  has to be moved by at least one  $\varphi_x$  for an  $x \in T$ .

Each  $\varphi_x$ ,  $x \in T$ , moves  $k'_2$  elements within  $X$ . One of these elements must be  $u$ , and by Proposition 2.6 another one must be an element of  $T$ . So there are at most  $k'_2 - 2$  elements which may be moved by  $\varphi_x$  from  $S$  to  $X$  for each  $x \in T$ , and  $k'_2(k'_2 - 2)$  altogether. Let

$$Y = \{(x, s) \mid x \in T, s \in S, x \triangleright s \neq s\}.$$

For any  $(x, s) \in Y$ ,  $\varphi_u(x) \triangleright s = \varphi_u(x) \triangleright \varphi_u(s) = \varphi_u(x \triangleright s) \neq \varphi_u(s) = s$ , and  $\varphi_u(x) \neq x$ . So each  $s \in S$  appears not only once as a second component in some  $(x, s) \in Y$ , but at least  $j$  times, where  $j = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid \varphi_u^m(x) = x\}$ . For each  $j$ , there are  $ja_j$  such  $x \in T$ , which provide (since  $x \triangleright u \neq u$ ) at most  $ja_j(k'_2 - 2)$  pairs  $(x, s) \in Y$ . In these pairs we may have up to  $a_j(k'_2 - 2)$  different elements from  $S$  as a second component, and hence  $k_2 \leq \sum_{j \geq 2} a_j(k'_2 - 2)$ . This proves the first formula of the claim. The second now follows immediately from  $|X| = 1 + k_2 + k'_2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.7,  $k_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} k'_2(k'_2 - 2)$  and  $|X| \leq \frac{1}{2} k'^2_2 + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Use the inequality  $\sum_{j \geq 2} a_j \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \geq 2} ja_j = k'_2/2$ .  $\square$

### 3. ON THE CYCLE STRUCTURE OF RACKS

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$  with  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and let  $X = \{1, \dots, d\}$  be an indecomposable crossed set. Assume that  $\varphi_1^a(x) = x$  or  $\varphi_1^b(x) = x$  for*

all  $x \in X$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{x \in X \mid 1 \triangleright x = x, x \neq 1\}, \\ T_a &= \{x \in X \mid \varphi_1^a(x) = x, 1 \triangleright x \neq x\}, \\ T_b &= \{x \in X \mid \varphi_1^b(x) = x, 1 \triangleright x \neq x\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $X$  is the disjoint union of  $\{1\}, S, T_a$  and  $T_b$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \triangleright 1 &= 1, & 1 \triangleright S &= S, & 1 \triangleright T_a &= T_a, & 1 \triangleright T_b &= T_b, \\ S \triangleright 1 &= 1, & S \triangleright S &= S, & S \triangleright T_a &= T_a, & S \triangleright T_b &= T_b, \\ T_a \triangleright T_b &= T_b, & T_b \triangleright T_a &= T_a. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The action of 1 is clear by definition of  $S, T_a$  and  $T_b$ .  $X$  is a crossed set, therefore  $s \triangleright 1 = 1$  holds for each  $s \in S$ . Moreover,  $S \triangleright S = S$  by Lemma 2.5. Let  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T_a$  be arbitrary. Then  $s \triangleright t = s \triangleright \varphi_1^a(t) = \varphi_1^a(s \triangleright t)$  because 1 and  $s$  commute. Hence,  $s \triangleright t \in T_a \cup S \cup \{1\}$ . But  $\varphi_s$  is bijective, so  $s \triangleright t \in T_a$ ; similar for  $t \in T_b$ . Now let  $x \in T_a$  and  $y \in T_b$  be arbitrary. Assume  $x \triangleright y \in T_a \cup S \cup \{1\}$ . Then apply  $\varphi_1^a$  to get  $x \triangleright \varphi_1^a(y) = x \triangleright y$ , hence  $\varphi_1^a(y) = y$ , which is a contradiction; so  $T_a \triangleright T_b = T_b$  and similarly  $T_b \triangleright T_a = T_a$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $r, d \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_r, b \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$  with  $\gcd(a_1 \cdots a_r, b) = 1$  and  $d \geq a_1 + \cdots + a_r + b + 1$ . For all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  let  $\sigma_i$  be an  $a_i$ -cycle and let  $\tau$  be a  $b$ -cycle in  $\mathbb{S}_d$  with pairwise disjoint supports. Then there is no indecomposable crossed set  $X = \{1, \dots, d\}$  with  $\varphi_1 = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_r \tau$ .*

*Proof.* Assume to the contrary that  $X$  is an indecomposable crossed set with  $\varphi_1 = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_r \tau$ . Then  $1 \notin \text{supp } \sigma_i$  for all  $i$  and  $1 \notin \text{supp } \tau$ . Let  $y_1, \dots, y_b \in X$  with  $1 \triangleright y_i = y_{i+1}$  for all  $1 \leq i < b$  and  $1 \triangleright y_b = y_1$ . Then

$$\tau = (y_1 \cdots y_b).$$

Let

$$T = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \text{supp } \sigma_i, \quad S = X \setminus (\{1\} \cup T \cup \text{supp } \tau).$$

Then Lemma 3.1 applies with  $a = a_1 \cdots a_r$ ,  $T_a = T$ ,  $T_b = \text{supp } \tau$ . We consider four cases.

**Case 1.**  $y_1 \triangleright 1 \in S$ . Let  $s = y_1 \triangleright 1$ . Then

$$\varphi_s = \varphi_{y_1 \triangleright 1} = \varphi_{y_1} \triangleright \varphi_1 = \varphi_{y_1} \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_r \varphi_{y_1}^{-1} \cdot (y_1 y_1 \triangleright y_2 \cdots y_1 \triangleright y_b).$$

As  $s \in S$ , we know from Lemma 3.1 that  $s \triangleright \text{supp } \tau = \text{supp } \tau$  and hence  $y_1 \in \text{supp } \tau$  implies that  $y_1 \triangleright y_i \in \text{supp } \tau$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, b\}$ . Thus  $y_1 \triangleright \text{supp } \tau = \text{supp } \tau$ . Applying  $\varphi_1$  and using the transitivity of the action of  $\varphi_1$  on  $\text{supp } \tau$  we conclude that  $y_i \triangleright \text{supp } \tau = \text{supp } \tau$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, b\}$ . Thus  $\text{supp } \tau$  is  $X$ -stable by Lemma 3.1 which contradicts the indecomposability of  $X$ .

**Case 2.**  $y_1 \triangleright 1 \in T$ . Since  $y_1 \in \text{supp } \tau$ , Lemma 3.1 yields that  $y_1 \triangleright T = T$  and hence  $\varphi_{y_1}^{-1}(T) = T$ , a contradiction to  $1 \in \varphi_{y_1}^{-1}(T)$ .

**Case 3.**  $y_1 \triangleright 1 \in \text{supp } \tau$ ,  $\varphi_{y_1}^{a_1 \cdots a_r}(1) = 1$ . Let  $a = a_1 \cdots a_r$ . Then  $\varphi_{y_1}^a \varphi_1 \varphi_{y_1}^{-a} = \varphi_1$ , and hence  $\varphi_{y_1}^a \tau \varphi_{y_1}^{-a} = \tau$ . Since  $\varphi_{y_1}(y_1) = y_1$ , we conclude that  $\varphi_{y_1}^a(y) = y$  for all  $y \in \text{supp } \tau$ . Conjugation by  $\varphi_1$  yields

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi_y^a(y') = y' \quad \text{for all } y, y' \in \text{supp } \tau.$$

By assumption,  $y_1 \triangleright 1 \in \text{supp } \tau$ . Further,

$$\varphi_{y_1 \triangleright 1} = \varphi_{y_1} \varphi_1 \varphi_{y_1}^{-1} = \varphi_{y_1} \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_r \varphi_{y_1}^{-1} \cdot (y_1 y_1 \triangleright y_2 \cdots y_1 \triangleright y_b).$$

Since  $b \nmid a$ , we conclude that  $\varphi_{y_1 \triangleright 1}^a(y_1) \neq y_1$  which is a contradiction to Equation (3.1).

**Case 4.**  $y_1 \triangleright 1 \in \text{supp } \tau$ ,  $\varphi_{y_1}^b(1) = 1$ . By applying  $\varphi_1$  and using Lemma 3.1 we obtain that  $y \triangleright 1 \in \text{supp } \tau$  and  $\varphi_y^b(1) = 1$  for all  $y \in \text{supp } \tau$ . In particular, for all  $y \in \text{supp } \tau$  there exist  $\sigma_{y1}, \dots, \sigma_{yr}, \tau_y \in \mathbb{S}_d$  with  $1 \in \text{supp } \tau_y$  such that  $\varphi_y = \sigma_{y1} \cdots \sigma_{yr} \tau_y$ . Since

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi_{y_1 \triangleright 1} = \prod_{i=1}^r (\varphi_{y_1} \sigma_i \varphi_{y_1}^{-1}) \cdot (y_1 y_1 \triangleright y_2 \cdots y_1 \triangleright y_b)$$

and  $y_1 \triangleright T = T$  by Lemma 3.1, we conclude that  $\text{supp } \sigma_{y_1 \triangleright 1 i} \subseteq T$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . By conjugation of Equation (3.2) with  $\varphi_1$  and using  $1 \triangleright T = T$  and the transitivity of  $\varphi_1$  on  $\text{supp } \tau$  it follows that

$$(3.3) \quad \text{supp } \sigma_{y1} \cdots \sigma_{yr} = T \quad \text{for all } y \in \text{supp } \tau.$$

Let  $x \in T$ ,  $y \in \text{supp } \tau$ ,  $z \in X$  with  $x \triangleright y = z$ . Then  $z \in \text{supp } \tau$  by Lemma 3.1. Further,

$$\sigma_{z1} \cdots \sigma_{zr} \tau_z = \varphi_z = \varphi_{x \triangleright y} = \varphi_x (\sigma_{y1} \cdots \sigma_{yr}) \varphi_x^{-1} \cdot \varphi_x \tau_y \varphi_x^{-1}$$

and hence  $\sigma_{z1} \cdots \sigma_{zr} = \varphi_x (\sigma_{y1} \cdots \sigma_{yr}) \varphi_x^{-1}$ . Thus  $\varphi_x(T) = T$ . We conclude that  $T \triangleright T = T$  and hence  $X \triangleright T = T$  by Lemma 3.1, a contradiction to the indecomposability of  $X$ .  $\square$

#### 4. QUOTIENTS OF HURWITZ ORBITS

For the general theory of braid groups we refer to [8]. Recall that the braid group in three strands can be presented as

$$\mathbb{B}_3 = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rangle.$$

Let  $X$  be a finite rack and  $(x, y, z) \in X^3$ . Then the braid group  $\mathbb{B}_3$  acts on  $X^3$  via the Hurwitz action:

$$\sigma_1 \cdot (x, y, z) = (x \triangleright y, x, z), \quad \sigma_2 \cdot (x, y, z) = (x, y \triangleright z, y)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . The orbits of this action are called *Hurwitz orbits*. We write  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(x, y, z)$  for the Hurwitz orbit of  $(x, y, z)$ . According to Brieskorn [3], this action of  $\mathbb{B}_3$  on  $X^3$  was implicitly considered by Hurwitz in [7].

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a rack and  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq X^3$  a Hurwitz orbit. Then the map  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow G_X$ ,  $(x, y, z) \mapsto xyz$  is constant.*



*Proof.* According to the definition of the Hurwitz action, it suffices to show that  $xy = (x \triangleright y)x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . The latter holds by the definition of  $G_X$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be an injective rack,  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq X^3$  a Hurwitz orbit and let  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{O}$ . Then  $|(x, y, z)^{\langle \sigma_1 \rangle} \cap (x, y, z)^{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle}| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* The elements of  $(x, y, z)^{\langle \sigma_1 \rangle} \cap (x, y, z)^{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle}$  are of the form  $(x, y', z)$  with  $y' \in X$ . For all such triples,  $xyz = xy'z$  in  $G_X$  by Lemma 4.1. Thus  $y = y'$  in  $G_X$  and hence  $y = y'$  in  $X$  since  $X$  is injective.  $\square$

Let  $(x, y, z), (x', y', z') \in X^3$ . We define the following relation on  $X^3$ :

$$(4.1) \quad (x, y, z) \sim (x', y', z') \Leftrightarrow \Delta^m \cdot (x, y, z) = (x', y', z') \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $\Delta = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^3$ . Clearly,  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. We write  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(x, y, z)$  for the equivalence class containing  $(x, y, z)$ . Since  $\Delta$  is contained in (and in fact generates) the center  $Z(\mathbb{B}_3)$ , the following lemma is straightforward.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a rack and  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq X^3$  a Hurwitz orbit. Then*

$$|\overline{\mathcal{O}}(x, y, z)| = |\overline{\mathcal{O}}(x', y', z')|$$

for all  $(x, y, z), (x', y', z') \in \mathcal{O}$ .

The set  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$  of equivalence classes of  $\mathcal{O}$  is called a *Hurwitz orbit quotient*.

Let  $x = \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1^{-1} Z(\mathbb{B}_3)$  and  $y = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 Z(\mathbb{B}_3)$ . Then, by construction, the group

$$\langle x, y \mid x^3 = y^2 = 1 \rangle \simeq \mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{B}_3 / \langle \Delta \rangle$$

acts on  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ , see for example [8, Appendix A]. Since  $\mathbb{B}_3$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{O}$ , the action of  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$  is transitive, that is,  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$  is a homogeneous space.

An *xy-cycle* in a  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -space (in particular, in a Hurwitz orbit quotient) is a minimal non-empty subset  $C$  such that  $xy \cdot v \in C$  and  $(xy)^{-1} \cdot v \in C$  for all  $v \in C$ . We write  $C_{xy}(v)$  for the *xy-cycle* containing a fixed element  $v$ . Similarly, one defines *yx-cycles*  $C_{yx}(v)$ .

We intend to determine all finite homogeneous  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -spaces (up to isomorphism) such that any *xy-cycle* has at most 4 elements. Finite homogeneous  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -spaces up to isomorphism are known to be in bijection with conjugacy classes of finite index subgroups of the modular group  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , which are intensively studied, see e.g. [9]. We present such sets in terms of their Schreier graphs with respect to the generators  $x$  and  $y$  of  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . The action of  $x$  is indicated by a black arrow, the action of  $y$  by a dashed line. The labels are irrelevant in this setting.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $M$  be a finite homogeneous  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -space such that any *xy-cycle* in  $M$  has at most 4 elements. Then the Schreier graph of  $M$  is one of the graphs in Figures 7.1–7.18.*

*Proof.* The calculations are somewhat lengthy but elementary. It is reasonable to start with the classification of all homogeneous spaces with a point fixed by  $x$ , that is, with Schreier graphs containing an oriented loop. The restriction on the cycles yields that only the Schreier graphs in Figures 7.1, 7.2, 7.5, 7.6, 7.11, and 7.12 are possible. Next, one proceeds with the homogeneous spaces having a point fixed by  $xy$  but no point fixed by  $x$ . The corresponding Schreier graphs are Figures 7.3 and 7.7. Next, one can determine those homogeneous spaces which have a point fixed by  $y$ , but no points fixed by  $x$  or  $xy$ . The corresponding Schreier graphs are Figures 7.4, 7.8, 7.9, 7.13, and 7.14. Finally, only Schreier graphs are left which have no oriented loops and no  $y$ -edges starting and ending in the same oriented triangle. Such graphs can be classified for example by looking at the number of  $y$ -edges between two oriented triangles. Under the restriction on the length of  $xy$ -cycles, one gets the Figures 7.10, 7.15, 7.16, 7.17, and 7.18.  $\square$

For our analysis, finite homogeneous  $\mathbb{B}_3$ -spaces are relevant. We obtain such spaces as coverings of homogeneous  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -spaces.

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $T$  be a  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -space. Consider  $T$  as a  $\mathbb{B}_3$ -space on which  $Z(\mathbb{B}_3)$  acts trivially. A covering of  $T$  is a triple  $(p, S, T)$ , where  $S$  is a  $\mathbb{B}_3$ -space, and  $p : S \rightarrow T$  is a surjective  $\mathbb{B}_3$ -equivariant map such that  $p(s_1) = p(s_2)$  implies  $s_1 \sim s_2$  for all  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ .

*Remark 4.6.* Let  $T$  be a  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -space and let  $(p, S, T)$  be a covering of  $T$ . If the action on  $T$  is transitive then the action on  $S$  is transitive.

**Definition 4.7.** A covering  $(p, S, T)$  is said to be trivial if  $p : S \rightarrow T$  is bijective. We say that a covering  $p : S \rightarrow T$  is finite if  $S$  (and hence  $T$ ) is a finite set.

Let  $(p, S, T)$  be a covering of a Schreier graph  $T$ . From Lemma 4.3 we obtain  $|p^{-1}(v)| = |p^{-1}(w)|$  for all  $v, w$ . Let then  $N$  be the number of elements of each fiber.

*Remark 4.8.* Let  $T$  be a homogeneous  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -space. Coverings  $(p, S, T)$  of  $T$  can be displayed as labeled Schreier graphs. Since the covering is a homogeneous space and the sequence

$$Z(\mathbb{B}_3) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_3 \rightarrow \mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$$

is exact, the fiber over any  $v \in T$  consists of a  $\langle \Delta \rangle$ -orbit. We may fix a point  $v[0] \in S$  in the fiber over a point  $v \in T$  and enumerate all other points of the fiber by  $v[i] = \Delta^i v[0]$  for all  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ , where  $N$  is the size of the fiber. We also write  $v[*]$  for the complete fiber  $p^{-1}(v)$  over  $v$ . By choosing a spanning tree of the Schreier graph of  $T$  and the images of  $v[0]$  along the arrows of the spanning tree, one obtains the images of  $v[i]$  for all  $i$  since  $\Delta$  is central. The remaining arrows  $v_i \rightarrow v_j$  (those not on the spanning tree) in the graph of  $T$  then have to obtain labels indicating the index shift in the fiber: a label  $s$  tells that  $v_i[k]$  is mapped to  $v_j[k + s \pmod{N}]$  for all  $k$ .

Then, up to the choice of the spanning tree, any covering of  $T$  is uniquely determined by the labels of the  $x$ - and  $y$ -edges.

Observe that, since  $\Delta = (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3 = (\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1)^2$ , the sum of the labels in any  $x$ -triangle is  $-1$  and the sum of the two labels of a  $y$ -edge is 1. The  $y$ -edges we interpret as double arrows and put the label of the arrow close to its destination.

For any  $xy$ -cycle (or  $yx$ -cycle)  $C$  in  $T$ , the label of  $C$  is the sum of the labels of  $x$ - and  $y$ -edges of the cycle.

**Definition 4.9.** Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a covering of a  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -space. The graph of the covering is the labeled Schreier graph as explained in Remark 4.8. The arrows correspond to the action of  $\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}$  and  $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1$ .

**Definition 4.10.** For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , a  $\sigma_i$ -cycle of a homogeneous  $\mathbb{B}_3$ -space is a minimal non-empty subset which is closed under the action of  $\sigma_i$ . We say that a  $\mathbb{B}_3$ -space  $S$  (or a covering  $(p, S, T)$  of a  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -space  $T$ ) has simply intersecting cycles if any given  $\sigma_1$ -cycle  $c_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ -cycle  $c_2$  in  $S$  intersect in at most one point.

**Example 4.11.** By Lemma 4.2, if  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq X^3$  is a Hurwitz orbit, where  $X$  is an injective rack, then  $\mathcal{O}$  has simply intersecting cycles.

*Remark 4.12.* For any covering  $(p, S, T)$  of a  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -space  $T$ , the image of a  $\sigma_1$ -cycle in  $S$  is an  $xy$ -cycle in  $T$ , and the image of a  $\sigma_2$ -cycle in  $S$  is a  $yx$ -cycle in  $T$ .

**Lemma 4.13.** Let  $T$  be a  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -space and let  $(p, S, T)$  be a covering of  $T$  with simply intersecting cycles. Let  $v$  be a vertex of the graph of  $T$ .

- (1) If there exists an  $x$ -loop on  $v$  with label  $a$  then  $3a \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ .
- (2) If there exists a  $y$ -loop on  $v$  with label  $a$  then  $2a \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Remark 4.8. □

The following four lemmas are easy consequences of the definition of simply intersecting cycles.

**Lemma 4.14.** Let  $T$  be a  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -space and let  $(p, S, T)$  be a covering of  $T$  with simply intersecting cycles. Let  $v, w \in T$  such that  $w \in C_{yx}(v) \cap C_{xy}(v)$  and  $w \neq v$ . Then  $(p, S, T)$  is not trivial.

**Lemma 4.15.** Let  $T$  be a  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -space and let  $(p, S, T)$  be a covering of  $T$  with simply intersecting cycles. Let  $v \in T$  and  $N = |p^{-1}(v)|$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  be the labels of the  $xy$ - and  $yx$ -cycle containing  $v$ , respectively. Then  $\langle \lambda \rangle \cap \langle \mu \rangle = 0$  as subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .

**Lemma 4.16.** Let  $T$  be a  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -space and let  $(p, S, T)$  be a covering of  $T$  with simply intersecting cycles. Let  $v \in T$  and assume that  $xv = v$  or  $yv = v$  and that  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})v \neq \{v\}$ . Then the labels of the  $xy$ - and  $yx$ -cycles containing  $v$  are 0.

**Lemma 4.17.** *Let  $T$  be a  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -space and let  $(p, S, T)$  be a covering of  $T$  with simply intersecting cycles. Let  $v, w \in T$ ,  $N = |p^{-1}(v)|$  and assume that  $v \neq w$  and that  $v, w$  are on the same  $xy$ - and the same  $yx$ -cycle. Let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be the labels of the  $xy$ - and  $yx$ -path from  $v$  to  $w$ , respectively. Then  $\lambda \not\equiv \mu \pmod{N}$ .*

**Corollary 4.18.** *Let  $T$  be a  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -space. Let  $v, w \in T$  and assume that  $v \neq w$ ,  $yv = v$ ,  $yw = w$  and that  $v, w$  are on the same  $xy$ -cycle. Then  $T$  has no coverings with simply intersecting cycles.*

*Proof.* Assume to the contrary that  $(p, S, T)$  is a covering of  $T$  with simply intersecting cycles. Let  $N = |p^{-1}(v)|$  and let  $a$  and  $b$  be the labels of the  $y$ -loops at  $v$  and  $w$ -respectively. By Lemma 4.13(2) we obtain that  $2a \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$  and  $2b \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$  and hence  $a \equiv b \pmod{N}$  and  $N$  is 1 or odd. Since  $yv = v$  and  $yw = w$ ,  $v$  and  $w$  are on the same  $yx$ -cycle and the  $xy$ - and  $yx$ -paths from  $v$  to  $w$  have the same labels. This is a contradiction to Lemma 4.17.  $\square$

## 5. CELLULAR AUTOMATA OVER GROUPS

The following definition is inspired by [4, Definition 1.4.1]. Let  $G$  be a group acting transitively on a set  $\Omega$  and let  $A$  be a set.

**Definition 5.1.** *A cellular automaton over  $(G, \Omega)$  and the alphabet  $A$  is a map  $\tau : A^\Omega \rightarrow A^\Omega$  with the following property: there exist an index set  $S$ , a family  $(g_s)_{s \in S}$  of elements of  $G$  and a map  $\mu : A^S \rightarrow A$  such that*

$$(5.1) \quad \tau(f)(w) = \mu((f(g_s \cdot w))_{s \in S})$$

for all  $f \in A^\Omega$ ,  $w \in \Omega$ .

**Example 5.2.** *Let  $X$  be a finite rack. The braid group  $G = \mathbb{B}_3$  acts on  $X^3$  by  $\sigma_1 \cdot (x, y, z) = (x \triangleright y, x, z)$  and  $\sigma_2 \cdot (x, y, z) = (x, y \triangleright z, y)$ . Let  $\Omega$  be any orbit of this action,  $I \subseteq \Omega$  a subset,*

$$(g_s)_{s \in \{1, \dots, 7\}} = (1, \sigma_2, \sigma_1 \sigma_2, \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1^{-1}, \sigma_1^{-1}, \sigma_2^{-1}, \sigma_1) \in \mathbb{B}_3^7,$$


and consider the alphabet  $A = \{0, 1\}$ . To  $I$  we associate a map  $f \in A^\Omega$  defined by

$$(5.2) \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in I, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To reformulate the rule given in [6, Figure 2] as a cellular automaton, we consider the configuration given in Figure 5.1. (In Figure 5.1 the black arrow indicates the action of  $\sigma_1$ , and the dashed arrow the action of  $\sigma_2$ .) Define  $\mu : A^7 \rightarrow A$  by

$$\mu(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_7) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_7) \in B, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $B$  is the set of tuples  $(x_1, \dots, x_7) \in A^7$  such that  $x_1 = 1$  or  $x_2 = x_3 = 1$  or  $x_4 = x_5 = 1$  or  $x_6 = x_7 = 1$ . Then the map  $\tau$  defined by  $\mu$  and  $(g_s)_{s \in \{1, \dots, 7\}}$  is a cellular automaton over  $(\mathbb{B}_3, \Omega)$  which realizes the transformation of  $I$  according to the rule [6, Figure 2]. The set  $I$  is a plague if it evolves via  $\tau$  to  $\Omega$ .

FIGURE 5.1. Neighbors of  $x_1$ 

**Problem 5.3.** Find a plague of minimal size for the cellular automata of Example 5.2.

**Example 5.4.** A cellular automaton in the traditional sense is just a cellular automaton over  $(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$  with  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ , where the action is given by the usual free action of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  on itself and in  $(g_s)_{s \in S}$  only  $n \in \{1, -1\}$  ( $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with  $|n_1|, |n_2| \leq 1$ , respectively,) appear.

**5.1. Examples over  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_m)$ .** In this subsection we present a family of examples of automata useful for studying the following process.

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$  be a subset,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}_m \setminus \{0\}$ . At each step, the following rule for the evolution of  $I$  is applied:

$$(5.3) \quad x - a_1, \dots, x - a_r \in I \implies \text{add } x \text{ to } I.$$

Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  which acts transitively on  $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}_m$ , let  $S = \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$ ,  $(g_s)_{s \in S} = (0, -a_1, -a_2, \dots, -a_r) \in G^S$ , and consider the alphabet  $A = \{0, 1\}$ . With  $I$  we associate a map  $f \in A^\Omega$ , called a state, defined by (5.2). Define  $\mu : A^S \rightarrow A$  by

$$\mu(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_0 = 1 \text{ or } x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_r = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The map  $\tau$  that transforms  $I$  according to the rule (5.3) is then a cellular automaton.

**Example 5.5.** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ . The evolution rule is:

$$(5.4) \quad x - \lambda \in I \implies \text{add } x \text{ to } I.$$

Let  $\Gamma = \langle \lambda \rangle$  and let  $I$  be a set of representatives for  $\Omega/\Gamma$ . Using (5.4) one can add successively all cosets to  $I$  and hence  $I$  is a plague.

**Example 5.6.** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ . The evolution rule is:

$$(5.5) \quad x - 1 \in I, x - \lambda - 1 \in I, x + \lambda \in I \implies \text{add } x \text{ to } I.$$

Let  $\Gamma = \langle \lambda \rangle$  and let  $I$  be the union of a set of representatives for  $\Omega/\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma$ . For example  $I = \langle \lambda \rangle \cup \{1, 2, \dots, \lambda - 1\}$ . Using (5.5) one can add successively all cosets of  $\Gamma$  to  $I$ . Hence  $I$  is a plague.

**Example 5.7.** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $\lambda \in \Omega \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . The evolution rule is:

$$(5.6) \quad x - \lambda \in I, x - \lambda + 1 \in I \implies \text{add } x \text{ to } I.$$

Let  $I = \{0, 1, \dots, (m-1)/2\}$  if  $m$  is odd and  $\{0, 1, \dots, m/2 - 1\}$  if  $m$  is even. Then  $I$  is a plague of size  $\leq (m+1)/2$ . It is in general not minimal, for example for  $m \geq 3$ ,  $\lambda = 2$  the set  $\{0, 1\}$  is a plague.

## 6. PLAGUES ON HURWITZ ORBITS

Example 5.2 describes cellular automata over braid group orbits, the evolution of which was introduced in [6, Figure 2]. In order to prove Theorem 6.2, we have to find small plagues for these automata.

By [6, §1.4], the *immunity* of a Hurwitz orbit  $\Sigma$  is the rational number  $p/|\Sigma|$ , where  $p$  is the size of a smallest plague for  $\Sigma$  in the sense of Example 5.2. We write  $\text{imm}(\Sigma)$  for the immunity of  $\Sigma$ .

Let us formulate the evolution rule of a Hurwitz orbit in terms of the generators  $\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}$  and  $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1$  of  $\mathbb{B}_3$ . Choose a subset  $P$  of the braid group orbit. Choose a pivot  $p[I]$  (where  $p$  is a point in the braid group orbit quotient by the action of the Garside element  $\Delta$  and  $p[I]$  is a subset of the fiber over  $p$ ), where  $I$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ . Then, if two of the three neighboring subsets  $(\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1})^{-1} \cdot p[I]$ ,  $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 \cdot p[I]$ , and  $\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1} \cdot p[I+1]$  are contained in  $P$ , then add the third to  $P$ . Figure 6.1 describes the general picture: the neighbors of  $p[I]$  are  $x_1[I-c]$ ,  $x_2[I+a]$  and  $x_3[I+1+b]$ , where, for example,  $I+a$  means the translation of  $I$  by  $a$ , i.e.,  $I+a = \{i+a \mid i \in I\}$ .




FIGURE 6.1. The evolution rule in the quotient

We intend to obtain an upper bound for the immunity of each Hurwitz orbit using the cycle structure at each vertex. For that purpose, we define

$$(6.1) \quad (\omega'_{ij})_{i,j \geq 1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/3 & 11/24 & 1/2 & 1/2 & \cdots \\ 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 & \cdots \\ 11/24 & 1/3 & 7/24 & 7/24 & 7/24 & \cdots \\ 1/2 & 1/3 & 7/24 & 1/4 & 1/4 & \cdots \\ 1/2 & 1/3 & 7/24 & 1/4 & 1/4 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $\Sigma$  be a Hurwitz orbit and let  $v \in \Sigma$ . Assume that  $v$  belongs to a  $\sigma_1$ -cycle of length  $i$ , and also to a  $\sigma_2$ -cycle of length  $j$ . Then we write  $c(v) = (i, j)$ . Let  $\omega : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  be the map defined by

$$\omega(v) = \begin{cases} \omega'_{ij} + \frac{1}{30} = \frac{13}{40} & \text{if } \Sigma = \Sigma_{4A}^{5;3,2} \text{ and } v \in v_1[*], \\ \omega'_{ij} + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \Sigma = \Sigma_{6A}^{4;2,2} \text{ and } v \in v_3[*], \\ \omega'_{ij} + \frac{1}{24} = \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \Sigma \text{ is the trivial covering of } \Sigma_{12C}, \\ \omega'_{ij} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $c(v) = (i, j)$ .

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a Hurwitz orbit. The weight of  $\Sigma$  is defined as

$$(6.2) \quad \omega(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \sum_{v \in \Sigma} \omega(v).$$

The main goal of this section is to formulate a good upper bound for the immunity of Hurwitz orbits.

**Theorem 6.2.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering with simply intersecting cycles of a finite homogeneous  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -space  $\bar{\Sigma}$ . Assume that any  $xy$ -cycle of  $\bar{\Sigma}$  has at most four elements. Then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \omega(\Sigma)$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.4, the Schreier graphs to consider are those of Figures 7.1–7.18. In Section 7 we determine which of the graphs of Subsections 7.1–7.18 have coverings with simply intersecting cycles. For each of these coverings we determine an upper bound for the immunity and then we prove that this upper bound can be bounded from above by  $\omega$ .  $\square$

## 7. PROOF OF THEOREM 6.2

This section contains a case-by-case analysis of the coverings, immunities and weights for the Schreier graphs of finite homogeneous  $\mathbf{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -spaces  $\bar{\Sigma}$  such that any  $xy$ -cycle of  $\bar{\Sigma}$  has at most four elements. The main goal of this section is to complete the proof of Theorem 6.2.

### 7.1. The graph $\Sigma_{1A}$ .



**Lemma 7.1.** Every covering of  $\Sigma_{1A}$  in Figure 7.1 with simply intersecting cycles is trivial.

*Proof.* Lemma 4.13 implies that  $3a \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$  and  $2b \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ . By Lemma 4.16,  $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$  and hence  $N = 1$ .  $\square$

### 7.2. The graph $\Sigma_{2A}$ .

**Lemma 7.2.** The graph  $\Sigma_{2A}$  in Figure 7.2 has no coverings with simply intersecting cycles.

*Proof.* Lemma 4.13 implies that  $3a \equiv 3b \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ . Further, from Lemma 4.16 on  $v$  we obtain  $a + b + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$  and the claim follows.  $\square$


FIGURE 7.1. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{1A}$  and its coveringsFIGURE 7.2. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{2A}$  and its coverings

### 7.3. The graph $\Sigma_{3A}$ .

**Lemma 7.3.** *Any covering of  $\Sigma_{3A}$  in Figure 7.3 with simply intersecting cycles satisfies  $2a \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ ,  $a \equiv b \pmod{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 4.13 on  $v_1$  implies that  $2a \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ . Since  $v_1$  belongs to cycles with label  $a - b$ ,  $a \equiv b \pmod{N}$  by Lemma 4.16.  $\square$

**Example 7.4.** *The trivial covering of  $\Sigma_{3A}$  in Figure 7.3 is isomorphic to the Hurwitz orbit with three elements of [6, Figure 8].*

FIGURE 7.3. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{3A}$  and its coverings

**Lemma 7.5.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{3A}$  with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 1/3 = \omega(\Sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* First we prove that  $P = v_2[*]$  is a plague. With  $v_3[*]$  as a pivot we can add  $v_1[*]$  to  $P$ . Then, with  $v_1[*]$  as a pivot, we can add  $v_3[*]$  to  $P$ , and hence  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 1/3$ . Now we prove  $\omega(\Sigma) = 1/3$ . By Lemma 7.3, the cycle structure on each vertex of  $\Sigma$  is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1[i] &: \text{two 2-cycles,} \\ v_2[i] \text{ and } v_3[i] &: \text{one 2-cycle and a cycle of length } |\langle b \rangle|, \end{aligned}$$



for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ . Then  $\omega(\Sigma) = 1/3$  and this proves the claim.  $\square$

#### 7.4. The graph $\Sigma_{3B}$ .

**Lemma 7.6.** *The graph  $\Sigma_{3B}$  in Figure 7.4 has no coverings with simply intersecting cycles.*

*Proof.* This follows from Corollary 4.18.  $\square$




FIGURE 7.4. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{3B}$  and its coverings




FIGURE 7.5. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{4A}$  and its coverings

#### 7.5. The graph $\Sigma_{4A}$ .

**Lemma 7.7.** *Any covering of  $\Sigma_{4A}$  in Figure 7.5 with simply intersecting cycles satisfies  $3a \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ ,  $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$  and  $N > 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $v_2 \in C_{xy}(v_1) \cap C_{yx}(v_1)$ , Lemma 4.14 implies that  $N > 1$ . Since  $v_1$  is on an  $xy$ -cycle with label  $a + b$ , by Lemmas 4.13(1) and 4.16 on  $v_1$  the claim follows.  $\square$

**Example 7.8.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be the covering of  $\Sigma_{4A}$  with  $N = 2$  and  $a = b = 1$ . Then  $\Sigma$  can be presented as*

$$\sigma_1 = (v_1 \ v_3 \ \Delta v_2)(\Delta v_1 \ \Delta v_3 \ v_2), \quad \sigma_2 = (v_1 \ v_2 \ v_4)(\Delta v_1 \ \Delta v_2 \ \Delta v_4),$$

and  $\Sigma$  is isomorphic to the Hurwitz orbit of eight elements of [6, Figure 10]. The isomorphism is given by the bijection

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 & \Delta v_1 & v_2 & \Delta v_2 & v_3 & \Delta v_3 & v_4 & \Delta v_4 \\ E & D & B & G & H & A & C & F \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma 7.9.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{4A}$  with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \frac{N+1}{4N}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I = \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ . We claim that  $P = v_3[*] \cup v_1[I]$  is a plague. With  $v_4[*]$  as a pivot we can add  $v_2[*]$  to  $P$ . Since the neighbors of  $v_1[\lambda]$  are  $v_1[\lambda - a]$ ,  $v_1[\lambda + a + 1]$  and  $v_2[\lambda + 1]$ , pivoting from  $v_1[I + a]$  we can add  $v_1[I + 2a + 1]$  to  $P$ . We describe this situation with the following table:

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \text{pivot} & v_4[*] & v_1[I + a] \\ \hline & v_2[*] & v_1[I + 2a + 1] \end{array}$$

From Lemma 7.7 we obtain  $\langle 2a + 1 \rangle = \langle -a \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_N$  and hence Example 5.5 implies that we can add  $v_1[*]$  to  $P$ . Now with  $v_2[*]$  as a pivot we can add  $v_4[*]$  to  $P$  and we are done. Thus the claim follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.10.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{4A}$  with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \omega(\Sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 7.7, the cycle structure on each vertex of  $\Sigma$  is the following:

$$(7.1) \quad v_1[i] \text{ and } v_2[i] : \text{ two 3-cycles,}$$

$$(7.2) \quad v_3[i] \text{ and } v_4[i] : \text{ one 3-cycle and a cycle of length } |\langle 1 - b \rangle|,$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ . Assume first that  $N = 3k + 1$ . Then  $a = k$ ,  $b = 2k + 1$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $3(b - 1) \equiv -2 \pmod{N}$  and  $b - 1$  is even, we get

$$|\langle 1 - b \rangle| = |\langle 2 \rangle| = \begin{cases} N & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{N}{2} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

By (7.2),  $\omega(v_3[i]) = \omega(v_4[i]) = 1/3$  if  $k = 1$ , and  $\omega(v_3[i]) = \omega(v_4[i]) = 7/24$  if  $k > 1$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ . Therefore

$$\omega(\Sigma) = \begin{cases} 5/16 & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 7/24 & \text{if } k > 1. \end{cases}$$

If  $k = 1$  then  $N = 4$  and  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \frac{N+1}{4N} = 5/16 = \omega(\Sigma)$ . Otherwise, if  $k > 1$ , then  $N > 6$  and hence

$$\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \frac{N+1}{4N} < \frac{7}{24} = \omega(\Sigma).$$

Now assume that  $N = 3k + 2$ . Then  $a = 2k + 1$ ,  $b = k + 1$  and  $k \geq 0$ . Further,  $3(b - 1) \equiv -2 \pmod{N}$  and hence

$$|\langle 1 - b \rangle| = \begin{cases} \frac{N}{2} & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ N & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

By (7.2),

$$\omega(v_3[i]) = \begin{cases} 11/24 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 7/24 & \text{if } k > 0, \end{cases}$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ , and therefore

$$\omega(\Sigma) = \begin{cases} 3/8 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 7/24 & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

If  $k = 0$  then  $N = 2$  and  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \frac{N+1}{4N} = 3/8 = \omega(\Sigma)$ . If  $k = 1$  then  $N = 5$ ,

$$\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \frac{N+1}{4N} = 3/10 = (4 \cdot 7/24 + 1/30)/4 = \omega(\Sigma).$$

Finally, if  $k > 1$ , then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \frac{N+1}{4N} \leq 7/24 = \omega(\Sigma)$  for  $N \geq 6$ .  $\square$

**Example 7.11.** Let  $x_1 = (1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5)$ ,  $x_2 = (1\ 2\ 4\ 5\ 3)$  and  $x_3 = (1\ 4\ 3\ 5\ 2)$  be 5-cycles in  $\mathbb{A}_5$ . Let  $X$  be the rack associated to the conjugacy class of  $x_1$  in  $\mathbb{A}_5$ . The covering  $\Sigma_{4A}^{5;3,2}$  can be realized as the Hurwitz orbit of  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X^3$ .

**Lemma 7.12.** Let  $X$  be an injective indecomposable rack. Assume that  $X^3$  contains a Hurwitz orbit isomorphic to the covering  $\Sigma_{4A}^{5;3,2}$ . If  $(x, y, z) \in v_1[*]$  then  $(x \triangleright y) \triangleright y = z$ .

*Proof.* By inspection,

$$(7.3) \quad \sigma_1 v_1[i] = v_3[i], \quad \sigma_2 v_1[i] = v_2[i+4],$$

$$(7.4) \quad \sigma_1 v_2[i] = v_1[i+3], \quad \sigma_2 v_2[i] = v_4[i+1],$$

$$(7.5) \quad \sigma_1 v_3[i] = v_2[i+2], \quad \sigma_2 v_3[i] = v_3[i+4],$$

$$(7.6) \quad \sigma_1 v_4[i] = v_4[i+4], \quad \sigma_2 v_4[i] = v_1[i].$$

Let  $1, 2, 3 \in X$  with  $v_1[0] = (1, 2, 3) \in \Sigma_{4A}^{5;3,2} \subseteq X^3$ . Set  $1 \triangleright 2 = 4$ ,  $1 \triangleright 3 = 5$  and  $1 \triangleright 4 = 6$ . (The elements  $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \in X$  are not necessarily pairwise distinct.) We claim that  $(1 \triangleright 2) \triangleright 1 = 2$ . Indeed, using (7.3) we obtain  $v_3[0] = \sigma_1 v_1[0] = (1 \triangleright 2, 1, 3)$ . By (7.5),  $v_2[2] = \sigma_1 v_3[0] = ((1 \triangleright 2) \triangleright 1, 1 \triangleright 2, 3)$ . Since  $v_1[0] = \sigma_1 v_2[2]$ , we obtain  $(1 \triangleright 2) \triangleright 1 = 2$ . Similarly, using formulas (7.3)–(7.6), straightforward computations show that:

$i$	$v_1[i]$	$v_2[i]$	$v_3[i]$	$v_4[i]$
0	(1, 2, 3)	(3, 4, 5)	(4, 1, 3)	(1, 3, 4)
1	(3, 5, 6)	(6, 4, 1)	(4, 3, 6)	(3, 6, 4)
2	(6, 1, 2)	(2, 4, 3)	(4, 6, 2)	(6, 2, 4)
3	(2, 3, 5)	(5, 4, 6)	(4, 2, 5)	(2, 5, 4)
4	(5, 6, 1)	(1, 4, 2)	(4, 5, 1)	(5, 1, 4)

Since  $\sigma_2 \cdot (1, 4, 2) = \sigma_2 v_2[4] = v_4[0] = (1, 3, 4)$ , we get  $(1 \triangleright 2) \triangleright 2 = 4 \triangleright 2 = 3$ . Since  $\Delta$  acts transitively on  $v_1[*]$ , the lemma follows.  $\square$

### 7.6. The graph $\Sigma_{4B}$ .

**Lemma 7.13.** *The graph  $\Sigma_{4B}$  in Figure 7.6 has no coverings with simply intersecting cycles.*

*Proof.* This follows from Corollary 4.18.  $\square$




FIGURE 7.6. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{4B}$  and its coverings

### 7.7. The graph $\Sigma_{6A}$ .

**Lemma 7.14.** *Any covering of  $\Sigma_{6A}$  in Figure 7.7 with simply intersecting cycles satisfies  $N \neq 1$ ,  $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$  and  $2b \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ .*

*Proof.* The  $xy$ -cycles and their labels are:  $(v_1 v_3 v_5 v_4)$  with  $a + b$ ,  $(v_2)$  with  $-a$  and  $(v_6)$  with  $-b + 1$ . The  $yx$ -cycles are:  $(v_2 v_4 v_6 v_3)$  with  $a + b$ ,  $(v_5)$  with  $-b + 1$  and  $(v_1)$  with  $-a$ . Lemma 4.15 on  $v_3$  implies  $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ . Since  $v_3$  and  $v_4$  are on the same cycle,  $N > 1$  by Lemma 4.14 and  $a + 1 \not\equiv b \pmod{N}$  by Lemma 4.17.  $\square$




FIGURE 7.7. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{6A}$  and its coverings

**Lemma 7.15.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{6A}$  with simply intersecting cycles, and let*

$$m = \min \left\{ \frac{|\langle 1 + a \rangle| - 1}{6N}, \frac{1}{6|\langle -a \rangle|} \right\}.$$

Then

$$\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \begin{cases} 1/3 & \text{if } a \in \{0, -1\}, \\ \frac{1}{6|a+1|} + \frac{1}{6} + m & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_{6A}^{N;a,b}$  as in Figure 7.7. There exists a unique isomorphism from  $\Sigma$  to  $\Sigma_{6A}^{N;b-1,1+a}$  with

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &\mapsto v_5, & v_3 &\mapsto v_4[1], & v_5 &\mapsto v_1[1], \\ v_2 &\mapsto v_6[1], & v_4 &\mapsto v_3, & v_6 &\mapsto v_2. \end{aligned}$$

The labels  $-a$  and  $1-b$  are permuted under this isomorphism. Hence without loss of generality we may assume  $|\langle -a \rangle| \leq |\langle 1-b \rangle|$ . By Lemma 7.14 we have  $b \equiv -a \pmod{N}$  and the cycle structure of  $\Sigma$  is the following:

$$(7.7) \quad v_1[i] \text{ and } v_2[i] : \text{one 4-cycle and a cycle of length } |\langle -a \rangle|,$$

$$(7.8) \quad v_3[i] \text{ and } v_4[i] : \text{two 4-cycles,}$$

$$(7.9) \quad v_5[i] \text{ and } v_6[i] : \text{one 4-cycle and a cycle of length } |\langle 1+a \rangle|$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ . First assume that  $a \in \{0, -1\}$ . A straightforward computation shows that  $v_1[*] \cup v_5[*]$  is a plague of size  $2N$ . In this case,  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 1/3$ .

Now assume that  $a \notin \{0, -1\}$ . Let  $I$  (resp.  $J$ ) be a set of representatives for  $\mathbb{Z}_N/\langle -a \rangle$  (resp.  $\mathbb{Z}_N/\langle a+1 \rangle$ ). We claim that  $P = v_1[*] \cup v_2[I] \cup v_5[J]$  is a plague. First we compute

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \text{pivot} & v_2[*] & v_1[I] \\ \hline & v_3[*] & v_2[I+a] \end{array}$$

and hence  $P$  evolves to  $v_1[*] \cup v_2[*] \cup v_3[*] \cup v_5[J]$  by Example 5.5. Now we compute

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \text{pivot} & v_3[*] & v_6[J] \\ \hline & v_4[*] & v_5[J+1+a] \end{array}$$

and hence  $P$  evolves to  $v_1[*] \cup v_2[*] \cup v_3[*] \cup v_4[*] \cup v_5[*]$  by Example 5.5. Then we conclude that  $P$  is a plague.

As an alternative, let  $J$  be a set of representatives for  $\mathbb{Z}_N/\langle 1+a \rangle$ ,  $K = \langle 1+a \rangle$  and  $I = J \cup K$ . We prove that  $P = v_1[*] \cup v_5[I]$  is a plague: With  $v_2[*]$  as a pivot we can add  $v_3[*]$  to  $P$ , with  $v_4[I]$  we can add  $v_6[I]$  and with  $v_5[(I-1) \cap (I+a)]$  we can add  $v_4[I \cap (I+a+1)]$ . Finally, with  $v_6[(I-1) \cap (I+a) \cap (I-a-1)]$  as a pivot we can add  $v_5[(I-1) \cap (I+a) \cap (I-a-1)]$  to  $P$ . By restricting our attention to the fiber over  $v_5$ , we conclude from Example 5.6 that  $P$  evolves to a set containing  $P \cup v_5[*]$ . Since  $v_1[*] \cup v_5[*]$  is a plague of  $\Sigma$ , the claim of the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.16.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{6A}$  with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \omega(\Sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 7.14 we have  $b \equiv -a \pmod{N}$  and  $N > 1$ . As in the proof of Lemma 7.15, we may assume  $|\langle -a \rangle| \leq |\langle 1+a \rangle|$ . We split the proof into several cases according to the order of  $\langle -a \rangle$ . Assume first that  $|\langle -a \rangle| = 1$ . Then  $a = 0$  and  $|\langle 1+a \rangle| = N \geq 2$ . Hence

$$\omega(\Sigma) \geq 2(1/2 + 1/4 + 1/4)/6 = 1/3 = \text{imm}(\Sigma)$$

by Lemma 7.15.

Now assume that  $|\langle -a \rangle| = 2$ . Then  $a = N/2$ ,  $N$  is even, and  $N \geq 4$ . (If  $N = 2$  then  $a = 1$ , which contradicts to  $|\langle -a \rangle| \leq |\langle 1+a \rangle|$ .) As before, we need to consider three cases:  $N = 4$ ,  $N = 6$  and  $N \geq 8$ . Then

$N$	$ \langle 1+a \rangle $	$\omega(v_1), \omega(v_2)$	$\omega(v_3), \omega(v_4)$	$\omega(v_5), \omega(v_6)$
4	4	1/3	1/4	1/4
6	3	1/3	1/4	7/24
$\geq 8$	$\geq 5$	1/3	1/4	1/4

and therefore (observe that the case  $N = 4$  is exceptional)

$$\omega(\Sigma) = \begin{cases} (3 \cdot 1/3 + 3 \cdot 1/4)/6 = 7/24 & \text{if } N = 4, \\ 7/24 & \text{if } N = 6, \\ 5/18 & \text{if } N \geq 8. \end{cases}$$

Further,  $|\langle a+1 \rangle| = N/2$  if  $a$  is odd and  $|\langle a+1 \rangle| = N$  if  $a$  is even. Thus Lemma 7.15 yields that  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 7/24$  for  $N = 4$ ,  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 1/4 + 1/6N$  for  $N \geq 6$ , both if  $a$  is odd and if  $a$  is even. This implies the claim.

Now assume that  $|\langle -a \rangle| = 3$ . Clearly,  $3a \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$  and 3 divides  $N$ . We claim that  $4 \leq |\langle 1+a \rangle|$ . Indeed, if  $|\langle 1+a \rangle| = 3$  then  $3(1+a) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$  and  $N = 3$ ,  $a = 2$ ,  $b = 1$ , by Lemma 7.14. Hence  $|\langle 1+a \rangle| = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore, by (7.7)–(7.9) and Lemma 7.15, we obtain  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 19/72 = \omega(\Sigma)$ .

Finally, assume that  $|\langle -a \rangle| \geq 4$ . Using (7.7)–(7.9) and Lemma 7.15 we obtain  $\omega(\Sigma) = 1/4 \geq \text{imm}(\Sigma)$ .  $\square$

**Example 7.17.** Let  $X = \text{Aff}(5, 2)$  or  $X = \text{Aff}(5, 3)$ . The Hurwitz orbit of  $(1, 1, 2) \in X^3$  is isomorphic to the covering  $\Sigma_{6A}^{4;2,2}$ .

**Lemma 7.18.** Let  $X$  be an injective indecomposable rack, and  $x \in X$ . Assume that  $X$  has at least one Hurwitz orbit isomorphic to the covering  $\Sigma_{6A}^{4;2,2}$ . Then

- (1)  $\varphi_x$  contains a 4-cycle and  $k_4 \geq 4$ .
- (2) If  $(x, y, z) \in v_3[*]$  and  $w = x \triangleright y$ , then  $w \triangleright ((w \triangleright x) \triangleright x) = z$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ . By inspection,

$$(7.10) \quad \sigma_1 v_1[i] = v_3[i+2], \quad \sigma_2 v_1[i] = v_1[i+2],$$

$$(7.11) \quad \sigma_1 v_2[i] = v_2[i+2], \quad \sigma_2 v_2[i] = v_4[i+1],$$

$$(7.12) \quad \sigma_1 v_3[i] = v_5[i], \quad \sigma_2 v_3[i] = v_2[i+2],$$

$$(7.13) \quad \sigma_1 v_4[i] = v_1[i], \quad \sigma_2 v_4[i] = v_6[i+1],$$

$$(7.14) \quad \sigma_1 v_5[i] = v_4[i+2], \quad \sigma_2 v_5[i] = v_5[i+3],$$

$$(7.15) \quad \sigma_1 v_6[i] = v_6[i+3], \quad \sigma_2 v_6[i] = v_3[i].$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $v_1[0] = (1, 2, 3) \in X^3$ , where  $|\{1, 2, 3\}| \leq 3$ . Set  $4 = 1 \triangleright 2$ ,  $5 = 1 \triangleright 4$ ,  $6 = 4 \triangleright 5$ ,  $7 = 2 \triangleright 4$ ,  $8 = 7 \triangleright 1$  and  $9 = \varphi_2^{-1}(8)$ . Using formulas (7.10)–(7.15), a straightforward computation shows that:

$i$	$v_1[i]$	$v_2[i]$	$v_3[i]$	$v_4[i]$	$v_5[i]$	$v_6[i]$
0	(1, 2, 3)	(4, 7, 1)	(7, 1, 2)	(2, 8, 3)	(8, 7, 2)	(7, 2, 8)
1	(9, 4, 7)	(3, 2, 9)	(2, 9, 4)	(4, 8, 7)	(8, 2, 4)	(2, 4, 8)
2	(1, 3, 2)	(7, 4, 1)	(4, 1, 3)	(3, 8, 2)	(8, 4, 3)	(4, 3, 8)
3	(9, 7, 4)	(2, 3, 9)	(3, 9, 7)	(7, 8, 4)	(8, 3, 7)	(3, 7, 8)

Since there are no loops, we obtain  $|\{2, 3, 4, 7\}| = 4$ . Furthermore, using (7.10)–(7.15) we obtain that  $\varphi_8 = (2 \ 7 \ 3 \ 4)\tau$  for some  $\tau \in \mathbb{S}_d$ ,  $\tau|_{\{2, 3, 4, 7\}} = \text{id}$ . In particular,  $|\{2, 3, 4, 7, 8\}| = 5$ . Moreover,  $[1 \ 3 \ 8 \ 7]$  is a Hurwitz orbit in  $X^2$ , and acting with  $\varphi_8$  on this we obtain three other Hurwitz orbits  $[? \ 4 \ 8 \ 3]$ ,  $[? \ 2 \ 8 \ 4]$ ,  $[? \ 7 \ 8 \ 2]$ . Hence  $k_4 \geq 4$ . Finally,  $3 \triangleright 7 = 4$ ,  $7 \triangleright 1 = 8$ ,  $8 \triangleright ((8 \triangleright 7) \triangleright 7) = 8 \triangleright (3 \triangleright 7) = 8 \triangleright 4 = 2$ , and hence  $w \triangleright ((w \triangleright x) \triangleright x) = z$  for  $(x, y, z) = (7, 1, 2)$ .  $\square$

### 7.8. The graph $\Sigma_{6B}$ .



**Lemma 7.19.** *There is no covering of  $\Sigma_{6B}$  in Figure 7.8 with simply intersecting cycles.*

*Proof.* The  $xy$ -cycles and their labels are  $(v_1 \ v_2 \ v_5)$  with  $a - c$  and  $(v_3 \ v_6 \ v_4)$  with  $c + b$ . The  $yx$ -cycles and their labels are  $(v_1 \ v_4 \ v_3)$  with  $a - c$  and  $(v_2 \ v_5 \ v_6)$  with  $c + b$ . By Lemma 4.14 on  $v_3$  and  $v_4$ ,  $N > 1$ . Lemma 4.13 on  $v_1$  and  $v_6$  implies that  $2a \equiv 2b \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$  and hence  $a \equiv b \pmod{N}$  and  $N$  is odd. By Lemma 4.16 on  $v_1$  and  $v_6$ ,  $b + c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$  and  $a - c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ . Then  $a \equiv -b \pmod{N}$  and hence  $1 \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ . This is a contradiction to  $N > 2$ .  $\square$

### 7.9. The graph $\Sigma_{6C}$ .

**Lemma 7.20.** *There is no covering of  $\Sigma_{6C}$  in Figure 7.9 with simply intersecting cycles.*

*Proof.* This follows from Corollary 4.18.  $\square$

FIGURE 7.8. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{6B}$  and its coveringsFIGURE 7.9. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{6C}$  and its coverings

#### 7.10. The graph $\Sigma_{6D}$ .

**Lemma 7.21.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{6D}$  in Figure 7.10 with simply intersecting cycles. Then*

- (1)  $\langle a + b \rangle \cap \langle -a + 1 \rangle = 0$ ,
- (2)  $\langle a + b \rangle \cap \langle -b \rangle = 0$ ,
- (3)  $\langle -a + 1 \rangle \cap \langle -b \rangle = 0$ .

*Proof.* The  $xy$ -cycles and their labels are:  $(v_1 v_4)$  with label  $a + b$ ,  $(v_2 v_6)$  with  $-a + 1$  and  $(v_3 v_5)$  with  $-b$ . The  $yx$ -cycles are:  $(v_1 v_5)$  with label  $-a + 1$ ,  $(v_2 v_4)$  with  $-b$  and  $(v_3 v_6)$  with  $a + b$ . Now apply Lemma 4.15.  $\square$


**Example 7.22.** *The trivial covering of  $\Sigma_{6D}$  is isomorphic to the Hurwitz orbit of six elements of [6, Figure 9].*

**Lemma 7.23.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{6D}$  with simply intersecting cycles. Let  $c \in \{1 - a, -b, a + b\}$  and let  $n = |\langle c \rangle|$ . Then*

$$\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \frac{N + N/n + n - 1}{6N}.$$

*Proof.* There exists an isomorphism  $\Sigma_{6D}^{N;a,b} \rightarrow \Sigma_{6D}^{N;1-a-b,a-1}$ ,  $v_1 \mapsto v_2$ . This isomorphism induces the permutation  $(1\ 3\ 2)$  on the triple  $(1 - a, -b, a + b)$ . Therefore we may assume that  $c = -b$ .



FIGURE 7.10. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{6D}$  and its coverings

The cycle structure at each vertex of  $\Sigma$  is the following:

$$(7.16) \quad v_1[i] \text{ and } v_6[i] : \text{cycles of length } 2|\langle a+b \rangle| \text{ and } 2|\langle -a+1 \rangle|,$$

$$(7.17) \quad v_2[i] \text{ and } v_5[i] : \text{cycles of length } 2|\langle -a+1 \rangle| \text{ and } 2|\langle -b \rangle|,$$

$$(7.18) \quad v_3[i] \text{ and } v_4[i] : \text{cycles of length } 2|\langle a+b \rangle| \text{ and } 2|\langle -b \rangle|,$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ . Let  $I$  be the union of  $\langle b \rangle$  with a set of representatives for  $\mathbb{Z}_N/\langle b \rangle$ . Then  $|I| = n + N/n - 1$ . We claim that  $P = v_1[*] \cup v_5[I]$  is a plague. Using the sequence of pivots  $v_6[I]$ ,  $v_3[I+b]$ ,  $v_2[I-1]$ ,  $v_5[(I+b) \cap I]$ ,  $v_4[(I+1) \cap (I+b+1) \cap (I-b)]$ , we can add  $v_4[I+1]$ ,  $v_2[I+b]$ ,  $v_3[I]$ ,  $v_6[(I+1) \cap (I+b+1)]$  and  $v_5[(I+1) \cap (I+b+1) \cap (I-b)]$  to  $P$ , respectively. By looking at the evolution of  $I$  at  $v_5$ , the lemma follows from Example 5.6.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.24.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $2 \leq n \leq N/2$ . Then*

$$\frac{6N}{n} + 6n - 6 \leq 3N + 6.$$

*Proof.* The inequality is equivalent to  $(n-2)(2n-N) \leq 0$  and hence the claim follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.25.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{6D}$  with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \omega(\Sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* There is an isomorphism  $\Sigma_{6D}^{N;a,b} \rightarrow \Sigma_{6D}^{N;b+1,a-1}$ ,  $v_1 \mapsto v_4$  and this induces the permutation  $(1\ 2)$  on  $(1-a, -b, a+b)$ . Using this and the isomorphism in the proof of Lemma 7.23, we may assume that  $0 \leq [1-a] \leq [-b] \leq [a+b] < N$ , where for any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  we let  $[x] \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$  such that  $[x] \equiv x \pmod{N}$ .

Suppose first that  $a = 1$  and  $b = 0$ . Then, using Lemma 7.23 with  $c = 1-a$ , we obtain  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 1/3 = \omega(\Sigma)$ .

Assume now that  $a = 1$ ,  $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$  and  $a+b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ . Then  $N \geq 3$  by Lemma 7.21. Further, by (7.16)–(7.18),

$$\omega(\Sigma) = \frac{(1/3)4N + (1/4)2N}{6N} = \frac{11}{36}.$$

Let  $n = |\langle -b \rangle|$ . Then  $2 \leq c \leq N/2$  by Lemma 7.21. Hence Lemma 7.23 for  $c = -b$  and Lemma 7.24 imply that  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq (9N + 6)/36N$  and hence  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \omega(\Sigma)$  since  $N \geq 3$ .

Finally we assume that  $a \neq 1$ ,  $b \neq 0$  and  $a + b \neq 0$ . Let  $c \in \{1 - a, -b, a + b\}$  and  $n = |\langle c \rangle|$ . Because of Lemma 7.21 we have  $n \notin \{N, N/2\}$  and hence  $n \leq N/3$ ,  $N - 3n \geq 0$ . Moreover, by choosing  $c \in \{1 - a, -b, a + b\}$  appropriately, we may assume that  $n \geq 4$ . Since all vertices of  $\Sigma$  belong to cycles of length  $\geq 4$ , we obtain  $\omega(\Sigma) = 1/4$ . Then Lemma 7.23 implies that

$$\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \frac{N + N/n + n - 1}{6N} = \frac{3Nn - (Nn - 2N - 2n^2 + 2n)}{12Nn} \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

because  $Nn - 2N - 2n^2 + 2n = (N - 2n)(n - 4) + 2(N - 3n) \geq 0$ .  $\square$

### 7.11. The graph $\Sigma_{7A}$ .

**Lemma 7.26.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{7A}$  in Figure 7.11 with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $N = 7$  and  $(a, b, c) = (2, 4, 3)$ .*

*Proof.* The  $xy$  cycles and their labels are:  $(v_1 v_3 v_6 v_2)$  with label  $a - c + 1$  and  $(v_5 v_4 v_7)$  with  $b + c$ . The  $yx$ -cycles are:  $(v_1 v_2 v_5 v_4)$  with  $a - c + 1$  and  $(v_6 v_7 v_3)$  with  $b + c$ . Since  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are on the same  $xy$ - and  $yx$ -cycles,  $N > 1$  by Lemma 4.14. Lemma 4.13 on  $v_1$  and  $v_7$  implies that  $3a \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$  and  $2b \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ . By Lemma 4.16 on  $v_1$  and  $v_7$  we obtain  $b \equiv -c \pmod{N}$  and  $a \equiv c - 1 \pmod{N}$ . From this the claim follows.  $\square$




FIGURE 7.11. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{7A}$  and its coverings

**Lemma 7.27.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{7A}$  with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \omega(\Sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* First we prove that  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 13/49$ . By Lemma 7.26,  $N = 7$  and  $(a, b, c) = (2, 4, 3)$ . Let  $I = \{0, \dots, 5\}$ . We claim that  $P = v_1[I] \cup v_3[*]$  is a plague of size 13. Use the pivots  $v_2[I]$ ,  $v_1[(I-3) \cap (I+2)]$ ,  $v_4[(I-3) \cap (I+2)]$ ,  $v_5[I \cap (I-2)]$ ,  $v_3[(I-3) \cap (I-1) \cap (I+2)]$  and  $v_7[(I-2) \cap I \cap (I+1) \cap (I+3)]$  to add  $v_4[I]$ ,  $v_2[(I-2) \cap (I+3)]$ ,  $v_6[(I-2) \cap I]$ ,  $v_7[(I-2) \cap I]$ ,  $v_5[\{0, 1, 3, 5\}]$  and  $v_5[\{2, 4, 6\}]$  to  $P$ , respectively. Then  $v_5[*]$  is added to  $P$ . With  $v_3[I-1]$  and with  $v_1[3]$  as pivots we can add  $v_2[I]$  and  $v_1[6]$  to  $P$ . Thus  $P$  and  $v_1[*] \cup v_3[*]$

evolve to the same set. The above calculations with  $I = \mathbb{Z}_N$  prove that  $P$  is a plague. Hence  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 13/49$ . By Lemma 7.26, the cycle structure of  $\Sigma$  is

$$\begin{aligned} v_1[i] \text{ and } v_2[i] : & \text{ two 4-cycles,} \\ v_3[i], v_4[i], v_5[i], v_6[i] : & \text{ one 3-cycle and one 4-cycle,} \\ v_7[i] : & \text{ two 3-cycles,} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ . Hence  $\omega(\Sigma) = 47/168 > 13/49 \geq \text{imm}(\Sigma)$ .  $\square$

### 7.12. The graph $\Sigma_{8A}$ .

**Lemma 7.28.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be the covering of  $\Sigma_{8A}$  with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \omega(\Sigma)$ .*




FIGURE 7.12. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{8A}$  and its coverings

*Proof.* A straightforward computation shows that  $v_1[*] \cup v_3[*]$  is a plague of size  $2N$  and hence  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 1/4$ . Since all cycles have length  $\geq 4$ , the claim follows.  $\square$




FIGURE 7.13. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{9A}$  and its coverings

### 7.13. The graph $\Sigma_{9A}$ .

**Lemma 7.29.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{9A}$  in Figure 7.13 with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $N > 1$ ,  $2a \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ ,  $N$  is odd,  $c \equiv a + 1 \pmod{N}$  and  $\langle b - 1 \rangle \cap \langle a + b \rangle = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The  $xy$ -cycles and their labels are:  $(v_1 v_2 v_5 v_7)$  with label  $a - c + 1$ ,  $(v_6 v_9)$  with  $-b + 1$  and  $(v_3 v_8 v_4)$  with  $b + c - 1$ . The  $yx$ -cycles are:  $(v_1 v_4 v_9 v_3)$  with  $a - c + 1$ ,  $(v_2 v_7 v_6)$  with  $b + c - 1$  and  $(v_5 v_8)$  with  $-b + 1$ . Lemmas 4.13 and 4.16 on  $v_1$  imply  $2a \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$  and  $c \equiv a + 1 \pmod{N}$ . Since  $v_2$  and  $v_7$  are on the same  $xy$ -cycle and the same  $yx$ -cycle, we conclude that  $N > 1$  by Lemma 4.14. By Lemma 4.15 on  $v_6$  the claim follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.30.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{9A}$  with simply intersecting cycles. Then*

$$\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \begin{cases} 8/27 & \text{if } a + b = 0 \text{ and } b - 1 = 0, \\ 11/45 & \text{if } a + b \neq 0 \text{ and } b \neq 1, \\ 7/27 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* There are four cases to consider. Assume first that  $b = 1$  and  $a + b = 0$ . Lemma 7.29 implies then that  $N = 3$ ,  $a = 2$ ,  $b = 1$ , and  $c = 0$ . Let  $I = \{0, 1\}$ . Then  $v_1[I] \cup v_2[*] \cup v_5[*]$  is a plague of size 8. Indeed,

$$\begin{array}{c|cccccc} \text{pivot} & v_4[*] & v_1[I+1] & v_3[I-1] & v_8[I+1] & v_2[I \cap (I-1)] & v_5[I+1] \\ \hline & v_6[*] & v_3[I+1] & v_7[I-1] & v_9[I+2] & v_4[I \cap (I+1)] & v_4[I+2] \end{array}$$

and hence, since  $(I \cap (I+1)) \cup (I+2) = \mathbb{Z}_N$ , we obtain  $v_4[*]$ . From this the claim follows.

Assume now  $b \neq 1$  and  $a + b = 0$ . Then  $|\langle b - 1 \rangle| \geq 3$  because  $N$  is odd. Let  $I$  be a set of representatives for  $\mathbb{Z}_N / \langle b - 1 \rangle$ . We claim that  $v_1[*] \cup v_2[*] \cup v_5[I]$  is a plague. We compute

$$\begin{array}{c|cccccc} \text{pivot} & v_1[*] & v_3[*] & v_2[*] & v_4[I] & v_5[I-1] & v_7[I] & v_6[I+b-1] \\ \hline & v_3[*] & v_7[*] & v_4[*] & v_6[I] & v_9[I] & v_8[I] & v_5[I+b-1] \end{array}$$

and the claim follows from Example 5.5. Therefore

$$(7.19) \quad \text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \frac{2N + |I|}{9N} \leq 7/27$$

since  $|I| \leq N/3$ .

Assume now that  $b = 1$  and  $a + b \neq 0$ . Let  $I$  be a set of representatives for  $\mathbb{Z}_N / \langle a + b \rangle$ . We claim that  $v_4[*] \cup v_8[*] \cup v_2[I]$  is a plague:

$$\begin{array}{c|cccccc} \text{pivot} & v_6[*] & v_9[*] & v_4[I-a-1] & v_8[I-a-b-1] & v_7[I-a-b] \\ \hline & v_5[*] & v_7[*] & v_6[I-a-1] & v_9[I-a-b] & v_3[I-a-b+1] \end{array}$$

Pivoting from  $v_2[I-a-b]$ , we obtain  $v_1[I-a-b+1]$  and with  $v_3[I-a-b]$  we obtain  $v_2[I-a-b]$ . As before, since  $|I| \leq N/3$ , and using Example 5.5 with  $\lambda = a + b$ , we conclude that  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 7/27$ .

Finally, assume that  $b \neq 1$  and  $a + b \neq 0$ . From Lemma 7.29 we obtain that  $\langle a + b \rangle \cap \langle b - 1 \rangle = 0$ . Then  $|\langle b - 1 \rangle| \geq 5$  or  $|\langle a + b \rangle| \geq 5$ . If  $|\langle a + b \rangle| < 5$  then  $|\langle b - 1 \rangle| > 3$  and we use  $v_1[*] \cup v_2[*] \cup v_5[I]$  as a plague, where  $I$  is a set of representatives for  $\mathbb{Z}_N / \langle b - 1 \rangle$ . Otherwise, if  $|\langle a + b \rangle| \geq 5$ , we use

$v_4[*] \cup v_8[*] \cup v_2[I]$  as plague, where  $I$  is a set of representatives for  $\mathbb{Z}_N / \langle a+b \rangle$ . Since  $|I| \leq N/5$ , in both of these cases we obtain

$$\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \frac{2N + |I|}{9N} = \frac{2N + N/5}{9N} = 11/45.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.31.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{9A}$  with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \omega(\Sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* The cycle structure at each vertex of  $\Sigma$  is the following:

$$(7.20) \quad v_1[i] \text{ two 4-cycles,}$$

$$(7.21) \quad v_2[i], v_3[i], v_4[i], v_7[i] \text{ one 4-cycle and one cycle of length } 3|\langle a+b \rangle|,$$

$$(7.22) \quad v_5[i], v_9[i] \text{ one 4-cycle and one cycle of length } 2|\langle b-1 \rangle|,$$

$$(7.23) \quad v_6[i], v_8[i] \text{ cycles of length } 3|\langle a+b \rangle| \text{ and } 2|\langle b-1 \rangle|,$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ . From (7.20)–(7.23) it is straightforward to compute the weight at every vertex of  $\Sigma$  and  $\omega(\Sigma)$ :

$$\omega(\Sigma) = \begin{cases} 11/36 & \text{if } a = -b \text{ and } b = 1, \\ 5/18 & \text{if } a = -b \text{ and } b \neq 1, \\ 31/108 & \text{if } a \neq -b \text{ and } b = 1, \\ 1/4 & \text{if } a \neq -b \text{ and } b \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

From this and from Lemma 7.30 the claim follows.  $\square$

#### 7.14. The graph $\Sigma_{12A}$ .

**Lemma 7.32.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{12A}$  with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 1/4 = \omega(\Sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* In  $\Sigma$ , all cycles have length  $\geq 4$ , hence  $\omega(\Sigma) = 1/4$ . A straightforward computation shows that  $v_1[*] \cup v_2[*] \cup v_5[*]$  is a plague of  $\Sigma$  of size  $3N$  and hence  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 1/4 = \omega(\Sigma)$ .  $\square$





FIGURE 7.14. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{12A}$

FIGURE 7.15. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{12B}$  and its coverings

### 7.15. The graph $\Sigma_{12B}$ .

**Lemma 7.33.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{12B}$  in Figure 7.15 with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $N > 1$  and the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $\langle 1 - a \rangle \cap \langle a - c \rangle = 0$ ,
- (2)  $\langle 1 - b \rangle \cap \langle a - c \rangle = 0$ ,
- (3)  $\langle 1 - b \rangle \cap \langle 1 - a \rangle = 0$ ,
- (4)  $\langle 1 - b \rangle \cap \langle b + c \rangle = 0$ ,
- (5)  $\langle a - c \rangle \cap \langle b + c \rangle = 0$ ,
- (6)  $(-c + \langle a - c \rangle) \cap \langle b - 1 \rangle = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* The  $yx$ -cycles and their labels are:  $(v_3 v_4)$  with  $1 - a$ ,  $(v_8 v_{11})$  with  $b + c$ ,  $(v_1 v_7 v_{12} v_6)$  with  $a - c$  and  $(v_2 v_5 v_{10} v_9)$  with  $1 - b$ . The  $xy$ -cycles are:  $(v_1 v_5)$  with  $1 - a$ ,  $(v_9 v_{12})$  with  $b + c$ ,  $(v_2 v_8 v_{10} v_4)$  with  $a - c$  and  $(v_3 v_6 v_{11} v_7)$  with  $1 - b$ . Lemma 4.14 on  $v_6$  and  $v_7$  implies  $N > 1$ . By Lemma 4.17 with  $v = v_2$  and  $w = v_{10}$  (resp.  $v = v_6$  and  $w = v_7$ ),  $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$  (resp.  $a + b \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ ). Then (1)–(5) follow from Lemma 4.15. The claim (6) follows from Lemma 4.17 on  $v_2$  and  $v_{10}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.34.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{12B}$  as in Figure 7.15 with simply intersecting cycles. Then*

$$\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{3N+1}{12N} & \text{if } a \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \text{ or } b + c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}, \\ 1/4 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The cycle structure at each vertex of  $\Sigma$  is:

$$(7.24) \quad v_1[i], v_4[i] : \text{cycles of length } 4|\langle a - c \rangle| \text{ and } 2|\langle 1 - a \rangle|,$$

$$(7.25) \quad v_2[i], v_6[i], v_7[i], v_{10}[i] : \text{cycles of length } 4|\langle 1 - b \rangle| \text{ and } 4|\langle a - c \rangle|,$$

$$(7.26) \quad v_3[i], v_5[i] : \text{cycles of length } 2|\langle 1 - a \rangle| \text{ and } 4|\langle 1 - b \rangle|,$$

$$(7.27) \quad v_8[i], v_{12}[i] : \text{cycles of length } 2|\langle b + c \rangle| \text{ and } 4|\langle a - c \rangle|,$$

$$(7.28) \quad v_9[i], v_{11}[i] : \text{cycles of length } 2|\langle b + c \rangle| \text{ and } 4|\langle 1 - b \rangle|,$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ .

Assume first that  $a = 1$  or  $b + c = 0$ . We claim that there exists a subset  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  of size one such that the set  $P = v_2[*] \cup v_5[*] \cup v_9[*] \cup v_{10}[I]$  is a plague of size  $3N + 1$ . We compute

$$\begin{array}{c|cccccc} \text{pivot} & v_3[*] & v_4[*] & v_7[*] & v_{11}[I-1] & v_{10}[I] & v_{12}[I] \\ \hline & v_1[*] & v_6[*] & v_8[*] & v_{12}[I] & v_{11}[I] & v_{10}[I+1] \end{array}$$

and hence  $v_{10}[*]$  can be added to  $P$  by Example 5.5. Now the claim follows by extension of the above evolution of  $P$ . In this case  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq (3N+1)/12N$ .

Assume now that  $a \neq 1$  and  $b + c \neq 0$ . Let  $I$  be a set of representatives for  $\mathbb{Z}_N/\langle a-1 \rangle$  and let  $J$  be a set of representatives for  $\mathbb{Z}_N/\langle b+c \rangle$ . We claim that  $v_3[I] \cup v_8[J] \cup v_1[*] \cup v_2[*]$  is a plague. We compute

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \text{pivot} & v_3[*] & v_4[*] & v_5[I-1] & v_1[I+a-1] \\ \hline & v_5[*] & v_6[*] & v_4[I] & v_3[I+a-1] \end{array}$$

and therefore  $v_3[*]$  and  $v_4[*]$  can be added to  $P$  by Example 5.5. Now we compute

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \text{pivot} & v_2[*] & v_6[*] & v_9[J] & v_{12}[J+b] \\ \hline & v_7[*] & v_{10}[*] & v_{11}[J+b] & v_8[I+b+c] \end{array}$$

and hence  $v_8[*]$  can be added to  $P$  by Example 5.5. Then the claim follows and in this case  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 1/4$  since  $|I| + |J| \leq N$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.35.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{12B}$  with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \omega(\Sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* Using (7.24)–(7.28) we obtain

$$\omega(\Sigma) = \begin{cases} 11/36 & \text{if } a = 1 \text{ and } b + c = 0, \\ 5/18 & \text{if } a = 1 \text{ and } b + c \neq 0, \\ 5/18 & \text{if } a \neq 1 \text{ and } b + c = 0, \\ 1/4 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

First notice that if  $N = 2$  then  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 1$  and  $c = 1$ , by Lemma 7.33(6). Hence Lemma 7.34 implies  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \frac{3N+1}{12N} = 7/24 < 11/36 = \omega(\Sigma)$  and the claim holds. So we may assume that  $N > 2$ .

If  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$  or  $b + c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$  then Lemma 7.34 and  $N \geq 3$  imply that


$$\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \frac{3N+1}{12N} \leq 10/36 \leq \omega(\Sigma).$$

Otherwise  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 1/4 = \omega(\Sigma)$  by Lemma 7.34.  $\square$

#### 7.16. The graph $\Sigma_{12C}$ .

**Lemma 7.36.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{12C}$  in Figure 7.16 with simply intersecting cycles. Then the following hold:*

- (1)  $\langle -a - c + 1 \rangle \cap \langle a + 1 \rangle = 0$ ,
- (2)  $\langle a + 1 \rangle \cap \langle -b \rangle = 0$ ,

FIGURE 7.16. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{12C}$  and its coverings

- (3)  $\langle -b \rangle \cap \langle -a - c + 1 \rangle = 0$ ,
- (4)  $\langle -b \rangle \cap \langle b + c \rangle = 0$ ,
- (5)  $\langle b + c \rangle \cap \langle -a - c + 1 \rangle = 0$ ,
- (6)  $\langle b + c \rangle \cap \langle a + 1 \rangle = 0$ .

*Proof.* The  $yx$ -cycles and their labels are:  $(v_1 v_{11} v_9)$  with label  $a + 1$ ,  $(v_2 v_4 v_{10})$  with  $-b$ ,  $(v_3 v_7 v_6)$  with  $-a - c + 1$  and  $(v_5 v_8 v_{12})$  with  $b + c$ . The  $xy$ -cycles are:  $(v_1 v_8 v_4)$  with  $-a - c + 1$ ,  $(v_2 v_{12} v_7)$  with  $a + 1$ ,  $(v_{11} v_3 v_5)$  with  $-b$  and  $(v_9 v_{10} v_6)$  with  $b + c$ . Then the claim follows from Lemma 4.15.  $\square$

**Example 7.37.** *The trivial covering of  $\Sigma_{12C}$  is isomorphic to the Hurwitz orbit of size 12 of [6, Figure 12]. The covering  $\Sigma_{12C}^{2;1,0,0}$  is isomorphic to the Hurwitz orbit of size 24 of [6, Figure 14].*

**Lemma 7.38.** *Assume that  $N/n \geq 6$  and  $n \geq 5$ . Then*

$$n \frac{N/n + 1}{2} + \frac{N/n - 1}{2} + \frac{N}{n} \leq N.$$

*Proof.* After multiplication with  $2n$  the inequality takes the form  $Nn - 3N + n - 2n^2 \geq 0$ , or  $(N - 6n)(n - 3) + 4n(n - 5) + 3n \geq 0$ .  $\square$



**Lemma 7.39.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{12C}$  in Figure 7.16 with simply intersecting cycles. Then*

$$\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \begin{cases} 1/3 & \text{if } \Sigma \text{ is the trivial covering,} \\ 7/24 & \text{if } \Sigma = \Sigma_{12C}^{2;1,0,0}, \\ 7/24 & \text{if } 1+a=1-a-c=b=0, b+c \neq 0, \\ 5/18 & \text{if } 1+a=1-a-c=0, b, b+c \neq 0, \\ 1/4 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The cycle structure at each vertex is the following:

$$(7.29) \quad v_1[i], v_7[i] : \text{cycles of length } 3|\langle 1-a-c \rangle| \text{ and } 3|\langle 1+a \rangle|,$$

$$(7.30) \quad v_2[i], v_{11}[i] : \text{cycles of length } 3|\langle 1+a \rangle| \text{ and } 3|\langle -b \rangle|,$$

$$(7.31) \quad v_3[i], v_4[i] : \text{cycles of length } 3|\langle -b \rangle| \text{ and } 3|\langle 1-a-c \rangle|,$$

$$(7.32) \quad v_5[i], v_{10}[i] : \text{cycles of length } 3|\langle b+c \rangle| \text{ and } 3|\langle -b \rangle|,$$

$$(7.33) \quad v_6[i], v_8[i] : \text{cycles of length } 3|\langle b+c \rangle| \text{ and } 3|\langle 1-a-c \rangle|,$$

$$(7.34) \quad v_9[i], v_{12}[i] : \text{cycles of length } 3|\langle b+c \rangle| \text{ and } 3|\langle 1+a \rangle|,$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$(7.35) \quad |\langle 1+a \rangle| \leq |\langle 1-a-c \rangle| \leq |\langle -b \rangle| \leq |\langle b+c \rangle|.$$

We split the proof into five cases according to the number of trivial groups in (7.35). First assume that  $1+a=1-a-c=b=b+c=0$ . Then  $N=1$  (the trivial covering) or  $N=2$  and  $(a, b, c) = (1, 0, 0)$ . Since these orbits appear as Hurwitz orbits of braided racks, see Example 7.37, the claim follows from [6, Proposition 10].

Assume now that  $1+a=a+c-1=b=0$  and  $b+c \neq 0$ . Then  $a=-1$ ,  $b=0$ ,  $c=2$  and  $N \geq 3$ . Let  $I$  be a set of representatives for  $\mathbb{Z}_N/\langle b+c \rangle$ . Then  $|I| \leq N/2$ . We claim that  $P = v_1[*] \cup v_2[*] \cup v_3[*] \cup v_5[I]$  is a plague. With the sequence of pivots  $v_1[*], v_2[*], v_3[*], v_4[I], v_5[I-1], v_6[I], v_7[I+c], v_9[I+c], v_{10}[I+c]$ , we can add  $v_7[*], v_{11}[*], v_4[*], v_6[I], v_{10}[I-b], v_8[I+c], v_9[I+c], v_{12}[I+c]$ , and  $v_5[I+b+c]$  to  $P$ . Hence the claim follows from Example 5.5 for the fiber over  $v_5$ .

Now assume that exactly two groups of (7.35) are trivial, i.e.,  $1+a=a+c-1=0$ ,  $b \neq 0$  and  $b+c \neq 0$ . Then  $a=-1$ ,  $c=2$  and  $1 < |\langle b \rangle| \leq |\langle b+c \rangle|$ . From Lemma 7.33 we obtain  $\langle b \rangle \cap \langle b+c \rangle = 0$  and hence  $|\langle b+c \rangle| \geq 3$ . Therefore the previous computation with  $|I| \leq N/3$  yields the claim.

Finally, assume that  $1-a-c \neq 0$ ,  $b \neq 0$ ,  $b+c \neq 0$ . We prove that  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 1/4$ . Let  $n = |\langle b+c \rangle|$ . Instead of  $|\langle 1-a-c \rangle| \leq |\langle b \rangle| \leq |\langle b+c \rangle|$  we assume that  $|\langle 1-a-c \rangle|, |\langle b \rangle| \leq n$  and  $n|\langle 1-a-c \rangle| \neq N$ . This is possible since any subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  is uniquely determined by its order and any two of these three subgroups have trivial intersection by Lemma 7.36. Observe that  $n \geq 5$ . We claim that there exist two subsets  $I, J \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  with  $|I| + |J| \leq N$ , such that

$$(7.36) \quad P = v_1[*] \cup v_2[*] \cup v_3[I] \cup v_5[J]$$

is a plague. We split the proof into several steps.

**Step 1.** The following computation shows that if  $x + a + c \in I$  and  $x + b + c \in I \cap J$ , then  $x$  can be added to  $J$ :

pivot	
$v_3[*]$	$v_4[*]$
$v_1[I]$	$v_7[I - a + 1]$
$v_4[I \cap J]$	$v_6[I \cap J]$
$v_5[(I - 1) \cap (J - 1)]$	$v_{10}[(I - b) \cap (J - b)]$
$v_{11}[(I - b - 1) \cap (J - b - 1)]$	$v_{12}[(I - b) \cap (J - b)]$
$v_9[(I - a) \cap (I - b) \cap (J - b)]$	$v_8[(I - a) \cap (I - b) \cap (J - b)]$
$v_6[(I - a - c) \cap (I - b - c) \cap (J - b - c)]$	$v_5[(I - a - c) \cap (I - b - c) \cap (J - b - c)]$

**Step 2.** We claim that if  $x$  satisfies  $x + a + c - 1 \in I$ ,  $x + a + c \in I$  and  $x + b + c \in I \cap J$ , then  $x$  can be added to  $I$  and  $J$ . This follows from Step 1 and the following fact: if  $x + a + c - 1 \in I$  and  $x \in J$ , then  $x$  can be added to  $I$ . The proof is contained in the following table:

pivot	
$v_3[*]$	$v_4[*]$
$v_6[J]$	$v_8[J + c]$
$v_7[J + c]$	$v_9[J + c]$
$v_1[I]$	$v_7[I + 1 - a]$
$v_8[(I - a) \cap (J + c - 1)]$	$v_6[(I - a - c + 1) \cap J]$
$v_4[(I + 1 - a - c) \cap J]$	$v_3[(I - a - c + 1) \cap J]$

**Step 3.** Since  $\langle a + c - 1 \rangle \cap \langle b + c \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle b + c \rangle = \langle N/n \rangle$ , it follows that  $a + c - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N/n}$ . The order of  $a + c - 1$  modulo  $N/n$  is the same as modulo  $N$ , as  $\langle a + c - 1 \rangle \cap \langle b + c \rangle = 0$ . Since  $n|\langle a + c - 1 \rangle| \neq N$ , we obtain that  $a + c - 1 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{N/n}$ . Using the prime factorization of  $N$  and three nonzero parameters, from the conditions on the parameters we also get that  $N/n \geq 6$ . (The generators of the groups generated by the nonzero parameters have at least two distinct prime factors.)

**Step 4.** Let  $J$  be a set of representatives for  $\mathbb{Z}_N/\langle b + c \rangle$ . Let

$$I = J \cup \{i + \langle b + c \rangle \mid 0 \leq i \leq (N/n - 1)/2\}.$$

Then  $|J| = N/n$  and  $|I| \leq n \frac{N/n+1}{2} + \frac{N/n-1}{2}$ . By Lemma 7.38,  $|I| + |J| \leq N$ . So it is enough to show that (7.36) is a plague. For that purpose, we use Example 5.7 with  $m = N/n$  and  $\lambda = 1 - a - c$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.40.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{12C}$  with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \omega(\Sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 7.39, without loss of generality we may assume that  $|\langle 1 + a \rangle| \leq |\langle 1 - a - c \rangle| \leq |\langle -b \rangle| \leq |\langle b + c \rangle|$ . A straightforward

computation shows that

$$(7.37) \quad \omega(\Sigma) = \begin{cases} 1/3 & \text{if } \Sigma \text{ is the trivial covering of } \Sigma_{12C}, \\ 7/24 & \text{if } 1+a=0, 1-a-c=0, b=0, b+c=0, N=2, \\ 7/24 & \text{if } 1+a=0, 1-a-c=0, b=0, b+c \neq 0, \\ 41/144 & \text{if } 1+a=0, 1-a-c=0, b \neq 0, b+c \neq 0, \\ 13/48 & \text{if } 1+a=0, 1-a-c \neq 0, b \neq 0, b+c \neq 0, \\ 1/4 & \text{if } 1+a \neq 0, 1-a-c \neq 0, b \neq 0, b+c \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence the claim follows from Lemma 7.39.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.41.** *Let  $X$  be an injective indecomposable rack. Assume that  $X$  has at least one Hurwitz orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  isomorphic to the covering  $\Sigma_{12C}^{1;0,0,0}$ . Then  $x \triangleright (x \triangleright y) = z$  for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{O}$ .*

*Proof.* See the proof of [6, Proposition 9].  $\square$




FIGURE 7.17. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{18A}$

#### 7.17. The graph $\Sigma_{18A}$ .

**Lemma 7.42.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{18A}$  in Figure 7.17 with simply intersecting cycles. Then*

- (1)  $\langle -a + c + d + 1 \rangle \cap \langle a + 1 \rangle = 0$ ,
- (2)  $\langle -a + c + d + 1 \rangle \cap \langle -c + 1 \rangle = 0$ ,

- (3)  $\langle -a + c + d + 1 \rangle \cap \langle -b - d \rangle = 0$ ,
- (4)  $\langle -a + c + d + 1 \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle = 0$ ,
- (5)  $\langle a + 1 \rangle \cap \langle -c + 1 \rangle = 0$ ,
- (6)  $\langle a + 1 \rangle \cap \langle -b - d \rangle = 0$ ,
- (7)  $\langle a + 1 \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle = 0$ ,
- (8)  $\langle -c + 1 \rangle \cap \langle -b - d \rangle = 0$ ,
- (9)  $\langle -b - d \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle = 0$ .

*Proof.* The  $xy$ -cycles and their labels are:  $(v_1 v_{14} v_{10} v_7)$  with  $-a + c + d + 1$ ,  $(v_2 v_5 v_{18} v_{13})$  with  $1 + a$ ,  $(v_3 v_8 v_4)$  with  $1 - c$ ,  $(v_6 v_9 v_{11} v_{17})$  with  $-b - d$  and  $(v_{12} v_{15} v_{16})$  with  $b$ . The  $yx$ -cycles are:  $(v_1 v_4 v_{17} v_{15})$  with  $1 + a$ ,  $(v_2 v_7 v_6)$  with  $1 - c$ ,  $(v_3 v_{13} v_{12} v_9)$  with  $-a + c + d + 1$ ,  $(v_5 v_8 v_{10} v_{16})$  with  $-b - d$  and  $(v_{11} v_{14} v_{18})$  with  $b$ . Lemma 4.15 implies the claim.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.43.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{18A}$  with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \omega(\Sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a set of representatives for  $\mathbb{Z}_N / \langle b \rangle$ . We claim that

$$P = v_1[*] \cup v_2[*] \cup v_3[*] \cup v_5[*] \cup v_{11}[I]$$

is a plague. With the sequence of pivots  $v_1[*]$ ,  $v_3[*]$ ,  $v_4[*]$ ,  $v_8[*]$ ,  $v_7[*]$ ,  $v_6[*]$ ,  $v_9[*]$  and  $v_5[*]$  we can add  $v_{13}[*]$ ,  $v_7[*]$ ,  $v_6[*]$ ,  $v_9[*]$ ,  $v_8[*]$ ,  $v_4[*]$ ,  $v_{10}[*]$ , and  $v_{17}[*]$  to  $P$ . Then with the sequence of pivots  $v_{16}[I - b]$ ,  $v_{15}[I - b]$ , and  $v_{12}[I - b]$  we can add  $v_{18}[I - b]$ ,  $v_{14}[I - b]$ , and  $v_{11}[I - b]$  to  $P$ . Hence the claim follows from Example 5.5.


The cycle structure of  $\Sigma$  at the vertices is the following:

- (7.38)  $v_1[i], v_{13}[i]$  : cycles of length  $4|\langle -a + c + d + 1 \rangle|$  and  $4|\langle 1 + a \rangle|$ ,
- (7.39)  $v_2[i], v_4[i]$  : cycles of length  $4|\langle 1 + a \rangle|$  and  $3|\langle 1 - c \rangle|$ ,
- (7.40)  $v_3[i], v_7[i]$  : cycles of length  $3|\langle 1 - c \rangle|$  and  $4|\langle -a + c + d + 1 \rangle|$ ,
- (7.41)  $v_5[i], v_{17}[i]$  : cycles of length  $4|\langle 1 + a \rangle|$  and  $4|\langle -b - d \rangle|$ ,
- (7.42)  $v_6[i], v_8[i]$  : cycles of length  $4|\langle -b - d \rangle|$  and  $3|\langle 1 - c \rangle|$ ,
- (7.43)  $v_9[i], v_{10}[i]$  : cycles of length  $4|\langle -b - d \rangle|$  and  $4|\langle -a + c + d + 1 \rangle|$ ,
- (7.44)  $v_{11}[i], v_{16}[i]$  : cycles of length  $4|\langle -b - d \rangle|$  and  $3|\langle b \rangle|$ ,
- (7.45)  $v_{12}[i], v_{14}[i]$  : cycles of length  $4|\langle -a + c + d + 1 \rangle|$  and  $3|\langle b \rangle|$ ,
- (7.46)  $v_{15}[i], v_{18}[i]$  : cycles of length  $4|\langle 1 + a \rangle|$  and  $3|\langle b \rangle|$ ,

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ . If  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$  and  $c \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$  then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 5/18 = \omega(\Sigma)$ . Otherwise, using a graph isomorphism, we may assume that  $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ . Then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq (4N + N/2)/18N = 1/4 \leq \omega(\Sigma)$ .  $\square$

#### 7.18. The graph $\Sigma_{24A}$ .

**Lemma 7.44.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a covering of  $\Sigma_{24A}$  with simply intersecting cycles. Then  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 1/4 = \omega(\Sigma)$ .*

FIGURE 7.18. Schreier graph  $\Sigma_{24A}$ 

*Proof.* First we prove that  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq 1/4$ . A straightforward computation shows that  $v_1[*] \cup v_2[*] \cup v_3[*] \cup v_4[*] \cup v_7[*] \cup v_{13}[*]$  is a plague. To prove that  $\omega(\Sigma) = 1/4$  observe that in every covering all cycles have length  $\geq 4$ .  $\square$

## 8. NICHOLS ALGEBRAS WITH MANY CUBIC RELATIONS

We refer to [2] for an introduction to Nichols algebras and Yetter-Drinfeld modules. Some elementary facts can also be found in Section 1. Let  $\mathbb{k}$  be a field,  $G$  be a group, and  $V$  be an absolutely irreducible finite-dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld module over the group algebra  $\mathbb{k}G$ . Recall that  $V$  decomposes as  $V = \oplus_{x \in G} V_x$ , where  $V_x = \{v \in V \mid \delta(v) = x \otimes v\}$  and  $\delta : V \rightarrow \mathbb{k}G \otimes V$  is the left coaction of  $\mathbb{k}G$  on  $V$ . The *support* of  $V$  is the set

$$\text{supp}(V) = \{x \in G \mid V_x \neq 0\}.$$

Recall from [6, Section 2.1] that a Nichols algebra  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  has *many cubic relations* if

$$(8.1) \quad \dim \ker(1 + c_{12} + c_{12}c_{23}) \geq \frac{1}{3} \dim V ((\dim V)^2 - 1).$$

Let  $X = \text{supp}(V)$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $e = \dim V_x$ . Assume that  $X$  is an indecomposable rack of size  $d > 1$ . Since  $V = \oplus_{x \in X} V_x$ , we conclude that

$V^{\otimes 3} = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{O}} V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes 3}$ , where the direct sum is taken over all Hurwitz orbits and

$$V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes 3} = \bigoplus_{(x,y,z) \in \mathcal{O}} V_x \otimes V_y \otimes V_z.$$

Each  $V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes 3}$  is invariant under the map  $1 + c_{12} + c_{12}c_{23}$ .

**Lemma 8.1.** *Assume that  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  has many cubic relations. Then*

$$\sum_{\mathcal{O}} \text{imm}(\mathcal{O}) \dim V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes 3} \geq \frac{de}{3} ((de)^2 - 1).$$

where the sum is taken over all Hurwitz orbits of  $X^3$ .

*Proof.* It follows from [6, Proposition 6] and (8.1).  $\square$

**Remark 8.2.** By Theorem 6.2, the inequality  $\text{imm}(\mathcal{O}) \leq \omega(\mathcal{O})$  holds for  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq X^3$  if the quotient  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$  does not have  $xy$ -cycles of length  $\geq 5$ .

**Lemma 8.3.** *Assume that  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  has many cubic relations. Also assume that  $\text{imm}(\mathcal{O}) \leq \omega(\mathcal{O})$  for all  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq X^3$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\mathcal{O}} \omega(\mathcal{O}) \dim V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes 3} \geq \frac{de}{3} ((de)^2 - 1).$$

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 8.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.4.** *Assume that  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  has many cubic relations. Also assume that  $\text{imm}(\mathcal{O}) \leq \omega(\mathcal{O})$  for all  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq X^3$ . Then*

$$\sum_{p,q \geq 1} k_p k_q (3\omega'_{p,q} - 1) + \left( \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{8} \right) k_3 + \frac{1}{4} k_4 \geq -1.$$

Further, if the covering  $\Sigma_{6A}^{4;2,2}$  appears in  $X^3$  then  $k_4 \geq 4$ , otherwise

$$\sum_{p,q \geq 1} k_p k_q (3\omega'_{p,q} - 1) + \left( \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{8} \right) k_3 \geq -1.$$

*Proof.* The claim on  $k_4$  holds by Lemma 7.18(1). We prove the first inequality of the lemma, the second is analogous. Since  $\dim V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes 3} = |\mathcal{O}|e^3$  and  $(de)^2 - 1 \geq (de)^2 - e^2$ , we may assume that  $e = 1$ . By Lemma 8.3,

$$(8.2) \quad \sum_{v \in X^3} \omega(v) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \omega(\mathcal{O}) |\mathcal{O}| \geq d(d^2 - 1)/3.$$

By Lemmas 7.12, 7.18 and 7.41,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\{ v \in X^3 : v \in \Sigma_{4A}^{5;3,2}, v \in v_1[*] \right\} \right| &\leq dk_3, \\ \left| \left\{ v \in X^3 : v \in \Sigma_{6A}^{4;2,2}, v \in v_3[*] \right\} \right| &\leq dk_4, \\ \left| \left\{ v \in X^3 : v \in \Sigma_{12C}^{1;0,0,0} \right\} \right| &\leq dk_3. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $\omega$  in Section 6, (8.2) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{p,q \geq 1} k_p k_q \omega'_{p,q} d + \frac{dk_3}{30} + \frac{dk_4}{12} + \frac{dk_3}{24} \geq \frac{d(d^2 - 1)}{3}.$$

Since  $\sum k_p k_q = d^2$ , the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.5.** *Assume that  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  has many cubic relations. Also assume that  $\text{imm}(\mathcal{O}) \leq \omega(\mathcal{O})$  for all  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq X^3$ . Then*

$$(8.3) \quad (k_3 + k'_3 - 5)^2 + \frac{11}{5}k_3 + k'^2_3 \leq 49.$$

*Further, if the covering  $\Sigma_{6A}^{4;2,2}$  appears in  $X^3$  then  $k_4 \geq 4$ , otherwise*

$$(8.4) \quad (k_3 + k'_3 - 4)^2 + \frac{1}{5}k_3 + k'^2_3 \leq 40.$$

*Proof.* The claim follows from Lemma 8.4 and the definition of  $\omega'_{p,q}$ . Note that  $k_4 \leq k'_3$ .  $\square$

*Remark 8.6.* Recall that  $k_n \neq 1$  for all  $n \geq 3$ . In [6], the case where  $X$  is braided was completely classified. Thus we may assume that  $X$  is not braided. Hence  $k'_3 \geq 2$ . Corollary 8.5 implies that  $k'_3 \leq 6$ . Further, if  $k'_3 = 2$  then  $k_4 \leq 2$  and  $0 \leq k_3 \leq 7$ . If  $k'_3 = 3$  then  $0 \leq k_3 \leq 6$ . If  $k'_3 = 4$  then  $0 \leq k_3 \leq 5$ . If  $k'_3 = 5$  then  $0 \leq k_3 \leq 3$ . Finally, if  $k'_3 = 6$  then  $k_3 = 0$ . In particular,  $k_3 + k'_3 \leq 9$ . If the covering  $\Sigma_{6A}^{4;2,2}$  does not appear, then we get the same solutions except  $(k_3, k'_3) = (5, 4)$  and  $(3, 5)$ .

**Lemma 8.7.** *Assume that  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  has many cubic relations. Also assume that  $\text{imm}(\mathcal{O}) \leq \omega(\mathcal{O})$  for all  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq X^3$ . Then  $|X| \leq 33$ .*

*Proof.* By Remark 8.6, we may assume that  $\varphi_x$  with  $x \in X$  moves at most 9 elements of  $X$ . There are 29 possible profiles for  $\varphi_x$  if we ignore the number of fixed points. Using Proposition 3.2 we can exclude 11 profiles and are left with the list  $1^a 2$ ,  $1^a 3$ ,  $1^a 2^2$ ,  $1^a 4$ ,  $1^a 5$ ,  $1^a 2^3$ ,  $1^a 24$ ,  $1^a 3^2$ ,  $1^a 6$ ,  $1^a 7$ ,  $1^a 2^4$ ,  $1^a 2^2 4$ ,  $1^a 26$ ,  $1^a 4^2$ ,  $1^a 8$ ,  $1^a 3^3$ ,  $1^a 36$ ,  $1^a 9$ , where  $a \geq 1$  is arbitrary. Theorem 2.7 tells that

$$|X| \leq \left( \sum_{j \geq 2} a_j \right) (k'_2 - 2) + k'_2 + 1.$$

Since  $k'_2 \leq 9$  is the number of points moved by  $\varphi_x$ ,  $x \in X$ , we conclude that  $|X| \leq 31$  if  $\sum_{j \geq 2} a_j \leq 3$ . The only profile not satisfying the latter inequality is  $1^a 2^4$ . For this we get  $|X| \leq 33$ . This yields the claim.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.8.** *Assume that  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  has many cubic relations, and the rack  $X$  satisfies  $k'_3(X) \neq 0$ . Also assume that  $\text{imm}(\mathcal{O}) \leq \omega(\mathcal{O})$  for all  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq X^3$ . Then  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{D}_5$ ,  $\mathbb{D}_7$ ,  $\text{Aff}(5, 2)$ ,  $\text{Aff}(5, 3)$ ,  $\text{Aff}(7, 2)$  or  $\text{Aff}(7, 4)$ .*

*Remark 8.9.* In fact, in Lemma 8.8 the rack  $X$  has to be isomorphic to  $\text{Aff}(5, 2)$  or  $\text{Aff}(5, 3)$ . The proof of this fact is more complicated and it is not needed here.

Rack	Rank	Dimension	Hilbert series	Remark
$\{1\}$	1	$n \geq 2$	$(n)_t$	
$(12)^{\mathbb{S}_3}$	3	12	$(2)_t^2(3)_t$	
$(12)^{\mathbb{S}_3}$	3	432	$(3)_t(4)_t(6)_t(6)_{t^2}$	$\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 2$
$(123)^{\mathbb{A}_3}$	4	36	$(2)_t^2(3)_t^2$	$\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 2$
$(123)^{\mathbb{A}_4}$	4	72	$(2)_t^2(3)_t(6)_t$	$\text{char } \mathbb{k} \neq 2$
$(123)^{\mathbb{A}_4}$	4	5184	$(6)_t^4(2)_{t^2}^2$	
$(12)^{\mathbb{S}_4}$	6	576	$(2)_t^2(3)_t^2(4)_t^2$	
$(12)^{\mathbb{S}_4}$	6	576	$(2)_t^2(3)_t^2(4)_t^2$	
$(1234)^{\mathbb{S}_4}$	6	576	$(2)_t^2(3)_t^2(4)_t^2$	
$\text{Aff}(5, 2)$	5	1280	$(4)_t^4(5)_t$	
$\text{Aff}(5, 3)$	5	1280	$(4)_t^4(5)_t$	
$\text{Aff}(7, 3)$	7	326592	$(6)_t^6(7)_t$	
$\text{Aff}(7, 5)$	7	326592	$(6)_t^6(7)_t$	
$(12)^{\mathbb{S}_5}$	10	8294400	$(4)_t^4(5)_t^2(6)_t^4$	
$(12)^{\mathbb{S}_5}$	10	8294400	$(4)_t^4(5)_t^2(6)_t^4$	

TABLE 9.1. Finite-dimensional elementary Nichols algebras

*Proof.* By Lemma 8.7,  $|X| \leq 33$ . Since indecomposable quandles of size  $\leq 35$  were classified in [10], a straightforward computer calculation gives a complete list of indecomposable non-braided injective quandles satisfying (8.3). We obtain the list given in the lemma. The following table contains some properties of these racks.

Quandle	Sizes of orbits	Remark
$\mathbb{D}_5$	1, 24	$k_7 = 6$
$\text{Aff}(5, 2)$ and $\text{Aff}(5, 3)$	1, 24	
$\mathbb{D}_7$	1, 48	
$\text{Aff}(7, 2)$ and $\text{Aff}(7, 4)$	1, 42, 49	

This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 9. COMPUTATION OF THE 2-COCYCLES AND THE MAIN THEOREM

Let  $X$  be one of the racks  $\text{Aff}(5, 2)$  or  $\text{Aff}(5, 3)$ . Recall that  $G_X$  is the enveloping group of  $X$ . For all  $i \in X$  let  $x_i$  be the image of  $i$  under the canonical map  $X \rightarrow G_X$ .

**Lemma 9.1.** [5, Lemma 5.4] *The centralizer of  $x_1$  in  $G_X$  is the cyclic group generated by  $x_1$ .*

Let  $\rho$  be an absolutely irreducible representation of  $C_{G_X}(x_1)$ . By straightforward but lengthy calculations we obtain the following.



**Lemma 9.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq X^3$  be a Hurwitz orbit of size 24. Then*

$$\dim \ker(1 + c_{12} + c_{12}c_{23})|_{V^{\mathcal{O}^3}} \leq \begin{cases} 8 & \text{if } \rho(x_1) = -1, \\ 5 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 9.3.** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $V$  be a finite-dimensional absolutely irreducible Yetter-Drinfeld module over  $G$ , and  $X = \text{supp } V$ . Assume that  $X$  is an indecomposable rack such that no quotient of a Hurwitz orbit in  $X^3$  contains an  $xy$ -cycle of length  $\geq 5$ . Also assume that  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  has many cubic relations.*

(1) *The rack  $X$  is isomorphic to one of the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} & (12)^{\mathbb{S}_n} \text{ for } n \in \{3, 4, 5\}, \\ & (1234)^{\mathbb{S}_4}, (123)^{\mathbb{A}_4}, \\ & \text{Aff}(p, \alpha) \text{ for } (p, \alpha) \in \{(5, 2), (5, 3), (7, 3), (7, 5)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *The Nichols algebra  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  is bg-equivalent to one of the Nichols algebras listed in Table 9.1.*

(3) *The Hilbert series of  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  is  $t$ -integral of depth two.*

*Remark 9.4.* Table 9.1 does not contain the information on the 2-cocycle of  $X$  corresponding to  $V$ . For the racks  $\text{Aff}(5, 2)$  and  $\text{Aff}(5, 3)$  we use the constant 2-cocycle  $-1$ , see the proof of Theorem 9.3. For the others, see [6, Appendix A].

*Proof.* In [6, Theorem 11] the theorem was proved under the additional assumption that  $X$  is braided. One obtains the same racks except  $\text{Aff}(5, 2)$  and  $\text{Aff}(5, 3)$ . Assume now that  $X$  is not braided, i.e.,  $k'_3(X) \neq 0$ . By Lemma 8.8,  $X$  is one of the racks  $\text{Aff}(5, 2)$ ,  $\text{Aff}(5, 3)$ ,  $\mathbb{D}_5$ ,  $\mathbb{D}_7$ ,  $\text{Aff}(7, 2)$  and  $\text{Aff}(7, 4)$ . The racks  $\mathbb{D}_5$ ,  $\mathbb{D}_7$ ,  $\text{Aff}(7, 2)$  and  $\text{Aff}(7, 4)$  can be excluded since they have  $xy$ -cycles of length  $\geq 5$  in some Hurwitz orbit quotients.

Let now  $X = \text{Aff}(5, 2)$  or  $X = \text{Aff}(5, 3)$ . Then  $X^3$  decomposes into five Hurwitz orbits of size one and five of size 24. Since  $\mathfrak{B}(V)$  has many cubic relations, (8.1) and Lemma 9.2 imply that  $\rho(x_1) = -1$ . Moreover, in this case (8.1) holds and the Nichols algebras of these  $V$  are known to be finite-dimensional, see e.g. [5, Proposition 5.15]. The claim on the Hilbert series is known for all Nichols algebras in Table 9.1.  $\square$

*Remark 9.5.* In Theorem 9.3 the assumption about the length of an  $xy$ -cycle in the quotient of a Hurwitz orbit in  $X^3$  can be replaced by the following weaker assumption, see Theorem 6.2:

$$\text{imm}(\mathcal{O}) \leq \omega(\mathcal{O})$$

for all Hurwitz orbits  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $X^3$ . To prove this, we exclude the racks  $\mathbb{D}_5$ ,  $\mathbb{D}_7$ ,  $\text{Aff}(7, 2)$  and  $\text{Aff}(7, 4)$ . Straightforward calculations yield the information listed in Table 9.2. Hence the inequality of Lemma 8.1 cannot be satisfied

Rack	Size of orbits	Number	Bound for immunity
$\mathbb{D}_5$	1	5	1
	24	5	7/24
$\mathbb{D}_7$	1	7	1
	48	7	14/48
$\text{Aff}(7, \alpha)$ $\alpha = 2, 4$	1	1	1
	42	1	9/42
	49	6	15/49

TABLE 9.2. Some small racks

for the racks  $\mathbb{D}_7$ ,  $\text{Aff}(7, 2)$  and  $\text{Aff}(7, 4)$ . The rack  $\mathbb{D}_5$  cannot be excluded with this argument. However, it can be excluded by computing

$$\dim \ker(1 + c_{12} + c_{12}c_{23})|_{V_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes 3}},$$

where  $\mathcal{O}$  is an orbit of size 24. This is essentially what we did for the racks  $\text{Aff}(5, 2)$  and  $\text{Aff}(5, 3)$ .

We conclude the paper with the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 9.6.** *The inequality  $\text{imm}(\Sigma) \leq \omega(\Sigma)$  holds for all  $\mathbb{B}_3$ -spaces  $\Sigma$  with simply intersecting cycles.*

According to Remark 9.5, Conjecture 9.6 implies Conjecture 1.1 formulated in the introduction.

**Acknowledgement.** We used GAP (<http://www.gap-system.org/>) and Rig (<http://code.google.com/p/rig/>) for some computations. István Heckenberger was supported by German Research Foundation via a Heisenberg professorship. Leandro Vendramin was supported by Conicet and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Andruskiewitsch and M. Graña. From racks to pointed Hopf algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 178(2):177–243, 2003.
- [2] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider. Pointed Hopf algebras. In *New directions in Hopf algebras*, volume 43 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 1–68. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [3] E. Brieskorn. Automorphic sets and braids and singularities. In *Braids (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986)*, volume 78 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 45–115. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- [4] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein and M. Coornaert. *Cellular automata and groups*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [5] M. Graña, I. Heckenberger, and L. Vendramin. Nichols algebras of group type with many quadratic relations. *Adv. Math.*, 227(5):1956–1989, 2011.
- [6] I. Heckenberger, A. Lochmann, and L. Vendramin. Braided racks, Hurwitz actions and Nichols algebras with many cubic relations. *Transform. Groups*, 17(1):157–194, 2012.

- [7] A. Hurwitz. Ueber Riemann'sche Flächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten. *Math. Ann.*, 39(1):1–60, 1891.
- [8] C. Kassel and V. Turaev. *Braid groups*, volume 247 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2008. With the graphical assistance of Olivier Dodane.
- [9] R. A. Rankin. *Modular forms and functions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1977.
- [10] L. Vendramin. On the classification of quandles of low order. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 21(9):1250088, 10, 2012.

PHILIPPS-UNIVERSITÄT MARBURG, FB MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, HANS-MEERWEIN-STRASSE, 35032 MARBURG, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `heckenberger@mathematik.uni-marburg.de`

*E-mail address:* `lochmann@mathematik.uni-marburg.de`

*E-mail address:* `lvendramin@dm.uba.ar`