

# Criterion for the Buchstaber invariant of simplicial complexes to be equal to two.

Nickolai Erokhovets \*

## Abstract

In this paper we study the Buchstaber invariant of simplicial complexes, which comes from toric topology. With each simplicial complex  $K$  on  $m$  vertices we can associate a moment-angle complex  $\mathcal{Z}_K$  with a canonical action of the compact torus  $T^m$ . Then  $s(K)$  is the maximal dimension of a toric subgroup that acts freely on  $\mathcal{Z}_K$ . We develop the Buchstaber invariant theory from the viewpoint of the set of minimal non-simplices of  $K$ . It is easy to show that  $s(K) = 1$  if and only if any two and any three minimal non-simplices intersect. For  $K = \partial P^*$ , where  $P$  is a simple polytope, this implies that  $P$  is a simplex. The case  $s(P) = 2$  is such more complicated. For example, for any  $k \geq 2$  there exists an  $n$ -polytope with  $n + k$  facets such that  $s(P) = 2$ . Our main result is the criterion for the Buchstaber invariant of a simplicial complex  $K$  to be equal to two.

## 1 Introduction.

For the introduction to toric topology see [BP02]. *Moment-angle space* is a key notion of toric topology. It was introduced by M. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz in [DJ91]. In our paper we use the following construction (see [BP02]).

Let  $K = \{\sigma \subset [m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}\}$  be a simplicial complex on  $m$  vertices. For the pair of topological spaces  $(X, A)$ ,  $A \subseteq X$ , define the  $K$ -power as

$$(X, A)^K = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in X^m : \{i : x_i \notin A\} \in K\}.$$

In particular cases  $(D^2, S^1)$ , where  $D^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ ,  $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ , and  $(D^1, S^0)$ , where  $D^1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| \leq 1\}$ ,  $S^0 = \{\pm 1\}$ , we obtain a *moment-angle complex*  $\mathcal{Z}_K$  and a *real moment-angle complex*  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K \subset \mathcal{Z}_K$ .

There are canonical coordinate actions of  $T^m = (S^1)^m$  on  $\mathcal{Z}_K$ , and  $(S^0)^m$  on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K$ . We will use the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m) &\simeq T^m : (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m) \rightarrow (e^{2\pi i \varphi_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \varphi_m}), \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{Z}_2^m &\simeq (S^0)^m : (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \rightarrow ((-1)^{\alpha_1}, \dots, (-1)^{\alpha_m}), \text{ where } \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the simplex  $\sigma \in K$  define the coordinate subgroup

$$T^\sigma = \{(t_1, \dots, t_m) \in T^m : \{i : t_i \neq 1\} \subset \sigma\}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathcal{Z}_K$ . Define  $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \{i \in [m] : x_i = 0\} \in K$ . Then the stabilizer  $T_{\mathbf{x}}^m$  of the point  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $T^{\sigma(\mathbf{x})}$ , and  $K = \{\sigma(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Z}_K\}$ .

**Definition 1.** A *Buchstaber invariant*  $s(K)$  is the maximal dimension  $s$  of the toric subgroup  $H \subset T^m$ ,  $H \simeq T^s$ , that acts freely on  $\mathcal{Z}_K$ . A *real Buchstaber invariant*  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$  is the maximal dimension  $s$  of the subgroup  $H_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^m$  that acts freely on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K$ .

For a simple polytope  $P$  define  $s(P)$  as the Buchstaber invariant  $s(K)$  of the boundary complex  $K = \partial P^*$  of the polar simplicial polytope. Similarly,  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(P) = s_{\mathbb{R}}(\partial P^*)$ .

If the subgroup  $H \subset T^m$  acts freely on  $\mathcal{Z}_K$ , then the subgroup  $H_2 = H \cap (S^0)^m$  acts freely on  $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K$ , therefore  $s(K) \leq s_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$  for all  $K$ . It can be shown that  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K) \leq m - \dim K - 1$ .

---

\*The work was partially supported by Dmitry Zimin's "Dynasty" foundation, by the Russian Government project 11.G34.31.0053, by the Russian President grants MD-2253.2011.1 and NS-4995.2012.1, and by the RFBR grants No 11-01-00694-a and 12-01-92104-JF-a

**Problem 2** (Victor M. Buchstaber, 2002). To find an EFFECTIVE combinatorial description of  $s(K)$ .

The Buchstaber invariant has been studied since 2001. The problem was originally formulated and studied for simple polytopes. In the case of simple  $n$ -polytope  $P$  with  $m$  facets we have  $1 \leq s(P) \leq m - n$ . I. Izmistiev [Iz01a, Iz01b] proved the estimate  $s(P) \geq m - \gamma(P)$ , where  $\gamma(P)$  is the chromatic number of  $P$ , and found the lower bound in terms of the group of projectivities (see [Jo01]) of  $P$ . The case of simplicial complexes that are skeleta of a simplex was considered by M. Masuda and Y. Fukukawa [FM09]. A. Ayzenberg [Ayz10] proved that  $s(\Gamma) = m - \lceil \log_2(\gamma(\Gamma) + 1) \rceil$  for any graph  $\Gamma$ . For the theory of the Buchstaber invariant see [Ayz10, Ayz11, Er08, Er09, Er11]. In this article we develop the idea that appears after reading [FM09]: to consider the problem from the viewpoint of the set of minimal non-simplices of  $K$ .

I'm grateful to Victor M. Buchstaber for the discussion of the results of this paper. During the discussion he suggested to consider the following modification of his problem.

**Problem 2\***. For any  $r$  to find a combinatorial criterion for the simplicial complex  $K$  to have  $s(K) = r$ .

## 2 Combinatorial descriptions

### 2.1 Minimal non-simplices

The set  $\omega \subset [m]$  is called a *non-simplex*, if  $\omega \notin K$ . Non-simplex  $\omega$  is *minimal*, if it's any proper subset belongs to  $K$ . Denote by  $N(K)$  the set of all minimal non-simplices. We have  $\sigma \in K$  if and only if it does not contain any  $\omega \in N(K)$ , therefore  $N(K)$  determines  $K$  in a unique way.

Minimal non-simplex description of  $K$  is convenient for many reasons. For example,  $K$  is a simplex itself if and only if  $N(K) = \emptyset$ .  $K$  is *flag* if and only if  $|\omega| = 2$  for any  $\omega \in N(K)$ . The *Stanley-Reisner ring* is also defined in these terms:

$$\mathbb{Z}[K] = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m] / (v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_k} : \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \in N(K)).$$

It was proved in [Er09] that if  $[m] = \omega_1 \cup \dots \cup \omega_l$ , where  $\omega_i$  are non-simplices, then

$$s(K) \geq m - \sum_{i=1}^l |\omega_i| + l.$$

In particular,  $s(K) \geq l$ , if the non-simplices are pairwise disjoint.

### 2.2 Buchstaber invariant

Any subgroup  $H \subset T^m$ ,  $H \simeq T^k$ , can be described in two dual ways:

1) Parametrically:

$$H = \{(e^{2\pi i(S_1^1 \psi_1 + \dots + S_1^k \psi_k)}, \dots, e^{2\pi i(S_m^1 \psi_1 + \dots + S_m^k \psi_k)}) : (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k / \mathbb{Z}^k\},$$

where  $S = \{S_i^j\} \in \text{Mat}_{m \times k}(\mathbb{Z})$ , and  $S$  has  $k$  units on the diagonal in the canonical form.

2) As a kernel of the mapping  $T^m \rightarrow T^{m-k}$ :

$$(e^{2\pi i \varphi_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \varphi_m}) \rightarrow (e^{2\pi i(\Lambda_1^1 \varphi_1 + \dots + \Lambda_1^m \varphi_m)}, \dots, e^{2\pi i(\Lambda_{m-k}^1 \varphi_1 + \dots + \Lambda_{m-k}^m \varphi_m)}),$$

where  $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_i^j\} \in \text{Mat}_{(m-k) \times m}(\mathbb{Z})$ , and  $\Lambda$  has  $m - k$  units on the diagonal in the canonical form.

These two descriptions, and two matrices  $S$  and  $\Lambda$  fit into the exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \{1\} & \longrightarrow & T^k & \longrightarrow & T^m & \longrightarrow & T^{m-k} & \longrightarrow & \{1\} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^k & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathbb{Z}^m & \xrightarrow{\Lambda} & \mathbb{Z}^{m-k} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The subgroup  $H$  acts freely if and only if  $H \cap T_{\mathbf{x}}^m = \{1\}$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Z}_K$ . It is enough to consider the points  $\mathbf{x}$  such that the simplex  $\sigma(\mathbf{x})$  is maximal. Since  $T_{\mathbf{x}}^m = T^{\sigma(\mathbf{x})}$ , we obtain that  $H$  acts freely if and only if  $H \cap T^\sigma = \{1\}$  for all maximal simplices  $\sigma \in K$ . This leads to two dual combinatorial descriptions of  $s(K)$ .

Let us make the following notations:

- $A^i$  – the  $i$ -th row of the matrix  $A$ ;
- $A_j$  – the  $j$ -th column of the matrix  $A$ ;
- $A^\omega$  – the matrix, consisting of the rows  $\{A^i: i \in \omega\}$ ;
- $A_\omega$  – the matrix, consisting of the columns  $\{A_j: j \in \omega\}$ ;
- $A^{\hat{\sigma}}$  – the matrix, obtained from  $A$  by deletion of the rows  $\{A^i: i \in \omega\}$ ;
- $A_{\hat{\sigma}}$  – the matrix, obtained from  $A$  by deletion of the columns  $\{A_j: j \in \omega\}$ .

**Proposition 3** ([BP02, Er09]). *We have:*

- (A)  $s(K)$  is the maximal  $k$  that admits a matrix  $S \in \text{Mat}_{m \times k}(\mathbb{Z})$  satisfying the condition: for any maximal simplex  $\sigma \in K$ ,  $|\sigma| = r$ , the columns of the matrix  $S^{\hat{\sigma}}$  form part of a basis in  $\mathbb{Z}^{m-r}$  (equivalently<sup>1</sup>, the rows  $\{S^i: i \notin \sigma\}$  span  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ );
- (B)  $s(K)$  is the maximal  $k$  that admits a matrix  $\Lambda \in \text{Mat}_{(m-k) \times m}(\mathbb{Z})$  satisfying the condition: for any maximal simplex  $\sigma \in K$ ,  $|\sigma| = r$ , the columns  $\{\Lambda_j: j \in \sigma\}$  form part of a basis in  $\mathbb{Z}^{m-k}$  (equivalently, the rows of the matrix  $\Lambda_\sigma$  span  $\mathbb{Z}^r$ );

Similarly in the real case.

**Proposition 4** ([BP02, Er09]). *We have*

- (A2)  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$  is the maximal  $k$  that admits a matrix  $S \in \text{Mat}_{m \times k}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$  satisfying the condition: for any maximal simplex  $\sigma \in K$  the columns of the matrix  $S^{\hat{\sigma}}$  are linearly independent (equivalently<sup>2</sup>, the rows  $\{S^i: i \notin \sigma\}$  span  $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$ );
- (B2)  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$  is the maximal  $k$  that admits a matrix  $\Lambda \in \text{Mat}_{(m-k) \times m}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$  satisfying the condition: for any maximal simplex  $\sigma \in K$ ,  $|\sigma| = r$ , the columns  $\{\Lambda_j: j \in \sigma\}$  are linearly independent (equivalently, the rows of the matrix  $\Lambda_\sigma$  span  $\mathbb{Z}_2^r$ );

We call the matrix  $A$  a 0/1-matrix, if it's entries are zeroes and units.

**Lemma 5** ([Er09]). *For a 0/1-matrix  $A$  of sizes  $2 \times 2$  or  $3 \times 3$  equality  $\det A = 1 \pmod{2}$  implies that  $\det A = \pm 1$ .*

*Proof.* For  $2 \times 2$ -matrices one of the rows should contain 0, therefore we come to the case  $1 \times 1$ .

For a  $3 \times 3$ -matrix  $A$  if one of the rows has two zeroes, we come back to the case  $2 \times 2$ . If all the rows are different and have one zero and two units, then their sum is equal to zero modulo two. Hence up to a transposition of rows and columns we come to the case  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\det A = -1$ .  $\square$

Let us mention that for  $k \times k$ -matrices,  $k \geq 4$ , lemma is not valid. For even  $k$  a counterexample is given by the matrix

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det A_k = (-1)^{k-1}(k-1),$$

and for odd – by the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Lemma 6.** ([Er09]) *For  $r = 1, 2, 3$  we have:  $s(K) \geq r$  if and only if  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K) \geq r$ .*

*Proof.* If the vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r \in \mathbb{Z}_2^l$  are linearly independent, then there is an  $r \times r$ -minor equal to 1. It follows from lemma 5 that it is equal to  $\pm 1$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , therefore these vectors form part of a basis in  $\mathbb{Z}^l$ . Hence the 0/1-matrix  $S$  for  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$  satisfies condition (A) for  $s(K)$ , therefore  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K) \geq r$  implies  $s(K) \geq r$ . The opposite implication follows from the fact that  $s(K) \leq s_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ .  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>This condition was used by HyunWoong Cho and JinHong Kim

<sup>2</sup>This condition in a special case was used in [FM09]

### 2.3 Description of the Buchstaber invariant in terms of minimal non-simplices

**Proposition 7.** *Condition (A) is equivalent to following condition (A\*): for any prime  $p$  and any nonzero vector  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^k$  there exists  $\omega(\mathbf{a}) \in N(K)$  such that  $\langle \mathbf{a}, S^i \rangle \neq 0 \pmod p$  for all  $i \in \omega(\mathbf{a})$ .*

*Proof.* Let condition (A) hold but (A\*) fail. Then there exists prime  $p$  and  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^k \setminus \{0\}$  such that for any  $\omega \in N(K)$  there is  $i_\omega$  with  $\langle \mathbf{a}, S^{i_\omega} \rangle = 0 \pmod p$ . Set  $\sigma = [m] \setminus \{i_\omega : \omega \in N(K)\}$ . Then  $\sigma \neq \emptyset$ , since  $\{S^i, i \in [m]\}$  span  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ . Moreover,  $\sigma \in K$ . Otherwise there is  $\omega \in N(K)$  such that  $\omega \subset \sigma$ , therefore  $i_\omega \in \sigma$ , which is a contradiction. Then all the rows  $\{S^i : i \notin \sigma\}$  lie in the proper subgroup  $\{\mathbf{x} : \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0 \pmod p\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^k$ . This contradicts to the fact that they span  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ .

Now let condition (A\*) hold but (A) fail. Then for some  $\sigma \in K$  the rows  $\{S^i : i \notin \sigma\}$  do not span  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ . Therefore the matrix  $S^\sigma$  in the canonical form has nonnegative number  $c \neq 1$  on the diagonal. This means that there exists a primitive vector  $\mathbf{b}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^k$  such that  $\langle \mathbf{b}, S^i \rangle$  is either 0 (if  $c = 0$ ), or is divided by  $c$  (if  $c > 0$ ) for all  $i \notin \sigma$ . Set  $p = 2$ , if  $c = 0$ ; or any prime divisor of  $c$ , if  $c > 0$ . Set  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b} \pmod p$ . Then  $\mathbf{a} \neq 0$ , and  $\langle \mathbf{a}, S^i \rangle = 0 \pmod p$  for all  $i \notin \sigma$ . On the other hand,  $\omega(\mathbf{a}) \cap ([m] \setminus \sigma) \neq \emptyset$ , therefore for any  $i \in \omega(\mathbf{a}) \setminus \sigma$  we have  $\langle \mathbf{a}, S^i \rangle \neq 0 \pmod p$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.** *Condition (A2) is equivalent to the following condition (A2\*): for any nonzero vector  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^k$  there exists  $\omega(\mathbf{a}) \in N(K)$  such that  $\langle \mathbf{a}, S^i \rangle = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  for all  $i \in \omega(\mathbf{a})$ .*

The proof is similar to the previous one. We give it here for the completeness.

*Proof.* Let condition (A2) hold but (A2\*) fail. Then there exists  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^k \setminus \{0\}$  such that for any  $\omega \in N(K)$  there is  $i_\omega$  with  $\langle \mathbf{a}, S^{i_\omega} \rangle = 0$ . Set  $\sigma = [m] \setminus \{i_\omega : \omega \in N(K)\}$ . Then  $\sigma \neq \emptyset$  since  $\{S^i, i \in [m]\}$  span  $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$ . Moreover,  $\sigma \in K$ . Otherwise there is  $\omega \in N(K)$  such that  $\omega \subset \sigma$ , therefore  $i_\omega \in \sigma$ , which is a contradiction. Then all the rows  $\{S^i : i \notin \sigma\}$  lie in the hyperplane  $\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0$ . This contradicts to the fact that they span  $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$ .

Now let condition (A2\*) hold and (A2) fail. Then for some  $\sigma$  the rows  $\{S^i : i \notin \sigma\}$  do not span  $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$ . Then they lie in some hyperplane  $\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0$ . On the other hand,  $\omega(\mathbf{a}) \cap ([m] \setminus \sigma) \neq \emptyset$ , therefore for any  $i \in \omega(\mathbf{a}) \setminus \sigma$  we have  $\langle \mathbf{a}, S^i \rangle = 1$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

Let us call the linear dependence  $\mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_l = 0$  in the vector space  $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$  minimal if any proper subset of vectors in  $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_l\}$  is linearly independent.

**Proposition 9.** *We have  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K) \geq k$  if and only if there exists a mapping  $\xi: \mathbb{Z}_2^k \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow N(K)$  such that  $\xi(\mathbf{a}_1) \cap \dots \cap \xi(\mathbf{a}_{2r+1}) = \emptyset$  for any minimal linear dependence  $\mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_{2r+1} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K) \geq k$ . Set  $\xi(\mathbf{a}) = \omega(\mathbf{a})$ . Let  $i \in \xi(\mathbf{a}_1) \cap \dots \cap \xi(\mathbf{a}_{2r+1})$ . Then  $\langle \mathbf{a}_j, S^i \rangle = 1$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, 2r+1$ . Hence,  $\langle \mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_{2r+1}, S^i \rangle = 1$ , therefore  $\mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_{2r+1} \neq 0$ .

Now let us prove the "if" part. For any  $i \in [m]$  set  $M_i = \{\mathbf{a} : \xi(\mathbf{a}) \ni i\}$ . Consider the system of equations  $\{\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 1 : \mathbf{a} \in M_i\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_t$  be a maximal linearly independent subset in  $M_i$ . Since any minimal dependence in  $M_i$  contains even number of vectors, we obtain  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_{i_1} + \dots + \mathbf{a}_{i_{2l+1}}$  for any  $\mathbf{a} \in M_i$ . Therefore all the equations are expressed in terms of basic equations, hence the system has solutions. Let  $S^i$  be some of them. Consider the matrix  $S$  consisting of rows  $S^i$ . From construction we have  $\langle \mathbf{a}, S^i \rangle = 1$  for any  $i \in \xi(\mathbf{a})$ , therefore  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K) \geq k$ .  $\square$

## 3 Criteria for $s(K) \geq 1, 2, 3$

Lemma 6 implies that it is enough to consider  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ . The following proposition easily follows from proposition 4 or proposition 9.

**Proposition 10** (Condition (S1)). *We have  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K) \geq 1$  if and only if  $N(K) \neq \emptyset$ , i.e.  $K \neq \Delta^n$ .*

**Proposition 11** (Condition (S2)). *We have  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K) \geq 2$  if and only if  $N(K)$  contains one of the subsets of the form:*

1.  $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3\}$ :  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \cap \tau_3 = \emptyset$ ;
2.  $\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$ :  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2 = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Proposition 9 implies that condition  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K) \geq 2$  is equivalent to the existence of the mapping  $\xi: \mathbb{Z}_2^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow N(K)$  with  $\xi(1,0) \cap \xi(0,1) \cap \xi(1,1) = \emptyset$ . There are two possibilities:

1. The mapping is injective. Set  $\tau_1 = \xi(1,0)$ ,  $\tau_2 = \xi(0,1)$ ,  $\tau_3 = \xi(1,1)$ .
2. Exactly two vectors have the same images. Take them as a basis. Set  $\tau_1 = \xi(1,0) = \xi(0,1)$ , and  $\tau_2 = \xi(1,1)$ .

This proves the "only if" part and gives the mappings for the "if" part.  $\square$

**Proposition 12** (Condition (S3)). *We have  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K) \geq 3$  if and only if  $N(K)$  contains one of the subsets of the form:*

1.  $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, \tau_5, \tau_6, \tau_7\}$ :  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \cap \tau_4 = \emptyset$ ;  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_3 \cap \tau_5 = \emptyset$ ;  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_6 \cap \tau_7 = \emptyset$ ;  
 $\tau_2 \cap \tau_3 \cap \tau_6 = \emptyset$ ;  $\tau_2 \cap \tau_5 \cap \tau_7 = \emptyset$ ;  $\tau_3 \cap \tau_4 \cap \tau_7 = \emptyset$ ;  $\tau_4 \cap \tau_5 \cap \tau_6 = \emptyset$ ;
2.  $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, \tau_5, \tau_6\}$ :  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_3 = \emptyset$ ;  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \cap \tau_4 = \emptyset$ ;  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \cap \tau_5 = \emptyset$ ;  
 $\tau_1 \cap \tau_4 \cap \tau_6 = \emptyset$ ;  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_5 \cap \tau_6 = \emptyset$ ;  $\tau_2 \cap \tau_3 \cap \tau_6 = \emptyset$ ;  $\tau_3 \cap \tau_4 \cap \tau_5 = \emptyset$ ;
3.  $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, \tau_5\}$ :  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2 = \emptyset$ ;  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_5 = \emptyset$ ;  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_3 \cap \tau_4 = \emptyset$ ;  
 $\tau_2 \cap \tau_3 \cap \tau_5 = \emptyset$ ;  $\tau_2 \cap \tau_4 \cap \tau_5 = \emptyset$ ;
4.  $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4\}$ :  $\tau_1 \cap (\tau_2 \cup \tau_3 \cup \tau_4) = \emptyset$ ;  $\tau_2 \cap \tau_3 \cap \tau_4 = \emptyset$ ;
5.  $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3\}$ :  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2 = \tau_1 \cap \tau_3 = \tau_2 \cap \tau_3 = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Proposition 9 implies that condition  $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K) \geq 3$  is equivalent to the existence of the mapping  $\xi: \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow N(K)$  such that  $\xi(\mathbf{a}) \cap \xi(\mathbf{b}) \cap \xi(\mathbf{c}) = \emptyset$  for any triple of pairwise distinct vectors  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$  with  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} = 0$ . There are exactly 7 such triples and they correspond to two-dimensional subspaces:

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 0, 0) + (0, 1, 0) + (1, 1, 0) &= (1, 0, 0) + (0, 0, 1) + (1, 0, 1) = (1, 0, 0) + (0, 1, 1) + (1, 1, 1) = \\ (0, 1, 0) + (0, 0, 1) + (0, 1, 1) &= (0, 1, 0) + (1, 0, 1) + (1, 1, 1) = (0, 0, 1) + (1, 1, 0) + (1, 1, 1) = \\ (1, 1, 0) + (1, 0, 1) + (0, 1, 1) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\mathbf{a}_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_3 = (0, 0, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_4 = (1, 1, 0)$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_5 = (1, 0, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_6 = (0, 1, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_7 = (1, 1, 1)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 + \mathbf{a}_4 = 0; \quad \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_3 + \mathbf{a}_5 = 0; \quad \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_6 + \mathbf{a}_7 = 0; \quad \mathbf{a}_2 + \mathbf{a}_3 + \mathbf{a}_6 = 0; \\ \mathbf{a}_2 + \mathbf{a}_5 + \mathbf{a}_7 = 0; \quad \mathbf{a}_3 + \mathbf{a}_4 + \mathbf{a}_7 = 0; \quad \mathbf{a}_4 + \mathbf{a}_5 + \mathbf{a}_6 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now the proof is obtained by enumeration of all the possible cases. In each case we choose a basis  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$  and denote the sets in the image by  $\omega_\sigma$ , where  $\sigma = \{i: \xi(\mathbf{a}_i) = \omega_\sigma\}$ . We use the fact that no four vectors can have the same image. Redundant equalities are enclosed in brackets.

**I.** There are no triples of vectors with the same image. Then there are at most three pairs of vectors with the same images.

1. There are no pairs. Then  $\text{Im } \xi = \{\omega_i: i = 1, \dots, 7\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 \cap \omega_2 \cap \omega_4 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_1 \cap \omega_3 \cap \omega_5 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_1 \cap \omega_6 \cap \omega_7 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_2 \cap \omega_3 \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset; \\ \omega_2 \cap \omega_5 \cap \omega_7 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_3 \cap \omega_4 \cap \omega_7 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_4 \cap \omega_5 \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\tau_i = \omega_i$ .

2. There is exactly one pair. Choose  $\mathbf{e}_1$  and  $\mathbf{e}_2$  to be the vectors of this pair, and  $\mathbf{e}_3 \notin \text{Ls}\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2\}$ . Then  $\text{Im } \xi = \{\omega_{12}, \omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5, \omega_6, \omega_7\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{12} \cap \omega_4 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_{12} \cap \omega_3 \cap \omega_5 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_{12} \cap \omega_6 \cap \omega_7 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_{12} \cap \omega_3 \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset; \\ \omega_{12} \cap \omega_5 \cap \omega_7 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_3 \cap \omega_4 \cap \omega_7 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_4 \cap \omega_5 \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\tau_1 = \omega_{12}$ , and  $\tau_i = \omega_{i+1}$  for  $i \geq 2$ .

3. There are exactly two pairs.

- (a) One pair contains the sum of the vectors of the other. Choose  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  to be the vectors of the second pair, and  $e_3$  to be the vector paired to  $e_1 + e_2$ . Then  $\text{Im } \xi = \{\omega_{12}, \omega_{34}, \omega_5, \omega_6, \omega_7\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{34} = \emptyset; \quad \langle \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{34} \cap \omega_5 = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \omega_{12} \cap \omega_6 \cap \omega_7 = \emptyset; \quad \langle \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{34} \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset \rangle; \\ \omega_{12} \cap \omega_5 \cap \omega_7 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_{34} \cap \omega_7 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_{34} \cap \omega_5 \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\tau_1 = \omega_{34}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \omega_5$ ,  $\tau_3 = \omega_6$ ,  $\tau_4 = \omega_7$ ,  $\tau_5 = \omega_{12}$ .

- (b) No pair contains the sum of the vectors of the other. Choose  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  to be the vectors of the first pair, and  $e_3$  to be any vector of the second. The only possible case is:  $\xi(0, 0, 1) = \xi(1, 1, 1)$ . Then  $\text{Im } \xi = \{\omega_{12}, \omega_{37}, \omega_4, \omega_5, \omega_6\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{12} \cap \omega_4 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{37} \cap \omega_5 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_{12} \cap \omega_6 \cap \omega_{37} = \emptyset; \quad \langle \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{37} \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset \rangle; \\ \langle \omega_{12} \cap \omega_5 \cap \omega_{37} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \omega_{37} \cap \omega_4 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_4 \cap \omega_5 \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\tau_1 = \omega_4$ ,  $\tau_2 = \omega_{12}$ ,  $\tau_3 = \omega_5$ ,  $\tau_4 = \omega_6$ ,  $\tau_5 = \omega_{37}$ .

4. There are exactly three pairs.

- (a) The seventh vector is not equal to the sum of the vectors of any pair. Choose  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  to be the vectors of any pair, and  $e_3$  to be the vector paired to  $e_1 + e_2$ . We have  $\xi(0, 0, 1) = \xi(1, 1, 0)$ . Then the vector  $(1, 1, 1) = (0, 0, 1) + (1, 1, 0)$  belongs to the third pair. The remaining vector of its pair up to the transposition of  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  is  $(1, 0, 1)$ :  $\xi(1, 0, 1) = \xi(1, 1, 1)$ . Then  $\text{Im } \xi = \{\omega_{12}, \omega_{34}, \omega_{57}, \omega_6\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{34} = \emptyset; \quad \langle \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{34} \cap \omega_{57} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \langle \omega_{12} \cap \omega_6 \cap \omega_{57} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \langle \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{34} \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset \rangle; \\ \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{57} = \emptyset; \quad \omega_{34} \cap \omega_{57} = \emptyset; \quad \langle \omega_{34} \cap \omega_{57} \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\tau_1 = \omega_{12}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \omega_{34}$ ,  $\tau_3 = \omega_{57}$ .

- (b) The seventh vector is the sum of two vectors of exactly one pair. Choose  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  to be the vectors of this pair, and  $e_3$  to be any of the vectors of the remaining two pairs. The vector paired to  $e_3$  can not be  $(1, 1, 1)$ , therefore up to a transposition of  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  we obtain:  $\xi(0, 0, 1) = \xi(1, 0, 1)$ ,  $\xi(0, 1, 1) = \xi(1, 1, 1)$ . Then  $\text{Im } \xi = \{\omega_{12}, \omega_{35}, \omega_4, \omega_{67}\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{12} \cap \omega_4 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{35} = \emptyset; \quad \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{67} = \emptyset; \quad \langle \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{35} \cap \omega_{67} = \emptyset \rangle; \\ \langle \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{35} \cap \omega_{67} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \omega_{35} \cap \omega_4 \cap \omega_{67} = \emptyset; \quad \langle \omega_4 \cap \omega_{35} \cap \omega_{67} = \emptyset \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\tau_1 = \omega_{12}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \omega_{35}$ ,  $\tau_3 = \omega_4$ ,  $\tau_4 = \omega_{67}$ .

- (c) The seventh vector is the sum of vectors of at least two pairs. Choose  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  to be the vectors of the first pair, and  $e_3$  to be any of the vectors of the second. For the second pair we obtain:  $\xi(0, 0, 1) = \xi(1, 1, 1)$ , and for the third pair:  $\xi(1, 0, 1) = \xi(0, 1, 1)$ . Then  $\text{Im } \xi = \{\omega_{12}, \omega_{37}, \omega_4, \omega_{56}\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{12} \cap \omega_4 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{37} \cap \omega_{56} = \emptyset; \quad \langle \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{56} \cap \omega_{37} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \langle \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{37} \cap \omega_{56} = \emptyset \rangle; \\ \langle \omega_{12} \cap \omega_{56} \cap \omega_{37} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \omega_{37} \cap \omega_4 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_4 \cap \omega_{56} = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\tau_1 = \omega_4$ ,  $\tau_2 = \omega_{12}$ ,  $\tau_3 = \omega_{37}$ ,  $\tau_4 = \omega_{56}$ .

**II.** There is a triple of vectors with the same image. These vectors are linearly independent and we can choose them as  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ , and  $e_3$ . Consider the rest four vectors.

1. There are no triples of vectors with the same image.

- (a) There are no pairs of vectors with the same image. Then  $\text{Im } \xi = \{\omega_{123}, \omega_4, \omega_5, \omega_6, \omega_7\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{123} \cap \omega_4 = \emptyset; \quad \omega_{123} \cap \omega_5 = \emptyset; \quad \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_6 \cap \omega_7 = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \omega_{123} \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset; \\ \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_5 \cap \omega_7 = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_4 \cap \omega_7 = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \omega_4 \cap \omega_5 \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\tau_1 = \omega_{123}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \omega_4$ ,  $\tau_3 = \omega_5$ ,  $\tau_4 = \omega_6$ .

(b) There is exactly one pair of vectors with the same image.

- i. One of the vectors of the pair is  $\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3$ . Up to a transposition of  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2$ , and  $\mathbf{e}_3$  we obtain:  $\xi(1, 1, 0) = \xi(1, 1, 1)$ . Then  $\text{Im } \xi = \{\omega_{123}, \omega_{47}, \omega_5, \omega_6\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{47} = \emptyset; \quad \omega_{123} \cap \omega_5 = \emptyset; \quad \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_6 \cap \omega_{47} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \omega_{123} \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset; \\ \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_5 \cap \omega_{47} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{47} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \omega_{47} \cap \omega_5 \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\tau_1 = \omega_{123}, \tau_2 = \omega_{47}, \tau_3 = \omega_5, \tau_4 = \omega_6$ .

- ii. Both vectors of the pair are sums of two basis vectors. Up to a transposition of  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2$ , and  $\mathbf{e}_3$  we obtain:  $\xi(1, 1, 0) = \xi(1, 0, 1)$ . Then  $\text{Im } \xi = \{\omega_{123}, \omega_{45}, \omega_6, \omega_7\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{45} = \emptyset; \quad \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{45} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_6 \cap \omega_7 = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \omega_{123} \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset; \\ \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{45} \cap \omega_7 = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{45} \cap \omega_7 = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \omega_{45} \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\tau_1 = \omega_{123}, \tau_2 = \omega_{45}, \tau_3 = \omega_6$ .

- (c) There are exactly two pairs of vectors with the same image. Up to a transposition of  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2$ , and  $\mathbf{e}_3$  we obtain:  $\xi(1, 1, 0) = \xi(1, 0, 1)$ ,  $\xi(0, 1, 1) = \xi(1, 1, 1)$ . Then  $\text{Im } \xi = \{\omega_{123}, \omega_{45}, \omega_{67}\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{45} = \emptyset; \quad \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{45} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{67} = \emptyset; \quad \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{67} = \emptyset \rangle; \\ \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{45} \cap \omega_{67} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{45} \cap \omega_{67} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \omega_{45} \cap \omega_{67} = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\tau_1 = \omega_{123}, \tau_2 = \omega_{45}, \tau_3 = \omega_{67}$ .

2. There is a triple of vectors with the same image. Their sum is nonzero, therefore up to a transposition of  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2$ , and  $\mathbf{e}_3$  we obtain:  $\xi(1, 1, 0) = \xi(1, 0, 1) = \xi(1, 1, 1)$ . Then  $\text{Im } \xi = \{\omega_{123}, \omega_{457}, \omega_6\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{457} = \emptyset; \quad \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{457} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_6 \cap \omega_{457} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \omega_{123} \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset; \\ \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{457} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \langle \omega_{123} \cap \omega_{457} = \emptyset \rangle; \quad \omega_{457} \cap \omega_6 = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\tau_1 = \omega_{123}, \tau_2 = \omega_{457}, \tau_3 = \omega_6$ .

This enumeration proves the "only if" part. For the "if" part the cases I1, I2, I3(a), I4(b), and II2 give the mappings for cases 1-5 respectively.  $\square$

Now our main result follows from lemma 6 and propositions 10,11, and 12.

**Theorem 13.** *We have*

1.  $s(K) \geq 1$  if and only if condition (S1) holds;
2.  $s(K) \geq 2$  if and only if condition (S2) holds;
3.  $s(K) \geq 3$  if and only if condition (S3) holds.

**Corollary 14.** *We have*

1.  $s(K) = 0$  if and only if  $N(K) \neq \emptyset$  (equivalently,  $K = \Delta^n$ );
2.  $s(K) = 1$  if and only if any two and any three subsets in  $N(K)$  intersect;
3.  $s(K) = 2$  if and only if some two or three subsets in  $N(K)$  do not intersect and  $N(K)$  does not contain any of 5 subsets from proposition 12.

## 4 Problems

Theorem 13 naturally leads to the following problems.

**Problem 15.** To classify all simplicial complexes  $K$  such that  $s(K) = 2$ .

Minimal non-simplices are closely related to other combinatorial characteristics of simplicial complexes such as *bigraded Betti numbers*

$$\beta^{-i,2j}(K) = \text{rank Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_m]}^{-i,2j}(\mathbb{Z}[K], \mathbb{Z}) = \text{rank } H^{-i,2j}[\Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[K], d],$$

where  $\text{bideg } u_i = (-1, 2)$ ,  $\text{bideg } v_i = (0, 2)$ ,  $du_i = v_i$ ,  $dv_i = 0$ . For example,  $\sum_j \beta^{-1,2j} = |N(K)|$ .

**Problem 16.** To find a criterion for  $s(K) = 2$  in terms of bigraded Betti numbers.

Unlike simplicial complexes for a simple  $n$ -polytope  $P$  with  $m$  facets  $s(P) = 1$  if and only if  $P = \Delta^n$  (equivalently,  $m - n = 1$ ). The case  $s(P) = 2$  is much more complicated. It was shown in [Er09] that

$$s(C^n(m)^*) = 2 \quad \text{for} \quad 2 \leq m - n \leq 2 + \frac{n - 13}{48},$$

where  $C^n(m)$  is a cyclic polytope. In particular, for each  $k \geq 2$  there exists a polytope with  $m - n = k$  and  $s(P) = 2$ . Moreover, the estimate  $s(P) \geq m - \gamma(P) + s(\Delta_{n-1}^{\gamma-1})$  (see [Er09]) implies that if  $s(P) = 2$ , then one of the following holds:

- 1)  $P = I \times I$ ;
- 2) Any two facets of  $P$  intersect, and  $m < \frac{7}{4}(n + 1) + 2$ ;
- 3)  $\gamma(P) = m - 1$ , and  $m < \frac{3}{2}(n + 1) + 1$ .

**Problem 17.** To classify all simple polytopes with  $s(P) = 2$ .

## References

- [Ayz10] A. Ayzenberg, *The problem of Buchstaber number and its combinatorial aspects*, arXiv:1003.0637v1 [math.CO], 2010.
- [Ayz11] A. Ayzenberg, *Relation between the Buchstaber invariant and generalized chromatic numbers*, Far-Eastern Math. J., 11:2(2011), 113-119. (in russian).
- [BP02] Victor M. Buchstaber, Taras E. Panov, *Torus Actions and Their Applications in Topology and Combinatorics*, Providence, R.I.: American Mathematical Society, 2002. (University Lecture Series; V.24).
- [DJ91] M. Davis, T. Januszkiewicz, *Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions*, Duke Math. J., 1991. V.62, N2, 417-451.
- [FM09] Y. Fukukawa, M. Masuda, *Buchstaber invariants of skeleta of a simplex*, Osaka J. Math. Volume 48, Number 2 (2011), 549-582; arXiv:0908.3448v2 [math.AT].
- [Er08] N. Erokhovets, *Buchstaber invariant of simple polytopes*, UMN, 2008, 63:5, 962-964.
- [Er09] N. Erokhovets, *Buchstaber invariant of simple polytopes*, arXiv:0908.3407 [math.AT], 2009.
- [Er11] N. Erokhovets, *Moment-angle manifolds of simple  $n$ -polytopes with  $n + 3$  facets*, UMN, 2011, 66:5, 1006-1008.
- [Jo01] M. Joswig, *The group of projectivities and colouring of the facets of a simple polytope* *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 2001, 56:3, 584-585.
- [Iz01a] I. V. Izmistiev, *Three-dimensional manifolds defined by coloring a simple polytope*, Math. Notes, 2001, 69:3, 340-346.
- [Iz01b] I. I. Izmistiev, *Free torus action on the manifold  $Z_P$  and the group of projectivities of a polytope  $P$* , *Russian Math. Surveys*, 2001, 56:6, 582-583.